

**State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)  
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

File No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!**

**Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

**Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

**Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:**

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "*does not apply.*"

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

**A. BACKGROUND**

1. Name of proposed project: River View Lofts
2. Applicant: Riverton, LLC
3. Address: 11808 E Mansfield Ave, Ste. 1,  
City/State/Zip: Spokane Valley, WA 99206-4795 Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Agent or Primary Contact: Whipple Consulting Engineers, Inc. c/o Todd R. Whipple, PE  
Address: 2528 N Sullivan Rd  
City/State/Zip: Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Phone: 509-893-2617  
Location of Project: NE corner of E. Mission Ave and E. South Riverton Drive., Spokane, WA  
Address: 1601 E Mission Ave.  
Section: 9 Quarter: SW Township: 25 Range: 43  
Tax Parcel Number(s) 35093.2803, .2804, .2809, .2810, .2811, .2816, .2817
4. Date checklist prepared: 12-03-2015
5. Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane Planning and Development
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_  
Begin construction fall/winter 2015, Occupancy 2016
  
7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. No
  
- b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain.  
No
  
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. SEPA checklist, Geotechnical Evaluation, Shoreline CUP application, Shoreline Critical Areas Checklist

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. None known.

---

---

---

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. Street Vacation, BLA, Shoreline CUP, Building Permit, Electric Permit, Plumbing Permit, Mechanical Permit, SEPA Determination, Design Review

---

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. New 80-unit apartment complex on about 2.8 acres with clubhouse to include utilities, landscaping, storm drainage and parking lots. Improve existing Park Ct and alley. Apartment units will be in four buildings.

---

12. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist. Project is located at the NE corner of E. Mission Ave and E. South Riverton Drive.

Address: 1601 E Mission Ave.

SW 1/4 Section 9, Township 25N, Range 43E, W.M.

---

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.) Lies within the ASA in the City of Spokane.

---

---

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

This proposal will use stormwater disposal methods consistent with Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual (SRSM), which may include grassed percolation areas, evaporation ponds, drywells, and gravel galleries depending upon soil types at locations of facilities.

Volume unknown, rates will be appropriate for the design option chosen. Because the system will follow SRSM, a dead storage component of 0.5' in each swale or pond area should limit direct discharge of chemical type items used in the residences as well as discharge from firefighting.

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems. The project site will be served by public sewer, therefore, no contamination through septic tank disposal systems will occur. Any other chemicals will be household volumes and no additional measures are proposed.

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater? No.

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)? Unknown. Groundwater not encountered in test pits. Well logs indicate groundwater at 40 to 200 feet below surface in the area. Regarding bedrock, one test pit met refusal at 6 feet, thought to be boulders.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Anticipated that stormwater will be discharged into the ground. Pre-development flows may be discharged in existing routes. Due to SRSM treatment requirements, treatment levels consistent with a sole source aquifer are intended to be implemented in conformance with the Clean Water Act. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

## B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

### 1. Earth

a. General description of the site (check one):

Flat    Rolling    Hilly    Steep slopes    Mountainous

Other: West half is a slope and the east half is flat

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? \_\_\_\_\_

Approximately 17%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Sands and gravel. NRCS classified as Urban land-Opportunity, disturbed complex.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

None.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill: Entire site will be graded to create drives,

parking, building pads, drainage ponds/swales. Quantities unknown at this time, but grading may require movement of

at least 5000 cy. Fill or export not anticipated, but if required, will come from/go to sites approved per City standards.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.  
Some minor wind and water erosion may occur during construction.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)? 75%  
\_\_\_\_\_
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
Appropriate BMPs will be used during construction to reduce and control erosion.  
Post construction the site will be stabilized with landscaping and hardscape  
(buildings, pavement, concrete)  
\_\_\_\_\_

**2. Air**

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. Dust and equipment exhaust during construction. Vehicle emissions, landscape maintenance tools and heating/appliance exhaust after construction.  
Quantities unknown.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. None known.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
During construction the use of appropriate BMP's to control dust, such as rock  
construction exits and watering. Post construction, none proposed.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**3. Water**

a. SURFACE WATER:

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. The Spokane River is on the opposite side of existing E South Riverton Ave from the site.

---

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Grading, paving, concrete work and building construction will occur within 200 feet of the Spokane River.

---

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. None.

---

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No.

---

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. No.

---

---

---

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. No.

---

---

---

b. GROUNDWATER:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No withdrawals. Stormwater is planned to be discharged to the underlying soils and groundwater as allowed per SRSM. Quantities unknown.

---

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. None.

---

---

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The source of runoff from this site after completion of the plat, will be from the constructed elements of the plat including but not limited to buildings, streets, sidewalks, driveways, lawns, open spaces, etc. The intent is to convey stormwater to catchments or pond areas to treat and discharge the treated stormwater as required by the SRSM to the underlying soils, via swales, ponds, drywells, galleries, etc. Quantities unknown at this time.

---

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. No.

---

---

---



(3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. No.

---

---

---

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage patten impacts, if any. As noted previously, the project will be developed following the requirements for stormwater as outlined in the SRSM. Additional measures, if any, will be added if required during design and as approved by the City of Spokane.

---

---

---

**4. Plants**

a. Check the type of vegetation found on the site:

Deciduous tree:  alder  maple  aspen

Other: black locust, elm,

Evergreen tree:  fir  cedar  pine

Other: blue spruce

Shrubs  Grass  Pasture  Crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops

Wet soil plants:  cattail  buttercup  bullrush  skunk cabbage

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Water plants:  water lily  eelgrass  milfoil

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Other types of vegetation: \_\_\_\_\_

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? \_\_\_\_\_  
All existing vegetation will be removed.

