I. SUMMARY

Planning and Economic Development Services Department staff, working with the Downtown Spokane Partnership and its planning consultant, Framework, have developed a draft Spokane Downtown Plan to guide future development in an approximately 1,060-acre area including and surrounding the city of Spokane’s Downtown core. The proposal is an amendment of the Comprehensive Plan through repealing and replacing the City’s existing Downtown Plan adopted in 2008 with a larger subarea. The new Spokane Downtown Plan is a component of the existing Comprehensive Plan when adopted by reference.

II. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Staff recommends that the Plan Commission adopt the facts and findings of the staff report and recommend that the City Council approve an ordinance repealing the existing plan adopted December 22, 2008, entitled *Fast Forward Spokane: Downtown Plan Update*, and adopting the *Spokane Downtown Plan*.

III. BACKGROUND

EXISTING CONDITIONS

SUBAREA BOUNDARIES

The subarea planning process for the Spokane Downtown Plan considers an approximately 1,060-acre area on both sides of the Spokane River, including and surrounding the city of Spokane’s Downtown core. The new subarea encompasses all of the smaller, 214-acre 2020 South University District Subarea Plan, expanding the boundary of the larger Downtown Planning Area to the east. A map below (Figure 1) shows exact boundaries. The 2021 Downtown Planning Area is roughly bounded by Boone Ave to the north; Interstate 90 to the south; BNSF Railway/Maple St/Cedar St/Adams St to the west; parcels fronting Ruby St, a parcel fronting North River Dr, the Spokane River, a line extended from Scott St, BNSF Railway, and Interstate 90 Exit 282 (Hamilton St interchange) westbound on-ramp to the east.
EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT

In February 2020, the consultant prepared an Existing Conditions Report and Best Practices Summary, attached to the Spokane Downtown Plan as Appendix A. The report involved a review of relevant documents and topics essential to inform the vision, goals, and strategies that were developed for the new Downtown Plan and presented for stakeholder feedback. The report included summaries of the current conditions and trends for relevant aspects of Downtown development, such as the planning context, including the 2008 Downtown Plan and provisions of the Comprehensive Plan; demographic and economic trends; zoning, development regulation, and design guidelines; historic preservation; public safety; homeless conditions; the public realm; and transportation, including parking and mobility.

CONNECTIVITY

Situated as the Regional Center, the two most prominent motor vehicle transportation facilities in the region intersect along the south boundary of the Downtown Planning Area: the Interstate 90 freeway running east-west, and the Division/Browne couplet (also designated as both U.S. Highway 2 and U.S. Highway 395/Thomas S. Foley Memorial Highway), a pair of surface streets for multimodal transportation use running north-south. Two additional multimodal routes, the Maple/Walnut and Monroe/Lincoln couplets, intersect with and access I-90, providing regional connections through the city to the north and south. The 2nd/3rd/Avenue couplet provides an additional point of direct access to the I-90 freeway. Many other surface streets extend beyond Downtown connecting to the surrounding neighborhoods. Several Spokane Transit Authority (STA) routes provide service within and surrounding the subarea, with most system routes and the City Line Bus Rapid Transit service, scheduled to begin operation in 2022, intersecting at the STA Plaza in the center of the Downtown Core.

LAND USE PLAN MAP DESIGNATIONS AND PREVIOUS PLANS

Located along the Spokane Falls and major early railroad routes through the area, Downtown is the site of the city and region’s earliest significant urban development. A range and intensity of land uses developed in this subarea, resulting in a wide variety of building types and ages. Following a 1999 Downtown Plan, the 2001 Comprehensive Plan established the Downtown Planning Area as the city and regional center. The 2008 Downtown Plan expanded the Downtown Planning Area and replaced the 1999 Plan.

The currently proposed larger amendment area applies to property that is within the Downtown Planning Area and designated by the Comprehensive Plan as “Downtown,” “General Commercial,” “Institutional,” “Open Space,” and “Conservation Open Space” on the Land Use Plan Map. Categories are described briefly below, drawing from the full descriptions in Chapter 3, Land Use, of the Comprehensive Plan.

- **Regional Center (Downtown):** Downtown Spokane is a thriving neighborhood with a diversity of activities and a mix of uses, serving as the primary economic and cultural center of the region.
- **General Commercial:** The General Commercial designation includes a wide range of commercial uses, with higher density residential uses also allowed.
- **Institutional:** The Institutional designation shows the general location of institutional uses such as middle and high schools, colleges, universities, and large governmental facilities.
- **Open Space:** This designation includes major publicly or privately owned open space areas such as major parks, with facilities for active and passive recreation that support activities occurring in the Open Space area.
- **Conservation Open Space**: The Conservation Open Space land use category includes areas that are publicly owned, not developed, and designated to remain in a natural state to protect areas with high scenic value, environmentally sensitive conditions, historic or cultural values, priority animal habitat, and/or passive recreational facilities.

![Figure 1 – Comprehensive Plan Land Use Plan Map designations in the Downtown Planning Area](image)

### RECENT PLANNING EFFORTS

The City’s Comprehensive Plan designates Downtown Spokane as the city’s only Regional Center and directs resources towards planning the growth and development of this center. Following the 2008 Downtown Plan, the City and partnering agencies have conducted a number of planning processes and studies on specific locations and facilities within the Downtown Planning Area, listed on page 15 of the draft Plan. The City also designated three target investment areas within or partially within the Downtown Planning Area: Downtown Spokane, University District, and North Bank/Kendall Yards.¹

The 2020 South University District Subarea Plan covers the southeast portion of the Downtown Planning Area. When adopted, it provided several land use and regulatory changes to promote more flexibility in allowed uses and design for development along E Sprague Ave and S Sherman St, two prominent arterial
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streets. This was the latest in a series of planning efforts in the larger University District, an area where the University District Public Development Authority directs financing for revitalization projects in the area.

Coordinated with the work to initiate the Downtown and South University District planning efforts, a planning process was conducted in the North Bank Subarea in 2019, located along the north part of the Downtown Planning Area. That process included preparation of a separate draft subarea plan that has not been adopted.

The Spokane Downtown Plan is designed to work in conjunction with both recent planning efforts within the planning area. Throughout the process, stakeholder information has been shared, and input from the smaller subareas has informed the content of the Spokane Downtown Plan.

### IV. PROCESS

#### COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT PROCEDURE

The Washington Growth Management Act (chapter 36.70A RCW) provides that proposed amendments to a comprehensive plan may be considered by the governing body of a city no more frequently than once every year, but further provides that, so long as a subarea plan clarifies, supplements, or implements city-wide comprehensive plan policies, and so long as the cumulative impacts of the proposed subarea plan are addressed by appropriate environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW, the initial adoption of a subarea plan may occur outside of this annual process.

As described in further detail under Section V of this report, the proposed amendments implement policies adopted under citywide Comprehensive Plan Land Use Goals LU 1, LU 2, and LU 3, Economic Development Goal ED 3, and several others. Environmental review under chapter 43.21C RCW, the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) addressed the cumulative impacts of the proposed amendments. Because the existing Downtown Plan will be repealed, this proposal constitutes the initial adoption of an expanded Downtown subarea plan. A Determination of Non-Significance was issued on April 28, 2021.

#### ROLE OF THE CITY PLAN COMMISSION

The proposed subarea plan Comprehensive Plan amendment requires a review process set forth in chapter 17G.020 of the Spokane Municipal Code (SMC). The Plan Commission is responsible for holding a public hearing and forwarding its findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the City Council. Following the decision criteria found in chapter 17G.020 SMC, the Plan Commission may recommend approval, modification, or denial of the proposal.

The Plan Commission may incorporate the facts and findings of the staff report as the basis for its recommendation to the City Council, or may modify the findings as necessary to support their final recommendation.

#### ROLE OF CITY COUNCIL

The City Council will also conduct a review process considering the amendment proposal, public comments and testimony, the staff report, and the Plan Commission’s recommendation. The final decision to approve, modify, or deny the proposed amendments rests with the City Council. Proposals adopted by ordinance after public hearings are official amendments to the Comprehensive Plan.
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Each stage of the subarea planning process has incorporated engagement with the numerous stakeholders within the Downtown Planning Area and the broader community. City of Spokane and Downtown Spokane Partnership staff, along with the planning consultant, Framework, followed a public engagement plan to reach targeted stakeholders, such as residents and owners, employees, and community organizations Downtown. The engagement plan was adjusted to allow additional participation and time, with additional events and resources. With a more detailed event list in Exhibit 1 Public Participation Summary, below is a list of some of the major aspects and events held to help craft this plan:

- City Council established the Downtown Plan as part of the Plan Commission’s 2019-2020 work program on February 25, 2019
- An email list of more than 700 contacts to share project announcements
- A project page on the City website with up-to-date information about events and project progress (https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/)
- Stakeholder Focus Groups (September 23-24, 2019)
- Public Workshop (October 22, 2019)
- Three online SurveyMonkey surveys
- Steering Committee meetings, inviting participation from 22 members designated from a variety of stakeholders to provide input and guidance as content was generated (January 8, 2020; January 30, 2020; February 28, 2020; June 26, 2020; and December 18, 2020)
- Public Workshop on Draft Vision Statement, Goals, and Strategies (February 5, 2020)
- Video shared in the City’s Community Connection e-newsletter and available on City website
- Plan Commission subcommittee meetings (February 17, 2021, and March 3, 2021; virtual)
- Open House to present the draft Plan (March 16, 2021; virtual)
- Presentations to the Spokane Community Assembly, the Community Assembly Land Use Committee (virtual), Downtown Spokane Partnership, and in-person to the Riverside, Peaceful Valley, West Central, Emerson-Garfield, and Cliff-Cannon neighborhood councils

Additional information and results of the Public Workshops, surveys, focus groups, and steering committee are posted on the project website, and described in the Spokane Downtown Plan (see Section 3, Planning Process, pages 23-30). Additional details from the 2019 focus groups and stakeholder interviews appear in the Existing Conditions Report (see Appendix A to the Plan, pages 16-21). A public engagement summary contains a more complete listing of all events, attached to this report in Exhibit 1.

In addition, the planning team has provided updates on the plan during the process to elected and appointed officials, and to staff from City departments and interested agencies.

- City Staff and Agency Technical Work Group Meetings (December 4, 2019, and February 5, 2020)
- Plan Commission Workshops (October 23, 2019; November 13, 2019; December 11, 2019; January 22, 2020; January 27, 2021; March 24, 2021; and April 14, 2021)
- Design Review Board Meetings (October 23, 2019, and January 22, 2020)
- Bicycle Advisory Board Meeting (August 18, 2020)

---
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PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND SEPA REVIEW

- More than 4,600 brochures were sent to property owners, residents, and occupants in the subarea on February 24, 2021, with an additional 200 copies distributed to area businesses. The brochures:
  - Described the plan’s key features and how to find it,
  - Encouraged the submission of written comments, and
  - Contained instructions for how to join the March 16 virtual open house by phone or computer.
- A notice of Intent to Adopt was filed with Washington Department of Commerce on April 13, 2021.
- A SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) was issued on April 28, 2021. The comment period will end on May 12, 2021.
- Hearing date is scheduled with the Plan Commission for May 12, 2021.

COMMENTS RECEIVED

Written and emailed comments received will be provided to the Plan Commission prior to the public hearing.

Notice of this proposal was sent to City departments and outside agencies for their review. Department and outside agency comments are included in this report as Exhibit 4. One agency/City department comment was received regarding this application:
- City of Spokane Street Department

V. ANALYSIS

PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

The City of Spokane and Downtown Spokane Partnership are proposing a new subarea plan, by amendment of the Comprehensive Plan through repealing and replacing the 2008 Downtown Plan: Fast Forward Spokane: Downtown Plan Update.

The new Spokane Downtown Plan is a component of the existing Comprehensive Plan when adopted by reference. The Spokane Downtown Plan includes a vision for future development and identifies five overarching goal categories, which contain specific policies and actions. The policies and actions set by the new subarea plan are consistent with the direction of the Comprehensive Plan. Area specific amendments to the Land Use Plan Map to the General Commercial designation in the future would be subject to all relevant provisions of SMC 17G.020, Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

See Exhibit 2 for the full text of the proposed new Spokane Downtown Plan.

IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOALS AND POLICIES

Using the Comprehensive Plan for overall guidance, the more detailed planning undertaken for subareas like the Spokane Downtown Plan help ensure implementation of citywide goals and policies focused at a
smaller scale (see Goal LU 7 – Implementation and Policy LU 7.4 – Sub-Area Planning Framework.) A review of Comprehensive Plan goals and policies and other supporting documents indicates that the proposal meets the approval criteria for internal consistency set forth in SMC 17G.020.030(G). The analysis below identifies the Comprehensive Plan goals and policies which the proposal most directly implements.

**LAND USE GOALS**

**Land Use Goal LU 1 – Citywide Land Use**

*Goal:* Offer a harmonious blend of opportunities for living, working, recreation, education, shopping, and cultural activities by protecting natural amenities, providing coordinated, efficient, and cost effective public facilities and utility services, carefully managing both residential and non-residential development and design, and proactively reinforcing downtown Spokane’s role as a vibrant urban center.

**Staff Analysis:** The proposed Spokane Downtown Plan and its goals and policies were formed collaboratively and invited participation from diverse stakeholders, which is described in the document. Its strategies provide guidance to ensure this important community and Regional Center continues its preferred functions relative to the entire city, and grow to achieve a newly defined vision. The document addresses all of the activities listed in Goal LU 1 as well as those specified for Downtown in Policy LU 1.9 – Downtown.

**Land Use Goal LU 2 – Public Realm Enhancement**

*Goal:* Encourage the enhancement of the public realm.

**Staff Analysis:** The proposal features strategies to enhance the public realm, including transportation improvements in targeted locations to support connectivity and walkability; art and cultural installations and other efforts; improving amenities such as parks, the pedestrian realm conditions at street edges, and other public spaces; programming and improving public spaces; and cultural events and streetscape improvements.

- **Surface Parking Lots:** Responding to input described in the plan, renewed suggested efforts would actively address reduction in the prevalence of surface parking lots Downtown, such as public/private partnerships, development regulation amendments, and management strategies. The proposal aims these efforts at redevelopment and changing the way surface parking develops relative to the pedestrian environment, leading to better continuity of active uses at the street level to support walkability.

- **Development at Street Level:** Proposed changes to design standards, applying to development on key streets to enhance pedestrian activity, would result in increased street-oriented ground floor activity. The proposal also recommends that any zoning changes would include criteria that address the surrounding context and desired land uses with a focus on street level uses. The Plan Commission subcommittee, formed to review the proposal, discussed this aspect of the public realm and made a graphic addition to illustrate the concepts discussed in text on page 77.

- **Height and Massing:** The Spokane Municipal Code specifies changes to height limits are not allowed outside of a downtown plan update process. The proposal discusses a 2018 Plan Commission recommendation for height increases in the Downtown Core-100 (DTC-100) zone along Spokane Falls Blvd, and outlines requirements for information ahead of any future decision about the City acting to change the height limits, such as defining the value of integrating public amenities as part of projects within the area. Consistent with the guidance of Policy LU 2.2 – Performance Standards, another modification to the proposal made by the Plan Commission...
subcommittee added a suggestion to explore performance-based design standards that enhance the public realm, if the 2018 recommendations are reconsidered (page 80).

**Land Use Goal LU 3 – Efficient Land Use**

*Goal:* Promote the efficient use of land by the use of incentives, density and mixed-use development in proximity to retail businesses, public services, places of work, and transportation systems.

**Staff Analysis:** As described above, the Downtown Plan Area contains portions of three of the city’s target investment areas. The proposal provides a plan framework to build on the accomplishments in these areas, aligning different efforts with community goals to benefit the city and surrounding region. The proposal identifies opportunities that would enable new collaboration among partners to achieve those goals efficiently through integration of housing with other uses in this central, supported location (page 74). The proposed expansion to the Downtown Plan Area boundary to include all of the South University District Plan subarea supports coordination of growth in this area with the associated Land Use Plan Map changes adopted in 2020, and the tax and regulatory incentives in effect there.

**TRANSPORTATION GOAL**

**Transportation Goal TR B – Provide Transportation Choices**

*Goal:* Meet mobility needs by providing facilities for transportation options – including walking, bicycling, public transportation, private vehicles, and other choices.

**Staff Analysis:** The proposal describes approaches to better support multimodal transportation options consistent with regional priorities and adopted plans. Strategies would build on existing facilities to support a well-connected and inviting pedestrian experience, benefiting people of all socioeconomic backgrounds. For streets with low traffic volume, such recommendations include higher-quality bike facilities, expanded public spaces, and on-street parking (page 43). The proposal supports preserving major auto routes to and from Downtown, while describing strategies to increase access to transit. It proposes action items and other details for facilities located in Downtown Spokane that are designated for future improvements by the City’s Bicycle Master Plan. The approach toward eventual reconfigurations of some one-way streets in the future (on page 50) reflects a reevaluation with stakeholders of existing adopted strategies. The proposal also acknowledges other transportation choices through improvements to support shared mobility as employment and population continue to grow.

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GOAL**

**Economic Development Goal ED 3 – Strong, Diverse, and Sustainable Economy**

*Goal:* Foster a strong, diverse, and sustainable economy that provides a range of employment and business opportunities.

**Staff Analysis:** The Downtown Plan subarea serves an important role as an employment center and hub for a variety of office, retail, university, government, recreation, entertainment, transit, housing, and light industrial uses in a central location. The proposal supports a diversity of business size, type, and ownership, including start-ups and affordable workspaces, as well as activity to support existing businesses (pages 71, 78). The proposal targets additional cultural events to draw visitors, recommending evaluation of and addressing existing challenges (pages 70, 99).
SOCIAL HEALTH GOALS

Social Health Goal SH 2 Facilities for Special Needs Populations

Goal: Enable and encourage development patterns and uses of public and private property that are responsive to the facility requirements of special needs populations.

Social Health Goal SH 5 Public Benefit Uses

Goal: Create policy framework, laws, and regulations that expand and develop wellness programs, affordable and accessible health and human services, affordable and ADA-accessible housing, child and adult day care, and other public benefit uses.

Staff Analysis: Several community engagement events during the process identified, as a primary issue, the conditions facing people who experience homelessness Downtown as an area where services are centralized. Process participants also expressed concerns related to public safety. The Spokane Downtown Plan incorporates content from documents in other cities, while recognizing and supporting the social service policies and other important strategic plans, updated with greater frequency than this proposal, that already exist and are separate from this proposal. City and Downtown Spokane Partnership officials and staff coordinated to identify areas of policy that could be improved, and distinguished these from operations themselves, which are addressed in other types of documents such as work programs. Topics in these categories considered and addressed in the plan include:

- Strategic development, built environment, and operations guidance:
  - Housing development: The proposal provides a new approach to integrating transitional housing, a type of Continuum of Care housing intervention, and more residential development that is affordable for all income levels, to provide new pathways for special needs populations in the regional effort to prevent and end homelessness (page 75).
  - De-centralize social and homeless services: The proposal supports strengthening partnerships to better respond to special needs populations with a public-private funding tool, along with integrated implementation of transitional housing with other kinds of housing, described on page 75. This dispersed approach is consistent with Comprehensive Plan Social Health Policy SH 2.2 – Special Needs Temporary Housing. In another example, the proposal emphasizes how the regional homeless plan, the Spokane City/County Continuum of Care Board’s 5-year Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness, reinforces the Spokane Downtown Plan and continued support of the newly launched regional model for implementing solutions (page 95).

- Operations:
  - Mental health and behavior in public spaces: The proposal provides discussion on programs that assist with substance abuse, mental health and improved job skills and training (page 18). It links to the Spokane City/County Continuum of Care Board’s 5-year Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness.

Social Health Goal SH 6 – Safety

Goal: Create and maintain a safe community through the cooperative efforts of citizens and city departments, such as Planning and Development, Police, Fire, Community, Housing and Human Services, Parks and Recreation, and Neighborhood Services.

Staff Analysis: Topics considered by officials at the City of Spokane and staff from the Downtown Spokane Partnership in coordinating comments on the document included:
Strategic Development, Built Environment, and Operations Guidance:

- **Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design:** The Comprehensive Plan addresses crime prevention under Social Health Policy SH 6.1 – Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design Themes. This is an area of focus for new policy in the subarea plan, with special programs and application to Downtown in the draft proposal (page 93).
- **Public space development and activation:** Proposed actions would program a variety of events and introduce additional physical improvements, such as pedestrian streetscape amenities and public art, bringing additional activity to streets and public spaces (page 46, pages 66-70, page 87).

Operations:

- **Increasing police presence:** The Spokane Downtown Plan summarizes the current state of policing, including the highly visible Downtown Precinct location opened in 2020 in the heart of Downtown, enabling increased community policing practices (page 18). This description is consistent with policy around neighborhood policing in the Comprehensive Plan through Social Health Policy SH 6.7 – Community Oriented Policing Services.
- **Require accountability for re-offenders:** Addressing a City effort related to quality-of-life offenses, the proposal describes and links to Community Court, a Downtown intervention, a therapeutic judicial alternative which demonstrates reduced recidivism rates (page 19).

**FINAL REVIEW CRITERIA**

SMC Section 17G.020.030 establishes the review criteria for Comprehensive Plan amendments. In order to approve a Comprehensive Plan amendment request, the decision-making authority shall make findings of fact based on evidence provided by the applicant that demonstrates satisfaction of all the applicable criteria. The applicable criteria are shown below in **bold italic** print. Following each criterion is staff analysis relative to the amendment requested.

### A. Regulatory Changes.

*Amendments to the comprehensive plan must be consistent with any recent state or federal legislative actions, or changes to state or federal regulations, such as changes to the Growth Management Act, or new environmental regulations.*

**Staff Analysis:** Staff reviewed and processed the proposed amendment under the most current regulations contained in the Growth Management Act, the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), and the Spokane Municipal Code. Staff is unaware of any recent federal, state, or legislative actions with which the proposal would be in conflict, and no comments were received to this effect from any applicable agencies receiving notice of the proposal. The proposal meets this criterion.

### B. GMA.

*The change must be consistent with the goals and purposes of the state Growth Management Act.*

**Staff Analysis:** The Growth Management Act (GMA) details 13 goals to guide the development and adoption of the comprehensive plans and development regulations (RCW 36.70A.020, “Planning Goals”), and these goals guided the City’s development of its comprehensive plan and development regulations. No comments received or other evidence in the record indicates inconsistency between
the proposed plan map amendment and the goals and purposes of the GMA. The proposal meets this criterion.

C. Financing.

In keeping with the GMA’s requirement for plans to be supported by financing commitments, infrastructure implications of approved comprehensive plan amendments must be reflected in the relevant six-year capital improvement plan(s) approved in the same budget cycle.

Staff Analysis: The Downtown Planning Area is a previously developed, central location within the city served by existing urban facilities and services. City departments and partner agencies responsible for providing public services and facilities have reviewed the proposal and have not indicated any concerns regarding financing commitments or other infrastructure implications that would result from the proposal. The proposal meets this criterion.

D. Funding Shortfall.

If funding shortfalls suggest the need to scale back on land use objectives and/or service level standards, those decisions must be made with public input as part of this process for amending the comprehensive plan and capital facilities program.

Staff Analysis: As described in response to Criterion (C) above, this proposal would establish new goals and policies concerning a centrally located area already served by urban facilities and services. The proposal itself does not involve a specific development project. Implementation of the concurrency requirement under SMC 17D.010.020 as well as applicable development regulations and transportation impact fees, will ensure that development is consistent with adopted comprehensive plan and capital facilities standards, or that sufficient funding is available to mitigate any impacts to existing infrastructure networks. The proposal meets this criterion.

E. Internal Consistency.

1. The requirement for internal consistency pertains to the comprehensive plan as it relates to all of its supporting documents, such as the development regulations, capital facilities program, shoreline master program, downtown plan, critical area regulations, and any neighborhood planning documents adopted after 2001. In addition, amendments should strive to be consistent with the parks plan, and vice versa. For example, changes to the development regulations must be reflected in consistent adjustments to the goals or policies in the comprehensive plan. As appropriate, changes to the map or text of the comprehensive plan must also result in corresponding adjustments to the zoning map and implementation regulations in the Spokane Municipal Code.

Staff Analysis: The proposal is internally consistent with applicable supporting documents of the Comprehensive Plan as follows:

Development Regulations. As a non-project proposal, there are no specific plans for development of any site tied to this application. While the planning area is expanded to include all of the South University District Subarea, the proposal itself does not change any Land Use Plan Map designations or their implementing regulations, nor result in any non-conforming uses or development. The subarea plan proposes examples for regulatory changes for the City to consider, but acknowledges those changes would occur as part of a future process with further study and analysis before
implementation; thus, the proposal maintains consistency with current regulations. Staff finds no reason to indicate that the proposed policy would conflict with applicable regulations.

Capital Facilities Program. As described in the staff analysis of Criterion (C) above, no additional demand for infrastructure or capital expenditures by the City would be directly created by this non-project action, and it is not expected that the City’s integrated Capital Facilities Program would be affected by the proposal.

Downtown Plan. The goals and policies proposed under the new Spokane Downtown Plan are arranged under a newly organized strategic framework, with current public input, that incorporates similar content as the Fast Forward Spokane: Downtown Plan Update adopted in 2008. As described above in Section IV, the individual goals and Downtown and district strategies from that document were reviewed with the steering committee and content flagged that did not appear reflected in the new framework. The new Spokane Downtown Plan retains several elements of the 2008 Plan, such as the unfinished opportunity sites, internal district names and boundaries, and Downtown Complete Street designations and typologies. As envisioned by the 2008 Plan following more than a decade of change that saw many of the anticipated major projects brought to fruition, the new Spokane Downtown Plan introduces an updated strategic framework and renewed focus on a variety of policy topics suggesting some change in guidance for the growth and development Downtown, with a discrete set of suggested actions.

Shoreline Master Program. There are no changes proposed that would alter review under or requirements of the Shoreline Master Program under chapter 17E.060 of the Spokane Municipal Code. This action will not supersede those regulations.

2. If a proposed amendment is significantly inconsistent with current policy within the comprehensive plan, an amendment proposal must also include wording that would realign the relevant parts of the comprehensive plan and its other supporting documents with the full range of changes implied by the proposal.

Staff Analysis: The proposed subarea plan provides current detail for the Downtown Planning Area for the existing Comprehensive Plan’s relevant goals and policies for Downtown. It would fully replace the existing 2008 Downtown Plan. As such, the proposed amendments are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and no change to other parts of the Comprehensive Plan are required to ensure this criterion is met.

F. Regional Consistency.

All changes to the comprehensive plan must be consistent with the countywide planning policies (CWPP), the comprehensive plans of neighboring jurisdictions, applicable capital facilities or special district plans, the regional transportation improvement plan, and official population growth forecasts.

Staff Analysis: The proposed amendment is consistent with the various requirements for land use planning in the CWPPs, including the need for establishing efficient land use, providing certainty to capital facilities, and allowing timely extension of services and utilities for new development. No comments have been received from any agency, City department, or neighboring jurisdiction which would indicate that this proposal is not regionally consistent. The proposal meets this criterion.

G. Cumulative Effect.
All amendments must be considered concurrently in order to evaluate their cumulative effect on the comprehensive plan text and map, development regulations, capital facilities program, neighborhood planning documents, adopted environmental policies and other relevant implementation measures.

1. Land Use Impacts.

In addition, applications should be reviewed for their cumulative land use impacts. Where adverse environmental impacts are identified, mitigation requirements may be imposed as a part of the approval action.

2. Grouping.

Proposals for area-wide rezones and/or site-specific land use plan map amendments may be evaluated by geographic sector and/or land use type in order to facilitate the assessment of their cumulative impacts.

Staff Analysis: This proposal generally encourages more consideration of activity at the street level as part of new development, or as part of changes to regulations. Some implementing regulatory changes are contemplated, and would require an additional public participation process after adoption of this subarea plan. There are no direct changes to development regulations, land-use amendments, or rezoning as part of the proposal.

In related Comprehensive Plan amendment proposals, subarea planning occurred in 2019 and 2020 in the South University District, which is included as part of the larger Downtown Planning Area in this proposal. That effort did adopt changes to regulations and land use to include an additional approximately 63 acres within the Downtown Land Use Plan Map designation. 3 Separately, two changes within the Downtown Plan Area to the Planned Bikeway Network map of the Comprehensive Plan (Chapter 5, Map TR-5) are proposed through the current annual amendment cycle, which will conclude with final action at the end of 2021. 4 The locations of those bike facility amendments, on S Cedar St and E/W Pacific Ave, are reflected in the proposed street improvements in this proposal. The overlapping schedule and boundaries of the subarea and bike facility planning processes has allowed staff to monitor proposed changes emerging from the different initiatives and take cumulative impacts into consideration throughout the process. The proposal meets this criterion.

H. SEPA.

SEPA review must be completed on all amendment proposals and is described in chapter 17E.050.

1. Grouping.

When possible, the SEPA review process should be combined for related land use types or affected geographic sectors in order to better evaluate the proposals’ cumulative impacts. This combined review process results in a single threshold determination for those related proposals.
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2. **DS.**

   *If a determination of significance (DS) is made regarding any proposal, that application will be deferred for further consideration until the next applicable review cycle in order to allow adequate time for generating and processing the required environmental impact statement (EIS).*

**Staff Analysis:** The application is under review in accordance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), which requires that the potential for adverse environmental impacts resulting from a proposal be evaluated during the decision-making process. On the basis of the information contained in the environmental checklist, written comments from local and State departments and agencies concerned with land development within the City, and a review of other information available to the Director of Planning Services, a Determination of Non-Significance was issued on April 28, 2021. The proposal meets this criterion.

I. **Adequate Public Facilities**

   *The amendment must not adversely affect the City’s ability to provide the full range of urban public facilities and services (as described in CFU 2.1 and CFU 2.2) citywide at the planned level of service, or consume public resources otherwise needed to support comprehensive plan implementation strategies.*

**Staff Analysis:** While the proposal would not modify any land uses immediately, it is conceivable that future regulatory amendments may result from this subarea plan. Any development that occurs following those changes will be subject to a concurrency determination pursuant to SMC 17D.010.020, which will establish whether sufficient services are available to serve that development. Therefore, staff finds that the proposal meets this criterion.

J. **UGA.**

   *Amendments to the urban growth area boundary may only be proposed by the city council or the mayor of Spokane and shall follow the procedures of the countywide planning policies for Spokane County.*

**Staff Analysis:** The application does not propose an amendment to the urban growth area boundary. As such, this criterion does not apply.

K. **Demonstration of Need.**

   1. **Policy Adjustments.**

      *Proposed policy adjustments that are intended to be consistent with the comprehensive plan should be designed to provide correction or additional guidance so the community’s original visions and values can better be achieved. […]*

**Staff Analysis:** The proposal clarifies the Comprehensive Plan’s focused growth strategy around directing new growth to the Regional Center, one of the designated Centers and Corridors, by providing improved guidance so the community’s original visions and values can better be achieved. Below are excerpts from the Comprehensive Plan’s Land Use and Transportation chapters, though the vision and values in other chapters mention Downtown’s importance as well.
Comprehensive Plan Chapter 3 Land Use, Section 3.2, Vision and Values, restates the original text adopted by the City in 1996 that formed the basis of the Land Use goals. In response to a need for better continuity of walkability between active street frontages identified in the planning process, the proposed amendments will help better achieve the Vision that “Growth will be managed to allow a mix of land uses that … sustain the downtown area and broaden the economic base of the community” by providing improved guidance in considering future proposals to amend development regulations that affect Downtown, with a focus on street level uses (page 79). These amendments would maintain geographic focus while aligning better with the target investment area and assisting the adopted Values of “Developing and maintaining convenient access and opportunities for shopping, services, and employment.”

The proposal also demonstrates consistency with the Vision in Chapter 4 Transportation, Section 4.2, of the Comprehensive Plan, by providing new and current detail for “a well-maintained multi-modal transportation system that provides safe and efficient mobility for all, supports economic and community vitality …” In addition, the proposal provides additional detail to guide growth related to the Values of Comprehensive Plan Chapter 4, Section 4.2, particularly with respect to “Developing and maintaining good public transit … convenient access to the downtown area … and improving the pedestrian environment.”

The proposal meets this criterion.

2. Map Changes.

Changes to the land use plan map (and by extension, the zoning map) may only be approved if the proponent has demonstrated that all of the following are true:

a. The designation is in conformance with the appropriate location criteria identified in the comprehensive plan (e.g., compatibility with neighboring land uses, proximity to arterials, etc.);

Staff Analysis: The proposal does not involve a change to the land use plan or zoning maps. Therefore, this section does not apply.

b. The map amendment or site is suitable for the proposed designation;

Staff Analysis: As discussed above, the proposal does not include a map amendment and this section does not apply.

c. The map amendment implements applicable comprehensive plan policies and subarea plans better than the current map designation.

Staff Analysis: As discussed above, the proposal does not include a map amendment and this section does not apply.

3. Rezones, Land Use Plan Map Amendment.

Corresponding rezones will be adopted concurrently with land use plan map amendments as a legislative action of the city council. If policy language changes have map implications, changes to the land use plan map and zoning map will be made accordingly for all affected sites upon adoption of the new policy language. This is done to ensure that
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the comprehensive plan remains internally consistent and to preserve consistency between the comprehensive plan and supporting development regulations.

Staff Analysis: As this proposal does not include a map amendment, this criterion does not apply. Future map amendments would be subject to this criterion at the time of their consideration by the City.

VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the facts and findings presented herein, staff concludes that the requested subarea plan to amend and become part of the City’s Comprehensive Plan satisfies the applicable criteria for approval as set forth in SMC Section 17G.020.030.

VII. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Following the close of public testimony and deliberations regarding conclusions with respect to the review criteria and decision criteria detailed in SMC Chapter 17G.020, Plan Commission will need to make a recommendation to City Council for approval or denial of the requested amendment to the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

Staff recommends that the Plan Commission adopt the facts and findings of the staff report and recommends approval of the requested amendment to adopt a new Spokane Downtown Plan as part of the City’s Comprehensive Plan for the subject text amendment in Exhibit 2.

