2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

Comment Sheet - Post Plan Commission Hearing

Key and substantive City Council proposed changes to the 2017 Draft Comprehensive Plan are listed below.

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|---|------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | Add 'and amendment' after | This language is implied | | No change proposed. |
| | | | | 'via the application'. | through land use | | |
| | | | | | regulations. | | |
| 2 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | The relationship of these | This change does not create | | No change proposed. |
| | | | | activities to the | a functional difference in the | | |
| | | | | Comprehensive Plan is | policy. | | |
| | | | | specified in As required by | | | |
| | | | | the State Growth | | | |
| | | | | Management Act, which | | | |
| | | | | states that regulations shall | | | |
| | | | | be consistent with the | | | |
| | | | | Comprehensive Plan, | | | |
| 3 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | The Zoning Code | The plan is referring to | | No change proposed. |
| | | | | | zoning as an action to | | |
| | | | | | implement the | | |
| | | | | | comprehensive plan. The | | |
| | | | | | specific zoning code is | | |
| | | | | | embedded within the | | |
| | | | | | Unified Development Code, | | |
| | | | | | the zoning code would | | |
| | | | | | therefore not be an | | |
| | | | | | appropriate reference in this | | |
| | | | | | case. | | |
| 4 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | Subdivision Regulations | The plan is referring to | | No change proposed. |
| | | | | | subdivisions in general, not | | |
| | | | | | specific regulations per se. | | |
| 5 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | The Design Review Board | Practicing Professionals are | | No change proposed. |
| | | | | Remove 'and practicing | on the Design Review Board. | | |
| | | | | professionals' | SMC 04.13.025 details the | | |
| | | | | | board qualifications. | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|---|
| 6 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | Capital Facilities and Concurrency | In the second paragraph, During planning, the six-year capital improvement- programs CIP reflects City Council's resolve to pursue funding for projects to meet the demands of new growth. | Comment noted. Staff recommends changing. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | In the second paragraph, During planning, the six-year <u>CIP</u> reflect <u>s</u> City Council <u>'s</u> resolve to pursue funding for projects to meet the demands of new growth. |
| 7 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | Departmental budgets, the Six-Year Capital Improvement Plans- CIP | Comment noted. Staff recommends changing. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | Departmental budgets, <u>the</u> Six-Year <u>CIP</u> |
| 8 | Brian McClatchey | 2 | | Suggests adding a paragraph at the end about strategic planning. | The copy that was being edited did not appear to have the section 2.3 on purpose of the Work Plan Matrices that outlines strategic implementation. | | No change proposed. |
| 9 | CM Kinnear | 3 | 3.3 | Add an additional goal 'Using transitions when necessary between high density and single family neighborhoods'. | Staff will need to analyze the transition language for consistency with other policies in the chapter. The infill taskforce is currently working on on transition language. Staff recommends waiting for the recommendation of the revisions proposed by that taskforce. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 10 | CM Kinnear | 3 | 3.2 | Asks to have reference to neighborhood plans returned. | This reference has been replaced with the more accurate 'sub-area' planning process. The neighborhood planning process is separate and distinct from the sub- area planning process. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 11 | CM Kinnear | 3 | 5.2 | Add 'trees' after landscaping. | Landscaping requirements include trees. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|-----|--------------------|---|---|--|---|
| 12 | CM Kinnear | 3 | 5.4 | Add 'and enhances' after protects. | Adding the word enhance imposes an additional development requirement, and would require changes to the SMC. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 13 | CM Kinnear | 3 | 5.5 | Add 'the neighborhood' after 'with' | Land uses within a neighborhood is varied. Land use must be compatible with adjacent uses, not the the entire larger neighborhood. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 14 | CM Kinnear | 3 | Goal 6 | Proposes removing "well- located", as it is not specifically defined. | Staff agrees with this proposed change. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | LU 6. Adequate Public Lands and Facilities Goal: Ensure the provision and distribution of adequate, well located public lands and facilities throughout the city. |
| 15 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 6.3 | Proposes removing "well", as it is not specifically defined. | Staff agrees with this proposed change. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | LU 6.3 School Locations Work with the local school districts to identify school sites that are well- located to serve the service area and that are readily accessible for pedestrians and bicyclists. |
| 16 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 6.8 | Proposed putting the discussion back in. | The siting process is detailed in the Spokane Municipal Code and is redundant with the County's siting process. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 17 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 6.13 | Proposes keeping the policy. | Staff recommends removing the policy to provide the flexibility within the SMC. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 18 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 8.1 | Keep the stricken part in the policy. | This language is a generic discussion of the UGA and is not specific to Spokane. Additionally, this is covered in the CWPP. | This new policy language incorportates the old language into the discussion. CM Kinnear approves. | No change proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|-----|--------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 19 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 8.2 | Wants to add back in "as well as the identification and preservation of natural environmental features." | Staff recommends including the language as follows: "Work with Spokane County toward adoption of consistent land use designations and development standards, as well as the identification and preservation of natural environmental features, in unincorporated" | | LU 8.2 Joint Planning in Urban Growth Area. Plan with Spokane County for the unincorporated portions of the Urban Growth Area. Discussion: Work with Spokane County toward adoption of consistent land use designations and development standards, <u>as well as</u> <u>identifying and preserving natural</u> <u>environment features</u> , in unincorporated Urban Growth Areas, in recognition that Urban Growth Areas are the city's future annexation areas and will become the city's responsibility upon annexation. |
