GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION PROPOSED APARTMENTS AT RIVER RUN 1620 NORTH RIVER RIDGE BOULEVARD SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

Inland Pacific Engineering Company Project No. 19-961

April 5, 2019





April 5, 2019 Project No. 19-961

Mr. Doug Desmond Greenstone Corporation 1421 North Meadowwood Lane, Suite 200 Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Re: Geotechnical Evaluation Apartments at River Run 1620 North River Ridge Boulevard Spokane, WA

Dear Mr. Desmond:

We have completed the geotechnical evaluation for the proposed apartments located at the above-referenced site in Spokane, Washington. The purpose of evaluation was to assess subsurface soil and groundwater conditions to assist in design and construction of foundations, slabs, pavement, underground utilities, and stormwater management facilities and in preparation of plans and specifications.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our services to you on this project. If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to call me at (509) 209-6262 at your convenience.

Sincerely, Inland Pacific Engineering Company

Paul T. Nelson, P.E. Principal Engineer

Attachment: Geotechnical Evaluation Report

P.O. Box 1566, Veradale, WA 99037 Phone 509-209-6262

GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION PROPOSED APARTMENTS AT RIVER RUN 1620 NORTH RIVER RIDGE BOULEVARD SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

Inland Pacific Engineering Company Project No. 19-961

April 5, 2019

Prepared for:

Greenstone Corporation Liberty Lake, Washington

Inland Pacific Engineering Company Geotechnical Engineering and Consulting

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Project No. 19-961 Apartments at River Run 1620 North River Ridge Boulevard Spokane, Washington

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Project Description	1
1.2 Purpose	1
1.3 Scope	1
1.4 Available Information	1
1.5 Locations and Elevations	1
2.0 RESULTS	1
2.1 Logs	1
2.2 Site Conditions	2
2.3 Soils	2
2.4 Groundwater	2
2.5 Laboratory Testing	2
3.0 DESIGN DATA	3
4.0 ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
4.1 Discussion	3
4.2 Site Preparation	
4.3 Foundations	4
4.4 Floor Slabs	5
4.5 Exterior Slabs	
4.6 Friction Coefficients	
4.7 Lateral Earth Pressures	5
4.8 Seismic Conditions	
4.9 Utilities	6
4.10 Site Grading and Drainage	
4.11 Stormwater Recommendations	
5.0 PAVEMENTS	7
5.1 Subgrade Preparation	
5.2 Test Rolling.	
5.3 Pavement Section Design	
5.4 Materials and Compaction	
6.0 CONSTRUCTION	
6.1 Excavation	8
6.2 Observations	
6.3 Backfills and Fills	
6.4 Testing	
6.5 Cold Weather	
6.6 Wet Weather	

page

7.0 PROCEDURES	9
7.1 Excavation and Sampling	9
7.2 Soil Classification	10
8.0 GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS	10
8.1 Basis of Recommendations	10
8.2 Groundwater Fluctuations	10
8.3 Use of Report	10
8.4 Level of Care	10
8.5 Professional Certification	11

Appendix A – Site Location Map, NRCS Map, Test Pit Location Map Appendix B – Test Pit Logs, Descriptive Terminology Appendix C – Laboratory Test Results

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Description

We understand that the project will consist of constructing 13 apartment buildings. At this time, specific design criteria is not available. We have assumed that the apartments will be 1 to 3 story, wood-framed, slab-on-grade structures. For our purposes, we have assumed that wall loads will be up to 4 kips per lineal foot and column loads will be less than 100 kips. We have assumed that traffic loads will consist primarily of light automobile traffic with occasional truck traffic. We have assumed that stormwater runoff will be managed using infiltration swales with drywells or gravel galleries.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the evaluation is to assess subsurface soil and groundwater conditions to assist in design and construction of foundations, slabs, pavements, and stormwater management facilities and in preparation of plans and specifications for construction.

1.3 Scope

Our services were requested by Mr. Doug Desmond of Greenstone Corporation. Mr. Joe Frank of Greenstone Corporation authorized us to proceed on February 14, 2019. The scope of work agreed upon consisted of the following:

- review of existing geotechnical data and reports for the development, if available
- observe the excavation of 10 test pits at the site to depths ranging from 5 to 20 feet,
- performing laboratory tests on samples obtained from the test pits, if necessary,
- classifying the soils and preparing test pit logs, and
- submitting a geotechnical report containing logs of the test pits, results of our field investigation, our analyses and our recommendations for design and construction.

1.4 Available Information

We were provided a proposed site plan. The plan showed proposed buildings, an existing trail, and elevation contour lines. The plan was provided by Greenstone and was not dated.

1.5 Locations and Elevations

The test pits were excavated at or near locations selected by others. The test pit locations are shown on the Test Pit Location Map in Appendix A. The test pits were excavated by an excavator working under subcontract to others. Ground surface elevations at the test pits were not obtained as part of our scope.

2.0 RESULTS

2.1 Logs

Log of Test Pit sheets indicating the vertical sequence of soils and materials encountered and groundwater observations are included in Appendix B. The strata changes were measured during excavation of the test pits. Please note that the depths shown as changes between the strata are

only approximate. The changes are likely transitions and the depths of changes vary between the test pits. Geologic origins for each stratum are based on the soil type, available geologic maps, previous geotechnical reports for this and adjacent sites, and available common knowledge of the depositional history of the site.