VIII. LIST OF EXHIBITS

1. Public Participation Summary
2. Proposed Subarea Plan Text
3. SEPA Determination of Non-Significance
4. Department Comment
5. Public Comments
6. Related Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name/Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/3/2019</td>
<td>Community Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/4/2019</td>
<td>Terrain 12 pop-up event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14 - 11/4/2019</td>
<td>Online public survey #1. 590 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/2019</td>
<td>River Park Square pop-up event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/22/2019</td>
<td>Public meeting #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/23/2019</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/23/2019</td>
<td>Design Review Board meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/31/2019</td>
<td>City Council Study Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/13/2019</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/2019</td>
<td>Riverside Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2019</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8/2020</td>
<td>Steering committee meeting #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8/2020</td>
<td>Peaceful Valley Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8/2020</td>
<td>West Central Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/9/2020</td>
<td>Inlander article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14 - 2/17/2020</td>
<td>Online public survey #2. 647 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22/2020</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2020</td>
<td>Postcard mailing – 1200 addresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business Improvement District Ratepayers and Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/30/2020</td>
<td>Steering committee meeting #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4/2020</td>
<td>Cliff Cannon Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4/2020</td>
<td>Spokesman Review article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/5/2020</td>
<td>Public meeting #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/2020</td>
<td>Emerson Garfield Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/2020</td>
<td>Journal of Business article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Name/Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2020</td>
<td>Steering committee meeting #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24 - 5/2/2020</td>
<td>Online survey of steering committee and technical group on General Goals and Actions. 21 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/25/2020</td>
<td>Update on arts and culture strategies - Spokane Arts and Terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/26/2020</td>
<td>Steering committee meeting #4 - virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/2020</td>
<td>Downtown Spokane Partnership Board meeting - virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/6/2020</td>
<td>Downtown bikeways discussion with Bicycle Advisory Board Committee on Protected Bike Lanes/Neighborhood Greenways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/18/2020</td>
<td>Bicycle Advisory Board meeting - virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/25/2020</td>
<td>Davenport District strategies discussion with property owner and community organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/18/2020</td>
<td>Steering committee meeting #5 - virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/27/2021</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/16/2021</td>
<td>Spokesman Review article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/17/2021</td>
<td>Plan Commission Downtown Plan subcommittee meeting #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/18/2021</td>
<td>Land Use Committee of the Community Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/24/2021</td>
<td>Printed brochure mailing to 4,694 Downtown addresses notifying citizens of the draft Plan availability, and 3/16/2021 public meeting. 200 copies handed out to area businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/25 - 4/4/2021</td>
<td>Online public survey #3. 741 responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/3/2021</td>
<td>Plan Commission Downtown Plan subcommittee meeting #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4/2021</td>
<td>Community Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10/2021</td>
<td>West Central Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/11/2021</td>
<td>Interview “30 Minutes With,” a Downtown Spokane Partnership webcast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Public meeting #3 - virtual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24/2021</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Name/Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14/2021</td>
<td>Plan Commission workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/27/2021</td>
<td>Hispanic Business/Professional Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PREFACE

Spokane’s Downtown Plan update is being published at a time that is very different from when we began the process. Through the experience of the COVID-19 public health crisis, economic impacts of business closures, and examining long-standing inequities in society, the City recognizes rebuilding our economy will need to provide inclusive opportunity for everyone to prosper.

The Downtown Plan has a ten-year horizon, and the beginning of that timeframe will focus on economic recovery from the aftermath of the pandemic. The intent of this plan is to set a framework for decision-making with a focus on diversity, equity, and inclusion so that as Spokane regathers its strength, Downtown will come back stronger, more resilient, and more welcoming and nurturing to all.

What downtown plans do best

Downtown plans synthesize many related efforts to find the gaps and synergies between them. Spokane has been working on targeted plans for infrastructure, transportation, public safety, diversity and inclusion, zoning and land use regulations, subarea planning, branding and marketing, and arts and culture. The role of the Downtown Plan is not to redo existing plans or social service policy, but to look for areas of agreement and ways that the multiple targeted efforts can work together in better ways. The Downtown Plan is an opportunity to highlight various efforts the City and its partners are working toward, and to bring people to a level of agreement on priorities and actions.
A Downtown Master Plan for this time and place

Spokane’s Downtown Plan strategies will seek out areas of mutual agreement from the strong input received during the process. The recommendations of this Plan are based less on major capital improvements and more toward testing that can further consensus around permanent improvements in the future. The Plan actions are focused on next steps that will have the most impact on bringing activity back into Downtown and a roadmap for future planning.

This Downtown Plan identifies ways to support the people who live, work and play in Downtown, and uses this opportunity to test ideas that may not be new, but may achieve broad enough support to eventually be realized. This Plan can help Downtown stakeholders move past areas of disagreement, building consensus with three overarching principles:

- Focus on areas of agreement
- Focus on people and activity
- Test and evaluate new ideas

Areas of Agreement: Energize Downtown

The idea that Downtown should be energized has agreement from all the input received for the Downtown Plan.

To that end, this Plan looks for ways to support businesses and organizations that activate Downtown, foster arts and cultural activities, and make it as easy as possible for people to create activity in public and private spaces. It encourages proactive public-private partnerships for near-term and long-term projects that benefit Downtown. The Plan recognizes that Downtown has space that can be harnessed as active space and options for using that space should be actively explored and tested to cultivate a sense of community. The City, Downtown Spokane Partnership and their partners should make every effort to highlight Downtown’s assets, including historic buildings, private and civic activities, and its unique natural setting along the Spokane River and Falls. Finally, Downtown should draw on its own successful existing models, ranging from events like Hoopfest to new neighborhood parks and public spaces like A Place of Truths Plaza, and improved use of space in the street.

Focus on People

Making Downtown a place where people love to spend time means offering a variety of places that feel safe and comfortable, with things to see and do.

This Plan supports working together as a compassionate community toward short and long-term solutions for homelessness and substance abuse. Physical changes in public space that can help with comfort at all times of day include improvements to lighting, seating and plantings.
Focusing on people means encouraging and supporting entrepreneurs and artists who bring vibrancy to Downtown. It means making sure that people of all abilities can get to and around Downtown by multiple safe and convenient modes. And it means making Downtown an attractive environment for people to live, work, and play Downtown.

Test and Evaluate New Ideas
With the need to reignite activity in Downtown, it is a time to focus less on permanent changes (beyond those already planned) and more on testing actions that support people and activities Downtown.

Where street reconfiguration supports people’s comfort, mobility and interest in Downtown, those changes can be tried in simple ways to see how they meet the goals of supporting people and activity. The City can use pilot programs to test new ideas by making them as easy as possible from a regulatory standpoint. The City and community leaders can engage property owners, businesses and organizations to find ways they would like to be involved in public space activation, looking especially for ways that such activity can benefit local businesses, entrepreneurs and artists. The Downtown Plan highly encourages monitoring and evaluation of the public space tests to understand what lessons can be learned, and use that evaluation to pursue permanent installation of successful temporary actions.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Spokane’s Downtown Plan is a strategic, action-oriented document that outlines the community’s vision of Downtown for the next ten years. This plan aims not only to articulate a vision for Downtown where there is agreement, but also to define actions to achieve that vision and build consensus around the challenges Downtown faces. This Plan is organized in five sections that touch on the progress the City has made in the past decade, the planning process including what we heard from Downtown stakeholders, and more specific goals and strategies that work toward that vision.

Introduction and Background
The City has made great strides Downtown with significant public and private investment, but new challenges have presented themselves since 2008, when the City adopted its last Downtown Plan. The 2008 Downtown Plan highlighted where private development or public improvements could catalyze Downtown reinvestment. With redevelopment complete or underway at a majority of those sites, several of which were part of the ongoing redevelopment of Riverfront Park, the time is ripe for an update to the Downtown Plan. After the adoption of the last Downtown Plan, the City made great effort to advance the goals of the plan through new policy, regulation, and public projects. Downtown faces some new and enduring challenges such as homelessness and concerns around public safety, but there is growing vitality and energy.
Planning Process

The City and Downtown Spokane Partnership began the process to update the Downtown Plan in 2018. The resources allocated to the plan update and the scope of work are significantly less than for the 2008 plan. Therefore, some of the bigger ideas that were developed during this effort will require further planning and public process.

The existing conditions report formed the basis of preliminary ideas, and best practices that were presented to the public at the initial public meeting in October 2019. A survey, released before the public meeting offered more opportunity for input on existing conditions, challenges and assets. These broader ideas were refined into a draft framework and specific set of goals, which were presented at a second public meeting in February 2020. A second public survey conducted at roughly the same time collected input on the draft framework and goals. The results of these engagements form the basis of this Plan. The City, Downtown Spokane Partnership and planning consultants held several meetings with a steering committee representing stakeholder groups throughout the process as well as a meeting with the Downtown Spokane Partnership board.

Plan Framework

The plan framework outlines overarching goals to guide Downtown Spokane as it continues to grow and evolve. These five goals represent vital parts of a vibrant Downtown that attracts and serves local visitors:

- A connected and walkable Downtown;
- Thriving arts, culture and historic places;
- A great place to live, work, and play;
- Welcoming and engaging public spaces for all; and
- A well-organized Downtown.
PRIORITY ACTIONS

Based on goals and vision in the plan, and the value of projects in the past ten years (outside of Riverfront Park), the following 15 priority actions will be possible within the next ten years Downtown:

- Transform low traffic streets that are oversized for projected traffic by converting vehicle travel lanes to other uses in target locations such as high-quality bike facilities, expanded public spaces, and on-street parking.
- Develop a transportation plan specific to Downtown that considers multiple modes and addresses facility designs, locations, priorities, and funding.
- Make sidewalks active and vibrant places through continued efforts to streamline design requirements, and developing new pilot projects in partnership with local businesses downtown.
- Protect use of alleys for service access and improve certain alleys as pedestrian spaces, where improvements add to connections and active spaces Downtown.
- Actively pursue redevelopment of surface lots.
- Improve pedestrian experience and safety at undercrossings beneath the rail viaduct and I-90 with targeted interventions.
- Improve and activate the streetscape and public realm to support cultural venues.
- Identify sites Downtown for infill development that can help foster small businesses, local start-ups, and arts and culture uses and organizations.
- Develop a thorough understanding of on-site conditions and update market demand studies around opportunity sites.
- Create an integrated network of small parks and public spaces outside of Riverfront Park.
- Pursue redevelopment of the existing surface parking lots in the DTC-100 Zone along Spokane Falls Boulevard.
- Implement Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies.
- Strategically program and activate public spaces Downtown.
- Develop the organizational capacity and partnerships to actively manage and program public spaces.
- Connect sponsors with organizations that host events Downtown.
INTRODUCTION + BACKGROUND
The Downtown Plan is a strategic and action-oriented plan to achieve the community’s vision for Downtown over the next 10 years. Through the public process the community identified challenges and opportunities to address as priorities during the planning period. Challenges such as homelessness and concerns around public safety have increasingly become issues for the public. But the public recognizes the growing vitality of downtown, expressing enthusiasm about making Downtown more connected and walkable, enhancing arts and culture, strengthening community partnerships to manage the Downtown, in order to make Downtown an even more attractive place to live, work, and play.

Community input showed a perception that Downtown is as strong as it has ever been and much of that success is attributed to the last five years. Several big moves have brought positive changes: the redevelopment of the crown jewel of Riverfront Park, growing residential neighborhoods such as Kendall Yards, and a growing presence of higher education in the University District.

At the same time, the community is also aware of new challenges that have emerged and need to be addressed through focused and collaborative effort. It is this collaborative and community-based approach that must drive the implementation of the plan and stewardship of Downtown by the City and everyone with a stake in the future of Downtown. Spokane is poised to capitalize on the success of Downtown and address challenges over the next 10-years to ensure Downtown Spokane remains a powerful asset for the City and the region.
HISTORY OF DEVELOPMENT

Sp’q’niʔ and the Spokane River

Before European settlement in the 19th Century, the Spokane Falls were the center of fishing and trade for the Spokane Tribe. Since time immemorial, the Spokane Tribe fished the Spokane Falls in the heart of what is today Downtown. The tribe would fish every year for 120 days from late May through September.

Each day, on average, they would harvest 1,000 fish with an average weight of 30 lbs. Some fish, known as the “June Hogs,” weighed an astonishing 110 lbs each. Some of these fish were nearly 6 ft in length.

By the end of the summer, the tribe would have harvested approximately 120,000 fish, weighing in conservatively at 3.6 million pounds. The salmon were not only the tribe’s main source of protein but they were also the foundation of the tribe’s monetary system.

For thousands of years, people from all around would gather at the Spokane River to fish the falls. All were welcomed and all who helped with the harvest were given a generous bounty. All the fishing from the falls of the Spokane River were overseen by the Salmon Chief. The Salmon Chief ensured that not all the fish were taken and plenty were allowed to continue upstream for spawning, assuring a plentiful harvest for future generations.

Historians believe it became unsafe to drink water directly from the river after 1878 due to impacts from agriculture, mining, other industries, urban development, and receipt of untreated wastewater. Later, construction of hydroelectric dams, located downstream, stopped the salmon from returning to the Spokane River. As a result, to this day, there are no longer salmon in the Spokane River.

The Spokane Tribe are river people, to them the Spokane River is sacred and has been the heart of their sustenance. The tribe has a celebrated and ongoing relationship with the river.

That’s why in 2003 the Spokane Tribe set in motion the actions to create the highest water quality standards in the country for the Spokane River. By applying for and receiving “treatment as a state,” through the Environmental Protection Agency and the Clean Water Act, the tribe is helping to ensure these standards will continue to guide the future stewardship of this precious resource. The Spokane Indian reservation sits about 35 miles downstream from the city of Spokane; therefore, all water that comes downstream from the city has to be treated in order to meet these strict standards.

The tribe’s commitment to a clean river and healthy ecosystem helps to ensure clean water for generations and an unparalleled quality of life that can only be found in the Inland Northwest.

- Jeff Ferguson, Artist & Spokane Tribal Member, 2019
Early Urban Growth

The first permanent settlement of Spokane Falls was founded by James N. Glover in 1873, and incorporated in 1881, the same year the Northern Pacific Railway reached Spokane. Spokane experienced its first boom in the 1880s. With its critical position on the Northern Pacific transcontinental railway, the city quickly established itself as the commercial center of north Idaho mines, and the Inland Empire. After the catastrophic Great Fire of 1889, Spokane was rebuilt with the grand brick and stone buildings its residents still take pride in today.

Spokane’s population surged in the first decade of the 20th Century, from 36,848 in 1900 to 104,402 in 1910. A booming natural resource economy drove this growth, attracting thousands of workers employed in temporary, labor-intensive work such as mining, logging, railroad, and farm work across the region. Like other large Northwest cities, seasonal lodging and businesses catering to single men working in these industries became concentrated in Spokane on the northern and eastern fringes of the Downtown Core, near railroad depots, rail yards, and other employment opportunities. By 1910 the East End included 97 saloons, 61 lodging houses, 50 clothing stores, 31 employment agencies, 31 barber shops, and five steam baths. At the heart of this bustling district, Spokane’s “Chinatown” developed in a three-block area, with Chinese and Japanese residents and small businesses concentrated along a series of alleys and courtyards between Main Avenue and Front Avenue, later called Trent Avenue and now Spokane Falls Boulevard.

Olmsted Report

In 1906, the Spokane Parks Board hired the nationally-renowned Olmsted Brothers, who had just finished park system plans for Portland and Seattle. In Spokane, the Parks Board oversaw a ten-fold increase in the city’s park land, including the Olmsted’s plans for Liberty Park, Cannon Hill Park, and a redesigned Manito Park. The Olmsteds were disappointed at the failure of the young city to capitalize on one key park site in Spokane, its natural state lost to a corridor of rail lines and industrial development stretching several miles along the river:

"Nothing is so firmly impressed on the mind of the visitor to Spokane, as regards to appearance, as the great gorge into which the river falls near the center of the city."

Postwar Changes

Downtown continued to flourish as a commercial and cultural center for the region through the Great Depression and World War II while growth in the Spokane region slowed considerably. However, local leaders of the 1950s saw troubling signs that Downtown’s commercial activity was following new housing development outward to new suburban areas springing up at the city’s periphery; downtown’s share of retail sales within the trade area fell from 55% in 1948 to 40% in 1960. Meanwhile, areas such as the East End bore the signs of decades of wear and tear, crowded living conditions, and changing economic patterns. Depletion of local resources and mechanization reduced the labor force of industries like logging and mining that had once filled the residential, retail, and entertainment spaces of the East End over winters.
1881
Northern Pacific Railway reaches Spokane
City of Spokane Falls incorporated (later changed to Spokane)

1887
Spokane becomes the County seat

1908
Olmsted Parks Plan

1909
Spokane becomes the largest city west of Minneapolis

1911
The Great Fire destroys 32 city blocks

1961
Ebasco Plan

1974
Spokane World’s Fair and riverfront redevelopment

1985
Davenport Hotel closes

1999
River Park Square opens
Charting the Future Plan

2002
Davenport Hotel reopens

2004
University District Strategic Master Plan

2007
Fox Theater reopens

2008
Fast Forward Spokane Plan

2014
Convention Center Expansion

2016
Riverfront Park Development Begins
FIGURE 2: Instrumental to Spokane’s early growth, several railroads built facilities along (and over) the Spokane River in the early years of the twentieth century. In the 1960s, several sets of elevated tracks obscured views of the falls, and access to the river.
Source: Washington State Archives

Ebasco Plan

In 1959, a group of downtown business leaders called Spokane Unlimited hired Ebasco Services, Inc., a New York-based consulting firm, to prepare a plan for “major corrective surgery” on the heart of the city. Completed in 1961, the Ebasco Report for Downtown Spokane featured variations on the recommendations found in many of the 700 downtown plans adopted by American cities facing similar circumstances during the 1950s, inspired by elements of shopping malls that had recently begun to open in the suburbs.

Downtown Spokane realized part of this plan by establishing an “inner loop system” of one-way streets defining the core area’s perimeter to improve vehicular movement. Some of the plan’s other proposals (closing a downtown street to cars, developing a skywalk system, creating a centralized parking garage) were adopted many years later, and not to the extent envisioned by Ebasco. The centerpiece project in the Ebasco Report was a new Civic Center, which would have cleared the East End and created a modernist campus of “superblocks” harboring federal, state, county, and city governmental buildings, using federal urban renewal dollars. However, voters rejected funding for the Civic Center proposal twice, and Downtown leaders went back to the drawing board.
**Expo ’74 and Riverfront Park**

Spokane Unlimited then hired a planner from California named King Cole to revive the effort to implement the Downtown revitalization program. Cole discovered that plans for a park on Havermale Island and both banks of the Spokane River held a special importance for those both inside and outside of Downtown. A dedicated group of planners and civic, business, and political leaders joined Cole in spending the next decade advocating for a transformative project along the Downtown riverfront.

These efforts ultimately led Spokane in 1974 to become the smallest city at the time to host a World’s Fair, Expo’74, which was attended by more than 5.1 million visitors. In less than a decade following the failed ballot initiatives in the East End, the tangle of tracks and trestles along the river was transformed into a world’s fairgrounds, celebrating humankind’s newfound appreciation for the natural environment. The result was an enormous success for the city with a residual 100-acre park and convention center site remaining at the fairgrounds, reclaiming access to Downtown’s waterfront marveled by the Olmsted Brothers, and resembling the vision for riverfront parks and cultural uses proposed in the Ebasco Report.

**Potential for Growth**

Reflecting extensive citizen involvement, the local decision to pivot away from federal urban renewal funds, and toward state and federal sponsorships of the World’s Fair, was responsible for Downtown revitalization in the long run. Transformation of Spokane’s rail yards continued east of Riverfront Park with the Health Sciences university campus (formerly Riverpoint) beginning in the 1990s, and to the park’s west, the Kendall Yards mixed residential, commercial, and office development, beginning in the 2000s. Today, both developments are substantially, but only partly, built out.

While revitalization occurring since the Ebasco Plan allowed some historic structures to be saved, many original buildings fell victim to a variety of factors, including a decline in railroad-based industry, difficulty adapting to safety codes, and amenities featured in newer buildings, and speculation fueled by Expo ’74. Several surface parking lots remain near Riverfront Park, in the East End, and elsewhere Downtown, on sites that formerly housed thousands of residents in single room occupancy and apartment dwellings, with street-level shops serving Downtown residents and visitors from far away; these sites represent potential for that vibrancy to return.

- The History of Development section contains text adapted from an Eastern Washington University research project by Christopher Green. He is now a planner with the City of Spokane.

**FIGURE 3:** Along the Spokane River, extensive railroad infrastructure and related activities later evolved into the Convention Center and Riverfront Park. Nearby in the Downtown Core and East End, buildings were removed on nearly every block over time. The parking lots that remain harbor potential for additional development.
ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN THE PAST 10 YEARS

Related Planning Documents

In the course of developing this Downtown Plan, the team gave careful consideration to the City’s prior and ongoing planning efforts Downtown. One of the chief purposes of the Downtown Plan is to bring these projects together so that their work is aligned and integrated.

- Spokane Municipal Code
- 2019 Downtown Parking Study
- 2010 Downtown Design Guidelines
- 2019 Browne’s Addition Historic Guidelines
- 2020-2025 Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness
- 2014 Riverfront Park Master Plan

- 2014 Washington State University Health Sciences Master Plan Update
- 2015 Division Street Gateway Study
- 2017 Shaping Spokane Comprehensive Plan Periodic Update
- 2017 Main Avenue Visioning Study
- North Bank Subarea Plan, Ongoing
- 2020 South University District Subarea Plan
- 2016 Market Factors in Urban Growth Area Planning Analysis
- 2016 STA Moving Forward Plan and Central City Line Design and Planning
Public Projects

After the adoption of the 2008 Plan, the City built several public projects in addition to the opportunity sites summarized later in this section. In 2013, the City adopted Architectural Guidelines and kit of parts to improve entrances into Downtown from I-90. To date, three gateway entrance improvements have been completed (Division in 2015, Lincoln in 2017, and Phase 1 of Maple in 2019). Major combined sewer overflow facilities including tanks and other infrastructure were installed between 2016 and 2019. The CSO tanks at 1st Avenue and Adams Street and adjacent to the Downtown library are each capped with public plazas.

In the University District, the WSU Health Sciences campus continued expansion and the City extended Martin Luther King Blvd to the east, connecting in 2018 with Erie Street and Trent Avenue. The City also reconstructed a portion of Sprague Ave east of Division. The completion of the University District Gateway bicycle and pedestrian bridge stimulated development near its south landing, including the 2020 construction of Avista’s Catalyst and Hub Buildings, and the Sherman Street pedestrian plaza.

Other notable street projects included new bike lanes for Main and Spokane Falls Blvd, reconstruction of Lincoln and Monroe Streets, and a pilot for lane reconfiguration on Main Ave to support additional parking along high-quality retail blocks. The City joined regional partners in developing the Wayfinding Project in 2016. This project described different levels of wayfinding signs for pedestrians and vehicles. The City continues to work toward implementation of the project with community partners.

Downtown Spokane Partnership recently founded the Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG), a Community Development Corporation (CDC) that can partner with the City on public projects. The DCIG can access public bond market funding for projects that will eventually be under public ownership.

Policies, Regulations

In 2010, in response to the 2008 Plan, the city revised Downtown zoning design standards, reorganized zones, and introduced a new requirement within a portion of central Downtown for commercial parking to be located within a parking structure. The City separately and simultaneously adopted Downtown Design Guidelines, character area considerations, and reorganized the design review process and Design Review Board in 2010. These new guidelines drew heavily on the City of Seattle’s Downtown Design Guidelines and did not include prior design guidelines for several types of projects, such as skywalks, bridges, and some types of public projects. The City of Spokane is currently working with a consultant to address those omissions.

Amendments to historic preservation regulations in 2018 expanded the review of demolitions by the Historic Landmarks Commission.

Opportunity Sites

The 2008 Downtown Plan highlighted thirteen private opportunity sites and six public opportunity sites where development or public improvements could catalyze reinvestment in Downtown. Redevelopment is complete or is underway at seven of the thirteen private opportunity sites, and projects in five out of six of the public opportunity sites have been completed or are underway. The location and status of each of these sites is shown in Figure 3 on the following page.
2008 OPPORTUNITY SITES

Public and private opportunity sites described in the 2008 Downtown Plan  Source: City of Spokane, DSP, 2020

PRIVATE OPPORTUNITY SITES + ZONES

1. Convention Center Expansion  Completed 2014: 91,000 SF expansion, new connected hotel and garage
2. Major Downtown Site A  Awaiting redevelopment, adjacent Bennett Block renovated in 2014
3. Major Downtown Site B  Not redeveloped
4. STA Plaza  Completed 2017: redesign with focus on street level activity
5. Macy's Building Site  Completed 2018: 60,000 SF retail and 114 apartments
6. New Mobius Site  Redevelopment underway as part of North Bank Playground
7. Bridge St Site (Summit Pkwy)  Incomplete: CSO constructed with nonresidential development potential
8. Pine St Development Site  Not redeveloped, in use by University
9. YMCA Site Converted to a conservation area within Riverfront Park
10. Old Greyhound Station  Not redeveloped
11. Intermodal Center  Not redeveloped
12. Kendall Yards  Redevelopment underway, with initial phases complete
13. South U District  Subarea planning completed 2020

PUBLIC OPPORTUNITY SITES

A. Post St Bridge  Anticipated 2021 completion
B. Howard St Bridge  South span replaced, middle span restored for pedestrian use
C. Riverfront Park  Anticipated 2021 completion
D. Gateway Bridge  Completed 2018
E. Maple St Bridge Ped/Bike Addition  Not implemented
F. Spokane Falls Park  Completed 2014: Huntington Park and Tribal Gathering Place
PUBLIC SAFETY

In 2020, the Spokane Police Department reestablished its Downtown Precinct in the core. As it continues to add police officers following passage of a citywide public safety levy in 2019, the Precinct builds on foundational, neighborhood-based operations, an overall decreasing crime trend, and existing partnerships including collaborative outreach between agencies in the immediate vicinity.

The new highly visible Precinct location in the heart of Downtown positions police and partner organizations to enable increased community policing practices appropriate to improve the neighborhood’s safety, such as police and ambassador patrols throughout Downtown on foot, bicycle, and other multimodal options, and engaging with and developing trusted relationships among the community to improve actual and perceived safety.

The Spokane Police Department continues these community policing efforts, meets regularly with Downtown Spokane Partnership staff to discuss policing strategies Downtown, and participates on Downtown’s Business Improvement District board. These cooperative efforts, combined with many other community resources and the vision and goals in the Plan Framework of this document, contribute to a clean and safe Downtown for all of Spokane’s residents and visitors to enjoy.

HUMAN SERVICES

Downtown Spokane serves as a central location for many support services for homeless individuals and families in the city and surrounding area. In recent years, the City of Spokane and Spokane County have led a regional approach to end homelessness, providing services and solutions for those experiencing homelessness. This new approach acknowledges that connecting individuals with services in their own communities leads to better outcomes, and increased stability than sending individuals to other locations they are not familiar with to access services.

According to the 2019 annual Point-in-Time Count of people experiencing homelessness, required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the State of Washington Homelessness Housing and Assistance Act, there are approximately 1,309 homeless individuals which make up 1,070 homeless households in Spokane County. This was up from 1,245 homeless individuals counted in January 2018. The Point-in-Time Count has been conducted for 12 years. The boundaries of the study expanded to include all of Spokane County in 2018, making it problematic to compare numbers in previous years. The Count can be accessed at the City’s website here or obtained at the City of Spokane, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA.

The City of Spokane is working to address homelessness through a combination of programs aimed at providing sustainable solutions to support people on a path to permanent housing, including programs that assist with substance abuse, mental health and improved job skills and training. The Spokane City and County Continuum of Care Board, a regional decision-making body adopted a 5-Year Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness for 2020-2025.
The 5-Year Plan outlines a range of strategies to reduce homelessness and extend support to those living unsheltered in Spokane County including emergency shelters, transitional housing, rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing. Ongoing efforts in the County to centralize resources, address quality of life issues stemming from homelessness, and prevent at-risk families from falling into homelessness were pioneered by the City of Spokane in the past decade. Current efforts include:

- The Spokane Resource Center, a HUD EnVision Center launched in 2019 to provide wrap-around support to help people achieve economic security.

- Community Court, a Downtown intervention, is designed to address quality-of-life offenses with a therapeutic judicial alternative with support services. Individuals participating in the program have lower rates of recidivism than those who went through the traditional judicial system.

- Increased use of “By-Name Lists” to evaluate, by priority population, (e.g. veterans, youth, families, etc.), the number of people actively experiencing homeless, the rate at which people become homeless, and the rate at which people experiencing homelessness are housed. This method is currently utilized for veterans, a group for which City efforts have had great success in reducing homelessness, and proposed for youth, which the City has a goal of functional zero homelessness by 2022.

The City’s Comprehensive Plan calls for regional sharing of services and housing solutions, while encouraging support and coordination among the partners involved to make that happen. It provides for dispersion of facilities and services across the city (Chapter 6, Section 6.2, Values; and Chapter 10, Policy SH 2.2 Special Needs Temporary Housing). The Comprehensive Plan also emphasizes a need for cooperation between public and private agencies to avoid duplication of services in developing a regionally equitable service delivery system (Chapter 10, Policy SH 5.1 Coordination of Human Services).
EXISTING CONDITIONS + TRENDS

Downtown Spokane’s population is fairly small compared to the City’s population overall and has not experienced the rapid downtown growth of similar peer cities in the U.S. Downtown has, however, seen some residential growth since the 2008 Plan, with a population increase of 4% between 2010 and 2018. By comparison, Spokane overall grew by nearly 6% and the County by almost 13% during the same period. As of 2018, Downtown Spokane’s estimated employee population was 50,498, putting employment density Downtown at 4,675 per square mile. Over 41% of Spokane County residents, or 209,527 people, live within 5 miles of Downtown.

Employment and population growth in the Inland Northwest in recent years has outperformed the U.S. as a whole. In 2018, employment in the Spokane Kootenai Metro Area grew at 2% compared to 1.6% nationally, with the strongest growth in construction, manufacturing, healthcare, leisure and hospitality and professional services. While the office market in Downtown Spokane has been fairly active, vacancy rates for office space in the Central Business District (16.4%) have grown slightly higher than those in suburbs and outlying areas (15.6%). This may point to new opportunities to build upon the recent success in Downtown to enhance business attraction and retention.
Findings

The following are the key findings from the Existing Conditions Report in Appendix A.

- Downtown has achieved measurable success: Significant public and private investment has helped reinvigorate Downtown.

- Many streets in Downtown are auto dominated and oversized for vehicle capacity: Opportunities exist to energize streets by expanding space for pedestrians, cyclists, and other forms of micro-mobility.

- Community members desire continued progress on two significant issues facing Downtown: providing services and solutions for those experiencing homelessness, followed by improved public safety. People consistently expressed concern for public safety. Even so, police statistics show that crime decreased Downtown in 2019. Annual point-in-time counts of homeless people suggest increasing numbers for certain populations, but that is likely due to an expanded geographic area for counts starting in 2017.

- Activity centers are disconnected: While areas of Downtown have successful concentrations of activity, they are disconnected both physically and visually from the core of Downtown. Enhancing connections through street and mobility improvements, wayfinding, and infill development will help connect the City and the many amenities in Downtown.

- The parking system lacks cohesion and provides a poor user experience: A substantial effort is underway to improve parking Downtown through improved on-street management, technology, shared parking, and branding and wayfinding to better manage existing parking resources.

- Riverfront Park improvements can be a catalyst: The $65 million invested in the redevelopment of Riverfront Park will impact the future of Downtown, providing additional opportunities to catalyze future development efforts. The new energy from investment in Riverfront Park can extend throughout Downtown, with the Park as the heart of Downtown rather than its edge.

- Entries and connections into Downtown should feel safe, welcoming, and distinct: Major streets that are entrances to and exits from Downtown merit improvements as city-defining gateways. Other natural gateways like undercrossings can help offer a better experience and image of Downtown.
KEY THEMES

Several common themes emerged from stakeholder and public input gathered throughout the planning and engagement process.

1. There is strong support to improve streets, alleys, and public spaces.

2. Parking is inconsistent, with too many surface lots, and a lack of well-designed and managed employee parking Downtown.

3. Homelessness and public safety are pressing concerns from the public. There are divergent opinions about how to effectively address homelessness through centralized or decentralized services.

4. Greater access to viable alternatives to driving Downtown.

5. There is support for preserving vehicle access on major routes into and out of Downtown.

PUBLIC MEETINGS

DSP, City Staff and the consultant team hosted two public workshops over the course of the plan update. The first workshop on the evening of October 22, 2019 focused primarily on existing conditions Downtown, peer cities, and best practices. The second workshop on the evening of February 5, 2020 addressed the draft goals and strategies developed early in the process.
Public Workshop #1
The first public workshop at the Pavilion in Riverfront Park ran for over two hours and drew some fifty-five attendees. Community members were invited to comment on preliminary findings and existing conditions and map opportunities and challenges related to buildings, streets and public spaces, and public safety. The consultant team briefly presented an existing conditions analysis and opened the floor to feedback with live polling and a moderated question-and-answer session.

Comments from the public were largely supportive of broad ideas for the future of Downtown listed below.

- Creating a safe and well-maintained network of bike routes
- Improving the experience of people walking through better maintenance and strengthening walkable connections Downtown
- Improving and co-locating homeless services
- Creating new smaller-scale public spaces and activating existing public spaces
- Preserving historic buildings Downtown
- Supporting activities and investment in Riverfront Park
- Improving access to and use of transit and making modes other than driving more viable

Public Workshop #2
The second public workshop at the Wonder Spokane, located on Post Street on the North Bank, brought out eighty-four participants. The planning team presented the goals and strategies they developed to serve as the framework for the plan update in an open house format. Participants could mark boards to indicate whether they liked or disliked specific strategies and offer detailed comments on specific strategies and locations Downtown. In all, public input was largely positive, and there was clear consensus around the following:

- Improving multi-modal access with new pedestrian and bike friendly connections
- Encouraging new residential development and enhancing residential amenities downtown
- Potential development of a cultural and historical trail
SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM WORKSHOP #1

- A network of safe, protected bike facilities
- A safe and vibrant family destination with new attractions
- Wayfinding and signage particularly for pedestrian and transit routes
- Improved homeless services with public hygiene facilities
- Better regional rail access with more convenient departure times
- More green and open space with wildlife habitat
- Alleys with year-round activation
- No surface parking lots
- Affordable housing and equitable development
- Fewer cars downtown
- Dense, mixed use development
- Better sidewalks, and a safer, more comfortable pedestrian realm
- More conveniently located grocery stores Downtown
- Improved transit service and infrastructure
Focus Areas
Distinct downtown areas that could be strengthened with targeted investment

Infill Opportunities
Potential development sites (e.g. surface parking lots)

City Line
Planned bus rapid transit line through Downtown

Potential Cultural + Historic Trail
A trail to highlight historic and cultural uses and connect focus areas
SURVEYS

Through two separate surveys, the Downtown Plan team engaged stakeholders between public meetings and gathered input on priorities for the plan. The first survey focused on existing conditions, challenges, and assets. Participants were invited to submit peer cities and images for Downtown Spokane. The second survey covered the framework, goals, and strategies that form the basis of this Plan.

