

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-970) Section 11.10.230(3)
Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)

File No. 2013214

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Description of Proposal: Interceptor IO3 underground Combined Sewer Storage Facility and Cochran Basin Stormwater treatment/infiltration project.

Proponent: City of Spokane Engineering Services

Location of proposal, including street address, if any: the northwest corner of Section 12, T25S, R42E. The IO Control Facility will be constructed southeast of the Northwest Boulevard/TJ Meenach intersection. The construction limits are within parcels: 25122.1703, 25122.2701, 25122.3101, 25122.0005, 25122.0004, 25122.0003 and 25122.2601.

Lead agency: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed Environmental Checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

- ☐ There is no comment period for this DNS.
- ☐ This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in Section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- ☒ This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by April 7, 2016.

Responsible official: Kyle Twohig

Position/Title: Engineering Operations Manager

Phone: (509) 625-6700

Address: 2nd Floor, City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201-3343

Date: March 24, 2016

Signature: 

You may appeal this determination to Kyle Twohig, Engineering Operations Manager

at (location): 2nd Floor, City Hall, Spokane, WA 99201-3343

no later than (date): April 7, 2016

by (method): written

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Contact John Halsey at (509) 625-6300 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

**PROJECT NAME: Interceptor IO3 underground Combined Sewer Storage Facility and
Cochran Basin Stormwater treatment/infiltration project**

FILE No.: 2013214

E-mail Copies

City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- Building Department, Attn: John Halsey
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Megan Qureshi
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Heather Trautman
- Construction Management, Attn: Ken Brown* **
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller* **
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot *
- GIS, Attn: Steven Allenton
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis* **
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller * **
- Library Services, Attn: Dana Dalrymple*
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: Jonathan Mallahan & ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Tony Madunich*
- PCED, Attn: Theresa Sanders
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Lisa Key
- Planning & Development, Attn: Julie Neff
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt Chuck Reisenauer*
- Public Works, Attn: Katherine Miller
- Solid Waste, Attn: Scott Windsor
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Inga Note**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Costner**
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Scott Engelhard
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dee Caputo
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sikes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Karin Divens - Habitat Program

Other Agencies

- American Medical Response, Attn: Lori Koch
- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Lori Barlow
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Mike Basinger
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Erin Casci
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Jacki Corley
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Kevin Wallace
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Anna Ragaza-Bourassa
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)

ENVIRONMENTAL

CHECKLIST

SPOKANE

ENVIRONMENTAL

ORDINANCE

SECTION 11.10.230(1)

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Environmental Checklist

File No. 2013214

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply". IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal", "proposer", and "affected geographic area", respectively.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: missing text here?
2. Name of Applicant: *City of Spokane Dept. of Eng. Services*
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA
4. Date checklist prepared: *3/11/16*
5. Agency requesting checklist: *City of Spokane Dept. of Eng. Services*
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): *From summer 2016 to summer 2017*
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. *No.*
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. *None.*
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. *No.*
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
Conditional Use Permit
Obstruction Permit
Construction Stormwater Permit

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

The Interceptor IO3 Control Facility involves construction of a ± 1.4 million gallon underground concrete combined sewerage detention facility. The purpose of the control facility is to reduce the amount of combined sewerage that is discharged into the Spokane River during rainfall events. Construction of the IO3 control facility will include the installation of sewer piping as well as other utilities needed to service the facility. It is anticipated that project construction may disturb up to 3.5 acres in area.

Included with this project is the installation of the Cochran Basin stormwater treatment and infiltration facility. The Cochran facility will provide between 0.5 to 1 acres of stormwater treatment area and will reduce the volume of untreated stormwater that directly discharges into the Spokane River.

Construction of a shared use pathway is proposed that will connect to Nettleton Street near Buckeye Avenue. A second shared use pathway is being evaluated that will be constructed east of TJ Meenach and south of Northwest Boulevard.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

The IO3 project is located in the northwest corner of Section 12, T25S, R42E. The IO Control Facility will be constructed southeast of the Northwest Boulevard/TJ Meenach intersection (see attached vicinity map).

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

The construction limits is within parcels:

*25122.1703, 25122.2701, 25122.3101, 25122.0005,
25122.0004, 25122.0003, & 25122.2601.*

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries). *ASA, GSSA, and the City of Spokane.*
14. The following questions supplement Part A.
 - a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
 - (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

A 0.5 to 1.0 acre stormwater treatment/infiltration facility with drywells will be constructed with this project.
 - (2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No.
 - (3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater? This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

A spill prevention and containment plan will be prepared by Contractor.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

- (4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No.

b. Stormwater

- (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Geotechnical borings were completed up to 60 feet in depth without encountering groundwater. The reports indicate that water levels are anticipated to be over 100 feet in depth.

- (2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Stormwater from the Cochran facility will be treated and infiltrated into the ground. A geotechnical investigation with infiltration recommendations is being completed. The amount of stormwater allowed to infiltrate within the area will be based upon the assessment and recommendations provided.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. EARTH

- a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous

other:

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? 30%
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland. *Soils on the project are predominantly sandy gravel with an NRCS soils classification of Springdale Gravelly*

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

loamy sand. There are areas west of TJ Meenach where material from the old roadway was encountered. The fill material was comprised of silty sand and gravel with cobbles.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.
None known.
- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.
The area southwest of Northwest Boulevard/TJ Meenach intersection will be excavated for construction of the IO3 facility. It is anticipated that approximately 7,000 to 10,000 c.y. of material will be needed to complete grading for the infiltration pond. The field located southwest of the Nettleton/Buckeye intersection will be graded and contoured during the site restoration to provide a more natural looking appearance.

