WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT AND SURFACE WATER EVALUATION

South Inland Empire Way Improvements associated with the Latah Glen Residential Community S36, T25N, R42E

April 2025

Prepared by: Environmental Inc. /Advanced Wetland Studies Rathdrum, ID 83858 208.651.4536 davidAarmes@gmail.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND FINDINGS

Environmental Inc. completed this Wetland Delineation Report and Surface Water Evaluation (Report) for the Latah Glen Residential Community (Project) on Spokane County Parcel #s 25361.0004 and 25364.0001 (Property) and the South Inland Empire Way Improvements located in the adjacent Washington Department of Transportation right-of-way (ROW) and adjacent parcel number 25361.0004. No wetland areas will be impacted or disturbed. Wetland buffer disturbances will occur, and buffer enhancement/restoration will ensure no net loss of wetland buffer functions and values will occur.

This Wetland Delineation was completed on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the client and/or its agents, consultants, and contractors. The scope of services performed to complete this report may not be appropriate to satisfy the needs of other users, and any other use or re-use of this report is at the sole risk of said user. The findings and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the currently accepted legal and regulatory requirements, agency guidance, and the best professional judgment of the preparer. The findings presented herein apply to those conditions observed on the site at the time of the evaluation. The timing of the field evaluation may not always coincide with the growing season, identifiable phenological stages of vegetation, or during the hydrological active (wet) season. Often time's secondary indicators, interpretation of vegetation and hydrology indicators and best professional judgment may be required to determine the presence or absence of wetlands. Future environmentally significant changes may occur at the site, which could result in future findings and conclusions differing from those contained in this report.

Prepared by: David A. Armes Qualified Wetland Biologist Environmental Inc. Advanced Wetland Studies Rathdrum, ID 83858 208.651.4536 davidAarmes@gmail.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND FINDINGS	2
1. INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 PURPOSE	4
2. METHODOLOGY	4
2.1 Preliminary Research	
3. RESULTS	7
4. WETLAND BUFFER RESTORATION	8
5. REGULATORY DOCUMENTS	

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental Inc. completed this Wetland Delineation Report and Surface Water Evaluation (Report) for the Latah Glen Residential Community (Project) on Spokane County Parcel #s 25361.0004 and 25364.0001 (Property) and the South Inland Empire Way Improvements located in the adjacent Washington Department of Transportation right-of-way (ROW) and adjacent parcel number 25361.0004. The Property is located in Spokane County, Washington in Section 36, Township 25N, Range 42E (Figure 1 Vicinity Map). This Wetland Delineation Report (Report) is based upon the requirements and definitions contained within Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code (SMC).

The proposed development, Latah Glen Residential Community, encompasses the platting of approximately 39.44 acres into 142 single-family residential lots. The project scope includes the construction of public roadways and public utilities, and associated infrastructure improvements.

Primary access to the site will be through the extension of South Inland Empire Way through parcel number 25361.0004 (addressed as 3504 South Inland Empire Way) and improvements to the gravel road South Inland Empire Way through Washington State Route 195 Right of Way. Improvements to South Inland Empire Way will include full paving of the roadway, a five-foot-wide sidewalk along the east side, and a ten-foot-wide swale separating the sidewalk from the paved surface. Right-of-way dedication through parcel 25361.0004 (addressed as 3504 South Inland Empire Way) will be completed by the project developer.

A previous Wetland Delineation Report and Surface Waters Evaluation was completed in 2021. This updated 2025 Report is being completed to include the South Inland Empire Way Improvements.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of the study was to document the presence or absence and extent of wetlands or surface waters located on the Property, adjacent to the Property, or within the vicinity of the South Inland Empire Way Improvement and determine jurisdictional status and regulatory requirements based upon the findings.

1.2 Regulatory Requirements

This Report delineates, describes, and maps the presence and extent of wetlands, jurisdictional waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional surface waters based upon definitions in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory. 1987); Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region or Arid West (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008); Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual (DOE. 1997); and Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code.

<u>Federal</u>

Local, state and federal regulations apply to activities in and near wetlands. The Clean Water Act is a federal act that regulates the placement of fill in jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States. Section 404 of the Clean Water Act requires permits for filling jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States. Section 404 permits must be administered by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and certified by the state agency (as outlined in Section 401 of the Clean Water Act). Work

within the boundaries of jurisdictional wetlands or the ordinary high water mark of waters of the United States are regulated under the USACE permitting process.

The USACE defines wetlands as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Generally, this definition requires the three parameters of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology be simultaneously present. The USACE only regulates jurisdictional wetlands. Wetlands are considered jurisdictional by the USACE if they are closely associated with jurisdictional waters of the United States. The term waters of the United States has a broad meaning and incorporates both deepwater aquatic habitats and special aquatic sites, including wetlands, as follows:

a. The territorial seas;

b. Coastal and inland waters, lakes, rivers, and streams that are navigable waters of the United States, including adjacent wetlands;

c. Tributaries to navigable waters of the United States, including adjacent wetlands;

d. Interstate waters and their tributaries, including adjacent wetlands; and

e. All other waters of the United States not identified above, the degradation of or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce.

Final determination of jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States is subject to approval by the USACE. Wetlands and surface waters that are not under USACE jurisdiction may still require permits from local, county, or state agencies.

State

The Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) defines and regulates wetlands as described in Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual and Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington (Hruby, T. 2014). The DOE wetland definition is based on the USACE wetland definition and includes areas where hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology are simultaneously present.

Local

Defined in Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code.

2. METHODOLOGY

The analysis for wetlands conducted on this site is based on the routine (on-site) methodology of the USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and the Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region or Arid West. This method requires that evidence of three parameters (hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology) be simultaneously present for a wetland determination (specific and problematic situations may not always require all three parameters be present simultaneously at the time of the onsite investigation).

Two levels of information, preliminary site research and a site-specific investigation have been gathered for this analysis for the purposes of determining the presence and extent or absence of wetlands and water bodies.

2.1 Preliminary Research

Environmental Inc. conducted a review of existing information to develop background knowledge of physical features and to identify the potential for wetland occurrence on or within the vicinity of the Property. The following information related to topography, drainage, and water features was obtained for preliminary review of the site conditions:

- National Wetland Inventory (NWI)/Spokane County Scout Map (Figure 2);
- Washington Department of Ecology Water Quality Atlas (Figure 3);
- Aerial Images showing 1 kilometer area (Figure 4);
- NRCS Web Soil Survey (Figure 5), and
- NRCS WETS precipitation data (Figure 6.).

2.2 Site Specific Investigation

An initial site investigation was completed on 5/18/21, follow up site investigations were completed on 3/31/25 and 4/4/25. Four data plots (DP) were established to evaluate for the three-wetland parameters of hydrology, hydric soils, and hydrophytic vegetation (Photographs 1-4; Appendix A. Wetland Data Forms). In addition to the DP's, the Property and adjacent areas were visually inspected (no associated DP's) for the three wetland parameters of hydrology, hydric soils, and hydrophytic vegetation as necessary to assist in identifying and determining wetland boundaries.

2.2.1 Data Plot 1

Data Plot 1 (DP1) did not meet the three wetland parameters and was not located within a wetland. DP1 was located within the ROW in a disturbed area. Approximately three plus feet of soil had recently been removed from this location (as shown on Figure 7. South Inland Empire Way Improvement Cross Section; and Figure 8. South Inland Empire Way Improvement Wetland Delineation), as such an atypical data form was utilized at DP1.

<u>Hydrology</u>

The United States Army Corps of Engineers Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region (Wetland Manual) identifies wetland hydrology indicators. According to the Wetland Manual and in order to meet wetland hydrology indicators, a water table or soil saturation is required within 12 inches or less of the surface. Under normal circumstances, and prior to the removal of approximately three plus feet of soil, wetland hydrology indicators would not be present at DP1. Based on topographical contours of the area prior to soil removal, the area was on a slope, and the water table was greater than three feet below the surface. Surface water would not be present on the sloped area, nor would any of the other wetland hydrology indicators be present on the sloped area as indicated by adjacent and/or undisturbed areas on similar elevation or contours. As such, wetland hydrology was not present at DP1.

Soils

The Wetland Manual states for most soils, the recommended excavation depth of a soil data plot is approximately 20 inches from the soil surface. Pre-disturbed soil evaluation was not possible at DP1 due to the removal of three plus feet of soil. Undisturbed native soils and soils at what would have been equivalent elevational contours in the immediate vicinity were examined and did not meet any of the hydric soil requirements. As such, hydric soils were not present at DP1.

Vegetation

Previous vegetation is unknown, however based upon historical aerial images and adjacent areas of similar topographical elevations and contours, vegetation could be similar to what was present at the data plot 3 location. As such, hydrophytic vegetation was not likely present.

2.2.2 Data Plot 2

Data plot 2 (DP2) met the three wetland parameters and was located within a wetland boundary.

Hydrology

Surface water and saturated soils were observed at DP2.

Soils

Hydric soils indicators were present at DP2.

Vegetation

Hydrophytic vegetation was present at DP2.

2.2.3 Data Plot 3

Data plot 3 (DP3) did not meet the three wetland parameters and was located within a wetland boundary.

<u>Hydrology</u>

Wetland hydrology was not observed at DP3.

<u>Soils</u>

Hydric soils indicators were not present at DP3.

<u>Vegetation</u> Hydrophytic vegetation was not present at DP3.

2.2.3 Data Plot 4

Data plot 4 (DP4) did not meet the three wetland parameters and was located within a wetland boundary.

<u>Hydrology</u>

Wetland hydrology was not observed at DP4.

<u>Soils</u> Hydric soils indicators were not present at DP4.

<u>Vegetation</u> Hydrophytic vegetation was present at DP4.

3. RESULTS

One wetland area was identified in the vicinity of the South Inland Empire Way Improvements (Figure 8. South Inland Empire Way Improvement Wetland Delineation). No wetlands were identified on the Latah Glen Residential Community Development Property. The wetland boundary was identified based upon physical observation of the three wetland parameters, existing topographical data and aerial photograph interpretation. The wetland boundary was flagged, Storhaug Engineering subsequently surveyed the flagged wetland boundary.

