Environmental Checklist

Purpose of Checklist:
The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.
A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
Geotechnical Investigations for the following:
   • Access and construction of Ice Rink & Skyride building
   • Temporary & Permanent Theme Stream Crossings

2. Name of applicant:
City of Spokane Parks and Recreation

3. Address and phone number of applicant or contact person:
   Berry Ellison, Riverfront Park Program Manager
   City of Spokane Parks and Recreation
   808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., 5th Floor
   Spokane, WA 99201
   (509) 625-6276
   bellison@spokanecity.org

4. Date checklist prepared:
   January 25, 2016

5. Agency requesting checklist:
   City of Spokane Planning Services Department

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   March 1 to July 1, 2016

7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
   A new Ice Rink/SkyRide building will be constructed in 2016 to 2017. A temporary Theme Stream Bridge crossing will be constructed in 2016 along with a temporary construction access road for the South Channel Bridge from Post Street to the Sister Cities’ site. A permanent Theme Stream crossing will be constructed sometime during 2017-2018.

b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain.
   Yes, the project is located within city-owned Riverfront Park.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to his proposal.
   • Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, Riverfront Park, GeoEngineers, 2014.
   • Cultural Resources Background Study for the Riverfront Park Bridges Inspection and Analysis, KPFF Consulting Engineers, 2014.
   • Draft Habitat Management Plan (HMP), GeoEngineers, 2015.
- Spokane Riverfront Park Historic Property Inventory of Pre-1975 Resources. Spokane, Washington, CH2M, 2016 (under review)
- Howard Street South Channel Bridge Replacement Project SEPA Environmental Checklist, 2016

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

- SEPA Environmental Checklist, City of Spokane
- Shorelines Exemption, City of Spokane Planning
- Critical Areas Review, City of Spokane Planning

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

The proposed project is to conduct geotechnical investigations for the following:

- The ice ribbon and Ice Rink/SkyRide building construction.
- The Howard Street South Channel Bridge access road from Post Street to the Sister Cities site in Riverfront Park.
- At the temporary and permanent Theme Stream crossings.

This work is needed for design and to understand parameters for the Ice Rink/SkyRide project and the temporary and permanent Theme Stream crossing.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

**Ice Rink**: The project is located at the southwest corner of Riverfront Park. It is bounded by Spokane Falls Boulevard on the south, Post Street on the west, the Spokane River on the north and by the Fountain Cafè and the Rotary Fountain on
the east. See Ice Rink Figures, Figure 1 (Vicinity Map) and Figure 2 (Site Plan) (GeoEngineers, December 2015).

**Theme Stream:** The project is located north of the proposed new Ice Rink. See Theme Stream Figures, Figure 1 (Vicinity Map) and Figure 2 (Location Site).

Projects are found in Section 18, Township 25 North, Range 43 East Willamette Meridian.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.)

- Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? Yes
- General Sewer Service Area? Yes
- Priority Sewer Service Area? Yes
- City of Spokane? Yes

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

None.

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No.

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

Best management practices for equipment maintenance and operations will be used to prevent leaks or spills. No chemicals will be stored onsite.

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No.

b. Stormwater
(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Groundwater seepage has been observed at approximately 7 feet deep at the proposed Ice Rink site. Depth to bedrock is predominately 5 to 7 feet with an estimated maximum depth of 10 feet (Geotech Engineers).

Depths to groundwater and to bedrock are anticipated to be similar at the Theme Stream Bridge project site.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts?

No.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

EARTH

a. General description of the site (underline one): rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:

Generally flat with some gentle slopes, except for the steep river banks that are contained by vertical concrete walls bisecting the two projects (Theme Stream Project to the north of the river and the Ice Rink/SkyRide project to the south of the river).

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The steepest slopes are at the riverbanks and the Theme Stream channel where vertical concrete walls contain the river and the artificial stream (approximately 1:1 slope).

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

**Ice Rink/SkyRide:** The general types of soils found onsite are fill soils with basalt bedrock likely to be encountered 5 to 7 feet below site grade. The fill consists of loose to medium dense sand and gravel with variable silt, cobble and debris (brick fragments) content. The quality of the basalt is generally “fair” to “good” (GeoEngineers, December 2015).

**Theme Stream:** This area lies in an abandoned river channel that has been filled in with loose to medium dense sand and gravel with variable silt, cobble and debris content.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

No grading and only minor filling of bore holes for these projects.
**Ice Rink/SkyRide:** Approximately 7 bore holes (6 to 8-inches wide) will be drilled likely by tubex air rotary or a hollow-stem auger. See Figure 3 (Stantee for approximate drilling locations.) For both methods, a temporary casing is used (for hollow-stem auger drilling, the augers form the temporary casing). For tubex, air is the drilling "fluid". Drill cuttings from tubex drilling are blown out of the borehole using compressed air, and the cuttings are conveyed via tubing to a 55 gallon drum where they are captured. For auger drilling, soil cuttings will be collected and drummed.

