State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

File No.	

WCE# 15-1409 Woodridge View 3rd Addition

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1.	Name of proposed project: Woodridge View 3 rd Addition				
2.	Applicant: Whipple Consulting Engineers, Inc				
3.	Address: 21 S. Pines Road				
	City/State/Zip: Spokane Valley, WA 99206 Phone: (509) 893-2617				
	Agent or Primary Contact: Todd R. Whipple, P.E.				
	Address:				
	City/State/Zip:Phone:				
	Location of Project:				
	Address:				
	Section:15_Quarter: Township:26_ Range: 42				
	Tax Parcel Number(s) <u>26155.0001</u>				
4.	Date checklist prepared: January 24, 2019				
5.	Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane				
6.	Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Project to begin construction in				
	Spring 2019. This construction may be phased due to market conditions.				
7.	 a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. Yes, a cluster known as Woodridge View 4th located across the boundary in Spokane County will be connected with this proposal 				
	b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain.				
	Woodridge View 2 nd Addition is currently being developed on the listed parcel number.				
	Adjacent parcels are 26142.9018, 26145.9060, 26145.9101, 26143.0092, 26143.0702, and				
	<u>26154.4612,</u>				
8.	List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared,				
	directly related to this proposal.				
	SEPA Checklist, Trip Generation & Distribution Letter, Concept Storm Drainage Report,				
	Geotech, Geohazard Evaluation.				
9.	Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly				
	affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.				

Yes, Woodridge View 4th, a cluster subdivision, will follow this application. Woodridge View 4th is in Spokane County, adjacent to Woodridge View 3rd, and Southeast.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
Preliminary Plat, Final Plat, SEPA, Building Permits, Water Plans, Sewer Plans, Storn
Drainage Plans, Street Plans, UIC Registration, Street Permit, Utility Permit, Street Tree Plan
and Clean Air Permit.
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the
project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain
aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.
Preliminary Plat that proposes to develop approximately 53.69 ac +/- of land into 138 single
family and attached single family lots, tracts, public streets, and utilities, with public streets
extending into Spokane County and additional streets in easements in open space to the North
of the project.
12. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location
of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known
If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide
a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you
should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed
plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.
The project is located on the hillside above Wieber Drive and extends by a road East into
Spokane County and South past the Spokane Water tower. The project is bounded by
Woodridge View 2nd Addition to the West and South, the Five-Mile plateau to the east and
undeveloped property to the north. The project is within section 15 of T 26 N, R 42 E WM. Giver
the number and length of the legal descriptions, the full legal description for the project site
within the parcel is provided on the preliminary plat of record.
13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service
Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA
Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.)
The project is located within the City of Spokane city limits, The ASA, and the General Sewel
service area

- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
- a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1)	Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for
	the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for
	the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount
	of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed
	of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of
	firefighting activities).
	This proposal will use stormwater disposal methods consistent with Spokane Regional
	Stormwater Manual (SRSM), which may include grassed percolation areas, evaporation
	ponds, L.I.D. Ponds, drywells and gravel galleries depending upon soil types at the
	locations of the proposed facilities. Anticipated rate will be appropriate for the design
	option chosen. At this time the volume is unknown. Because the system will follow the
	SRSM there will be a dead storage component of 0.5' or more in each swale or pond area
	that should limit direct discharge of items used in the home as well as firefighting
	activities. The Dead Storage is the treatment volume that is intended to infiltrate through
	the treatment soil component within 72 hours. The solution for this phase has been
	previously designed to discharge to the storm cells created in Woodridge View 1st and 2nd
	Additions. There, cells are located under the adjacent powerline in undevelopable
	property.
(2)	Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or
	underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?
	After development, it would be expected that household volumes of these types of
	chemicals will be stored above ground in appropriately sized containers of less than 5
	gallons. During construction, no chemicals will be stored on site.
(3)	What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or
	used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep
	chemicals out of disposal systems.
	Applicable BMP's will be used during construction to contain any leaks or spills if they
	occur from vehicle refueling and oiling operations. After development, small household
	leaks or spills will be adequately handled prior to leaving the hard surface areas.

	drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?
	There could be household chemicals stored on-site, spills associated with household
	volumes will be handled on-site by the responsible resident.
b.	Stormwater
	(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?
	The Depth to Groundwater is unknown at this time.
	(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts Yes, stormwater will be discharged into the ground as allowed per the SRSM, which
	requires treatment prior to discharge. No potential impacts are anticipated at this time.
В.	ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS
1.	Earth
a.	General description of the site (check one):
	☐ Flat ☐ Rolling ☒ Hilly ☒ Steep slopes ☐ Mountainous
	Other:
b.	What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? 25% - 40%+/-
C.	What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If
	you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-
	term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.
	2045 Marble-Speigle Complex, mass wasted, 8 to 30 percent slopes, this soil is generally
	described as a Sandy material. 2046 Klickson-Speigle Rock Outcrop Complex 30 to 60 percent
	slopes, 3022 Bong Ashy Sandy Loam 0 to 8 percent slopes, 3122 Maple loamy sand 15 to 30
	percent slopes, 3132 Bong, moist Phoebe complex 8 to 15 percent slopes,
d.	Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe
	There are erodible soils on site, see the preliminary plat for approximate locations.

e.	filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:
	Proposed grading will be for the streets and building pads. The grading would involve
	removal of organics, preparation of street subgrade and preparation of building pads. This
	will occur over the entire site. Although quantities are unknown at this time, we would
	anticipate the movement of approximately 40,000 CYD to 70,000 CYD of material, export and
	import is anticipated. However, if any import or export of materials is required it shall be
	from/to a preapproved source/destination and coordinated with the City of Spokane. At this
	time this project is expected to be a net export to balance the site, therefore little to no offsite
	import is anticipated.
f.	Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
	Some minor localized erosion from wind and rain may occur during construction but would
	be mitigated through the use of appropriate BMPs. No erosion would be expected from the
	use of the site as surfaces will be stabilized by paving, concrete, building, and landscaping.
g.	About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for
	example, asphalt, or buildings)?
	Approximately 40%
h.	Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:
	Erosion will be reduced and controlled through the use of appropriate BMPs during
	construction and stabilization of disturbed soils by paving, concrete, buildings, and
	landscaping following construction.
2.	Air
a.	What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and
	maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate
	quantities if known.
	During construction some fugitive dust could be expected, although the intent of the permits
	would be to control this instance through watering, hydroseeding, or other BMPs.
	Additionally, there will be exhaust fumes from construction equipment, etc. At the
	completion of construction air emissions may be from home appliances such as dryers and
	gas furnaces, exhaust from yard maintenance equipment, home owner vehicles and personal
	gas tarrasse, extraor from Jara manicenarios equipment, nome en nor termetes una personar

	ntertainment activities such as barbecuing.	
L		
D.	are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally solutions.	ally
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:	
	All site development shall comply with Spokane Regional Clean Air Agency (SRCA construction related requirements.	\A),
3.	ater	
a.	JRFACE WATER:	
	Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and proving names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. There are no bodies of surface water in the immediate vicinity.	vide ——
) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described wate If yes, please describe and attach available plans. As there are no waters, no work is proposed to be over, in, or adjacent to any surfawaters.	
) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate source of fill material.	
	As there are no waters, no fill or dredge material is proposed to be placed in, or remove	ved
	from, any surface waters.	
) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general descripti	ion,
	purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	
	purpose, and approximate quantities if known	ted

The	project	does	not lie	within	the	100-year	floodplain.
1110	DIOICUL	uoco	1106 110	** ** ***		IOU-VCUI	IIOOGDIGIII

	(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe
	the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. No. waste materials will be discharged
	to surface waters as the site will be connected to public sewer.
b.	GROUNDWATER:
	(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a
	general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the
	well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and
	approximate quantities if known
	No groundwater will be withdrawn from this site all potable water used will be provided by
	the local purveyor per their existing water right. The project's stormwater will be
	discharged to the underlying soils and groundwater as allowed per the Spokane Regional
	Stormwater Manual (SRSM). A project specific storm drainage report will be provided
	when the project site is in the design process.
	(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from centic tanks or other sources.
	(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals;
	agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the
	number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s)
	are expected to serve.
	No water will be discharged via septic or other onsite systems. The subject site is in the
	City of Spokane Utility Service area and will be served by public sewer.
C.	WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):
	(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any
	(include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters?
	If so, describe.
	The solution for this phase has been previously designed to discharge to the storm cells

created in Woodridge View 1st and 2nd additions.