The wetland is a palustrine emergent slope/depressional wetland area located on the adjacent parcel northwest of the Property and adjacent to the ROW. This wetland flows under State Route 195 in a culvert and appears to be connected via surface water to Hangman Creek. As such, this wetland is likely jurisdictional under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Final jurisdictional determination is made by the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

Wetland Rating

Wetland ratings were based upon the 2014 Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington and definitions identified in Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code. This wetland was rated as a depressional wetland and is rated as a Category III wetland (Appendix B. Wetland Rating Form).

Wetland Buffer

This wetland is a Category III wetland with a recommended 150 foot buffer based upon regulations outlined in Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code. (Figure 8. South Inland Empire Way Improvement Wetland Delineation).

Impacts

No wetland impacts will occur; no dredging, no placement of fill within the wetland boundary or temporary disturbances within the wetland boundary will occur.

Approximately 22,000 square feet (sf) of wetland buffer will be disturbed (Figure 9. South Inland Empire Way Proposed Improvements).

4. WETLAND BUFFER RESTORATION

Impacts were avoided and minimized to the extent practicable. Impacts to the wetland were avoided entirely. Impacts to the associated wetland buffer were minimized by keeping the project footprint to the minimum necessary to meet the purpose and needs of the improvements. Unavoidable wetland buffer disturbances will be mitigated through wetland buffer restoration.

Approximately 22,000 square feet of wetland buffer will be restored and enhanced (Planting Area) to ensure no net loss of wetland buffer functions and values occurs. The Planting Area will be re-seeded with a native upland seed mix and re-planted with 100 trees and shrubs (Figure 10. Planting Plan Area).

Planting Specifications

A total of 100 plantings will be installed within the Planting Area. The quantity of plantings was determined by using 15 foot spacing (225 sf per planting) between plantings extrapolated over the 22,000 sf Mitigation Planting Area (22,000/225=100). All proposed mitigation plants are native to Spokane County.

The following quantity, species and size will be utilized for planting. As needed, modifications may be required due to planting stock availability. The city of Spokane will be notified in writing should any species substitutions be required due to availability.

Proposed Plantings:

- Sixteen (16) black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*) one inch caliper in size;
- Forty-one (41) serviceberry (Amelanchier alnifolia) two-gallon container stock; and
- Forty-three (43) wood's rose (*Rosa woodsii*) two-gallon container stock.

Specifications:

- The boundaries of the Planting Area are identified on the Planting Plan, the corners of the Planting Area shall be staked on site.
- Fifteen foot spacing was utilized to determine planting quantities. Actual placement of plants may vary based upon site conditions utilizing in part a "fit in the field approach" in which best professional judgment will be utilized to maximize species survivorship and species contribution to the overall functions and values of the site. This may include grouping of plants within the Planting Area.
- Mitigation plantings shall occur in the first fall upon completion of the South Inland Empire Way Improvements.
- Hand watering or irrigation may be necessary during the first few years and/or during the drier seasons to ensure higher survivorship.
- Additional specifications are identified on the Planting Plan (Figure 10).

Re-seeding

The Planting Area will be re-seeded with a Dryland Mix: "Inland Northwest Native Mix" at approximately 1 pound per 1,000 sf. This dryland mix consists of:

- Mountain Brome (*Bromus carinatus*),
- Idaho Fescue (Festuca idahoensis),
- Bluebunch Wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata),
- Slender Wheatgrass (*Elymus trachycaulus*),
- Sherman Big Bluegrass (Poa secunda).

Re-seeding will be completed in accordance with the specifications on the Planting Plan (Figure 10).

Monitoring

Plantings will be monitored annually for three years to ensure survival rates are sufficient to meet the goals and objectives. The overall goals and objectives of the buffer enhancement/restoration are to restore and enhance the wetland buffer area. The goals and objectives will be accomplished by achieving an overall survivorship of 75% of the plantings (100 plantings x 75% = 75 plantings) at the end of the three year monitoring period.

In the event the overall survivorship falls below 75% during the monitoring period, additional plantings will be placed to ensure the overall survivorship numbers are at or above the 75% goal.

Annual monitoring will occur in years 1, 2 and 3 following the installation of the plantings. Annual monitoring reports will document the number of surviving plantings by species, photo documentation as necessary and will include any recommendations or contingency actions should survivorship fall below 75%. Annual monitoring reports will be submitted to the city of Spokane prior to December 1st in years 1, 2 and 3 following the implementation of the plantings.

5. REGULATORY DOCUMENTS

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. FWS/OBS-79/31. Office of Biological Services, USFWS, Washington D.C.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual*. Technical Report Y-87-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. (http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/wetlands/pdfs/wlman87.pdf)

Hitchcock, C.L., A. Cronquist, M. Ownbey, and J.W. Thompson. 1973. *Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest*. University of Washington Press. Seattle, Washington.

Hitchcock, C.L., A. Cronquist. 1994. Flora of the Pacific Northwest. University of Washington Press, Seattle, WA.

Hruby, T. 2004. Washington State wetland rating system for eastern Washington – Revised. Washington State Department of Ecology Publication # 04-06-15.

Spokane County Critical Areas Ordinance. Spokane County. 2018 (Revised).

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008. Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region, ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-13. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016. *National List of Vascular Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands: Summary*. United States Department of the Interior, United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington D.C.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2018. *National Wetlands Inventory (NWI). Wetlands Mapper*, United States Department of Interior. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington D.C.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2006. 1:24,000. United States Geological Survey Denver, Colorado. Maptech, Inc. 1998. Version 3.01 Greenland, New Hampshire.

U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS). 1998. USGS Topographic, 7.5 minute series topographic maps. Maptech, Inc. Version 3.01 Greenland, New Hampshire

Washington Department of Ecology (DOE). 1997. Washington State Wetlands Delineation and Identification Manual.

Washington Department of Ecology (DOE). 2014. Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System.

Photograph 1. Data Plot 1 Location



Photograph 2. Data Plot 2 Location



Photograph 3. Data Plot 3 Location

Photograph 4. Data Plot 4 Location



Figure 1. Vicinity Map Parcel Numbers 25361.0004 and 25364.0001





Figure 2. National Wetland Inventory /Spokane County Interactive Map

Figure 3. Washington Department of Ecology Water Quality Atlas







Figure 4. Aerial Images showing 1 kilometer area

Figure 5. NRCS Web Soil Survey





Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MA	P LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Area of Interest (AC	II) 👌 Stony Spot	1.24,000.
Soils	Wery Stony Spot Out Ou	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Soil Map Unit Polyg	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can caus
Soil Map Unit Lines	∆ Other	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
	S Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detail
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.
Borrow Pit	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
Clay Spot	Transportation	
	Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
	nterstate Highways	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Gravel Pit	JS Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Merc
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as
🔇 Landfill	Local Roads	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
👗 🛛 Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified da of the version date(s) listed below.
Mine or Quarry		Soil Survey Area Spokane County Washington
Miscellaneous Wate	Pr	Survey Area Data: Version 16, Aug 26, 2024
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
Nock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.
+ Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 9, 2022—A
Sandy Spot		The orthonhoto or other base man on which the soil lines we
Severely Eroded Sp	pot	compiled and digitized probably differs from the background
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
Slide or Slip		
Sodic Spot		



Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1200	Endoaquolls and Fluvaquents, 0 to 3 percent slopes	4.3	4.0%
3055	Clayton-Hagen complex, 8 to 25 percent slopes	4.3	4.0%
3057	Hagen ashy sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.7	0.7%
3120	Marble loamy sand, 0 to 8 percent slopes	60.1	56.0%
3121	Marble loamy sand, 8 to 15 percent slopes	6.5	6.0%
3122	Marble loamy sand, 15 to 30 percent slopes	24.5	22.8%
3123	Marble loamy sand, 30 to 55 percent slopes	5.8	5.4%
4032	Lakespring ashy loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes	1.2	1.1%
Totals for Area of Interest		107.3	100.0%

Figure 6. NRCS WETS

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
2000	м	M	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	М	м	м
2001	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2002	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2003	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2004	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2005	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2006	м	м	М	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2007	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
2008	м	М	М	м	М	м	0.05	м	0.74	0.40	М	м	м
2009	м	0.85	м	м	м	1.18	0.54	1.25	0.80	M	м	2.38	M
2010	2.09	1.46	М	1.72	1.56	м	м	0.19	1.44	1.75	3.17	м	M
2011	м	0.90	3.15	2.22	2.53	1.00	0.57	0.00	м	1.02	1.58	1.11	M
2012	2.18	1.87	4.38	1.62	1.47	M	0.75	0.16	0.01	1.60	2.51	2.23	M
2013	0.82	0.66	M	1.09	1.30	2.40	т	1.45	2.02	0.29	M	0.73	M
2014	0.79	1.83	3.12	0.95	0.86	1.66	0.57	0.63	0.13	1.48	1.69	1.95	15.66
2015	2.07	1.12	2.72	0.93	0.50	0.10	0.99	0.28	0.48	0.73	1.46	м	M
2016	M	0.83	3.32	0.66	1.33	0.83	0.34	0.42	0.50	8.08	2.09	1.30	M
2017	1.86	4.66	3.58	1.25	1.19	1.06	т	0.01	1.37	2.17	3.36	м	м
2018	2.73	1.52	M	M	1.33	0.68	0.02	0.18	0.04	1.68	2.14	M	м
2019	1.72	2.46	0.43	м	1.91	0.43	0.57	0.49	2.26	1.99	0.57	2.05	м
2020	3.19	0.85	0.76	0.58	3.04	1.12	0.26	0.05	0.32	2.06	1.90	2.29	М
2021	2.70	0.72	0.47	0.24	0.15	0.60	0.03	0.09	0.79	1.58	2.38	1.23	10.98
2022	1.92	0.72	1.54	0.95	2.23	3.04	0.60	0.04	0.75	0.53	2.59	3.73	18.64
2023	1.49	0.73	0.87	1.60	2.09	0.77	0.09	0.80	0.94	0.47	2.34	3.88	M
2024	2.32	1.90	1.20	0.76	М	1.05	0.08	0.24	0.04	0.90	4.08	4.43	м
2025	1.50	2.50	1.79	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м
Mean	1.96	1.50	2.10	1.12	1.54	1.14	0.34	0.39	0.79	1.67	2.28	2.28	15.09