Among the 590 responses to the first survey, from October 14 to November 4, 2019, there was broad agreement on Downtown's greatest assets and challenges, for each of which participants could select up to 3 responses. The Spokane River and Falls (83.2%) and Riverfront Park (74%) are widely considered to be Downtown Spokane's greatest assets, while Downtown's greatest challenges according to respondents were homelessness (66.3%) followed by public safety (57.1%). Common peer cities respondents listed were Portland, Boise, Denver and Austin.

The second survey from January 14 to February 17, 2020, with 647 responses, was accompanied by a rough plan framework and a reference map of Downtown Spokane showing landmarks, major projects, and focus areas to be incorporated into the plan. Participants ranked the five goals in the Plan Framework section of this document from most to least important, with the most important goals being a connected and walkable downtown, followed by welcoming and engaging public spaces. Average rankings for each goal are shown in the graphic below. Responses were generally favorable to the goals identified by the Downtown Plan team, 62% rating them a 4 or 5 out of 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOWNTOWN'S GREATEST ASSETS</th>
<th>RESPONSE RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spokane River + Falls</td>
<td>83.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverfront Park</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkability</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping + Dining</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Things to Do</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy Transportation Access</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITIES FOR THE DOWNTOWN PLAN</th>
<th>RESPONSE RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homelessness</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetscapes, Parks + Public Spaces</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation + Mobility</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More To Do</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life for Residents</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONNECTED + WALKABLE** 3.62
**ENGAGING PUBLIC SPACES** 3.31
**THRIVING ARTS CULTURE + HISTORY** 2.65
**A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE, WORK + PLAY** 2.98
**WELL MANAGED DOWNTOWN** 2.46

1 LEAST IMPORTANT ➔ MOST IMPORTANT 5
FOCUS GROUPS

Two focus groups were held in Downtown on September 23rd and 24th, 2019, with a mix of Downtown stakeholders. The first focus group covered parking, transportation, and public safety. The emphasis of the second group was on economic development, urban design, and the Downtown experience. The stakeholder interviews and focus groups were opportunities for targeted engagement with representatives of specific groups such as Downtown residents, business owners, developers, and community groups. The perceptions expressed in these sessions were not necessarily reflective of the community in its entirety.

Input at each of these events revolved around several key themes, summarized below:

- The redeveloped Riverfront Park is a tremendous asset that is shifting the center of gravity of Downtown;
- Spokane is great at planning and hosting successful major events that should be leveraged to bring more people Downtown;
- Homelessness and public safety are the biggest challenges facing Downtown;
- Parking is inconsistent, with too many surface lots, and a lack of employee parking Downtown;
- There is a need for more housing, and more diverse housing options Downtown;
- The pedestrian and retail experience Downtown are fragmented with voids between active uses, and lively areas; and
- Maintenance and activation are critical to the success of Downtown’s parks and public spaces.
STEERING COMMITTEE

The City, DSP and the consultant team held three steering committee meetings between January 8 and February 28, 2020. Members of the steering committee represented various City boards, local agencies, and organizations, including:

- Business and property owners
- Hospitality Association
- Developers/ Builders
- Spokane City Council
- Residents and employees
- Plan Commission
- Arts Organizations
- Downtown Spokane Partnership
- Visit Spokane
- Avista Utilities
- Spokane Public Facilities District
- University District
- Washington State Department of Transportation

Common themes for improving Downtown Spokane from the stakeholder meetings included:

- Better connections to and from surrounding neighborhoods and different districts across downtown
- A broader range of prices and sizes for housing development
- Encouraging street vibrancy and economic development with more uses and activities in public areas at different times of the day
- Implementation of strategies that will make streets more navigable for visitors and walkable for everyone
- Demand and supply approaches to reduce the prevalence of surface parking lots
INTRODUCTION
This plan framework outlines a series of overarching goals to guide Downtown Spokane in its continued growth and revitalization. It reflects current conditions, opportunities, and public input received during the project building upon Downtown’s successes over the past decade. The framework sets forth strategies to achieve that vision for Downtown, putting community goals first. A detailed discussion of these strategies in the following section integrates them into a set of physical, regulatory and programmatic improvements that will help build a more welcoming, better connected, livelier, and culturally rich Downtown.

VISION
Downtown Spokane is the cultural and economic heart of the Inland Northwest, drawing people from the region, the nation, and around the world. Centered on the Spokane River, the spectacular falls, and the signature Riverfront Park, the urban fabric is walkable and full of interest and distinctive character. Downtown feels safe, comfortable, and welcoming for people of all communities and backgrounds. The history of Spokane shines through in its irreplaceable architecture and the stories of railroads and industry. Downtown Spokane continues to draw new residents and businesses with opportunities for education, recreation and cultural activities. As everyone’s neighborhood, Downtown Spokane is a great source of pride and is poised to capture the opportunities of the future.
GOALS

A CONNECTED + WALKABLE DOWNTOWN

- CW1: Energize streets and Alleys Downtown as active pedestrian- and bike-friendly connections
- CW2: Capitalize on the City Line and support the transit network with coordinated investments that improve access to transit
- CW3: Reduce impacts from surface parking
- CW4: Implement a wayfinding program for Downtown

THRIVING ARTS, CULTURE + HISTORIC PLACES

- ACH1: Highlight Downtown's history to build awareness of local culture and support the arts
- ACH2: Bring arts and culture into the public realm Downtown and develop an Arts Plan to support arts and cultural uses with a focus on Downtown
- ACH3: Create space for art-focused and culturally-oriented small business and organizations, targeting those that cannot afford to locate Downtown

A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE, WORK + PLAY

- LWP1: Encourage residential and mixed-use development with a variety in housing types and sizes that are affordable to a range of income levels
- LWP2: Enhance residential amenities like public spaces, services, and cultural uses Downtown
- LWP3: Apply zoning changes and other tools to sustain and enhance mixed-use development with active street-level uses
- LWP4: Improve transit access, commute options and parking management for Downtown residents and employees
- LWP5: Support environmentally sustainable growth Downtown and responsible stewardship of the Spokane River and Falls

WELCOMING + ENGAGING PUBLIC SPACES FOR ALL

- PS1: Program and activate public spaces Downtown
- PS2: Protect, expand, and improve public space in strategic locations

A WELL-ORGANIZED DOWNTOWN

- WO1: Cultivate leadership, strengthen community partnerships, and expand organizational capacity for Downtown management
- WO2: Leverage parking management to improve Downtown and enhance the Paid Parking Zone
- WO3: Develop new promotions and marketing campaign to attract businesses
OPPORTUNITY SITES

1. EAST END PUBLIC SPACE
2. INTERMODAL CENTER
3. MAJOR DOWNTOWN SITE A
4. MAJOR DOWNTOWN SITE B
5. PARKADE + PARKADE PLAZA
6. ROOKERY BLOCK
7. BOSCH LOT
8. CHANCERY BUILDING
9. GREYHOUND TERMINAL
10. STEAM PLANT PARKING LOT
11. STEAM PLANT SUBSTATION
12. NEW POST ST SUBSTATION

Notes: The full descriptions of Opportunity Sites are provided on page 100-102.
THE PLAN

The vision for the future of Downtown Spokane was formed around five goals that came out of input from focus groups, public meetings, and survey results. Each of these goals represents a vital piece of an aspirational Downtown, attracting and serving local communities and visitors. The Plan includes broad goals with specific strategies and actions for each goal, bringing together and building on the City’s planning, policy, and design projects to move Downtown Spokane toward an ambitious vision.

GOAL: CONNECTED AND WALKABLE

A well connected and walkable fabric recognizes that the right-of-way is actually public space, and the allocation between modes of travel, parking and places for people and commerce can be adjusted to best serve the city’s needs. Downtown’s streets and public spaces contribute to the image of an attractive downtown and provide the setting for public life and community activities. Spokane’s historic development pattern provide an opportunity for a rich pedestrian environment, but street improvements should be modified so they could better support movement, commerce, and activity throughout the day, into the evening, and throughout the year, while still balancing the needs of all street users.

The intent of strategies in this section is to:

- Prioritize space for people in the design of streets while accommodating vehicle access.
- Improve connections that link Downtown and surrounding neighborhoods.
- Support more active streets with integrated public spaces, public art and wayfinding along with more active retail, dining and cultural uses.
- Increase access to transit for people of all economic status in adjacent neighborhoods and throughout Spokane.

Streets comprise more than 80% of public spaces in cities, but they often fail to provide their surrounding communities with a space where people can safely walk, bicycle, drive, take transit, and socialize.

**CW1 STRATEGY:** Energize streets and alleys Downtown as active pedestrian- and bike-friendly connections

Several streets in Downtown are designed to carry much more motor vehicle traffic compared to current or projected lower volumes, remaining under-utilized as public space (see Figure 7, Traffic Volumes). Maintaining convenient vehicle access Downtown, creating a friendlier environment for people walking and biking are both key to energizing Downtown streets. There are opportunities to create or improve bicycle, scooter, and pedestrian infrastructure, and potentially on-street parking where there is more vehicle capacity than is needed now or anticipated in the future. These specific, following Actions include initial low-barrier changes to test how the improvements function and to inform potential long-term capital projects, and priority investments in the City’s multimodal network that link Downtown and surrounding neighborhoods.

Throughout the process, people have expressed the desire for better wayfinding. One of the ways to help people navigate is to have more distinct characters to each street and more wayfinding strategies are further discussed in section CW4. In Downtown Spokane, it is easy to tell when you are on Spokane Falls Boulevard because of the adjacency of the park. By clarifying and boosting the particular positive characteristics of the other streets, each street benefits and people are more aware of their location. A high-level description of key streets is on the following page and several of these streets will become part of the new City Line Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) line.
SPOKANE FALLS BOULEVARD is distinct as the edge of Riverfront Park. The south side of Spokane Falls Boulevard can benefit from adjacency to the park and provide compatible uses, such as restaurants and cafes. It is also important for through traffic, with the highest volumes of the east-west retail corridors.

MAIN AVENUE, between Lincoln and Howard and immediately west of Division, has the strongest retail character. Main also has areas with excellent pedestrian-scale streetscape that can serve as a model.

RIVERSIDE AVENUE / MLK JR WAY has the only two-way configuration of the east-west streets, and it has good connectivity to the east and the west. It is central to the five major east-west retail corridors of Downtown. All of these characteristics make it ideal for multi-modal and transit use.

SPRAGUE AVENUE has lower traffic volumes along with a high concentration of historic buildings and cultural uses, including the Davenport, the Knitting Factory and the Fox. Sprague has the potential to highlight some of Downtown Spokane’s unique architecture and cultural venues.

FIRST AVENUE is farther from the river and one block from the elevated rail tracks, retaining some of the working feel of old Spokane. Along with Railroad Alley, it benefits from a mix of uses and a less refined aesthetic.

HOWARD STREET has been identified in previous plans and used as a key pedestrian street because of its connection through Riverfront Park. This plan also recognizes Howard as an important pedestrian and bicycle route and retail street through the Downtown Core.

STEVENS STREET is a one-way connector southbound from the North Bank through Downtown to neighborhoods to the south. There is an opportunity to add on-street parking and bike facilities in the Downtown core.
Downtown’s system of one-way streets provide convenient access to Downtown for vehicles from all directions. However, some streets have lower traffic than they were designed for and could be energized through street improvements such as Parklet or outdoor patio/sidewalk caf space that create a better experience for people walking or biking through Downtown. Sprague and First Avenues, and Washington and Stevens Streets, in particular have traffic volumes that are far lower than their design capacity.

Each of these streets is an opportunity to create a more complete bicycle network and a more comfortable environment for people walking and rolling.
**CW1.1**  **ACTION:** Transform low traffic streets that are oversized for projected traffic by converting vehicle travel lanes to other uses in target locations such as high-quality bike facilities, expanded public spaces, and on-street parking.

Space for an active public realm can be created by converting individual lanes of general-purpose travel to bike/scooter lanes and offer locations or expanded pedestrian spaces where they are in excess of projected traffic needs. Pedestrian space can be prioritized near active and cultural uses with landscaping, outdoor seating and dining, and space for passive recreation and an opportunity to integrate public and civic art along major corridors.

Both 1st and Sprague Avenues carry low traffic volumes but are designed for vehicle capacity well in excess of existing or projected traffic, and each have a concentration of active uses at ground level. These streets in particular are great opportunities to create distinct corridors Downtown with an energized public realm. The City Line, a new six-mile, corridor-based bus rapid transit route, will be an important part of the future streetscape in Downtown and there will be opportunities for enlivening the streets in coordination with the transit. Any future street reconfiguration will need to address hotel and entertainment needs for loading and operations, as well as the City Line operations in the left-hand lane west of Post St, since stations are not designed to accommodate bicycle movement. Paired one-way bicycle facilities on both streets or a two-way facility on one of these two streets would help complete the bicycle network Downtown, consistent with the City’s Bicycle Master Plan (BMP). To bridge barriers like I-90 and the Spokane River, and reduce stress on bicyclists and pedestrians, north-south connections with bicycle facilities should be prioritized to connect Downtown with surrounding neighborhoods. Washington and Stevens Streets are a key opportunity to complete Spokane’s Downtown bike network, connecting both sides of the rail tracks on the east side of Downtown. North-south bike facilities under the rail viaduct on Washington and Stevens could connect with a potential greenway along Pacific Ave with the improvements slated for Riverside Ave, and eventually extend a low-stress bike facility into the South Hill. This facility can use space from one of the four travel lanes on each street with minimal impact on traffic and parking Downtown.

Most conflicts between cyclists and motorists happen at intersections, and intersection treatments are as important as the facilities themselves along these corridors. Bicycle crossing markings should be considered as a treatment at all intersections along protected facilities, with bike boxes at signalized intersections and two-stage bike turn boxes for left-hand turns onto connecting bike facilities. NACTO provides a more complete guide of safe intersection treatments for bicycle facilities along different roadway configurations in the Urban Bikeway Design Guide available [here](#). The bicycle projects should follow current best practice design guidelines as defined by NACTO and the Federal Highway Administration.

![A protected bike lane on Adelaide St in Toronto using planters as separation for a protected bike lane](image1)

![A protected bike lane with integrated public art and plantings in Des Moines, IA](image2)
This street design concept integrates bicycle facilities in the existing curb-to-curbspace on Sprague and 1st Avenues between Maple St and Bernard St and on Washington and Stevens Streets between I-90 and Riverside. The cross-section on the right shows a near term option for separate bike lane on all four roadways, and could be adapted for an additional travel lane along Washington and Stevens Streets instead of on-street parking along both sides of the street, pending additional traffic analysis.

This design concept shows a longer term vision for Sprague and 1st Avenues and shows how public spaces could be integrated with various facilities at specific points along the street, such as landscaping, bike parking, angled parking and a transit stop. These improvements could connect Spokane’s concentration of theater venues and arts uses. These improvements can be accommodated with the same space as one of the existing travel lanes on Sprague and 1st, with small public spaces within the parking lane. Transit stations would need to be integrated with bike facilities running along the north side of Sprague, with specific design solutions for bus operations between Post St and Howard St.
**CW1.2** **ACTION:** Develop a transportation plan specific to Downtown that considers multiple modes and addresses facility designs, locations, priorities, and funding.

The City’s Bicycle Master Plan identifies planned bike facilities on most streets in Downtown but does not include specifics on the types of facilities planned on certain streets. The City Line will enhance Downtown accessibility and the transportation plan for Downtown developed under this Action can help integrate all modes, including walking and cycling, with transit. The City and community would benefit from a clearer set of priorities for improvements for bikes and other transportation modes Downtown with an emphasis on high-quality and safe facilities in strategic locations to create a balanced and holistic network. Streets that have excess vehicle capacity but are not a priority for bike improvements could incorporate other features within the City’s Downtown Streetscape Improvement Plan to energize the street such as parklets and streateries, public art, landscape elements, lighting and electrical service, and other active uses. In the Downtown core, much of the lighting is about 50 years old and electrical service infrastructure is antiquated and may need replacement as part of the improvements.

**CW1.3** **ACTION:** Make sidewalks active and vibrant places through continued efforts to streamline design requirements, and developing new pilot projects in partnership with local businesses downtown.

The City can streamline process and design requirements for uses near and within sidewalks and pilot other improvements, such as seasonal bicycle and scooter corrals in focus areas such as Sprague Avenue or Howard Street. Further, the City should consider expanding the Parklet program with design guidance and standards for Parklets, Streateries, and bike and scooter corrals in angled on-street parking spaces and spaces in surface parking lots.

This parklet in Logan Square in Boston, MA functions as a linear park space

The Andersonville parklet in Chicago, IL acts as an extension of the sidewalk and a buffer from traffic
**CW1.4 ACTION:** Protect use of alleys for service access and improve certain alleys as pedestrian spaces, where improvements add to connections and active spaces Downtown.

Downtown has a well-connected network of alleys that are not being used as spaces for people. Alleys provide an opportunity for greater connectivity and creative design interventions to draw people in and create a memorable experience. Alleys also provide dedicated access away from busier streets for garbage collection, delivery and service access and access to parking garages and surface lots.

The City would benefit from developing policy language that protects continued public ownership of desired alleys and rights-of-way. Existing code provisions listing alleys under the Downtown Complete Street Designations, together with vacation criteria, should be reviewed and included in that policy. Where alleys are being activated, redesigned, or used as shared streets, the City and neighbors should invite cooperation of property owners adjacent to the alley. At such activated alleys and other mid-block pedestrian connections, the City should consider implementing painted mid-block crossings to connect these pedestrian links. Place-making furniture, landscaping, and lighting must consider and adequately accommodate other alley uses.

Three alleys present the best opportunities for pedestrian improvements and activation as part of an active shared alley concept and can be used as a model for future Downtown alley improvements:

- Railroad Alley, particularly in the West End (Monroe St to Adams St);
- Downtown alley between Main Ave and Riverside Ave, coordinating public alley improvements to the east and west of Parkade Plaza from Howard to Stevens Streets;
- Steam Plant Alley between the railroad and W 2nd Ave, just south of the Downtown Core, from Post St to Lincoln St.

**FIGURE 12** Visualization of potential low-cost improvements to the alley between W Main Ave and W Riverside Ave to make the space more clearly shared between services and business access and pedestrians.
**CW1.5 ACTION:** Implement streetscape improvements in this Plan on the Howard Street Corridor, with elements of public art and wayfinding, in coordination with infill development.

The Howard Street corridor is featured prominently in the 2008 plan and provides a connection to and is partially aligned with the City Line. Howard Street is an important link between Downtown and the North Bank through Riverfront Park, where the Howard Street Promenade was improved as part of the park renovation. However, the portions of Howard Street in the core of Downtown south to Lewis & Clark High School, and on the North Bank near the Arena, have not fulfilled their potential as an active street with space for people. Future improvements of the Howard Street corridor should convert the existing bike lanes to protected bike lanes, which would cause removal of some on-street parking, but could increase area for bicyclists and pedestrians. Additional streetscape elements such as public art, wayfinding, lighting, furniture, landscaping, and space for Parklets and mobile food vendors would further improve the experience on the street.

![Howard St: Near-term looking North](image)

**FIGURE 13**

Howard St existing cross-section and concept for potential bike improvements in the future with grade separated bike facilities.
FIGURE 14

Bird’s-eye view of current conditions along Howard Street highlighting opportunities to create a more active street through design interventions along blank facades and parking structures.
CW1.6 **ACTION:** Build a more complete tree canopy along Downtown corridors and continue work to bury utilities.

Landscaping and street trees in particular are an important aspect of a pleasant and welcoming pedestrian environment. The City and utility providers should work to bury power and other utility lines in locations which do not impact or prohibit street tree plantings throughout Downtown Spokane to maximize tree canopy, allowing for street trees Class II or III from the City’s approved street tree list. New plantings should be irrigated and require limited maintenance as they are maintained by adjacent property owners.

CW1.7 **ACTION:** Study ramping and access to the Maple Street Bridge and surrounding intersections for potential reconfiguration and design that reconnects Browne’s Addition to Downtown, creates a safe environment for people walking and biking, and continues the planned cultural trail on First Ave west.

The West End near Maple Street has a complicated network of streets that feels confusing and unsafe for pedestrians, bicyclists and scooter riders. In the near term, add bike lanes on designated routes, and study the improvements and effect of City Line operation. Bike facilities should have clear and distinct markings for a more intuitive connection. The intersections in this area also act as a barrier to connections with Browne’s Addition to the west. Following the City Line improvements to nearby intersections, the City should study and develop plans to reconfigure intersections to further improve safety and connectivity. Improved pedestrian and bike connections over the Maple Street Bridge were a priority in the past plan and remains an important part of creating a more complete and comfortable bicycle and pedestrian network Downtown. The City should continue to pursue long-term improvements to the bridge to help connect Browne’s Addition and the western portion of Downtown to the neighborhoods on the north side of the river.
CW1.8  ACTION: Reconfigure one-way streets with low traffic volume that do not tie into a Downtown freeways interchange to two-way streets as part of long-term changes to the transportation network Downtown and avoid further conversions to one-way street couplets.

The City and STA have been and are continuing to improve in Downtown’s east-west streets as part of the City Line, and new bike facilities. Future infrastructure projects should not preclude the possibility of reconfiguring those streets in the future. This conversion is suggested because two-way streets tend to reduce travel speeds, increase visibility of retail uses, and make pedestrians more comfortable and more likely to shop and enjoy Downtown. Any further conversion of existing two-way streets Downtown to one-way operation should be avoided, as there are already limited two-way connections Downtown.

CW1.9  ACTION: Integrate bike parking into Downtown streets and parking facilities.

Bike parking, particularly secure and covered bike parking, can be difficult to find Downtown. Bicycle parking should be part of new street improvements, particularly near civic uses, cultural venues, workplaces and active street-level uses. The City and DSP should work to integrate bike parking and storage into existing surface and structured parking facilities Downtown. The City should develop and adopt bike parking and storage requirements in all new surface and structured parking facilities Downtown, in addition to design standards and an approval process for on-street bike/scooter parking or corrals.

CW1.10  ACTION: Improve the street lighting system Downtown, filling gaps and replacing aging fixtures with pedestrian-scale and roadway lighting that create a safer nighttime environment Downtown.

Downtown’s aging system of streetlights has a variety of fixtures that create inconsistent lighting conditions with gaps, particularly in areas that are less pedestrian-oriented. The City should work to incrementally replace the aging “frog-eye” luminaires and poles from the 1970s, owned by the City, with fixtures that are more appropriate for an urban context and offer more uniform light levels along both the roadway and sidewalk. These and other elements should be pursued with a targeted capital improvement campaign that more aggressively implements the existing Downtown Lighting Plan. Pedestrian scale lighting is an important part of creating a nighttime environment that feels safe and has been integrated into new public spaces and streets but does not have a uniform application Downtown.
**CW1.11** **ACTION:** Enhance the skywalk system’s connections to the street and concentrate skywalks in the Downtown Core, limiting expansion, particularly along important view corridors.

Downtown Spokane’s extensive skywalk system is a distinctive feature that connects workplaces and parking structures, but can detract from an active Downtown streetscape, where they are not clearly oriented toward the street. Enhancing skywalks that serve as links between buildings Downtown and improving connections to the street level can improve the system’s functionality for shoppers and other users. Ensuring clear and easy access from skywalks to the street level can also help bring activity onto Downtown streets that would otherwise be confined to building interiors served by skywalks. Any new skywalks should be carefully considered in terms of access to the street and connections to the existing network, with expansions limited to those that provide direct, legible, and accessible connections to the street. The City should consider evaluating existing skywalks and work with property owners to improve access to street level.

**CW1.12** **ACTION** Update development standards for Downtown Complete Street Designations to support and sustain active street edges.

The City has a Complete Streets Program that provides engineering criteria to accommodate the needs of all users of the public right-of-way. A separate set of Downtown Complete Street Designations included typologies that are primarily used for regulating development along the street edge. The Downtown Complete Street classification system should be re-titled to avoid confusion with the engineering criteria. The current Downtown street typologies do not require active ground floor uses and the City should expand the Downtown Complete Street standards to require, or provide further incentives to encourage, active ground floor uses and stipulate a minimum first floor story height on specific streets.
**CW2 STRATEGY:** Capitalize on the City Line and support the transit network with coordinated investments that improve access to transit

The City Line is a planned high-performance transit line to and through Downtown. Its introduction will enhance passenger experience in the broader transit system and can reduce short vehicle trips in the Downtown during the workday. Related improvements such as bike facilities will enhance the experience for transit users, providing first- and last-mile connections to the transit system. Improving transit access in areas surrounding City Line stations and the experience Downtown for transit riders, pedestrians, and bicyclists can better serve new and existing transit riders, provide better options to residents, visitors, and employees, while helping encourage new investment.
**CW2.1**  **ACTION:** Integrate City Line transit stations and the STA Plaza into plans for street improvements and other active transportation investments Downtown.

The City Line will bring several new stations to Downtown. Transit-related improvements are proposed for streets where the City Line will run, and these amenities should be integrated into the streetscape. For example, the concepts for improvements on Sprague Avenue should be integrated with the design for City Line stations such as bicycle station crossings. Several stations will have left side boarding on one-way streets which will affect streetscape design.

**CW2.2**  **ACTION:** Improve bike connections Downtown with improved facilities connecting to the City Line together with new pedestrian amenities.

First Avenue and Sprague Avenue function as an east/west couplet in the core of Downtown but both streets carry much lower traffic than their vehicle capacity. A lane of travel on each street could be converted to bike and/or scooter facilities, or parklets and other pedestrian amenities to better connect Downtown while preserving enough vehicle capacity to meet current and future needs (Figure 11). The City has plans to add protected bicycle lanes on both sides of Riverside Avenue with related pedestrian and crossing improvements such as bulb-outs which will be important for providing better access to the City Line stations and other transit stops.
CW3 STRATEGY: Reduce Impacts from Surface Parking

The predominance of surface parking lots detracts from the experience of walking in Downtown. Redevelopment of these sites would strengthen the downtown fabric and bring new activities and jobs. Reducing surface parking and consolidating parking in garages would improve walkability in the city and at the same time improve parking options for businesses, employees, and visitors.
**CW3.1 ACTION:** Develop a program to use the edges of surface parking lots for active uses, programming, and events such as food trucks, vendors, and farmers markets.

Edges of surface parking lots dominate the frontages along many streets in Downtown and detract from the experience for people walking. Ideally surface parking lots would be redeveloped with active uses along the street but as a temporary solution uses such as food trucks, food standards, farmer’s markets, arts markets, and other similar uses could occupy the first row of parking on the street edge to add activity to the street in the warmer months.

An activated surface parking lot in Asheville, NC, parts of which are used for outdoor seating with railings, low cost overhead lighting, while also serving the parking and service needs for the surrounding businesses.

A food plaza in Portland, OR, with vendors along the edge of the street in a surface parking lot.

Food trucks used to activate the street edge along a surface lot in Naples, FL.
**CW3.2** **ACTION:** Prohibit new surface parking lots in the Downtown Core, East End and West End.

The City should consider prohibiting new surface parking lots in the core of Downtown, East End, and West End, as shown on pages 34-35. New standalone surface commercial parking lots, as a primary use, are currently prohibited in this area, but surface parking lots accessory to new development are permitted.

South Downtown is currently planned for auto-oriented and highway-oriented development through existing plans, policies, zoning, and development standards. Restrictions on surface parking in South Downtown would conflict with existing plans, policies and regulations, but it is unlikely that South Downtown would become a sending area for new surface parking lots to meet the parking demand for the Downtown core. Downtown appears to have sufficient parking resources in the near term based on the results of the Downtown Parking Study. However, to reduce surface parking and support redevelopment efforts new structured parking may be necessary to accommodate new development and replace lost surface parking. There will likely remain better parking and transportation options in the Downtown core than parking in South Downtown and walking into the core.

**CW3.3** **ACTION:** Discourage surface parking lots along the frontage of Type III and Type IV Complete Streets between the building and street throughout the Downtown.

Currently, surface parking must not be located between the building and the street edge on Type I and II Complete Streets, with certain exceptions for slope. The City should consider measures to discourage surface parking along the street in Type III and IV Complete Streets to minimize its impacts to the pedestrian environment along the street. An exemption along specific streets or for specific uses such as auto dealerships may be provided.
CW3.4  **ACTION:** Actively pursue redevelopment of surface lots.

Most of the surface parking in Downtown is privately owned and managed and not in the control of the City or other public agency. The City and DSP should prioritize an effort to actively pursue commercial and residential mixed-use development on surface parking lots through policy strategies and public/private partnerships. Policy strategies may include fees for surface parking through the Business Improvement District, changes to property tax structures, tax incentives for office uses that may incentivize redevelopment, and property tax deferrals on new improvements.

Public/private partnerships for redevelopment, through partnership with a community investment organization, should also be pursued with a focus on redevelopment of surface parking lots.

Redevelopment plans may include public uses such as civic and arts and cultural uses along with public parking to address the loss of parking from surface lots. New public parking could be managed under the shared parking program recommended under strategies for a Well Organized Downtown.

Figure 20 shows a redevelopment program and concept for the Rookery Block that complements the adjacent, historic Fernwell Building (1890), adds a major Downtown public plaza, opportunities for public uses at the ground level, public parking, and the option for a mix of residential and office uses in the upper floors. The redevelopment of the Rookery Block would also be a major step towards activating Howard Street as a primary pedestrian street from I-90 through Riverfront Park.

To assure long-term viability of any redevelopment as a public/private partnership that includes a parking structure, any portion of the building devoted to parking should meet design standards that preserve opportunities for adaptive reuse. Such considerations include minimum floor load bearing capacities in the parking structure to accommodate potential future reuse, such as for retail or corridors for an apartment reuse; deck-to-deck height minimums; horizontal parking decks; external speed-ramp(s) or drop out speed-ramp sections; and full perimeter Retail/Office/Housing/Civic uses.
FIGURE 19  Context of the Rookery Block with bicycle and pedestrian street improvements, transit investments, and public spaces that could be activated shown.

FIGURE 20  Parking in the vicinity of the Rookery Block with the number of spaces in each garage or parking lot, where available. The Rookery Block is currently publicly available parking.
FIGURE 21 | Potential development concept for the Rookery Block, one of the opportunity sites identified in this plan for redevelopment that can accommodate more intense development with public amenities.
A wayfinding program consisting of signage and other elements is intended to help pedestrians and bicyclists navigate the City and better connect Downtown’s districts and landmarks, and the neighborhoods adjacent to Downtown. A unified wayfinding system could improve the pedestrian experience and make it easier for people to access amenities Downtown. The wayfinding program should include distinct signage materials, color palette, and other elements that build on Riverfront Park’s wayfinding and are appropriate for different modes of transportation. Targeted design interventions at undercrossings beneath the rail viaduct and I-90 including lighting art and other investments in pedestrian and bicycle facilities can help bridge barriers both within Downtown and between Downtown and surrounding neighborhoods. The City has invested in attractive and distinct gateways at major I-90 access point Downtown, has introduced murals and security lighting to undercrossings, and has proposed additional lighting improvements for railroad undercrossings. Public art installations like these are important parts of an intuitive wayfinding system, and the City should look to enhance these transitions between different parts of downtown.

Warren Langley’s ASPIRE light sculptures create a safer, more pedestrian friendly beneath a highway in Pyrmont, NSW, Australia

Downtown wayfinding in Calgary, AB, Canada
**CW4.1 ACTION:** Develop a wayfinding plan for Downtown with priority locations and signage elements that ties into the County’s Wayfinding Project and elements of the cultural trail.

The City and its partners should develop a wayfinding plan with signage and gateway elements unique to Downtown focused on bicyclists and pedestrians that helps highlight Spokane’s unique history, culture, and identity and better connect parts of Downtown. Wayfinding was implemented as part of the Riverfront Park renovations and complementary elements could be brought to other parts of Downtown with distinct visual identity, and Spokane Transit has programmed wayfinding elements into City Line stations. Spokane County’s Wayfinding Project has taken steps to identify appropriate signage for drivers and pedestrians as part of unified system for the entire County, but there is room for unique Downtown wayfinding that ties into this system and ideas for the cultural trail. (see Arts, Culture, History section of this Plan). In some locations, including along the cultural trail alignment, street name signs only face on-coming vehicle traffic, and should face both directions to include wayfinding needs of pedestrians.

A wayfinding plan for Downtown should consider gateway treatments along major corridors and at under crossings, signage elements from relevant projects Downtown, and decision points where signage is a priority. Signage for Downtown should include elements that can be mounted into existing poles Downtown, which will make the plan easier to implement, reduce sidewalk obstructions, and limit visual clutter from posts.

The wayfinding system for the Nicolett Ave corridor in Minneapolis, MN includes both full kiosks, and smaller elements that can be installed on poles for existing street lights, and traffic and pedestrian signals.
**CW4.2** **ACTION:** Improve pedestrian experience and safety at undercrossings beneath the rail viaduct and I-90 with targeted interventions.

The railroad viaduct is a strong physical barrier in Downtown, and the undercrossings play an important role in defining the entries and exits to the Downtown core as a clear gateway. These spaces can feel uncomfortable and would benefit from continued enhancements such as lighting, public art, and wayfinding. Some of the most successful treatments for undercrossings combine lighting and art to create an environment with visual interest while addressing public safety concerns.

