

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	General		Understood we were going to revise again in 2020, not 2025.	Statutory requirements state we should revise again in 2025, however the City can choose to do an update earlier.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	General		Asked staff to include a process to formally adopt (via ordinance) the Neighborhood Plans and Neighborhood Profiles.	Neighborhood plans and profiles do not meet state requirements for adoption by Ordinance, including identification of funding, infrastructure vetting, SEPA analysis, and concurrency planning. However, both the plans and the profiles are appendices to the Comprehensive Plan and will be adopted as part of the plan, giving them greater official acknowledgement.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	General		Asked if Plan Commission agreed with removed discussions. Feels discussions can be useful for City Council when making decisions.	Yes, Plan Commission has reviewed all of the changes to the document, including the modification of discussions. Many discussions have been restored as a result of public comments and Plan Commission review. Any discussions that have been reduced or removed have simply restated the policy, are out of date, or have not added to the understanding or requirements of the policy. Any discussions that increase understanding of the policy or could inform decision-making have been retained or restored.	No	-
1/12/2017	L. Kinnear C. Mumm	General		Document is difficult to find online. Both requested an obvious link on the City's website to the Comp Plan Update.	Staff will request an updated link from IT/Public Affairs on the main page and will discuss other options to increase the visibility of the plan online.	No	Yes

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	A. Waldref	General		"Shaping Spokane" as a name is not generally known and it isn't clear to the general public that it is the Comprehensive Plan.	Staff will increase the use and visibility of the word "Comprehensive Plan" in materials and marketing, as well as the document itself. However, significant capital and effort has been expended branding "Shaping Spokane", beginning 2013. Public Affairs have stated their desire to retain the name in some way.	No	-
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 1	Section 1.2	The addition of the word "seasonal" to discussion of the tribe's settlement is unnecessary.	Word will be removed.	Yes	Yes
1/12/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 1	Section 1.2	Asked if the temperature averages shown are tied to the horticulture zones.	Average temperatures shown are directly sourced from the National Weather Service.	No	-
1/12/2017	M. Fagan	Chapter 3	General	Asked if the Airport has had the chance to review the proposed changes.	Staff will forward the document to SIA administration. However, no change to the policy discussing impacts to the airport has been made and all other changes are minor and unlikely to effect the airport.	No	Yes
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 1.16	Council is not supportive of the updated language - requests the original language in Ordinance C35310 be restored. Following further discussion, Council requested staff provide them with the original ordinance language to compare.	The language to be used is that adopted by City Council: LU 1.16 Mobile Home Parks Designate appropriate areas for the preservation of mobile and manufactured home parks.	Yes	-
1/12/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	Following discussion of the elimination of the words "neighborhood-based" from language, L. Kinnear asked if additional review of the Design Review process should be undertaken.	Further review of the process will be added to the implementation matrix in the near term. The Design Review Board's responsibilities and operation are a function of Code and practice, not the Comp Plan itself.	Yes (Matrices)	

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart C. Mumm All	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	After lengthy discussion, Council directed staff to include two new District Centers, one located at NorthTown Mall (Wellesley and Division) and one located at Five Mile (Francis and Ash). After a question by staff, Council also directed staff to move the designation of the West Hills Neighborhood Center to align with the needs of that neighborhood's Neighborhood Planning.	Staff will make the prescribed changes to Policy LU 3.2 and map LU-1 accordingly. Staff will also undertake a public outreach process to ensure the public is adequately notified of this change. Notice will be mailed to affected properties, staff will meet with neighborhood councils, and meetings with local agencies (SRTC, STA) will be conducted.	Yes	
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 3	LU 4.5	C. Mumm is supportive of limited block length, cited effects on emergency response and addressing. Council is supportive of specific reference to SMC being removed.	Comment noted. If any change to the code is required, it will be done as part of the next periodic code update process. The block length in the policy and the block length in the SMC are consistent (SMC 17H.010.030.N)	No	-
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 6.8	Concerned that removing the specific requirements of school siting might allow future decision makers to remove school siting standards in the SMC.	The School siting standards are more appropriately contained within the SMC than the Comprehensive Plan, which is by nature general in language. Regardless, the remaining policy language still requires that the City have a process. The actual requirements of the process are a regional program and required by State law, not only a city program.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 3	LU 8.2	Asked that language be strengthened to require the County match City standards in Joint Planning Areas.	Related policy LU 10.2 includes the specific language requiring City standards for utilities, services, and roads, having the effect sought by Councilmember Mumm.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 3	LU 8.3	Wants the affordability of service extension to be considered as well.	Policy LU 9.1 includes provision for this consideration.	No	-

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 9.1	Requested the language include reference to the legal justification allowing Council to initiate and complete annexations.	Language will be added to Policy LU 9.1 to include a reference to the RCW section concerning annexations.	Yes	Yes
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	During discussion of potential effects of new center designations, staff proposed and Council requested additional policy language - "No LU or Zoning changes until subarea process occurs".	Staff will modify Policies LU 3.3 and LU 3.4 consistent with this request, to ensure that no land use or zoning changes occur in unplanned Centers or Corridors until the completion of a subarea planning process.	Yes	Yes
1/19/2017	B. Beggs	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	Does parking behind businesses in District Centers apply to Neighborhood Centers too?	Yes, the parking guidelines are the same for Neighborhood and District Centers.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	CFU 2.4	Impact Fees: Does the language change affect West Plains?	The changes to this policy were limited to streamlining of the discussion and did not result in a change in requirements. West Plains is not affected by the language change.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	CFU 3.5	Softening the language is better because the City does not have authority over the County standards but rather works in collaboration with the County.	Comment noted.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	3.6	Staff suggested this language was too specific and is more appropriately included in the SMC. Council agreed as long as the goal or policy remains. Council President doesn't want to undermine what the City has accomplished in the Water Plan, by opening up the potential for future undermining.	Staff recommends taking a deeper look at this in the code clean-up process or next update of the Comprehensive Plan. An implementation item has been included in the Implementation Matrix to look closer at possible updates to the Land Use and Capital Facilities chapters, in consideration of this and other issues.	No	

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	3.6	Ben suggested making sure the language in the Water Plan is consistent with the language in this section of the plan.	The Water Plan is consistent with the language in this section of the Comprehensive Plan. The Water Plan provides a reference document for consistency with the Comprehensive plan.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	3.6	Does this section reference the Water Map? It must be in place prior to UGA expansion.	The Water Service Areas Map is not referenced in this Policy. The Water Plan is adopted by reference in the CFP, and the Water Service Areas Map is CFU Map 12..	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	5.2	Water reduction: City is currently negotiating three interlocal agreements; it is important to make sure the conservation language is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.	Staff will consult with Scott Simmons or Dan Kegley to ensure consistent conservation language.		
1/19/2017	M. Fagan	CFP	Future Needs	Should we keep the ACA language, or broaden due to the uncertain future of the ACA?	Staff suggests updating the language. Change to <i>federal health care legislation</i> per Brian Schaeffer and Bobby Williams.	Yes	Yes
1/19/2017	B. Beggs	Chapter 6	H 1.18 and H 1.9	Mixed income housing should be required in all/each neighborhood throughout the City.	Mixed income housing is already addressed in several sections of the Housing Chapter, including Policies H 1.5, H 1.6, H 1.7, H 1.8, H 1.9, H 1.10, H 1.13, H 1.17, H 1.18.	No	
1/19/2017	M. Fagan	Chapter 6	H 1.18 and H 1.9	(Same as above)	See above response. Perhaps a recommendation in H 2, Quality Housing.	No	
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 7	General	Asked how the Chapter interfaces with the current Strategic Planning efforts.	Staff has included items in the Implementation Matrices related to future work on the Integrated Municipal Strategic Network.	No	

