



PLANNING SERVICES  
808 W. SPOKANE FALLS BLVD.  
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99201-3329  
509.625.6300  
FAX 509.625.6013  
MY.SPOKANE.CITY.ORG

**REQUEST FOR COMMENTS**  
**Agency and Interested City Department Review**  
**FILE NO. Z19-070COMP, Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 4 Transportation,**  
**Proposed Arterial Network Map TR12**  
**Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Proposal**

**DATE:** February 25, 2019

**TO:** Interested Parties, City Departments  
And Agencies with Jurisdiction.  
(Distribution list on last page)

**FROM:** Tirrell Black, Associate Planner  
City of Spokane, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane WA 99201  
(509) 625-6300 or 625-6185; email: tblack@spokanecity.org

**SUBJECT:** Amendment of the Proposed Arterial Network Map (Map TR12) in Chapter 4, Transportation of the City's Comprehensive Plan. This amendment would remove designation of the "urban major collector arterial" and "proposed urban major collector arterial" on Crestline Street between 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Southeast Boulevard at 31<sup>st</sup> Avenue.  
If approved, this section of Crestline Street would be classified as "urban local access".

**APPLICANT:** Spokane City Council

**LOCATION:** The section of Crestline Street north of 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue and ending at the connection to Southeast Boulevard in the vicinity of 31<sup>st</sup> Avenue (33-25-43). See map attached.

**REPORT NEEDED BY: March 1, 2019.** If additional information is required in order for your department or agency to comment on this proposal, please notify the Planning and Development Services Department as soon as possible so that the application processing can be suspended while the necessary information is being prepared. Under the procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected departments and agencies is for the following:

- 1) The determination of a complete application. If there are materials that the reviewing departments and agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the applicant;
- 2) Provides notice of application;
- 3) Concurrency Testing, **please note one of the following:**
  - a) (     ) This application is subject to concurrency and agency is required to notify this department that applicant meets/fails currency; OR
  - b) ( X ) This application is exempt from concurrency testing, but will use capacity of existing facilities.

The lack of comment including concurrency by any referral agency will be considered acceptance of this application as technically complete and meeting concurrency requirements.

Under the revised procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected Departments and Agencies is to provide notice of a pending application. **THIS WILL BE THE LAST NOTICE PROVIDED TO REFERRAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES UNLESS WARRANTED.** If there are materials that the reviewing Departments and Agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the Applicant. The lack of comment by any referral agency will be considered to be acceptance of this application as Technically Complete.

**MORE INFORMATION:**

A map is attached. A traffic study by DKS Associates on 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue Corridor between Grand Boulevard and Regal Street dated February 15, 2019, is available for review on the project page; page 18 of this report addresses this section of Crestline Street. Additional documents related to this proposal are on the project page:

<https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/proposed-amendment-to-map-tr12-chapter-4-relating-to-crestline/>

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW/ SEPA:** The City of Spokane Planning and Development Services Department is the Lead Agency for this proposal; Heather Trautman, Planning Director, is the responsible official. This non-project proposal will be reviewed for compliance with SEPA Regulations, Spokane Municipal Code 17E.050. See attached SEPA Checklist. The City is anticipating issuing a DNS (Determination of Non-Significance for this proposal.)

\* - The lack of comment including concurrency/capacity by any referral agency will be considered acceptance of this application as technically complete and meeting concurrency/capacity requirements.

\*\* - Forward your comments to Tirrell Black, Associate Planner at least 2 working days before the "Report needed by" date shown on the front page.

## **DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS**

**PROJECT NAME: Comprehensive Plan Land Use Amendment Proposal**

**FILE No.: Z19-070COMP**

### **E-mail Copies**

#### **City Departments**

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Kris Becker
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff\* \*\*
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller\* \*\*
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot \*
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis\* \*\*
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller \* \*\*
- Integrated Capital Management: Scotty Allenton\* \*\*
- Library Services, Attn: DT Circulation\*
- Neighborhood & Business Services, Attn: Dawn Kinder
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Garrett Jones\*
- PCED, Attn: Theresa Sanders
- Planning & Development, Attn: Dean Gunderson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown\*\*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Joeline Eliason
- Planning & Development, Attn: Erik Johnson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells\*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Dermott Murphy
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson\*\*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Planning & Development, Attn: Andy Schenk
- Planning & Development, Attn: Heather Trautman
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt Chuck Reisenauer\*
- Public Works, Attn: Scott Simmons
- Solid Waste, Attn: Scott Windsor
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes\*
- Street Operations, Attn: Inga Note\*\*
- Street Operations, Attn: Bob Turner\*\*
- Street Operations, Attn: Gary Kaesemeyer\*\*
- Street Operations, Attn: Greg Martin\*\*
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris\*\*
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock\*\*
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Coster\*\*
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley\*\*
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto\*\*

#### **County Departments**

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Scott Engelhard
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Paul Savage
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

#### **Washington State Agencies**

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dave Andersen
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sikes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Leslie King - Habitat Program

#### **Other Agencies**

- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Byus
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Randy Myhre
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Larissa Pruitt
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Lori Barlow
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Mike Basinger
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane School District, Attn: Phil Wright
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Tresidder
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

#### **Hard Copies**

#### **Other Agencies**

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)