Rock coring may also be conducted, which involves advancing a rock core barrel through the temporary casing into underlying rock. Water is used to advance the core barrel. Appropriate precautions will be implemented if rock coring is conducted to prevent return water generated during rock coring from entering the river. After drilling is completed, drill holes will be filled or sealed. Temporary displaced quantity shouldn’t exceed 2 – 3 cubic yards (GeoEngineers, December 2015).

**Theme Stream Bridge:** Approximately 10-12 bore holes will be drilled and the method of drilling bore holes and any rock coring that might be conducted will be similar to the Ice Rink/Sky Ride. See Figure 4 (CH2M) for approximate drilling locations). Depth of drilling varies from 2 ft. deep for the purpose of pavement confirmation, to 10 ft. deep for new pavement sections, and also to 15 ft. deep for borings at the permanent bridge crossing.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Temporary, minor erosion could occur during drilling but is unlikely. Best management practices will be specified to minimize erosion and prevent transport of erosive materials.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

No change in impervious surface resulting from geo-technical investigations.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Standard procedures will be specified to control erosion and best management practices will be used during drilling set-up, operations, and demobilization.

**AIR**

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Temporary emissions will occur from drilling equipment and construction vehicles. Minor dust may be emitted during drilling.
b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Construction vehicles and equipment will be well maintained to minimize emissions. Vehicles will not be left idling unnecessarily. Dust control measures will be implemented if necessary.

1. WATER

a. Surface:

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes, Spokane River and the Theme Stream which is an artificial water feature that will be turned off during construction.

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

The drilling will not be conducted over or in the Spokane River. The drilling will be conducted within 200 feet of the river. See attached site plans.

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None.

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give a general description, purpose, and approximate quantities, if known.

No.

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note the location on the site plan.

No. The drilling will occur outside of the 100-year flood plain.

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No.

b. Ground:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to
groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities, if known.

Geotechnical methods may use air or some water but will not discharge to groundwater.

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sanitary waste treatment facility. Describe the general size of the system, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of persons the system(s) are expected to serve.

None.

(3) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (including systems such as those for the disposal of storm water or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of materials likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of fire-fighting activities).

None.

(4) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of materials will be stored?

None.

(5) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater (this includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems described in 3b(2) and 3b(3))?

Not applicable.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Stormwater drainage is not affected by the geotechnical investigations.

(2) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a storm water disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No.

(3) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any (if the proposed action lies within the Aquifer Sensitive Area be especially clear on explanations relating to facilities concerning Sections 3b(4), 3b(5), and 3c(2) of this checklist):

Best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented to control any runoff and run-on.

4. PLANTS

a. Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, (other).
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, (pine), other.
- shrubs.
- grass (grassy turf in meadow area).
- pasture
- crop or grain.
- wet soil plants, cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other (wet soil plants may exist near the far east end of the site).
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other.
- other types of vegetation.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Non woody vegetation (grass) will be removed at borehole locations. Borings are 6 to 8 inches in diameter and approximately 20 borings are anticipated.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The water howellia (Howellia aquatilis) is the only federally listed threatened plant species in Spokane County within the project area reported by the USFWS’s website on January 19, 2016. See Attachment A for Information for Planning and Conservation (IPaC) project report.

There are no state-listed priority plant species listed by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) for this property.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None. The drill holes are small in size (6 to 8 inches) and because the ground surface will be disturbed soon after the geotechnical investigations are completed, no reseeding of disturbed areas is planned.

5. ANIMALS

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, bald eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: white-tailed deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: marmots, voles,
mice

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Federally listed species reported on the USFWS’s IPAC report for the project area is Bull Trout (Salvelinus confluentus), yellow billed cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus), gray wolf (Canis lupus), and Canada lynx (Lynx Canadensis). Bull Trout habitat has been designated by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to be limited to only those river areas upriver of the City of Spokane’s Upriver Dam.

There are no state-listed species listed in the project area based on the WDFW’s Priority Habitats and Species List. However, under the City of Spokane’s Habitat database, it includes the following birds for protection: Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus), Osprey (Pandion haliaetus) and Red-Tailed Hawks (Buteo jamaicensis). There is a known osprey nest in Kendall Yards that is more than 0.25 miles from the Post Street/Spokane Falls Boulevard intersection.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes. Bald eagles and waterfowl migrate through the Spokane River corridor (see IPaC Report in Attachment A for migratory bird listing). Trout and other fish species may migrate within river segments on a seasonal or yearly basis.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None, other than operating drilling using best management practices.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project’s energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Not applicable.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Not applicable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.
Hazardous materials may be found during drilling because the park was previously a railroad yard and an industrial complex where spills may have occurred. Also, debris from fires may have been deposited throughout parklands.