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	General	Where policies have been removed due to redundancies a cross-reference would be helpful.	In these cases Staff has included a cross reference in the explanation boxes pointing to the location of the similar policy(ies) that makes the removed policy redundant.	No	-
1/26/2017	M. Fagan	General	General	Asked if Risk Management department has been consulted on Policy changes.	Staff will perform outreach to Risk Management and ask for their input.	No	-
1/26/2017	A. Waldref	Chapter 7	ED 5.8	Concerned that changes to the policy were made according to the new Library Master Plan and that the Plan has not yet been adopted by the City.	Staff will contact the Library Board and ask for input on the Policy changes.		
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	ED 5.8	Changes to the policy should be approved by the Library board, not the Director of the Library.			
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	ED 8.3	Policy or discussion should mention that the River and the Spokane Falls is an important economic resource (e.g. tourism).	The discussion will be amended to include mention of the River and the Spokane Falls as an economic resource.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	ED 8.5	Doesn't agree with recent State desire to redevelop Brownfields for affordable housing uses.	The policy does not discuss affordable housing, rather it concerns clean-up of contaminated sites in general.	No	-
1/26/2017	C. Mumm All	Chapter 7	ED 8.5	Modifications to the policy have taken the focus from those sites that can be redeveloped. Following discussion the consensus was to restore the last sentence of the Discussion.	The last sentence will be restored to its original content.	Yes	Yes

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/26/2017	C. Mumm L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	Goal DP 2	Feels the original Goal was better than the revised Goal provided by the Focus Group.	Goals DP2 was modified according to the reorganization of the policies wherein historic preservation and urban design now fall under separate goals. The original language of Goal DP 2 has been incorporated into Goal DP 3, where historic preservation is now discussed.	No	-
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	DP 2.1	Strike "no eyesores" from final sentence of Discussion.	Staff will amend the discussion accordingly.	Yes	-
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	DP 2.2	Is there a better descriptor than "good" for urban design? Is there a better definition of "urban design?"	"Good" urban design is defined in the previous policy, DP 2.1, and further clarified in the discussion under Policy DP 2.2.	No	-
1/26/2017	All	Chapter 8	DP 2.1	Policy language needs further refinement.	Comment noted. Further clarification is requested from the commenter.	No	-
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8	General	Feels there are too many changes to this Chapter from the original. Further discussion indicated that a number of the changes concerned policies that were moved or combined rather than completely deleted.	Staff has recolored the chapter for Council review, showing those policies that were moved/combined in green.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	DP 2.5	New policy focuses too much on the character of a building but not the preservation of the building itself.	The Councilmember's concern will be addressed in a new policy, DP 3.12, Reuse of Historic Materials and Features.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8	DP 2.6	Policy should also address intensity of use and buffering/transition between uses.	The Policy and Discussion will be amended to identify those possible issues as well.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8	DP 2.19	Remove "small" from the first sentence of the Discussion. Policy should address all off-premises signage.	Staff will make the change.	Yes	Yes

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/26/2017	C. Mumm A. Waldref	Chapter 8	DP 2.21	The policy change made by the Focus group ignores the potential negative effects of lighting as well as the benefits.	Staff will modify the policy/discussion to maximize safety while minimizing negative effects of lighting.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	Policy under Goal 3	Requested new policy that favors deconstruction and reuse of historic building features and materials over destruction and disposal.	Staff will draft new language for consideration as Policy DP 3.12.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8	DP 3.12	Identification and preservation of cultural landmarks should also be a focus of policy. Following discussion with all, it was determined that restoration of the first sentence of the discussion should remain.	The first sentence will be restored.	Yes	Yes
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 10	Goal SH 4	Does the goal's consideration of "other classes" concern State or Federal designation of protected classes? Following discussion council felt no change was necessary.	Comment noted.	No	-
2/2/2017	M. Fagan	Chapter 10	Goal SH 4	The Goal/Chapter should reference the SMC Title on Human Rights.	A reference to Title 18 will be added.	Yes	Yes
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 10	General - Agriculture	Does the Comp Plan include provisions to protect the little remaining agricultural lands in the City?	Protection of agricultural lands is provided in the Land Use chapter, under policy LU 1.11.	No	-



2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 12	General	Concerned that the chapter should include a definition of parks. Specifically, she is concerned that parkways and greenways should not be considered "parks."	Parkways are identified in the Inventory of Parklands in the CFP and in map CFU5. Staff will forward this comment to Leroy Eadie at Spokane Parks and Recreation for input.	-	-
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	General	General	Asked about the ongoing Strategic Planning and how that will be addressed in the Comprehensive Plan.	Staff is working with the Strategic Planning group to ensure that the overall goals, visions, and policies of the Strategic Plan are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.	No	-
2/9/2017	Waldref / Mumm	4	TR Goal A	Perhaps add examples or a vignette into this section for clarification.		-	-
2/9/2017	All	4	TR Goal B/C	A general discussion on the use of city vs/ region.	Staff will review each instance. For the most part, we have attempted to focus the document on the city, as the actions should be geared towards the residents of the city.	Yes	Yes
2/15/2017	Mumm	4	Intro	Incorporate some of the older TR 1.1 language in the Balanced Transportation Approach section.	Staff agrees that it is important to not lose that material.	Yes	Yes
2/15/2017	Mumm	4		General comment, discuss "transportation facilities" rather than "Streets" - more inclusive.	Great point, will incorporate.	Yes	Yes
2/15/2017	Mumm	4	TR 3	In 2nd bullet, make sure that the text identifies the city as the driving force for the timing of infrastructure improvements.	Great point, will incorporate.	Yes	Yes
2/15/2017	Mumm	4		General comment, reference centers, corridors, etc as "designated" .	Great point, will incorporate.	Yes	Yes
2/15/2017	Mumm	4	TR 10	Identify roundabouts as traffic control.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes
2/15/2017	Mumm	4	TR 12	Make sure least-cost planning approach is defined, or add in additional discussion of benefits.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update							
Summary of City Council Comments							
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
2/15/2017	Mumm	4	TR 14	generalize language for "lower residential vehicle speeds", rather than specifying 20 mph.	Moved mention of 20 mph to sub-bullet that notes that other communities are using 20 on residential streets.	Yes	Yes

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
6/8/2016	Lori Kinnear	1	1.2	Asked that the section on poverty be updated with current figures and incorporated elsewhere or perhaps an appendix?	The Social Health chapter, Housing chapter, and others address poverty and its effects. Staff feels it is better addressed there, as the Comprehensive Plan is concerned with all parts of the community, not just those facing poverty. Specific demographic information would be very quickly out of date.	No	-
6/8/2016	Christy Jeffers	1	1.2	Discussed the process for determining the population projections and felt an explanation of the process and final numbers should be included in Chapter 1.	The Capital Facilities and Utilities Chapter will discuss population projections in detail, including the process used to determine a projected number of residents into the future.	No	-
6/8/2016	Greg Francis	1	1.7	Asked if the Ahwahnee Principles were available anywhere else in the document, if not he requested this section be included in an appendix.	The discussion of Ahwahnee Principles will remain a part of the document but will be included in an Appendix.	Yes	Yes
6/8/2016	Lori Kinnear	1		Could the Ahwahnee Principles be condensed?	Per the previous comment by Mr. Francis, the Ahwahnee Principles will be included in their entirety in an appendix.	No	-
8/11/2016	Christy Jeffers	2	Introduction - Design Review and Design Guidelines	Would like this discussion to include a reference to the Design Review Board and their role.	Staff agrees and will modify the second paragraph in the discussion to include a reference to the Design Review Board's purpose and role.	Yes	Yes
8/11/2016	Greg Francis	2		Proposed including a review of road designations for appropriateness (e.g. collector, arterial, etc.)	Staff will look into including an action item in the Implementation Table for Chapter 4 to address a possible analysis of the appropriateness of existing street designations.	Yes (Imp Table)	