Although the City and DSP have worked with BNSF and WSDOT to improve undercrossing lighting, perceptions of safety around Downtown undercrossings remain a challenge. The City’s and WSDOT’s mutually formulated I-90 Architectural Guidelines (also called “Kit of Parts”) resulted in process improvements to both organizations.

For the railroad undercrossings, the City should work with BNSF to draft a similar set of guidelines as it did with WSDOT. Surface and structured parking tends to dominate the north and south sides of the rail viaduct, which can make the space under the viaducts feel more separated from Downtown. Active uses at street level in vacant spaces that are part of existing buildings or along the edges of parking structures and surface lots on either side of the viaduct can help bring more foot traffic through undercrossings and create a sense of natural surveillance. Where the traditional development patterns of Downtown span the rail viaduct, particularly along Monroe, Lincoln Post, and Howard Streets there are opportunities to build on existing activity to help bridge the gap created by the viaduct.

A highway underpass in San Jose, CA redesigned to create a safer pedestrian experience with art by Dan Corson that includes painted surfaces, circular lights LED lighting coordinated with pedestrian scale light fixtures along the sidewalk.

Bill FitzGibbons’s Light Rails light installation beneath the rail underpass in Downtown Birmingham, AL.
GOAL: THRIVING ARTS, CULTURE, AND HISTORIC PLACES

Downtowns are historically centers of commerce, civic life, arts and culture. At a time where retail has shifted from “bricks and mortar” toward online sales, the civic and cultural components of downtowns have increased in importance. Historic downtowns, like Spokane, have the irreplaceable assets of architectural fabric, human-scale and the stories of multiple generations.

The intent of strategies in this section is to:

- Support arts and cultural uses as activators of Downtown and nurture artists and organizations that bring creative uses, especially as the city recovers from the effects of COVID-related shutdowns.

- Use arts, culture and history to highlight the unique aspects of Downtown Spokane, making it attractive to people who live, work and visit Downtown.

- Dedicate resources to arts planning and marketing and develop reliable funding streams for the arts in Spokane and make sure that creative uses are encouraged by City policies and procedures.

---

**CULTURAL SITES**

Historic and cultural sites, historic districts and character areas Downtown

Source: Framework City of Spokane. DSP, 2020
ACH1 STRATEGY: Highlight Downtown’s history to build awareness of local culture and support the arts

A variety of methods will bring the architectural richness and historic interest of Downtown to life, such as plaques, lighting, murals, photo opportunities, public art and streetscape elements. Partner with the local experts and history-focused organizations, Native American tribes, artists, and educational institutions to develop creative story-telling methods to convey the unique history of Spokane. Look forward as well, bringing attention to the current places and activities that define Spokane’s culture.

ACH1.1 ACTION: Tell stories about Spokane’s local history and architecture using creative methods.

Downtown Spokane is fortunate to have a rich and fascinating history. Making those stories visible and engaging deepens the unique sense of place in the city and fosters respect for our own place in history. There are many examples of how this has been done in other cities that can inspire ways of celebrating Downtown’s history that are most appropriate for Spokane, and the city has many people and organizations that are resources for revealing city history. Highlighting history should be a Downtown-wide effort, rather than a district-based approach used in the prior 2008 Downtown Plan, and should bring together Downtown’s historic character areas.

ACH1.2 ACTION: Preserve historic structures and bridges and incentivize adaptive reuse of older structures that contribute to Downtown’s historic character.

Spokane’s Special Tax Valuation for historic properties is the City’s primary incentive for the rehabilitation of older buildings on the historic register, many of which are Downtown. As Downtown sees further reinvestment, the City should ensure Spokane’s historic sites are treated in a manner consistent with the Secretary of the Interior’s guidelines for the treatment of historic properties and standards for rehabilitation, restoration, and reconstruction. Maintaining historic bridges, not only as pieces of critical infrastructure, but also as signature architectural elements Downtown should a priority as the City invests in connections across the river. In considering adaptive reuse, active and sustainable uses should be encouraged, such as street level cultural uses or residential units at the exterior of buildings.

ACH1.3 ACTION: Develop design guidelines for downtown that help create a good fit between new development and Downtown’s historic structures.

Develop expanded Downtown Design Guidelines for new development Downtown that emphasize the importance of the Downtown’s historical context, and help new development draw on and complement Downtown Spokane’s historic structures.
**ACH1.4 ACTION:** Pursue the idea of a cultural trail that links signature sites and activates streets with the intent of drawing visitors and investment.

Downtown Spokane's historic architecture and cultural uses make for distinctive corridors and a cultural trail can define one of the strongest corridors through Downtown. A cultural trail connecting and directing people to historical sites and destinations Downtown can help tell local stories and highlight Downtown Spokane's historical and cultural gems. This pedestrian-focused connection can act as a draw for tourists, bringing foot traffic to destinations throughout Downtown and connecting surrounding neighborhoods in all directions. The vision for the cultural trail includes streetscape elements and artistic interventions that can help draw people along the length of the trail and create and interactive learning experience. A successful cultural trail can help demonstrate the power of public-private partnerships to help catalyze investment for public benefit and economic development Downtown.

Map of existing plans for a cultural trail through Downtown Spokane connecting to Browne's Addition

Conceptual Design for fixtures along Spokane's planned cultural trail designed to frame architectural details of historic buildings along the trail.
ACH2  STRATEGY: Bring arts and culture into the public realm Downtown and develop an Arts Plan to support arts and cultural uses with a focus on Downtown

Downtown Spokane is the cultural hub of the Inland Empire and the quality of its public realm should rise to meet regional expectations. The City, its partner organizations, and the broader community should work together to foster a thriving cultural sector. This includes nurturing culture spaces, uses and activities, as well as related uses are mutually supportive with cultural venues, contribute to thriving restaurants and night life, and support Spokane’s local economy. Public spaces can go a long way in bringing arts and culture and these complementary uses out onto the street and make them more of a visible presence and feature of Downtown Spokane.

An arts and culture plan that brings together a spectrum of organizations and individuals, and offers targeted strategies and concepts to support arts and culture could be of great benefit to Spokane. The City’s municipal arts plan, adopted in 2004 and amended in 2007, defines a process for funding, selection, maintenance and removal of public art through the municipal code (chapter 07.06 SMC, Article VII Municipal Art Policy). The City should engage in an intentional process to develop a robust arts and culture plan with a particular attention to Downtown, which would be a strong forum to address how the City and partner organizations can support cultural expression and yet mechanisms to preserve and encourage new cultural uses both citywide and Downtown. We suggest broadening the scope to include both arts and culture. The exact scope of cultural uses can be explored in the plan, but it can include things of importance to the overall culture of Spokane, such as its annual events and its well-loved small businesses, and include the expressions of Spokane’s diverse communities through foods, festivals, and holiday traditions. An arts and culture plan should address the needs of the full spectrum of people in the community, offering opportunities for multicultural organizations, and making sure that there are ways for people of all incomes to enjoy free and low-cost activities.

The actions that are part of this strategy are intended to enrich public spaces with support for their civic functions and a broader range of art and cultural activities in the short time, and elements to consider as part of a broader art and culture plan, building on the Comprehensive Plan’s Arts and Cultural Enrichment Policies that address public art a form of infrastructure.
ACH2.1  **ACTION:** Improve and activate the streetscape and public realm to support cultural venues.

Spokane boasts a collection of significant performing arts venues and a range of excellent productions. The historic Fox Theater was renovated in 2007 and is the home of the Spokane Symphony. The Knitting Factory and the Bing Crosby Theater bring crowds for concerts of all kinds. The public space surrounding these extraordinary venues should be of high quality as the events inside. One place that would clearly benefit from improved streetscape is the area around the cluster of these venues. This area could be highlighted and supported with pedestrian spaces, restaurants and nightlife that offer places for arts patrons to enjoy. Such activity would give people a reason to extend their stays before and after performances rather than simply moving from the parking garage to the theater and back. The graphic below shows streetscape treatment with Art Deco patterns related to the Fox; a functional and elegant “red carpet” drop-off, street dining, and projected art on the walls along the street (Figure 22). Note that paint on the street will need to be compliant with the Manual for Uniform Traffic Control Devices and should identify a revenue source for maintenance.

The galleries, clubs and other cultural spaces throughout Downtown can benefit from a supportive public realm that increases visibility and vitality. This may mean art and lighting outside of cultural space, seating or temporary “pop-up” installations encouraged and supported by the City and the Downtown Spokane Partnership.

**FIGURE 23** Visualization of potential projection across from the Fox Theater could enliven blank walls along Sprague Ave paired with street improvements that draw on the uses and character in the neighborhood.
ACH2.2 **ACTION:** Work with artists and local property owners to create and maintain temporary art installation and facilitate activation of cultural spaces to energize Downtown and support artists.

Light projections and murals are relatively easy ways to energize the public space, especially where there are blank walls that can be turned into assets. Spokane has already been doing this and can build on these successes. An advantage of projections and other transitory installations, events, or performances is that they can tailored to events and seasons and can be changed or scheduled regularly. These shortlived transformations of public space can add to Downtown’s vibrancy and activity and help it feel like a safe place for patrons to enjoy, both day and night.

Art installations can be used to fill some of the gaps in Downtown’s fabric, in a similar way to parklets. The City should make these kinds of installations as easy as possible from a regulatory standpoint. Art “markers” could be part of a strategy to bring attention to the smaller venues and galleries with highly visible art or installations.

Some of the space in new and existing development adjacent to and within the right-of-way can host a variety of art forms, bringing activity to the street, attracting pedestrian traffic, and supporting a positive social atmosphere. Current installations and programs should be made a part of the public art component of the arts plan. Where brick and mortar spaces are not available, space in the right-of-way may be considered for outdoor seating, dining, and performances in warmer months.
**ACH2.3 ACTION:** Explore incentives for preserving cultural space and creating new space for arts and cultural uses Downtown.

The City and its partners in the development of an Arts Plan should look for ideas to preserve cultural space, protect spaces of cultural and historical significance, and encourage the creation of new cultural space. A key part of the process will be understanding what incentives would have the greatest community impact in Spokane, and how those incentives can be best implemented in Spokane. Some of the ideas that a plan should examine closely are:

- Creating pathways for funding and technical assistance to encourage the adaptive re-use of older buildings, and the inclusion of cultural space in renovated buildings
- Including City parks and library projects as eligible for the 1% for the arts policy and explore the expansion of the program for private development.
- Creating mechanisms to encourage or require cultural space in new development, especially larger scale developments, public projects or development that displaces existing cultural space.
- Roles for staff within partner organizations to encourage and support space for arts and culture, including possible designations for a point person for Downtown public art, or a cultural space liaison who could connect the creative sector and property owners, and be part of the entitlement project.
- Roles of the City Arts Commission in best supporting the arts, including the space needed for cultural uses and the use of public space for the arts.

**ACH2.4 ACTION:** Evaluate how the City’s development regulations and permitting process can support cultural space and public art.

An Arts Plan should take a careful look at how permit requirements apply to cultural uses, and which requirements in particular can be burdensome for artists or organizations trying to create cultural space. The review process may also be an opportunity to help ensure new development contributes to arts and culture particularly Downtown where development is tends to be larger scale. The following aspects of permitting and review should be considered in the Arts Plan process:

- Encourage, incentivize, and at the appropriate level, require public art and cultural uses to be part of the development process.
- Inviting representatives from the City Arts Commission and/or relevant local arts organizations to pre-application meetings for projects of a certain scale.
- Streamlining the permit review process for cultural space and the potential for permit fee waivers for the creation of cultural space.
- Temporary occupancy permits for artists and arts organizations.
ACH2.5 ACTION: Identify and reduce barriers for cultural events Downtown.

Cultural events and activities draw both tourists and residents Downtown. Downtown Spokane is known for hosting remarkable special events, with signature events like Bloomsday, Hoopfest, Terrain, and the Lilac Parade drawing people from throughout the Inland Northwest and beyond. Community partners involved in hosting cultural events Downtown, however, should examine issues, including requirements and cost. The City should continually review procedures for special event permits and look for ways to reduce permit requirements, and potentially costs incurred, while preserving necessary assurances and safety requirements.

Tourist and residents are enjoying the cultural event on Wall St, Spokane.

Hoopfest is the largest 3on3 outdoor basketball tournament on Earth located in Spokane, Washington. It is also an outdoor festival with shopping, food, and interactive entertainment accessible to all.
ACH3 STRATEGY: Create space for art-focused and culturally-oriented small businesses and organizations, targeting those that cannot afford to locate Downtown

Arts and cultural uses and small businesses are vulnerable to economic shifts and a real estate market with rising rents. Cultural space can have a quantifiable benefit for Downtown, driving economic development, and attracting both visitors and local residents Downtown. One of the best ways to ensure these uses can access space Downtown is to provide affordable space for businesses and organizations that may not be able to afford market-rate space.

A number of non-profit organizations have driven the production of more affordable cultural spaces, or affordable live/work spaces for artists around the country, such as Artspace, which creates, fosters, and preserves affordable and sustainable space for artists, and arts organizations across the United States. There are excellent precedents for a public or semi-public organization like a public development authority (PDA) to create affordable cultural space. For example, the Town of Twisp, WA chartered a PDA to acquire and redevelop a decommissioned forest service ranger station using a combination of public and private funds, and created TwispWorks which supports artists, craftspeople, and small businesses in the Methow Valley.

TwispWorks campus in Twisp, WA has over 38,000 SF for local businesses, artists and organizations.
ACH3.1  ACTION: Identify sites Downtown for infill development that can help foster small businesses, local start-ups, and arts and culture uses and organizations.

The Rookery Block between Riverside Ave and Sprague Ave to the north and south and N Stevens St and N Howard St to the east and west is an example of an ideal location for infill development with a centralized affordable space for arts and culture and small businesses. Many successful examples of small, affordable spaces for entrepreneurs, in the tradition of historic public markets, exist throughout the country. These entrepreneurial incubator spaces can contribute to an active and engaging street level, which is particularly important for a site such as the Rookery Block, which is central in the Downtown Core, with frontage on significant pedestrian streets—Howard, Sprague and Riverside. Opportunity sites on Spokane Falls Boulevard would also be appropriate for active ground floor cultural uses and businesses because of their proximity to Riverfront Park.

ACH3.2  ACTION: Identify underutilized properties that could serve as affordable workspaces for organizations that are less reliant on foot traffic.

The 2008 Plan called attention to the Intermodal Center as an opportunity site, and this Plan supports retaining this site as an opportunity to create work and/or office space for organizations that support community needs like non-profit and cultural organizations that may require below-market rate rents. The space may be able to serve as a shared office or co-working space, or be subdivided into discrete spaces for businesses with a mixture of market rate and affordable tenants. River City Kitchen in the Intermodal Center currently offers rental space in their commercial kitchen on the second story of the Intermodal Center, as a culinary incubator, and the City can support similar ventures with shared and/or affordable workspace in areas with less pedestrian activity Downtown.
GOAL: A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE, WORK AND PLAY

Downtown Spokane is the economic and cultural engine of the Inland Northwest, drawing residents from the County and region for work, dining, and recreation. Downtown is also a great place to live with workplaces, services, cultural and educational institutions all close by. While Downtown has seen modest growth, it is not widely recognized as a great neighborhood to live in and could benefit from mixed-use development with a diverse range of housing options together with new or enhanced residential amenities. Similarly, there are opportunities to strengthen Downtown Spokane as the economic driver for the region by enhancing amenities to attract and maintain businesses in Downtown.

The strategies in this section are intended to:

- Develop Downtown as a complete, walkable neighborhood with safe and convenient access to goods and services necessary for daily life within walking distance.
- Promote residential infill and rehabilitation projects with diverse housing options affordable to households of different means.

The Ridpath is a historic rehabilitation into apartments with well-designed space and downtown Spokane view.

Downtown Spokane skyline highlighting a concentration of employment and office uses.

A festival at Downtown Spokane allows artists, residents, and tourists to celebrate local art, music, and dance.
**LWP1**  **STRATEGY:** Encourage residential and mixed-use development with a variety in housing types and sizes that are affordable to a range of income levels

A healthy Downtown residential population is important not only for the success of Downtown businesses, but also for a Downtown that feels safe and active throughout the day. Residential density in and around Downtown contributes to the local customer base of businesses and services, particularly those which rely on foot traffic. While Downtown Spokane has seen some modest growth in residential and mixed-use development, there are tremendous opportunities for infill development that integrates housing and residential services that meet the needs of people and families with a range of incomes.

**LWP1.1**  **ACTION:** Develop a thorough understanding of on-site conditions and update market demand studies around opportunity sites.

The Downtown Plan team identified opportunity sites Downtown where infill development and new public spaces would have a transformative and catalytic effect on Downtown. Redevelopment on these sites should prioritize a mixture of active ground floor uses and public spaces along key pedestrian corridors and upper story residential, or office uses that help build a more complete neighborhood. Future redevelopment on these sites is also an opportunity to address longstanding needs for structured employee parking, that will help downtown remain a viable and competitive destination for new businesses.

The City of Spokane and DSP could support redevelopment on these opportunity sites with market demand and pro-forma analysis, and site analysis that help landowners understand the potential for development that each site holds. DSP can partner with Downtown property owners to adapt to shifts in economic conditions and assess buildings for rehabilitation or redevelopment. Collaboration between property owners, DSP, and the City early in the development process is crucial to ensuring that redevelopment on these sites aligns with community needs, goals and aspirations.
LWP1.2 **ACTION:** Develop public/private partnerships, potentially with the assistance of a public development authority, to acquire and assemble property to support redevelopment Downtown.

Public-private partnerships could be an effective tool to spur redevelopment that creates meaningful, positive change Downtown. These partnerships can take many forms, but in Washington the statutory authority for public bodies to serve economic development activities is weak. Governments can only acquire property for public purposes, including affordable housing, and Washington State law interprets public purposes narrowly. The City of Spokane and DSP should identify a public or quasi-public partner to work with private developers on key infill sites, where there is a clear public benefit. Depending on the nature of these redevelopment projects, and each project’s ownership and funding models, PDAs can offer distinct advantages in that they can receive funds from ongoing ventures and private donations and more flexibly transfer property where public bodies may not be able to, yet are held accountable with strict transparency requirements. Similarly, a non-profit corporation could access the public bond market to develop projects that would eventually be owned by the City or another public entity.

LWP1.3 **ACTION:** Build site-based partnerships with affordable housing developers and transitional housing providers into public projects and infill development and engage these organizations in economic analyses of how an affordable housing program can be implemented in Spokane’s real estate and development market.

Affordable housing developers play an important role in providing housing for families and individuals with a range of incomes and personal circumstances. Downtown has seen significant investment in new affordable and transitional housing, and while opinions of these developments and services for residents downtown vary widely, the sponsor organizations can be valuable partners in infill development Downtown. These developers have built a mix of residential projects Downtown including new permanent supportive housing, relying primarily on private financing. Redevelopment of underutilized properties Downtown can use funds and organizational capacity from a public/private partnership to complement efforts to produce new market-rate multi-family housing with an affordable or supportive housing component. As the City and DSP work to assess the feasibility of development on targeted sites Downtown, real estate analysis should examine how Downtown’s regional share of affordable housing fits into potential development.

As housing costs in Spokane have risen, the City’s need for housing affordable to low- and moderate-income households has become more acute. The City has an opportunity work toward a comprehensive housing strategy before real estate costs become more of an obstacle, and should engage affordable housing organizations in the process to determine how the City can help effectively address housing needs in a tightening market. A first step in that process would be to study the economics of multi-family development in Spokane to ensure the City’s work to advance affordable housing is grounded in sound real estate analysis and is balanced with market rate multi-family development Downtown. This housing strategy should address housing for both low- and moderate-income residents Downtown, and spur residential development in between the higher end and affordable and supportive housing development that Downtown has seen in recent years.
LWP1.4 ACTION: Explore ideas to strengthen incentives for multi-family development and rehabilitation of historic structures Downtown.

The City of Spokane offers tax-based incentives that have been critical to investment Downtown, including the Multi-Family Tax Exemption (MFTE) and Special Tax Valuation for historic properties. The MFTE Program helps make multifamily residential development Downtown feasible, and the Special Tax Valuation helps defray the costs of rehabilitating structures on Spokane’s local historic register. Maintaining both incentive programs is important to the long-term success of Downtown, and the City should explore ways to complement them with zoning and permitting incentives or other programs, like design review that could support multi-family development, affordable housing, and restoration of historic buildings and sites Downtown.

Downtown Spokane can support multifamily development, but the MFTE Program has proven an important component of how these projects are structured financially, and the most effective incentive for higher intensity residential development the City offers. If the City pursues changes to the MFTE as part of an affordable housing strategy, these changes should be subject to thorough economic and real estate analysis to ensure that they do not stall multi-family development Downtown.

The historic St Charles Hospital in Aurora IL was restored and converted to senior apartments using a variety of incentives.

Development in Kendall Yards that utilized the City's MFTE incentive to effectuate redevelopment.
LWP2 STRATEGY: Enhance residential amenities like public spaces, services, and cultural uses Downtown

Downtown Spokane is a great place to live, and recent development has begun to draw new residents Downtown. There is room for investment in local, neighborhood-scale amenities Downtown that would benefit long-term Downtown residents and newcomers, but also make Downtown more welcoming to visitors and commuters. It is the access to services, necessities, and recreational opportunities that help people see Downtown as not only a place to work or visit, but as a great place to live or raise a family.

LWP2.1 ACTION: Develop ground floor standards for residential, retail, office, live/work, and civic uses.

The design of street level uses shapes how residents and visitors experience Downtown. Design of the ground floor can reflect the human scale and contribute to a vibrant public realm, but it is unreasonable to expect ground-floor retail sales and service uses to be successful in every location Downtown. Current development standards include requirements for setbacks (SMC 17C.124.230) and windows (SMC 17C.124.510) on the ground floor but offer little guidance on how to design spaces at street level that work for different uses. For retail uses this may mean visual transparency at eye level, higher ceilings, and on-grade entries, but design for residential uses is somewhat different, including distinct protected entries, and visual and/or physical separation from the street. A set of specific standards that regulate design at street level can accommodate different uses in way that is sensitive to the context of surrounding development and historic reconfigurations of storefronts, and flexible to accommodate changing tenants and owners. These standards can prioritize active uses or specific design practices along specific corridors where the pedestrian environment is a priority to better align with desired design characteristics.

Suggested heights give context to allow for flexible uses. Examples shown are subject to refinement based on land use and street designation. Diagram is adapted from Urban Street Design Guide, National Association of City Transportation Officials.
**LWP2.2 ACTION:** Create an integrated network of small parks and public spaces outside of Riverfront Park.

Riverfront Park is Downtown’s signature open space, drawing locals and visitors from around the country and connecting to a regional network of trails. Outside of Riverfront Park, and the City’s new open spaces along the River, Downtown lacks the parks and public spaces that would help form a complete network of recreational space. While Spokane’s riverfront will continue to be a focal point of recreation in Downtown life, pocket parks, and public spaces are important for everyday passive recreation and public life. Within a 5-minute walk of a given location, there should be open spaces that meet the needs of residents and visitors. A Downtown Open Space Plan should be developed to create an integrated network of small parks and public spaces that builds on current requirements to construct landscaped open space in the Downtown zones. Provision of such public spaces should be coordinated via the open space plan, with private developers being able to opt-in to assist in these projects, even if they are off-site for the developer, as a means to acquire certain development advantages for their own projects. Extending a network of small public spaces through Downtown that connects to Riverfront Park, together with strategies in the Public Spaces and Well Organized sections of this Plan, will help promote active streets and bring communities together.

**LWP2.3 ACTION:** Support existing businesses Downtown and build upon emerging activity centers with complementary retail and active uses.

Downtown retail, restaurant, and cultural establishments are particularly vulnerable to shifts in the local and national economy. While the lasting economic impact of the COVID crisis remains unclear, Downtown businesses will need support to survive and adapt to changes in dining, shopping, gathering and commuting. City support of existing and heritage businesses Downtown is crucial for their survival, and the City should consider grant and commercial tenant assistance programs as options to retain businesses Downtown. The post-COVID recovery may present opportunities for growth in Downtown’s emerging centers of activity, but those efforts will hinge on key businesses that anchor Downtown districts.

Downtown’s East End along Main Ave is one of Downtown’s strongest concentrations of active uses just outside of the Downtown Core and presents some opportunities for growth and collaboration with WSU.
LWP3  **STRATEGY:** Apply zoning changes and other tools to sustain and enhance mixed-use development with active street-level uses

Downtown zoning provides a lot of flexibility for mixed-use development particularly in the Downtown Core which has no building height limit. The Downtown General Zone is very flexible in terms of allowed land uses and has building height (number of stories) and floor-to-area restrictions unless certain incentives or conditions are met. The Downtown General Zone encourages the development of housing including affordable housing. The North Bank and South University District Subarea Plans propose certain land use changes for those districts. The Downtown South Zone is unique in that it allows and encourages more auto-oriented land uses and development including highway-oriented signage.

LWP3.1  **ACTION:** Maintain and apply zoning designations based on criteria that address the surrounding context and desired land uses with a focus on street level uses.

Existing zoning designations in Downtown are applied based on the surrounding context and desired future development including land uses and building form. The City should apply zoning designations based on the feasibility of development and community desires for infill or redevelopment. For example, if development under the DTC-100 zone is determined to not be feasible with the 100-foot height restriction then the City should consider the trade-offs between maintaining the existing surface parking and the impacts of allowing increased height. The City should formalize criteria for considering zoning changes in Downtown including any modification of zoning district boundaries.

LWP3.2  **ACTION:** Ensure signage standards are consistent with land use context and corridor character.

The City of Spokane currently regulates new billboards and electronic message center signs Downtown and should continue to prohibit forms of signage that are intended for a highway or auto-oriented context. The City should continue to prohibit billboards and auto-focused signage outside of South Downtown and should encourage preservation of Downtown Spokane's painted signs.

LWP3.3  **ACTION:** Ensure redevelopment projects contribute to streetscapes and good urban design.

Redevelopment that changes uses of existing buildings, and results in modified facades and sidewalks, should contribute to the goals of this plan and the community’s desired Downtown.
**LWP3.4** **ACTION:** Pursue redevelopment of the existing surface parking lots in the DTC-100 Zone along Spokane Falls Boulevard.

The surface parking lots along Spokane Falls Boulevard in the core of Downtown, identified as opportunity sites in the 2008 plan, are maintained as opportunity sites in this plan update. The properties are subject to a height restriction beginning at 100-feet along the north property line, increasing in height farther south, unlike other properties in the adjacent Downtown Core Zone. These height limits are in place to minimize shadows and other potential impacts on Riverfront Park. There is also a perception that the height restriction on these parcels has adversely impacted the economic feasibility of redevelopment, but a detailed real estate analysis has not been conducted.

The City and DSP have conducted multiple shadow and massing studies as part of a lengthy process to reconsider the height restrictions. In 2018, at the completion of the public process the City Plan Commission unanimously recommended the City Council increase building height limits in the DTC-100 Zone along with additional requirements for building massing to minimize shadows on the park, active ground floor uses, and high-quality materials. However, the recommended code amendments have not been adopted. The City should explore performance-based design solutions that enhance the public realm, as alternatives specific to each parcel, if the 2018 recommendations are reconsidered.

The City and DSP should include these opportunity sites in the detailed economic analysis to assess market demand for residential and non-residential uses, and should be partners in a site-specific residual land value and pro-forma analysis of these properties. These real estate and financial analyses should capture public benefits and value of public spaces and other public amenities that could be integrated into a project on these sites. This offers a clear pathway for the community and decision-makers understand the tradeoffs between allowing additional height and potential impacts of shading.

As these are prime opportunity sites, the City, DSP, and partners should determine how best to facilitate redevelopment that contributes to a more active and vibrant Downtown.

![A redevelopment concept in the DTC-100 zone that exceeds the current 100’ height limit but with limits on the size of the towers to minimize shading in Riverfront Park.](image)
**LWP 4** STRATEGY: Improve transit access, commute options, and parking management for Downtown residents and employees

Downtown Spokane is a regional employment center, with a range of growing industries and businesses interested in remaining and investing in Downtown. In locating Downtown, businesses balance their employees' access to the place of work and the proximity of amenities that are attractive to a workforce that is increasingly composed of millennials, now 50% of the U.S. labor force. The City and STA are making great strides in improving transit and active transportation Downtown, and new development should integrate with those investments. Access to longer-term employee parking continues to be an important consideration for commuters and Downtown businesses, and there are programmatic opportunities that could be explored to alleviate some of the pressure felt by Downtown businesses to locate where they can find dedicated employee parking, which often takes them outside of Downtown. Transportation Demand Management tools should be implemented, including establishment of a Transportation Management Association (TMA), together with efforts to transform surface parking, develop new parking facilities, and improve conditions along streets for commute alternatives, as envisioned in the Connected and Walkable and Public Spaces sections of this Plan.

**LWP 4.1** ACTION: Integrate new development Downtown with transit facilities and promote transit supportive development around high-capacity transit.

New development in Downtown Spokane should help support transit facilities in terms of intensity, composition of uses, and design. Spokane's new City Line, which is expected to begin service through Downtown in 2022 will include major investments in station areas and facilities. Development should successfully integrate transit stations in site and building design practices that can be built into zoning incentives or design guidelines. These can include enhanced pedestrian amenities and active uses oriented toward transit stations, and consideration of transit facilities should be incorporated into ground-floor development standards and/or guidelines for Downtown.

**LWP 4.2** ACTION: Reduce regulatory barriers to shared parking including expanding off-site parking shed limits.

Spokane currently allows shared parking through project permitting by joint-use parking documentation (SMC 17C.230.110), but Downtown would benefit from a shared parking system that leverages redevelopment and the construction of new structured parking to meet a range of parking needs. This can substantially reduce the need for dedicated parking and offer the flexibility to meet the needs of long- and short-term parking for a range of users at different times of day.

Off-site parking regulations currently limit the maximum parking shed to within 400 feet of the site (SMC 17C.230.100), which can be too restrictive for certain users. Commuters in particular may be willing to walk farther from secure long-term parking during the day. The 2019 Downtown Parking Study recommends changing this maximum distance to one quarter mile or 1,320 feet.
LWP4.3 ACTION: Implement Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies.

TDM tools Downtown would reduce vehicle trips and demand for parking, thereby freeing parking space for visitors and customers, and opening up land area for other uses. The 2019 Downtown Parking Study recognized these actions would have a catalytic impact on new development in Downtown, particularly in alleviating growth demands on the parking supply and in support of revitalizing existing underutilized surface parking lots. A broad range of strategies were identified, encompassing partnerships with agencies to create or change programs that promote use of alternatives to driving; changes to the design of public right-of-way such as those proposed in this Plan to better serve all street users; and examining the feasibility of establishing a transportation management association (TMA) for Downtown or specific districts to encourage non single occupancy vehicle trips. The TMA would provide support and invite all Downtown employers to examine, and encourage their employees to consider, strategies for commuting other than driving alone.

Founded in 2018 as the Transportation Management Association (TMA) for downtown Boise, City Go is made up of public and private partnerships that bring businesses, developers, property managers and owners, and government entities together to address local transportation issues and shape the future of mobility.
STRATEGY: Support environmentally sustainable growth Downtown and responsible stewardship of the Spokane River and Falls

Compact growth downtown is an important part of a greener future for Spokane as a whole. As Downtown continues to grow and evolve, the City and DSP should strive to protect and enhance the natural environment Downtown, and the Spokane River and Falls in particular.

ACTION: Integrate Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) into public street and building projects and encourage green stormwater strategies to retain and treat runoff on-site as part of private development.

Spokane has over 300 miles of separated storm sewer lines that discharge untreated stormwater in the Spokane River and over 400 miles of combined storm- and wastewater sewer lines that overflow from heavy rainfall and snowmelt and discharge sewage into the River. Investment downtown in the form of new public spaces, or redesigned streets can help reduce the flow from these systems and treat or retain stormwater. For new public projects the city should set concrete goals for on-site stormwater management and seize opportunities to capture and treat stormwater as part of street, trails, parks and public space projects. The City should explore practical incentives for integrating GSI into new development like discounted stormwater utility fees commensurate with the capacity of on-site systems to hold and treat stormwater.

ACTION: Promote energy efficiency in new construction and rehabilitation of historic buildings.

Residential and commercial buildings in Washington consume about 41.2% of energy for the entire State, which contributes substantially to greenhouse gas emissions. Spokane’s power utility, Avista, has a relatively clean generation resource mix, which is 57% renewable. Downtown buildings can be a model of environmentally responsible and resource efficient building practices, and the City should support sustainable design and construction Downtown. Simple design considerations like access to natural light and ventilation in living and working spaces can make these spaces more comfortable and reduce energy consumption. Managing direct sunlight with solar control and shading devices can be integrated into the design of new buildings.

The City currently permits solar power installation and waives permit fees related to the permitting and construction of solar energy systems. The City should explore extending this waiver to the installation of sustainable heating and cooling systems and consider offering rebates or similar financial incentives to new construction that incorporates efficient heating and cooling or solar power so that this incentive includes to new construction or rehabilitation that integrate these systems into their design.
**LWP5.3**  
**ACTION:** Mitigate the urban heat island effect Downtown in order to reduce vulnerability to extreme heat events.

Urban centers are particularly vulnerable to extreme heat events because they are already warmer than surrounding non-urbanized areas. The City can take steps to make Downtown and Spokane as a whole more resilient to heat and adapt existing buildings to more extreme heat by integrating cool pavement with higher solar reflectance or coatings that reduce heat absorption into long term planning and capital projects. The City should work to create a more complete tree canopy Downtown, filling gaps in the network of street trees to shade paved areas from the sun.

For private development the City should explore requirements and incentives for cool roof design materials with lower solar absorption and incentives for Eco roofs or vegetated roofs. Surface parking areas contribute disproportionately to warming in urban environments, and the City should evaluate its current parking standards for opportunities to incorporate cool paving materials and additional landscaping and shade trees with surface parking in and around Downtown.

**LWP5.4**  
**ACTION:** Support a healthy local food system, with readily available fresh food and locally produced food.