	No, as stormwater is required to be treated per the SRSM. All future runoff will be treated
	in the catchment areas before infiltrating through the treatment soil and into the native
	soil.
	(3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.
	No, however as the proposed project adds streets, sidewalks, and homes to a surface of
	land specific individual basins may change but as a whole no change is anticipated. Land
	laying above the project will continue to discharge at pre-developed rates onto the project
	site. The conveyance of offsite storm water is generally designed to either be captured into
	the project catchments and be disposed underground or be allowed to continue to flow
	through the project as in the predevelopment condition. In this instance, pre-dev. offsite
	flows will be captured and discharged via onsite ponds and drywells.
d.	PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage patter impacts, if any.
	As noted previously, the project will be developed following the requirements for stormwater
	as outlined in the SRSM. Additional measures, if any, will be added if required during the
	design and approval process with the City of Spokane and any other affected agencies.
4. a.	Plants Check the type of vegetation found on the site:
	Deciduous tree: ☐ alder ☐ maple ☐ aspen
	Other:
	Evergreen tree:
	Other:
	☑ Shrubs ☑ Grass ☐ Pasture ☐ Crop or grain
	☐ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
	Wet soil plants: ☐ cattail ☐ buttercup ☐ bullrush ☐ skunk cabbage
	Other:
	Water plants: ☐ water lily ☐ eelgrass ☐ milfoil

	Other:
	Other types of vegetation:
b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
	The existing trees, shrubs, and native grasses, will be removed from the project site.
c.	List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. There are no known
	endangered species on the site.
d.	Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation or the site, if any:
	Drainage areas will be vegetated per standards to provide treatment, and street trees are
	required.
e.	List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. There are no known noxious or invasive species on the project site at this time.
5.	Animals
a.	<u>Check and List</u> any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:
	Birds: ☑ hawk ☐ heron ☑ eagle ☑ songbirds
	Other:
	Mammals: ☑ deer ☐ bear ☐ elk ☐ beaver
	Other:
	Fish: ☐ bass ☐ salmon ☐ trout ☐ herring ☐ shellfish
	Other:
	Other (<u>not</u> listed in above categories):
h	List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.
υ.	There are no known or endangered animal species on site

C.	Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
	Spokane County is within the Pacific Flyway. An online review of the City and County available
	maps did not list or show any other migratory routes, therefore no Migration route is
	anticipated to utilize the project site.
d.	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
	As this will be a highly urbanized development inside the Urban Growth Boundary, no
	preservation or enhancement will be provided.
е.	List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
	There are no known invasive animal species onsite.
_	
b.	Energy and natural resources
a.	What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed
	project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. Electricity
	and natural gas will be made available to each home site for heating, air conditioning and
	lighting of the houses. Additionally, solar, wind, and other sources of power would be
	available if installed by residents.
Э.	Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally
	describe.
	The homes will be 35' max height, or as allowed by code, which should not affect the collection
	of solar energy.
С.	What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other
	proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
	At this time none are proposed beyond those required by current city, state, county, and
	national energy code.
7.	Environmental health
а.	Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and
	explosion shill or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe

As this is a residential development that is not proposed to store large quantities of toxic or flammable chemicals, there are no large scale health hazards anticipated to the general public.