Monthly Total Precipitation for SPOKANE 5.5 S, WA (CoCoRaHS)

Figure 7. South Inland Empire Way Improvement Cross Section



Figure 8. South Inland Empire Way Wetland Delineation



L

Figure 9. South Inland Empire Way Proposed Improvements



Figure 10. South Inland Empire Way Planting Plan

					<u>Y</u>		
۲ کو ۲ می می ۲ کو ۲ ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲ ۲ می ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲ ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲ کو ۲	HYDRO	ND HYDROSEED AREA - SEE SEED SPECIFICATION.	DETAIL SHEET L2.0 FC	DR 22,	000 SF		
PLANT S	CHEDUI	LE WETLAND RESTOR	ATION: TREES				_
SYMBOL	<u>CODE</u>	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	<u>SIZE</u>		<u>QTY</u>	
	PT	POPULUS TRICHOCARPA	BLACK COTTONWOOD	1" CAL.	B&B	16	
PLANT S	CODE	ROTANIC AL NAME	ATION: SHRUBS	SIZE			
SHRUBS		BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME				
	AS	AMELANCHIER ALNIFOLIA	SERVICEBERRY	2 GAL.	41		
$\overline{+}$	RR2	ROSA RUGOSA	WOODS ROSE	2 GAL.	43		
BUFF	ER R	EPLANTING A	REA NOTES:	1			
<u> </u>		PLANTINGS IN BUFFER REP	LANTING AREA TO BE I	- INSTALLEI	D		
ا I 2. P	MPROVEM MPROVEM	OLLOWING COMPLETION OF ENTS. PLANTINGS IN BUFFER REP	F INLAND EMPIRE WAY PLANTING AREA TO ACH	IIEVE A			
	SURVIVOR SURVIVOR	SHIP RATE OF 75% AFTER T SHIP RATE FALLS BELOW 75	HREE YEARS. IF PLANT %, CONTRACTOR TO R	ing Eplace			
P	PLANTINGS	5.					
)					
)					
)					
)					
GI	RAPHIC) ≥)) C SCALE					
G]	RAPHIC	S					
G]		$S = \frac{5}{30} = \frac{60}{100}$					
G]	RAPHIC (IN F 1 inch =	C SCALE 5 30 60 EET) 30 ft.					
G] °	RAPHIC (IN F 1 inch =	SCALE $SCALE$ $SCAL$					
G]	RAPHIC o 1 (IN F 1 inch =	$SCALE = \frac{5}{30} = \frac{60}{100}$			NAVD88 = E	STABLI	SHED FROM GPS
G]	RAPHIC o 1 (IN F 1 inch =	$C SCALE S = 30 \qquad 60 EET) 30 ft. REVISIONS$			NAVD88 = E	STABLI	SHED FROM GPS
G]	RAPHIC (IN F 1 inch =	$C SCALE$ $S = 30 \qquad 60$ $M = 100$ $S = 100$			<u>NAVD88 = E</u> <u>3 ELEV. 1843.8</u>	STABLI	SHED FROM GPS
G]	RAPHIC o 1 (IN F 1 inch =) \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq		NAVD88 CBM NG	NAVD88 = E 3 ELEV. 1843.8 D. :	STABLI	<u>Shed from gps</u> <u>25' 50' 75</u>
G G E B Y PRC	RAPHIC o 1 (IN F 1 inch =	$C SCALE$ $S = 30 \qquad 60$ $EET)$ $S = 100$ $EET)$ $S = 100$ $S = 100$		NAVD88 CBM NO N	<u>NAVD88 = E</u> <u>3 ELEV. 1843.8</u> <u>0. :</u> AVD88 DATUM	STABLI	SHED FROM GPS



GENERAL NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO READ ALL OF THE NOTES IN THESE PLANS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- CONTRACTOR TO KEEP A FULL SET OF TO-SCALE LANDSCAPE PLANS ON SITE FOR THE DURATION OF LANDSCAPE INSTALLATION.
- CONTRACTOR TO LOCATE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, i.e., CABLES, CONDUIT, GAS, WATER, SEWER, ETC. PRIOR TO DIGGING. CONTRACTOR TO BE LIABLE AND PAY FOR REPAIR TO ANY AND ALL UTILITY DAMAGES AT NO EXTRA COST TO THE OWNER. CALL 811 BEFORE DIGGING, EXCAVATING, TRENCHING, DEMOLITION OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION.
- . NOT ALL SPRINKLER HEADS, VALVES, BACK-FLOW PREVENTION DEVICES, PIPING OR OTHER EQUIPMENT ARE SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. LOCATE ALL SPRINKLER HEADS, VALVES, BACK-FLOW PREVENTION DEVICES, PIPING OR OTHER EQUIPMENT IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. NOTIFY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OF ANY CONFLICTS.
- SCOPE OF WORK: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL LABOR, MATERIALS, TRANSPORTATION AND SERVICES NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR APPLICATION AND PAYMENT OF ALL REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS. LOCAL CODES PREVAIL.
- ALL PLANTER AND TURF AREAS TO RECEIVE 6" OF CLEAN TOPSOIL; PLANTER AREA TOPSOIL TO BE MIXED 50/50 WITH ORGANIC COMPOST. PLANTER AREAS TO RECEIVE 3" DEPTH (MIN.) MULCH OVER FINISHED TOPSOIL GRADE AFTER PLANTING UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE ON PLANS.
- REFER TO DETAIL SHEET FOR PLANTING DETAILS. ALL PLANTS SHALL BE PLANTED THE DAY OF DELIVERY AND BE "MUDDED IN" DURING BACKFILLING - BACKFILL IS TO BE MIXED WITH WATER TO ACHIEVE A THICK MUD DURING BACKFILL OPERATIONS. EACH PLANT NEEDS A FORMED WATER WELL THAT MUST BE FILLED WITH WATER BEFORE LEAVING THE SITE. TREE WATER WELLS SHOULD BE FILLED 3-4 TIMES A WEEK DURING GROWING SEASON(S) TO ESTABLISH OVER AT LEAST THE FIRST YEAR OF PLANTING AS A SUPPLEMENT TO AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION.
- 8. SEE ENGINEERING DRAWINGS FOR DETAILED SITE, UTILITY AND DRAINAGE FEATURE INFORMATION.
- 9. PRESERVE AND PROTECT EXISTING HARDSCAPE TO REMAIN. DAMAGE TO HARDSCAPE INCURRED AS A RESULT OF LANDSCAPE INSTALLATION OPERATION TO BE REPAIRED/REPLACED AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- 10. CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES FOUND BETWEEN THE DRAWINGS AND ACTUAL SITE CONDITIONS.
- 11. GENERAL CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ROUGH GRADE IN ALL TURF AREAS AND PLANTER BEDS WITHIN 0.10 FOOT OF GRADE SHOWN ON CIVIL DRAWINGS MINUS THE COMBINED TOTAL DEPTH OF TOPSOIL AND MULCH SPECIFIED AND AN ADDITIONAL 0.5 INCH DEPTH WITHIN 1 HORIZONTAL FOOT OF EXISTING AND/OR PROPOSED HARDSCAPES.
- 12. GENERAL CONTRACTOR TO CLEAR AND GRUB TURF AREAS AND PLANTING BEDS OF ALL WEEDS, ROOTS, LAWN AND DEBRIS; SPECIFIED LANDSCAPE AREAS TO BE SMOOTH AND CONTOURED AS SHOWN ON CIVIL DRAWINGS; ANY ROCK/DEBRIS LARGER THAN 1.5" TO BE REMOVED FROM TOP 12" OF SOIL AS MEASURED FROM FINISHED GRADES.
- 13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A QUALIFIED SUPERVISOR ON THE SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION THROUGH COMPLETION OF FINAL PUNCH-LIST WORK.
- 14. IMPORTED TOPSOIL SHALL CONSIST OF SANDY LOAM; NONTOXIC, FREE OF NOXIOUS WEEDS, GRASS, BRUSH, STICKS OR ROCKS GREATER THAN 1/2" IN DIAMETER, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 15. CONTRACTOR TO RAKE FINISH GRADE SMOOTH AND NATURAL. NO SLOPE TO EXCEED 3:1. SEE 'SLOPE ROUNDING' DETAIL ON LANDSCAPE DETAILS SHEET.

PLANTING NOTES:

- DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION.
- SCHEDULE, IF GIVEN.
- RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- SPECIFICATIONS.
- REPRESENTATIVE PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- LETTERHEAD.
- APRIL 1ST.
- OWNER AND/OR OWNER REPRESENTATIVE.

REVISIONS	NAVD88 = EST,	ABLISHED FROM GPS							
	NAVD88 ELEV. 1843.87 CBM NO. :	0' 25' 50' 75'	BENCH MA PLS 48373	RK LOCATION: 5/8" REBAR WITH PLASTIC CAP AT THE SOUTHEAST PROPERTY CORNER		BY	DATES	storhäug	19-342
DATE BY PROJECT DESCRIPTION	NAVD88 DATUM	SCALE IN FEET	SCALE	HORIZONTAL SCALE (22 x 34) VERTICAL SCALE (22 x 34)	DRAWN: APPROVED:	JCL LB	4/9/2025 4/9/2025	civil engineering planning landscape architecture surveying	510 east third avenue spokane, wa 9 p 509.242.1000 f 509.242.