---

---

---

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. \_\_\_\_\_  
None known.

---

---

---

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: Project to be landscaped per City code.

---

---

---

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. \_\_\_\_\_  
knapweed, star thistle, Canadian thistle

---

---

---

**5. Animals**

a. Check **and** List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds:  hawk  heron  eagle  songbirds

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Mammals:  deer  bear  elk  beaver

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Fish:  bass  salmon  trout  herring  shellfish

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Other (not listed in above categories): \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.

None known

---

---

---

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. \_\_\_\_\_  
No.

---

---

---

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
None.

---

---

---

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. \_\_\_\_\_  
None known.

---

---

---

**6. Energy and natural resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. Electricity will be available to the units for cooking, heating and lighting as well as site lighting. Natural gas may be available for cooking, and for heating of residential units.

---

---

---

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. No.

---

---

---

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
None at this time beyond those commonly found in building codes, "Energy Star" or equivalent appliances, etc.

---



- (5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:  
None.

---

---

---

---

b. NOISE:

- (1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? Typical occupant and vehicular noise associated with residential areas.

---

---

---

---

- (2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. \_\_\_\_\_

Construction and land clearing equipment as well as building construction noises in the short term. Residential noises such as occupants, personal vehicles, domestic pets, and landscape maintenance in the long term. Noises could occur at all hours but would typically occur between 6AM and 11PM.

- (3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
Restrict construction noise to between 7AM and 10PM. .

---

---

---

**8. Land and shoreline use**

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. Majority of the site is vacant with two single family residences. Adjacent properties are single and multi-family residential uses. Proposal should not have an affect on current land uses.

---

---

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? \_\_\_\_\_  
No. None. N/A.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: No.

c. Describe any structures on the site. Two single family residences and one garage.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? All three will be demolished.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? \_\_\_\_\_  
RMF - Residential Multifamily

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? \_\_\_\_\_  
Residential 15-30

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? \_\_\_\_\_  
Shoreline Residential Environment

---

---

---

---

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify. \_\_\_\_  
Aquifer Sensitive Area

---

---

---

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? \_\_\_\_\_  
Approximately 185.

---

---

---

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? \_\_\_\_\_  
None.

---

---

---

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A

---

---

---

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: Project proposal is in accordance with the current zoning and land use designation. Project will be built in accordance with applicable codes and standards.

---

---

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
N/A

---

---

**9. Housing**

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. 80 middle income units.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. 2 middle income  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
None.  
\_\_\_\_\_

**10. Aesthetics**

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? 35 feet  
Materials proposed consist of vertical metal siding, horizontal fiber cement lap siding, natural stone, smooth fiber cement siding, glass, powder coated steel.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? Homes to the east and south would look onto a complex of buildings and landscaping instead of weed filled undeveloped property.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: Installation of landscaping around proposed buildings and parking areas.  
\_\_\_\_\_

**11. Light and Glare**

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? There will be exterior lights on the buildings and in the parking lots for residents safety and security. There will be light from vehicle headlamps. Light will be present from dusk until dawn.  
\_\_\_\_\_



b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

---

---

---

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? \_\_\_\_\_

None known.

---

---

---

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

Use of cut-off fixtures to direct light downward and/or limited to the site.

---

---

## 12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? \_\_\_\_\_

Mission Park, Chief Garry Park, Centennial Trail and the trail and benches along the east side of the river.

---

---

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. \_\_\_\_\_

No

---

---

---

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

Project will have a clubhouse for resident and guest recreation opportunities.

---

---

## 13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. \_\_\_\_\_

None known.

---

---

---

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. None identified with WISAARD.

---

---

---

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. N/A.

---

---

---

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required None.

---

---

---

**14. Transportation**

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. Site fronts E. South Riverton Ave., Mission Ave and Park Court. Access to existing streets will be via existing alley onto South Riverton and via Park Court onto Mission Ave.

b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop Yes. Spokane Transit has Route 39 running past the site on E. Mission Ave.

---

---

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? Provide 99 open and 11 detached garages and 13 under building garages for 123 total. Eliminate none.

---

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). Installation of pavement widening, curb and sidewalk on South Riverton Ave. frontage.  
Sidewalk, curb and paving of Park Court.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? Average Daily Trips = 328 trips.  
AM peak hour = 26 trips, PM peak hour = 33 trips.  
No truck volume. Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual.

*(Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).)*

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe. No.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
In accordance with street vacation conditions, install median in Mission Ave at Part Ct.

**15. Public services**

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.  
Addition of housing units may create an increase in need for public services, but the  
incremental increase compared to the existing population in the area probably will not  
warrant a need to increase facilities.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
None proposed.

---

---

---

**16. Utilities**

a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

- electricity
- natural gas
- water
- refuse service
- telephone
- sanitary sewer
- septic system

Other: Cable TV

---

---

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed: \_\_\_\_\_


Electric, gas - Avista; water, refuse, sewer - City of Spokane; telephone - CenturyLink;  
CableTV - Comcast. On-site construction, run services to buildings;  
Avista serves parcel, but gas will require a main extension to the site.

---

---

**C. SIGNATURE**

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 12-3-15 Signature: 

**Please Print or Type:**

Proponent: Mark Krigbaum - WCE Address: 2528 N Sullivan Rd

Phone: (509) 893-2617 Spokane Valley, WA 99216

Person completing form (if different from proponent): \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_

**FOR STAFF USE ONLY**

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: \_\_\_\_\_

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.

**D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS**

*(Do not use this sheet for project actions)*

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life? \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

***Please Print or Type:***

Proponent: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Person completing form (if different from proponent): \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_

**FOR STAFF USE ONLY**

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: \_\_\_\_\_

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A.  there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B.  probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C.  there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.