(1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None. If hazardous materials are encountered, they would be properly handled and disposed of per federal, state and local regulations.

(2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Workers will be observant for hazardous materials while performing drilling operations. If unusual soil conditions are noticed (e.g., discoloration or oily materials), drilling of the subject area will stop until soils are tested to either validate soil acceptability or identify soil chemical concentrations that require soil removal, disposal and replacement. Appropriate agencies will be contacted as necessary.

b. Noise:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

No existing noise will affect this work.

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

During drilling, noise will be created by drilling activities. Drilling activities will normally occur between 7 AM and 5 PM, Monday through Friday.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Conduct work during hours mentioned above. Equipment will not be left idling for long periods.

8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

The site is located in a public recreational park (Riverfront Park). City Hall is located to the west, a shopping mall is south, and the park extends further to the east and to the north (north of the Spokane River).

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Structures on the site include the metal sculptures (runners) on the southern boundary of the site near the southwest corner; the Skyride, Skyride building, rest rooms and river channel located to the north; and the Fountain Café, Rotary Fountain, and the Looff Carrousel to the east.
d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

No. Not for the geotechnical investigations.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Downtown General (DTG-150) is the zone.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Open Space. The City has a planned bikeway crossing the bridge.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Intensive Urban Environment

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

The site lies over the Spokane Valley Rathdrum Aquifer. The project is within shorelines.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Not applicable.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measure to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Not applicable.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Not applicable.

9. HOUSING

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high-, middle-, or low-income housing.

Not applicable.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle-, or low-income housing.

Not applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not applicable.

10. AESTHETICS

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including
antennas? What is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

There will be no proposed structures as a result of the geotechnical investigation.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None, other than minor and temporary blockage of views caused by the placement of the drilling equipment.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

The drilling activities will be of short duration and boring holes will be less than 8 inches in diameter.

11. LIGHT AND GLARE

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Not applicable.

12. RECREATION

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

A variety of recreational opportunities are available including riding the nearby carousel and the gondola, recreational fishing, bird watching, playing in the fountain and at the children’s playground, dining out, running/walking, bicycling, rollerblading, skate boarding, and train rides. The park is also used for seasonal festivals and events.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No, detours would be in-place to direct the public around the temporary drilling operations and alternate access to recreational amenities are available.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreational opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Parks will coordinate regarding scheduling events and will consider potential
drilling impacts on recreational opportunities.

13. HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

a. Are there any places or objects listed on or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

Recently a historic inventory of the park was conducted and has recommended the following nearby resources for preliminary NRHP listing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Riverfront Park Resource Name</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Inventoried</th>
<th>Preliminary NRHP Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theme Stream</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Eligible – part of Expo '74 thematic district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Falls Gate Structure</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Eligible – part of Expo '74 thematic district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Looff Carousel</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NRHP Listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sculptures (throughout park)</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Eligible – part of Expo '74 thematic district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The entire bridge site lies in the Spokane River Historic District that is within a span from Division Street to Maple Street.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

A website search of approximately 1/3 mile radius of the site was conducted by a CH2M archeologist on Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation’s (DAHP’s) WISAARD database. Records show a prehistoric site that is 200 feet outside of the Area of Potential Effects (APE). The Spokane Tribe will be conducting an archeological review, survey, evaluation and report for this project. Consultation is on-going with the Spokane Tribe of Indians, including a discussion on January 21, 2016 about a destroyed City Hall building that was located directly east of the South Channel Bridge (primarily in the Looff Carrousel location).

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

An inadvertent discovery plan, as requested by the Spokane Tribe of Indians, will be prepared (See Attachment B for a copy of a project review letter from Randy Abrahamson, Spokane Tribal Historic Preservation Officer).

14. TRANSPORTATION

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if
any.

West Spokane Falls Boulevard provides access to the sites from the south and Post Street can access the sites from the west. See Figure 2, Site Plan (GeoEngineers).

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Yes. Riverfront Park is served by public transit (approximately 700 to 1,000 ft., depending upon the location within the project area).

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Not applicable.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

None.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Traffic control will be implemented if there will be equipment along the roadway. However this would be short in duration.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

Not applicable.

UTILITIES

a. Underline utilities currently available at the site: (electricity), (natural gas), (water), (refuse service), (telephone), (sanitary sewer), septic system, other.
b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

None for geotechnical investigations.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 1/28/16  
Signature: [Signature]

Please Print or Type:

Proponent: City of Spokane Parks and Recreation Division  Address: 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, City Hall, 5th Floor, Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: (509) 625-6276 (Berry Ellison)

Person completing form: Marlena Guhlke, R.S.  
Address: CH2M HILL, 999 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 500  
Phone: (509) 464-7245

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: ________________________________

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

__ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.

__ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

__ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.