1. A QUALIFIED SUPERVISOR SHALL BE ON-SITE AT ALL TIMES FOR THE

2. ALL PLANT MATERIAL QUANTITIES SHALL BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. QUANTITIES LISTED IN SCHEDULES ARE FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE NUMBER OF PLANTS SHOWN ON LANDSCAPE PLANS SHALL HAVE PRIORITY OVER THE NUMBER LISTED IN THE

3. ALL CONTAINER OR FIELD GROWN TREES, SHRUBS, VINES AND FLATTED GROUNDCOVERS SHALL BE PURCHASED BY THE CONTRACTOR. ALL SEEDED AND SOD TURF (INCLUDING HYDROMULCHES) SHALL BE PURCHASED BY THE CONTRACTOR. PAYING FOR THE PLANTING OF ALL PLANT MATERIALS; THE SPECIFIED GUARANTEE OF ALL PLANT MATERIALS; THE STAKING AND GUYING OF TREES AND THE CONTINUOUS PROTECTION OF ALL PLANT MATERIALS UPON THEIR ARRIVAL AT THE SITE SHALL BE THE

4. GROUNDCOVER PLANTING UNDER TREES AND SHRUBS SHALL BE CONTINUOUS AS SHOWN ON PLANS.

5. ALL INSTALLED PLANT MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSERYMAN'S NATIONAL STANDARD

6. ALL MATERIALS (PLANT MATERIALS, SOD, SEED, LANDSCAPE MULCHES, EDGING, ETC.) ARE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE OWNER AND/OR OWNER

7. ALL PLANT MATERIAL INSTALLED BY CONTRACTOR SHALL BE WARRANTED FOR EIGHTEEN MONTHS FROM DATE OF FINAL ACCEPTANCE. LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND REPLACE ALL DEAD AND/OR DYING PLANT MATERIAL (EXCEPT THOSE DUE TO VANDALISM OR NEGLECT) WITH PLANT MATERIAL EQUAL TO THE INSTALLED MATERIAL. GUARANTEE TO BE WRITTEN, DATED AND SIGNED BY CONTRACTOR ON CONTRACTOR'S

8. CONTRACTOR TO PNEUMATICALLY APPLY (HYDROSEED) DRYLAND GRASS AREAS BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 15TH AND OCTOBER 1ST, OR MARCH 1ST AND

9. NO SUBSTITUTIONS ARE PERMITTED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF

- 1. SEED SHALL BE DELIVERED IN ORIGINAL, UNOPENED CONTAINERS SHOWING WEIGHT, CERTIFIED ANALYSIS, NAME AND ADDRESS OF MANUFACTURER, AND INDICATION OF CONFORMANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS, AS APPLICABLE.
- 2. CONTRACTOR TO BRING TO THE JOB SITE THE PACKING LIST FROM THE SEED SUPPLIER LISTING ALL THE SEED DELIVERED TO THE JOB SITE.
- 3. PROVIDE FRESH, CLEAN, NEW-CROP SEED COMPLYING WITH TOLERANCE OF PURITY AND GERMINATION ESTABLISHED BY THE OFFICIAL SEED ANALYSIS OF NORTH AMERICA. PROVIDE SEED MIXTURE COMPOSED OF GRASS SPECIES AND PERCENTAGES AS SPECIFIED BY SEED MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER.
- 4. PROVIDE MIXTURE COMPOSED OF GRASS AND FERTILIZER AS FOLLOWS:
- 4.1. TURF MIX: "IDEAL TURF" FROM PLANTS OF THE WILD OR APPROVED EQUAL DRYLAND MIX: "INLAND NORTHWEST NATIVE MIX" FROM PLANTS OF THE WILD OR APPROVED EQUAL.
- 5. FERTILIZER: PER SPECIFICATIONS
- 6. COORDINATE WITH CIVIL PLANS FOR STABILIZATION OF SLOPES TO RECEIVE HYDROSEED.
- 7. SEED SUPPLIER: PLANTS OF THE WILD, TEKOA WA 509-284-2848

HYDROSEED NOTES NTS

P-SE-TUR-10

			Image: Construction of the second
	PROJECT NAME:	INLAND EMPIRE WAY	
	SEGMENT LIMITS:		TYPE OF IMPROVEMENT: LANDSCAPE
	STANDARD NOTE	S AND DETAILS	PROJECT NO.
	APN 25361.0001 &	25364.0001	2023510
99202 2.1001	PROJECT LIMITS: INLAND EMPIRE W	VAY	
		CALL BEFORE YOU	J DIG 1-800-424-5555

			CITY OF SPOKANE STAND	ARD LANDSCAPE	NOTES:	
		1.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PLANT ALL TREES AND S V-101 AND V-102. AFTER PLANTING, IF TREES ARE ONLY AS NECESSARY. AT 6 MONTHS ALL TREE STA STILL UNSTABLE AFTER 6 MONTHS TREE MAY NEED	HRUBS ON SITE ACCORDING TO D E UNSTABLE STAKING MAY BE USE KING SHALL BE REMOVED. IF TRE D TO BE REPLACED.	DETAIL D BUT E IS	
		2.	TREE PROTECTION FENCING SHALL BE INSTALLED ANY SITE/DEMO/SOIL WORK PER CITY OF SPOKAN PROTECTION FENCING SHALL REMAIN INTACT THR CONSTRUCTION. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR IS R	AROUND ALL STREET TREES PRIO E SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAIL. T OUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF DEMO ESPONSIBLE TO ENSURE THIS	R TO REE AND	
		3.	TREES SHALL BE STAKED AS NEEDED PER CITY OF	SPOKANE STANDARDS.		
		4.	TREES AND/OR SHRUBS PLANTED IN SIGHT TRIAN PRESERVE CLEAR SIGHT LINES BETWEEN 3' AND 8 17A.020.030(N) AND 17C.200.050(F).	IGLES SHALL BE MAINTAINED TO ' ABOVE GROUND PER SMC		
		5.	ANY SUBSTITUTIONS OF PUBLIC/STREET TREES M URBAN FORESTRY AND THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITEC	UST HAVE WRITTEN APPROVAL FROT PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.	ОМ	
		6.	STREET TREES BEING REMOVED SHALL BE DONE P	PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF DEMO PER	MIT.	
		7.	CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR THE HIRING OF A SUBMIT A PUBLIC TREE PERMIT APPLICATION FOR REMOVALS, PRUNING, AND PLANTING. THIS APPLI 10 DAYS PRIOR TO WORK FOR THIS PROJECT, SHO	A LICENSED CERTIFIED ARBORIST ALL WORK ON STREET TREES, INC CATION SHOULD BE SUBMITTED A DWING START AND COMPLETION D	TO CLUDING T LEAST ATES.	
		8.	STREET TREES IN CONTINUOUS PLANTING STRIPS	MUST HAVE A TREE WELL AT THE	BASE	
		9.	INDIVIDUAL TREE PLANTING VAULTS MUST HAVE	THE CAPACITY TO HOLD A MINIMU	M OF	
		10	100 CUBIC FEET OF UN-COMPACTED SOILS.	AN MUST HAVE WRITTEN APPROVA	I FROM	
		10.	CITY OF SPOKANE PLANNING AND URBAN FOREST	RY PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.		
		11.	ROCK MULCH MAY ONLY BE USED AS AN ACCENT O WITHIN THE RIGHT OF WAY NEEDS TO BE A MINIM SIDEWALK OR CURB AND NEEDS TO BE LANDSCAP OTHER MATERIAL WITH FINES THAT CAN BE WASH	ONLY; ANY ROCK MULCH LOCATED 1UM OF 1" BELOW THE LEVEL OF T PE ROCK INSTEAD OF PEA GRAVEL 1ED AWAY.	HE OR	
		12.	ALL MULCH AND LANDSCAPE FABRIC SHALL BE PU OF ALL WOODY PLANTS 3-6" SO CROWNS/ROOT FI	LLED AWAY FROM CROWNS/ROOT LARES ARE VISIBLE.	FLARES	
		13.	ALL PROPOSED FENCING DEPICTED REQUIRES A S	EPARATE FENCE PERMIT, PER SEC	TION	
		14.	ANY NEW SIGNAGE REQUIRES A SEPARATE SIGN F	PERMIT.		
		15.	OBSERVE THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM SEPARATION TREE TO OTHER STRUCTURES OR IMPROVEMENTS FOLLOWS (PER CITY OF SPOKANE DESIGN STAND LANDSCAPE PLANS):	DISTANCES FROM THE CENTERLIN IN THE PLANTING STRIP SHALL BE ARDS (UNLESS STATED OTHERWIS	NE OF A E AS SE ON	
		•	10' TO EDGE OF SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DRIV	EWAY; 15' FROM EDGE OF COMME	RCIAL	
		•	20' TO STREET LIGHT LUMINAIRE (15' MAY BE ALLO	WED WHERE LIGHTING PATTERN I	S NOT	
		•	AFFECTED). 10' FROM FIRE HYDRANTS AND UTILITY POLES. LOW VISIBILITY OF THE HYDRANT. NO NEW UTILITY POL CLOSER THAN 10 FEFT TO AN EXISTING TREE.	VER LIMBS MUST BE PRUNED FOR E LOCATIONS SHALL BE ESTABLIS	FULL HED	
		•	AS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AN ADEQUATE CLEAR VI	EW TRIANGLE AS DEFINED BELOW	/ AND	
		•	SHOWN IN THE APPENDIX. 15' TO UNDERGROUND DUCT OR PIPE			
		•	5' FROM CURB CUT FOR DRAINAGE			
		•	20' FROM DRYWELL, UNLESS THE SPECIES PERMITS DIAMETER.	A CLOSER PLACEMENT DUE TO C	ROWN	
			REVISIONS	NAVD88 = EST	ABLISHED FROM GPS	RF
				NAVD88 ELEV. 1843.87	0' 25' 50' 75'	PL
				ULUM NU. :	SCALE IN FEET	
)ATE	BY PR	OJECT	DESCRIPTION			;



