

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE “DNS”

FILE NO(S): 2018085

PROPONENT: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL:

Hatch Road Bridge Deck Replacement

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY:

Approximately 250’ east of the intersection of Highway 195 and Hatch Road.

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

- There is no comment period for this DNS.
- This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for at least 14 days from the date of issuance (below).

Responsible Official: Kyle Twohig

Position/Title: Director of Engineering Services **Phone:** (509) 625-6700

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201

Date Issued: July 21, 2020 **Signature:** _____

APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION, after it becomes final, may be made to the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner, 808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201. The appeal deadline is fourteen (14) calendar days after the signing of the DNS. This appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official, make specific factual objections and be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

Contact Brittany Kraft at (509) 625-6700 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: Hatch Road Bridge Deck Replacement

FILE No.: 2018085

E-mail Copies

City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff* **
- Development Services Center, Attn: Dermott Murphy
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller* **
- Engineering Services, Attn: Barbara Patrick
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot *
- Finance, Attn: Finance Team
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Inga Note**
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis* **
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller * **
- Library Services, J. Hacker-Brumley
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Garrett Jones*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Joelle Eliason
- Planning & Development, Attn: Erik Johnson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Dean Gunderson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Planning & Development, Attn: Melissa Owen
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt. Chuck Reisenauer*
- Public Works, Attn: Scott Simmons
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Clint Harris**
- Street Operations, Attn: Greg Martin
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Coster**
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: David Istrate
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Paul Savage
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jeff McClure
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby
- Spokane County Traffic, Attn: Barry Greene

Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Jeff Lawlor
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Leslie King

Other Agencies

- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- U. S. Air Force, Community Project Coordinator
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Larissa Pruitt
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Randy Myhre
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Byus
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Lori Barlow
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Mike Basinger
- Spokane Public Schools Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane Public Schools, Attn: Phil Wright
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Clean Air Agency, Attn: John Conklin
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section 8 SW1/4, Township 24N, Range 43E)

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: Hatch Road Bridge Deck Replacement

FILE No.: 2018085

COMMENTS: (Use additional sheets if necessary)

Authorized Signature

Department or Agency

Date

Concurrency
Passed/Failed

**State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
File No. 2018085**

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "*does not apply*."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project: Hatch Rd Bridge Deck Replacement

2. Applicant: *City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services*

3. Address: *808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard*

City/State/Zip: *Spokane, WA 99201* Phone: *(509) 625-6700*

Agent or Primary Contact: Jonathan Adams

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd

City/State/Zip: Spokane, WA, 99201 Phone: 509-625-6700

Location of Project: Approx 250' east of the intersection of Hwy 195 & Hatch Rd.

Address: n/a

Section: 8 Quarter: SW Township: 24N Range: 43E

Tax Parcel Number(s) n/a

4. Date checklist prepared: 7-15-20

5. Agency requesting checklist: *City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services*

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Construction spring - fall 2021

7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No

b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain.

Hatch Rd. ROW only

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

NEPA, Shorelines CUP, JARPA/HPA

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

None known

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

State stormwater permit coverage, NEPA, Shorelines CUP & JARPA/HPA must all be approved

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

Project removes & replaces the existing bridge deck. Bridge arches remain in place. In addition, project adds a right turn lane just west of the bridge onto Hwy 195.

12. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

Approx 250' east of the intersection of Hwy 195 & Hatch Rd.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries).

Yes to all

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

- a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount

of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

None

- (2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No

- (3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater.

Contractor shall supply and follow Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan for any fuel or other chemicals used in equipment.

- (4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No

b. Stormwater

- (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Groundwater is assumed to be at creek elevation approx. 25' below the bridge deck

- (2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Stormwater falling on the bridge deck is currently piped directly to the river. This project will disconnect that pipe and stormwater will sheet flow to the west end of the bridge where it will sheet flow onto the adjacent ground for treatment/infiltration, well away from Hangman (Latah) Cr.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (check one):

Flat Rolling Hilly Steep slopes Mountainous

Other: _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

30%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Stony silt/sand/loam

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. _

No

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:

Bridge deck replacement requires no excavation or fill. Construction of the proposed right turn pocket would consist of fill of approx. 400 CY.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Yes but a SWPPP will be prepared and followed.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)?