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
6/8/2016	Greg Francis	3	LU 1.1 and 1.2	Felt that the population ranges were helpful and provided context and sizing between a neighborhood and a center.	Staff agrees that the population ranges should remain.	Yes	Yes
6/8/2016	Todd Beyreuther	3	LU 1.11	Asked if transfer of development rights were discussed anywhere else in the plan	Yes, Chapter 6 also discusses Transfer of Development Rights (TDR). The Implementation Chapter also includes an action item to study the possibility and value of the use of TDR.	No	-
6/8/2016	F.J. Dullanty	3	LU 1.14	Asked if the definition of nonconforming use is the same as the SMC?	The definition of nonconforming use is the same as SMC.	No	-
6/8/2016	Patricia Kienholz	3	LU 1.14	Asked why the policy specified "large areas of nonconforming uses?"	There are times when small areas of nonconforming uses may be created by the modification of standards or codes. However, the policy seeks to minimize those detrimental effects to property owners that occur when their property is now found to be "nonconforming" (e.g. it is very difficult to sell/improve). Creating some nonconforming uses is sometimes unavoidable - this policy seeks to minimize that effect.	No	-
6/8/2016	Christy Jeffers	3	LU 1.7	Asked if there are any mini-centers in the City and questioned the usefulness of the designation.	Yes, there are a number throughout the City. The policy itself discusses the utility of Mini-Centers. Furthermore, an action item has been identified in the Implementation Chapter to study the effectiveness of this designation.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
6/8/2016	Greg Francis	3	LU 3.2	Asked if neighborhood plans with design guidelines would lose weight by removing the reference to them in LU 3.2.	References to neighborhood design guidelines here and other places were removed because neighborhoods have not had the opportunity to develop them, nor are they likely to in the future. The time/expense of administering several different sets of design guidelines throughout the City would be prohibitive in any case.  In this specific case, neighborhoods were removed from the policy discussion because this policy specifically discusses Centers and Corridors, not Neighborhood standards.	No	-
6/8/2016	Todd Beyreuther	3	LU 3.2	Felt that listing the type of center after the location would be helpful (e.g. Southgate District Center).	Staff recommends leaving the words "District Center," etc., off because the lists indicate the location of the centers, not necessarily their names. Furthermore, the lists are separated out by the type of center, giving distinction to which type of center is being listed.	No	-
6/8/2016	Christy Jeffers	3	LU 8.5	Asked when the County is required to review the UGA's.	The Countywide Planning Policies call for a review of Urban Growth Area boundaries every eight years. Because this is already a requirement in the CWPPs, a specific Comprehensive Plan Policy calling for the same action is not necessary.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
10/12/2016		5		The elimination of text mentioning urban growth area should remain as some service systems serve portions of the UGA outside City Limits.	The UGA discussion was removed because our current population numbers do not include a breakdown of growth in the UGA. The PTAC report specifies countywide growth in the UGA, but does not provide a number for Spokane's UGA. Since those population figures were not available, they were not included in our discussion to provide those areas with services. Essentially we "know" how many people will be within our City limits so we limited our analysis to those boundaries.	No	-
10/12/2016	Lori Kinnear	5		Asked that the CFP have definition of park.	Staff recommends not defining the general term "park" as it can limit future funding and project potential. Rather, determination of what a "park" is should be left to a case-by-case basis.	No	-
10/12/2016	Greg Francis	5		Asked that the definitions of the different types of parks remains.	Per Councilwoman Kinnear and Greg's request, definitions of parks have been included as provided by the Park's Department.	Yes	Yes
10/12/2016	Todd Beyreuther	5		Asked about addressing Avista's "mesh" network in the document under Private Utilities.	Staff explained that each individual agency provided the information for their section, and this document is the compilation. Staff can reach out to Avista to ask if they are interested in including this information.	Yes	Yes

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
8/11/2016	Christy Jeffers	6	1.12	Wanted to be sure we are defining and using affordable versus low-income correctly.	Staff has conferred with CHHS and the terms are currently being used correctly in the comprehensive plan. Additional definitions have been included for affordable housing, Fair Housing , income levels, and Low Income Household to give further clarity to the discussion. Based on the use of the eighty percent of the countywide median household income for housing funding, language was changed from "around 80%" to "up to 80%".	Yes	Yes
8/11/2016	Greg Francis	6	1.3	Asked if the City currently provide incentives for employer-sponsored housing?	Staff could not find any such programs currently in place. The possibility for future study of this concept and its uses could be included in the implementation table for Chapter 6.	Yes (Imp Table)	
8/11/2016	Christy Jeffers	6	1.6	There is currently a process in place that could make this policy more succinct.	Comment noted, no changes necessary in text.	No	-
8/11/2016	Patricia Kienholz	6	2.2	Felt that the discussion was helpful to inform how the policy could be implemented.	The Plan Commission indicated that they generally supported keeping the discussion, consistent with staff recommendations. As such, the discussion will remain under the policy.	Yes	Yes

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
8/11/2016	Greg Francis	6	3.3	Wanted to know if the provisions in the last paragraph of the discussion were in the SMC.	<p>Per Plan Commission agreement, the last paragraph will remain in the document.</p> <p>Staff researched the current use of Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) and found that the Spokane Municipal Code does not currently include a program for TDR. While this policy calls for it, it has not yet been implemented. Staff recommends the text remain as shown to the Plan Commission and that TDR be further studied as an action item called for by the Implementation Chapter.</p>	Yes	Yes
8/11/2016	All (In Attendance)	6	3.4	Staff felt that the discussion should remain, contrary to the recommendation of the Focus Group. The Plan Commission indicated agreement.	The discussion will remain in the document.	Yes	Yes
8/11/2016	Chris Batten	6	General Comment	Expressed concern regarding the definitions of income related terms and providing housing opportunities for a spectrum of income levels. Other Commissioners echoes this concern.	Staff has worked to develop definitions for affordable housing, Fair Housing, income levels, and Low Income Household that are consistent with HUD, state regulations, and the City's Consolidated Plan. These definitions have been included in the glossary.	Yes (Glossary)	Yes



## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
7/13/2016	Lori Kinnear	7	ED 1.2	What is meant by "continue to support"?	The way the City currently supports these agencies is financial. However, by leaving the term "financial" out of the policy greater flexibility is given to the City to determine the nature of that support.	No	-
7/13/2016	Christy Jeffers	7	ED 3.4	Retain discussion for clarity on what a valued added business strategy is.	Staff agrees - the discussion in this case helps the reader understand the policy and defines the term "value added."	Yes	Yes
7/13/2016	Christy Jeffers	7	ED 3.6	Does this include "micro enterprises"?	The term "small businesses" includes micro enterprises.	No	-
7/13/2016	Christy Jeffers	7	ED 3.7	Retain discussion regarding trucks, traffic, and deliveries.	Staff agrees that this part of the discussion should remain.	Yes	Yes
7/13/2016	Dennis Dellwo	7	ED 5.8	Concerned about substituting "maintain" for "improve".	Staff feels "maintain" is the correct term as it represents an assertion by the Executive Director of the Library that access is currently good and should remain that way. Staff feels that "adequate" should remain because it ties logically to the discussion of Library level of service provided in Chapter 5, Capital Facilities and Utilities.	No	-
7/13/2016	Dennis Dellwo	7	ED 6.1	Deletion of this policy may give the impression that we are moving away from focusing growth in designated areas.	Even though the policy is being removed here the same need is addressed in Chapter 5, Capital Facilities and Utilities, as well as the requirements of GMA. Staff feels that a third repetition of this ideal is not necessary.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
7/13/2016	Patricia Kienholz	7	ED 7.3	Questioned using the term "lobby."	Discussion with Economic Development staff reinforced the idea that "lobby" is in fact the most accurate term here.	No	-
7/13/2016	Patricia Kienholz	7	ED 7.4 and 7.5	Suggested including a comprehensive list of economic development incentives.	Staff recommends not listing specific incentives because they change over time and new incentives are developed as old ones are no longer available.	No	-
7/13/2016	Todd Beyreuther	7	ED 8.1	Would like to see discussion expanded.	Staff re-evaluated the changes made to this discussion and feel its adequate to understand the policy and its effect.	No	-
7/13/2016	Chris Batten	7		Also would like to see a comprehensive reference to available incentives.	The Comprehensive Plan will be updated next in 2025. Incentives are likely to change before then. See above response.	No	
6/22/2016	Todd Beyreuther	8	DP 2.17	Worried about removing the language regarding amortization.	Staff agrees that the sentence can remain.	Yes	Yes
6/22/2016	Todd Beyreuther	8	DP 2.18	Worried about encouraging this type of advertising.	This policy was subject of substantial public discussion and input. Staff recommends leaving it as is for now.	No	-
6/22/2016	Todd Beyreuther	9	New Policy	Addressing carbon issues.	While the Comprehensive Plan does not directly identify the issue of greenhouse gasses and carbon, state and federal law requires that the City address the effects of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases on the environment. As a result, the City has conducted several studies and programs on carbon issues that are publicly.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
7/13/2016	Christy Jeffers	10	SH 2.9	Change the title of this policy as there are no "exceptions" to fair housing.	Staff researched the requirements of the Fair Housing Act and the State Housing Policy Act and there are, in fact, some limited instances where those Acts may not apply protection to certain populations/housing. These exceptions are specifically called out in those laws and are reflected in this policy. Regardless, staff recommends minor changes to the discussion to avoid the use of "group home," replacing that term with "institutional housing facilities."	Yes	Yes
7/13/2016	Dennis Dellwo	10	SH 3.7	Dennis Dellwo said rather than deleting the policy perhaps we need to change to establish an arts commission.	Staff reviewed this policy and determined that minor additions to Policy SH 1.1 would adequately address this policy and that policy SH 3.7 should still be removed from the document. Furthermore, Staff has coordinated with Laura Becker of the Spokane Arts Commission who had some other minor edits to policy SH 1.1.	Yes	Yes
7/13/2016	Todd Beyreuther	General Comment		Is it possible to cross reference by number when policies are moved within the document.	Where policies were moved within a given chapter the red boxes include the specific policy number that was moved and from where. However, in those cases where a policy was removed due to redundancy, it isn't always possible to reference a given policy that replaced it because sometimes a topic was already discussed in multiple locations.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
7/13/2016	Greg Francis	General Comment		Can RCW and WAC references also be cross referenced rather than removed?	Staff agrees and will replace those references where they have been deleted.	Yes	Yes
7/13/2016	Greg Francis Dennis Dellwo Todd Beyreuther	General Comment		Expressed concern that the cumulative effect of these small changes will result in a different direction and intent than the original plan.  They also felt that by streamlining the discussions and moving so many policies the document and policies lose context and nuances that are important to setting the tone of the document.	Staff has been very careful throughout the process of editing to avoid the removal of any key information or discussions that would add to the value, effect, or intent of the document as a whole. To aid reviewers in determining the extent of cumulative effects on the document that could result from the various changes made, staff has provided a "formatted" version of the various chapters, allowing seamless reading and consideration of the document as a whole.	No	-
1/11/2017	Patricia Kienholz	3	LU 1.16	Ms. Kienholz asked what laws prevent the movement of mobile homes.	City Attorney weighed in discussing both legal and practical barriers to moving mobile homes.	No	-
1/11/2017	John Dietzman	3	LU 1.16	Asked why the policy has been modified to not establish "mobile home zones."	Policy was approved by City Council and, as currently shown, the subject of a settlement agreement.	No	-
1/11/2017	Lori Kinnear	CFP	Fire LOS	Additional language is ambiguous without additional detail as to the requirements of the NFPA document.	Following further discussion, the language in the CFP has been updated to make the relationship between the City's Fire and EMS LOS and the standards of the NFPA clearer.	Yes	Yes
1/11/2017	Patricia Kienholz	CFP	Fire LOS	Document should include a direct reference to the NFPS document.		Yes	Yes

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/11/2017	John Dietzman	CFP	Parks Def.	Asked if Conservation Land indicates a relationship to Conservation Futures lands.	The definitions included in the CFP were provided directly by the Parks and Recreation Department and do not connote or imply any connection to Conservation Futures. Regardless, lands identified as Conservation Lands would be eligible for purchase and protection by Conservation Futures, if so desired.	No	-
1/11/2017	Todd Beyreuther	CFP	Utilities, Power	Acknowledged language from Avista but suggested we include language accomodating these technologies, regardless of source.	There is currently no language within the plan that would preclude such technologies from being installed in the City. Suggested language stating the City's encouragement for such technology would be out of place in the CFP as it is not a policy document - rather it is a repository of information on the various services and utilities in the City and the information used to develop their respective Levels of Service. Furthermore, the Policies of the Comprehensive Plan would not preclude these types of new technologies by any utility or service provider.	No	-
1/11/2017	Christy Jeffers	Wetlands Code Revisions	General	Asked that use of the term "will" be replaced throughout with "shall."	Functionally, the terms "will" and "shall" are equivalent. Each connotes a requirement.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/11/2017	Patricia Kienholz	Wetlands Code Revisions	General	Asked what standard staff was utilizing when making grammatical/capitalization changes.	Staff made every attempt to follow the general rules of spelling/grammar while matching the existing prevalent style of the SMC. Capitalization was checked according to the general concept that entities like the City government are capitalized while locations are not (e.g. the City has passed regulations for parks in the city).	No	-
1/25/2017	Jacob Brooks	2	Imp Matrices	Asked how matrices ensure that some policies aren't neglected.	The implementation matrices provide a clear visual indication of those policies where implementation has yet to occur. This is also part of the purpose of the sub-matrices. These matrices will be used to help inform the preparation of annual work plans.	No	-
1/25/2017	Jacob Brooks	2	Imp Matrices	Concerned that matrices might lead to accidental prioritization of one policy over another.	While some of the matrices limit the policies shown, the master list has every policy, ensuring that each is considered and discussed.	No	-
1/25/2017	Jacob Brooks	2	Imp Matrices	Asked if the biennial update would provide the opportunity to highlight those policies that require additional implementation. Could a benchmarking tool be added?	The biennial update will highlight policies that have not been implemented. The matrices in partnership with the integrated municipal strategic framework will aid the identification of implementation priorities and the development of annual work plans. Benchmarking tools are being considered.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/25/2017	Christy Jeffers	2	Imp Matrices	Indicated that Future Projects listed for policy LU 1.2 should be updated according to the recently completed Lincoln Heights center planning. Instead of the "Ongoing" column, could we add "Next Steps"?	These matrices are still undergoing minor edits and completion. Future projects is the column where "next steps" are placed. Upon closer evaluation the implementation example is not the best fit for this policy. Lincoln Heights Planning efforts have been removed as an implementation example. The more appropriate response would be SMC.	Yes	Yes
1/25/2017	Greg Francis	2	Imp Matrices	Asked how extensive outreach within the City has been during the preparation of these matrices.	In some cases, such as Capital Facilities and Utilities matrices, staff from other departments have helped inform the matrix. However, given the short time period remaining in the update process this wasn't possible for many of the tables. As such, direct consultation with concerned departments and agencies would be a key component for the next biennial update of the matrix.	No	-
1/25/2017	Greg Francis	2	Imp Matrices	Felt language in Capital Facilities was of poor tone and should be reviewed, specifically where deficiencies in policy were identified.	Staff will review the text related to that chapter and amend accordingly.	Yes	Yes
1/25/2017	Greg Francis	2	Imp Matrices	Appreciated the clear depiction of policies in the Ongoing matrix, without excess discussion or detail. Asked if all policies could be listed that way.	Every policy is listed in the Master Matrix, which will be an appendix to the Comprehensive Plan.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Plan Commission Comments