NCH MAF S 48373 A	RK LOCATION: 5/8" REBAR WITH PLASTIC CAP AT THE SOUTHEAST PROPERTY CORNER				storhäud	19-342
			ΒY	DATES	JUTIQUE	
	HORIZONTAL SCALE (22 x 34)	DRAWN:	JCL	4/9/2025	civil engineering planning	
JUALE	VERTICAL SCALE (22 x 34)	APPROVED:	LB	4/9/2025	Iandscape architecture surveying 510 east third ave p 50'	enue spokane, w 9.242.1000 f 509

			STATE OF WASHINGTON LICENSED LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
	ΡΡΟΙΕΩΤΝΑΜΕ:		LICENSE MO EXPIRES ON 5/11/2026 LA - 2.1 LA - 2.1
		ε πετλιίς /νιστές	
		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	
99202			2023519
.1001	PROJECT LIMITS: INLAND EMPIRI		
		UALL DEFURE TOU	DIG 1-000-424-0000

ANTING DETA DRMS AND SPECIES G SERVICES E, WASHINGTON	ILS STANDARD PLAN No. V-102
L WITH EXISTING S	OIL, DO NOT
FROM STEMS AT	

Appendix A Wetland Data Forms

DATA FORM ATYPICAL SITUATIONS

Applicant Name: Storhaug Engineering/Mr. William Nascimento. Date: 4/4/25

Project Name: South Inland Empire Way Improvements Location: DP1

A. VEGETATION:

- 1. Type of Alteration: Removed
- 2. Effect on the Vegetation: Removed

3. Previous Vegetation: Unknown, however based upon historical aerial images and similar topographical elevations and contours, vegetation could be similar to what was present at the data plot 3 location.

DATA POINT:

4. Hydrophytic Vegetation? YES____ NO____

B. HYDROLOGY:

- 1. Type of Alteration: Dredge/removal of approximately 3+ feet of top soil
- 2. Effect on the Hydrology: ground water 3+ feet below original grade
- 3. Previous Hydrology: Not present.

The United States Army Corps of Engineers Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation manual, Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region (Wetland Manual) identifies wetland hydrology indicators. Under normal circumstances, and prior to the removal of approximately 3+ feet of soil, wetland hydrology indicators would not be present. Based on topographical contours of the area prior to soil removal, the area was on a slope, and the water table was greater than 3 feet below the surface. According to the Wetland Manual, the water table or soil saturation is required within 12 inches or less of the surface; surface water would not be present on the sloped area; nor would any of the other wetland hydrology indicators be present on the sloped area as indicated by adjacent and/or undisturbed areas on similar elevation or contours. As such, wetland hydrology would not be present.

DATA POINT:

4. Wellahu Hyulology? fES NO	Х
------------------------------	---

C. SOILS:

1. Type of Alteration: removal of 3+ feet of soil

2. Effect on the Soils: removed

3. Previous Soils: The previous soil was removed. Soils in the vicinity and at similar elevational contours was sampled and observed and did not meet the hydric soil requirements.

DATA POINT:

Depth	Depth	Munsell Matrix		Mottle	
Inches	Inches	Color	Mottle Color	<u>Abundance</u>	<u>Texture</u>
0-6		10YR 5/4			loam
6-24		10YR 4/4			Sandy/loam
					_
					_
	4. Hyd	ric Soils?	YES	NO_x	

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: South Inland Empire Way Improvements	_ City/County: S	Spokane	Sampling Date: 4/4/25	
Applicant/Owner: Storhaug Engineering/Mr. William Nascimento		State: WA	_ Sampling Point: DP2	
Investigator(s): David Armes	_ Section, Town	ship, Range: <u>S36 T25N R42E</u>		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>flat</u>	_ Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none): <u>none</u>	Slope (%): <u>1-2%</u>	
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 4	7.62314	Long: <u>-117.43917</u>	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: 1200- Endoaquolls and Fluvaquents, 0 to 3 perc	ent slopes	NWI classif	ication: none	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year?Yes 🖌	No (If no, explain in	Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes 🖌 No	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	vers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF EINDINGS Attach site man showin	a complina	noint locations transact	s important features ato	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>Ves</u> No
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominan	t Indicator	Dominance Test workshe	et:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Speci	es
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or F.	AC: <u>4</u> (A)
2.					
3				Total Number of Dominant	4 (P)
				Species Across Air Strata.	(D)
4				Percent of Dominant Specie	es
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Dlat aiza)		_ = Total Co	over	That Are OBL, FACW, or F.	AC: <u>100%</u> (A/B
	15	VES		Prevalence Index worksh	eet:
	45			Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
2. Salix discolor	15	YES	FACW	OBL species	x 1 =
3		-			
4				FACW species	_ X Z =
5				FAC species	x 3 =
·	30	- Total C		FACU species	x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 144sf)			Jvei	UPL species	x 5 =
1. Phalaris arundinacea	20	YES	FACW	Column Totals:	(A) (B)
2 Typha latifolia	50	YES	OBL		
2				Prevalence Index = E	3/A =
· ·				Hydrophytic vegetation in	luicators:
4				1 - Rapid Test for Hydr	ophytic Vegetation
4 5				1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is	ophytic Vegetation >50%
3. 4. 5. 6.				1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.				A group of the second sec	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ tations ¹ (Provide supportin
3.				A group of the second sec	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet)
3.				A group of the second sec	ophytic Vegetation >50% $\leq 3.0^1$ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) Ilar Plants ¹
3.				A group of the second sec	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3.				A group of the second sec	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) Ilar Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must
3.	70%			Hydrophytic Vegetation in 1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is 4 - Morphological Adap data in Remarks or 5 - Wetland Non-Vascu Problematic Hydrophyt ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbe	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ tations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
4	70%			A group of the second sec	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ tations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
3.	70%			A gravity of the second s	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
3.	70%	 		Hydrophytic Vegetation in 1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is 4 - Morphological Adap data in Remarks or 5 - Wetland Non-Vascu Problematic Hydrophyti ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbe Hydrophytic Vegetation	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
3.	70%			Hydrophytic Vegetation in 1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is 4 - Morphological Adap data in Remarks or 5 - Wetland Non-Vascu Problematic Hydrophyti ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbe Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
3.	70%	Total Cc		Hydrophytic Vegetation in 1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is 4 - Morphological Adap data in Remarks or 5 - Wetland Non-Vascu Problematic Hydrophytic Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. <u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:)) 1. 2. % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0% Pemarke:	70%			Hydrophytic Vegetation in 1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is 4 - Morphological Adap data in Remarks or 5 - Wetland Non-Vascu Problematic Hydrophytic ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbe Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. <u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:) 1. 2. % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0% Remarks:	70%	Total Co	ver	Hydrophytic Vegetation in 1 - Rapid Test for Hydr 2 - Dominance Test is 3 - Prevalence Index is 4 - Morphological Adap data in Remarks or 5 - Wetland Non-Vascu Problematic Hydrophytic ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbe Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	ophytic Vegetation >50% ≤3.0 ¹ otations ¹ (Provide supportin on a separate sheet) ular Plants ¹ ic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) d wetland hydrology must d or problematic.

Sampling Point:

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dept	h needed to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absenc	e of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	3			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-24	10Y2/1	100%		<u> </u>			silt loam	
				·				
				<u> </u>				
				·				
				<u> </u>				
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration. D=De	oletion. RM=	Reduced Matrix, CS	S=Covered	or Coate	d Sand Gra	ains. ² L	ocation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix,
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applie	cable to all L	RRs, unless other	wise note	əd.)		Indica	tors for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)	_	Sandy Redox (S	S5)			2	cm Muck (A10)
Histic E	pipedon (A2)	_	Stripped Matrix	(S6)			Re	ed Parent Material (TF2)
Black H	istic (A3)	-	Loamy Mucky N	/lineral (F1) (except	MLRA 1)	Ve	ery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
✓ Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Ot	her (Explain in Remarks)
✓ Deplete	d Below Dark Surfac	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			3	
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	-	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)	7)		Indica	tors of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy (Sleved Matrix (S4)	-	Depieted Dark 3	ions (F8)	7)		wei	and hydrology must be present,
Restrictive	Laver (if present):	-		10113 (1 0)				
Type:								_
Depth (in	ches).						Hydric So	nil Present? Yes No
Remarks:								
r tornanto.								
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators	:						
Primary Indi	cators (minimum of	one required	check all that apply	y)			Sec	ondary Indicators (2 or more required)
✓ Surface	Water (A1)		Water-Sta	ined Leave	es (B9) (e :	xcept		Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,
High Wa	ater Table (A2)		MLRA	1, 2, 4A, a	nd 4B)			4A, and 4B)
✓ Saturati	on (A3)		Salt Crust	(B11)				Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water M	larks (B1)		Aquatic Inv	vertebrate	s (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sedime	nt Deposits (B2)		Hydrogen	Sulfide Oc	lor (C1)		—	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift De	posits (B3)		Oxidized F	Rhizosphei	res along	Living Root	ts (C3)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)		Presence	of Reduce	d Iron (C4	·)	. —	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Iron Dep	posits (B5)		Recent Iro	n Reductio	on in Tilleo	Soils (C6)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Surrace	Soll Cracks (B6)	Inconcerci (DZ	Stunted or	Stressed	Plants (D	1) (LRR A))	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
		Imagery (B7) Other (Exp	biain in Re	marks)			Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Sparser	y vegetated Concav	e Sunace (B	0)					
Surface Wat	valions.		lo Donth (in	_{chos}). 12				
Water Table	Drocont?		lo Depth (in	oboo): 0		—		
			io Deptin (ini	ches). <u>-</u>		- Motio	and Undrala	
(includes ca	pillarv fringe)	res_v_ N	io Depth (in	cnes): <u> </u>		vvetia	and Hydroio	gy Present? Yes V No
Describe Re	corded Data (stream	n gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial p	photos, pre	evious ins	pections), i	if available:	
Remarks:								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: South Inland Empire Way Improvements	_ City/County: S	Spokane	_ Sampling Date: 4/4/25		
Applicant/Owner: Storhaug Engineering/Mr. William Nascimento		State: WA	_ Sampling Point: DP3		
Investigator(s): David Armes	vnship, Range: S36 T25N R42E				
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): flat	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none): <u>none</u>	Slope (%): <u>1-2%</u>		
Subregion (LRR): <u>E</u> Lat: <u>4</u>	7.6231	Long: <u>-117.43903</u>	Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>1200- Endoaquolls and Fluvaquents</u> , 0 to 3 perc	ent slopes	NWI classifi	cation: none		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes 🖌	No (If no, explain in I	Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	tly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes 🖌 No		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	ers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No <u>✓</u> No <u>✓</u> No <u>✓</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Remarks:					