| 20 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 8.3 | The review process should include conducting an inventory of the buildable land, water, and other infrastructure needs supply using the latest available data gathering and mapping | The discussion is directly tied to the GMA requirements listed in the policy, which do not include water and other infrastructure needs. Those considerations are described in the Capital Facilities Chapter. | recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 21 | CM Kinnear | 3 | LU 9.4 | sewer, urban runoff, roads, schools, open space, police | The list may not be all inclusive, in order to be more broadly inclusive all services is the correct terminology. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |
| 22 | CM Kinnear | 3 | 3.4 Desc. | At the end of the second paragraph, add "in addition low density residential areas should be protected by transition zones or buffers. | Staff recommends awaiting the language revisions proposed by the Infill Task Force. | CM Kinnear agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|--|-----|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| 23 | Assoc. of manufactured Home Owners | 3 | LU 1.16 | The proposed language does not uphold res no. 2014- 0103, calling for a manufactured home park zone. Commenter calls into question the efficacy of voluntary incentives. The AMHO asks forthe passage of a "manufactured housing park" zone, for greater protection. | Bring forward to City Council Hearing for review. | | Designate appropriate areas for the preservation of mobile and manufactured home parks. |
| 24 | CM Waldref | 5 | top of page 10 | The discussion at the top of Page 10 doesn't make sense: "Increased use proposes a more intense development | | Edits will move forward to hearing. | CFU 1.2 Require the development of capital improvement projects that either improve the city's operational efficiency or reduce costs by increasing the capacity, use, and/or life expectancy of existing facilities. Increased use of existing facilities proposes a more intense development pattern, and maximization of existing utility capacity , not before the physical extension of services to more consumers. |
| 25 | CM Waldref | 5 | CFU 1.4 | I would add back in stakeholder involvement, which was struck out. "New uses should consider the existing character of the area and involve neighborhood residents." | Not all use and reuse of existing buildings requires a stakeholder involvement process. Instituting this recommmendation would require a change to the SMC. | CM Waldref accepts staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|-----|--------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 26 | CM Waldref | 5 | CFU 3.6 | Limitation of Services Outside Urban Growth Area I have cc'd Brian on this email because I don't believe section B. City of Spokane Water Service is up to date with the new process of how we decide whether to expand water service per the Water System Plan. Do you see any sections that need to updated, Brian? Under General Provisions, the Water System Plan should be referenced. | adopted by reference. Additionally, Current Planning indicates this language guides how the decisions are made. | | Staff will insert a reference to the Water System Plan at the bottom of this policy, and bring forward to hearing. |
| 27 | CM Waldref | 5 | CFU 5.2 | I would leave the discussion and NOT strike out the first sentence. | The policy already implies the importance of conserving water. | 0 | CFU 5.2 Water Conservation Encourage public and private efforts to conserve water. Discussion: Water conservation is an important way to protect the environment, reduce the demands placed on the sewer system, and retain sufficient water availability to support future growth and development. Conservation can be accomplished through a variety of approaches |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|---------------------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 28 | Venters, Wayne & Linda | 5 | CFU 3.6 | Commenter suggests expansion of agencies able to determine whether a threat to public safety exists, to include city of Spokane. Suggestions for removal of "poses an immediate threat". Suggestion to include language for "previously occupied parcel". | Bring forward to City Council Hearing for review. | Provision of services outside the Urban Growth Area shall meet the following requirements: A. City of Spokane Sewer Service 1. Sewer Service Connections. Sewer Service Connections to property outside UGAs will be approved only if the connection is to existing infrastructure with surplus capacity, and one or both of the following conditions for exception exists: The Spokane Regional Health District or the Washington State Department of Health has determined that an existing development poses an immediate threat to public health or safety. b. A written commitment for service to a vested development was made by the City of Spokane prior to the adoption of the City of Spokane's Comprehensive Plan under RCW 36.70A. | No change proposed. |
| 29 | CM Kinnear | 8 | DP 3.13 | Add "through protection of the historic built environment" to the end of the policy. | Historic Preservation Officer recommends adding " and promote the preservation of their historic heritage, cultural resources and built environment." | | DP 3.13 Historic Districts and Neighborhoods. Assist neighborhoods and other potential historic districts to identify, recognize, and highlight their social and economic origins and promote the preservation of their historic heritage, and-cultural resources, and built environment. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 30 | CM Kinnear | 8 | DP 3.13 | Outstanding-Historic structures should be preserved when neighborhoods are redeveloped and rehabilitated and infill development should be compatible with the existing neighborhood. | Staff recommends "notable" rather than "outstanding", if a descriptor is required. Historic Preservation Officer Duvall advises keeping existing language and not qualifying a building as notable or outstanding. Historic implies that it meets our criteria for listing. | | No change proposed. |