The fill material will be provided from a nearby CSO construction project, located on Pettet Drive and/or from a source to be provided by the Contractor.
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
Localized areas of erosion could occur during construction. An erosion and sediment control plan will be developed to minimize the potential impacts during project construction.
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?
Up to 2%.
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:
An erosion and sediment control plan will be developed for this project that includes

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

recommended BMP's from the Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual and the Eastern Washington Stormwater Manual.

2. AIR

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.
There will be dust during construction. The Contractor will be required to control dust. Temporary emissions from construction equipment will occur. No long term emissions from the project. An odor control system is part of the CSO tank.
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
No.
- c. proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
None necessary.

3. WATER

- a. Surface:
 - (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lake, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.
The Spokane River is located +1,000 feet southwest of the site.
 - (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

adjacent to (200 feet) the described waters?
If yes, please describe and attach available plans. *No.*

- (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
n/a.
- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
No.
- (5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note location on the site plan.
No.
- (6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.
The proposed project is for the purpose of eliminating combined sewage discharges to the Spokane River.

b. Ground:

- (1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
Stormwater will be treated and allowed to infiltrate into the ground. The infiltration will take place over 60 feet from the groundwater. Aside from the infiltration area and drywells no water will be

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

discharged into the ground.

- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.
None.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Stormwater from street surface that was collected into the Cochran storm system will be conveyed to the site for treatment via grassy swales and subsurface dissipation.

- (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
No.
- (3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. *No.*

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any (if the proposed action lies within the Aquifer Sensitive Area be especially clear on explanations relating to facilities concerning Sections 3b(4), 3b(5), and 3c(2) of this checklist).
Stormwater within the Cochran Storm line was collected into catch basins outfitted with sediment

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

and oil traps. Stormwater on the project will be treated by grassy swales prior to infiltration in accordance with local and state requirements.

4. PLANTS

- a. Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:
- ☒ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen,
other.
- ☒ evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other.
- ☒ shrubs.
- ☒ grass.
- ☐ pasture.
- ☐ crop or grain.
- ☐ wet soil plants, cattail, buttercup,
bulrush, skunk cabbage, other.
- ☐ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil,
other.
- ☐ other types of vegetation.
- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
- Native and lawn grass, deciduous and evergreen trees, and brush.*
- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
- None.*
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
- The project restoration landscaping will restore trees and both native and cultivated vegetation on the project.*
- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.
- None known.*

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No.

- (1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
None known.

- (2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located with the project area and in the vicinity.
None.

- (3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used or produced during the project's development or construction or at any time during the operating life of the project.
None.

- (4) Describe special emergency services that

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

might be required.

N/A.

- (2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
Watering for dust control during construction.

b. Noise:

- (1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other?)

None.

- (2) What type and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term construction equipment noise during time of construction. City noise ordinance is from 10 p.m. to 7 a.m.

- (3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

City of Spokane noise ordinance.

8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Most of the project is undeveloped land, but a portion of the site is located within a small park like area.

- b. Has the site been used as a working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

agricultural or forest land of long term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

No.

(1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling and harvesting? If so, how?

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

None.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?
No.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
Single Family Residential

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

A portion of the project is designated as Open Space conservation. This phrasing invites the question "what about the other portion?"

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the Site?

N/A.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.
No.

This area is contained within the "Aquifer Sensitive Area" as outlined by the Spokane County

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

Engineer's "208" Water Quality Management Program.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
N/A.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
None.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
N/A.
- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
None.

9. HOUSING

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
None.
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
None.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
N/A.

10. AESTHETICS

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
N/A.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. LIGHT AND GLARE

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. RECREATION

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Part of the project will be located in an informal park that is located at the intersection of Northwest Blvd/TJ Meenach Drive.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

N/A.

13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
No.
- b. Are there any landmarks, features or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

No. Historical Research Associates (HRA), Inc. completed a cultural resource assessment on April 15, 2015 with revisions in January 2016.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the DAHP, archaeological surveys, historic maps, etc.
Consultation with the tribes, DAHP and HRA completed an archeological survey of the project site.
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize or compensate for loss, changes to and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.
The cultural assessment indicated that no additional cultural work was required.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

14. TRANSPORTATION

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

TJ Meenach Drive and Northwest Boulevard.

- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

STA has a bus stop adjacent to the project on Northwest Boulevard. The project will not impact the adjacent bus stop.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

N/A.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing road and/or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

N/A.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

None.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For
Agency Use Only

transportation impacts, if any:
None.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: Fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:
None.

16. UTILITIES

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service,
telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system

other: Storm sewer

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Power and communications services will be installed to the IO3 control facility.

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under the penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any determination of nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 3/14/16

Proponent: *City of Spokane*

Signature: _____

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, WA

Phone: 509-625-6700

Person completing form: Cindy Kinzer, P.E.

Date: 3/14/16

Phone: 509-625-6700

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff Member(s) Reviewing Checklist:

Signature: _____

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff:

- A. ☒ Concludes that there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a determination of nonsignificance.
- B. ☐ Concludes that probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a mitigated determination of nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. ☐ Concludes that there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a determination of significance.

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

103 Control Facility Location Map