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksh	eet:	
% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Spe	cies	
			That Are OBL, FACW, or	FAC: 1	(A)
			Total Number of Dominan	t 2	(P)
			Species Across Air Strata.		(D)
		<u> </u>	Percent of Dominant Spec	cies	
	= Total Co	ver	That Are OBL, FACW, or	FAC: 5 <u>0%</u>	(A/B)
45	VEO		Prevalence Index works	heet:	
15	1ES	FACW	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
70	YES	FACU			_
			OBL species	X 1 =	_
			FACW species	x 2 =	_
			FAC species	x 3 =	
05			FACU species	x 4 =	
60	= Total Co	ver	UPL species	x 5 =	
			Column Totolo:	(^)	(P)
		<u> </u>		(A)	_ (D)
			Prevalence Index =	B/A =	
			Hvdrophytic Vegetation	Indicators:	
			1 - Rapid Test for Hv	trophytic Vegetation	
			2 - Dominance Test is	s >50%	
			3 - Prevalence Index	is ≤3.0 '	
			4 - Morphological Ada	aptations ¹ (Provide sup	oporting
			data in Remarks o	r on a separate sheet))
			5 - Wetland Non-Vase	cular Plants ¹	
			Problematic Hydrophy	ytic Vegetation ¹ (Expla	ain)
			¹ Indicators of hydric soil a	nd wetland hydrology	must
			be present, unless disturb	ed or problematic.	
	= Total Co	/er		•	
			Hydrophytic		
			Vegetation		
90%	= Total Co	/er	Fresent? Tes_		
	Absolute <u>% Cover</u>	Absolute % Cover Dominant Species?	Absolute % Cover Dominant Species? Indicator Status	Absolute % Cover Dominant Species? Indicator Status Dominance Test worksh Number of Dominant Spec That Are OBL, FACW, or	Absolute Dominant Indicator % Cover Species? Status Number of Dominant Species 1 That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 Percent of Dominant Species Percent of Dominant Species 1 Total Number of Dominant Species Percent of Dominant Species 1 Total Cover Prevalence Index worksheet: Multiply by: Total Species x 1 = 1 Total Species x 2 = 1 Total Number of Dominant Species x 1 = 1 Percent of Dominant Species x 1 = 1 Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 0 BL species x 1 = 1 FACW species x 2 = 1 FACU species x 4 = 1 UPL species x 5 = 1 Column Totals: (A) 1 Prevalence Index = B/A = 1 1 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 1 Total Cover 1 - S - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ T

Sampling Point:

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	e to the depth	n needed to docu	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absend	ce of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	S			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR4/3	100%					loam	
10-24	10YR4/2	95%					loam	
·								
	oncentration D=De	nletion RM=F	Reduced Matrix C		d or Coate	d Sand Gr	ains ² l	ocation: PI =Pore Lining M=Matrix
Hvdric Soil	Indicators: (Appli	cable to all L	RRs. unless othe	rwise not	ed.)		Indica	tors for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)	,		2	cm Muck (A10)
Histic E	pipedon (A2)	-	Stripped Matrix	(S6)			Re	ed Parent Material (TF2)
Black H	stic (A3)	-	Loamy Mucky N	Mineral (F	I) (except	MLRA 1)	Ve	ery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Of	ther (Explain in Remarks)
Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	k (F3)			2	
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	-	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)	<u> </u>		°Indica	ators of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy N	lucky Mineral (S1)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		wet	tland hydrology must be present,
Sandy C	aver (if present):		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			unie	ess disturbed of problematic.
Type	Layer (il present).							
Donth (in							Liveria Ca	
Depth (in	cnes).						Hydric SC	Dir Present? Tes No V
Remarks:								
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hv	drology Indicators							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum of	one required.	check all that appl	V)			Sec	condary Indicators (2 or more required)
Surface	Water (A1)	<u>ono roquirou</u>	Water-Sta	ined Leav	es (B9) (e	vcent		Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1 2
High Wa	ater Table (A2)		MIRA	1 2 4A a	and 4 B)	ACC PT		4A and 4B)
Saturati	(A3)		Salt Crust	(B11)	ana 40)			Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water M	larks (B1)		Aquatic In	vertebrate	s (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sedime	nt Deposits (B2)		Hvdrogen	Sulfide Od	dor (C1)		_	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift De	posits (B3)		Oxidized F	Rhizosphe	res along	Livina Roo	ts (C3)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)		Presence	of Reduce	d Iron (C4	l)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Iron Dep	osits (B5)		Recent Irc	n Reducti	on in Tilleo	d Soils (C6	i)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Surface	Soil Cracks (B6)		Stunted or	Stressed	Plants (D	1) (LRR A))	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
Inundati	on Visible on Aerial	Imagery (B7)	Other (Ex	olain in Re	marks)			Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Sparsel	Vegetated Concav	ve Surface (B	8)					
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Wat	er Present?	Yes N	o 🖌 Depth (in	ches):				
Water Table	Present?	Yes N	o 🗸 🛛 Depth (in	ches):				
Saturation P	resent?	Yes N	o 🗸 Depth (in	ches):		Wetla	and Hydrolo	ogy Present? Yes No
(includes ca	pillary fringe)		· 、	,				
Describe Re	corded Data (strear	n gauge, mor	litoring well, aerial	photos, pr	evious ins	pections), i	if available:	
Remarks:								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: South Inland Empire Way Improvements	_ City/County: S	pokane	_ Sampling Date: 4/4/25
Applicant/Owner: Storhaug Engineering/Mr. William Nascimento		State: WA	_ Sampling Point: DP4
Investigator(s):	_ Section, Town	ship, Range: <u>S36 T25N R42E</u>	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): <u>flat</u>	Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none): <u>none</u>	Slope (%): <u>1-2%</u>
Subregion (LRR): E Lat: 4	7.62323	Long: -117.43919	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: 1200- Endoaquolls and Fluvaquents, 0 to 3 perc	ent slopes	NWI classi	fication: none
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	/ear?Yes 🖌	No (If no, explain in	Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	' present? Yes 🖌 No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	oroblematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	vers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF EINDINGS Attach site man showin	a compling	noint locations transport	important factures ato

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No
Remarks:					

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3.				Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
1				
-T		Tatal Oa		Percent of Dominant Species
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:			ver	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/E
				Prevalence Index worksheet:
1				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species x 1 =
3		. <u> </u>		$FACW$ species $x^2 =$
4				
5				FAC species x 3 =
		= Total Co	ver	FACU species x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 144sf)				UPL species x 5 =
1. Phalaris arundinacea	75%	yes	FACW	Column Totals: (A) (B
2				
2				Prevalence Index = B/A =
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4		<u> </u>		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
5				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
6				3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
7				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supportir
8				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
9.				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
11				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
				be present unless disturbed or problematic
		Tatal Oa		be present, unless distarbed of presidinatio.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:		= Total Cov	/er	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		_= Total Cov	/er	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1.)		_= Total Cov	/er	Hydrophytic
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1		_= Total Cov	/er	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1.) 2.	75%	_= Total Cov	/er	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>No</u>
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1	75%	_= Total Cov	/er	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1	75%	_= Total Cov	ver	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>No</u>

Sampling Point:

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	e to the depth	n needed to docu	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absend	ce of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	S			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR4/3	100%					loam	
10-24	10YR4/2	95%					loam	
·								
	oncentration D=De	nletion RM=F	Reduced Matrix C		d or Coate	d Sand Gr	ains ² l	ocation: PI =Pore Lining M=Matrix
Hvdric Soil	Indicators: (Appli	cable to all L	RRs. unless othe	rwise not	ed.)		Indica	tors for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)	,		2	cm Muck (A10)
Histic E	pipedon (A2)	-	Stripped Matrix	(S6)			Re	ed Parent Material (TF2)
Black H	stic (A3)	-	Loamy Mucky N	Mineral (F	I) (except	MLRA 1)	Ve	ery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Of	ther (Explain in Remarks)
Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)	Depleted Matrix	k (F3)			2	
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	-	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)	<u> </u>		°Indica	ators of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy N	lucky Mineral (S1)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		wet	tland hydrology must be present,
Sandy C	aver (if present):		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			unie	ess disturbed of problematic.
Type	Layer (il present).							
Donth (in							Liveria Ca	
Depth (in	cnes).						Hydric SC	Dir Present? Tes No V
Remarks:								
HYDROLO	GY							
Wetland Hv	drology Indicators							
Primary Indi	cators (minimum of	one required.	check all that appl	V)			Sec	condary Indicators (2 or more required)
Surface	Water (A1)	<u>ono roquirou</u>	Water-Sta	ined Leav	es (B9) (e	vcent		Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1 2
High Wa	ater Table (A2)		Mirea	1 2 4A a	and 4 B)	ACC PT		4A and 4B)
Saturati	(A3)		Salt Crust	(B11)	ana 40)			Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water M	larks (B1)		Aquatic In	vertebrate	s (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sedime	nt Deposits (B2)		Hvdrogen	Sulfide Od	dor (C1)		_	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift De	posits (B3)		Oxidized F	Rhizosphe	res along	Livina Roo	ts (C3)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)		Presence	of Reduce	d Iron (C4	l)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Iron Dep	osits (B5)		Recent Irc	n Reducti	on in Tilleo	d Soils (C6	i)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Surface	Soil Cracks (B6)		Stunted or	Stressed	Plants (D	1) (LRR A))	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
Inundati	on Visible on Aerial	Imagery (B7)	Other (Ex	olain in Re	marks)			Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
Sparsel	Vegetated Concav	ve Surface (B	8)					
Field Obser	vations:							
Surface Wat	er Present?	Yes N	o 🖌 Depth (in	ches):				
Water Table	Present?	Yes N	o 🗸 🛛 Depth (in	ches):				
Saturation P	resent?	Yes N	o 🗸 Depth (in	ches):		Wetla	and Hydrolo	ogy Present? Yes No
(includes ca	pillary fringe)		· 、	,				
Describe Re	corded Data (strear	n gauge, mor	litoring well, aerial	photos, pr	evious ins	pections), i	if available:	
Remarks:								