| 31 | CM Kinnear | 8 | DP 3.1 | Add 'in staffing' after 'accompanying increase' in the discussion. | Historic Preservation Officer recommends adding "Well- funded and staffed historic preservation programs result in" | Proposed change will be brought forward to hearing. | "Well-funded <u>and staffed</u> historic preservation programs result in" |
| 32 | CM Beggs | 10 | Introduction | Add "a rehabilitation-based criminal justice system to the last sentence. | If the intent is to better align the strategic implementation framework with the comprehensive plan, specifically regarding public safety then it would be advisable to address all of the public safety initiatives. | of criminal justice reform separate from the work of the strategic plan. Staff proposes a new goal would be the most efficient way to | A city's role in improving the health and well-being of individuals, families, and communities requires addressing the factors that influence or cause health-related behaviors, such as: resource allocation, the physical environment, housing choices, quality education, efficient public transportation, employment options, <u>a</u> <u>rehabilitation-based criminal justice</u> <u>system</u> , cultural and recreational opportunities, and accessible health systems through local policies that enhance equity. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|--|--|---|---|---|
| 33 | CM Beggs | 10 | Scope 2nd Paragraph | Add "adequately housed" to second paragraph. | Inserting adequately housed is unnecessarily specific, in anotherwise broad list. Safe and healthy covers adequately housed. Staff may want to look at duplicating this language in the Housing chapter in relevant policy. | language is more | With a full range of choices and opportunities, Spokane can maximize its human resources by enhancing each person's ability to achieve their full potential in the community. Implementation of these ideas need not be expensive if it builds on the assets that already exist. This is a values-driven approach that uses what we have to get where we want to go. When residents are <u>adequately</u> <u>housed</u> , productive, safe, healthy, caring, and civil, the city is prosperous, energetic, supportive, and livable. |
| 34 | CM Beggs | 10 | Second to the last sentence of Scope of Chapter | After "age" add ", race, sexual orientation," | If the intent is to make this list more closely align with the protected classes of Title 18, then staff suggests adding additional items to this list for consistency. | After discussing with CM Beggs, staff can add the list from 18.01, paragraph A. Change language in last sentence of the overview "can erase barriers that arise from" replace with "these relationships chould promote equity and inclusion for all, regardless of differences in age" then insert full list referenced above. | These relationships <u>should promote</u> <u>justice, equity, and an inclusive</u> <u>environment for all people, regardless</u> <u>of race, religion, creed, color, sex,</u> <u>national origin, marital status, familial</u> <u>status, domestic violence victim status,</u> <u>age, sexual orientation, gender</u> <u>identity, honorably discharged veteran</u> <u>or military status, refugee status, the</u> <u>presence of any sensory, mental or</u> <u>physical disability as defined by the</u> <u>Americans with Disabilities Act and/or</u> <u>the Washington State Law Against</u> <u>Discrimination, or the receipt of, or</u> <u>eligibility for the receipt of, funds from</u> <u>any housing choice or other subsidy</u> <u>program or alternative source of</u> <u>income.</u> |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|---|---|--|--|--|
| 35 | CM Beggs | 10 | 10.2 Vision and values 2nd Paragraph | Add "quality housing" in the list after "arts". | The social health chapter is not designed to address housing, because there is a housing chapter. When these important references are tucked into a different chapter there is the risk of losing them. For example, people will look to the housing chapter for related policies and may miss the policies if placed in other chapters. This also has a potential risk of conflicting policies and concurrency issues. | CM Beggs wants to bring forward to hearing. | Social health addresses youth, families, senior citizens, people with disabilities, education, public safety, recreation, the arts, <u>quality housing</u> and cultural opportunities. |
| 36 | CM Beggs | 10 | 10.2 Vision and values | from the 1996 Vision and Values document need to be updated to include: increased use of therapeutic and community courts, reducing jail population, reform criminal justice system to reduce racial and ethnic disparities, improve standard of living by increasing and stabilizing housing opportunities. | Staff recommends revisiting this with the next comprehensive plan update, when a more robust public | CM Beggs agrees with staff recommendation. | No change proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|--------------------|---|----------------------------|---|---|
| 37 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 1.6 | to title and policy. End policy with "and/or low income housing". | 1 0 | Edits will move forward to hearing. | SH 1.6 Vacant, <u>Condemned and Real</u> <u>Estate Owned</u> Buildings Promote and assist non-profit organizations in purchasing and renovating <u>vacant</u> , <u>condemned and/or</u> <u>Real Estate Owned</u> properties in order to provide sites for additional community-related facilities <u>and/or</u> <u>affordable housing to meet the diverse</u> <u>housing needs of our current and</u> <u>future residents</u> . |
| 38 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 2.4 | transportation options" to the end of the policy | those persons with limited | CM Beggs agrees with staff recommendation, will bring forward to hearing. | SH 2.4 Co-Location of Facilities Encourage a land use pattern that allows convenient access to daily goods and services, especially for those persons with limited mobility <u>and/or</u> <u>transportation options</u> . |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|---|----------|-----|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 3 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 2.7 | Add ", but are essential to successful re-entry and rehabilitation. Therefore, siting these facilities should be a priority." | is significant risk should be | Take examples out of the second paragraph. Propose language instead of priority: "providing housing is | SH 2.7 Exceptions to Fair Housing Regulate residential structures occupied by persons who pose a direct <u>proven</u> threat to the health or safety of other individuals or whose tenancy would result in substantial physical damage to the property of others through appropriate and necessary means to protect the public health, safety and welfare. Discussion:Such a determination must rely on competent and substantial evidence rather than fear, ignorance, or prejudice. These facilities are often difficult to site, but are essential to successful re-entry and rehabilitation. Therefore, siting these housing facilities is an important function of government. Examples of such facilities include mental- health facilities, and residential settings for- persons involved with the criminal justice- system, such as detoxification facilities, parolee work release facilities, and other re- entry facilities. These facilities are often difficult to site. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|--------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 40 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 4 | Add "& Equity" to title and criminal history after refugee status | Staff agrees with this proposed change. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | SH 4 DIVERSITY and EQUITY Goal: Develop and implement programs that attract and retain for all city residents from a diverse range of backgrounds and- life circumstances so that all people feel welcome and accepted, their regardless of race, religion, creed, color, sex, national origin, marital status, familial status, domestic violence victim status, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, honorably discharged veteran or military status, refugee status, criminal history, the presence of any sensory, mental or physical disability as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or the Washington State Law Against Discrimination, or the receipt of, or eligibility for the receipt of, funds from any housing choice or other subsidy program or alternative source of income. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 41 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 4 | Add after national origin" immigration status", and "criminal history" after disability. Align with Title 18 of the SMC. | this list with the list in SMC title 18, or shortening to " regardless of their status in a protected class consistent with federal, state and local | "that attract and retain" from the first sentence. CM Beggs proposes removing reference to title 18, but including full list. | Goal: Develop and implement programs that attract and retain for all city residents from a diverse range of backgrounds and- life circumstances so that all people feel welcome and accepted, regardless of their race, religion, creed, color, sex, national origin, marital status, familial status, domestic violence victim status, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, honorably discharged veteran or military status, refugee status, the presence of any sensory, mental or physical disability as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or the Washington State Law Against Discrimination, or the receipt of, or eligibility for the receipt of, funds from any housing choice or other subsidy program or alternative source of income. |
| 42 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 5 Goal | After "human services" add "affordable and ADA- accessible housing". | While housing is a part of social health and in some cases a public benefit none of the policies under this goal discuss housing and appear to be referencing day time care services. Staff recommends adding affordable and ADA- accessible housing to an appropriate policy in the Housing chapter where it is more closely aligned. | CM Beggs wants to bring forward to hearing. | SH 5 PUBLIC BENEFIT USES Goal: Create policy framework, laws, and regulations that expand and develop wellness programs, affordable and accessible health and human services, <u>affordable and ADA-accessible</u> <u>housing</u> , child and adult day care, and other public benefit uses. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|--------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 43 | CM Beggs | 10 | SH 5.1 | | Staff agrees with this proposed change. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | SH 5.1 Coordination of Human Services Discussion: Community-based partners in this coordination process may include social service agencies, <u>legal service</u> <u>providers</u> , schools, libraries, community centers, and neighborhood groups. Efforts should be directed toward issues related to persons who are homeless, disabled, in low-income brackets, <u>re-entering the community</u> <u>following release from incarceration,</u> and others in need. (more unchanged language follows) |
| 44 | CM Beggs | 10 | Add New Goal 7 | Existing Goal 7 - Food Policy, would be renumbered Goal 8. | Staff recommends creating a new goal for public safety - similar to other jurisdictions and inserting new criminal justice policies there, rather than inserting that focus into existing goals. This will keep the strength and focus of existing policies, and give clarity of purpose to new policies. | language to CM Beggs for | SH 7 CRIMINAL JUSTICE Goal: Provide evidence based criminal justice services that use police, prosecutors, courts, public defenders, treatment and supervision to reduce crime and recidivism while supporting victims. |
| 45 | CM Beggs | 10 | Add SH 7.1 | New Policy <u>SH 7.1 - Racial Equity in the</u> <u>Criminal Justice System</u> Implement cost-effective, research-based, smart justice reforms which foster racial equity and opportunities for recovery and re-integration. | | CM Beggs wants to bring forward to hearing. | New Policy <u>SH 7.1 - Racial Equity in the Criminal</u> <u>Justice System</u> <u>Implement cost-effective, research-</u> <u>based, smart justice reforms to</u> <u>eliminate racial disproportionality in</u> <u>arrests, sentencing and incarceration.</u> |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change | Summary of Proposed | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------|-----|------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | Location | Change | | | |
| 46 | CM Beggs | 10 | Add SH 7.2 | New Policy <u>SH 7.2- Disproportional</u> <u>incarceration of individuals</u> <u>with mental or cognitive</u> <u>disabilities.</u> <i>Implement cost-effective,</i> <i>research-based, smart justice</i> <i>reforms which foster equity</i> <i>and opportunities for</i> <i>recovery and re-integration.</i> | CM Beggs to review and edit suggested policy language. | suggestion to create another policy: Disproportionality in the incarceration of individuals with mental illness or cognitive impairment. | New Policy SH 7.2- Disproportional Incarceration of Individuals with Mental or Cognitive Disabilities. Implement cost-effective, research- based, smart justice reforms and funding that utilize comprehensive assessment and placement at non-jail facilities for community members who suffer from mental or cognitive disabilities and can be safely housed outside a jail. |