2.2 Site Conditions

The site is located at 1620 North River Ridge Boulevard in Spokane, Washington. The location of the site is shown on the Site Location Map in Appendix A. Currently, the site is vacant grassland with a few trees on the west end of the site. The west and south area of the site slopes down toward North River Ridge Boulevard. The northeast part of the site slopes southeast toward the existing houses.

Much of the site was at the northern edge of a gravel pit. This area was subsequently filled, apparently by end dumping from the top of the slope.

2.3 Soils

Geologic maps indicate the soils in this area consist primarily of sand and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits with minor amounts of volcanic ash and loess in the upper part. According to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Survey of Spokane County, the site soils are classified as Springdale gravelly ashy coarse sandy loam (3140) and Urban land-Springdale, disturbed complex (7172). The native soils encountered in the test pits were generally not consistent with the NRCS data.

At the surface, Test Pits TP-2 and TP-10 encountered 6 inches of silty sand topsoil. The remaining test pits encountered existing fill at the surface extending to depths ranging from 1½ to 20 feet. Test Pits TP-3, TP-4, TP-6, and TP-7 terminated in existing fill. The fill encountered in the test pits contained debris (concrete, asphalt, bricks, metal, etc.) and contained some organic materials.

Below the topsoil or fill, Test Pits TP-1, TP-2, TP-5 and TP-7A through TP-10 encountered glacial outwash to depths ranging from about 6 to 16 feet. The glacial outwash consisted of poorly graded sand, silty to poorly graded gravel.

2.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in the test pits during or immediately after excavation. Groundwater is believed to currently exist at some depth below the termination depths of the test pits. Well log data in the vicinity of the site indicate that groundwater levels are over 75 feet below the ground surface.

2.5 Laboratory Testing

Grain size analysis tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D6913 on samples obtained from TP-2, TP-5 and TP-9. The results of the tests can be found in Appendix C.

3.0 DESIGN DATA

We understand that the project will consist of constructing 13 apartment buildings. At this time, specific design criteria is not available. We have assumed that the apartments will be 1 to 3 story, wood-framed, slab-on-grade structures. For our purposes, we have assumed that wall loads will be up to 4 kips per lineal foot and column loads will be less than 100 kips. We have assumed that traffic loads will consist primarily of light automobile traffic with occasional truck traffic. We have assumed that stormwater runoff will be managed using infiltration swales with drywells or gravel galleries.

If design loads or elevations change, we should be contacted. Additional analyses may be necessary.

4.0 ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Discussion

The test pits indicate that existing fill is present across the site. The fill encountered in the test pits appears to be uncontrolled fill. Because of the variability in the relative density of the uncontrolled fill, it is our opinion that it is not suitable for support of foundations, slabs, or pavements in its current condition. The fill encountered at shallow depths of 12 feet or less could be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill.

In areas where deep fill is encountered, we recommend performing borings to determine the depth of the fill and to evaluate options for deep foundations. These options could consist of helical anchors or micropiles. This approach would allow the existing fill to be left in place.

Based on the data obtained from the test pits, it is our opinion that the proposed buildings can be supported on conventional spread footings bearing on the native soils or on compacted structural fill placed over the native soils. The floor slabs can be placed over the native soils below the fill or on compacted structural fill placed over the native soils. In the parking and drive areas, the native soils should provide adequate support for the anticipated traffic loads.

Based on the data obtained from the test pits and the laboratory test performed, it is our opinion that swales with drywells or gravel galleries would be suitable for infiltration of stormwater.

4.2 Site Preparation

We recommend that the uncontrolled fill soils be excavated from the proposed building and pavement areas where feasible and replaced with a compacted structural fill. It may be possible to re-use the fill as structural fill provided large particles and organic or deleterious particles are removed.

We also recommend that materials associated with the existing structures and utilities be removed. After these soils and foreign materials have been removed, we recommend surface compacting the exposed soils prior to placing structural fill or forms for footings.

Structural fill should be placed in 6- to 8-inch-thick loose lifts at or near optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the maximum dry density determined in accordance with ASTM D 1557 (modified Proctor). Non-structural fill should be placed in twelve-inch-thick, loose lifts and compacted to at least 85 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density.

4.3 Foundations

We recommend that continuous foundations be placed at least 24 inches below the exposed ground surface for frost protection or as required by local building codes. Interior footings can be placed immediately below the slab. For unheated footings, we recommend that they be placed a minimum of 36 inches below the exposed ground surface.

We recommend that subgrades be evaluated by a geotechnical engineer for support of the proposed construction. Soils judged to be unsuitable should be subexcavated and replaced with compacted structural fill.

We recommend that any subexcavations be oversized (widened) 1 foot horizontally from the edges of the footings for each foot of excavation below bottom-of-footing grade (1:1 oversizing). All foundation bearing surfaces should be free of loose soil and debris. If the foundation bearing soils are disturbed by excavation, the exposed soil should be re-compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density.