Appendix B Wetland Rating Form

RATING SUMMARY – Eastern Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #):	South Inland Empire	Way Improven	nents	Date of site visit:	4/4/2025	
Rated by <u>Armes</u>		Trained by Ec	ology? 🗹 Yes 🗌 No	Date of training	2012	
HGM Class used for rating	Depressional		Wetland has multiple	HGM classes? 🖸	Yes 🗌 No	
NOTE: Form is not complete with out the figures requested (<i>figures can be combined</i>). Source of base aerial photo/mar Google Earth; Spokane County Scout Map						
OVERALL WETLAND CA	TEGORY III	(based on f	unctions ⊡ or special o	characteristics \Box)		
1. Category of wetla	nd based on FUN	CTIONS				
	Category I - Total se	core = 22 - 27	S	core for each		
	Category II - Total s	core = 19 - 21	fu	nction based		

 Category II - Total score = 19 - 21

 X
 Category III - Total score = 16 - 18

 Category IV - Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	
	List app	ropriate rating	g (H, M, L)	
Site Potential	М	М	М	
Landscape Potential	Н	М	М	
Value	Н	L	L	Tota
Score Based on Ratings	8	5	5	18

Score for each
function based
on three
ratings
(order of ratings
is not
important)
9 = H, H, H
8 = H, H, M
7 = H, H, L
7 = H, M, M
6 = H, M, L
6 = M, M, M
5 = H, L, L
5 = M, M, L
4 = M, L, L
3 = L, L, L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	Category
Vernal Pools	
Alkali	
Wetland of High Conservation Value	
Bog and Calcareous Fens	
Old Growth or Mature Forest - slow growing	
Aspen Forest	
Old Growth or Mature Forest - fast growing	
Floodplain forest	
None of the above	X

Maps and Figures required to answer questions correctly for Eastern Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.5	Wetland Report
Hydroperiods (including area of open water for H 1.3)	D 1.4, H 1.2, H 1.3	Wetland Report
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	Wetland Report
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	D 2.2, D 5.2	Wetland Report
Map of the contributing basin	D 5.3	Wetland Report
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	Wetland Repor
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	Wetland Report
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	D 3.3	Wetland Report

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	H 1.1, H 1.5	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2, H 1.3	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	R 2.4	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of wetland vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.5	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	H 1.1, H 1.5	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2, H 1.3	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	S 3.3	

HGM Classification of Wetland in Eastern Washington

For questions 1 - 4, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1 - 4 apply, and go to Question 5.

- 1. Does the entire unit meet both of the following criteria?
 - □ The vegetated part of the wetland is on the water side of the Ordinary High Water Mark of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface) that is at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size
 - \Box At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 10 ft (3 m)
- 2. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 - □ The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
 - □ The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks;
 - \Box The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.
 - □ NO go to 3

✓ **YES** - The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 foot deep).

- 3. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 - □ The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river;
 - □ The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 10 years.
 - NO go to 4
 YES The wetland class is Riverine
 NOTE: The Riverine wetland can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding.

4. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year. *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

□ NO - go to 5

☑ YES - The wetland class is **Depressional**

5. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1 - 4 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE WETLAND UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM Class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine (the riverine portion	Depressional
is within the boundary of depression)	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

Wetland name or number

D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:	
Wetland has no surface water outlet points = 5	
☑ Wetland has an intermittently flowing outlet points = 3	3
\Box Wetland has a highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 3	
Wetland has a permanently flowing, unconstricted, surface outlet points = 1	
D 1.2. <u>The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer)</u> is true clay or true organic (<i>use NRCS definitions of soils</i>) Yes = 3 No = 0	0
D 1.3. Characteristics of persistent vegetation (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes)	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, vegetation for $> 2/3$ of area points = 5	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, vegetation from $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of area points = 3	5
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed vegetation from $^{1}/_{10}$ to < $^{1}/_{3}$ of area points = 1	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed vegetation $< 1/10$ of area points = 0	
D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:	
This is the area of ponding that fluctuates every year. Do not count the area that is permanently ponded.	
Area seasonally ponded is > $\frac{1}{2}$ total area of wetland points = 3	1
Area seasonally ponded is $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ total area of wetland points = 1	
Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland points = 0	
Total for D 1 Add the points in the boxes above	9

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12 - 16 = H 🤄 6 - 11 = M 🗌 0 - 5 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1 - D 2.3?	1
Source Yes = 1 No = 0	
Total for D 2 Add the points in the boxes above	3

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: \Box 3 or 4 = H \Box 1 or 2 = M \Box 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

Rating of Value If score is:	Record the	e rating or	n the first page
Total for D 3 Add the poin	ts in the boxe	es above	4
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (<i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the drainage or basin in which the wetland is found</i>)?	Yes = 2	No = 0	2
D 3.2.Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where water quality is an issue in some aquatic resource [303(d) list, eutrophic lakes, problems with nuisance and toxic algae]?	Yes = 1	No = 0	1
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, or lake that is on the 303(d) list?	Yes = 1	No = 0	1
D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?			

DEPRESSIONAL WETLANDS Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and erosion		Points (only 1 score per box)
D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?		
D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:		
Wetland has no surface water outlet	points = 8	
Wetland has an intermittently flowing outlet	points = 4	4
Wetland has a highly constricted permanently flowing outlet	points = 4	4
Wetland has a permanently flowing unconstricted surface outlet	points = 0	
(If outlet is a ditch and not permanently flowing treat wetland as "intermittently f	lowing")	
D 4.2. <u>Depth of storage during wet periods</u> : <i>Estimate the height of ponding above the bott</i> For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or deepest par	om of the outlet. t (if dry).	
Seasonal ponding: > 3 ft above the lowest point in wetland or the surface of permanent ponding	points = 8	
Seasonal ponding: 2 ft - < 3 ft above the lowest point in wetland or the surface opermanent ponding	of points = 6	6
The wetland is a headwater wetland	points = 4	
Seasonal ponding: 1 ft - < 2 ft	points = 4	
Seasonal ponding: 6 in - < 1 ft	points = 2	
Seasonal ponding: < 6 in or wetland has only saturated soils	points = 0	
Total for D 4 Add the points in	the boxes above	10
Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12 - 16 = H If 6 - 11 = M 0 - 5 = L R	ecord the rating or	n the first page

D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the hydrologic functions of the site?	
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generates runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses ? Yes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for D 5 Add the points in the boxes above	2
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 = H I tor 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating or	n the first page

D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 6.1. The wetland is in a landscape that has flooding problems.	
Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland being rated. <i>Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met.</i>	
The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds), AND	
Flooding occurs in sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of wetland points = 2 Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient points = 1 The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i>	0
\Box There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland points = 0	
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or floodconveyance in a regional flood control plan?Yes = 2No = 0	0
Total for D 6 Add the points in the boxes above	0
Rating of Value If score is: 2 - 4 = H 1 = M 2 0 = L Record the rating or	n the first page

Wetland Rating System for Eastern WA: 2014 Update Rating Form - Effective January 1, 2015 Wetland name or number

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.	(only 1 score
HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat	per box)
H 1.0. Does the wetland have the potential to provide habitat for many species?	
H 1.1. Structure of plant community:	
Check the Cowardin vegetation classes present and categories of emergent plants. Size threshold for each category is $> = \frac{1}{4}$ ac or $> = 10\%$ of the wetland if wetland is < 2.5 ac.	
☑ Aquatic bed	
\Box Emergent plants 0 - 12 in (0-30 cm) high are the highest layer	
and have > 30% cover 4 or more checks: points = 3	2
\square Emergent plants > 12 - 40 in (> 30-100 cm) high are the 3 checks: points = 2	_
highest layer with >30% cover 2 checks: points - 1	
Emergent plants > 40 in (> 100 cm) high are the highest layer 1 check: points = 0 with >30% cover	
Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover)	
□ Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)	
H 1.2. Is one of the vegetation types Aquatic Bed? Yes = 1 No = 0	1
H 1.3. <u>Surface water</u>	
H 1.3.1. Does the wetland have areas of open water (without emergent or shrub plants) over at least ¼ ac OR 10% of its area during the March to early June OR in August to the end of September? <i>Answer YES for Lake Fringe wetlands</i> .	
✓ Yes = 3 points & go to H 1.4 No = go to H 1.3.2	3
H 1.3.2. Does the wetland have an intermittent or permanent, and unvegetated stream within its boundaries, or along one side, over at least ¹ / ₄ ac or 10% of its area? <i>Answer yes only if H 1.3.1 is No.</i>	
$\Box \text{ Yes} = 3 \qquad \text{No} = 0$	
H 14 Richness of plant species	
Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft ² . Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold. You do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Russian olive, Phragmites, Canadian thistle, yellow-flag iris, and saltcedar (Tamarisk) # of species 5 Scoring: > 9 species: points = 2 4 - 9 species: points = 1	1
< 4 species: points = 0	
 In 1.4. <u>Interspersion of nabitats</u> Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among types of plant structures (described in H 1.1), and unvegetated areas (open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. Use map of Cowardin and emergent plant classes prepared for questions H 1.1 and map of open water from H 1.3. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. None = 0 points Low = 1 point Moderate = 2 points All three diagrams in this row are HIGH = 3 points 	1
Riparian braided channels with 2 classes	