Workshop Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comments	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/11/2017	Greg Francis / Lori Kinnear	Map		Add a map, or to a map, the rail lines and spur lines.	Staff agrees with this change.	No	Yes
1/11/2017	Greg Francis	4	TR 4	Change "LEED" certification to be more generalized.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes
1/11/2017	Christy Jeffers	4	Modal Elements	Request to have reporting out on annual basis on results of non-motorized LOS/concurrency tests.	Staff notes this request and will work with PC to schedule appropriate time on calendar for reporting out.	No	-
1/11/2017	Greg Francis	Maps		Map TR 2 and TR 3, clarify that the map is showing projections, and perhaps clarify within text as well.	Staff agrees with this change.	No	Yes



## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
9/13/2016	Avista	Chapter 5		Two new goals/policies proposed. One recognizing maintenance needs, one on continued cooperative efforts between Avista and the City of Spokane.			
5/25/2016	Paul Kropp	Chapter 11	Goal 8	Replace the word "city's" with "adjacent" in the N8 Goal.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes
8/23/2016	Avista	Chapter 5	CFU 4.3	Suggested language "encouraged to convert existing overhead distribution lines<60kv to underground lines". Also "These potential benefits, therefore, should etc". Would be helpful to leave in to help the public understand that cost can be a factor.	Staff agrees and changes were made in both instances.	Yes	Yes
9/30/2016	Food Policy Council	Chapter 10	SH 7.1	Add language "Revise building codes to loosen permits on agricultural structures".	Building codes to support public safety and health prevent loosening building codes on agricultural structures.	No	-
9/30/2016	Food Policy Council	Chapter 10	SH 7.2	Add language "Establish a provision for food landscaping on City land and develop infrastructure to support it".	Staff will add an implementation matrix item to analyze the viability for food landscaping on City land.	No	Yes
9/30/2016	Food Policy Council	Chapter 10	SH 7.3	Add "Map the food deserts in the City".	Staff will add this comment to the implementation matrix for the chapter.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
9/30/2016	Food Policy Council	Chapter 10	SH 7.3	Add "Lower water rates for the different classifications of growing food; include agricultural impacts in water use decisions & re-evaluate water use policies".	Staff will add researching the effects of lowering the water rates for the different classifications of growing food to the implementation matrix. The latter part of the sentence regarding water use decisions and water use policies may better fit with the discussion in Natural Environment and will be added to the implementation matrix.	No	Yes
9/30/2016	Food Policy Council	Chapter 10	SH 7.3	Add "Support opening grocery stores in food deserts (e.g. tax incentives, density bonuses, parking requirement reductions).	Added to implementation matrix.	No	Yes
9/30/2016	Food Policy Council	Chapter 10	SH 7.4	Add "Develop a no net loss of agricultural land policy".	Added to implementation matrix.	No	Yes
10/12/16	Paul Kropp	Chapter 5		Park "Roadmap to the Future" Plan, not found on website.	Staff has reached out to Garrett Jones with the Parks Department regarding the availability of their document online (10/13/16) and he stated he would take care of it. This is now available online.	No	Yes
10/12/16	Paul Kropp	Chapter 5		Scrap completely all the discussion of the adoption and consequences of the County's population projections for the 2017 comp plan review/update. Just state the facts.	After reviewing the referenced language, staff feels that a detailed explanation of past and current population projections is needed to adequately explain the City's capacity to provide services and accommodate anticipated growth at the adopted level of service standards.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	General		Questions replacement of e.g. with full, for example.	Staff chose to limit abbreviations in the text, as this is a formal document. Additionally, time prohibitive to go back through two entire documents.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	General		Please reference SMC or WAC numbers.	Staff has completed this in response to a similar Plan Commission comment.	Yes	Yes
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	General		Concerned with limiting the discussions, generally.	Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 2		General concern regarding bulk of matrices within text of chapter two.	Consideration has been given to the length of the matrices. As a result, the Near and Mid-term and Ongoing matrices will be located in Chapter 2 and the Master and Future matrices will be located in Appendix 5.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 2		"From which" includes all the local laws that citizens and <b>their</b> city government must follow." to which" includes all the local laws that citizens and <b>the</b> city government must follow." I think that government is our government and that the use of "their" gives some ownership to it.	Staff recommends limiting the use of possessive and personal pronouns in the document and would like to maintain consistency.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 3	1.12	Would like to see "The following facilities..." sentence remain.	The clean version already contains this text. This is one of the instances of staff re-inserting discussion material where appropriate.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 3	1.14	Would like to see a definition of "nonconforming uses" within the discussion of the policy.	A definition of nonconforming uses has been folded into the policy discussion.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 3	1.15	I think there should be a comma between "properties" and "industrial" in the sentence "Because of their low building occupancies and similar impacts on adjoining properties industrial uses are generally considered to be compatible with aviation facilities."	A comma is appropriate and has been added.	Yes	Yes
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 3	3.6	The removal of "neighborhood-based" is ok but would it be possible to include something like "approved design guidelines" or "applicable design guidelines" versus just "design guidelines" to strengthen the policy a little?	The addition of "approved" or "applicable" is implied. Adding it only adds unnecessary specificity.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 4	6.4	With regards to the text "If a school district is to collect impact fees for new schools, the school facilities must be reflected in the city's Capital Facility Program (CFP)," I'm wondering if this applies to Spokane or not. It seems like we should have the specific answer yes or no and that should be reflected in this text rather than being vague on whether it applies to Spokane or not.	This policy lays the groundwork for the school districts to make decisions regarding whether or not to charge impact fees in the future, per state law. Staff suggests keeping this as is.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 11	N2	When text is removed because it's located in multiple locations, it would be nice if the places where it is deleted could reference the other location so people could get that additional descriptive text since they may not realize that it's covered in more detail in another chapter. Perhaps something like "See the Land Use chapter for more details on Neighborhood Centers" would help the reader.	This speaks to the larger cross-referencing issue previously identified. The workload required to find and catch all of these instances would likely exceed our remaining time/resources for limited utility. Additionally, the Comprehensive Plan is to be considered as a whole, not it's individual pieces. The document is term searchable online as well. For review purposes a note will be added referencing the chapter where additional information may be found.	No	-
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 11	N2.6	This is a case where I understand the desire to not be redundant between chapters of the same item, but the item is relevant to multiple chapters. I would like to suggest that "N 2.6 Housing Options" remain and that it simply reference H2 in the Housing Chapter.	This was a focus group recommendation to remove, as it was redundant on two levels. Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Fire Chief Bobby Williams (and Ben Stuckart)	CFP	5.6 (old) Section II	Additional language provided re: EMS and Fire Levels of Service	Text was inserted. Sets the stage for future discussions of LOS but does not have immediate functional change to stated LOS.	Yes	Yes