It is our opinion that the native soils encountered at the site would be suitable for support of isolated or continuous footings designed for a net allowable bearing pressure of 2,500 pounds per square foot (psf). Fill or backfill placed and compacted as previously recommended would be suitable for support of isolated or continuous footings designed for a net allowable bearing pressure of 2,500 pounds per square foot (psf). This recommended bearing capacity includes a safety factor of at least 3.0 against shear failure. The maximum net allowable bearing pressure values may be increased up to 30 percent to account for transient loads such as wind and seismic.

If the previous recommendations are implemented, it is our opinion that total settlement will be less than 1 inch. It is also our opinion that differential settlement will be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch across a distance of 40 feet.

We recommend that all backfill placed on the exterior sides of the foundation walls be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density. Beneath slabs, steps, and pavements, it should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density. Backfill should be brought up uniformly on both sides of the foundation walls to minimize displacement of the foundation walls.

Project No. 19-961 1620 North River Ridge Boulevard April 5, 2019 Page 5

4.4 Floor Slabs

After the construction of the building pads have been completed, slab subgrades will likely consist of poorly graded gravel with sand to poorly graded sand with gravel or structural fill consisting of these soils. Interior footing and mechanical trenches should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density.

We recommend placing a minimum of 6 inches of crushed aggregate having less than 5 percent by weight passing a 200 sieve immediately below the slabs. This aggregate cushion will reduce moisture transmission to the floor slabs from the subgrade soils by creating a capillary break. The aggregate cushion should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density.

We recommend using a subgrade modulus of 200 pounds per cubic inch per inch of deflection (pci) to design the slabs. If a minimum of 6 inches of crushed gravel road base is placed above the subgrade and below the aggregate cushion, a modulus of 250 pci could be used for design.

If moisture-sensitive floor coverings or coatings will be used, a vapor retarder beneath the slabs should be considered. The designer of the buildings is best suited to make the decision regarding use of a vapor retarder, placement, and location relative to the slab base. We would be available to discuss the methods available.

If the structure is supported on deep foundations, we recommend supporting the slabs on deep foundations or constructing a structural slab supported on the perimeter deep foundations.

4.5 Exterior Slabs

The sand and gravel at the site are considered to be low to moderately frost-susceptible. If these soils become saturated and freeze, up to ½ inch of heave may occur. This heave may become a nuisance for slabs or steps in front of doors or at other critical grade areas adjacent to the buildings. One way to reduce this heave is to remove the frost-susceptible soils down to bottom-of-footing grade and replace them with non-frost-susceptible sand or sandy gravel. Sand or sandy gravel having less than 5 percent of the particles by weight passing a 200 sieve is considered to be non-frost-susceptible.

4.6 Friction Coefficients

For mass concrete placed over the native gravels or granular structural fill, we recommend using a coefficient of friction against sliding of 0.45. For mass concrete placed on a vapor retarder over the native soils, we recommend using a coefficient of friction against sliding of 0.35.

4.7 Lateral Earth Pressures

Any below-grade or retaining walls will retain low to significant amounts of soil. To reduce the potential for hydrostatic pressures to develop against the walls, we recommend using a free draining granular material with less than 5 percent passing a 200 sieve as backfill. The backfill material should consist of a sand or sandy gravel having 100 percent by weight passing a $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch sieve and less than 5 percent passing a 200 sieve.

The equivalent fluid pressure used to design the walls will depend on the soil type used as backfill and whether the walls are designed to be flexible (allowed to move) or rigid (not allowed to move).

Assuming a sand or sandy gravel backfill with an internal friction angle of 34 degrees and a unit weight of 125 pound per cubic foot (pcf), we recommend using the following values for design:

A. Flexible Walls	Active Earth Pressure Coefficient, Ka: Equivalent Fluid Pressure, pcf:	0.28 35
B. Rigid Walls	At-rest Earth Pressure Coefficient, K ₀ : Equivalent Fluid Pressure, pcf:	0.44 55

For passive pressures, we recommend using a passive earth pressure coefficient K_P of 3.54 and an equivalent fluid pressure of 440 pcf for design.

4.8 Seismic Conditions

An Ss coefficient of 0.331g should be used for the project site per Figure 1613.3.1(1) in the 2015 edition of the International Building Code. An S₁ coefficient of 0.115g should be used for the project site per Figure 1613.3.1(2). The seismic coefficients should be modified for a soil site class C per Table 1613.3.5(1) of the International Building Code.

4.9 Utilities

Support soils for utilities will consist primarily of poorly graded sand and silty to poorly graded gravel. It is our opinion that the native soils will provide adequate support for utilities. Utilities placed in areas of deep fill may need subexcavation and replacement using geogrid reinforcement. This option should be evaluated after specific plans are available. Unsuitable soils (e.g., loose, soft, organic, etc.), if encountered, should be removed and replaced with structural fill. For trench sidewall support, the site soils are considered Type C soils according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) guidelines.

Backfill placed over the utilities should consist of a debris-free mineral soil. Soils from the trench excavation can be used as backfill above the pipe. Backfill should be placed and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density. Compaction to 85 percent would be suitable in landscape areas.

4.10 Site Grading and Drainage

We recommend that the site be graded to provide positive runoff away from the proposed structures. We recommend that landscape areas be sloped a minimum of 6 inches within 10 feet of structures and that slabs be sloped a minimum of 2 percent.