H 1.6. Special habitat features:			
Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points.			
□ Loose rocks larger than 4 in OR large, downed, woody debris (> 4 in diameter) within the area			
of surface ponding or in stream.			
Cattails or bulrushes are present within the wetland.			
□ Standing snags (diameter at the bottom > 4 in) in the wetland or within 30 m (100 ft) of the edge	1		
Emergent or shrub vegetation in areas that are permanently inundated/ponded.			
☐ Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 45			
degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity			
□ Invasive species cover less than 20% in each stratum of vegetation (<i>canopy, sub-canopy,</i>			
shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground cover)			
Total for H 1 Add the points in the boxes above	9		

Rating of Site Potential If Score is: 15 - 18 = H 27 - 14 = M 10 - 6 = L	Record the rating on the first page

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support habitat functions of the site?	
H 2.1 Accessible habitat (only area of habitat abutting wetland). If total accessible habitat is:	
Calculate:	
0 % undisturbed habitat + (50 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 25%	
	2
> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3	2
20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2	
10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1	
< 10 % of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around wetland.	
Calculate:	
% undisturbed habitat + (60 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) =	
	1
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3	1
Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2	
Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1	
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0	
H 2.3 Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon:	
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2)	0
Does not meet criterion above points = 0	
H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not	
influenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside	
boundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0	
Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above	3
Rating of Landscape Potential If Score is: 4 - 9 = H J 1 - 3 = M C 1 - 3 = M C 1 = L Record the rating of	n the first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?

H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies?	Choose only the	
highest score that applies to the wetland being rated .		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see Appendix B)		
It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plan animal on state or federal lists)	nt or	
It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW species		0
It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the		
Department of Natural Resources		
\Box It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or reg	gional	
comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed	plan	
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats within 100 m (see Appendix B)	points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	
Rating of Value If Score is: $\square 2 = H \square 1 = M \square 0 = I$	Record the rating on	the first page

-п U

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Please determine if the wetland meets the attributes described below and circle the appropriate category. NOTE: A wetland may meet the criteria for more than one set of special characteristics. Record all those that apply. NOTE: All wetlands should also be characterized based on their functions.

Wetland	Туре	Category
Ohaala aff		
	any criteria that apply to the wetland. List the category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC 1.0. V	tend loss than 4000 ft ² and does it must at loss thus of the following criteria?	
	Its only source of water is rainfall or snowmelt from a small contributing basin and has no	
	droundwater input.	
	Wetland plants are typically present only in the spring: the summer vegetation is typically	
	upland annuals. If you find perennial, obligate, wetland plants, the wetland is probably NOT a	
	vernal pool.	
	The soil in the wetland is shallow [< 1 ft (30 cm) deep] and is underlain by an impermeable	
	layer such as basalt or clay.	
	Surface water is present for less than 120 days during the wet season.	
	☐ Yes - Go to SC 1.1 ☐ No = Not vernal pool	
SC 1.1.	Is the vernal pool relatively undisturbed in February and March?	
	□ Yes – Go to SC 1.2 □ No = Not a vernal pool with special characteristics	
SC 1.2.	Is the vernal pool in an area where there are at least 3 separate aquatic resources within	
	0.5 mi (other wetlands, rivers, lakes etc.)?	
SC 2.0.	Alkali wetlands	
Does the	wetland meet one of the following criteria?	
	The wetland has a conductivity > 3.0 mS/cm.	
\square The wetland has a conductivity between 2.0 and 3.0 mS, and more than 50% of the plant cover		
in the wetland can be classified as "alkali" species (see Table 4 for list of plants found in alkali		
	systems).	
□ If the wetland is dry at the time of your field visit, the central part of the area is covered with a		
	layer of salt.	
OR does	the wetland unit meet two of the following three sub-criteria?	
	Salt encrustations around more than 75% of the edge of the wetland	
	More than ³ / ₄ of the plant cover consists of species listed on Table 4	
	A pri above 9.0. All alkali wellands have a high pri, but please hole that some reshwater wetlands may also have a high pH. Thus, pH alone is not a good indicator of alkali wetlands	
	\Box Yes = Category I \Box No = Not an alkali wetlands	
SC 3.0. \	Vetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	
SC 3.1.	Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of	
	Wetlands of High Conservation Value?	
	$\Box \text{ Yes - Go to SC 3.2} \qquad \Box \text{No - Go to SC 3.3}$	
SC 3.2.	Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value?	
	□ Yes = Category I □ No = Not WHCV	
SC 3.3.	Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland?	
80.24	Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value	
50 3.4.	and listed it on their website?	
	□ Yes = Category I □ No = Not WHCV	

SC 4.0. E	Bogs and Calcareous Fens	
Does the	wetland (or any part of the wetland unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs	
or calcar	eous fens? Use the key below to identify if the wetland is a bog or calcareous fen. If you	
answer y	es you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
SC 4.1.	Does an area within the wetland have organic soil horizons (i.e., layers of organic soil), either	
	peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? See Appendix	
	C for a field key to identify organic soils.	
	☐ Yes - Go to SC 4.3 ☐ No - Go to SC 4.2	
SC 4.2.	Does an area within the wetland have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than	
	16 in deep over bedrock or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are	
	floating on top of a lake or pond?	
	\Box Yes - Go to SC 4.3 \Box No = Is not a bog for rating	
SC 4.3.	Does an area within the wetland have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level AND at	
	least 30% of the total plant cover consists of species in Table 5?	
	\Box Yes = Category I bog \Box No - Go to SC 4.4	
	NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute	
	that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If	
	the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 5 are present, the wetland is a bog.	
SC 4.4.	Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with subalpine fir. western red cedar	
	western hemlock, lodgepole pine, guaking aspen. Engelmann spruce, or western white pine.	
	AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 5 provide more than 30% of	
	the cover under the canopy?	
	□ Yes = Category I bog □ No - Go to SC 4.5	
SC 4.5.	Do the species listed in Table 6 comprise at least 20% of the total plant cover within an area of	
	peats and mucks?	
	☐ Yes = Is a Calcareous Fen for purpose of rating ☐ No - Go to SC 4.6	
SC 4.6.	Do the species listed in Table 6 comprise at least 10% of the total plant cover in an area of	
	peats and mucks, AND one of the two following conditions is met:	
	Marl deposits [calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) precipitate] occur on the soil surface or plant stems	
	The pH of free water is \geq 6.8 AND electrical conductivity is \geq 200 uS/cm at multiple locations	
	within the wetland	
	□ Yes = is a Category I calcareous fen □No = is not a calcareous fen	
SC 5.0 F	Forested Wetlands	
Does the	wetland have an area of forest rooted within its boundary that meets at least one of the	
following	three criteria? (Continue only if you have identified that a forested class is present in question H	
	The wetland is within the 100 year floodnlain of a river or stream	
	Aspon (<i>Repulus tremulaides</i>) represents at least 20% of the total cover of woody species	
	Aspen (<i>Populus iremultitues</i>) represents at least 20% of the total cover of woody species	
	arowth" according to the definitions for these priority babitats developed by WDFW (see	
	definitions in question H3 1)	
	\Box Ves - Go to SC 5.1 \Box No - Not a forested watland with special obstactoristics	
SC 5 1	\Box res - 60 to 5.1 \Box into - into a intested wetland with special characteristics	
30 5.1.	are slow arowing native trees (see Table 7)?	
	$\Box V_{00} = Cotogonul I \qquad \Box No. Co to SC = 2$	
SC 5 0	\Box Tes - Category I \Box NO - GO to SC 5.2 Does the wetland have areas where as point (<i>Penulus tremulaides</i>) represents at least 200/ of	
30 5.2.	the total cover of woody species?	
00 5 0	$\Box \text{ Yes} = \textbf{Category I} \qquad \Box \text{ No - Go to SC 5.3}$	
SC 5.3.	Dues the wetland have at least /4 acre with a forest canopy where more than 50% of the tree	
	species (by cover) are fast growing species (see Table 7)?	
	□Yes = Category II □No - Go to SC 5.4	
SC 5.4.	Is the torested component of the wetland within the 100 year floodplain of a river or stream?	
	□ Yes = Category II □ No = Not a forested wetland with special characteristics	
Category	y of wetland based on Special Characteristics	
Cnoose t	ne nignest rating if wetland falls into several categories	
lit you ans	swered no for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	

Appendix B: WDFW Priority Habitats in Eastern Washington

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp.

<u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf</u> or access the list from here: <u>http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/</u>

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE**: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors**: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- □ Old-growth/Mature forests: Old-growth east of Cascade crest Stands are highly variable in tree species composition and structural characteristics due to the influence of fire, climate, and soils. In general, stands will be >150 years of age, with 10 trees/ac (25 trees/ha) that are > 21 in (53 cm) dbh, and 1-3 snags/ac (2.5-7.5 snags/ha) that are > 12-14 in (30-35 cm) diameter. Downed logs may vary from abundant to absent. Canopies may be single or multi-layered. Evidence of human-caused alterations to the stand will be absent or so slight as to not affect the ecosystem's essential structures and functions. Mature forests Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west and 80-160 years old east of the Cascade crest.
- □ **Oregon White Oak**: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Caves**: A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs**: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- □ **Talus**: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- □ Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.
- Shrub-steppe: A nonforested vegetation type consisting of one or more layers of perennial bunchgrasses and a conspicuous but discontinuous layer of shrubs (see Eastside Steppe for sites with little or no shrub cover).
- □ **Eastside Steppe**: Nonforested vegetation type dominated by broadleaf herbaceous flora (i.e., forbs), perennial bunchgrasses, or a combination of both. Bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*) is often the prevailing cover component along with Idaho fescue (*Festuca idahoensis*), Sandberg bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), rough fescue (*F. campestris*), or needlegrasses (*Achnatherum* spp.).
- Juniper Savannah: All juniper woodlands.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.