The City and DSP should continue to support efforts to locate new grocers Downtown and develop ground-floor spaces for grocery stores that provide access to locally produced food. The City should consider working with market organizers and vendors to find a more central Downtown location for the Spokane farmer’s market to expand access to locally produced foods.
GOAL: WELCOMING AND ENGAGING PUBLIC SPACES

The value of quality public spaces in attracting people to come and to stay in downtowns is clear. Spokane has shown this with the success of the redeveloped Riverfront Park, and with the takeover of the streets for Hoopfest every year. Successful public spaces are well stewarded, whether by City staff, non-profit or community groups, or by neighboring property owners. This Downtown Plan considers the current open spaces and the opportunities to create the variety of successful open spaces that will make Downtown Spokane an attractive place to spend time for people who live and work in the city, and for those who come to visit with an emphasis on natural surveillance.

The strategies in this section are intended to:

- Create a more complete network of public spaces, including right-of-way and expand public spaces that enhance existing centers of activity Downtown.
- Develop the organizational capacity to actively program and manage public spaces Downtown and ensure that these spaces feel safe, comfortable, and usable at different times of day.

FIGURE 24

PUBLIC SPACES

Parks, open space, and programmable public spaces in and around Downtown Spokane

Source: Framework, City of Spokane, DSP, 2020
**PS1  STRATEGY: Program and Activate Public Spaces Downtown**

Downtown’s public spaces include the streets and sidewalks, the regional and neighborhood parks, and the plazas that may be publicly or privately owned, but accessible to the public. Ideally, there is a variety in the size and character of open spaces, and pleasant connections between them. Ideally, Downtown’s public spaces include a variety of characters—quiet spaces for conversation, a comfortable place to eat lunch, safe places to wait for the bus, interesting streets for strolling, green spaces to enjoy nature, and active places for shopping or playing.

The key to successful public spaces of all types is stewardship. Public space needs first to feel safe. They thrive when there are positive things to do, whether passive or more active. Private owners or businesses can be stewards of adjacent spaces, and successful public space often is “naturally activated” by cafes, shops, restaurants and other vendors. In other cases, public spaces can be managed by the public sector or organizations from the community or businesses. Partnerships between cities and main street or downtown associations have been successful in downtowons nationally. Whichever model is most appropriate, stewardship and activation need to be thoughtfully considered, on-going, and provided with resources.

Through an active programming effort Congress Square Park in Portland, ME has been transformed into a fun and lively public space with a variety of activities and events.

The 16th Street Mall in Denver, CO was transformed into a Downtown destination primarily through programming and activation.

The proposed zipline project along the river in downtown Spokane is designed to activate Riverfront Park, Redband Park and downtown. It is also expected to build economic strength for downtown and the region. The above renderings show the urban zipline platform hanging over the Spokane River downtown.
**PS1.1** **ACTION:** Strategically program and activate public spaces Downtown.

The City and DSP should coordinate and expand on DSP's existing program for enlivening Downtown's public space with additional events and locations. Spokane has shown that it can throw major events that use Downtown's public space and draw participants nationwide. There is no doubt the ability to have smaller scale, ongoing activity that will attract people from the city and the region to enjoy Downtown on a regular basis. Funding these activities in other cities comes from both public funds and corporate donations and should be considered here.

![Market Square](image1.png)  ![Market Square](image2.png)

Market Square used to be mostly for vehicles before being converted to a larger public plaza. Market Square in Pittsburgh, PA is now active throughout the year with many different seasonal activities. The plaza has been renewed through a programming effort.

**PS1.2** **ACTION:** Create new active uses on streets and in storefronts.

While good design of storefronts and public realm is important, the best indicator of an energized downtown is the successful mix of business sizes that activate urban streets. The support of those businesses has never been more important, and calls for an understanding on the part of the City and DSP around what these businesses need in terms of support. Some existing organizations like Window Dressing can help by coordinating exhibits at participating display windows. The Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG) may be able to master lease space to provide small, affordable spaces for start-up businesses, possibly but not exclusively in the shared workspaces or market spaces, proposed as part of redevelopment of surface parking lots under the Connected and Walkable and Live Work Play sections of this Plan. Business workshops have been helpful to support artists as small businesses through organizations such as Seattle-based Artists Trust. Ease in permitting for street use can also help entrepreneurs who bring activity to public spaces, as recommended in the Connected and Walkable section of this Plan.
PS2  STRATEGY: Protect, expand, and improve public space in strategic locations
   Downtown

The core of Downtown and areas south of the railroad viaduct have gaps in the network of public
spaces, and untapped opportunities to increase the quality and quantity of public space that would result
in a more attractive Downtown. Frequent gaps between active areas Downtown include stretches of
surface parking and blank walls that do not contribute to an active streetscape. Increasing the amount
of pedestrian space and amenities within existing street right of way would improve the quality of the
pedestrian environment not only along those gaps, but the perception of Downtown overall. Investment
in and active management of new public spaces would benefit centers of activity Downtown, like the
newly constructed plaza at 10 S Adams St, which has created a centerpiece for an emerging residential
neighborhood.

 Alleys were mentioned consistently by the public as valued spaces and opportunities for use as public
space. The scale of alleys is conducive to human-scale spaces, and often they have character as
“back doors” that feels authentic. Revitalized alleys are good fit with nearby active uses or as needed
connections. The City should have a defined policy on alley vacation or acquisition and a formalized set
of criteria from which to evaluate public benefit, service access, and multimodal connections. As the
City sees growing demand for larger scale development, these decisions warrant cautious consideration
to assess potential public benefits; evaluate the current and long-term impacts caused by relocated
services to parking, active uses, and the pedestrian environment on street edges; and understand
ramifications for future connectivity if intact alley corridors are severed.

PS2.1  ACTION: Create protections to retain public spaces, ensure existing and new public spaces are well
used and maintained, and develop a specific set of criteria to evaluate alley vacation or acquisition
based on public benefit and access.

Coordinate efforts such as active programming and dedicated maintenance funds to ensure public spaces
are well-used and maintained, including privately owned plazas with street frontage, with the plan for
a network of small parks and public spaces identified in the Live Work and Play section of this Plan.
Develop a policy stance on the network of alleys, including those between the railroad and I-90, which
are currently listed as a type of Downtown Complete Street. Approvals of alley vacations are subject to
criteria including finding such a vacation is needed in order to accommodate a public use. Create policy
statements regarding the circumstances, if any, that alleys may be sold by the City to private entities,
and what public benefit would be required with the sale.
**PS2.2** **ACTION:** Revitalize Parkade Plaza as the active place it was in a previous era, with its distinctive style of architecture and surrounding active uses.

The Parkade is a unique icon of Spokane, with 950 parking spaces, ground level retail and a plaza that was once one of Downtown’s social hubs. This well-located plaza also connects between Howard and Stevens Streets.

The garage and the plaza are important features of Downtown, and the Plan proposes the revitalization of the plaza, showcasing the 1960’s architecture, and supporting the restaurants lining the plaza. The graphic illustrates a ground plane treatment that is a colorful “thread” indicating the route through to Stevens Street as a way to make the space feel safer, without being a dead end. New plantings can refresh the appearance, and lighting can enliven the plaza in the evening. The north side of the plaza has weather protection that can serve pop-up types of uses. Materials and landscape can bring the plaza out to the west to the curb, capturing the sidewalk space and inviting people into the plaza.

**FIGURE 25**

![Visualization of Parkade Plaza new plantings, improved pedestrian spaces, and active uses with a ground plane treatment that connects the plaza to the alley.](image-url)
PS2.3 **ACTION:** Rename and improve the alley between W Main Ave and W Riverside Ave to transform a neglected space into a distinctive pedestrian space. This alley renovation can be a model for other alleys Downtown.

Giving names to alleys is a low-cost action that is a prerequisite to placemaking. As shown in the graphic, ways to improve alleys include ground plane treatment, art, and narrow landscape treatments. Uses along the alley are the best way to create a feeling of safety and stewardship. The City should encourage property owners to open up the back doors where possible.

Alleys or through routes can be encouraged or required with new development to break down building scale. This would be highly recommended for Major Downtown Site A and B (Figure 28), extending the delightful space at the rear of Atticus Coffee and Gifts.

**FIGURE 26** Concept for improvements to the alley between W Main Ave and W Riverside Ave using art, ground plane treatments, and landscaping. Active uses are the best way to create a feeling of safety and activity.
**PS2.4**  **ACTION:** With the redesign of Wall Street for transit use, retain the pedestrian scale of the street and focus on bringing active uses to the street level.

Wall Street has been identified as an important pedestrian street in Downtown, with design treatments meant to favor pedestrians. While the streetscape design was attractive, the uses along the street did not provide the activity that made a successful pedestrian street. Wall Street will again be changing with the implementation of the City Line. The Plan recommends retaining the pedestrian scale of the street, but thinking creatively about activation.

Vienna’s central streets create a welcoming and safe space for people with transparent storefronts, lightings at night, and subtle lines on the pavement separating pedestrians’ activities and local traffic.

**PS2.5**  **ACTION:** Pursue a public plaza space in the East End of Downtown.

The East End of the Downtown core along W Main Avenue is one of the most active pedestrian areas with small scaled buildings and active storefronts, restaurants, and an attractive streetscape. The W Main Ave frontages are active but much of the blocks in that area is currently surface parking and the area would benefit from a public plaza like the new plaza in the West End. Since public plazas surrounded on two sides by busy arterials have difficulty generating the necessary critical mass of activity to function well, the site offered for this plaza should have an anchoring building with pedestrian-scaled frontages if it borders Browne St, Division St, Spokane Falls Blvd, or Riverside Ave.
PS2.6 ACTION: Pursue a public/private partnership to develop sites such as the Rookery Block, shown below, with public spaces in conjunction with new development.

The Rookery Block is ideally located for a major public space incorporating both indoor and outdoor space. The graphic illustrates a concept that includes a high-ceiling public market space at grade, parking levels above, accessed off Stevens Street, and a tower for residential and/or office. An alternative access on Riverside would provide ingress/egress from a two-way arterial and preserve windows, exits, and ground-level activation on the Fernwell Building’s south facade. Plaza space is shown along Howard and Sprague in conjunction with the highly active street level, ideally with operable doors opening to the public market in good weather. Plaza space on the south east corner retains a view of the historic Fernwell Building on the northeast corner and avoids blocking windows of the building.

FIGURE 27 Visualization of potential development on the Rookery Block with public spaces integrated into the site and structure, and active uses at ground level.
**PS2.7** **ACTION:** Design public spaces for accessibility that meets or exceeds ADA requirements.

All Downtown public spaces should be accessible to people of different abilities. While the City maintains standards for accessibility as part of new public spaces, both DSP and the City should seek to retrofit public spaces that fall short of accessibility requirements, and work with ownership of privately-held public space to improve accessibility.

**PS2.8** **ACTION:** Consider Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) in future public and private development Downtown and implement CPTED principles as a partnership between the City and DSP.

DSP and the City should work to raise awareness of CPTED principles among property owners Downtown and identify and/or help secure public and private funding sources for CPTED improvements that encourage activity and create opportunities for natural surveillance. To address perceptions of safety and security Downtown, the City should consider CPTED assessment for public spaces, and for developments of a certain size.
GOAL: A WELL-ORGANIZED DOWNTOWN

Well-organized Downtowns are the result of the collective efforts of public, private and community organizations to address all the ingredients in a successful Downtown. Successful Downtown management addresses issues such as design, promotions and marketing, business diversity, community events, and organizational development. Spokane is currently well served by the organizations involved in Downtown management and the plan should continue to strengthen management functions to implement the plan. Some of the recommended strategies and actions will require a greater capacity for management such as programming and activation of major public spaces, the cultural trail, and a Downtown public market.

Organizations such as the Downtown Spokane Partnership and the City of Spokane have significant but different roles to play in the management of Downtown. For example, the City is responsible for major capital projects, but DSP has a role in managing and programming public spaces in Downtown through the efforts of the Clean Team and programming staff. There are opportunities to strengthen existing partnerships, create new organizations, and support the organizational development of existing entities to expand the capacity to manage Downtown Spokane.

The Downtown Spokane Partnership recently established the Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG) which is a Community Development Corporation (CDC) that can partner with the City. The DCIG can access public bond market funding for projects that will be publicly owned, such as investment in parking or other public facilities. Other organizations such as a Public Development Authority (PDA) should be considered to implement the Downtown Plan’s objectives to accelerate infill development, expand structured parking to reduce existing surface parking, and manage public spaces.

The City led a parking study for Downtown in 2019, which offers an analysis of the Downtown parking system and recommendations for improving on-street parking management. Implementation of the Downtown Parking Study Plan should remain a priority, and this section is meant to supplement those recommendations and build on that analysis.

The strategies in this section are intended to:

- Effectively manage parking, activation and programming of public space while implementing certain aspects of the Downtown Plan.
- Promote Downtown Spokane through marketing campaigns that attract new businesses, residents, and visitors, and through programs that retain and support existing businesses Downtown.
- Encourage new public/private partnerships and community participation in the activation of public spaces in a way that works for Downtown residents.
Strategy: Cultivate leadership, strengthen community partnerships, and expand organizational capacity for Downtown management

The Downtown Plan is ambitious, and its goals cannot be achieved without strong leadership and partnerships. Everyone has a stake and role in Downtown, but leadership and partnerships play a unique role in the success of Downtowns. This Plan can only be successful as the related plans that reinforce it, and leaders will continue to rely on their partners region-wide for implementation, such as continued support of the regional model in the Continuum of Care Board’s strategic plan to end homelessness. Fortunately, the City and community already have a strong commitment to Downtown as demonstrated by many successful projects and achievements in Downtown. Further efforts to cultivate leadership will help with coordination of Downtown projects, communications, public engagement, and ensuring Downtown initiatives are kept at the forefront.

**W01.1 ACTION:** Develop the organizational capacity and partnerships to actively manage and program public spaces.

Programming public spaces requires a commitment of resources that is often beyond the City’s capacity. Organizations such as DSP can often lead such efforts in partnership with the City and private entities. A programming and activation plan should be developed for key public spaces outlined in this Plan to be implemented by DSP with the support from other entities. The plan should address programming, amenities, staffing, a budget that anticipates public investment as well as private/corporate giving, cost-sharing, and operations and maintenance.

**W01.2 ACTION:** Provide adequate staffing resources and establish an interdepartmental team to lead coordination and implementation of the Downtown Plan and future planning efforts.

Implementing the Downtown Plan will require a high level of leadership, coordination, and continued public outreach. The City should provide adequate staffing and consider designating an interdepartmental team to coordinate implementation of the plan. This action would give renewed focus to Downtown and help launch the plan.

**W01.3 ACTION:** Enhance the role of the new Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG).

Through the DSP a new Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group was recently established. This action includes additional planning to better define the role of the DCIG and other tasks for which only the non-profit organization (rather than the general public) is responsible to oversee and fund, including developing a logo and branding, to start to build an identity. The DCIG could play a role in implementing several of the strategies and actions in this Plan.

**W01.4 ACTION:** Explore the feasibility of establishing a Transportation Management Association (TMA).

Establishing a TMA requires extensive partnerships between the City and downtown employers, organizations, and transit agencies and a strong fiscal strategy. The Downtown Parking Study recommended exploring the feasibility of establishing a TMA in partnership with major employers and organizations in Downtown. The City and partner agencies should explore the support and feasibility of establishing a TMA in Downtown to support the plans goals for improved mobility options and transit access.
**W02**  **STRATEGY:** Leverage parking management to improve Downtown and enhance the Paid Parking Zone

The City has a Paid Parking Zone for Downtown where all net on-street parking revenue is allocated for investments in Downtown such as streetscape or parking improvements. This strategy would enhance the program through implementing strategies in the Downtown Parking Plan, using additional funds when available to continue streetscape improvements and implement other components of the Downtown Plan.

**W02.1**  **ACTION:** Use the existing Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG) Community Development Corporation, or a Public Development Authority to develop structured parking and manage existing facilities.

Off-street parking is mostly privately owned and managed. The result is a fragmented and inefficient system with a user experience that is often poor. With portions of the Downtown dominated by surface parking lots, the walk to destinations can be uncomfortable and result in a higher demand for well-managed parking where users feel safe and secure. The goal of the PDA or DCIG would be to reduce surface parking Downtown, create new structured parking that is well-managed, safe, adaptable to future reuse, and secure, and actively manage off-street parking under a shared parking system, including parking wayfinding and user-oriented tools that help people find available parking. Structured parking should minimize the impact of parking on the pedestrian realm with ground-floor uses, design of vehicular access, adaptive strategies, and facade treatments that make for a more engaging, pedestrian-oriented structure while preserving the capacity to convert such a facility to a higher and better use for the Downtown in the future.

The ParkBOI program operated by Boise’s CCDC, has expanded the availability of parking in garages Downtown, and with effective management and communications makes parking Downtown easier to find.
**WO2.2** **ACTION:** Work with the property owners to proactively address the future of the Parkade building and site.

The Parkade is an icon in Downtown and provides a significant amount of off-street structured parking with over 900 parking stalls that serve Downtown employees, customer, and visitors. The structure, at over 50 years old, is eligible for historic designation locally and through the National Register of Historic Places. The structure is in nearing the end of its functional life and without major renovations and structural improvements it will not be functional. The owners, the City, the DSP, and other partners should pursue the renovation of the Parkade as a cultural and architectural icon. Options for preservation may include preserving the existing parking, adaptive reuse or potentially redevelopment that would likely include a parking component.

**WO2.3** **ACTION:** Develop and maintain parking revenue scenarios for on-street parking to effectively implement parking demand management and new technologies in the Paid Parking Zone.

The Downtown Parking Study recommended strategies to adjust rates for on-street parking to improvement management, diversify parking revenue, invest in new technology, and enhance net meter revenue to support parking, public space, and mobility improvements in Downtown. Rates should be adjusted based on managing demand and aligning with off-street rates while allowing for investments in the parking system to improve the user experience in Downtown.

**WO2.4** **ACTION:** Leverage City investments in parking technology to facilitate a dynamic and flexible off-street shared parking program.

The City of Spokane does not currently have a major role in owning or managing public off-street parking in Downtown. The majority of off-street parking is privately owned and maintained resulting in a fragmented system and poor user experience at some facilities. The City is planning technology improvements for the on-street system that should be accessible to off-street parking facility owners and operators to create a unified shared parking program. The City and DSP should also consider development of a shared parking brand for Downtown that can be used for communications, marketing, and wayfinding to improve awareness and access to off-street parking.
**W03 STRATEGY:** Develop new promotions and marketing campaign to attract downtown businesses

The Spokane region has a strong marketing campaign for tourism that includes Downtown but is focused more broadly. Downtown would benefit from a new marketing and promotions plan to attract businesses and employers to Downtown by highlighting the many amenities, easy access, parking options, proximity to Riverfront Park, and plans for Downtown.

**W03.1 ACTION:** Develop a Downtown specific promotions and marketing campaign led by DSP, in partnership with other organizations that have a presence Downtown.

The DSP should lead a collaborative effort to promote Downtown to businesses and major employers both in the region and around the country by highlighting the many assets and opportunities in Downtown. Downtown Spokane enjoys relative affordability, amazing access to the outdoors, a strong sports, arts, and culture scene, historic architecture, and many great surrounding neighborhoods. This should include cooperative marketing with Greater Spokane Incorporated (GSI), the City, Visit Spokane, the Downtown Spokane Partnership (DSP) and Business Improvement District (BID), Health Sciences Campus, and Univ. District that connects with regional branding efforts.

Calgary, AB has an active campaign to attract employers and talent to the City.
**W03.2 ACTION:** Enhance funding for Downtown programming, wayfinding and promotions through partnerships.

A source of funding for programming, wayfinding, and promotions is currently a challenge in Downtown. The City, DSP, and other partners should pursue additional funding sources such as net parking meter revenue, grants, private sector partnerships, and revenue from events to support these efforts in the future.

**W03.3 ACTION:** Connect sponsors with organizations that host events Downtown.

DSP is uniquely positioned to connect local businesses and organizations who may be interested in hosting events Downtown as well as supporting those events directly. Additional festivals and community celebrations may be possible through better funding and addressing the needs of public safety at events. Organizations that host events may need support resuming large-scale public gatherings Downtown through the COVID-19 economic recovery and beyond.

The annual Bloomsday run through Downtown and nearby neighborhoods in May.

The Inland Northwest Car Club Council’s annual Downtown Car Show held in Spokane every July.
OPPORTUNITY SITES

The strategies and actions in the previous sections reference various opportunity sites in Downtown Spokane. The 12 opportunity sites designated in the plan have retained some sites from the past plan which may still serve as long term goals, and new sites that are vacant, underutilized, or in use as surface parking. Each of these sites has the potential to serve the community in different ways - as public space, mixed use development that integrates public uses and benefits, and affordable space for local businesses and organizations. These sites are shown in the map below and summarized in the following pages.
1 **East End Public Space:** Downtown's East End has a number of active uses along W Main Ave that could benefit from and help activate a public space. A substantial public space with adjacent active uses should be a priority, as any plans for new development or redevelopment move forward in the four blocks to the north and south of Main Ave between Bernard and Division Streets.

2 **Intermodal Center:** The Intermodal Center is an opportunity for the City to help create and preserve workspace that can act as an incubator for small businesses or organizations that may not be able to afford their own space Downtown. Unused spaces in the Intermodal Center could be converted to co-working or individual business spaces, for organizations that rely less on foot traffic in partnership with organizations that help fledging businesses in and around Downtown like Terrain and DSP.

3,4 **Major Downtown Sites A + B:** These two surface parking lots with mixed ownership are in an ideal location for infill development that could help energize the edge along Riverfront Park and two central blocks of Main Avenue. The City and DSP can support infill development with an economic and pro forma analysis to determine what development programs could work on the site from a financial perspective, and whether existing development regulations are an impediment to development. The City can also play an active role in redevelopment through a public/private partnership.

5 **Parkade + Parkade Plaza:** The Parkade is an important reservoir of structured parking Downtown and an architectural landmark. DSP should work to preserve the structure with over 900 parking spaces and reinvigorate the Parkade Plaza that connects to the alley running through the center of Downtown. The design of the structure's street level is key to activating both the Parkade Plaza and surrounding streets, and the rehabilitation of the Parkade structure should consider the design of ground level spaces to create more of a relationship with the Plaza and pedestrian space along W Main Ave.

6 **Rookery Block:** The Rookery Block is another centrally located surface parking lot, surrounded by larger office buildings in Spokane's Downtown Core. The City and DSP can support infill of the site with economic and financial analysis and can help effectuated development of this and other priority sites with public/private partnerships. This site is an opportunity to support a more active Downtown with space for ground-floor retail and cultural uses.

7 **Bosch Lot:** The Bosch Lot is Spokane Parks Department property currently in use as a surface parking lot over a combined sewer overflow facility recently constructed by the City and is a site of tribal significance for the Spokane people. This lot is subject to Washington State Department of Conservation restrictions in terms of use, with limited potential for non-residential redevelopment. The City should work in consultation with the Spokane Tribe of Indians to develop a master plan for the site that honors its significance to the Tribe.
**Chancery Building:** The Chancery Building is a historic three-story building on Riverside Ave that was once home to the Roman Catholic Diocese of Spokane and is now vacant. The building is an opportunity for restoration and adaptive reuse of the structure or redevelopment that is respectful of the historic building and its context.

**Greyhound Terminal:** Spokane’s former Greyhound Terminal between Sprague and 1st is an excellent opportunity to link the West End and the Downtown Core with redevelopment that supports nearby cultural uses and can complement the developing district in the West End. The site is large enough to support small public spaces on 1st or Sprague that can meet neighborhood needs for open space within a few blocks of the site, and can help connect the Fox Theater and venues in the core of Downtown to the West End. Like the Parkade, this site is eligible for local and national register listing and could take advantage of tax incentives if rehabilitated or as an adaptive reuse.

**Steam Plant Parking Lot:** The existing surface parking lot fronting Railroad Alley Avenue between Post and Lincoln Streets lies near hotels and entertainment venues on the north side of the railroad, an ideal location for correlated and supporting development including mixed office, retail, and/or residential development and privately or publicly owned public space. This half block adjoins the two railroad undercrossings at Post and Lincoln, offering a critical pedestrian connection to the Downtown Core from the neighborhoods to the south and restaurants and other attractions in the South Side.

**Steam Plant Substation:** Avista Utilities intends to relocate its existing substation south of the railroad, opening up the lot directly east of Steam Plant Square for potential development fronting S Post St and Steam Plant Alley. This is an opportunity to enliven the Post St frontage and complement anchoring nearby restaurants and entertainment uses.

**New Avista Substation:** Downtown’s South Side will continue to grow and see both opportunity and demand for active public spaces and/or cultural spaces to be integrated with new development and investment. The planned substation at the northeast corner of W Third Ave and S Post street could integrate public space, cultural space or other amenities as part of development. These placemaking elements can help bring enliven this part the South Side near an area with more intact Downtown buildings with some active dining retail and service uses.
IMPLEMENTATION + ACTION PLAN

Based on the goals and vision in the plan, and the value of projects in the past ten years (outside of Riverfront Park), the following 15 priority actions will be possible within the next ten years.

Downtown based on the cost of implementation:
$ = up to $50k, $$ = $50k to $500k, $$$ = Greater than $500k

FUNDING SOURCES

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP): The City’s TIP is the primary mechanism for prioritizing transportation projects for funding. Projects may be funded by a combination of local and outside funding sources, but all major transportation capital projects must be listed on the TIP to be in the queue for funding. As the region’s Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the Spokane Regional Transportation Council receives and administers federal transportation funds through its Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Cities and public agencies may apply to have their projects be included in the TIP or other grants as federal funds are available.

Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG): The newly formed DCIG has access to the public bond market to fund projects that include a public component. The DCIG is focused on economic related activities in Downtown such as real estate development and parking.

Washington Transportation Improvement Board (TIB) Complete Streets Program (CS): The TIB has a complete streets program to fund local complete streets projects including planning, design, and construction. To be eligible cities must have an adopted complete streets ordinance. Program funding was suspended in 2020 due to COVID-19 but may be reauthorized again in 2021.

Business Improvement District (BID): The BID is managed by the Downtown Spokane Partnerships and provides funding through an additional property tax on Downtown properties to fund downtown management activities.

Community Economic Revitalization Board (CERB): The CERB provides funding for economic development related projects including planning studies and investments in infrastructure to support job creation.
Capital Improvement Program (CIP): The City's CIP can support a wide range of potential capital projects such as land acquisition, parks and open space improvements, lighting improvements, and others. The general CIP has less constraints on the types of projects that can be funded compared to utility enterprise funds that are restricted to utility projects.

Parking and Business Improvement Area (PBIA): The PBIA receives funding from on-street parking revenue consisting of approximately $250k annually that could be used to support implementation of the Downtown Plan.

Parking Revenue (PR): City parking revenue, primarily for on-street facilities, could be used to fund downtown improvements and other planning studies such as exploration of a Transportation Management Association (TMA) as was recommended in the Downtown Parking Study. Approximately $250k is currently provided annually to the Parking and Business Improvement Area.

STGB Set Aside (formerly TAP) (STGB): The STP Set Aside program uses federal funds to provide funding for on-off-road facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists, traffic calming measures, and projects that comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Funds are administered through the Spokane Regional Transportation Council (SRTC).

Washington Public Works Trust Fund (PWT): The public works trust fund provides low interest loans to cities and public agencies for capital projects. The funding is subject to authorization by the legislature and therefore funding levels are subject to changes over time.

Councilmanic Bond (CB): Councilmanic bonds utilize existing revenues, typically in the general fund, to finance major investments such as capital projects without requiring an increasing in local taxes.

Project Funding (PF): The City's project funds have the ability, depending on available resources, to fund a wide array of downtown planning activities including staff time, planning projects, design, and public outreach.

Utility Funds (UF): City of Spokane utility funds can support the utility portions of major capital projects such as utilities associated with a major street reconstruction.

Transportation Improvement Board (TIB) Urban Programs (TIB-UP): The WA TIB provides grants through it's Urban Program including urban arterials, sidewalk programs, arterial preservation program, and Relight Washington focused on energy efficient lighting.

ArtPlace (AP): Artplace is a national non-profit committed to supporting arts, culture, and creative placemaking. ArtPlace provides targeted grant programs on specific topics and while no grant programs appear active at this time future funding could provide an opportunity for the City of Spokane.

WA Department of Commerce Grants (DC): The WA Department of Commerce provides grants periodically on a range of topics such as the recent grant program for housing action plans to increase the availability of housing in the state. The City of Spokane should monitor future grant opportunities to support implementation of the Downtown Plan.
PRIORITY ACTIONS

**CW1.1** **ACTION:** Transform low traffic streets that are oversized for projected traffic by converting vehicle travel lanes to other uses in target locations such as high-quality bike facilities, expanded public spaces, and on-street parking.

**AGENCIES:** City, Spokane Transit Authority

**FUNDING SOURCES:** CS, AP, STGP, PF

**CW1.2** **ACTION:** Develop a transportation plan specific to Downtown that considers multiple modes and addresses facility designs, locations, priorities, and funding.

**AGENCIES:** City, Spokane Transit Authority

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PF, TIP

**CW1.3** **ACTION:** Make sidewalks active and vibrant places through continued efforts to streamline design requirements, and developing new pilot projects in partnership with local businesses downtown.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PF, TIP, BID

**CW1.4** **ACTION:** Protect use of alleys for service access and improve certain alleys as pedestrian spaces, where improvements add to connections and active spaces Downtown.

**AGENCIES:** City

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PF

**CW3.4** **ACTION:** Actively pursue redevelopment of surface lots.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** CERB, PF, PBIA, DCIG

**CW4.2** **ACTION:** Improve pedestrian experience and safety at undercrossings beneath the rail viaduct and I-90 with targeted interventions.

**AGENCIES:** City

**FUNDING SOURCES:** TIP, AP, PBIA, CS

**ACH2.1** **ACTION:** Improve and activate the streetscape and public realm to support cultural venues.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PR, PF, PBIA, BID

**ACH3.1** **ACTION:** Identify sites Downtown for infill development that can help foster small businesses, local start-ups, and arts and culture uses and organizations.