4.11 Stormwater Recommendations

Based on the data obtained from the test pits and the laboratory tests performed, it is our opinion that swales with drywells or gravel galleries would be suitable for infiltration of stormwater. We estimated a design outflow rate for drywells using the results of the laboratory test and the procedures described in the SRSM manual, Appendix 4A (Spokane 200 Method). The following table summarizes the results of the analysis.

Test Pit	Depth (feet)	USCS Classification	Percent Fines	Normalized Outflow Rate	Drywell Ou	ded Design 1tflow Rate fs)
				(cfs/ft)	Type 1	Type 2
TP-2	7.0	GP	2.9	0.190	0.3	1.0
TP-5	15.0	GP	1.8	0.410	0.3	1.0
TP-9	8.0	GP	2.1	0.320	0.3	1.0

This recommended design outflow rate includes a safety factor of 1.3 as required by the SRSM.

5.0 PAVEMENTS

5.1 Subgrade Preparation

After stripping any topsoil and uncontrolled fill we recommend that the upper 8 inches of the resulting subgrade be scarified, moistened or dried to within 3 percent of optimum moisture, and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density determined in accordance with ASTM D 1557. Where fill is required, we recommend that it be similarly moisture conditioned and compacted. If there are areas that cannot be compacted, we recommend that the unstable soils be removed and replaced with soils similar to the surrounding subgrade soils.

We recommend that the subgrade surface be shaped to provide for positive drainage to minimize the potential for water to pond in the subgrade. Because the site soils are low to moderately frost-susceptible, it will be important to avoid creating "bathtubs" in the subgrade where water can pond and freeze, which could heave the pavement.

After preparing the subgrade, we anticipate that the subgrade will consist primarily of poorly graded sand, silty to poorly graded gravel, or structural fill consisting of these soils. The sand and gravel soils are low to moderately sensitive to disturbance, especially when wet. If these soils are wet, we recommend that construction traffic be minimized where these soils are exposed. If these soils become unstable, other measures, such as excavation and replacement or geotextile fabric may be necessary.

Project No. 19-961 1620 North River Ridge Boulevard April 5, 2019 Page 8

5.2 Test Rolling

Prior to placing the aggregate base, we recommend that all subgrade areas be proof-rolled with a loaded dump truck. This precautionary measure would assist in detecting any localized soft areas. Any soft areas discovered during the proof-rolling operation should be excavated and replaced with a suitable structural fill material. The structural fill should be similar to the existing subgrade soil type to provide a uniform subgrade. We recommend that the proof-rolling process be observed by an experienced geotechnical engineer to make the final evaluation of the subgrade.

5.3 Pavement Section Design

Based on the data from the test pits and laboratory testing, we recommend a pavement section consisting of a minimum of 2 inches of asphalt over 6 inches of crushed gravel base for parking and drive areas. If significant truck traffic is anticipated, we recommend that the asphalt thickness be increased to 3 inches in the truck drive areas. If anticipated traffic data becomes available, we should be notified so we can review our pavement recommendations and provide revisions if necessary.

5.4 Materials and Compaction

We recommend specifying crushed gravel base meeting the requirements of the Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Standard Specification 9-03.9(3) for crushed gravel surfacing (base course and/or top course). We recommend that the asphalt concrete pavement meet the requirements of WSDOT Standard Specification for Class ½ inch HMA asphalt concrete pavements. We recommend that the crushed gravel surfacing be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified Proctor maximum dry density. We recommend that the asphaltic concrete surface be compacted to minimum of 92 percent of the Rice density.

6.0 CONSTRUCTION

6.1 Excavation

Based on our observations of the test pit excavations, it is our opinion the on-site soils can be excavated with standard soil excavation equipment. For footing excavation, we recommend a backhoe with a smooth-lipped bucket to minimize disturbance. We recommend excavations greater than four feet deep be sloped no steeper than 1.5:1 (horizontal to vertical), or that deeper excavations be shored or braced in accordance with OSHA specifications and local codes. The soils present at the site are considered to be Type C soils by OSHA.

6.2 Observations

We recommend that a geotechnical engineer observe all subgrades prior to placing fill or forms for footings to evaluate if the soils are suitable for support of the proposed structure and to evaluate whether the subsurface conditions are consistent with the test pits.

6.3 Backfills and Fills

The site soils which will be reused as backfill or fill are likely to be dry of optimum moisture content. These soils may require wetting to achieve adequate compaction. Backfills and fills should be placed in thin lifts not exceeding 6 to 8 inches. Most of the on-site native soils and much of the existing fill can be used as structural fill provided particles larger than six inches and all debris are removed.

6.4 Testing

We recommend in-place density tests be performed on all fill placed. We recommend at least one test for every 2,500 square feet in the building areas for each foot of fill placed. We recommend at least one test for every 100 cubic yards of fill placed in the parking and drive areas with at least one test for every 2 feet of fill placed. At least one density test should be taken for every 100 feet of trench at vertical intervals not exceeding 2 feet.

6.5 Cold Weather

If site grading and construction are anticipated during cold weather, we recommend that good winter construction practices be observed. All snow and ice should be removed from excavated and fill areas prior to additional earthwork or construction. No fill, footings, or slabs should be placed on soils which have frozen or contain frozen material. Frozen soils should not be used as backfill or fill.

