DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE "DNS"

FILE NO(S): 2015 SHV Annexation

PROPONENT: City of Spokane

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: The City of Spokane is seeking Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map and Zoning Map Changes for land proposed to be annexed into the City of Spokane. The annexation area encompasses 12 parcels on approximately 42 acres. The area is a mix of developed and undeveloped property.

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY: The annexation area is bounded by 53rd Avenue on the north, the Palouse Highway on the east, 55th Avenue on the south, and Regal Street on the west.

Parcel Number 34032.0433 ADDRESS UNKNOWN Parcel Number 34032.0480 5311 S REGAL ST Parcel Number 34032.0481 3023 E 55TH AVE Parcel Number 34032.0447 ADDRESS UNKNOWN Parcel Number 34032.0405 5408 S FREYA ST Parcel Number 34032.0446 5415 S REGAL Parcel Number 34032.0412 2917 E 55TH AVE Parcel Number 34031.0459 3715 E 55TH AVE Parcel Number 34032.0490 3323 E 55TH AVE Parcel Number 34032.0432 ADDRESS UNKNOWN Parcel Number 34032.0492 3211 E 55TH AVE Parcel Number 34032.0489 3307 E 55TH AVE

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

| other II | nformation on file with the lead agency. I his information is available to the public on request. |
|----------|---|
| [] | There is no comment period for this DNS. |
| [] | This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS. |
| [X] | This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for |
| | At least 14 days from the date of issuance (below). Comments regarding this DNS must be submitted no later than <u>5:00 p.m., November 2, 2015</u> , if they are intended to alter the DNS. |
| | |

Responsible Official: Louis Meuler

Position/Title: Interim Planning Director Phone: (509) 625-6096

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201

Date Issued: October 19, 2015 Signature:

APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION, after it becomes final, may be made to the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner, 808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201. The appeal deadline is fourteen (14) calendar days after the signing of the DNS. This appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official, make specific factual objections and be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

File No. 2015 SHV Annexation

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

| 1. | Name of proposed project: This SEPA Checklist is for a proposed Comprehensive Land Use and |
|--------|---|
| | Zoning designation change for the Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation preliminary proposal. |
| | This proposal will annex 12 parcels on approximately forty-two acres of land into the City of |
| | Spokane. The request is for the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map changes and |
| | Zoning Map changes. |
| 2. | Applicant: City of Spokane Planning and Development Department |
| 3. | |
| ·. | City/State/Zip: Spokane, WA 99201 Phone: (509) 625-6063 |
| | Agent or Primary Contact: Jo Anne Wright |
| | Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. |
| | |
| | City/State/Zip: <u>Spokane, WA 99201</u> Phone: <u>(509) 625-6063</u> |
| | Location of Project: The project is located south of the City in the Moran-Glenrose area. The area |
| | is bounded by 53 rd Avenue on the north, the Palouse Highway on the east, 55 th Avenue on the |
| | south, and Regal Street on the west. |
| | Address: See below |
| | Section: Northern half of Section 03 Quarter: NW and NE Township: 24 |
| | Range: <u>43</u> |
| | Tax Parcel Number(s) |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0433 ADDRESS UNKNOWN |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0480 5311 S REGAL ST |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0481 3023 E 55TH AVE |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0447 ADDRESS UNKNOWN |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0405 5408 S FREYA ST |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0446 5415 S REGAL |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0412 2917 E 55TH AVE |
| | Parcel Number 34031.0459 3715 E 55TH AVE |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0490 3323 E 55TH AVE |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0432 ADDRESS UNKNOWN |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0492 3211 E 55TH AVE |
| | Parcel Number 34032.0489 3307 E 55TH AVE |
| | |
| 4. | Date checklist prepared: October 19, 2015 |
| 5. | Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane Planning Services Department |
| 3. | Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): The new Zoning and Comprehensive |
| | Plan Land Use designations will be effective on the effective date of the annexation, anticipated, to |
| | happen in late 2015 or early 2016. |

- 7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. No.
 - b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain. No.
- 8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. None.
- 9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. Yes, the proposed area is proposed to be annexed into the City of Spokane.
- 10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. An Environmental Determination, City Plan Commission Public Hearing and Recommendation for the Land Use and Zoning designations, City Council public hearing and action concurrent with the annexation of this property into the City.
- 11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