(1)	Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
	There are no known sources of contamination on the project site.
(2)	Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and
	design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located
	within the project area and in the vicinity.
	There are no known existing conditions of hazardous/toxic/flammable chemicals on the
	project site.
(0)	
(3)	Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced
	during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the
	During construction, construction vehicles with large fuel tanks will be onsite and with the
	completion of the residential development only household cleaners and chemicals are
	anticipated to be stored on the project site in the individual residences.
	anticipated to be etered on the project often the maividual recipations.
(4)	Describe special emergency services that might be required.
	No special emergency services are needed for this project.
(5)	Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
	During construction contractors are anticipated to follow all local, state, and federal
	regulations regarding the handling, and storage of hazardous and toxic chemicals stored
	on site.
NO	PISE:
(1)	What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic,
	equipment, operation, other)?
	There are no known sources that would affect the project.
(2)	What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term
	or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours
	noise would come from the site.

b.

	building construction. Long term noise would be typical traffic and occupant noises
	associated with residential areas such as lawn maintenance activities, kids, pets, etc
	Construction noise is anticipated to occur during daylight hours.
	(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:
	Construction restricted to hours allowed by code.
8.	Land and shoreline use
a.	What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses
	on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
	The site is currently undeveloped. North of the site is undeveloped land with power
	transmission lines, east are undeveloped lands in Spokane County, south and west are
	Woodridge View 1st and 2nd Additions with similar lots as this project. The adjacent properties
	which are under development are not anticipated to be affected by the development.
b.	Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How
	much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses
	as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in
	farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?
	The project site is not known to have been worked as agricultural or forest lands.
	1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business
	operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and
	harvesting? If so, how:
	This project does not lie adjacent to either use, therefore no.
C.	Describe any structures on the site.
	The only structure is the City's Woodridge Water Tank, a lot will be created for this tank at the
	time of final platting.
d.	Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?
	No structures will be demolished.

e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site? Residential Single Family (RSF)
f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? Residential Single Family
	That is the sum of the sum of the site.
g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
	A shoreline designation is not applicable as the project site borders no bodies of water
h.	Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify
	The site has not been classified on City maps as being a critical area.
i.	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
	Approximately 325 people would reside on the completed project.
j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
	As the project site is undeveloped nobody is anticipated to be displaced
k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: There are no proposed
	measures to reduce the impact of displacement.
l.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and
	plans, if any:
	The proposed development is a 138-lot subdivision of single family and attached single family
	residential units, to the south and west are the existing and proposed Woodridge View
	Developments with similar single family and attached single residential lots and to the north
	and east are undeveloped areas in the City of Spokane and Spokane County.
m.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of
	long-term commercial significance, if any:
	The project will be approved and developed in accordance with the applicable City codes and
	standards for residential development, streets, and utilities.
9.	Housing
а	Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-
	income housing.

	Approximately 136 single family and attached single family residential units will be provided					
	and are anticipated to be middle-income housing.					
	Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-					
	income housing.					
	No residential units will be eliminated					
	Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:					
	No measures are proposed					
10	. Aesthetics					
a.	What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal					
	exterior building material(s) proposed?					
Ma	aximum roof height of 35' as allowed by code. Exteriors may be one of the following or a					
<u>co</u>	mbination; wood, brick, aluminum, lap siding (wood/concrete/vinyl) with cultured or natural					
<u>sto</u>	one, windows, doors, asphalt shingles or metal roofing, those materials common to house					
<u>co</u>	nstruction within the Spokane Region.					
b.	What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?					
	Generally, no views would be altered as the development rests on the hillside.					
	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:					
	Street trees and market-based home construction as to facade look, color, and texture.					
11.	. Light and Glare					
a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?					
	The subject site will be illuminated at night consistent with the City of Spokane Zoning codes					
	and standards. It should be expected that several street lights will be added as well as					
	additional porch and driveway lights.					
	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?					
	We would expect the residential nature of the finished project would not impact the adjacent					
	or surrounding areas.					
L						
b.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? There are no known off site sources of light or glare that may affect the proposed project.					
	There are no known off-site sources of light or glare that may affect the proposed project.					
	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:					

City of Spokane Lighting Codes, if any.