Concrete delivered to the site should meet the temperature requirements of ASTM C 94. Concrete should not be placed upon frozen soils or soils which contain frozen material. Concrete should be protected from freezing until the necessary strength is achieved. Frost should not be permitted to penetrate below footings bearing on frost-susceptible soils since such freezing could heave and crack the footings and/or foundation walls.

6.6 Wet Weather

The sand and gravel encountered at the site are low to moderately sensitive to disturbance when wet. If these soils become wet and unstable, we recommend that construction traffic be minimized where these soils are exposed. Low ground pressure (tracked) equipment should be used to minimize disturbance. For high traffic areas, such as access or haul roads, we recommend placing a woven, water-permeable geotextile fabric (e.g., Mirafi 500X or 600X) and 12 to 18 inches of crushed gravel to reduce disturbance. Specific options should be evaluated during construction in order to select the most cost-effective option.

7.0 PROCEDURES

7.1 Excavation and Sampling

The test pits were excavated on March 6, 2019 using a trackhoe operated by an independent firm working under subcontract to others. A geotechnical engineer from our firm continuously observed the test pit excavations and logged the surface and subsurface conditions. After we

logged the test pits, the test pits were backfilled.

7.2 Soil Classification

The soils encountered in the test pits were visually and manually classified in the field by our field personnel in accordance with ASTM D 2488, "Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedures)".

8.0 GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Basis of Recommendations

The analyses and recommendations submitted in this report are based on the data obtained from the test pits excavated at the locations indicated on the Test Pit Location Map in Appendix A. It should be recognized that the explorations performed for this evaluation reveal subsurface conditions only at discreet locations across the project site and that actual conditions in other areas could vary. Furthermore, the nature and extent of any such variations would not become evident until additional explorations are performed or until construction activities have begun. If significant variations are observed at that time, we may need to modify our conclusions and recommendations contained in this report to reflect the actual site conditions.

8.2 Groundwater Fluctuations

We made water level observations in the test pits at the times and conditions stated on the test pit logs. These data were interpreted in the text of this report. The period of observation was relatively short and fluctuation in the groundwater level may occur due to rainfall, flooding, irrigation, spring thaw and other seasonal and annual factors not evident at the time the observations were made. Design drawings and specifications and construction planning should recognize the possibility of fluctuations.

8.3 Use of Report

This report is for the exclusive use of the addressee and the copied parties to use in design of the proposed project and to prepare construction documents. In the absence of our written approval, we make no representations and assume no responsibility to other parties regarding this report. The data, analyses, and recommendations may not be appropriate for other structures or purposes. We recommend that parties contemplating other structures or purposes contact us.

8.4 Level of Care

Services performed by the geotechnical engineers for this project have been conducted in a manner consistent with that level of care ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in this area under similar budget and time restraints. No warranty, expressed or implied, is intended or made.

Geotechnical Evaluation Apartments at River Run Spokane, WA Inland Pacific Engineering Company Project No. 19-961 1620 North River Ridge Boulevard April 5, 2019 Page 11

8.5 Professional Certification

This report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and I am a duly registered engineer under the laws of the State of Washington.

Paul T. Nelson, P.E. Principal Engineer



APPENDIX A

SITE LOCATION MAP, NRCS MAP, TEST PIT LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 1



	Site Location Map	
	Project No. 19-961	
IPFC	Apartments at River Run	
<u>II L</u>	1620 North River Ridge	March 18, 2019
Inland Pacific Engineering Company Geotechnical Engineering and Consulting	Boulevard	
Sectorization in Sectorization 5	Spokane, WA	





	NRCS Map	
	Project No. 19-961	
IPEC	Apartments at River Run	
	1620 North River Ridge	February 20, 2019
Inland Pacific Engineering Company Geotechnical Engineering and Consulting	Boulevard	
	Spokane, WA	



Te	st Pit Location Map	
	Project No. 19-961	
IPEC	Apartments at River Run 1620 North River Ridge	March 20, 2019
Inland Pacific Engineering Company Geotechnical Engineering and Consulting	Boulevard Spokane, WA	

APPENDIX B

LOGS OF TEST PITS, DECRIPTIVE TERMINOLOGY

I	PF		Z	Inland Pacific Engineering Company 3012 North Sullivan Road, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Telephone: 509-209-6262 Fax: 509-290-5734	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-1 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	T Gree	nstone	Corpo	prations	PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run
				61	
DATE	STARTE	D_3/0	5/19	COMPLETED _3/6/19	GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE _60 inches
EXCA	VATION	CONT	RACTO	OR Continental Contractors	
EXCA	VATION	METH	OD _T	rackhoe	
LOGG	ED BY _	GV		CHECKED BY _PTN	
NOTE	s				AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered
O DEPTH O (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
		GM		15	Sand, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, dark brown, moist.
2.5 2.5 4 JUNION		SP		(SP) POORLY GRADED SA moist. (Glacial Outwash)	ND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown,
KOJECISI	1			End of test pit.	
2019 1				Groundwater not encountered	ed.
CIS				Tost nit immediately backfill	ed
GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB GDT - 3/20/19 15:11 - J. LIPEC PROJECTS				Test pit immediately backfille	ed.