 This checklist reviews any environmental impacts associated with the land use and zoning designations of the annexation proposal (see attached maps). The proposed annexation area is shown on the attached map and is bounded by 53rd Avenue on the north, the Palouse Highway on the east, 55th Avenue on the south, and Regal Street on the west. The property consists of 12 parcels on approximately forty-two acres and is a mix of developed and undeveloped property. Uses include low-density residential, medium-density residential, and commercial.
- 12. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

The size of the proposed annexation is approximately forty-two acres of commercial and residential properties. This includes properties that border the Northern Boundary of 53rd Avenue, Eastern Boundary of Palouse Highway, Southern Boundary of 55th Avenue, and Western Boundary of Regal Street.

- 13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.) The proposed annexation is within the ASA, GSSA, and PSSA. City of Spokane- Not presently, but proposed to be inside the City of Spokane with approval of the annexation.
- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
- a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
 - (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities). Not applicable. This is a non-project action. It is expected that all future development within the proposed annexation area will be connected to the City of Spokane sewer system.
 - (2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored? <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-project action.
 - (3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
 - (4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater? Not applicable, this is a non-project action. However, it is anticipated that runoff from future development projects generated from rain and snow melt will be disposed of on site

| | In accordance with adopted City of Spokane storm water regulations and the overall storm water |
|----|--|
| b. | drainage plan for each project. Stormwater |
| D. | |
| | (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)? Not applicable. This |
| | is a no- project action. |
| | (2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so describe any notantial impacts. Not |
| | (2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts. Not |
| | applicable, this is a non-project action. However, it is anticipated that runoff from future development projects generated from rain and snow melt will be disposed of on site in |
| | accordance with adopted City of Spokane storm water regulations and the overall storm water |
| | drainage plan for each project. |
| | dramage plantier each project. |
| В. | ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS |
| 1. | Earth |
| a. | General description of the site (check one): |
| | |
| | Other: The proposed annexation is located in an area that is generally flat with minor |
| | slopes. |
| b. | What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? The majority of the proposed |
| | annexation area is flat with one rolling hill located in the southeastern section of 55th Avenue. The |
| | categorized slope of the proposed annexation site is 0%-11%. |
| | |
| c. | What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If |
| | you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long- |
| | term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. |
| | Clayton sandy loam (CuB): Very deep, well-drained, moderately course textured soils formed from |
| | glaciofluvial materials on broad, nearly level to gently sloping terraces; surface layer is sandy loam, |
| | found on 0 to 8 percent slopes. |
| | Cjemeu-Uhlig complex (CoB): Medium-textured, well-drained soil formed in glacial outwash |
| | material on nearly level to gently sloping outwash plains. Surface layer is stony, found on 0 to 8 |
| | percent slopes. |

Hesseltine silt loam (HnB): Shallow, medium-textured, Well-drained soil underlain at depth of 12 to 20 inches by a mixture of sand, gravel, and cobblestones or basalt rock, found on 0 to 10 percent slopes.

Hesseltine gravelly silt loam (HrB): Similar to Hesseltine silt loam; Shallow, medium-textured, well-drained soil, found on 0 to 10 percent slope.

Hesseltine stony silt loam (HtB): Similar to Hesseltine silt loam, except that surface layer is stony. Well-drained soil found on 0 to 10 percent slopes.

<u>Uhlig silt loam (UmC)</u>: Deep, medium-textured, well-drained soils formed from glacial till mixed with loess and volcanic ash in the upper parts. Found on 5 to 30 percent slopes.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

 No.
- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill: Not applicable. This is a non-project action. This will be determined as specific projects are proposed within the annexation area.
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. The requested land use and zoning changes for the Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation proposal will not cause erosion. The possibilities for erosion will be determined as specific projects are proposed within the annexation area.
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)? <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-project action. A large section of the area is already developed. It is anticipated that the amount of impervious surfaces will not change significantly.
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-project action. However, the City of Spokane has requirements that reduce or control erosion impacts at the time building permits are approved.

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. Not applicable. This is a non-project action
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: Not applicable.