**REQUEST FOR COMMENTS**  
**FILE No.: Z19-070COMP**

**COMMENTS:** (Use additional sheets if necessary)

---

Authorized Signature

---



Department or Agency

---

Date

---

Concurrency  
Passed/Failed




**Applicant:** City Council



**Proposal:** Proposal to amend the Comprehensive Plan Map in Chapter 4, Map TR12, to remove a proposed collector arterial on Crestline Street between 37th & Southeast Boulevard and 31st

**33-25-43**  
Date: 6/21/2018

# Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 4, Map TR12





# Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 4, Map TR12





# Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 4, Map TR12

## With elimination of section of Crestline





## SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

### ***Purpose of checklist:***

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

### ***Instructions for applicants:***

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

### ***Instructions for Lead Agencies:***

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

### ***Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:***

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

## ***A. Background***

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

File No. Z19-070COMP, Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 4 Transportation, Proposed Arterial Network Map TR12 Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Proposal

2. Name of applicant:

Spokane City Council

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Tirrell Black

City of Spokane, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane WA 99201  
(509) 625-6300 or 625-6185; email: [tblack@spokanecity.org](mailto:tblack@spokanecity.org)

4. Date checklist prepared:

February 22, 2019

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Spokane Planning Services

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Council action anticipated Spring 2019.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

None

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

A traffic study by DKS Associates on 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue Corridor between Grand Boulevard and Regal Street dated February 15, 2019, is available for review on the project page; page 18 of this report addresses this section of Crestline Street. The traffic study can be viewed on the project page:

<https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/proposed-amendment-to-map-tr12-chapter-4-relating-to-crestline/>

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

This amendment proposal is referenced on page 18-19 of City of Spokane Hearing Examiner Decision, File Z18-598PUD, Garden District Preliminary Plat and PUD Application issued January 15, 2019. This document may be viewed here:  
<https://static.spokanecity.org/documents/projects/garden-district-pud/z18-598ppud-garden-distinct-he-decision-final.pdf>

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

None

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

Amendment of the Proposed Arterial Network Map (Map TR12) in Chapter 4, Transportation of the City's Comprehensive Plan. This amendment would remove designation of the "urban major collector arterial" and "proposed urban major collector arterial" on Crestline Street between 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Southeast Boulevard at 31<sup>st</sup> Avenue. If approved, this section of Crestline Street would be classified as "urban local access."

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The section of Crestline Street north of 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue and ending at the connection to Southeast Boulevard in the vicinity of 31<sup>st</sup> Avenue (33-25-43). See map attached.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Maps for boundaries.)

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Non project action

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

Non project action

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

Non project action

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

Nonproject action

a. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Non project action

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts?

Non project action

## ***B. Environmental Elements***

### **1. Earth**

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

N/A

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

N/A

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

N/A

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

N/A

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

N/A

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

N/A

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

N/A

## **2. Air**

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

N/A

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

N/A

## **3. Water**

- a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

N/A

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

N/A

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

N/A

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

N/A

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

N/A

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

N/A

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

N/A

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

N/A

#### **4. Plants**

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:



- \_\_\_\_deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- \_\_\_\_evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- \_\_\_\_shrubs
- \_\_\_\_grass
- \_\_\_\_pasture
- \_\_\_\_crop or grain
- \_\_\_\_ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- \_\_\_\_ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- \_\_\_\_water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- \_\_\_\_other types of vegetation

N/A

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

N/A

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

N/A

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

## 5. **Animals**

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:  
 mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:  
 fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

N/A

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

## **6. Energy and Natural Resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

N/A

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

N/A

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A

## **7. Environmental Health**

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

N/A

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

N/A

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

N/A

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

N/A

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

N/A

**b. Noise**

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

N/A

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N/A

**8. Land and Shoreline Use**

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

N/A

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

N/A

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

N/A

c. Describe any structures on the site.

N/A

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

N/A

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

N/A

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

N/A

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

N/A

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

N/A

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

N/A

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

N/A

## **9. Housing**

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A

### **10. Aesthetics**

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

N/A

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

N/A

### **11. Light and Glare**

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

N/A

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

N/A

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

N/A

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

N/A

### **12. Recreation**

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

N/A

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

N/A

### **13. Historic and cultural preservation**

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers ? If so, specifically describe.

N/A

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

N/A

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

N/A

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

N/A

### **14. Transportation**

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

See the attached map. This proposal only changes the classification of a roadway.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

29th Avenue, 37th Avenue and Southeast Boulevard have transit service. A Park and Ride lot is also within ¼ mile of the roadway.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?



N/A

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposal could result in changes to roadway width, alignment, type of intersection control.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The proposal will not generate additional trips, however some existing trips could be re-routed through the street network.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

N/A

## **15. Public Services**

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

N/A

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

N/A

## **16. Utilities**

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:  
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,  
other \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

- c. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

N/A

### ***C. Signature***

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of signee \_Tirrell Black, Associate Planner, City of Spokane

Date Submitted: February 25, 2019

### ***D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions***

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

N/A

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

N/A

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

N/A

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

N/A

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

N/A

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

N/A

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

N/A

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

N/A

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

This proposal does not change land use. This proposal is not in Shoreline jurisdiction.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

N/A

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposal could result in changes to roadway width, alignment, type of intersection control, but the proposal itself does not increase demand.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

N/A

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

N/A

### C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: February 25, 2019

Signature: Tirrell Black, City of Spokane, Planning

Proponent: Spokane City Council

\_\_\_\_\_

#### FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: \_\_\_\_\_

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A. ☐ there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. ☐ probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. ☐ there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.