| 47 | CM Beggs | 10 | Add SH 7.3 | Therapeutic Courts and Jail Diversion Center Expand the use of therapeutic courts and non-jail alternatives to increase the provision of treatment and rehabilitation in order to reduce recidivism. Discussion: Therapeutic courts oversee those who suffer from mental illness or addiction to receiving treatment and rehabilitation. Studies consistently show that therapeutic courts are more effective than their traditional counterparts at reducing recidivism. Incarceration is both costly and largely ineffective at rehabilitation. Non-jail alternatives, including diversion, keep people employed and housed. Developing a jail diversion center and law enforcement assisted diversion program are important next steps in decreasing recidivism. | Staff recommends: Therapeutic courts oversee those who suffer from mental illness or addiction to receiving treatment and rehabilitation. Proposed: Therapeutic courts oversee the treatment and rehabilitation of those who suffer from mental illness or addiction. | CM Beggs agrees with staff recommendations. Edits will move forward to hearing. | New Policy SH 7.3 Therapeutic Courts and Jail Diversion Center <u>Expand the use of therapeutic courts and</u> <u>non-jail alternatives to increase the</u> <u>provision of treatment and rehabilitation in</u> <u>order to reduce recidivism.</u> <u>Discussion: Therapeutic courts oversee the</u> <u>treatment and rehabilitation of those who</u> <u>suffer from mental illness or addiction.</u> <u>Studies consistently show that therapeutic</u> <u>courts are more effective than their</u> <u>traditional counterparts at reducing</u> <u>recidivism. Incarceration is both costly and</u> <u>largely ineffective at rehabilitation. Non- jail alternatives, including diversion, keep</u> <u>people employed and housed. Developing</u> <u>a jail diversion center and law enforcement</u> <u>assisted diversion program are important</u> <u>next steps in decreasing recidivism.</u> |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 48 | CM Beggs | 10 | Add SH 7.4 | Coordination with Spokane Regional Law and Justice Council Develop Levels of Service for Therapeutic Courts and Diversionary Services in coordination with the Spokane Regional Law and Justice Council. | Staff proposes this new policy be brought forward under a new criminal justice goal. | CM Beggs agrees with staff recommendations. Edits will move forward to hearing. | New Policy SH 7.4 - Coordination with Spokane Regional Law and Justice Council Develop Levels of Service for Therapeutic Courts and Diversionary Services in coordination with the Spokane Regional Law and Justice Council. |
| 49 | CM Beggs | 10 | | Add definition for therapeutic courts: Therapeutic courts are problem-solving courts that focus on the criminogenic needs of the offenders – those needs that lead to anti- social behavior. These courts hold offenders accountable for past behavior while addressing their needs for mental health and medical care, education, housing and other services while re- integrating them as productive members of the community. | Staff agrees that if the criminal justice polices are added at this point in the Comprehensive Plan update, then this definition should be added to the glossary. | Edits will move forward to hearing. | Add definition for therapeutic courts: Therapeutic courts are problem-solving courts that focus on the criminogenic needs of the offenders – those needs that lead to anti-social behavior. These courts hold offenders accountable for past behavior while addressing their needs for mental health and medical care, education, housing and other services while re-integrating them as productive members of the community. |
| 50 | CM Waldref | App endi x C | (<u> </u> | We should point out which areas still do not meet the 5 mile standard. | This would require outreach and research, which exceeds the time constraints of adoption at this time. | | Currently, most of the populated portions of the city limits are within five (5) road miles of a fire station. <u>The</u> <u>City intends to evaluate service needs</u> <u>and develop plans to serve areas</u> <u>identified outside the five (5) road</u> <u>miles requirement to address</u> <u>insurance rates and ensure balanced</u> <u>coverage across the City.</u> |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 51 | CM Waldref | App endi x C | pg. 15 | page? | Population projections changed. Recommend discussion with Fire Chief. | | No change proposed. |
| 52 | CM Waldref | App endi x C | pg. 33 & 34 | Are we replacing this with a new standard? Why remove? | Park LOS is stated in above table. Readers are directed to the Park Plan for LOS analysis - which is why removed. The Park Plan is adopted by reference in the Comprehensive Plan. Changes to any of the plans go through their own review and approval process. This allows greater flexibility for agencies to update their plans without also having to go through a comprehensive plan amendment. | | No change proposed. |
| 53 | CM Waldref | App endi x C | pg. 42 | | addressing this question at a | | No change proposed. |
| 54 | CM Waldref | App endi x C | pg. 54 | Should we reference the new | This would require outreach and research, which exceeds the time constraints of adoption at this time. Citing policy is contained in SMC. | | No change proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|-----|--|---|---|---|--|
| 55 | CM Waldref | 4 | Making Spokane a city of transportatio n choices | Strike last bullet Recognize that the car will remain the primary mode of transportation. | Staff has been communicating through the whole process, and the subcommittee has agreed that while pedestrians are important, the car will remain the primary mode of transportation. Change at this point is counter to the process history and public engagement. | Edits will move forward to hearing for discussion. | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-4 |
| 56 | CM Waldref | 4 | Integration | Strike green dividend. | The term had not been | Edits will move forward to hearing for discussion. | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-5 |
| 57 | Dietzman | 4 | Balanced trans. | Strike "desire" replace with priority. | Staff supports this recommendation. | Edits will move forward to hearing for discussion. | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-5 |
| 58 | Dietzman | 4 | 4.3 | Strike first paragraph, add additional one page explanation. | Staff supports this recommendation. | Edits will move forward to hearing for discussion. | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| 59 | Dietzman | 4 | Goal G | At the end of the fourth paragraph add "and pedestrian improvements." | Staff supports this recommendation. | Edits will move forward to hearing for discussion. | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-19 |