I	PF	C 3012 Spo Tele	2 North kane \	n Sulliv /alley, : 509-	gineering Company an Road, Suite C WA 99216 209-6262 34	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-2 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	T Greer	stone Corporation	s			
						GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE 60 inches
						GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered
					KED BY PTN	
		50				AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered
o DEPTH	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
0.0			SM	<u>74 18</u> . 11		AND, fine to medium-grained, with roots, dark brown, moist.
			SP- SM		Glacial Outwa	GRADED GRAVEL with SAND, fine to coarse-grained, with
		Fines = 3%	GP		Cobbles, brow (Glacial Outwa	vn, moist.
107 19					End of test pit	
1 YOR					Gentuledergendeder inne het inn den se im	not encountered.
						diately backfilled.
GENERAL BH / I.P./WELL- GINI SID US LAB(GDI - 3/2018 10:11 - 4), I.P.C. FROJECI 3, 2019						

I	PF			Inland Pacific Engineering Company 3012 North Sullivan Road, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Telephone: 509-209-6262 Fax: 509-290-5734	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-3 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	T Greer	istone		ations	PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run
1					
DATE	STARTE	D <u>3/6</u>	5/19	COMPLETED 3/6/19	GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE _60 inches
EXCA	ATION	CONT	RACTO	R Continental Contractors	GROUND WATER LEVELS:
EXCA	VATION	VIETH	OD Tra	ackhoe	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered
LOGG	ED BY	GV		CHECKED BY PTN	AT END OF EXCAVATION
NOTE	s				AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered
o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	(SO) Ell L : Clavay Sand w	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION ith Gravel, fine to medium-grained, with debris (large pieces of
		SC		20.0 End of test pit (excavator r	netal, etc.), with Cobbles and Boulders, dark brown to black,
NERAL BH.				Groundwater not encounte	
CE				Test pit immediately backf	filled.

40000000	PF	panan belandari unu	DED OVIDE	Inland Pacific Engineering Company 3012 North Sullivan Road, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Telephone: 509-209-6262 Fax: 509-290-5734	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-4 PAGE 1 OF 1 PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run		
	IT Gree						
	ECT NUI				PROJECT LOCATION _ Spokane, WA GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE _ 60 inches		
				R Continental Contractors			
			5000 C.		AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered		
1				CHECKED BY PTN			
	.s	1	T T		AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered		
O DEPTH	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		
		sc	15	(SC) FILL: Clayey and Sil pieces of concrete, asphal damp to moist.	ty Sand with Gravel, fine to medium-grained, with debris (large t, bricks, metal, etc.), with Cobbles and Boulders, dark brown,		
				End of test pit (excavator r	naxed out).		
				Groundwater not encounte	ered.		
	and the second			Test pit immediately backf	illed.		

CLIEN PROJI DATE EXCA EXCA LOGG NOTE	IT <u>Green</u> ECT NUM STARTE VATION (VATION SED BY _	301 Spo Tele Fax nstone Corporation IBER 19-961 D 3/6/19 CONTRACTOR C METHOD Trackhu GV	2 North kane V ephone: 509-2 ns Continer oe Continer oe	Sullivar alley, W 509-20 90-5734 OMPLE	TED <u>3/6/19</u>	AT END OF EXCAVATION AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered
DEF (ft	AMPLE	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAF		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
			sc		debris (large p and Boulders,	ayey and Silty Sand with Gravel, fine to medium-grained, with ieces of concrete, asphalt, bricks, metal, etc.), with Cobbles dark brown, damp to moist.
			SP- SM		(Glacial Outwa	l, with Cobbles, brown, moist. sh)
		Fines = 2%	GP			GRADED GRAVELwith SAND, fine to coarse-grained, brown, sh)
						(excavator maxed out).
						iately backfilled.

PAGE 1 OF 1				
PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run				
SIZE 60 inches				
red				
vith debris (large ers, dark brown,				

I	PF	EC	2	Inland Pacific Engineering Company 3012 North Sullivan Road, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Telephone: 509-209-6262 Fax: 509-290-5734	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-7 PAGE 1 OF 1
CLIEN	T Gree	nston	e Corpo	orations	PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run
				51	
DATE	STARTE	D_3/	6/19	COMPLETED 3/6/19	GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE 60 inches
				OR Continental Contractors	
				rackhoe	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered
LOGG	ED BY	GV		CHECKED BY _ PTN	AT END OF EXCAVATION
					AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered
o DEPTH o (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
2.5		SM		brown, moist.	Gravel, fine to medium-grained, with Cobbles and Boulders, dark
		1	0000	$\frac{3.0}{2}$ -concrete at 3 feet.	[
				Refusal.	
				Groundwater not encounter	ed.
				Test pit immediately backfill	ed.