80%

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

preparation & implementation of a SWPPP plan

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Temporary emissions from construction equipment. Long term emissions would be reduced as a result of the right turn pocket reducing waiting times at the intersection of Hwy 195 & Hatch Rd.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Construction of the right turn pocket will reduce emissions.

3. Water

- a. SURFACE WATER:

- (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes, Hangman (Latah) Cr.

- (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, above and adjacent to Hangman (Latah) Cr.

- (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None - fill area for right turn pocket is not a wetlands per consultation with WSDOE and WSDFW

- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

None

- (5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No

- (6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. GROUNDWATER:

- (1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

This project will correct the existing situation where water from the bridge is piped directly to Hangman (Latah) Cr. Instead, that pipe will be disconnected and water will be routed off the bridge onto the ground adjacent to Hatch Rd. and SR 195 just as it does elsewhere on Hatch Rd. and SR 195. Note that Hatch Rd. is not curbed.

- (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Unlikely. During construction a debris collection/containment system will be installed below the work area.

- (3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any.

SWPPP will be developed and followed during construction

4. Plants

- a. Check the type of vegetation found on the site:

Deciduous tree: Alder Maple Aspen

Other: Various species

Evergreen tree: Fir Cedar Pine

Other: Ponderosa pine

Shrubs Grass Pasture Crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops

Wet soil plants: Cattail Buttercup Bullrush Skunk Cabbage

Other: wet soil plants are nearby but not within the project limits

Water plants: Water Lily Eelgrass Milfoil

Other: _____

Other types of vegetation: _____

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Small amounts of grass and shrubs will be removed to install the proposed right turn lane.

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Disturbed areas will be reseeded with dryland grasses.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

None known

5. Animals

- a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds: Hawk Heron Eagle Songbirds

Other: _____

Mammals: Deer Bear Elk Beaver

Other: _____

Fish: Bass Salmon Trout Herring Shellfish

Other: Various species

Other (not listed in above categories): _____

- b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.

None known.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes. Within 20 miles of bird sanctuary.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None known

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

None

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No.

- (1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

None believed to exist.

- (2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

None

- (3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

None

- (4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

N/A

(5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Watering for dust control during construction.

b. NOISE:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

None.

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term construction equipment noise during time of construction. City noise ordinance is in effect from 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. Following construction this road will resume normal (existing) traffic patterns.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

City of Spokane Noise Ordinance.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The project site is an existing City street. Adjacent properties are low density residential (1 home per 10 acres or less) and a state highway

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

No

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

None other than the bridge which will be the subject of this project.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

The Hatch Rd. bridge will be partially demolished (deck) and replaced.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Adjacent properties are zoned residential single family

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Residential 4-10

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Urban conservancy.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify.

Yes - city GIS indicates some or all of the project area contains the following critical areas: hazardous geology, critical aquifer recharge area.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None necessary.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

None necessary.

- m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

None necessary

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing.

None.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

None necessary.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The bridge railing is approx. 3' above the adjacent road.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Fishing in Hangman (Latah) Cr.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None necessary

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

Yes, the bridge which is being worked on was originally build in 1919 and heavily modified in 1963. The deck is currently in poor condition and requires replacement.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Is there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

No

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Correspondence with WSDOT's archaeologist Trent DeBoer and Megan Duvall, City of Spokane Historic Preservation Officer

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

Preservation of existing bridge arches

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

This project is located on Hatch Rd. adjacent to SR195. This segment of Hatch Rd. will be closed to traffic during the project.

- b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

No

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

None.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposed project IS an improvement to an existing road.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

None - the proposed project will not affect the number of daily trips that currently occur on this bridge.

(Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).)

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe.

No

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None - the construction phase detour will be the shortest length and duration that is feasible.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

None.

16. Utilities

a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

electricity

natural gas

water

refuse service

telephone

sanitary sewer

septic system

Other: _____

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:

None.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 07/17/2020

Signature: 

Please Print or Type:

Proponent: *City of Spokane*

_Address: *808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard*

Phone: *(509) 625-6700*

_

Person completing form (if different from proponent):

Phone:

Address:

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: 

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.