| 60 | CC Mumm | 4 | General | Formatting was updated from bullets to lettering for clarity and referencing. | Staff supports this recommendation. | Formatting changes have been made to the document. | Edits throughout page 4-20 through 4-3 |
| 61 | Futurewise | 4 | 4-64 & 4-65 | <u>One "Roadway of</u> <u>Significance"</u> These projects - are allowed to <u>may</u> be placed within the 6- <u>Y</u> y ear <u>S</u> s treet <u>P</u> p rogram to begin seeking funding and eventual construction, even though they may not be identified as next in line in the City's 20- year capital project list based upon the ranking criteria used. | review. | Does Council feel that putting an artificial constraint on the number of Roadways of Signficance projects that can be included in the 6-Year Program is useful to Council. With the number of criteria needed to be eligible for designation, there are a limited number of projects eligibile within the Clty. | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 62 | Futurewise | 4 | 4-64 & 4-66 | The expectation is that there will be very few projects that will be promoted over the projects that rank higher according to the criteria used to develop the prioritized 20- year capital project list <u>and</u> no more than one "Roadway of Significance" may be included in the 6-Year Street Program. | - | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 63 | Futurewise | 4 | 4-64 & 4-67 | | Does Council feel that putting an artificial constraint on the number of Roadways of Signficance projects that can be included in the 6-Year Program is useful to Council. With the number of criteria needed to be eligible for designation, there are a limited number of projects eligibile within the City. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 64 | Futurewise | 4 | 4-64 & 4-68 | needed to serve the near term need <u>. Subsequent 6-</u> <u>Year Street Programs will</u> <u>fund the work needed to</u> | Does Council feel that putting an artificial constraint on the number of Roadways of Signficance projects that can be included in the 6-Year Program is useful to Council. With the number of criteria needed to be eligible for designation, there are a limited number of projects eligibile within the City. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|--|-----|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 65 | Form Letter - Numerous submissions | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 66 | Daya Goldschlag | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 67 | Thomas Angell | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|---------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 68 | Bradley Bleck | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 69 | Julia McHugh | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 70 | Patrick Gray | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|-----------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 71 | Jan Thorne | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | • • | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 72 | Patty Gates | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | • • | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 73 | Katherine Laise | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|-----------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 74 | Mary Carr | 4 | | - | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 75 | Paul Lindholdt | 4 | | · | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 76 | Rick Eichstaedt | 4 | | Significance loophole by | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|----------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 77 | Nancy Enz Lill | 4 | | - | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 78 | Kevin Miller | 4 | | | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 79 | Cindy Green | 4 | | Significance loophole by | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|-----------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 80 | April Beasley | 4 | | - | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 81 | Monica Bertucci | 4 | | | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 82 | Stephanie Smith | 4 | | Significance loophole by | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|---------------|-----|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| 83 | Kitty Klitzke | 4 | Roadways of Significance | Update text for Roadways of Significance. | Does Council feel that putting an artificial constraint on the number of Roadways of Signficance projects that can be included in the 6-Year Program is useful to Council. With the number of criteria needed to be eligible for designation, there are a limited number of projects eligibile within the City. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 84 | CM Mumm | 4 | Introduction | Drop "Shaping Spokane" last sentence of last paragraph. | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |
| 85 | CM Mumm | 4 | Regional Context | Drop last sentence of paragraph "Given this strategic location, transportation conditions in Spokane are strongly influenced by forces beyond the City's control." | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |
| 86 | CM Mumm | 4 | | | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |
| 87 | CM Mumm | 4 | Institutional Coordination | Edit 2nd sentence - delete "instead" capitalize "There"; delete the, s on regions | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |
| 88 | CM Mumm | 4 | Institutional Coordination | Change "these agencies" to | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |
| 89 | CM Mumm | 4 | Transportatio n: Shaping Spokane's Future | Change "driving" to "automobile" | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|----|---------|-----|--|---|---|---|---|
| 90 | CM Mumm | 4 | Transportatio n: Shaping Spokane's Future | Change "topics" to "efforts" | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-3 |
| 91 | CM Mumm | 4 | | Add "without sacrificing livability" to first bullet, and change 2nd bullet to "improve maintenance efficiency" | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-5 |
| 92 | CM Mumm | 4 | Fix It First | Add "before expanding the system" to 2nd bullet | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-5 |
| 93 | CM Mumm | 4 | Balanced | Move last paragraph to beginning of section | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| 94 | CM Mumm | 4 | LINK Spokane | Add text to first bullet, second list | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-7 |