I	PF	1		Inland Pacific Engineering Company 3012 North Sullivan Road, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Telephone: 509-209-6262 Fax: 509-290-5734	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-7A PAGE 1 OF 1			
CLIEN.	Green	nstone	Corpo	prations	PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run			
PROJE	CT NUN	IBER	19-96	61	PROJECT LOCATION _ Spokane, WA			
DATE	STARTE	D _3/6	5/19	COMPLETED 3/6/19	GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE _60 inches			
				OR Continental Contractors				
1				rackhoe	AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered			
LOGG	ED BY	GV		CHECKED BY _ PTN	AT END OF EXCAVATION			
NOTES	S	annou-e-th			AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered			
o DEPTH o (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			
		SM		brown to black, moist.	n Gravel, fine to medium-grained, with Cobbles and Boulders, dark			
	GM C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C							
COIST 2018 PROJECT 2018-801 AFAT		SP		(SP) POORLY GRADED S (Glacial Outwash)	SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, brown, moist.			
ENONE ENONE			angles and one is a loss	*				
L L L				End of test pit.				
1.6 -				Groundwater not encount	ered.			
11:01				Test pit immediately back	filled			
GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINI SID US LAB.GDI - 3/20/19 19								

Ī	PF	20		Inland Pacific Engineering Company 3012 North Sullivan Road, Suite C Spokane Valley, WA 99216 Telephone: 509-209-6262 Fax: 509-290-5734	TEST PIT NUMBER TP-8 PAGE 1 OF 1				
CLIEN	IT Greer	nstone	Corpor		PROJECT NAME Proposed Apartments at River Run				
PROJ	ECT NUN	IBER	19-961	1	PROJECT LOCATION _ Spokane, WA				
DATE	STARTE	D _3/6	5/19	COMPLETED _3/6/19	GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE _60 inches				
				R Continental Contractors	GROUND WATER LEVELS:				
				ackhoe					
LOGG	ED BY	GV		CHECKED BY PTN					
NOTE	s				AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered				
O DEPTH O (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Sand, fine to medium-grained, trace Gravel, with roots, dark				
		sc		6.0 (SP) POORLY GRADED S/	AND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, brown, moist.				
C K		SP		(Glácial Outwash)					
GENERAL BH / TP / WELL - GINT STD US LAB GDT - 3/20/19 15/11 - J/LPEC PROJECTS_2019 2019			r	End of test pit (due to cavin Groundwater not encounter Test pit immediately backfil	red.				

CLIENT PROJE DATE S EXCAV EXCAV LOGGE NOTES	Greer	Solution Series Solution Serie	2 North kane \ ephone : 509- is contine be	n Sulliva /alley, \ 290-57 290-57 COMPL	ETED _3/6/19	PROJECT LOCATION Spokane, WA GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE _60 inches GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered
o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
		Fines = 2%	SM		GP) POORLY Cobbles, brow (Glacial Outwa End of test pit. Groundwater r	gRADED GRAVELwith SAND, fine to coarse-grained, dark brown, moist. GRADED GRAVELwith SAND, fine to coarse-grained, with n, moist. sh) ot encountered. iately backfilled.

Internet Perform Constraint Constra									==0		
PROJECT NUMBER 19-961 PROJECT LOCATION Spokane, WA DATE STARTED 3/6/19 COMPLETED 3/6/19 GROUND ELEVATION	Ī	PF	EC		3012 Spok Telep	North Sullivan Ro ane Valley, WA 9 shone: 509-209-6	oad, Suite C 9216		TES		PAGE 1 OF 1
DATE STARTED 3/0/19 COMPLETED 3/0/19 GROUND ELEVATION TEST PIT SIZE 60 inches EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR _Continental Contractors GROUND WATER LEVELS: EXCAVATION METHOD Trackhoe AT TIME OF EXCAVATION Not encountered LOGGED BY _GV CHECKED BY _PTN NOTES AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	CLIEN	T Gree	nstone	e Corp	orations			PROJECT NAME	Proposed Apartmo	ents at River Run	
EXCAVATION CONTRACTORContinental Contractors GROUND WATER LEVELS: EXCAVATION METHODTrackhoe AT TIME OF EXCAVATION AT END OF EXCAVATION AT END OF EXCAVATION NOTES	PROJ	ECT NUM	/BER	19-96	51			PROJECT LOCATIO	ON Spokane, W/	۹	
EXCAVATION METHODTrackhoe AT TIME OF EXCAVATIONNot encountered LOGGED BY _GVCHECKED BY _PTNAT END OF EXCAVATIONATER DO F EXCAVATIONNOT encountered NOTESATER EXCAVATIONNOT encountered U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U_U	DATE	STARTE	D _3/	6/19			0 3/6/19	GROUND ELEVATI	ON	TEST PIT SIZE	60 inches
LOGGED BY GV CHECKED BY PTN AT END OF EXCAVATIONAFTER EXCAVATIONAFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES MOTES AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES MATERIAL DESCRIPTION AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES MATERIAL DESCRIPTION AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES 0.0 SM AFTER EXCAVATIONNOTES	EXCA	VATION	CONT	RACTO	DR Co	ntinental Contract	tors	GROUND WATER I	EVELS:		
NOTES AFTER EXCAVATION Not encountered Hard Coll Waterial description MATERIAL DESCRIPTION GAM Do MATERIAL DESCRIPTION MATERIAL DESCRIPTION MATERIAL DESCRIPTION									EXCAVATION	- Not encountered	
Here Here SM							Y PTN	54			
0.0 SM SM (SM) SILTY SAND, fine to medium-grained, with roots, black, moist. (Topsoil) 0 (GM) SILTY GRAVEL with SAND, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) 2.5 (SP-SM) POORLY GRADED SAND with SILT and GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, w Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP- SM (SP-SM) POORLY GRADED SAND with SILT and GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, w Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, b moist. (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, b moist. (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, b moist. (Glacial Outwash) 6.0 End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered. Groundwater not encountered.	NOTE	S						AFTER EXCA	VATION Not	encountered	
SM SILTY SAND, fine to medium-grained, with roots, black, moist. (Topsoil) (GM) SILTY GRAVEL with SAND, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) GM SP-SM) POORLY GRADED SAND with SILT and GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, w Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) SP (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) SP (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP (Glacial Outwash) 6.0 End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered.	2 KC	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG				MATERIAL DE	SCRIPTION		
GM GM SILTY GRAVEL with SAND, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown, moist. (Gacial Outwash) GM SP-SM) POORLY GRADED SAND with SILT and GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, w Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP SM (Glacial Outwash) 4.5 SP (Glacial Outwash) End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered.			SM	74 14 1	0.5		SAND, fine to me	dium-grained, wi	th roots, black	, moist.	n de président aux d'un de la Mariel Marin de président de la company
GM (Glacial Outwash) GM (Glacial Outwash) SP- SM (Glacial Outwash) (SP-SM) POORLY GRADED SAND with SILT and GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, w Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) 4.5 (Glacial Outwash) SP (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 SP (Glacial Outwash) 6.0 End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered.		1		one	_		2RAVEL with CA	ND fine to open	a grainad with	h Cabblas brow	/n moiet
SP-SM Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) 5.0 (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) SP (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, brown, moist. (Glacial Outwash) B B B B B B B B B Cobbles, brown, moist. B Cobbles, brown, moist. B Cobbles, brown, moist. B B B Cobbles, brown, moist. B </td <th></th> <td></td> <td>GМ</td> <td></td> <td>3.0</td> <td>(Glacial Outw</td> <td>/ash)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <th></th>			GМ		3.0	(Glacial Outw	/ash)				
(Glacial Outwash) 5.0 5.0 5.0 SP (SP) POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL, fine to coarse-grained, with Cobbles, b moist. (Glacial Outwash) 6.0 End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered.]						SAND with SILT	and GRAVEL,	fine to coarse-g	grained, with
SP moist. (Glacial Outwash) 6.0 End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered.						(Glacial Outw	rash)				
End of test pit. Groundwater not encountered.	5.0		SP			moist.		ID with GRAVEL,	fine to coarse	-grained, with C	Cobbles, brown,
						Groundwater	not encountered				



RELA	TIVE DENSITY OR CON	VSISTENCY VERSUS SPT	N-VALVE			
COARSE-C	GRAINED SOILS	FINE-GRAINED SOILS				
DENSITY	N(BLOWS/FT)	CONSISTENCY	N(BLOWS/FT)			
Very Loose	0 - 4	Very Soft	0 - 1			
Loose	5 - 10	Soft	2 - 3			
Medium-Dense	11 - 30	Rather Soft	4 - 5			
Medium-Dense	11 - 30	Medium	6 - 8			
Dense	31 - 50	Rather Stiff	9 - 12			
Dense	51 - 50	Stiff	13 - 16			
Vary Danca	> 50	Very Stiff	17 - 30			
Very Dense	- 30	Hard	> 30			

	USCS SOIL CLASSIFICATION								
	MAJOR DIVISIONS		GROUP DESCRIPTIONS						
Coarse-	Gravel and	GW	Well Graded Gravel						
Grained	Gravelly Soils	(with little or no fines)	GP	Poorly Graded Gravel					
Soils	<50% coarse fraction	Gravel	GM	Silty Gravel					
	passes #4 sieve	GC	Clayey Gravel						
<50%	Sandy and	SW	Well Graded Sand						
passes #200	Sandy Soils	(with little or no fines)	SP	Poorly Graded Sand					
sieve	>50% coarse fraction	Sand	SM	Silty Sand					
	passes #4 sieve	(with>12% fines)	SC	Clayey Sand					
Fine-		ML	Silt						
Grained	Silt and Clay		CL	Lean Clay					
Soils	Liquid Limit < 50	OL	Organic Silt and Clay (low plasticity)						
>50%		MH	Inorganic Silt						
passes #200	Silt and Clay		CH	Fat Clay					
sieve	Liquid Limit > 50		OH	Organic Clay and Silt (med to high plasticity)					
	Highly Organic Soils	PT	Peat Muck						

MODIFIERS					
DESCRIPTION	RANGE				
Occasional	<5%				
Trace	5% - 12%				
With	>12%				

М	OISTURE CONTENT				
DESCRIPTION FIELD OBSERVATION					
Dry	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch				
Moist	Dry of optimum moisture content				
Wet	Wet of optimum moisture content				

	MA	JOR DIVIS	IONS WIT	TH GRA	N SIZE		
		5	SIEVE SIZ	E			
	12"	3"	3/4"	4	10	40	200
		GRAI	N SIZE (IN	ICHES)			
	12	3	0.75	0.19	0.079	0.0171	0.0029
Boulders	Cobbles	G	iravel		San	d	Silt and Clay
Douiders	Coobles	Coarse	Fine	Coar	se Media	um Fine	Sint and Clay

APPENDIX C

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