 This is a non-project action

3. Water

a. SURFACE WATER:

- (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. No surface body water is present.
- (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Not applicable. This is a non-project action
- (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- (5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. No.
- (6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-project action.

b. GROUNDWATER:

- (1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. It is expected that all future development within the proposed annexation area will be connected to the City of Spokane sewer system.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. Not applicable, this is a non-project action. However, it is anticipated that runoff from future development projects generated from rain and snow melt will be disposed of on site in accordance with adopted City of Spokane storm water regulations and the overall storm water drainage plan for each project.
- (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action.</u>
- (3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage patter impacts, if any. <u>Any future development proposal within the annexation area will require the</u> <u>preparation and submittal of engineered drainage plan(s) for review and approval by the City of</u> <u>Spokane in accordance with adopted standards.</u>

4. Plants a. Check the type of vegetation found on the site: Deciduous tree: ☐ alder ☐ maple ☐ aspen ☐ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops Wet soil plants: ☐ cattail ☐ buttercup ☐ bullrush ☐ skunk cabbage Other: Water plants: \square water lily \square eelgrass \square milfoil Other: Other types of vegetation: b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? Not applicable. This is a nonproject action. c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: Not applicable, this is a non-project action. However it is expected that any future development within the proposed annexation area will include landscaping in accordance with the City of Spokane's adopted development standards. e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. Unknown. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. 5. Animals a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds: ☐ hawk ☐ heron ☐ eagle ☐ songbirds

| | Other: |
|----|---|
| | Mammals: ☐ deer ☐ bear ☐ elk ☐ beaver |
| | Other: |
| | Fish: ☐ bass ☐ salmon ☐ trout ☐ herring ☐ shellfish |
| | Other: |
| | Other (<u>not</u> listed in above categories): |
| h | List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site. |
| | There are no known threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site. |
| | |
| c. | Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Unknown. Not applicable. This is a non-project |
| | action. |
| | |
| d. | Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project |
| | action, however, the Critical Areas Report, the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan, and the Fish |
| | and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas ordinance provide some measures to preserve and |
| | enhance the wildlife. |
| e. | List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. <u>Unknown. Not applicable. This is a line of the site.</u> |
| | a non-project action. |
| 6. | Energy and natural resources |
| a. | What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the |
| | completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. |
| | Not applicable. This is a non-project action. |
| b. | Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally |
| | describe. Not applicable. This is a non-projection. |
| c. | What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other |
| | proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: Not applicable. This is a non- |
| | projection. |

7. Environmental health

| a. | Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and |
|----|--|
| | explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. |
| | Not applicable. This is a non-projection. |

- (1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses. <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-projection.
- (2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- (3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. Not applicable. This is a non-project action
- (4) Describe special emergency services that might be required. <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action</u>
- (5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-project action.

b. NOISE:

- (1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- (2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- (3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-projection action.

8. Land and shoreline use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. The Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation proposal area currently has a mix of commercial and residential uses. The annexation will not affect current land uses or nearby/adjacent properties.
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? No, not in recent history.
 - 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: Not applicable. This is a non-projection action.
- c. Describe any structures on the site. Not applicable. This is a non-projection action.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? Not applicable. This is a non-projection action.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? The Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation proposal area is within Spokane County jurisdiction. The proposed annexation area has County zoning designations of (West to East) Mixed Use (MU), Medium Density Residential (MDR), Low Density Residential (LDR), and Community Commercial (CC) Zoning, The cross-over to City of Spokane zoning classifications are the following: (West to East) Community Business (CB), Residential Multi Family (RMF) Residential Single-Family (RSF), and Community Business (CB). See attached Map. An alternative zoning classification of Center and Corridors CC2-DC (CC2) is being considered in place of the Community Business designation on the far west end of the annexation area. See attached Map.
- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? The Spokane Housing Ventures

 Annexation proposal area is within Spokane County jurisdiction. The proposed annexation area is

 designated (West to East) as Community Center (CC), Mixed Use (MU), Medium Density