12.	12. Recreation		
a.	What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Within the immediate vicinity is Meadowglen Park and Meadowglen Conservation on Indian		
	Trail Road and field surrounding Woodridge Elementary school to the north.		
b.	Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe		
	No recreational uses would be removed by the project.		
c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:		
	There are no proposed measures to reduce or control the impacts to recreation facilities.		
13.	Historic and cultural preservation		
a.	Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old		
	listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the		
	site? If so, specifically describe.		
	The property is not listed on the Spokane City/County historic properties map. Additionally, a		
	search of WISAARD listed no items for the project site.		
b.	Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of		
	cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.		
	There are no known landmarks or features located on the project site found on the WISAARD		
	site. A review of the site as well as adjacent development has not indicated it's use by Native		
	or pre-modern Europeans.		
C.	Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology		
	and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.		
	We are proposing to follow the State and Federal laws that cover this type of private		
	development. An inadvertent discovery plan will be prepared noting that during construction,		

	and owner will be notified.
d.	Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to
	resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required
	We are proposing to follow the State and Federal laws that cover this type of private
	development. An inadvertent discovery plan will be prepared noting that during construction
	if any artifact or human remains are discovered the project will stop in that area and the City
	and owner will be notified.
14	Transportation
a.	Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe
	proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
	Weiber Drive, Shawnee Avenue, Farmdale Street, and Indian Trail Road
b.	Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not
	what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop
	No, Nearest Stop is STA Route 23 near the intersection of Indian Trail Road & Shawnee Avenue
	located 1.2 miles.
C.	How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have?
	How many would the project or proposal eliminate?
	The completed project will have 2 parking spaces per residential unit plus guest Street
	parking, therefore, 276+ spaces. No spaces would be eliminated.
4	Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or
u.	
	state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether
	public or private). There are new roads in this project and no improvements to existing roads.
	There are new roads in this project and no improvements to existing roads.
e.	Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation?
	If so, generally describe.
	The project will not use Water, Rail or Air transportation.
f.	How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If
	known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks
	(such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used
	to make these estimates?

	The Trip Generation and Distribution memo considered 138 units and anticipates 103 AM peak		
	hour Trips, 137 PM peak hour Trips, and 1,303 Average daily trips to/from the project.		
	(Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).)		
g.	Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest		
	products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe.		
	The trips of the proposed project are not anticipated to affect the movement of agricultural		
	and forest products.		
h.	Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:		
15	. Public services		
a.	Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.		
	At this time and as an infill project we do not believe that this project will negatively impact		
	these services below as acceptable level nor beyond the services ability to self-regulate per		
	the comprehensive plan.		
b.	Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:		
	No measures are proposed at this time.		

16. Utilities

a.	Check utilities currently available at the site:
	□ natural gas
	⊠ water
	□ refuse service □ refuse service
	★ telephone
	☐ septic system
	Other:
b.	Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed: All utilities are located in Weiber Drive and from the east on the Five Mile Plateau, and 3 rd
	Addition Roadways
C.	
SIC	GNATURE
the lac mię	the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to be best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willfulk of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that is ght issue in reliance upon this checklist. Signature: Signature:
Ple	ease Print or Type:
Pro	oponent: Address:
Ph	one:

Person completing form (if different from proponent):		
Phone	∋:	Address:
FOF	R ST	AFF USE ONLY
Staf	f me	ember(s) reviewing checklist:
Based conclu		this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff that:
	A.	there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
	В.	probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
	C.	there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1.	How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage		
	or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?		
	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:		
2.	How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?		
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are:		
3.	How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?		
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:		

4.	How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?			
	Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:			
5.	How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?			
	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:			
6.	How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?			
	Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:			
7.	Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.			

C. SIGNATURE

	disclosure on my part, the <i>agency</i> may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it in reliance upon this checklist.
Date:	Signature:
Please Pri	nt or Type:
	Address:
Phone:	
Person con	npleting form (if different from proponent):
Phone:	Address:
FOR STA	FF USE ONLY
Staff men	nber(s) reviewing checklist:
	this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent on, the staff concludes that:
	ere are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of onsignificance.
•	obable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a tigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
	ere are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination Significance.

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful