WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT AND SURFACE WATER EVALUATION

Spokane County Parcel #'s 25361.0004 and 25364.0001 S36, T22N, R45E

June 2021

Prepared by: Environmental Inc. /Advanced Wetland Studies Rathdrum, ID 83858 208.651.4536 davidAarmes@gmail.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND FINDINGS

Environmental Inc. completed this Wetland Delineation Report and Surface Water Evaluation (Report) for Spokane County Parcel #s 25361.0004 and 25364.0001 (Property). No wetlands or wetland buffers were identified on the Property. No Washington Department of Natural Resources or Spokane County typed streams or surface waters are located on the Property.

This Wetland Delineation was completed on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the client and/or its agents, consultants, and contractors. The scope of services performed to complete this report may not be appropriate to satisfy the needs of other users, and any other use or re-use of this report is at the sole risk of said user. The findings and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the currently accepted legal and regulatory requirements, agency guidance, and the best professional judgment of the preparer. The findings presented herein apply to those conditions observed on the site at the time of the evaluation. The timing of the field evaluation may not always coincide with the growing season, identifiable phenological stages of vegetation, or during the hydrological active (wet) season. Often time's secondary indicators, interpretation of vegetation and hydrology indicators and best professional judgment may be required to determine the presence or absence of wetlands. Future environmentally significant changes may occur at the site, which could result in future findings and conclusions differing from those contained in this report.

Prepared by: David A. Armes Qualified Wetland Biologist Environmental Inc. Advanced Wetland Studies Rathdrum, ID 83858 208.651.4536 davidAarmes@gmail.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EX	ECUI	ΓΙVE SUMMARY AND FINDINGS	i
1. I	NTRO	ODUCTION	3
1	.1	Purpose	3
1	.2	REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	3
2.	ME	THODOLOGY	4
2	.1 Pri	ELIMINARY RESEARCH	4
2	.2 Sit	E SPECIFIC INVESTIGATION	4
3.	RES	SULTS	5
4.	REF	FERENCES	6
App	oendix	٢	8

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental Inc. completed this Wetland Delineation Report and Surface Water Evaluation (Report) for Spokane County Parcel #s 25361.0004 and 25364.0001 (Property). The Property is located in Spokane County, Washington in Section 36, Township 22N, Range 45E (Appendix A. Figure 1 Vicinity Map). This Wetland Delineation Report (Report) is based upon the requirements and definitions contained within Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code (SMC).

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of the study was to document the presence or absence and extent of wetlands or surface waters located on the Property and determine jurisdictional status and regulatory requirements based upon the findings.

1.2 Regulatory Requirements

This Report delineates, describes, and maps the presence and extent of wetlands, jurisdictional waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional surface waters based upon definitions in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory. 1987); Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region or Arid West (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008); Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual (DOE. 1997); and Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code.

<u>Federal</u>

Local, state and federal regulations apply to activities in and near wetlands. The Clean Water Act is a federal act that regulates the placement of fill in jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States. Section 404 of the Clean Water Act requires permits for filling jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States. Section 404 permits must be administered by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and certified by the state agency (as outlined in Section 401 of the Clean Water Act). Work within the boundaries of jurisdictional wetlands or the ordinary high water mark of waters of the United States are regulated under the USACE permitting process.

The USACE defines wetlands as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Generally, this definition requires the three parameters of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology be simultaneously present. The USACE only regulates jurisdictional wetlands. Wetlands are considered jurisdictional by the USACE if they are closely associated with jurisdictional waters of the United States. The term waters of the United States has a broad meaning and incorporates both deepwater aquatic habitats and special aquatic sites, including wetlands, as follows:

a. The territorial seas;

b. Costal and inland waters, lakes, rivers, and streams that are navigable waters of the United States, including adjacent wetlands;

c. Tributaries to navigable waters of the United States, including adjacent wetlands;

d. Interstate waters and their tributaries, including adjacent wetlands; and

e. All other waters of the United States not identified above, the degradation of or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce.

Final determination of jurisdictional wetlands and waters of the United States is subject to approval by the USACE. Wetlands and surface waters that are not under USACE jurisdiction may still require permits for local, county, or state agencies.

State

The Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) defines and regulates wetlands as described in Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual and Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington (Hruby, T. 2014). The DOE wetland definition is based on the USACE wetland definition and includes areas where hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology are simultaneously present.

Local

Defined in Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code.

2. METHODOLOGY

The analysis for wetlands conducted on this site is based on the routine (on-site) methodology of the USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and the Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region or Arid West. This method requires that evidence of three parameters (hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology) be simultaneously present for a wetland determination (specific and problematic situations may not always require all three parameters to be present).

Two levels of information, preliminary site research and a site-specific investigation have been gathered for this analysis for the purposes of determining the presence and extent or absence of wetlands and water bodies.

2.1 Preliminary Research

Environmental Inc. conducted a review of existing information to develop background knowledge of physical features and to identify the potential for wetland occurrence on the subject property. The following information related to topography, drainage, and water features was obtained for preliminary review of the site conditions:

- National Wetland Inventory (NWI) Map (Appendix A Figure 2);
- Washington Department of Ecology Water Quality Atlas (Appendix A Figure 3);
- Aerial Images showing 1 kilometer area (Google Maps accessed 2019) (Appendix A Figure 4);
- Washington Department of Natural Resources Forest Practices Map (Appendix A Figure 5).

2.2 Site Specific Investigation

A site investigation was completed on 5/18/21. One data plot (DP) was established to evaluate for the three-wetland parameters of hydrology, hydric soils, and hydrophytic vegetation (Appendix B Wetland Data Forms). In addition to the two DP's, the Property was visually inspected (no associated DP's) for the three wetland parameters of hydrology, hydric soils, and hydrophytic vegetation as necessary to assist in identifying and determining wetland boundaries.

3. RESULTS

No wetlands or wetland buffers were identified on the Property. One emergent slope wetland area was identified on the adjacent property to the north (Appendix A Figure 6 Approximate Wetland Boundary). The wetland boundary was recorded and marked on an aerial based upon the presence of hydrophytic vegetation, surface water and saturated soils. The wetland boundary and buffer shall be included on any subsequent site plans or plats. No Washington Department of Natural Resources typed streams or surface waters are located on the Property.

Wetland Rating

Wetland ratings were based upon the 2014 Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington and definitions identified in Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code. This wetland is rated as a Category 4 (Appendix C. Wetland Rating Form).

Wetland Buffer

This wetland is a Category 4 wetland with a recommended 50 foot buffer (based upon Chapter 17E.070 Wetlands Protection of the Spokane Municipal Code).

Impacts

The wetland on the adjacent property is located greater than 50 feet from the Property, as such, no impacts will occur (Appendix A Figure 6 Approximate Wetland Boundary).

4. REFERENCES

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. FWS/OBS-79/31. Office of Biological Services, USFWS, Washington D.C.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual*. Technical Report Y-87-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. (http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/wetlands/pdfs/wlman87.pdf)

Hitchcock, C.L., A. Cronquist, M. Ownbey, and J.W. Thompson. 1973. *Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest*. University of Washington Press. Seattle, Washington.

Hitchcock, C.L., A. Cronquist. 1994. Flora of the Pacific Northwest. University of Washington Press, Seattle, WA.

Hruby, T. 2004. Washington State wetland rating system for eastern Washington – Revised. Washington State Department of Ecology Publication # 04-06-15.

Spokane County Critical Areas Ordinance. Spokane County. 2018 (Revised).

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008. Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region, ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-13. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016. *National List of Vascular Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands: Summary*. United States Department of the Interior, United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington D.C.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2018. *National Wetlands Inventory (NWI). Wetlands Mapper*, United States Department of Interior. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington D.C.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2006. 1:24,000. United States Geological Survey Denver, Colorado. Maptech, Inc. 1998. Version 3.01 Greenland, New Hampshire.

U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS). 1998. USGS Topographic, 7.5 minute series topographic maps. Maptech, Inc. Version 3.01 Greenland, New Hampshire

Washington Department of Ecology (DOE). 1997. Washington State Wetlands Delineation and Identification Manual.

Washington Department of Ecology (DOE). 2014. Eastern Washington Wetland Rating System.

Appendix A Figures Figure 1. Vicinity Map Parcel Numbers 25362.0004 and 25364.0001





Figure 2. National Wetland Inventory



Figure 3. Washington Department of Ecology Water Quality Atlas



Figure 4. Aerial Images showing 1 kilometer area





Figure 6. Approximate Wetland Boundary **Distance from Property Line is 54.6 feet**



----🗓 | Feet 🕶

Press CTRL to enable snapping. Turn off the Measurement tool to turn on

Appendix B Wetland Data Forms

(Attached)

Appendix C Wetland Rating Form

(Attached)

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site: Spokane County PN 25361.0004				Cit	y/County:	:	Spokane		Sampling Da	ate:	5/18/	21	
Applicant/Owner:	Simmons					:	State:	WA	Sampling Po	pint:	DP1		
Investigator(s):	David Armes					Section	on, Towns	hip, Rang	e: S36 22N	45E			
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.):	Slope		Local relief	(concave,	convex, r	none):	None		Slope	(%):	1	
Subregion (LRR):	E	Lat:	47.62194	Long	g:-117.4384	44		I	Datum:				
Soil Map Unit Name:	Endoaqolls a	and fluvoquents 0 to 3 p	ercent slopes					NWI class	ification:	Emergen	t		
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditions or	the site typical for this	time of year?	Yes	\boxtimes	No] (If no,	explain in	Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil 🗌,	Or Hydrology	significantly dist	urbed? no	Are "Norm	nal Circur	nstances"	present?		Yes	\boxtimes	No	
Are Vegetation,	Soil 🗌,	Or Hydrology	naturally probler	matic? no	(If needed	l, explain	any answ	ers in Rer	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	\boxtimes	No					
Hydric Soil Present?		\boxtimes	No	Is the Sampling Area within a Wetland?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	\bowtie	No					
Remarks:								

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:		
1.	<u></u>		010100	Number of Dominant Species That Are		
2.				OBL, FACW, or FAC:	1	(A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across		
4.				All Strata:	1	(В)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size:)		= Total Cover		Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100%	(A/B)
5.				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
6.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
7.				OBL species	x1 =	
8.				FACW species	x2 =	
9.				FAC species	x3 =	
		= Total Cover		FACU species	x4 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot Size:)				UPL species	x5 =	
10.				Column Totals: (A)		(B)
11.				Prevalence Index = B/A	=	
12. Typhy latifolia	100%	Yes	OBL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
13.				x Dominance Test is >50%		
14.				Prevalence Index is <3.01		
15.				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Pro	vide supporting dat	a in
16.				Remarks or on a separate shee	.)	
17.				Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹		
18.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegeta	ition ¹ (Explain)	
19.						
20.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol	ogy must be presen	t,
	100%	= Total Cover		unless disturbed or problematic.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size:)						
1.						
2.						
		= Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum				Present? Yes	No No	
Remarks:						

Project Site:

SOIL								Sampling	g Point:		
Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to de	ocument the indi	cator or confirm	n the absence	of indicato	rs.)			
Depth	Matrix			Redox F	eatures						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (Mo	ist) %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Rema	arks	
0-18	10YR2/1		10YR5/5	5 3	С	М	Loam				
17				00-0		Numium 21 a a a ti					
Type: C= Col	Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix										
Hydric Soil Ir	idicators: (Applicat	He to all LR	Rs, unless o	otherwise noted.)			Indica	ators for Problem	hatic Hydri	c Solls":	
Histosol	(A1)			Sandy Redox (S	5)			2 cm Muck (A10))		
Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Stripped Matrix (S6)			Red Parent Mat	erial (TF2)		
Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy Mucky Mi	ineral (F1) (exc e	ept MLRA 1)		Other (Explain i	n Remarks)		
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Gleyed M	latrix (F2)						
Deplete	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11);	\boxtimes	Depleted Matrix	(F3)						
Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox Dark Surf	face (F6)						
Sandy M	Mucky Mineral (S1)		\boxtimes	Depleted Dark S	urface (F7)		³ Indic	ators of hydrophy	tic vegetatio	n and wetla	and
Sandy 0	Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox Depression	ons (F8)		nyaro proble	logy must be pres ematic.	ent, uniess	disturbed o	r
Restrictive L	ayer (if present):										
Туре:											
Depth (Inches):					Hydric Soils Pi	resent?	١	′es 🖂	No	
Remarks:											

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:													
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)									Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)				
\square	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			\boxtimes	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			
	High Water Table (A2)				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4	4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and	4B)		
\square	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			\boxtimes	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)				Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Ode	or (C1)		\boxtimes	Saturation Visible on	Aerial Imag	ery (C9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)				\boxtimes	Oxidized Rhizosphere	es along Living Roots	s (C3)		Geomorphic Position	(D2)		
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4	4)				Presence of Reduced	l Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3))		
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reductio	n in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)				Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)			
	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					Other (Explain in Remarks)				Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)			
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes	\bowtie	No		Depth (inches):	8+						
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes	\bowtie	No		Depth (inches):	0						
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes	\boxtimes	No		Depth (inches):	0	Wetlar	nd Hyd	drology Present?	Yes	🛛 No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (st	iream ga	uge, mo	nitoring	g well, a	aerial photos, previous i	inspections), if availa	ble:					
Rema	arks:												

RATING SUMMARY – Eastern Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): S	Date of site visit:	5/18/20	21				
Rated by David Armes	Trained by Ecology?⊠ Yes □ No	Date of training	2012	<u>.</u>			
HGM Class used for rating Slope Wetland has multiple HGM classes?							
NOTE: Form is not Source of	NOTE: Form is not complete with out the figures requested (<i>figures can be combined</i>). Source of base aerial photo/ma Report and attached figures.						
OVERALL WETLAND CATE	EGORY (based on functions⊠ or specia	l characteristic)				

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

 Category I - Total score = 22 - 27
Category II - Total score = 19 - 21
 Category III - Total score = 16 - 18

X Category IV - Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	
	List app	ropriate rating	g (H, M, L)	
Site Potential	L	L	L	
Landscape Potential	М	М	L	
Value	Н	L	L	Tota
Score Based on Ratings	6	4	3	13

Score for each						
function based						
on three						
ratings						
(order of ratings						
is not						
important)						
9 = H, H, H						
8 = H, H, M						
7 = H, H, L						
7 = H, M, M						
6 = H, M, L						
6 = M, M, M						
5 = H, L, L						
5 = M, M, L						
4 = M, L, L						
3 = L, L, L						

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	Category
Vernal Pools	
Alkali	
Wetland of High Conservation Value	
Bog and Calcareous Fens	
Old Growth or Mature Forest - slow growing	
Aspen Forest	
Old Growth or Mature Forest - fast growing	
Floodplain forest	

None of the above

Х

Maps and Figures required to answer questions correctly for Eastern Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.5	
Hydroperiods (including area of open water for H 1.3)	D 1.4, H 1.2, H 1.3	
Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	H 1.1, H 1.5	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2, H 1.3	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 2.4	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of wetland vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure)	R 4.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.5	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes and classes of emergents	H 1.1, H 1.5	Report
Hydroperiods	H 1.2, H 1.3	Report
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	Report
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 4.1	Report
(can be added to figure above)		
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure)	S 2.1, S 5.1	Report
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	Report
polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat		
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	Report
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which wetland is found (website)	S 3.3	Report

HGM Classification of Wetland in Eastern Washington

For questions 1 - 4, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1 - 4 apply, and go to Question 5.

1. Does the entire unit meet both of the following criteria?

- □ The vegetated part of the wetland is on the water side of the Ordinary High Water Mark of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface) that is at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size
- \Box At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 10 ft (3 m)
- 2. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 - The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*),
 - The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks;
 - The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.
 - □ NO go to 3 NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 foot deep).</p>
- 3. Does the entire wetland unit meet all of the following criteria?
 - □ The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or rive
 - □ The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 10 years.
 - NO go to 4
 YES The wetland class is Riverine
 NOTE: The Riverine wetland can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding.

4. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year. *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO - go to 5

□ **YES** - The wetland class is **Depressional**

5. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1 - 4 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE WETLAND UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM Class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine (the riverine portion	Depressional
is within the boundary of depression)	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

SLOPE WETLANDS		Points (only 1
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve	water quality	score per box)
S 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?		-
S 1.1. Characteristics of the average slope of wetland: (a 1% slope has for every 100 ft of horizontal distance)	a 1 ft vertical drop in elevation	
Slope is 1% or less	points = 3	2
Slope is > 1% - 2%	points = 2	2
Slope is > 2% - 5%	points = 1	
Slope is greater than 5%	points = 0	
S 1.2. <u>The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer)</u> is true clay or true o (<i>use NRCS definitions</i>):	rganic Yes = 3 No = 0	0
S 1.3. Characteristics of the plants in the wetland that trap sediments and pollutants: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits the plants in the wetland. <i>Dense means</i> <i>you have trouble seeing the soil surface (>75% cover), and uncut means not grazed or mowed and</i> <i>plants are higher than 6 in.</i>		
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > 90% of the wetland area	points = 6	2
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > $\frac{1}{2}$ of area	points = 3	
Dense, woody, plants > ½ of area	points = 2	
Dense, uncut, herbaceous plants > ¼ of area	points = 1	
Does not meet any of the criteria above for plants	points = 0	
Total for S 1 A	dd the points in the boxes above	4

 Rating of Site Potential
 If score is:
 □
 12 = H
 □
 6 - 11 = M ⊠
 0 - 5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

S 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function at the site?			
S 2.1. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft on the uphill side of the wetl uses that generate pollutants?	and in land Yes = 1	No = 0	0
S 2.2. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland listed in question S 2.1?	that are not		1
Other Sources	Yes = 1	No = 0	
Total for S 2	Add the points in the boxe	s above	1
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 1 - 2 = M 🛛 0 = L	Record the	e rating or	n the first page

S 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?			
S 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly to a stream, river, or lake that is on the 303(d) list (<i>within 1 mi</i>)?	Yes = 1	No = 0	1
S 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where water quality is an issue? At least one aquatic resource in the basin is on the 303(d) list.	Yes = 1	No = 0	1
S 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (<i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the drainage or basin in which the wetland is found</i>)?	Yes = 2	No = 0	2
Total for S 3 Add the point	ts in the boxe	es above	4
Rating of Value If score is: ☑ 2 - 4 = H ☐ 1 = M ☐ 0 = L	Record th	e rating o	n the first page

SLOPE WETLANDS Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and erosion	
S 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?	
S 4.1. Characteristics of plants that reduce the velocity of surface flows during storms: Choose the points appropriate for the description that best fits conditions in the wetland. Stems of plants should be thick enough (usually > $\frac{1}{8}$ in), or dense enough, to remain erect during surface flows.	
Dense, uncut, rigid plants cover > 90% of the area of the wetland points =	1
All other conditions points =	0
Rating of site Potential If score is: 1 = M 🔀 0 = L Record the rating	on the first page

S 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the hydrologic functions of the	e site?		
S 5.1. Is more than 25% of the area within 150 ft upslope of wetland in land uses that generate excess surface runoff?	Yes = 1	No = 0	1
Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: T = M D 0 = L	Record the	e rating or	n the first page

S 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?	
S 6.1. Distance to the nearest areas downstream that have flooding problems:	
The sub-basin immediately down-gradient of site has flooding problems that result in damage to human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds)	0
points = 2	
Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient points = 1	
No flooding problems anywhere downstream points = 0	
S 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage and flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?Yes = 2No = 0	0
Total for S 6 Add the points in the boxes above	0

<u>Rating of Value</u> If score is: □ 2 - 4 = H □ 1 = M ⊠ 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

	These questions apply to wetla	ands of all HGM classes.	(only 1 score
HABITAT	FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to prov	/ide important habitat	per box)
H 1.0. D	pes the wetland have the potential to provide ha	abitat for many species?	
H 1.1. St	ucture of plant community:		
Check the	e Cowardin vegetation classes present and cat gory is > = 1⁄4 ac or > = 10% of the wetland if v	egories of emergent plants. Size threshold for wetland is < 2.5 ac.	
	Aquatic bed		
	Emergent plants 0 - 12 in (0-30 cm) high are t	the highest layer	
	and have > 30% cover	4 or more checks: points =	3 1
	Emergent plants > 12 - 40 in (> 30-100 cm) hi highest layer with >30% cover	igh are the 3 checks: points =	2
	Emergent plants > 40 in (> 100 cm) high are t with >30% cover	the highest layer 1 check: points =	0
	Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30%	6 cover)	
	Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cove	er)	
H 1.2. Is	one of the vegetation types Aquatic Bed?	Yes = 1 No =	0 1
H 1.3. <u>Su</u>	rface water		
	H 1.3.1. Does the wetland have areas of op over at least ¼ ac OR 10% of its ar to the end of September? <i>Answer</i> Y	en water (without emergent or shrub plants) ea during the March to early June OR in Augus YES for Lake Fringe wetlands.	st
		Yes = 3 points & go to H 1.4 No = go to H 1.3	.2 0
	H 1.3.2. Does the wetland have an intermitte within its boundaries, or along one <i>Answer yes only if H 1.3.1 is No.</i>	ent or permanent, and unvegetated stream side, over at least ¼ ac or 10% of its area?	
		□ Yes = 3 No =	0
Count the species of include E thistle, ye	enness of plant species e number of plant species in the wetland that co an be combined to meet the size threshold. Yo urasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple looses llow-flag iris, and saltcedar (Tamarisk)	over at least 10 ft². Different patches of the sam ou do not have to name the species. Do not strife, Russian olive, Phragmites, Canadian	0 0
# of spec	es <u>3</u>	Scoring: > 9 species: points =	2
		4 - 9 species: points =	1
	archarcian of habitats	< 4 species: points =	0
Decide fr (dese none. Use map from H 1.	om the diagrams below whether interspersion a cribed in H 1.1), and unvegetated areas (open of Cowardin and emergent plant classes prepa 3. If you have four or more plant classes or thre	among types of plant structures water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or ared for questions H 1.1 and map of open wate ee classes and open water, the rating is always	r 3
			o
None =	0 points Low = 1 point	Moderate = 2 points	
All three in this rov HIGH = 3	diagrams vare points	Binarian braided channels with 2 classes	

H 1.6. Sp	ecial habitat features: a napiral realizes mai are present in the weitang, the number of checks is the number of	
nointe		
	Loose rocks larger than 4 in OR large, downed, woody debris (> 4 in diameter) within the area of surface ponding or in stream.	
	Cattails or bulrushes are present within the wetland.	
	Standing snags (diameter at the bottom > 4 in) in the wetland or within 30 m (100 ft) of the edg	1
	Emergent or shrub vegetation in areas that are permanently inundated/ponded.	
	Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 45 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity	
	Invasive species cover less than 20% in each stratum of vegetation (<i>canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground cover</i>)	
Total for H	1 Add the points in the boxes above	3

 Rating of Site Potential
 If Score is:
 15 - 18 = H
 7 - 14 = M⊠
 0 - 6 = L
 Record the rating on the first page

1 2.1 Accessible habitat (only area of habitat abutting wetland). If total accessible habitat is: Calculate: 0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% > 1/₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon around wetland. 1 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around wetland. 2 2.1 0 % of 1 km Polygon around wetland. 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around wetland. 2.3. Undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% Undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% Undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% Undisturbed habitat = 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat = 10% of 1 km Polygon: > 50% of 1 km Polygon: > 2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support habitat functions of the site?				
Calculate: 0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% > ¼ ₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 1 20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 1 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 2 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon	H 2.1 Accessible habitat (only area of habitat abutting wetland). If total accessible habitat is:				
0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% > ¼ ₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon	Calculate:				
> 1/ ₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon	0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5%				
 > 1/₃ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon points = 0 + 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around wetland. Calculate: 0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0 1 2.3 Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: > 50% of 1 km Polygon points = 0 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside 0 2.4. The wetland is in an area, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 		4			
20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 <10 % of 1 km Polygon	$> 1/_{3}$ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3	I			
10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10 % of 1 km Polygon	20 - 33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2				
< 10 % of 1 km Polygon	10 - 19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1				
H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around wetland. Calculate: 0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% 1 Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 1 1 Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	< 10 % of 1 km Polygon points = 0				
Calculate: 0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% 1 Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around wetland.				
0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5% Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	Calculate:				
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygonpoints = 3Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patchespoints = 2Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patchespoints = 1Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	0 % undisturbed habitat + (25 % moderate & low intensity land uses / 2) = 12.5%				
Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon		1			
Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3	I			
Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon	Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and in 1 - 3 patches points = 2				
Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0 H 2.3 Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: > 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2) -2 Does not meet criterion above points = 0 -2 H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside 0 Doundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	Undisturbed habitat 10 - 50% and > 3 patches points = 1				
H 2.3 Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: > 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2) -2 Does not meet criterion above points = 0 -2 H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside 0 Doundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 Fotal for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0				
> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2) -2 Does not meet criterion above points = 0 -2 H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside 0 coundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 Fotal for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	H 2.3 Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon:				
Does not meet criterion abovepoints = 0H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside poundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs0Fotal for H 2Add the points in the boxes above0	> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2)	-2			
H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside 0 boundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 Fotal for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	Does not meet criterion above points = 0				
nfluenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside 0 poundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 Fotal for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	H 2.4. The wetland is in an area where annual rainfall is less than 12 in, and its water regime is not				
boundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0 Fotal for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	influenced by irrigation practices, dams, or water control structures. Generally, this means outside	0			
Fotal for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above 0	boundaries of reclamation areas, irrigation districts, or reservoirs Yes = 3 No = 0				
	Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above	0			

Rating of Landscape PotentialIf Score is:4 - 9 = H $1 - 3 = M \boxtimes$ < 1 = LRecord the rating on the first page

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated.</i>		
Site meets ANY of the following criteria:	points = 2	
□ It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see Appendix B)		
 It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on state or federal lists) 		
It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW species		0
 It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources 		
It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regior comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed pla	al n	
Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats within 100 m (see Appendix B)	points = 1	
Site does not meet any of the criteria above	points = 0	

Rating of Value If Score is: 2 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Please determine if the wetland meets the attributes described below and circle the appropriate category. NOTE: A wetland may meet the criteria for more than one set of special characteristics. Record all those that apply. NOTE: All wetlands should also be characterized based on their functions.

Wetland	Туре	Category
Check off	any criteria that apply to the wetland. List the category when the appropriate criteria are met	
SC 1.0. V	/ernal Pools	
Is the we	tland less than 4000 ft ² , and does it meet at least two of the following criteria?	
	Its only source of water is rainfall or snowmelt from a small contributing basin and has no groundwater input.	
	Wetland plants are typically present only in the spring; the summer vegetation is typically upland annuals. <i>If you find perennial, obligate, wetland plants, the wetland is probably NOT a vernal pool.</i>	
	The soil in the wetland is shallow [< 1 ft (30 cm) deep] and is underlain by an impermeable layer such as basalt or clay.	
	Surface water is present for less than 120 days during the wet season.	
	$\Box Yes - Go \text{ to } SC 1.1 \qquad \Box No = Not vernal pool$	
SC 1.1.	Is the vernal pool relatively undisturbed in February and March?	
	□ Yes – Go to SC 1.2 ⊠ No = Not a vernal pool with special characteristics	
SC 1.2.	Is the vernal pool in an area where there are at least 3 separate aquatic resources within 0.5 mi (other wetlands, rivers, lakes etc.)?	
	□ Yes = Category II □ No = Category III	
SC 2 0 4	Alkali wetlands	
Does the	wetland meet one of the following criteria?	
	The wetland has a conductivity > 3.0 mS/cm.	
	The wetland has a conductivity between 2.0 and 3.0 mS, and more than 50% of the plant cover in the wetland can be classified as "alkali" species (see Table 4 for list of plants found in alkali systems).	
	If the wetland is dry at the time of your field visit, the central part of the area is covered with a layer of salt.	
OR does	the wetland unit meet two of the following three sub-criteria?	
	Salt encrustations around more than 75% of the edge of the wetland	
	More than ³ / ₄ of the plant cover consists of species listed on Table 4	
	A pH above 9.0. All alkali wetlands have a high pH, but please note that some freshwater wetlands may also have a high pH. Thus, pH alone is not a good indicator of alkali wetlands.	
	Yes = Category I No = Not an alkali wetland	
SC 3.0. V	Vetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)	
SC 3.1.	Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value?	
	□ Yes - Go to SC 3.2	
SC 3.2.	Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value?	
	□ Yes = Category I	
SC 3.3.	Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland?	
	http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf	
	☐ Yes - Contact WNHP/WDNR and to SC 3.4 No = Not WHCV	
SC 3.4.	Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website?	
	□ Yes = Category I	

SC 4.0. B	logs and Calcareous Fens	
Does the or calcare answer y	wetland (or any part of the wetland unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs yous fens? Use the key below to identify if the wetland is a bog or calcareous fen. If you yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.	
SC 4.1.	Does an area within the wetland have organic soil horizons (i.e., layers of organic soil), either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? <i>See Appendix C for a field key to identify organic soils.</i>	
SC 4.2.	□ Yes - Go to SC 4.3 ⊠ No - Go to SC 4.2 Does an area within the wetland have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond?	
SC 4.3.	□ Yes - Go to SC 4.3 ⊠ No = Is not a bog for rating Does an area within the wetland have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level AND	
	at least 30% of the total plant cover consists of species in Table 5?	
	$\Box \text{ Yes} = \textbf{Category I bog} \qquad \boxtimes \text{ No - Go to } \textbf{SC 4.4}$ NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 5 are present, the wetland is a bog.	
SC 4.4.	Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 5 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy?	
SC 4.5.	□ Yes = Category I bog □ No - Go to SC 4.5 Do the species listed in Table 6 comprise at least 20% of the total plant cover within an area of peats and mucks?	
	☐ Yes = Is a Calcareous Fen for purpose of rating No - Go to SC 4.6	
SC 4.6.	Do the species listed in Table 6 comprise at least 10% of the total plant cover in an area of peats and mucks, AND one of the two following conditions is met:	
	Marl deposits [calcium carbonate (CaCO $_3$) precipitate] occur on the soil surface or plant stems	
	The pH of free water is \ge 6.8 AND electrical conductivity is \ge 200 uS/cm at multiple locations within the wetland	
	□ Yes = Is a Category I calcareous fen ⊠ No = Is not a calcareous fen	
SC 5.0. F	orested Wetlands	
Does the following	wetland have an area of forest rooted within its boundary that meets at least one of the three criteria? (<i>Continue only if you have identified that a forested class is present in question</i>	
	The wetland is within the 100 year floodplain of a river or stream	
	Aspen (<i>Populus tremuloides</i>) represents at least 20% of the total cover of woody species	
	There is at least ¹ / ₄ ac of trees (even in wetlands smaller than 2.5 ac) that are "mature" or "old- growth" according to the definitions for these priority habitats developed by WDFW (<i>see</i> <i>definitions in question H3.1</i>)	
	Yes - Go to SC 5.1 X No = Not a forested wetland with special characteristics	
SC 5.1.	Does the wetland have a forest canopy where more than 50% of the tree species (by cover) are slow growing native trees (<i>see Table 7</i>)?	
SC 5.2.	□ Yes = Category I ⊠ No - Go to SC 5.2 Does the wetland have areas where aspen (<i>Populus tremuloides</i>) represents at least 20% of the total cover of woody species?	
SC 5.3.	\Box Yes = Category I \boxtimes No - Go to SC 5.3 Does the wetland have at least $\frac{1}{4}$ acre with a forest canopy where more than 50% of the tree species (by cover) are fast growing species (see Table 7)?	
SC 5.4.	□ Yes = Category II □ No - Go to SC 5.4 Is the forested component of the wetland within the 100 year floodplain of a river or stream?	
Onterro	☐ Yes = Category II ☐ No = Not a forested wetland with special characteristics	
	t of wetland based on Special Unaracteristics	
If you ans	swered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form	

Appendix B: WDFW Priority Habitats in Eastern Washington

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp.

http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf or access the list from here: http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE**: This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.

- Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- Biodiversity Areas and Corridors: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).

Old-growth/Mature forests: <u>Old-growth east of Cascade crest</u> – Stands are highly variable in tree species composition and structural characteristics due to the influence of fire, climate, and soils. In general, stands will be >150 years of age, with 10 trees/ac (25 trees/ha) that are > 21 in (53 cm) dbh, and 1-3 snags/ac (2.5-7.5 snags/ha) that are > 12-14 in (30-35 cm) diameter. Downed logs may vary from abundant to absent. Canopies may be single or multi-layered. Evidence of human-caused alterations to the stand will be absent or so slight as to not affect the ecosystem's essential structures and functions. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west and 80-160 years old east of the Cascade crest.

- □ **Oregon White Oak**: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 see web link above*).
- □ **Riparian**: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Caves**: A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- Cliffs: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- □ **Talus**: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 6.5 ft (0.15 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- □ Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.
- Shrub-steppe: A nonforested vegetation type consisting of one or more layers of perennial bunchgrasses and a conspicuous but discontinuous layer of shrubs (see Eastside Steppe for sites with little or no shrub cover).
- □ **Eastside Steppe**: Nonforested vegetation type dominated by broadleaf herbaceous flora (i.e., forbs), perennial bunchgrasses, or a combination of both. Bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*) is often the prevailing cover component along with Idaho fescue (*Festuca idahoensis*), Sandberg bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), rough fescue (*F. campestris*), or needlegrasses (*Achnatherum* spp.).
- **Juniper Savannah**: All juniper woodlands.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are