**AGENCIES:** City, Spokane Arts, Terrain

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PF

**LWP1.1** **ACTION:** Develop a thorough understanding of on-site conditions and update market demand studies around opportunity sites.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** CERB, GF, BID

**ACTION:** Create an integrated network of small parks and public spaces outside of Riverfront Park.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** CIP, GF, PBIA, PR, BID

**LWP3.4** **ACTION:** Pursue redevelopment of the existing surface parking lots in the DTC-100 Zone along Spokane Falls Boulevard.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PF, CERB, BID

**LWP4.3** **ACTION:** Implement Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP, Spokane Transit Authority, Spokane County Commute Trip Reduction Program

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PR, PF, PBIA

**PS1.1** **ACTION:** Strategically program and activate public spaces Downtown.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PBIA, PR, PF, BID

**W01.1** **ACTION:** Develop the organizational capacity and partnerships to actively manage and program public spaces.

**AGENCIES:** City, DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PF, PBIA, BID

**W03.3** **ACTION:** Connect sponsors with organizations that host events Downtown.

**AGENCIES:** DSP

**FUNDING SOURCES:** PBIA, BID
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>COST / FUNDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CW1.1</td>
<td>Transform low traffic streets that are oversized for projected traffic by converting vehicle travel lanes to other uses in target locations such as high-quality bike facilities, expanded public spaces, and on-street parking.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, STA</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.2</td>
<td>Develop a transportation plan specific to Downtown that considers multiple modes and addresses facility designs, locations, priorities, and funding.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, STA</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.3</td>
<td>Make sidewalks active and vibrant places through continued efforts to streamline design requirements, and developing new pilot projects in partnership with local businesses downtown.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>Staff time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.4</td>
<td>Protect use of alleys for service access and improve certain alleys as pedestrian spaces, where improvements add to connections and active spaces Downtown.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.5</td>
<td>Implement streetscape improvements in this Plan on the Howard Street Corridor, with elements of public art and wayfinding, in coordination with infill development.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, Community Organizations, Private Sector</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.6</td>
<td>Build a more complete tree canopy along Downtown corridors and continue work to bury utilities.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>Avista, City</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>AGENCY</td>
<td>COST / FUNDING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.7</td>
<td>Study ramping and access to the Maple Street Bridge and surrounding intersections for potential reconfiguration and design that reconnects Browne’s Addition to Downtown, creates a safe environment for people walking and biking, and continues the planned cultural trail on First Ave west.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, Spokane Transit</td>
<td>Staff Time, Possible consultant support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.8</td>
<td>Reconfigure one-way streets with low traffic volume that do not tie into a Downtown freeway interchange as part of long-term changes to the transportation network Downtown and avoid further conversions to one-way street couplets.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, Spokane Transit</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.9</td>
<td>Integrate bike parking into Downtown streets and parking facilities.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.10</td>
<td>Improve the street lighting system Downtown, filling gaps and replacing aging fixtures with pedestrian-scale and roadway lighting that create a safer nighttime environment Downtown.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>Avista, City</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.11</td>
<td>Enhance the skywalk system’s connections to the street and concentrate skywalks in the Downtown Core, limiting expansion, particularly along important view corridors.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$$ to $$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW1.12</td>
<td>Update development standards for Downtown Complete Street Designations can support and sustain active street edges.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>AGENCY</td>
<td>COST / FUNDING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW2.1</td>
<td>Integrate City Line transit stations and the STA Plaza into plans for street improvements and other active transportation investments Downtown.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, Spokane Transit</td>
<td>$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW2.2</td>
<td>Improve bike connections Downtown with improved facilities connecting to the City Line together with new pedestrian amenities.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, Spokane Transit</td>
<td>$ - Temporary $$$ - Capital Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW3.1</td>
<td>Develop a program to use the edges of surface parking lots for active uses, programming, and events such as food trucks, vendors, and farmers markets.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW3.2</td>
<td>Prohibit new surface parking lots in the Downtown Core, East End and West End.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW3.3</td>
<td>Discourage surface parking lots along the frontage of Type III and Type IV Complete Streets between the building and street throughout the Downtown.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW3.4 (Priority)</td>
<td>Actively pursue the redevelopment of surface parking lots.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW4.1</td>
<td>Develop a wayfinding plan for Downtown with priority locations and signage elements that ties into the County’s Wayfinding Project and elements of the cultural trail.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$ $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW4.2 (Priority)</td>
<td>Improve pedestrian experience and safety at undercrossings beneath the rail viaduct and I-90 with targeted interventions.</td>
<td>Connected and Walkable</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$ $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH1.1</td>
<td>Tell stories about Spokane’s local history and architecture using creative methods.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, Spokane Arts</td>
<td>$ to $$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>AGENCY</td>
<td>COST / FUNDING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH1.2</td>
<td>Preserve historic structures and bridges and incentivize adaptive reuse of older structures that contribute to Downtown's historic character.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH1.3</td>
<td>Develop design guidelines for downtown that help create a good fit between new development and Downtown's historic structures.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time, potential consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH1.4</td>
<td>Pursue the idea of a cultural trail that links signature sites and activates streets with the intent of drawing visitors and investment.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, Spokane Arts</td>
<td>$$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH2.1</td>
<td>Improve and activate the streetscape and public realm to support cultural venues.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, DSP, Spokane Arts</td>
<td>$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH2.2</td>
<td>Work with artists and local property owners to create and maintain temporary art installation and facilitate activation of cultural spaces to energize Downtown and support artists.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, DSP, Spokane Arts</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH2.3</td>
<td>Explore incentives for preserving cultural space and creating new space for arts and cultural uses Downtown.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, Spokane Arts</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH2.4</td>
<td>Evaluate how the City’s development regulations and permitting process can support cultural space and public art.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH2.5</td>
<td>Identify and reduce barriers for cultural events Downtown.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, Spokane Arts</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH3.1</td>
<td>Identify sites Downtown for infill development that can help foster small businesses, local start-ups, and arts and culture uses and organizations.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, Spokane Arts, Terrain</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>AGENCY</td>
<td>COST / FUNDING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACH3.2</td>
<td>Identify underutilized properties that could serve as affordable workspaces for organizations that are less reliant on foot traffic.</td>
<td>Thriving Arts, Culture, and Historic Places</td>
<td>City, Spokane Arts, Terrain</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP1.1</td>
<td>Develop a thorough understanding of on-site conditions and update market demand studies around opportunity sites.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>$ to $$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP1.2</td>
<td>Develop public/private partnerships, potentially with the assistance of a public development authority, to acquire and assemble property to support redevelopment Downtown.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP1.3</td>
<td>Build site-based partnerships with affordable housing developers and transitional housing providers into public projects and infill development and engage these organizations in economic analyses of how an affordable housing program can be implemented in Spokane's real estate and development market.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP1.4</td>
<td>Explore ideas to strengthen incentives for multi-family development and rehabilitation of historic structures Downtown.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP2.1</td>
<td>Develop ground floor standards for residential, retail, office, live/work, and civic uses.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP2.2</td>
<td>Create an integrated network of small parks and public spaces outside of Riverfront Park.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>$$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP2.3</td>
<td>Support existing businesses Downtown and build upon emerging activity centers with complementary retail and active uses.</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>$ to $$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP3.1</td>
<td>Maintain and apply zoning designations based on criteria that address the surrounding context and desired land uses with a focus on street level uses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP3.2</td>
<td>Ensure signage standards are consistent with land use context and corridor character.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP3.3</td>
<td>Ensure redevelopment projects contribute to streetscapes and good urban design.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP3.4 (Priority)</td>
<td>Pursue redevelopment of the existing surface parking lots in the DTC-100 Zone along Spokane Falls Boulevard.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP4.1</td>
<td>Integrate new development Downtown with transit facilities and promote transit supportive development around high-capacity transit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP4.2</td>
<td>Reduce regulatory barriers to shared parking including expanding off-site parking shed limits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP4.3 (Priority)</td>
<td>Implement Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP5.1</td>
<td>Integrate Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) into public street and building projects and encourage green stormwater strategies to retain and treat runoff on-site as part of private development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP5.2</td>
<td>Promote energy efficiency in new construction and rehabilitation of historic buildings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>COST / FUNDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>Staff Time, Potential Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City, Spokane Transit, DSP, Spokane County Commute Trip Reduction program</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>$$ to $$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP5.3</td>
<td>Mitigate the urban heat island effect Downtown in order to reduce</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vulnerability to extreme heat events.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWP5.4</td>
<td>Support a healthy local food system, with readily available fresh</td>
<td>A Great Place to Live, Work, and Play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>food and locally produced food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1.1</td>
<td>(Priority) Strategically program and activate public spaces Downtown.</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.1</td>
<td>Create protections to retain public spaces, ensure existing and new</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>public spaces are well used and maintained, and develop a specific</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>set of criteria to evaluate alley vacation or acquisition based on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>public benefit and access.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.2</td>
<td>Revitalize Parkade Plaza as the active place it was in a previous</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>era, with its distinctive style of architecture and surrounding active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.3</td>
<td>Rename and improve the alley between W Main Ave and W Riverside Ave</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to transform a neglected space into a distinctive pedestrian space.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This alley renovation can be a model for other alleys Downtown.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.4</td>
<td>With the redesign of Wall Street for transit use, retain the</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pedestrian scale of the street and focus on bringing active uses to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the street level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.5</td>
<td>Pursue a public plaza space in the East End of Downtown.</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>GOAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.6</td>
<td>Pursue a public/private partnership to develop sites such as the Rookery Block with public spaces in conjunction with new development.</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.7</td>
<td>Design public spaces for accessibility that meets or exceeds ADA requirements.</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2.8</td>
<td>Consider Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) in future public and private development Downtown and implement CPTED principles as a partnership between the City and DSP.</td>
<td>Welcome and Engaging Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W01.1 (Priority)</td>
<td>Develop the organizational capacity and partnerships to actively manage and program public spaces.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W01.2</td>
<td>Provide adequate staffing resources and establish an interdepartmental team to lead coordination and implementation of the Downtown Plan and future planning efforts.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W01.3</td>
<td>Enhance the role of the new Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG).</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W01.4</td>
<td>Explore the feasibility of establishing a Transportation Management Association (TMA).</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACTION PLAN**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>COST / FUNDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W02.1</td>
<td>Use the existing Downtown Spokane Community Investment Group (DCIG) Community Development Corporation, or a Public Development Authority to develop structured parking and manage existing facilities.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>Downtown Spokane Partnership</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W02.2</td>
<td>Work with the property owners to proactively address the future of the Parkade building and site.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>Staff time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W02.3</td>
<td>Develop and maintain parking revenue scenarios for on-street parking to effectively implement parking demand management and new technologies in the Paid Parking Zone.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Staff time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W02.4</td>
<td>Leverage City investments in parking technology to facilitate a dynamic and flexible off-street shared parking program.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>City, DSP</td>
<td>Staff Time, $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W03.1</td>
<td>Develop a Downtown specific promotions and marketing campaign led by DSP, in partnership with other organizations that have a presence Downtown.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>$ to $$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W03.2</td>
<td>Enhance funding for Downtown programming, wayfinding and promotions through partnerships.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W03.3</td>
<td>Connect sponsors with organizations that host events Downtown.</td>
<td>A Well-Organized Downtown for All</td>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>Staff Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Priority)
MEASURES OF SUCCESS

The success of the Downtown Plan depends on the ability to implement the plan, track progress, and adapt as needed to fulfill the goals of the plan. The measures of success defined below relate to the plan goals and are indicators of how effectively the plan is being implemented. These indicators reflect how strategies such as activating and expanding public space, adding low-street bike facilities, new street trees, safe street lighting, and a thriving economy are working.

The measures of success should be tracked and included in an annual check-in on plan progress that is communicated to the public. Other communication approaches such as the use of social media, a video, a survey, or other method should be considered to boost awareness of the plan and progress in Downtown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>SUMMARY</th>
<th>RELATED STRATEGIES</th>
<th>HOW IT’S MEASURED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of New Low- and Moderate Income (80% AMI) Affordable Housing Units</td>
<td>New rental and for-sale affordable housing developed within the Downtown boundary.</td>
<td>LWP1</td>
<td># of new units available for occupancy annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Residential Units</td>
<td>New residential units within the Downtown boundary.</td>
<td>LWP1</td>
<td># of new units available for occupancy annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Vacancy Rate</td>
<td>Office vacancies have been high in recent years and this would track progress towards decreasing office vacancy and tracking trends related to impacts from COVID.</td>
<td>LWP3, W03</td>
<td>% of vacant offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Commercial Space</td>
<td>Commercial space for office, retail, industrial and other similar uses, excluding civic and public uses.</td>
<td>LWP3, W03</td>
<td>Gross SF of new commercial space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Vacancy Rate</td>
<td>The amount of vacant retail square footage Downtown can help provide an understanding how retail have weathered the COVID crisis, and how the retail market is responding to pre-existing trends like growth in online retail, and pressure from rising rents.</td>
<td>LWP3, W03</td>
<td>% of vacant retail square footage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEASURE</td>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>RELATED STRATEGIES</td>
<td>HOW IT’S MEASURED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acres of Surface Parking Redeveloped</td>
<td>The Downtown plan aims to promote the redevelopment of surface parking lots and this metric would track progress on that goal.</td>
<td>CW3</td>
<td>Acres of surface parking redeveloped into other uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Downtown Public Art Projects</td>
<td>Public art projects may include murals, sculptures, temporary installations, lighting, projection, and other media in the public realm. A simple count of new public art projects can help track progress in integrating these projects into public spaces.</td>
<td>ACH1-3</td>
<td>Number of new public art projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles of New Low-Stress Bike Facilities</td>
<td>Low stress bike facilities include some measure of protection such as buffers, markers, parked vehicles, planters, and off-street trails. These facilities should be suitable for most adults classified as “interested but concerned” or for all ages and abilities, targeting a level of traffic stress of 1-2. Level of traffic stress criteria can be found here: <a href="http://www.northeastern.edu/peterfurth/research/level-of-traffic-stress/">http://www.northeastern.edu/peterfurth/research/level-of-traffic-stress/</a></td>
<td>CW1, CW2</td>
<td>Miles (including both side of the street) of new protected or other high-quality bike facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Buildings Renovated or Rehabilitated</td>
<td>This includes buildings that have a current use or were previously vacant. A minimum investment of 25% of the assessed value of the structure, excluding land, prior to rehabilitation will qualify.</td>
<td>ACH1</td>
<td>Number of buildings listed or eligible for listing on the local or national register that have been substantially renovated or rehabilitated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Historic Tax Credit</td>
<td>20% dollar for dollar credit back to the investor in a historic project within the downtown.</td>
<td>ACH1</td>
<td>Dollars credited to investors with historic projects in Downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acres of Public Space Activated</td>
<td>The plan calls for the creation of an ongoing programming and activation effort in public spaces Downtown. To qualify spaces must be activated a minimum of 150 days per year.</td>
<td>PS1-2</td>
<td>Acres of public space that has been active on a regular basis. Excludes on-time or short-term events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEASURE</td>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>RELATED STRATEGIES</td>
<td>HOW IT'S MEASURED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Trees Planted</td>
<td>Due to sidewalk vaults and other challenges some areas of Downtown do not have street trees. This metric will track the progress on creating a more complete network of street trees.</td>
<td>CW1, LWP5</td>
<td>Number of new street trees, excluding those that have been replaced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel/Motel Tax Receipts in Downtown</td>
<td>Hotel and Motel tax receipts track stays in Downtown and funds may be used to support economic development and tourism activities including promotions.</td>
<td>LWP3, WO3</td>
<td>Gross Hotel/Motel Tax Receipts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Parklets</td>
<td>Some areas of Downtown lack public space and the need for outdoor space has increased due to COVID. This metric will track the total number of new parklets and parklets would also qualify as new public space under that metric.</td>
<td>PS1-2</td>
<td>Number of new parklets installed Downtown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Amount of Capital Investments in Downtown</td>
<td>Capital investments are a common metric to track public investment in Downtown. Projects such as the Riverfront Park renovation, the University District Gateway Bridge, and the upcoming Riverside Improvements would be included.</td>
<td>CW1-2, LWP2, PS1, WO2</td>
<td>Total gross spending on capital projects in the planning area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Events</td>
<td>Spokane has many successful Downtown events such as Hoopfest, the Lilac Festival, and many others. This metric will track the number of events held annually in Downtown.</td>
<td>WO1, LWP2, PS1-2</td>
<td>Total number of Downtown events on an annual basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happiness Factor</td>
<td>This qualitative metric addresses how people feel in public space in Downtown and the overall urban experience. This metric builds off the content discussed in the book Happy City.</td>
<td>ACH1-2, PS2</td>
<td>Annual intercept survey of people in Downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acres of New Public Space</td>
<td>Some areas of Downtown are lacking in smaller scale public spaces like, plazas, parklets, pocket parks, and other spaces. This metric will track progress toward expanding public space, including privately owned public space, and new pedestrian spaces in the right of way.</td>
<td>PS1</td>
<td>Total gross acres of new public space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Plan**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>SUMMARY</th>
<th>RELATED STRATEGIES</th>
<th>HOW IT'S MEASURED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parking and Business Improvement Area (PBIA) On-Street Parking Net Revenue</td>
<td>The PBIA receives net meter revenue for on-street parking. Net meter revenues above the costs to maintain and operate the parking system. Funds may be used to improve Downtown related to parking and mobility and this metric will track trends in revenue on an annual basis.</td>
<td>WO2</td>
<td>Net revenues collected by the PBIA from on-street parking meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acres of Green Stormwater Infrastructure</td>
<td>Opportunities for green stormwater infrastructure may be limited in Downtown but opportunities could arise for integration with new development, as part of new infrastructure projects, or incorporated into new public spaces.</td>
<td>LWP5</td>
<td>Total acres of new green stormwater infrastructure such as rain gardens and similar elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Off-Street Shared Parking Supply</td>
<td>Downtown would benefit from a shared parking program with common branding, technology, and management as called for the Downtown Parking Study. This metric will track the number of public parking stalls that participate in the program once it’s launched.</td>
<td>WO2, LWP4</td>
<td>New public parking stalls on an annual basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Crime Statistics</td>
<td>Crime statistics are already tracked by the Spokane Police Department for Downtown and this would incorporate the quarterly or annual data into the Downtown Plan Annual Check-In.</td>
<td>LWP1, LWP2, WO2, WO1</td>
<td>Number and types of crimes on an annual basis to monitor trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New or Improved Street Lights</td>
<td>Some areas of Downtown lack adequate lighting and need either new or replaced streetlights using modern energy efficient fixtures. This metric will track the number of new or replaced streetlights in Downtown by location.</td>
<td>LWP2, CW2</td>
<td>New or replaced streetlights in Downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEASURE</td>
<td>SUMMARY</td>
<td>RELATED STRATEGIES</td>
<td>HOW IT'S MEASURED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode Share for Downtown Commuters</strong></td>
<td>Spokane’s transportation agencies have worked to shift mode share for commuters in the City and region away from single-occupancy vehicles. The share of people commuting Downtown by alternative modes is an indicator of how successful transit, walking and biking investments have been helping commuters consider other modes of travel.</td>
<td>LWP3, LWP5</td>
<td>Self-administered Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Multicultural Events</strong></td>
<td>Multicultural events would be those organized by groups predominantly run by people of color, or events where people from a particular ethnic group or culture share through the arts, food, storytelling or other means. Sharing through events should strengthen the various communities themselves, and the broader Spokane community.</td>
<td>ACH2, WO3</td>
<td>Number of events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admission Cost and Number of Free and Low-Cost Events</strong></td>
<td>Everyone should be able to participate in arts and cultural events regardless of income. Low-cost events could include those for $10 and under per family.</td>
<td>ACH3, LWP1, WO3</td>
<td>Number of free events, number of low cost events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Businesses Owned by People of Color Located Downtown</strong></td>
<td>New businesses include retail and other businesses with office space Downtown. A healthy Downtown will include a diverse set of business owners, and encourage that diverse ownership with support as needed.</td>
<td>LWP1, WO3</td>
<td>Number of new businesses owned by people of color</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXHIBIT 3 – SEPA DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE
NONPROJECT DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

(FILE NO(S): N/A

PROONENT: City of Spokane

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: Amendment of the Comprehensive Plan through repealing and replacing the 2008 Downtown Plan: Fast Forward Spokane: Downtown Plan Update. The new Spokane Downtown Plan is a component of the existing Comprehensive Plan when adopted by reference. The Spokane Downtown Plan includes a vision for future development and identifies five overarching goal categories, which contain specific policies and actions. The policies and actions set by the new subarea plan are consistent with the direction of the Comprehensive Plan.

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY: The new Spokane Downtown Plan document provides policy guidance for the City of Spokane 2021 Downtown Planning Area (a subarea of the Comprehensive Plan) and is effective area-wide. The new area incorporates the geographic area of both the 2008 Downtown Plan and the 2020 South University District Subarea Plan: Boone Ave to the north; Interstate 90 to the south; BNSF Railway/Maple St/Cedar St/Adams St to the west; parcels fronting Ruby St, a parcel fronting North River Dr, the Spokane River, a line extended from Scott St, BNSF Railway, and Interstate 90 Exit 282 (Hamilton St interchange) westbound on-ramp to the east. Map attached to the environmental checklist and available online at

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

[ ] There is no comment period for this DNS.

[ ] This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.

[x] This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for at least 14 days from the date of issuance (below). Comments must be submitted no later than May 12, 2021 at 12:00 p.m. if they are intended to alter the DNS.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Responsible Official: Louis Meuler

Position/Title: Acting Director, Planning & Economic Development Services Phone: (509) 625-6500

Address: 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, WA 99201-3329

Date Issued: April 26, 2021 Signature: Louis Meuler

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION, after it becomes final, may be made to the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner, 808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201. The appeal deadline is fourteen (14) calendar days after the signing of the DNS. This appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official, make specific factual objections and be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
DATE:        April 27th, 2021
TO:         Nathan Gwinn, Assistant Planner
FROM:      Bobby Halbig, Street Department
SUBJECT:    PLAN REVIEW

PROJECT #:   Spokane Downtown Plan

We have reviewed the document and have the following comments.

1. I see a lack of commitment to pedestrian safety. “Between the curbs” should be reserved to wheeled-traffic only. Rolling curbs, or the lack there of, do not provide a clear separation of spaces. Parklets, sidewalk cafes, and all pedestrian realms should be up on the sidewalk, protected by a curb. (ME)

2. Like curbs, make islands and bicycle buffer zones hard surfaces. Painted islands and lane separation do not provide adequate safety for pedestrians and bicyclists and adds to perpetual striping maintenance. Believing paint will garner compliance is sophomoric. Again, just putting paint down shows a lack of commitment to safety. (VM)

3. The Executive Summary mentions the role of this plan is not to redo existing plans but look for areas of agreement and ways that the multiple targeted efforts can work together in better ways. One such opportunity the plan failed to explore, perhaps because there are no existing plans, is collaboration to update existing EXPO era street/tree lighting/signals while resolving issues with existing structural sidewalk. Improvements in these areas will definitely enhance the pedestrian experience via functional and aesthetic upgrades in pedestrian/street/tree lighting while removing antiquated infrastructure that could pose a structural or electrical hazard to both those enjoying the downtown environment.

Val Melvin, P.E.
Gerald Okihara, P.E.
Marcus Eveland
## EXHIBIT 5 – PUBLIC COMMENTS

### Substantive Public Comments Received – updated 5/5/2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date of Comment</th>
<th>Name/Event</th>
<th>Comment Summary</th>
<th>Comment Start Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10/22/2019</td>
<td>Premier 1031/Public Meeting #1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/8/2020</td>
<td>Forman, Bill/Peaceful Valley Neighborhood Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1/27/2021</td>
<td>Winger, Clifford/Plan Commission Public Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/1/2021</td>
<td>Fanning, Frances</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3/3/2021</td>
<td>Bielitzki, Jennifer</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3/3/2021</td>
<td>Sidles, Michelle</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3/3/2021</td>
<td>Dobyns, David</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3/4/2021</td>
<td>Loveland, Cheryl</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3/6/2021</td>
<td>Wilson, Andrew</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3/7/2021</td>
<td>Christopher, Barbara</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3/8/2021</td>
<td>Jennings, Erin</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3/8/2021</td>
<td>Mainella, Patricia</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3/8/2021</td>
<td>Kirkland, Jim</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3/9/2021</td>
<td>Hamam, Tony</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*list continues on next page*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date of Comment</th>
<th>Name/Event</th>
<th>Comment Summary</th>
<th>Comment Start Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3/9/2021</td>
<td>Winniford, MaryAnne</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3/10/2021</td>
<td>Bielitzki, Jennifer</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3/11/2021</td>
<td>Smith, Tim</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3/11/2021</td>
<td>Gausta, John</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3/12/2021</td>
<td>Purcell, Daniel</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3/12/2021</td>
<td>Alley, Peggy</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3/12/2021</td>
<td>Livingstone, Patti</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3/14/2021</td>
<td>Kerr, Bryce</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3/15/2021</td>
<td>Carroll, Linda</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Hume, Dwight</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Bingle, Jonathan</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Hallenberg, Pia</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Thoma, Chris</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Lee, Gary</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3/16/2021</td>
<td>Olson, Kenneth</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3/17/2021</td>
<td>Winger, Cliff</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3/17/2021</td>
<td>Fleck, Brian</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3/17/2021</td>
<td>Krzyzanek, Tom</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3/17/2021</td>
<td>Alexander, Kathryn</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>Willard, Elizabeth</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>Bauman, Chris</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>3/19/2021</td>
<td>Bauman, Chris</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3/21/2021</td>
<td>Lamb, Catherine</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Benson, Andrea</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>3/25/2021</td>
<td>Winger, Cliff</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>4/22/2021</td>
<td>Ellis, Carol</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>4/29/2021</td>
<td>Biel, Linda</td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Date of Comment</td>
<td>Name/Event</td>
<td>Comment Summary</td>
<td>Comment Start Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>4/30/2021</td>
<td>Ellis, Carol</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>5/4/2021</td>
<td>Ellis, Carol</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Growing Downtown:
- Create as many policies as possible to encourage the development of lower priced Condos on 3rd, 4th, 5th Streets and conversion of existing buildings into Condo- not expensive condo. Example: Portland Pearl District: New condos were lower end getting a mass of people moving downtown which is what Spokane wants and needs to truly have a vibrant downtown
- Currently don't have the right product – too high end
- Execute by getting property owners and developers together to see what needs to happen to accomplish this
- Adapt a new code for repurposing buildings to allow for a little more leniency to those trying to restore older buildings and not holding them to the same codes as new construction – it's a turn off to investors.

Parking:
- City government needs to take the lead and building 3-4 more parking lots in various locals downtown-more like a San Francisco where there are large public lots throughout the city
- Make sure the stickers on meters for the passport parking are still on meters clear

Stay focused on an organized downtown:
- 1st St- Retail /Commercial
- 2nd Street banking /Business etc.
- 3rd and 4th Housing and Services

Marketing:
- Continue with Informational handouts on Wineries /Entertainment.
  Gondolas: Add music and make it an informational ride that tells the history of Spokane in an exciting way using a local Ad Agency. Perhaps and interactive element and encourage more exploring of the city after the ride is over.

Maps:
- Number establishments like the Green Bluff Map does, make it easy for locals and visitors to go to multiple establishments.
- Downtown Spokane is very walkable yet people need to feel safe.
- Make sure all the marketing elements have apps.

Security:
Catholic Charities: With the growing population of homeless many of my clients feel that Catholic Charities should start proving security in the region they are developing siting
- Grant funds – available to non-profits
- Many Subsidies
- Non-profit status
Some feel it's a real estate business as opposed to a social community business
Catholic Charities is creating Housing for the homeless which is great- yet there is a piece of the puzzle missing- rehabilitation and security

Spokane Police Department:
- More ongoing police patrols (like New York city did 30 years ago to make the public feel more comfortable)
COMMENT SHEET
Spokane Downtown Plan Update – Draft Vision, Goals, and Strategies
January 8, 2020
For more project info visit:
my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update-2020/

Name: Bill Forman
ADDRESS: 2017 w Clarke Ave
PHONE NUMBER: 907-723-9071
E-MAIL CONTACT: william.forman@yahoo.com

FEEDBACK ON DRAFT VISION:


FEEDBACK ON DRAFT GOALS:


FEEDBACK ON DRAFT STRATEGIES:
Add a trail connection under the Monroe St bridge connecting Red Band park and the new park north of the library to the connected walkable downtown portion

Please feel free to share your questions, comments or concerns with us!

Mail or drop off in person – Attn: Planning Services, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane WA 99201

Phone – call us at #509-625-6893

E-mail – write to us at info@spokanedowntownplan.org

Thank you...We look forward to hearing from you!

Planning & Development Services, City of Spokane
Good morning Clifford,

Thanks for sharing your comments. I will add them to the public record for the file.

Parking and the solutions you mentioned are addressed in the Downtown Plan draft. For example, repurposing a vehicle lane for additional on-street parking (which would include angled parking) is one of the suggested alternative uses in draft Action CW1.1 (Page 43). This supports the strategic intent to make Downtown a viable place to walk once arrived by vehicle or other mode, as well as an enjoyable street environment that will attract additional residents, visitors, and employees.

As part of Action CW3.4, the draft also encourages creation of structured parking as part of redevelopment of surface parking lots (Pages 57-59). An important point of improving transit access and parking management is making commuters and other frequent visitors to Downtown aware of, and developing new, strategies for non-single occupancy vehicle trips, thereby leaving more vehicle parking available for others. This would relate to STA shuttles as well as other opportunities for park-and-ride or ride share along commuting routes into Downtown. See the Strategy LWP4 and associated actions on Pages 81 and 82.

Regarding back-in angle parking, as well as guidance near bicycle facilities, see the City’s design standards Chapter 3: Streets, Alleys, Bikeways, and Sidewalks – 2020 (Page 24):

Utilize back-in angle parking, which requires the driver to back into the space; particularly when placed adjacent to bicycle lanes. This allows drivers to load vehicles from the sidewalk, improves driver-bicyclist visibility as the driver departs the space, and increases safety for the driver as the person can pull out into traffic rather than blindly backing up into traffic.

In thinking about transportation development, and transit’s role, you may be interested in the discussion around adopting the Centers and Corridors alternative over the Central City and Current Patterns alternatives as part of the original Comprehensive Plan. In general, the discussion is captured in Volume 2.

Downtown Plan Update project web page: https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/

Nate

Nathan Gwinn, AICP | Assistant Planner | Planning & Development
509.625.6893 | ngwinn@spokanecity.org | www.spokanecity.org
Hi Nate,

I had some thoughts concerning the Downtown Plan presented today 1/27/21.

I do not want to get into the weeds since so much good work has gone into this plan.

My experience, from living in several cities in our country, is that downtowns need to be “accessible.” Since post WW II, many municipalities built ‘shopping centers’ where parking is free and amenities are walkable inside (or outside) these multi-business facilities. (This focus on the automobile is anathema to downtown businesses, and killed the downtown merchants in Fresno CA when I lived there.)

A fact, in Spokane, is that most citizens do not live in walking distance to/from their homes and Downtown Spokane.

Issues that the Downtown Plan needs to address is getting citizens into Downtown from areas more than walking distance from our Downtown amenities.

Public transit is a viable means of getting people into Downtown. (Some north-south bus routes are on half hour schedules which discourages riders that might visit Downtown.) Bicycles seem good, but going up hill both north and south, limits practical accessibility in/out of Downtown by bicycle (also not as practical in winter weather). These types of transportation are less than optimal after six o’clock in the evening.

Therefore, the personal motor vehicle is the most common transportation mode for citizens inside Spokane City limits, as well as people from outside our City limits who can utilize our Downtown amenities.

Personal vehicles need inexpensive places to park to access Downtown amenities.

Some solutions could be more emphasis on parking at Spokane Arena with the STA shuttle. Another would be reverse/back-in angle parking (see illustration as an idea) on some of the streets (one & two ways) that are designated too “wide.” (Note: bicycle lane)
Business viability suffers without access to inexpensive parking storage of personal vehicles in downtown areas. This fact needs to be addressed in Spokane’s Downtown Plan.

Downtown merchants are also competing with online vendors (also Grubhub etc.) where we do not even have to get into our cars, go through traffic, find parking, feed the meter, etc.

Thank you,
Clifford Winger
Spokane City Plan Commission
509.325.4623
<cwinger@spokanecity.org>
Good afternoon Ms. Fanning,

Thank you for your question and for submitting the comment form. It will be added to the public record for the file.

The Plan Area is the red/pink boundary, which includes areas north of the freeway and the eastern portion of Kendall Yards. All of the Plan activities would take place within the Plan boundary. You and other tenants were notified because you live in this area and may have an interest in these recommendations.

I hope that helps. If you have questions, please give me a call at 625-6893 at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Nathan Gwinn, AICP
Assistant Planner | Planning & Development
509.625.6893 | ngwinn@spokanecity.org | www.spokanecity.org

ADVISORY: Please be advised the City of Spokane is required to comply with the Public Records Act Chapter 42.56 RCW. This act establishes a strong state mandate in favor of disclosure of public records. As such, the information you submit to the City via email, including personal information, may ultimately be subject to disclosure as a public record.

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan
**Name:** Frances Fanning

**Email:** [emeraldff@comcast.net](mailto:emeraldff@comcast.net)

**Message:** We live on corner of Sprague & Jefferson & in 2017 we went thru the terribly harmful noise of gravel trucks every 10 minutes going, it felt like, right thru our apartments. Because of the lack of enough income many of us couldn't move, we felt captured & emotional, one person claimed that they felt helpless & hopeless that they would rather die than live with the horrendous noise.
So the question is how much will our lives be disturbed by Spokane's Downtown Plan?
Looking at the "Downtown Context" received in the mail, & following the red/pink line around Spokane it appears as though "it" will be south of the freeway & west of Kendall Yards. Anyway, if that isn't correct, please let me know so that I can ease some of the tenants concerns.

Thank you, Fran Fanning. TV

**Status:** I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Jennifer Bielitzki

**Email:** jsbielitz@gmail.com

**Message:** Please build the new stadium downtown instead of Joe Albi. People will love it. People vote for tradition so we need LEADERSHIP to get us on a new path that will prove to be great for all of us.

**Status:** I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Michelle Sidles

**Email:** michellesidles@me.com

**Message:** I live on Railroad Alley in the west end of downtown. I saw the plan lists converting Railroad Alley to a pedestrian only corridor. I love this idea. I think creating a space that is safe to walk, bicycle, linger and visit would be a great improvement over how it’s currently being used.

**Status:** I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from **Spokane Downtown Plan**

**Name:** David Dobyns

**Email:** evilpenguinbob@gmail.com

**Message:** Have Mayor Nadine and her Qnon views RESIGN!

Reduce police funding and focus on the homeless population. The police DO NOTHING FOR HUGE SALARIES.

They do tear gas citizens and bean bag round them with no concern for their rights and due process.

Approve the stadiums and more low income housing..

REMOVE MAYOR NADINE and any QNON from SPOKANE

**Status:** I live in Spokane
From: Squarespace
To: info@spokanedowntownplan.org
Subject: Form Submission - Downtown Plan Comment Box
Date: Thursday, March 4, 2021 11:41:27 AM
Importance: Low

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Cheryl Loveland

Email: cheriloveland@gmail.com

Message: First I would like to say I am very impressed at all the innovative thinking that has gone into all these ideas! They are varied and quite open to interpretation which is fantastic! After spending considerable time reviewing the entire plan however I was more than a little dismayed at the lack of time and imagination put into our affordable housing solution package needed for our low income residents who wish to remain in the downtown area! Actually I didn’t see much of a affordable housing package at all! All that talk a few years ago of infill housing, was that all for the outlying areas? That’s not where it is needed! Let’s gets innovative and build something nice downtown!!!!!

Status: I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Andrew Wilson

Email: james092855@gmail.com

Message: We need to keep downtown moving forward. I'm a 60s kid that remembers busy streets and sidewalks. My parents lived downtown, mom worked at JJ Newberry in the 50s,60s.

Status: I live in Spokane
Name: Barbara Christopher
Email: bschrissy@msn.com
Message: We love our falls, opera house, River front park, restaurants, movie theatre, Royal Fireworks Concert, Nordstrom’s downtown. We do not like homeless people, pan handlers, using new library to wash and hang out. There should be limits. We pay high property taxes.
Status: I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Erin Jennings

**Email:** erinjennings1@msn.com

**Message:** My family and I frequent downtown and love it. I don't know that I will be able to make the zoom meeting but wanted to say a few things. We have lived in some of the examples that you list for a vision for our downtown. The main takeaway would be to keep it safe and clean playing up our natural resources that surround it such as the Spokane River and open spaces, with lots to do for a variety of interests.

**Status:** I live in Spokane
Name: Patricia Mainella

Email: pmain44@aol.com

Message: If more people downtown is the goal, why increase parking to $5 per hour? Instead, find alternatives ways to offer parking: park and walk sites; make downtown greener and more pedestrian friendly; finish the bike trails so many parts of the city can get downtown without a car; get rid of the bus terminal...THINK OUTSIDE THE BOX. And use other cities as examples. Spokane has a small downtown that, except in warmer months, has little to offer most of us. Businesses working downtown after COVID may not continue so restaurants, stores, and theaters need alternatives as well. Put the grants and other monies to better use than new parking meters and two-way streets. Your “solutions” appear to be aimed at cars, not people, and collecting revenue, not supporting businesses and offering solutions to residents.

Status: I live in Spokane
Name: Jim Kirkland

Email: Jkirkland04@gmail.com

Message: Why would the City not embrace a careful reduction of one way street system as it stands. Any enhancement to encourage better access to downtown business, retail and housing must be priority one. Housing is critical for the city and with a large percentage of downtown devoted to empty parking lots, policy and planning must encourage development of highrise condos and apartments. Greater density will mean more folks spending time in their downtown neighborhood and discourages rapidly moving traffic to another location. The day of wasteland suburban malls is over. Town center designs that include housing will be the future, along with a vibrant city center village. Thank you.

Status: I visit Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Tony Hamam  
**Email:** tjhamam@gmail.com  
**Message:** Soccer stadium and professional team would be a great addition. The plans look great. Seems to be a win win win for the city, Spokane schools and the local economy.  
**Status:** I live in Spokane
[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: MaryAnne Winniford

Email: mawinniford@gmail.com

Message: Put in a free around-downtown loop bus. This would allow parking to distribute out to the edges and still allow people to move conveniently between the park, the Davenport, the other hotels, and restaurants. Like Seattle's waterfront bus, or Las Vegas strip bus.