| 95 | CM Mumm | 4 | Infrastructure Planning Context | Add text to last sentence for clarification | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-8 |
| 96 | CM Mumm | 4 | Integration Framework | Word change for clarity | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-9 |
| 97 | CM Mumm | 4 | Vision | Edit Vision statement | Group worked long and hard on Vision and Values statements, and this was an inclusive process that the group was comfortable with the result. They did not want the values to be quite so specific, to allow for interpretation by residents. Added environment back in, move property to value statements | Transportation Chapter to the appendix. | Please refer to tracked changes version |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|---------|-----|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 98 | CM Mumm | 4 | Vision | Edit Vision statement | Added environment back in, | Add portions of original | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | | move property to value | Transportation Chapter to the | page 4-12 |
| | | | | | statements | appendix. | |
| 99 | CM Mumm | 4 | Values | Clarify Individual time | Staff supports this | Add portions of original | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | | recommendation | Transportation Chapter to the appendix. | page 4-12 |
| 100 | CM Mumm | 4 | Values | Values in earlier version were | Added in all Value | Add portions of original | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | more specific (list provided). | statements from 2001 Comp | Transportation Chapter to the | page 4-12 |
| | | | | Make more specific | Plan - Ch 4 | appendix. | |
| 101 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR B Intent | Review intent, seems too | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | soft; not direct enough | recommendation | | page 4-16 |
| 102 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR E Intent | Street trees missing | Found in TR 13 | | No change proposed |
| 103 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR G | Word edit for clarity | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | | recommendation | | page 4-19 |
| 104 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 1 | Does not include airline or | Short discussions on both | | See red line version of Chapter 4 |
| | | | | rail | modes in Modal Networks | | |
| 105 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 1 Key | 2nd bullet, add reference to | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | Actions | LOS | recommendation | | page 4-20 |
| 106 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 2 Key Action | Insert TR 4.5, 4.6, or 4.7 | Included in Neighborhood Access | | No change proposed |
| 107 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 3 | Word edit for clarity | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | , | recommendation | | page 4-21 |
| 108 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 3 | Add Transit LOS (2.21) | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | | recommendation | | page 4-22 |
| 109 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 4 | See TR 2.2 to reduce | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | dependancy on automobiles | recommendation | | page 4-22 |
| 110 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 5 | Explore opportunities for | Language from TR 2.3 is | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | adding additional language | found in TR 20, | | pages 4-23 through 4-24 |
| | | | | from TR 2.3 and TR2.12 | Bicycle/Pedestrian | | |
| | | | | | Coordination. Added | | |
| | | | | | language from TR 2.12 to TR | | |
| | | | | | 5. | | |
| 111 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 6 | Edit policy to reflect land | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | uses | recommendation | | page 4-24 |
| 112 | CM Mumm | 4 | TR 17 | Add language to end of policy | Staff supports this | | Please refer to tracked changes version |
| | | | | to reflect pollution | recommendation | | page 4-31 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|------------|-----|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 113 | CM Mumm | 4 | Policies | Missing TR 2.12 ped access to schools and TR 6.1 Pollution | TR 2.12 is within TR 5, will review to beef up. Added specific language from TR 6.1 to Modal Elements intro. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-36 |
| 114 | CM Mumm | 4 | Modal Elements | Move walkability up in list | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-36 |
| 115 | CM Mumm | 4 | Modal Elements | Add Bikeability | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-36 |
| 116 | CM Mumm | 4 | Pedestrian Master Plan Goals | Edits for clarity | Already adopted, difficult to edit at this point | | No changes proposed. |
| 117 | CM Mumm | 4 | Rail Network | Add short statement on Amtrak | Staff supports this recommendation | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-61 |
| 118 | CM Mumm | 4 | All | Change bus to transit | Staff supports this recommendation | See red line version of Chapter 4.Change specificity to reference transit in general, not just the bus throughout the document. | Throughout Chapter 4 |
| 119 | Paul Kropp | 4 | Introduction | Balanced Approach, lack of strong Pedestrian First policy. | The Public Policy Group (PPG), PCTS, and Plan Commission have all recommended to not place as much emphasis on Pedestrian 1st. The PPG specifically debated the subject and deemed that it did not fit going forward. John Dietzman has provided additional text that increases emphasis on this topic. | The original transportation chapter of the comprehensive plan will be included as appendix D, Part 7 to provide background, content, and further clarification of the intent. | Please refer to tracked changes version pages 4-2 through page 4-3 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change | Summary of Proposed | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|------------|-----|---------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | | Location | Change | | | |
| 120 | Paul Kropp | - | Arterial Classfication | Suggested language: The city's arterial street map is shown in Map TR 12. Upon adoption of the Transportation Plan the changes on this map are forwarded to WSDOT for acceptance at the state level. The city intends to have its own arterial street map recognized at the state level. | There is a chance that WSDOT will not recognize some of the City's arterial plan. Current language reflects this. The comment does not capture the full process | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-62 |