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Community Assembly	General		Neighborhoods seem to be removed throughout the plan, including references to neighborhood planning.	Neighborhoods and references to neighborhood planning remain prominent throughout the plan. The neighborhood planning process was removed because it is not considered a policy but rather an implementation measure. The process has also changed numerous times, rendering the Comprehensive Plan language outdated. The current neighborhood planning process is formally documented and adopted under two City Council Resolutions, RES 2008-0100 and 2011-0100.	No	-
1/3/2017	Community Assembly	General		Change of the document from a visioning document to a planning document may decrease reader involvement and make it more difficult to access for residents and neighborhood councils.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. The document was and remains both a visioning and planning document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Community Assembly	General		The language changing citizens to customers does a disservice to residents.	Specific instances of this were related to policy discussions of citizens as customers. Many references to citizens remain throughout the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Community Assembly	General		The removal of redundancy and examples reduce clarity and in many cases remove the visionary aspect of the plan, as well as making it more difficult for residents to understand.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	General		Keep the GMA goals.	GMA goals have been retained in the appendix in their entirety.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	6.2	CFU 6.2 provide both clarity and context so I recommend they be retained.	Staff agrees that the discussion informs the policy and can remain.	Yes	Yes
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	8.1	I suggest keeping the last sentence of the first paragraph in the discussion section, 'These benefits...to...potential businesses and residents.' As it supports the vision.	Staff agrees this sentence informs the policy and can remain.	Yes	Yes
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 5	Add the words, 'and abilities.' after 'all ages.'	Staff agrees that the language would strengthen the policy.	Yes	Yes
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13		Page 6 – The second paragraph is not even a sentence.	Vision and values were not amended in this update.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13	2.2	Should have neighborhood dialogue added as an avenue for civics education.	Consider for inclusion in implementation matrices.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Intro	pg. 7	Keep the paragraph "Spokane's natural setting".	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Intro	pg. 9	Keep GMA goals in text.	GMA goals have been retained in the appendix in their entirety.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Intro	pg. 13	Keep NE 5.2, 5.12, and 18.1 as reference to Transportation.	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 1	pg. 5	In the chapter titled "Spokane's ambitions for the Future" please keep the portion of the first paragraph that contains, "the comprehensive Plan attempts two key achievements..." To the end of the last paragraph in that section, it creates context.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 1	pg. 8	Keep the section that starts "Sadly, much of the area's sanitary...." As it shapes a real problem that must be recognized and addressed at some point.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 1		Mixed feelings about moving the Horizons process to the appendix.	This information is located in the appendix, in its entirety.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 1		Keep AHWAHNEE principles in text.	The discussion of Ahwahnee Principles will remain a part of the document but will be included in an Appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 2	pg. 3	Grammatical suggestion "on" instead of "to". Keep "example of zoning consistency".	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 2		Add "and must be reflected through local administration of the Building Code" at the end of the edited first paragraph on that page.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3		Growth of the City: keep or rewrite to show how the city has grown in the past, the issues that has caused and what future growth needs to consider.	Consider for inclusion in next update.	No	-



## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 6	Keep the reference to neighborhood planning in the second paragraph.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. The planning process steps in N.8 were eliminated as discussed in line 28 above, so this reference was also eliminated. However, the remaining N.8 neighborhood planning section remains with numerous references to neighborhood planning.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3		Keep land use planning goals and Countywide Planning Policies.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3		Keep the mention of Horizon Process.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	L.U. 1.7	Keep the first paragraph, 'Mini-centers established...' and change 'should' to 'can', if you must.	The current neighborhood planning process doesn't guarantee or require that this will happen. This issue can be discussed during the larger mini-center discussion itemized in the Chapter 3, Policy 1.7, Land Use Implementation Matrix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 1.9	Keep the first sentence, 'Recognizing the direct relationship...' as that relationship is key.	The Focus Group modified this policy to strengthen the language and provide greater emphasis on the importance of downtown.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	Keep the phrase, 'of the comprehensive Plan or a neighborhood plan.'	This reference to Neighborhood Plans was removed because neighborhoods may not have design guidelines or the opportunity to develop them. The Spokane Municipal Code, however, contains all of the City design guidelines currently in place.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 22, LU 3.2	Keep the reference to the neighborhood planning process. Perhaps by adding the words. 'in conjunction with the neighborhoods' in the first paragraph. In the second paragraph add, 'or a neighborhood planning process' after 'approved sub area,'.	Changes to this policy were made to clarify that approval responsibility for any new center designation rests with the City. A designation can be initiated through the City or private interest, so the wording used here helps to guarantee an inclusive process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 24, LU 3.6	Keep the words 'neighborhood based' in the first paragraph.	Neighborhoods may not have design guidelines or the opportunity to develop them. However, should they ever be adopted, the words "design guidelines" means all design guidelines, <i>including</i> neighborhood based design guidelines.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 25, LU 4.4	In LU 4-4 add, 'with the intention of creating a more walkable city.' at the end of the last sentence.	This policy addresses connectivity, but comment could be considered for inclusion in next update. The policy directives throughout the comprehensive plan already strongly support a more walkable city.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 26, LU 5.1	Changes to LU 5.1 more confusing.	The focus group modified the language for clarity and to read better.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 5.4	Keep the vision and values sentence.	The "Visions and Values document" referenced here is not the same as the Visions and Values statements in the Comprehensive Plan. It was a separate document developed prior to development of the Comprehensive Plan and varies slightly from the adopted Visions and Values statements in the Comprehensive Plan. Staff believes this was inadvertently left in the document in 2001. The Visions and Values remain for all chapters in the plan.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 6.4	Change word 'continue' to 'expand'.	The focus group made this change based on the process that is in place today. The School District meets regularly with the City on planning issues. A representative of the School District was a member of the focus group and provided valuable input and information on the subject. However, this could be revisited in the next review of the land use chapter.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 29/31, LU 6.8	I recommend keeping the original wording with the addition of the new as this creates context and does not force the reader to go look up the Spokane Municipal Code.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. The siting process itself is an implementation item that implements the policy, rather than being a policy unto itself.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 6.9	Reads as though the cost is more important ensuring new buildings fit their existing surroundings. Keep original wording.	This is not what the policy implies. It does present a balance between design and cost, which is always a necessary consideration.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 6.13	Keep	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 8.1			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 8.2	Keep old and new wording.	The policy was re-written for clarity and to read better.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 8.3	I would add 'every five years' after '...an inventory of the buildable land...'	GMA requirements have changed over the years, but now require a UGA review every 8 years, in conjunction with updates to jurisdictions' comprehensive plan updates.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 9.4	Keep the list of services.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 36	I commend this section for its clarity in dealing with a thorny issue!	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5		Keep GMA goals in text.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	pg. 11	Did not see a second version of the chart. Should stay.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 1.4	Should keep old and new parts.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this,	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 1.5	Should stay		No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 1.7	Should stay for clarity although the removal of, 'storm drainage' does not sacrifice clarity.	and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	pg. 16	Leave the first paragraph that starts, 'Public facilities for which impact fees can be applied....' Provides clarity unless this list has changed, then the changed list should be made available here.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 2.7	Keep	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	pg. 20	Not sure why specifying where cables can be run is too specific.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 5.2	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 5.3			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 5.8	Keep the discussion.	Staff recommends removing this policy because it is a verbatim discussion from the Countywide Planning Policies and therefore redundant.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 5	CFU 6.2	The strikeouts at the top of the page and discussions should be kept.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this,	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	Introduction	Keep	and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6		Keep the GMA goals.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1	Change 'is' to 'are'.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.3	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.3	Add that 'one of the benefits is maintaining walkability in the city.'	Consider for inclusion in next update. The policy directives throughout the comprehensive plan already strongly support a more walkable city.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.12	Keep the permitting process section.	Some policies were removed because they are redundant with policies in other chapters. This was done for the purposes of condensing the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.12	Add 'the permitting process should be consistent across all departments.'	Some policies were removed because they are redundant with policies in other chapters. This was done for the purposes of condensing the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.18	Keep original and revisions.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	pg. 13	Keep ADU examples.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.22	Keep the discussion.		No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H2.1	Keep		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H 2.3	Keep discussion, relevant to District Model Experiment.	Not relevant to District model work.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	gma	Keep	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 1.1	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 1.4	Include some discussion of the need to enhance transit service and improve walkability.	The Transportation Chapter 4 includes goals that discuss this.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 2.1	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 2.2			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 2.3			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 2.4			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 3.1			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	pg. 16	In the discussion section the portion, 'Determining the best balance of industry...to...economy and provide long-term economic benefits.', should be kept.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 3.3	Keep the discussion.		No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 3.5	Keep the stricken.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	pg. 17	Keep the stricken.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 4.2	Keep the discussion.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 4.2	Add benchmarking educational needs and the use of a 'vibrant community' survey to get resident input and engagement.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 4.3	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 5.3	Keep examples.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 5.4			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 5.4	Add benchmarking as additional example.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 5.7	Agree with the purpose of edits, but want to see reference to transportation.	Please refer to Transportation Chapter 4. This policy was removed because it is addressed in Transportation. Staff will include a reference in Chapter 7 to direct the reader to Chapter 4.	Yes	
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 6.3	Add reference to the importance of enabling citizen access and use of advanced technology be included.	The policy refers to advanced technology for business purposes in order to keep them up to date and competitive. Citizen access to technology is address in other policies in this Chapter, including multiple policies in ED 5, Education and Workforce Development.	No	-



## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 7.4	Keep examples.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 7.6			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 8.1	Keep stricken.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 8.3	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 7	ED 8.4	Keep original.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	pg 5/6	Keep the wording from the last paragraph starting with , 'The essence of the features that make... to ...for the particular development.'		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	GMA	Keep	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 1.1	May be redundant, but I'd like to see it stay.	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 2	Keep stricken.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 2	Add walkability as well as livability.	Outside the scope of this update process and walkability is addressed in several places within the Comprehensive Plan, in particular Transportation and Land Use.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	pg. 11	Keep the description of the Design Review Process.	The Design Review Process was moved to DP 2.8 and is also discussed in the introduction to Chapter 2, Implementation.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 2.6	Keep original and revisions.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 3.2	Redundant, but keep.	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 3.3			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 3.4			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 3.5			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 2.12	Keep original and revisions.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 2.21	Keep examples.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 3.8	New language weaker than original.	Tax programs are only one form of support for historic preservation, so the focus group changed this policy to broaden the scope.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 3.12	Keep original and revisions.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.1	Redundant, but keep.	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.2			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.3			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.4			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.5			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.7	Keep discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 8	DP 6.8	Keep		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	pg. 7	Add the fifth paragraph, 'Spokane's natural setting is stunning... to ...paths, will be included in conservation lands.' As it speaks to the vision.		No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	GMA	Keep	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	NE 5.2	Redundant, but keep.	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	NE 5.2	Add walkability.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	NE 5.6	Keep	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this,	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	NE 18.1			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 9	NE 18.1	Add walkability.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	Intro	Keep	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	Intro	Add that asset mapping should become a city-wide strategy utilizing neighborhood involvement.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	GMA	Keep	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	pg. 6	Keep third paragraph.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 1.5	Keep the discussion.		No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 1.6	Keep and redo to include Amber Waldref's work.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	pg. 8	Keep the last paragraph's last sentence.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 1.8	Keep discussion.	Focus group change and the discussion was eliminated for the purpose of condensing the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 2.2	Keep examples.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 2.4	Definition should be rewritten to include both original and new language.	The Focus Group modified this policy to make it clearer and removed the discussion because it was unnecessary.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 3.5	Keep the second paragraph discussion.	The wording was eliminated as it is out of date.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 3.6	Keep discussion, except last two sentences.	The Focus Group rewrote this policy for clarity. The discussion was also reduced for streamlining purposes.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 3.8	Keep the word 'will'.	staff/focus group change for clarity	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 4	Re-write and shorten.	Focus group change to improve readability.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 4.1			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 4.2			No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 5.3	Keep last sentence.	Focus group change to improve readability.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 10	SH 6.7	Move second paragraph to chapter 2 implementation.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	intro	Neighborhood planning process should stay.	The neighborhood planning process is ever evolving is not best placed in this document. The process is adopted by City Council Resolutions 2008-0100 and 2011-0100. The focus group suggested the change for clarity of the documents purpose.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	GMA	Keep	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 2	Does not seem to be about neighborhoods, but downtown. Replace the word neighborhood with downtown.	This policy is specific to neighborhoods.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 2.2	Keep the last paragraph's last sentence.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 2.6	Keep	Redundant - covered in housing chapter.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	pg. 13	Keep second paragraph in the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	pg. 13	Add language on walkability.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 4.7	Keep discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 4.12			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 6.1			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N8	Keep.	The planning process was removed since it is an implementation item, not a policy. The planning process is adopted by Resolution 2009-0100 and 2011-0100.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 8.2	Keep original language.	The planning process was removed since it is an implementation item, not a policy. The planning process is adopted by Resolution 2009-0100 and 2011-0100.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 11	N 8.7	Keep.	The Focus Group decided to remove this discussion as it is already covered in other parts of the Comprehensive Plan and the policy is self-explanatory. Furthermore, the discussion included excessive detail that may not occur. In addition, part of the discussion is out of date.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	GMA	Keep.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 1.3	Keep.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 1.4	Keep.	This policy had not been revised.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 2.1	Keep neighborhood references.	The Focus Group broadened this policy to concern city-wide amenities, not just neighborhoods.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 2.3	Add reference to open space.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 2.4	Add reference to open space.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 3.1	Keep original and revisions.	The Focus Group modified this policy to connect it to adopted plans rather than a standalone requirement.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 3.1	Add the increased walkability such linkages will create.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 5.2	Add the words 'and desires' after needs.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 12	PRS 5.8	Keep and change the title.	Focus group change and outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13	LGC 1.1	Keep discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this,	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13	LGC 1.3			No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13	LGC 2.3	Not redundant.	Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13	LGC 4.5			No	-



## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 13	LGC 7.1	Keep discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Patrick Rooks	Chapter 2		Most of the changes eliminate redundancies or make it more readable.	Comment supports streamlining.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Patrick Rooks	Chapter 3	LU 1.14	Deleted the discussion of nonconforming uses because the term “nonconforming” was self-explanatory. While it may be self-explanatory, a little bit of explanation or background would be helpful for the average person to understand what nonconforming uses are and how they come about.	Staff agrees that the term nonconforming use and its effects can be further informed by discussion. A new discussion for this policy has been proposed.	Yes	Yes
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Julie Banks	Chapter 6	Vision and Values	Add affordable housing section after values bullet points.	Vision and values were not amended in this update. Adding a section on affordable housing is outside the scope of this update process.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Arielle Anderson	Chapter 7		The section on Revitalization Opportunities deletes specific references to neighborhoods. Making it more streamlined. Don't know why this would be an issue.	Comment supports streamlining.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Arielle Anderson	Chapter 7	7.1	Add neighborhood councils and other neighborhood organizations.	Outside the scope of this update process.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Fran Papenleur	Chapter 5	CFU 1.4	Keep the whole paragraph.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander (Fran Papenleur	Chapter 5	CFU 6.1	Not sure the term deteriorated is better than older.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Mark Davis	Chapter 8		The chapter eliminates the design review boards ability to oversee projects and removes neighborhoods from the process. The chapter even proposes changes to zoning to allow developers to build what they want.	The requirements and responsibilities of the Design Review Board have not been modified as a result of this update. Design Review staff have been consulted during this update. There are no recommendations for zone changes in this chapter.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	Chapter 10	10.2	Moving to appendix is good decision for concise and clear direction.	Comment supports streamlining.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	General		Generalized concern with removal of human and community language.	Staff recommends limiting the use of possessive and personal pronouns in the document and would like to maintain consistency.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	Chapter 10	general	Removal of the mention of community and the human element.	Community remains prevalent throughout the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	Chapter 10	intro	Removed mention of communities involvement and role in the plan.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand.	No	-

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	Chapter 10	SH 1.2	Removed the community/human piece.	Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	Chapter 10	SH 1.4	Condensing has diluted the policy.	Focus group change to strengthen and broaden the policy.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander /Valena Arguello	Chapter 10	SH 1.6	Removing the discussion makes it less concrete.	Comment noted, no change required.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Andrew Hoye	Chapter 11	2.4 & 2.5	Please keep discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander/Andrew Hoye	Chapter 11	4.7 & 4.12	OK to remove.	Comment supports streamlining.	No	-
2/3/2017	Mary Carr	General		Should replace every instance of the word "citizen" with the word "resident" as the comp plan should concern everyone who lives and works here, not just legal US residents.	Merriam-Webster defines citizen as "an inhabitant of a city or town;  Staff has decided to insert language at the beginning of the glossary that will refer to the dictionary definition of words/terms not defined in the glossary.	Yes	
1/26/2017	Amanda Mansfield, SRTC	4	Modal elements	Add additional detail regarding LOS, and what Spokane is allowing.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

### Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
2/14/2017	Kathleen Weinand, STA	4	1-20 / TR 5 & 1-22/TR 6	Implement a network of low-volume, bike-friendly routes throughout the city. Clarify that "low-volume" is referring to low volumes of motor vehicle traffic.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes
2/14/2017	Kathleen Weinand, STA	4	1-22 / TR 7	Work with STA to increase neighborhood accessibility to transit through bus stop siting and bus stop design. Suggest changing to - Work with STA to plan for access to bus stops and consider the location and design of bus stops in site design where appropriate.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes
2/14/2017	Kathleen Weinand, STA	4	1-32	I would not suggest that reducing fares will increase ridership. I don't think that this is proven.	Great point, and we agree. Re-wrote paragraph to better reflect ways to facilitate transit use.	Yes	Yes
2/14/2017	Kathleen Weinand, STA	4	Map TR 6	We will likely be updating our High Performance Transit Map to merge the green and red lines into one category. I suggest just showing all HPT lines as one color for now or just not including this map and include a reference to our map so it will always be updated.	Staff will provide an updated map to show all HPTN routes in one color.	No	Yes
2/15/2017	WSDOT	4	Modal elements	Add in discussion re: US 195 and need for additional study on local access road section between Cheney/Spokane Rd and Thorpe Rd.	Staff agrees with this change.	Yes	Yes

## Shaping Spokane Participant Comment Log - October, 2016

ID	Location	Comment Summary	Staff Notes
1	Open House: Downtown	Implement a parking program for scooters on sidewalks at bike racks.	Comment noted.
2	Open House: Downtown	Stop urban sprawl, say no to developers.	Comment noted.
3	Open House: Downtown	Concerned that combining similar policies from different chapter loses the context and nuance of the specific differences in policies as they apply to different values and goals.	Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.
4	Open House: Downtown	Would like to see the river corridor 'used' more and not just protected.	Comment noted.
5	Open House: District 2	Need to offer outreach and advertise about what makes Spokane great.	Comment noted.
6	Open House: District 2	Homelessness issues	Comment noted.
7	Open House: District 2	Where is aviation specifically mentioned in the comp plan?	Transportation Chapter, and Land Use Map
8	Open House: District 2	Real estate agent praised the city's effort in this comp plan exercise.	Comment noted.
9	Open House: District 2	Wife of a physician commented they struggle and may move because there is too much Medicaid in Spokane.	Comment noted.
10	Open House: Northeast	Plant more native species and Ponderosa Pines specifically.	Comment noted.
11	Open House: Northeast	Concerned about maintaining housing stock, both existing and historic.	Comment noted.
12	Open House: Northeast	Concerned about absentee landlords and ownership.	Comment noted.
13	Open House: Northeast	Landlords should be required to have a business license, then if house(s) not maintained, they lose their license.	Comment noted.
14	Open House: Northeast	Eviction process is too slow.	Comment noted.
15	Open House: Northeast	Effective mediation between tenant and landlord.	Comment noted.
16	Open House: Northeast	Food Policy group would like more information in the social health chapter.	Comments have been added to the implementation chapter.
17	Open House: West Central	Taking the heart out to make a planning document.	Comment noted.
18	Open House: West Central	Infill perimeters, core or over whole city?	Comment noted, infill development work plan to address.
19	Open House: West Central	Time sequence the light at Regal and Palouse so it stays green longer northbound in the a.m. and vice versa in the p.m.	Comment noted and forwarded to Streets Department.

# Shaping Spokane Open House Survey Results - October, 2016

Count	What sparked your interest?	How did you hear about this event?	Additional ed. sessions?	Ideas to implement the plan?	Important challenges within 5 years?
1		Community Assembly			
2		Spokesman Review			
3		email alert			
4	Participant in update	email alert			Frustration over the construction mess, increased demand/decreasing recharge of aquifer and Spokane River, Reigning in property crime, Housing/Health care/Transportation capacity and affordability, decaying bridges.
5	Neighborhood connections	Neighborhood council & email alert		Mobile grocery stores	jobs
6	Pedestrian issues	Co-worker	Yes	Make city more walkable	
7	Pedestrian issues	Co-worker	Yes	Make sidewalks more accessible, widen, remove poles, etc.	
8	Pedestrian issues	email alert	Yes		
9	Learning about comp plan	The City's Website / Agency		Attempt to add more mid price range apartments.	Attracting and keeping 20-30 year olds here.
10	Learning about comp plan	The City's Website	Yes		Traffic congestion
11	Love this City	Website/Spokesman/N. Council	Yes		Affordable housing issues.
12	Chair of NITNC	email alert	Yes	Core	Blight - homes boarded up - incentives to revovate and use for low income.
13		Walked by WCCC		Match non-profits needing space to vacancies in community centers.	Communication and collaboration.

14	Karen Carlberg - emailed	Always interested in city planning - email alert		City will continue adding new bike lanes where possible. Provide more resources for keeping bike lanes and shoulders free from debris to enhance safety. Create a new year-round public swimming pool. Attract ethical people to leadership positions. Overall very pleased with the new city comprehensive plan.	
----	--------------------------	--	--	---	--