Status: I live in Spokane
[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Jennifer Bielitzki

Email: jsbielitz@gmail.com

Message: Please build the new football stadium downtown NOT at the Joe Albi site. There is nothing to do at Joe Albi. Downtown we could have dinner, go for a walk in the park and watch a football game. Besides all the kids would have a easier time getting downtown. To get to Joe Albi I’m sure is impossible for some kids.

Status: I live in Spokane
Name: Tim Smith

Email: shanghaiguy99@yahoo.com

Message: As a downtown resident, I am excited to see calls for revitalizing downtown through measures to increase foot and bike traffic, enhanced public transportation, and making better use of land now devoted to surface parking. A lively, vibrant, flourishing downtown can bring so many economic and cultural benefits not just to Spokane residents, but the entire region. I strongly support a Downtown Plan that moves the city in this direction.

Status: I live in Spokane
Name: John Gausta
Email: jpgausta@gmail.com

Message: I have the secret to which you seek. But you'll need to look to the East for the answer. I visit the beautiful City of Greenville, SC annually and every trip I'm further impressed with what they do right. When you walk through town you don't see closed or vacant storefronts. Instead you experience thriving businesses supported by local patrons. Much of that success is built on enticing customers to chose the specialty stores downtown over the shopping mall. And as Spokane's malls are failing, now is the time to make this change to lure shoppers in to town. The secret and don't deny it, is parking. You have to offer the right plan or people will find alternatives to coming downtown. Stop trying to capitalize on the parking! Here, follow this link https://www.greenvillesc.gov/513/Parking to Greenville's parking info. Give it a read and contact them with questions.

Unless someone like you cares a whole awful lot, Nothing is going to get better. It's not.
Dr. Seuss, The Lorax

Status: I live in Spokane
[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Daniel Purcell

**Email:** Sadrrocks@yahoo.com

**Message:** Haven't we spent enough money already allocated for Joe Albi Stadium and those unfinished freeway projects to nowhere? We still haven't recovered from the pandemic business and job losses and here you are wanting to spend more money.

**Status:** I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Peggy Alley

Email: m7alley4@hotmail.com

Message: More affordable parking is badly needed downtown.

My family and I love Riverfront Park and particularly enjoyed the holiday display/lights this past year. It was a welcome sight during a very difficult time for all. Thank you for making the holidays bright.

I do have a big request:. PLEASE give the big red wagon a fresh coat of paint to brighten it up. My grandchildren love to play on it, but it's looking pretty shabby these days. Thank you.

Status: I live in Spokane
From: Squarespace
To: info@spokanedowntownplan.org
Subject: Form Submission - Downtown Plan Comment Box
Date: Friday, March 12, 2021 10:24:00 PM
Importance: Low

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Patti Livingstone
Email: pattilivingstone@yahoo.com

Message: I’d love to see regular outdoor concerts on the grass in Riverfront Park downtown. Also, raising parking prices downtown is not appreciated.

Status: I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Bryce Kerr

Email: bkerr24@hotmail.com

Message: Homeless everywhere. People don’t come downtown because it’s perceived as dangerous. Homeless create messes everywhere.

Status: I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
Name: Linda Carroll

Email: lindalouise701184951@yahoo.com

Message: There are a number of features of the plan the will make the downtown more vibrant: the emphasis on converting some car lanes to bike lanes (which should be protected by a barrier), on walking, and on public transportation; the development of public spaces including parks; the emphasis on artistic and cultural activities; the development of alleys as congenial public spaces; the addition of a market; the development of varied housing options.

Status: I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Dwight Hume

**Email:** dhume@spokane-landuse.com

**Message:** See letter to Christopher Green dated 4-05-20 concerning a request to be included in the North River Overlay and to extend the Downtown Parking Requirement Area to this portion of the plan.

**Status:** I work in Spokane
Hi Dwight,

I thought I would share a couple of thoughts at this point,

1. Your client’s proposal to extend the no minimum parking area, designated in the Downtown Parking Requirement Map (SMC 17C.230–M1). The draft strategies in the Downtown Plan Update support reducing impacts of surface parking (see CW3, page 54). Action LWP4.2 (page 81) directly encourages regulatory changes aimed at supporting more shared parking, as a means of reducing the demand for surface parking lots and improving parking management. Meanwhile, improvements to the (periods of unused) off-street parking system are contemplated under WO2.4, on page 97. It seems that fewer on-site requirements within the planning area would only help encourage this practice. Is there some text you would suggest to further clarify or augment the regulatory changes urged under LWP4.2?

2. Outside of the Downtown Plan Update, one assumes a contribution toward a pedestrian and transit supportive environment at the subject property, situated adjacent to transit along the Division Street corridor. Could an alternative to a code amendment be to request a parking exception under SMC 17C.230.130 for a parking exception?

   **Section 17C.230.130 Parking Exceptions**

   C. The director may approve ratios that are higher than the maximum or lower than the minimum if sufficient factual data is provided to indicate that a different amount is appropriate. The applicant assumes the burden of proof. Approval of parking above the maximum shall be conditioned upon increasing the amount of required landscaping by thirty percent. Approval of parking below the minimum shall be conditioned upon the project contributing towards a pedestrian and transit supportive environment both next to the immediate site and in the surrounding area. When determining if a different amount of parking is appropriate, the director shall consider the proximity of the site to frequent transit service, the intensity of the zoning designation of the site and surrounding sites, and the character of the proposed use.

I’ll try to follow up with a phone call later this week.

Downtown Plan Update project web page:


Thanks,
Jeff: Here is the letter mentioned in my registration comment. I just want to make sure we include this parking provision as stated in the letter.

Thank you

Dwight J Hume
Land Use Solutions and Entitlement
9101 N Mt. View Lane
Spokane WA 99218
509-435-3108
4-05-20

Christopher Green, AICP
Planning & Development Services
W 808 Spokane Falls Blvd
Spokane WA 99201

Ref: Proposed North River Overlay

Dear Chris:

I am writing in support of your proposed North River Overlay to include property lying East of Division and south of Boone Avenue. While I can not speak for all of the ownerships within that area, I do represent the owner of Ruby River Hotel and the property north of the hotel which leases to the Arc of Spokane Thrift Store and their land is most certainly appropriate for this inclusion for the following reasons:

1) The primary and future land use is hotel and hospitality use.
2) The same hospitality services benefitting from this no minimum parking overlay exist adjacent to the west and south of the subject property.
3) Other amenities adjacent to the subject property include the Spokane River, Centennial Trail, proximity to Riverfront Park and the U District, all within this no minimum park overlay.
4) As a similar hospitality use serving the tourist visiting Spokane, it should have the same parking privileges as these other adjacent hospitality businesses.

I would like to be kept informed of your meetings and discussions on this matter and would offer my input at these workshops and hearings. Please include this letter in your records and recommendations on the North Bank Plan update.

Respectfully Submitted

Dwight J Hume

Dwight J Hume
[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Jonathan Bingle

Email: jonathanbingle@gmail.com

Message: I want a thriving downtown!

Status: I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Pia Hallenberg

Email: piahallenberg@gmail.com

Message: The one thing I find missing from this plan, is accessible public restrooms - open 24-7.
I do not believe it's STA's job to provide restrooms for everyone - nor is it the library's job - it's the job of Spokane.
I both live and work in downtown Spokane, and not a day goes by without me picking up human waste in front of my home or near my workplace.
This is unsanitary - especially in the middle of a global pandemic.
I urge the city to find a solution and to find it quick. Bathroom trailers, buildings, I don't know what it takes, but access to a bathroom is a human right.
This is not just an issue for the house less, this is an issue for runners (we are a runner friendly town, right?) for parents walking with their children and for people visiting from out of town.
Please take this seriously.
I love all the talk about revitalizing the alleys, but as it is right now you'd have to send a poop patrol out first.

Also, please incorporate frost proof water bottle filling stations in this plan.
They are sanitary (no touch) and must easier to maintain than the old drinking fountains and will be badly needed come summer.

Status: I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
Open House Questions and Comments

March 16, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Start Date/Time</th>
<th># Registered</th>
<th># Attended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/16/2021 05:47 PM</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below are the written questions and substantive comments from reports generated by the GoToMeeting and Slido applications. These software programs were used by the planning consultant during the public open house held by webinar on March 16, 2021.

Many comments and questions, verbal and written, were taken and answered during the recorded webinar meeting. This content will be considered by the City when deciding whether to adopt the proposed Downtown Plan Update document.

- For the meeting recording and more information, visit the City's project webpage: [https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/](https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Question/Comment Time</th>
<th>Comment or Question Asked</th>
<th>Upvotes¹</th>
<th>Program Report Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Perry</td>
<td>6:03:56 PM</td>
<td>Hello, new to spokane glad to be here. We have been visiting family over the last 10 years and just wanted to say how the city has grown and developed is largely why we moved here</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:08:39 PM</td>
<td>What are the areas of disagreement?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>6:09:23 PM</td>
<td>Will you please give what changes have been made to DTC 100? What will be the impact of building heights to shading of “our downtown jewel”, Riverfront Park.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>6:15:11 PM</td>
<td>Please add public restrooms in several places - any plans for that? We have an increasing human waste problem downtown ... sorry for the not so delicate topic.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariah Swan</td>
<td>6:15:58 PM</td>
<td>Has there been consideration for a river wave or some kind of downtown river access and recreation opportunity?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pia Hallenberg</td>
<td>6:17:48 PM</td>
<td>Just for the record: the restroom question came from me. I did not mean to be anonymous.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael and Eric Horsted</td>
<td>6:19:29 PM</td>
<td>any planning for electric car charging distribution?</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 “Upvotes” is a feature of the Slido application only. It is not present in the GoToMeeting report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Question/Comment Time</th>
<th>Comment or Question Asked</th>
<th>Up-votes</th>
<th>Program Report Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:20:09 PM</td>
<td>Does increasing the homeless downtown meet two of your stated goals of more people living downtown and engaging public spaces?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>6:20:47 PM</td>
<td>Has there been any consideration around recreational river access and river opportunities around downtown? Such as a river wave?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Roberts</td>
<td>6:20:55 PM</td>
<td>Please, would you briefly elaborate with regard to &quot;energize alleys&quot;?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olga Lucia Herrera</td>
<td>6:21:04 PM</td>
<td>Is this plan considering the changes that are soon going to be decided around the new stadium?</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Winkes</td>
<td>6:21:54 PM</td>
<td>Several of us have asked for Portland restrooms in the downtown area</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Shipley</td>
<td>6:22:13 PM</td>
<td>Rather than only &quot;walkable&quot;, I would like to see more references specificall to &quot;bikeable and non-motorized transport friendly&quot;.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Smith</td>
<td>6:22:31 PM</td>
<td>Were there any nonprofit service deliverers involved in this, especially those who work downtown with for outreach and service with unhoused residents?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>6:22:57 PM</td>
<td>Is it the opinion of planners that the height restrictions are a factor in the two major development sites from 2008 that have not been improved?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>6:23:38 PM</td>
<td>Will there be more lighting (ex. parkade alley) and/or emergency blue light phones to help the safety of the growing downtown area?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:25:46 PM</td>
<td>Good answer. Thanks</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>6:27:48 PM</td>
<td>Regarding surface parking along Spokane falls Blvd. Are there any reasons we can't encourage fine grained, mixed use construction there? A large, single use building will likely do less to enliven the streetscape and bring financial sustainability than many smaller buildings with mixed uses as seen in cities in Europe or Japan (such as housing above mixed use groceries and offices)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Roberts</td>
<td>6:28:55 PM</td>
<td>Does &quot;Reduce impacts from surface parking&quot; imply new structured parking?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Brandt</td>
<td>6:29:20 PM</td>
<td>Alleys would be great for bicycles and pedestrians but these alleys are used for our deliveries and shipments. will we still be able to operate business as usual?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>6:30:07 PM</td>
<td>Are Parklets being considered for repurposing the excess street parking? Would love to see that</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Question/Comment Time</td>
<td>Comment or Question Asked</td>
<td>Up-votes¹</td>
<td>Program Report Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janean</td>
<td>6:31:02 PM</td>
<td>Did the traffic analysis conclusion consider COVID conditions -- no arts/entertainment patrons traveling right now? Or &quot;The Podium&quot; sports facility on Dean?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirstin Davis</td>
<td>6:31:08 PM</td>
<td>What is the role of the Downtown Plan within the overall Comprehensive Plan and other planning projects like transportation, etc?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Smith</td>
<td>6:31:33 PM</td>
<td>Is there a place we can view the steering and other committee members?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:32:35 PM</td>
<td>Not enough parking is present downtown. That is why Diamond and other parking owners make so much money.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shae Blackwell</td>
<td>6:32:35 PM</td>
<td>Downtown residents need a more robust parking program!</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arielle Anderson</td>
<td>6:33:13 PM</td>
<td>I think it will be important to call out how you will ensure that folks that are on Voucher (Section 8) and other subsidies (short to medium term assistance, such as Rapid Rehousing) will be able to access the new housing development. Also, and just for clarification, when you say &quot;Transitional Housing do you mean Emergency Shelter'?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:33:41 PM</td>
<td>If sufficient parking is present, why do owners of parking make so much and can charge so much money?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>6:34:01 PM</td>
<td>As a cyclist, I stopped going downtown when bicycles were prohibited from using the sidewalks, as one might see in Helsinki. The one way streets are nice for cars, but as a cyclist they make it about 4x as difficult to navigate downtown, even with increased bicycle lanes (e.g. if I need to bike one block up a 1 way street, I may need to bike 4+ blocks to reach that same destination.) Have 2-way bicycle lanes been considered to make downtown more bicycle friendly?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>6:37:24 PM</td>
<td>Housing affordability and lack of new housing stock is an increasingly prominent burden on Spokane. What are the major impediments to increasing our housing stock and how might that be reflected in the downtown plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Brandt</td>
<td>6:38:33 PM</td>
<td>Is there a plan for improving lighting and safety in the pedestrian alleys and railroad bridges?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Bachman</td>
<td>6:41:13 PM</td>
<td>A suggestion- please keep in mind that high price of parking does lead to less people downtown. Seattle parking prices became cost-prohibitive and led to many people no longer going downtown</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Roberts</td>
<td>6:42:05 PM</td>
<td>If structured parking doesn't &quot;pencil&quot; in the &quot;Entertainment District&quot; (Gerry Dicker, GVD), must it be subsidized to develop it elsewhere downtown?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Question/Comment Time</td>
<td>Comment or Question Asked</td>
<td>Up-votes</td>
<td>Program Report Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:43:44 PM</td>
<td>Exactly, Wonderbuilding would not spend millions on a parking structure if adequate parking was present. Thanks Andrew Rolwes comments. A shortage of all parking, (surface, covered, secure and street) exists.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janean</td>
<td>6:44:30 PM</td>
<td>Thank you for acknowledging Civic Theatre as an arts resource. The proposed stadium arguments do not consider the negative impact on this venue.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>6:47:44 PM</td>
<td>Parking is likely in high demand, as Spokane is a fairly car-centric city. Has a parking solution similar to Poulabo or Tacoma's been considered? To clarify, Tacoma has a large, free parking garage near downtown, with free public transportation into the downtown core (Tacoma uses a street car, though i imagine it would be busses here in Spokane)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:47:55 PM</td>
<td>Is it possible that part of the reason that a wait list for covered secure parking exists is that crime and vandalism is increasing so people want secure parking?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickey Jones</td>
<td>6:51:31 PM</td>
<td>Is the downtown housing affordable? With the median household income in Spokane being $57,000, will rent be around $1,425 or what is 30% of that median income? I'm curious who will be able to move downtown and enjoy the amenities.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:52:08 PM</td>
<td>How can we activate the alleys when the streets are full of people defecating fornicating screaming and vandalizing? Who will go into the alley? People are not comming downtown as it is. Why spend money on alleys?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>6:52:24 PM</td>
<td>Can we stop talking about car parking and start talking about how to make walk/bike/bus travel downtown better so we don't need the parking in the first place?</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olga Lucia Herrera</td>
<td>6:53:47 PM</td>
<td>comment: Big cities, London, New York or Bogotá have city lines via metro or bus that make it irrelevant to provide cheap parking to attract people downtown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pia Hallenberg</td>
<td>6:55:10 PM</td>
<td>As someone who lives in one of the alleys, I appreciate the focus on alleys - especially if it brings lighting and cleaning. I represent a household that's been downtown in an alley for almost 20 years - we love our alley.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>6:57:01 PM</td>
<td>Lesley you are correct. When a local property owner likes the activity, the activity is usually good. Homeless activity hurts local property owner. You cannot get to the alley if the streets are blocked by homeless. The homeless need to be solved first.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Question/Comment Time</td>
<td>Comment or Question Asked</td>
<td>Up-votes</td>
<td>Program Report Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>6:57:39 PM</td>
<td>The north bank seems ripe for a lot of the solutions downtown might need. Given that it lacks the charm and density already existing in the historic core, as well as its good connectivity to Riverfront park and downtown, what do the plans for the north bank look like? Personally, I’m a-okay with a bit of a free-for-all, just to see how it would naturally develop... but also with the city’s help!</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>6:58:49 PM</td>
<td>Please comment on the vision for Downtown entrances. The south edge in particular (90) is at an inhumane scale and not inviting for people on foot or bikes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Swennumson</td>
<td>6:59:26 PM</td>
<td>Just a comment. It’s troubling to see a Downtown Ambassador program increasingly becoming a security patrol. Vests and tactical gear doesn’t seem welcoming.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:04:00 PM</td>
<td>Parkade Bldg would make an amazing apartment and mixed use building</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:10:06 PM</td>
<td>If it isn't balanced, which way is it imbalanced??</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:12:26 PM</td>
<td>Crime and homeless should be included in the choice. Not just walking and parking and access.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:16:19 PM</td>
<td>yes ignore that earlier one.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:17:44 PM</td>
<td>Do alley lighting and cleaning of alleys reflect an issue of vagrants?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>7:18:12 PM</td>
<td>Before bike riders feel good shopping downtown, there need to be places to lock bikes. Any help from merchants on this?</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>7:20:05 PM</td>
<td>Is it possible to consider changing zoning to allow for use under I-90, similar to how elevated railways are used in Tokyo, or Berlin?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>7:22:55 PM</td>
<td>For access to downtown from the west, would it be possible to consider a wheelchair/bike/pedestrian ramp along N Maple between Broadway and Summit?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pia Hallenberg</td>
<td>7:24:24 PM</td>
<td>Comment: it was a big mistake to kill the under the freeway skatepark here -</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:25:43 PM</td>
<td>As a longtime downtown resident I’m wondering how this plan incorporate measures to improve public perception and media coverage of the safety of downtown.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:27:35 PM</td>
<td>Cars are bad—please respond.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Question/Comment Time</td>
<td>Comment or Question Asked</td>
<td>Up-votes</td>
<td>Program Report Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:27:38 PM</td>
<td>The bus plaza needs to be relocated to the Intermodal Center and/or under the freeway. It is a nuisance in its present location, especially for cyclists.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:28:27 PM</td>
<td>I bike to work downtown. What issues do people have? I have no issues and I work on First Ave and bike from 57th. Our roads are more friendly than any in Europe.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:29:35 PM</td>
<td>I see vagrants biking all over downtown. Stop lights do not slow them down. What do people want?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Perry</td>
<td>7:29:47 PM</td>
<td>thank you folks for your efforts, looking forward to a well planned city</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:30:18 PM</td>
<td>Is there a plan to add more trees and green spaces along sidewalks?</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:30:31 PM</td>
<td>I agree. The buses are bad for bikes and I bike to work. How can we get rid of the buses?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:30:56 PM</td>
<td>tunnel I-90 through the core downtown corridor, build that surface space into connecting the lower south hill with downtown, add housing, retail, green space?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Roberts</td>
<td>7:31:26 PM</td>
<td>If downtown residential continues to advance, we must include planning for children and pets: crossings, signage, play areas, etc</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:32:16 PM</td>
<td>Are they done with Bertha in Seattle? Maybe we can borrow it for cheap.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tommy Feathers</td>
<td>7:32:26 PM</td>
<td>Moving to Spokane in 2021 , love your city</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shae Blackwell</td>
<td>7:32:27 PM</td>
<td>Can illegal panhandling be addressed in the plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>7:33:09 PM</td>
<td>What part of the plan have we not talked about yet that we should?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Also, what part of the plan are you most excited about so far?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Roberts</td>
<td>7:33:45 PM</td>
<td>This will include accommodating school busses as well.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:35:12 PM</td>
<td>Does the City Council support the increase of the homeless because increasing the homeless meets three of your stated goals? Activate alleys Increase people living downtown Engaging public spaces</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:35:48 PM</td>
<td>The surge in walking and biking in Spokane during the pandemic shows that there is a lot of appetite for active travel when people feel safe.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Question/Comment Time</td>
<td>Comment or Question Asked</td>
<td>Upvotes</td>
<td>Program Report Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:36:18 PM</td>
<td>Relocate I-90 (tunnel?) parallel to the railroad tracks as far East as possible and restore the neighborhoods. We missed an opportunity with Playfair.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Horton</td>
<td>7:46:18 PM</td>
<td>Why is there so little, if anything, in the Plan regarding the increasing criminality in downtown Spokane, and the need for better new jail system that would treat addiction and recovery.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>7:49:53 PM</td>
<td>I'm sure it's been difficult to hold your tongue in such a public setting, so thank you all for the decorum and professionalism!</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shae Blackwell</td>
<td>7:50:04 PM</td>
<td>The most important thing is a safe place to live, work, play, pray and do business.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Engelman</td>
<td>7:50:04 PM</td>
<td>Where does creating car-free public plazas on low-traffic street blocks (such as Howard) fit into the plan? They would help with the lack of public/green space.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:50:53 PM</td>
<td>Yes thank you all for your work on this.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briana</td>
<td>7:51:12 PM</td>
<td>Thank you for putting this event on.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:51:34 PM</td>
<td>Love this forum. Thank you for taking the time and also for answering our questions.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Wolfe</td>
<td>7:52:13 PM</td>
<td>Have you considered two-way bicycle lanes downtown? The one way streets are a bit of a hassle as a cyclist.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Roberts</td>
<td>7:52:27 PM</td>
<td>This is not a 10-year horizon matter but, some buildings won't be sustainable past 150-200 years. How should we plan for redevelopment of these buildings?</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:52:45 PM</td>
<td>absolutely agree with Shea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briana</td>
<td>7:52:48 PM</td>
<td>I agree with Shae regarding these matters.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Monsivais</td>
<td>7:52:51 PM</td>
<td>Comment: The city's data shows a big uptick in walking and biking in Spokane during the pandemic, showing that there is a lot of enthusiasm for active modes of transportation. when people feel saf</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bassler</td>
<td>7:53:49 PM</td>
<td>I am a cyclist and I feel safer on one way streets. I</td>
<td></td>
<td>GoToMeeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:53:59 PM</td>
<td>I have no problem with the one way streets downtown on a bicycle.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:55:24 PM</td>
<td>I usually ride in the outer lanes of one way streets unless the are delivery trucks. Then I take the middle and keep my speed up.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Question/Comment Time</td>
<td>Comment or Question Asked</td>
<td>Up-votes</td>
<td>Program Report Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann</td>
<td>7:57:21 PM</td>
<td>I’ve learned a lot about the types of details you city planners consider. Thanks for giving us a chance to learn and to be involved!</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>7:57:36 PM</td>
<td>The &quot;bike lane&quot; along Main is a car door lane. It needs to be widened to full width and sharrows placed.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Adams</td>
<td>8:39:24 PM</td>
<td>More bikes, more scooters, and more electric bikes are coming. We will need safe pathways downtown. Also, will these pathways connect to safe bike routes?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slido</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Squarespace
To: info@spokanedowntownplan.org
Subject: Form Submission - Downtown Plan Comment Box
Date: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 9:10:43 PM
Importance: Low

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Chris Thoma

Email: cethoma@msn.com

Message: I do not think that the sports arena should be located downtown. I should remain at the Joe Aldi stadium and be remodeled. Like we voted on!

Status: I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Gary Lee

Email: spokanyte@yahoo.com

Message: Gonna make the rich richer. sad That our t vote means nothing

Status: I live in Spokane
Good afternoon Mr. Olson,

Thank you for your comments. I will add this message to the public record for the file.

For information, the Olmsted Bros. Report’s quote about the great gorge is included (on page 11 of the draft). Some unfinished elements of the Great Gorge Plan are included:

- In the Public Space map (on page 85 of the draft), please see the unbuilt Priority Project 14’s trail along the river depicted between Huntington and Redband parks under the Monroe St Bridge.
- The draft description of Opportunity Site 7 (Bosch Lot, page 101) correlates closely with Great Gorge Plan’s Priority Project 12 at Veterans’ Court.

Sincerely,

Nathan Gwinn, AICP | Assistant Planner | Planning & Development
509.625.6893 | ngwinn@spokanecity.org | www.spokanecity.org

City of Spokane Downtown Plan Update web page:

https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Kenneth Olson

Email:olsonkdot@gmail.com

Message: A lot to like in the plan. Might help sell it if, like Strong Towns likes to say, Downtowns are the tax engine for the City. I did not see anything in the plan about a downtown football/soccer stadium. Maybe you are waiting for a new advisory vote?
I very much support the active transportation enhancements, including secure parking.
Did I miss something about Great Gorge Park?
Thanks.

Status: I live in Spokane, I visit Spokane, I work in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Cliff Winger

**Email:** c_wings@yahoo.com

**Message:** Parking is a big issue to visit Downtown Spokane. Another issue important to Downtown Spokane: How is event traffic going to be funneled into the Downtown businesses after a large event on the North Bank when thousands are released in a short time? Failure to plan a rational event flow into the Downtown area will push North Bank event goers into North Spokane and Spokane Valley. Is this what the businesses in Downtown Spokane want?

**Status:** I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Brian Fleck

Email: bfleck@pawn1.com

Message: Downtown is Dying! Thanks to Governor Inslee's overreaching mandates and his nanny state disciples! I work down here every day and more than 1/2 of my favorite restaurants may be gone for good! Resist is what I say! Use your common sense and stay home if you're sick. Lockdowns are only helping to destroy businesses and lives!

Status: I live in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** Tom Krzyzanek  
**Email:** krzyztom@gmail.com  
**Message:** It looks like our River Front Park is almost done with renovations, but...please find an additional funding to re-paint that old rusty blue bridge. It is an eye sore at this point and it make the whole project incomplete.

**Status:** I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
Name: Kathryn Alexander

Email: kathrynalexander2017@gmail.com

Message: I love the idea of a thriving and vibrant downtown! One question - I'm not hearing ANYTHING about repairing or maintaining those downtown sidewalks. Many of them are dangerous to normal people, but many more are dangerous to anyone who has trouble seeing, walking or has balance issues, and that's quite a few of us. I know maintenance is not sexy and it's something we've put off for decades, but don't you think now is the time? Maybe we need to go to the voters for the money, but it needs to be done! It needs to be done either before or during we make any improvements, because if we don't make our sidewalks walkable, those 'improvements' will have limited benefit.

Status: I live in Spokane
From: Squarespace  
To: info@spokanedowntownplan.org  
Subject: Form Submission - Downtown Plan Comment Box  
Date: Friday, March 19, 2021 9:43:10 AM  
Importance: Low

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Elizabeth Willard

Email: fortheartz@gmail.com

Message: The future of downtown is doomed if the homeless/vagrant issues are not fully addressed. Spokane needs laws, ordinances and real enforcement in order to assure a safe, quality city for it's citizens. Since moving back to my hometown from other big cities around the country, I can see major mistakes being made by the city that reinforce and assure a spiraling downhill for the quality of life for the taxpaying, law abiding, citizens of this city. Response time for police and fire after 9-11 calls are not sufficient and need an overhaul. Now is the time, if the city continues to be passive on this issue, you might as well start boarding up downtown and throw in the towel.

Status: I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
Sent via form submission from *Spokane Downtown Plan*

**Name:** chris bauman

**Email:** baumantn@gmail.com

**Message:** Will an entertainment district be established downtown? As more activity occurs around new businesses, noise is going to increase. Will protections be given to businesses that inherently cause more noise and activity on the streets? Spokane needs to designate certain streets downtown where nearby residents are not able to complain about a restaurant that is open late and has people talking outside, or a bar that is playing music. Downtown is never going to be as quiet as South Hill, and this level of activity is precisely why people come downtown to have fun.

(Many previously vibrant neighborhoods of Seattle are being constricted as new residents move into the area and complain about noise levels.)

**Status:** I live in Spokane, I visit Spokane, I work in Spokane
Name: chris bauman

Email: bauman@gmail.com

Message: I do not like the proposed school district stadium. The events hosted here will bring in hordes of car traffic to downtown, this goes against the vibrant walkable downtown plan. Please help the school district understand that the proposed move of their stadium is contrary to what this plan wants to achieve of a fun inviting place to live work and play.

Status: I live in Spokane, I work in Spokane
From: Squarespace
To: info@spokanedowntownplan.org
Subject: Form Submission - Downtown Plan Comment Box
Date: Sunday, March 21, 2021 11:19:21 AM
Importance: Low

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Sent via form submission from Spokane Downtown Plan

Name: Catherine Lamb

Email: bungalowcathi@gmail.com

Message: The only part about the new plan that I am not in favor of is the part about shipping the homeless into the residential neighborhoods. It is already causing more crime and filth and fearfulness to which the police do not respond.

Status: I live in Spokane
Hi:

I just have a quick comment regarding changes to the downtown core. I find it quite discouraging when I hear about raising parking rates at meters and in lots, particularly comments about changing rates based on time of use.

I find it completely counter-intuitive to talk about wanting to revitalize the downtown area and increase use of downtown stores and restaurants and then talk about raising parking rates. Why would I go to a store or movie theater downtown where I have to pay for parking instead of going to a mall or stand-alone shop with free parking? This makes no sense. If you want people to visit your stores and restaurants, provide free (for 2 or 3 hours) or very cheap parking to encourage them to come.

In addition, when the time to pay for meters moved to 7 pm, it became very difficult to go to dinner downtown and park in the street. If you are meeting someone at say 5:00, you probably park around 4:45. 2 hours is a very quick dinner and doesn’t allow anytime for lingering or going to a bar afterward.

Make it easy for people to find reasonable parking and they will be more likely to come downtown. To be honest, when we are going out, many times we say let’s stay in the Valley or go to the South Hill so we don’t have to mess around with meters or an expensive parking lot.

Andrea Benson

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Hello Nate,

Thank you for your presentation on the Downtown Plan yesterday (3/24). You have done a good job!

The Spokane Schools (SPS) put out a post-card for a survey on stadium choices. The issue the Downtown Plan and DSP should consider from our citizen comments in the SPS survey is the Spokane Residents’ opinion of Downtown. The SPS survey is here: <https://my.thoughtexchange.com/#180214610/discover>.

Whether through the survey or from SPS District 81 staff, comments and attitudes on Downtown Spokane from the SPS survey should be included in the Downtown Plan.

I know the Downtown Plan is over budget, including additional comments may not be possible.

Comments yesterday at the Plan Commission Workshop were concerning. Some Downtown business look down on the homeless and their class. (In 2021 this attitude is very unacceptable.)

However, as you find in some of the SPS survey comments folks who are not “Downtown” (i.e. mainly north of Garland/Empire and south of 19th Avenue) have apathetic feelings about Downtown Spokane.

The best question for DSP to ask itself is: Why many Spokane Residents do not frequent Downtown? How does the Downtown Plan address issues that Not-Downtowners want to be addressed?

These questions may be, at this late date, out of the scope of the Downtown Plan, but, these questions are important for the survival of the downtown businesses. With more people working from home (empty office space), and national travel restrictions, Downtown Businesses need many local customers to visit so they can survive.

A word to the wise should be sufficient.

Sincerely,

Cliff Winger
Shiloh Hills Neighborhood Council
Spokane WA 99208
April 22, 2021

To Spokane’s Plan Commission & Lori Kinnear, Parks & Michael Cathcart, City Council & President:

Re: Bosch Lot and Downtown Spokane Plan update; I wasn’t allowed to speak April 14 to Plan.

Please carve out the Bosch lot for further review in the proposed Plan going to Council in May. Why?

1) The Bosch lot is not private property, as current maps depict, no more than the Spokane Park system is private property. In 1974, the Bosch family property was condemned in order to become part of Central Riverfront Park for Expo ’74. The Bosch family was assured the site would become a park space. Federal funds, however, came through in 1975, so the RCO in Olympia assumed oversight, insuring the space would be used for “outdoor public recreation” as federal funds designated. Precisely why the proposed 9 story private indoor climbing wall failed in 2017. The city withdrew that plan from the RCO.

2) A tepid “some success” was the reply I got at the March 16, 2021 Downtown Plan Open House when I asked if the city had had any success involving the Spokane Tribe in the proposed Plan. What???
   a) Not one Spokane Tribe member or Tribal Council Member was included on the Steering Committee.
   b) p. 63 of the proposed Plan does not include the Upper or Lower Falls as Cultural Sites. Glaring error.
   c) p. 17 lists it as a Private Opportunity Site. For retail and parking? With no tribal or public input! My comments at the Feb., 2020 Wonder Building Open House were rewritten as ‘repurpose Bosch lot.’
   d) The possibilities of the site far exceed the decades-old convenience of city parking for City Hall.
   e) Mayor Mary Verner failed to get a 2-story museum at the site. So what CAN legally be created? ASK!

3) The proposed Plan can be used to revision and redesign the Bosch lot in alignment with its own goals:
   a) Goal 2, multiple modes of transport: Read wheel chairs, baby carriages, bicycles, ? Lime scooters?
   b) Goal 3, active vibrant sidewalks, pilot projects: Blow the North Bank open to more green space!
   c) Goal 5, redevelop surface parking lots: into MORE than a 3-story parking garage. Into a cultural site.
   d) Goal 7, street scapes, support cultural venues: Yes, since Bridge St. and Post remain for vehicles.
   e) Goal 8, startup infill: What can be done with the Tribe, the MAC, and F. Schoenberg’s world class collection, formerly housed at Gonzaga University, “supported by patrons around the nation.”
   f) Goal 13, activate public spaces downtown. Include Native and local artists and architects, please.

“Focus on People” and “Test and Evaluate New Ideas,” to quote the Preface to the Plan update, 4/3/21.