| 121 | Paul Kropp | 4 | Implementati on | Roadways of Signficance | Presented after posting - bring forward to Council for review. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| 122 | Paul Kropp | 4 | Implementati on | Financially Constrained List does not contain all the proposed projects shown on Map TR12 | Not every possible project into the future is identified or funding identified. Not all projects on the project list are expected to be the City's projects. The GMA discusses a 10 year financially constrained plan. Often major infrastructure plans can extend for a much longer planning horizon. Examples are Spokane International Airport's or Spokane Transit Authority's projects mentioned or the North Spokane Corridor and other State projects. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-62 |
| 123 | Paul Kropp | 4 | | Financially Constrained List does not contain all the proposed projects shown on Map TR13 | I-195 corridor is stated in the plan as a corridor to re- examine. Primarily because of responsibility and cost considerations. It for the most part does not have capacity issues. | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-62 |
| 124 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 1 | Shouldn't a "new focus on broader user groups" for the ADATransition Plan be a near- term city project? Deferred? Does this imply development of performance measures will be deferred to 2020 or beyond? No measure exists for "system wide integration" | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 125 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 2 | | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 126 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 3 | Maintenance and refinement of the Concurrency Management System is not listed? Should the LOS standard be evaluated in the 3-5 year time frame. | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 127 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 4 | An updated set of street design standards is needed to accomplish the goal of this policy. | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 128 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 5 | Will "high-priority active transportation projects" or "a network of low vehicle volume, bike-friendly routes" or "gaps in the bicycle network" be done within the next 5 years? | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 129 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 6 | Why are there no street | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 130 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 8 | | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 131 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 9 | Isn't the development of "great streets" dependent on update of current street design standards. Shouldn't ITS be assigned a near-or mid-term project? | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 132 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 10 | What are "access management strategies" for arterials and when will they be looked at? | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 133 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 11 | Wouldn't the specifications for these types of things be incorporated in updated street design standards or other development standards? | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 134 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 12 | Shouldn't an update of the 20 yr prioritization matrix occur starting at least by year 5? | | | No changes proposed. |
| 135 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 13 | An "urban context" street is nowhere defined elsewhere. If this "action" is meant to suggest streets in an urban context be designed as described, then that would be reflected in street design standards and the development code. No project mentioned in the matrices. | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 136 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 14 | Agrees with key actions | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 137 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 16 | Public works has a project underway. Sidewalk project being explored. | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|--|-----|--------------------|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 138 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 17 | Combine TR 16 & 17 as they have the same general subject: right-of-way adequacy and upkeep. | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 139 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 20 | Why no street design standards project identified? No project for bike-ped master plans within the next 5 years? | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 140 | Paul Kropp | 4 | TR 21 | No specific city projects by any department or division on the matter of traffic education and/or the general and health benefits of active transportation within the next 5 years? | Implementation Questions 124-140 | | No changes proposed. |
| 141 | Jennifer Nelson | 4 | | Opposes the Ray Street Crossover. The project is cost excessive, exceeds traffic needs, and negatively impact Ferris and Hazel's Creek open space. | An alternatives analysis of the Ray-Freya crossover alternatives will be conducted beginning Fall 2017. Propose changing the title of the project in the 20 year plan to "Ray-Freya Preferred Alternative". | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-82 |
| 142 | Ted Teske & the Southgate Neighborhood | 4 | | The Southgate Neighborhood requests that the proposed crossover be removed from the Arterial Map. | An alternatives analysis of the Ray-Freya crossover alternatives will be conducted beginning Fall 2017. Propose changing the title of the project in the 20 year plan to "Ray-Freya Preferred Alternative". | | Please refer to tracked changes version |

| # | Name | Ch. | Change Location | Summary of Proposed Change | Staff Discussion | Additional Discussion | How it Would Read |
|-----|--|-----|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Ted Teske & the Southgate Neighborhood | 4 | | Close the Roadways of Significance loophole by amending language to limit RoS projects to one in 6-yr CIP. Ensure the projects have no design or zoning impediments. | | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-74 |
| 143 | Ted Teske & the Southgate Neighborhood | 4 | | would also support proposed TR Goal E of the new Comprehensive Plan: "Evaluate transportation projects using objective criteria to reflect community | An alternatives analysis of the Ray-Freya crossover alternatives will be conducted beginning Fall 2017. Propose changing the title of the project in the 20 year plan to "Ray-Freya Preferred Alternative". | | Please refer to tracked changes version page 4-82 |