 Residential (MDR)I, Low Density Residential (LDR), and Community Commercial (CC)

 comprehensive plan designations. The cross-over to City of Spokane comprehensive plan land use

designations are the following: (West to East) General Commercial (GC), Residential 15-30 (R15-30) Residential 4-10 (R 4-10), and General Commercial (GC). See attached Map

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? Not applicable.
 h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify.
 No.
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? <u>Not applicable.</u>
 <u>This is a non-project action.</u>
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action.</u>
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action.</u>
- I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: The Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation proposal area is within Spokane County jurisdiction. The proposed land use and zoning changes are very comparable to the County land use and zoning designations, are compatible with the surrounding land use and zoning designations, are consistent with the goals, policies, and maps of the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan, and are consistent with the Spokane Municipal Code.
- m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project action.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. . Not applicable. This is a non-project action.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action.</u>

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action.</u>
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: <u>Not applicable. This is a non-project action.</u>

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? <u>Not applicable</u>. This is a non-project action.
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: <u>Not applicable. This is a</u> non-project action.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? None.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. <u>Not applicable.</u> This is a non-project action.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project action.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. None noted. Not applicable, This is a non-project action.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. <u>No methods are</u> <u>proposed.</u>
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. <u>No measures</u> are proposed.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. <u>The Spokane Housing</u> <u>Ventures Annexation proposal area is served by South Regal Street for north and south access</u>, and by 55th and 57th street for east/west access. <u>Approximate street total is 2.91 lane miles</u>.
- b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? <u>Transit serves stops along S.</u>

 Regal St. and the southeast corner of 55th St.
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have?

 How many would the project or proposal eliminate? Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). Not applicable. This is a non-project action.
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action.

| f. | How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. (Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and |
|-----|---|
| g. | Weekday (24 hours).) Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. |
| h. | Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project action. |
| 15. | Public services |
| a. | Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. |
| b. | Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project action. |
| 16. | Utilities |
| a. | Check utilities currently available at the site: |
| | |
| | □ natural gas |
| | ⊠ water |
| | □ refuse service □ |
| | ★ telephone |
| | |
| | septic system |
| | Other: |

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed: Not applicable. This is a non-project action. C. SIGNATURE I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist. Date: 10-19-2015 Signature: Please Print or Type: Proponent: Address: Phone: Person completing form (if different from proponent): <u>Jo Anne Wright, Associate Planner</u> Phone: <u>509-625-6017</u> Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls, Blvd. Spokane, WA 99201 FOR STAFF USE ONLY Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that: X A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of

B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and

C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a

recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

Nonsignificance.

Determination of Significance.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

- How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? <u>This proposal is not</u> <u>likely to increase discharge to water, emissions to air, production, storage or release of toxic or</u> hazardous substances or production of noise.
 - Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: No measures proposed.
- 2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life? This proposal is not likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life.
 - Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are: <u>No measures</u> <u>proposed.</u>
- 3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? This proposal is not likely to deplete energy or natural resources.
 - Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: <u>No measures</u> proposed.
- 4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands? This proposal is not likely to affect environmentally sensitive or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection.
 - Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: <u>No measures</u> proposed.
- 5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans? There are not shorelines in

the area, and the proposed City of Spokane land use and zoning designations are very comparable to the Spokane County designations, are compatible with surrounding land uses, and are consistent with the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan and Spokane Municipal Code.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: <u>As specific projects occur within The Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation proposal area, they will be subject to all local, state, and federal requirements regarding environmentally sensitive areas, historic preservation, and archaeological, scientific, or cultural findings of importance.</u>

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities? The requested land use and zoning changes for the Spokane Housing Ventures Annexation proposal area are not likely to increase demands on transportation systems, public services, or utilities. The area is already served with public streets, utilities, and services that accommodate commercial and residential use.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: No measures proposed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. No know conflict would result.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 10-19-2015 Signature: Signature:

Please Print or Type:

Proponent: Louis Meuler, Interim Director of Planning and Development Department

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane WA 99201

Phone: 509-625-6069

Person completing form (if different from proponent): Jo Anne Wright

Phone: (509) 625-6017 Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201

| FOR STAFF USE ONLY | | |
|--|--|--|
| Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Jo Anne Wright | | |
| Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that: | | |
| A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance. | | |
| B. probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions. | | |
| C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance. | | |