Yours,

Carol Ellis 2015 E. 36th Ave. Spokane, WA 99203 509 533 0587h 509 570 3868c
Hi Nathan,

thank you for responding. In 2015, per your record, our corridor was included in the street improvement record. It just seems like Spokane is making things that are already nice, nicer. The eye sores that could use help, the 104 S. Division area, will continue to be horrible eye sores, which is a turn off for visitors entering and departing our city via Interstate 90.

Please add that to the public record.

Thank you

Linda Biel

On 04/30/2021 10:50 AM Gwinn, Nathan <ngwinn@spokanecity.org> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Biel,

Thank you for your comment. I will add it to the public record for the file.

This plan would apply to the entire Downtown Planning Area, including the addresses you mention, unless something in the plan is specific to a smaller area or site. The addresses you mention are outside the current Business Improvement District boundary, being located south of Sprague Avenue and east of Browne St, but that is a smaller area with separate assessments and programs than this plan would cover. There is more information about the BID at its website. The addresses you mention are located within the Downtown Planning Area.

Sincerely,

Nathan Gwinn, AICP | Assistant Planner | Planning & Development

509.625.6893 | ngwinn@spokanecity.org | www.spokanecity.org

City of Spokane Downtown Plan Update web page:

https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/
Hi Nathan

Thank you for sending us the downtown plan for Spokane. I didn't see anything for the downtown plan for Urbanna, which is part of downtown.

Our area, much more so than than the area next to city hall, needs safety for walking pedestrians, safety for the traffic coming off I90 from continuous jay walkers crossing Division to Catholic Charities on 1st, and the huge amount of daily litter, peeing, drug dealing and loud curse words shouted on the sidewalks. We have a super big problem and why isn't the city addressing it rather than the park?

History from 1907, according to the Mac Museum Archives, is the area we occupy and was the breadbasket for the entire Northwest. History coveted our area, and now it's ignored.

Urbanna had 51 employees, now after the Covid shut down and the 25% occupancy regulations, causing us to lease out our extra parking lot, which is located across the street from the Donna Haven, Haven 2 and also the Father Bach Haven. Safety for our single girls and young mothers must walk in the dark to get their car. We have asked, and asked, and asked, for help to insure the safety for our staff and clients who must walk a distance at night, yet we haven't received any hope.

Below, I copied highlights posted in the draft plan. We are not in this "well-organized" Downtown plan, but we are considered downtown. We had to move Urbanna 3 years ago because of the continued violence and crime conducted around our business, we would have had to close if we didn't move. But, we still have that parking lot at our old 168 Division St.
location. What's the plan for our area? Please communicate with us.

Is there any hope for safety for the rest of Spokane, or is it just for the park visitors and City Hall’s front yard? We are not rich, we are not powerful, but we should count too.

Thank you,

Linda Biel

104 S. Division St.

Spokane, WA 99202

Rough Draft Proposal

A connected and walkable Downtown;
‰ Thriving arts, culture and historic places;
‰ A great place to live, work, and play;
‰ Welcoming and engaging public spaces for all; and
‰ A well-organized Downtown.

On 04/28/2021 12:03 PM Gwinn, Nathan <ngwinn@spokanecity.org> wrote:

Good afternoon Spokane Downtown Plan contacts and interested parties,

Please find the attached Notice of Application, Public Hearing and SEPA Determination for the Spokane City Plan Commission hearing scheduled for 4 p.m. May 12, 2021. The proposed Spokane Downtown Plan is an amendment of the Comprehensive Plan through repealing and replacing the 2008 Downtown Plan: Fast Forward Spokane: Downtown Update. The new subarea plan includes a vision for future development and identifies five overarching goal categories, which contain specific policies and actions that reflect a public participation process since 2019.
**How to Comment:** Written and verbal comments on this proposal are welcome. You may email comments to me and/or follow instructions on page 2 of the meeting agenda to testify during the hearing to the Plan Commission. The meeting agenda will be posted one week in advance of the hearing at the Plan Commission’s webpage.

I will send an additional notice when the City Council hearing is scheduled.

More information can be viewed online at the City’s project webpage:

https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/downtown-plan-update/

Sincerely,

Nathan Gwinn, AICP | Assistant Planner | Planning & Development

509.625.6893 | ngwinn@spokanecity.org | www.spokanecity.org
Nathan, Thanks for removing the word 'Private' to describe the Opportunity Sites in the Plan, including the Bosch lot.

Thanks for emphasizing the need to include the Spokane Tribe in decision-making for that site.

I wish its proximity to the lower Spokane Falls might have been included in the description.

I emailed the RCO, the Recreation and Conservation Office, in Olympia. It has gone through channels & is in the hands of someone who has said they can answer my questions. I will forward my email to the RCO to you three now.

Best to you all, thanks for your dedication to Spokane,

Carol Ellis
Myra Barker of the RCO in Olympia responded May 3 to my question regarding the Opportunity Site of the Bosch lot in the proposed revised Downtown Plan.

With the Post St. Bridge rebuild taking a year longer than planned, we have an opportunity to include Parks on the Downtown Plan. Myra emailed both Garrett & me May 3.

Of note: the 2014 revised Riverfront Park Plan shows Post St. Bridge as being pedestrian only, and the Bosch lot as being an improved parking area.

With the Spokane School Board poised to make a decision on siting their football stadium downtown, is it not time to look again at the following:

1. using the Bosch lot on the North Bank for green space with cultural/historical/artistic emphasis? Involving the Spokane Tribe?

2. reconsidering the Post/vehicle use designation? Jim Frank and I agree it should probably be pedestrian.

3. reconsidering a shuttle running from the North Bank south on Howard, west across Spokane Falls Blvd, & north up Post? To bring people who’ve parked on North bank downtown?

4. keeping space allocated for a 4 story parking garage on the north side of the Arena? Farther from the Civic Theater?

For the Future,

Carol Ellis
Thanks again for your message.

If you have a question about a specific type of activity or facility, I’d be glad to respond to that from an RCO perspective.

Generally, interpretive kiosks and interpretive signage are most often the type of recreational/educational amenities that are eligible at a funded site. Interactive play structures can also provide recreational/educational benefits. And funded sites across the state serve as places where classes and groups meet outdoors for educational purposes.

Indoor buildings or structures are not eligible uses. That would include structures like an interpretive center, lodge, museum, a community/meeting center, and classrooms.

The city has received RCO approval to use a portion of the Bosch Lot for staging for the Post Street Bridge project with the understanding the property would be redeveloped to support Centennial Trail and Riverfront Park use.

I’ve copied city Parks staff on this message. I encourage you to contact and work with them on your interests.

I hope this is helpful. If you have any questions, please do let me know.

_I am working from home to help avoid possible spread of the coronavirus. During this time the best way to contact me is by e-mail or phone at 360-867-8508._

Myra Barker (she/her)
Compliance Specialist
Recreation and Conservation Office
1111 Washington Street SE
PO Box 40917
Olympia, WA 98501
360-867-8508

_Envisioning a Washington with abundant recreation spaces, healthy habitats and working farms and forests._
Subject: Email to RCO on the City of Spokane Bosch Property

Hi Ms. Ellis,

Thank you for your message. I wanted to let you know that your email was forwarded to me today and that I’ll provide a response to your questions early next week.

I appreciate your patience.

I am working from home to help avoid possible spread of the coronavirus. During this time the best way to contact me is by e-mail or phone at 360-867-8508.

Myra Barker (she/her)
Compliance Specialist
Recreation and Conservation Office
1111 Washington Street SE
PO Box 40917
Olympia, WA 98501
360-867-8508

Envisioning a Washington with abundant recreation spaces, healthy habitats and working farms and forests.

From: Carol Ellis <carolellisspokane@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2021 2:27 PM
To: RCO MI General Info (RCO) <info@rco.wa.gov>; Carol Ellis <carolellisspokane@hotmail.com>
Subject: Bosch lot in Spokane

External Email

Although the management of the Bosch lot in Central Riverfront Park calls for “outdoor public recreation,” can the City of Spokane apply for a combination recreational/educational use with the Spokane Tribe, as it lies adjacent to the Lower Spokane Falls? Current use is as A parking lot and staging for rebuilding the Post St. Bridge, but the City is rewriting their Downtown Plan.

Carol Ellis, native Spokanite,
A leader of the 2017 movement opposing A 9 story climbing wall at the Bosch lot

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Note: For full Comprehensive Plan text, visit: http://my.spokanecity.org/shapingspokane/comprehensive-plan/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXHIBIT 6 – RELATED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOALS AND POLICIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note: For full Comprehensive Plan text, visit: <a href="http://my.spokanecity.org/shapingspokane/comprehensive-plan/">http://my.spokanecity.org/shapingspokane/comprehensive-plan/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **CHAPTER 3 LAND USE – PAGE EXCERPTS FROM RELATED GOALS AND POLICIES**

- **CHAPTER 4 TRANSPORTATION – PAGE EXCERPTS FROM RELATED GOALS AND POLICIES**

- **CHAPTER 7 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – PAGE EXCERPTS FROM RELATED GOALS AND POLICIES**

- **CHAPTER 10 SOCIAL HEALTH – PAGE EXCERPTS FROM RELATED GOALS AND POLICIES**
3.2 VISION AND VALUES

Spokane volunteers working to develop the 2001 Comprehensive Plan identified important themes in relation to Spokane’s current and future growth. A series of visions and values was crafted for each element of the Comprehensive Plan that describes specific performance objectives. From the Visions and Values document, adopted in 1996 by the City Council, the Comprehensive Plan’s goals and policies were generated.

Land use is defined as the general location of various uses of land, population density, and building intensities.

Vision

“Growth will be managed to allow a mix of land uses that fit, support, and enhance Spokane’s neighborhoods, protect the environment, and sustain the downtown area and broaden the economic base of the community.”

Values

“The things that are important to Spokane’s future include:

- Acquiring and preserving the natural areas inside and outside the city;
- Controlling urban sprawl in order to protect outlying rural areas;
- Developing and maintaining convenient access and opportunities for shopping, services, and employment;
- Protecting the character of single-family neighborhoods;
- Guaranteeing a variety of densities that support a mix of land uses; and
- Utilizing current residential lots before developing raw land.”

3.3 GOALS AND POLICIES

Goals and policies provide direction for planning and decision-making. Overall, they indicate desired directions, accomplishments, or aims in relation to the growth and development of Spokane. The land use goals and policies establish a framework for future growth and development of the city.

Much of the future growth will occur within concentrated areas in Neighborhood Centers, District Centers, Employment Centers and Corridors designated on the Land Use Plan Map. While this growth occurs in Centers and Corridors, established single-family residential neighborhoods will remain largely unchanged.
City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan

The Centers and Corridors contain a mix of uses, including higher density housing centered around or above retail and commercial establishments, office space and public and semi-public activities (parks, government and schools). In addition to these uses, areas designated as Employment Centers emphasize a strong employment component such as major offices or light industrial uses. Street patterns within the Centers and surrounding neighborhoods enable residents to walk or bicycle for their daily service needs and to access each center's transit stop. Higher density housing within and around the Centers supports business in the Center and allows for enhanced transit service between Centers, along Corridors and to the downtown area. Center designations on the Land Use Plan Map may change to reflect neighborhood planning decisions.

Other important directives of the land use goals and policies include:

- limiting commercial and higher density development outside Centers and Corridors to support growth and development of Centers and Corridors;
- directing new higher density housing to Centers and Corridors and restricting this type of development in single-family areas; and
- using design guidelines to ensure that commercial buildings and higher density housing are compatible with existing neighborhood character in and around Centers and Corridors.

LU 1 CITYWIDE LAND USE

Goal: Offer a harmonious blend of opportunities for living, working, recreation, education, shopping, and cultural activities by protecting natural amenities, providing coordinated, efficient, and cost effective public facilities and utility services, carefully managing both residential and non-residential development and design, and proactively reinforcing downtown Spokane’s role as a vibrant urban center.

Policies

LU 1.1 Neighborhoods

Utilize the neighborhood concept as a unit of design for planning housing, transportation, services, and amenities.

Discussion: Neighborhoods generally should have identifiable physical boundaries, such as principal arterial streets or other major natural or built features. Ideally, they should have a
Residential uses are permitted in these areas. Residences may be in the form of single-family homes on individual lots, upper-floor apartments above business establishments, or other higher density residential uses.

*Policy LU 1.8 amended by Ordinance C35842 on January 17, 2020.*

**LU 1.9  Downtown**

*Develop city wide plans and strategies that are designed to ensure a viable, economically strong downtown area.*

**Discussion:** Downtown Spokane, designated as the Regional Center, is a top community priority. Its wellbeing influences the entire region via employment, revenue generation, and transit. It should be a thriving Regional Center with a diversity of activities and a mix of uses so that it is alive and vibrant night and day. The mix of uses must include residential (high, medium and low-income), office, entertainment, retail, and parking. It should be developed as a unique collection of businesses, neighborhoods and open spaces with a vision and a plan to which all stakeholders contribute. Major land use changes within the city should be evaluated to identify potential impacts on Downtown.

**LU 1.10  Industry**

*Provide a variety of industrial locations and site sizes for a variety of light and heavy industrial development and safeguard them from competing land uses.*

**Discussion:** Planned industrial locations should be free from critical areas, not subject to conflicting adjacent land uses, readily accessible to adequate transportation, utility, and service systems, and convenient to the labor force.

Commercial and office uses have historically been permitted in most areas that are designated for industrial use. Continuation of this practice may lead to the displacement of the vital industrial lands needed for the economic vitality of the city. The industrial lands inventory in the city and the urban growth area should be evaluated to determine which industrial lands should be preserved for exclusive industrial use and which areas should continue to allow commercial use.

In most cases, residential use is not appropriate in the Industrial designation because of off-site impacts generated by industrial uses and the lack of residential amenities in these areas. However, river-oriented residential use is allowed in areas along the Spokane River where residents can take advantage of the river amenity. Residential uses should be carefully designed to be compatible with industrial uses. This compatibility may be maintained by using slope to other means or separate uses, and through buffers, landscaping, setbacks, fencing or other appropriate measures. The
LU 1.16 Mobile Home Parks

Designate appropriate areas for the preservation of mobile and manufactured home parks.

Discussion: Manufactured and/or mobile home parks provide affordable housing to many city residents. In many cases, they provide the opportunity of home ownership to households which cannot afford to purchase other types of housing. When existing manufactured home parks are redeveloped, many homeowners are unable to move their homes to other sites. Additionally, redeveloped mobile and manufactured home parks are generally not replaced by new parks within the city, resulting in a net loss of this type of housing.

LU 2 PUBLIC REALM ENHANCEMENT

Goal: Encourage the enhancement of the public realm.

Policies

LU 2.1 Public Realm Features

Encourage features that improve the appearance of development, paying attention to how projects function to encourage social interaction and relate to and enhance the surrounding urban and natural environment.

Discussion: The “public realm” is the public or private area where people interact with their surroundings or other people. The “public realm” is affected by the appearance, use, and attractiveness of development and how it functions. It is important to design buildings to maintain compatibility with surrounding development, and to design sites that provide for pathways, attractive and functional landscaping, properly proportioned open spaces, and other connecting features that facilitate easy access between public and private places.

LU 2.2 Performance Standards

Employ performance and design standards with sufficient flexibility and appropriate incentives to ensure that development is compatible with surrounding land uses.

Discussion: Performance and design standards should address, among other items, traffic and parking/loading control, structural mass, open space, green areas, landscaping, and buffering.

In addition, they should address safety of persons and property, as well as the impacts of noise, vibration, dust, and odors. An incentive system should be devised that grants bonuses, such as increased building height, reduced parking, and increased density, in exchange for development that enhances the public realm.
LU 3 EFFICIENT LAND USE

Goal: Promote the efficient use of land by the use of incentives, density and mixed-use development in proximity to retail businesses, public services, places of work, and transportation systems.

Policies

LU 3.1 Coordinated and Efficient Land Use

Encourage coordinated and efficient growth and development through infrastructure financing and construction programs, tax and regulatory incentives, and by focusing growth in areas where adequate services and facilities exist or can be economically extended.

Discussion: Future growth should be directed to locations where adequate services and facilities are available. Otherwise, services and facilities should be extended or upgraded only when it is economically feasible to do so.

The Centers and Corridors designated on the Land Use Plan Map are the areas of the city where incentives and other tools should be used to encourage infill development, redevelopment and new development. Examples of incentives the city could use include assuring public participation, using public facilities and lower development fees to attract investment, assisting with project financing, zoning for mixed-use and higher density development, encouraging rehabilitation, providing in-kind assistance, streamlining the permit process, providing public services, and addressing toxic contamination, among other things.

LU 3.2 Centers and Corridors

Designate Centers and Corridors (neighborhood scale, community or district scale, and regional scale) on the Land Use Plan Map that encourage a mix of uses and activities around which growth is focused.

Discussion: Suggested Centers are designated where the potential for Center development exists. Final determination is subject to a sub-area planning process.

Neighborhood Center

Neighborhood Centers designated on the Land Use Plan Map have a greater intensity of development than the surrounding residential areas. Businesses primarily cater to neighborhood residents, such as convenience businesses and services. Drive-through facilities, including gas stations and similar auto-
density up to 44 units per acre and employment densities are adequate to support frequent transit service. The density of housing transitions to a lower level (up to 22 units per acre) at the outer edge of the Corridor. A variety of housing styles, apartments, condominiums, row houses, and houses on smaller lots are allowed. A full range of retail services, including grocery stores serving several neighborhoods, theaters, restaurants, dry-cleaners, hardware stores, and specialty shops are also allowed. Low intensity, auto-dependent uses (e.g., lumber yards, automobile dealers, and nurseries) are prohibited.

Corridors provide enhanced connections to other Centers, Corridors, and downtown Spokane. To accomplish this, it is important to make available safe, attractive transit stops and pedestrian and bicycle ways. The street environment for pedestrians is much improved by placing buildings with multiple stories close to the street with wide sidewalks and street trees, attractive landscaping, benches, and frequent transit stops. Parking lots should not dominate the frontage of these pedestrian-oriented streets, interrupt pedestrian routes, or negatively impact surrounding neighborhoods. Parking lots should be located behind or on the side of buildings whenever possible.

The following locations are designated as Corridors on the Land Use Plan Map:

- North Monroe Street;
- Hillyard Business Corridor; and
- Hamilton Street Corridor.

**Regional Center**

Downtown Spokane is the Regional Center and is the primary economic, cultural and social center of the region. With the creation and development of the University District on the east end of Downtown, it is also a major academic hub with the collaboration of multiple institutions of higher education. Downtown contains the highest density and intensity of land use, and continues to be a targeted area for additional infill housing opportunities and neighborhood amenities to create a more livable experience.

The following location is designated as the Regional Center on the Land Use Plan Map:

- Downtown Spokane

**LU 3.3 Designating Centers and Corridors**

*Designate new Centers or Corridors in appropriate locations on the Land Use Plan Map through a city-approved planning process.*
LU 6.8  Siting Essential Public Facilities

Utilize a process for locating essential public facilities that incorporates different levels of public review depending on facility scale and location.

Discussion: The Growth Management Act requires local governments to include a process for identifying and siting essential public facilities. Essential public facilities include those facilities that are typically difficult to site, such as airports, state education facilities and state or regional transportation facilities, and as defined in RCW 47.06.140, state and local correctional facilities, solid waste handling facilities, and in-patient facilities including substance abuse facilities, mental health facilities, group homes, and secure community transition facilities as defined in RCW 71.09.020.

In 2002, Spokane County adopted the Spokane County Regional Siting Process for Essential Public Facilities. It includes a siting review process, location analysis, and requirements for public involvement and is incorporated into city land use regulations. The Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) references that siting process.

LU 6.9  Facility Compatibility with Neighborhood

Ensure the utilization of architectural and site designs of essential public facilities that are compatible with the surrounding area.

Discussion: It is important that essential public facilities enhance or improve the environment in which they are proposed. Cost considerations should be balanced with attempts to construct buildings and site features that are compatible with their surroundings.

LU 7 IMPLEMENTATION

Goal: Ensure that the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan are implemented.

Policies

LU 7.1  Regulatory Structure

Develop a land use regulatory structure that utilizes a variety of mechanisms to promote development that provides a public benefit.

Discussion: Incentives are one of the tools that can be used to encourage development that is beneficial to the public. For instance, a development may be allowed a higher residential density, greater lot coverage, or increased building height if there is a dedication of open space for public use or some other development feature that results in a direct benefit to the public.
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The regulations should be predictable, reliable, and adaptable to changing living and working arrangements brought about by technological advancements. They should also be broad enough to encourage desirable development and/or redevelopment.

**LU 7.2 Continuing Review Process**

*Develop a broad, community-based process that periodically re-evaluates and directs city policies and regulations consistent with this chapter’s Vision and Values.*

**LU 7.3 Historic Reuse**

*Allow compatible residential or commercial use of historic properties when necessary to promote preservation of these resources.*

**Discussion:** Preservation of historic properties is encouraged by allowing a practical economic use, such as the conversion of a historic single-family residence to a higher density residential or commercial use. A public review process should be required for conversions to a use not allowed in the underlying zoning district. Special attention should be given to assuring that the converted use is compatible with surrounding properties and the zone in which the property is located. Recommendations from the Historic Landmarks Commission and the Historic Preservation Officer should be received by any decision-maker before a decision is made regarding the appropriateness of a conversion of a historic property.

**LU 7.4 Sub-Area Planning Framework**

*Use the Comprehensive Plan for overall guidance and undertake more detailed sub-area and neighborhood planning in order to provide a forum for confronting and reconciling issues and empowering neighborhoods to solve problems collectively.*

**LU 8 URBAN GROWTH AREA**

**Goal:** Maintain an Urban Growth Area that includes areas and densities sufficient to accommodate the city’s allocated population, housing and employment growth for the succeeding twenty-year period, including the accommodation of the medical, governmental, educational, institutional, commercial, and industrial facilities related to such growth, but that does not exceed the area necessary to accommodate such growth.
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4.2 VISION AND VALUES

Vision
“Spokane will have a well-maintained multi-modal transportation system that provides safe and efficient mobility for all, supports economic and community vitality, and promotes a healthy, livable community that respects property and the environment.”

Values
“From the 2001 Comprehensive Plan, the community identified the following important elements of Spokane’s future:

- Ensuring mobility and access within the city;
- Maintaining the ability to access quickly the outdoors from the city;
- Decreasing north-south congestion;
- Increasing the variety and public awareness of transportation choices;
- Developing and maintaining good public transit;
- Maintaining roads;
- Developing and maintaining pedestrian-oriented neighborhoods; and
- Developing convenient access to the downtown area, increasing parking, bus service, light rail, and satellite parking with shuttles, and improving the pedestrian environment.”

In addition, in 2016 the community identified the following as important to Spokane’s future:

Well-maintained multi-modal transportation system:
- year-round accessibility for all people and goods,
- inclusivity, and
- diversity.

Safe and efficient mobility for all:
- safety, including protecting vulnerable users,
- valuing individual time, and
- enhancing personal choice.

Economic and community vitality:
- economic vitality,
- protecting personal rights,
- equitable, and
- technological innovation.
A healthy, livable community

- environmental justice,
- environmental conservation,
- enhancing the quality of life,
- sustainable, and
- stewardship.
TR GOAL B: PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION CHOICES
Meet mobility needs by providing facilities for transportation options - including walking, bicycling, public transportation, private vehicles, and other choices.

INTENT
The objective is to support the desires of the community to have transportation options by providing options for commuting, recreation and short trips using transit and active modes like walking and biking, as well as other choices such as rideshare, carpooling, taxi/for hire services, and private vehicles.

Traditional transportation activities focus on the design and construction of facilities—yet travel behavior and mode choice are determined by a broader set of factors. The city shall continue to create new, and improve the existing multi-modal system, in order to accommodate the safe and efficient movement of all people. Effective transportation system management measures should be utilized to support safe and efficient travel for all users.

TR GOAL C: ACCOMMODATE ACCESS TO DAILY NEEDS AND PRIORITY DESTINATIONS
Promote land use patterns and construct transportation facilities and other urban features that advance Spokane’s quality of life.

INTENT
Land use type, mix, intensity, and distribution - as a result of on-going development of the city - greatly influences travel choices and decisions on connectivity, placement and investments of transportation facilities. Harmonize the key relationship between the places where people live, work, learn, access essential services, play, and shop and their need to have access to these places. Transportation investments should help drive economic development, energize activity centers, provide greater food security for residents, and produce quality places/neighborhoods/communities that retain value through time. Creating prosperous and walkable neighborhoods that offer opportunities for people to meet and connect means thinking of streets as people places as much as vehicle spaces.

Spokane recognizes that transportation needs and travel choices may change over time as new alternatives become available. Other modes become viable when land uses are planned in a way that connects to multiple travel options and the distance between daily needs are closer. Coordinating appropriate transportation options and land uses is important. Transportation facilities should be maintained and improved in a manner that equitably serves Spokane.
changes and elections as successful development requires time to produce observable results. Partner organizations work to reinforce and strengthen the Spokane economy. Greater Spokane Incorporated (GSI), which serves as Spokane's economic development organization and chamber of commerce; the Spokane Public Facilities District; Visit Spokane; Business Improvement Districts; and Public Development Authorities are all examples of organizations working toward a more prosperous Spokane.

7.2 **VISION AND VALUES**

Spokane volunteers working on the Comprehensive Plan identified important themes in relation to Spokane’s current and future growth. A series of visions and values was crafted for each element of the Comprehensive Plan that describes specific performance objectives. From the Visions and Values document, adopted in 1996 by the City Council, the Comprehensive Plan’s goals and policies were generated.

The city’s role in economic development involves providing public sector advocacy and investment in support of quality job creation and retention, diversification, and wage levels. The city’s Comprehensive Plan lays the foundation for economic development.

**Vision**

"Spokane will enjoy a quality of life for everyone that includes a diversified economic base that provides a livable wage, a healthy natural environment, and an economically vibrant downtown. Spokane’s quality of life will be built on a partnership of diverse interests, including education, business, government, and neighborhoods."

**Values**

"The things that are important to Spokane’s future include:

- Encouraging livable wage jobs;
- Developing a viable, economically strong downtown area;
- Developing a variety of job opportunities that include professional and industrial as well as service opportunities;
- Ensuring that economic growth pays its appropriate share for costs of new services needed; and
- Encouraging economic development that values the environment as a component of our quality of life.”
ED 3 STRONG, DIVERSE, AND SUSTAINABLE ECONOMY

Goal: Foster a strong, diverse, and sustainable economy that provides a range of employment and business opportunities.

Policies

ED 3.1 Economic Growth

Stimulate economic growth by supporting the formation, retention, expansion, and recruitment of businesses.

Discussion: Business start-up, retention, expansion, and recruitment activities foster economic growth. The city should explore and pursue opportunities to create an environment where new businesses can start and existing businesses can grow and develop.

ED 3.2 Economic Diversity

Encourage economic diversity through a mix of small and large businesses that provide a healthy balance of goods-producing and service-producing jobs.

Discussion: Encourage a range of industry size and types to provide economic stability during economic shifts.

ED 3.3 Enterprise Opportunities

Continue to create economic development opportunities utilizing tools available to the city which will foster the growth of Spokane’s economy.

ED 3.4 Value Added Business Strategy

Promote value added business practices as an economic strategy.

Discussion: Many communities seek to improve their local economies by quantitative increases in business activities: making more, selling more, and attracting more visitors. However, many innovative communities and businesses are creating more jobs by using a qualitative strategy known as “adding value”. For example, the Spokane region’s natural resource based industries such as timber and agriculture remain a foundation of the local economy and provide business opportunities. Rather than merely extracting and exporting natural resources such as logs and wheat, businesses can “add value” to those resources by manufacturing by-products such as furniture and bread. Other business practices also add value: manufacturing better products rather than more products and creating more interesting experiences and activities to encourage visitors to stay in our area longer. Increasing the production of local by-products and improving the quality of products would generate more local jobs and spending, spur exportation, and potentially reduce the need to import goods-producing materials from other locales.
ED 3.5  Locally-Owned Businesses
Support opportunities to expand and increase the number of locally-owned businesses in Spokane.

Discussion: Locally-owned businesses help to provide economic stability and a positive business environment. Locally-owned industries tend to have a stake in the community, leading to more involved corporate citizenship.

ED 3.6  Small Businesses
Recognize the significant contributions of small businesses to the city’s economy and seek to enhance small business opportunities.

Discussion: Considerable potential for new economic growth exists in encouraging small business startups and enabling them to expand. The city should continue to support and work with partner organizations to remove barriers and promote the startup and expansion of small business.

ED 3.7  Home-Based Businesses
Encourage opportunities for teleworking and home businesses that are compatible with residential neighborhoods.

Discussion: More people are working from their homes, a trend that results from shifts in the economy toward services, corporate downsizing, and improved telecommunications. Teleworking and appropriate home-based businesses can produce many benefits and new business opportunities such as information technology development, reduced traffic congestion, and reduced air pollution.

Development regulations should minimize the potential for negative impacts from home businesses by limiting signs, maintaining the residential appearance of neighborhoods, requiring adequate parking while ensuring that parking and traffic generation fits into the neighborhood and is not excessive, limiting truck deliveries, and appropriately managing other potential adverse impacts.

ED 3.8  Technology-Based Industries
Encourage the development of advanced and emerging technology-based industries.

Discussion: Because of the continued expansion of technology-based industries and the higher paying jobs associated with them, advanced-technology firms can potentially create new jobs while increasing wealth. The most urgent need of the tech industry is a highly skilled workforce. The city should provide an environment that attracts a quality workforce looking for a community that exhibits a high quality of life by designating areas for high-tech business development, especially in centers, that include supportive infrastructure and state-of-the-art communication facilities.
ED 3.9  Regional Marketplace

Support strategies to expand regional markets for local services and products.

Discussion: Expanding the opportunities to export goods and services to other areas of the region and world brings more money into the local economy.

ED 3.10  Downtown Spokane

Promote downtown Spokane as the economic and cultural center of the region.

Discussion: Continue to support our economic partners in revitalizing downtown retail activity, expanding job opportunities in the public and private sectors, attracting recreational, arts, and entertainment and tourist businesses, and developing downtown housing.

ED 4 INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

Goal: Enhance the economic future of the community by encouraging the creation of jobs that provide a livable wage and reduce income disparity.

Policies

ED 4.1  Livable Wage

Encourage the recruitment of businesses that pay wages at least commensurate with the cost of living and that provide health and retirement benefits.

Discussion: A portion of Spokane’s population is underemployed due to the relatively few high paying, high skill jobs. Encouraging employment opportunities that provide full-time and/or high paying jobs with competitive benefits programs helps to elevate Spokane’s employment level.

ED 4.2  Benchmark Indicators

Work with the private sector to establish benchmark indicators for employment and income levels, monitor progress toward reaching those levels, and prepare an annual status report on progress.

Discussion: The city should continue to support the work of Eastern Washington University and other community partners in their efforts to track Spokane’s economic, demographic and community vitality indicators.

ED 4.3  Income Equity

Cooperate with other community agencies and organizations to address income equity and employment opportunities within the Spokane economy.
10.2 VISION AND VALUES

Spokane volunteers working on the Comprehensive Plan identified important themes in relation to Spokane’s current and future growth. A series of visions and values was crafted for each element of the Comprehensive Plan that describes specific performance objectives. From the Visions and Values document, adopted in 1996 by the City Council, the Comprehensive Plan’s goals and policies were generated.

Social health addresses youth, families, senior citizens, people with disabilities, education, public safety, recreation, the arts, quality housing, and cultural opportunities.

Vision

“Spokane will be a safe and nurturing community that provides a diversity of social, recreational, educational, and cultural opportunities for all ages. A strong, positive identity for Spokane will be furthered by constructive community events and activities.”

Values

“The things that are important to Spokane’s future include:

- Providing recreational and educational opportunities for all youth;
- Assuring that Spokane remains a great place to raise a family;
- Treasuring the youth and elders alike;
- Maintaining quality education and avoiding overcrowding in the schools;
- Maintaining a diversity of opportunities for higher education;
- Eliminating and keeping out drug and gang-related criminal activities;
- Implementing neighborhood and community oriented policing;
- Expanding and diversifying cultural opportunities, such as arts, sports, entertainment, and ethnic opportunities;
- Continuing community events that contribute to Spokane’s community identity, such as Hoopfest, Bloomsday, and Pig-Out in the Park; and
- Assuring that access to recreational opportunities is not lost as growth occurs.”
SH 1.7 Surplus City Real Property

Establish a dedicated reserve fund within the City of Spokane’s general fund to cover the cost of leasing any unused city-owned building and/or property that has been determined surplus to non-profit organizations.

Discussion: The Spokane City Council should consider making surplus city property not anticipated for sale available to non-profit organizations for cultural, community, charitable, or civic purposes, according to a sliding scale based on ability to pay, and the relative merit of or need for the intended use for the property. Relevant non-profit organizations receive notification of the disposition hearing on surplus property through the manner outlined in the City of Spokane Charter and RCW 39.33.020.

If a city department has a reimbursable ownership interest in the subject property at the time the City Council decides to donate or lease said property, that department will be compensated from a dedicated reserve fund within the general fund that has been set aside for this purpose.

The goal is to facilitate the delivery of needed programs and services throughout the community. The chosen use must reflect either broad community values, such as the need for more affordable housing, or needs that have been identified by the specific neighborhood.

SH 1.8 Volunteerism

Promote volunteerism as a way to involve citizens in meeting the needs of their neighbors, stretch City of Spokane funding resources, and build a sense of pride in the community.

SH 2 FACILITIES FOR SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS

Goal: Enable and encourage development patterns and uses of public and private property that are responsive to the facility requirements of special needs populations.

Policies

SH 2.1 Care Facilities

Distribute care facilities fairly and equitably throughout all neighborhoods.
Discussion: There is a need, as well as a legal obligation, to distribute essential public facilities fairly and equitably throughout and between all jurisdictions. Facilities of regional/countywide and/or local significance include:

- adult day care,
- child care,
- long-term care facilities, and
- other special need care facilities.

SH 2.2 Special Needs Temporary Housing

*Disperse special needs temporary housing evenly throughout all neighborhoods.*

Discussion: All efforts must be made to ensure that these special needs housing facilities are evenly dispersed throughout all of the city’s neighborhoods. Examples of the types of facilities for which this can be an issue include:

- emergency shelters,
- foster care facilities,
- group homes,
- transitional housing, and
- homeless shelters.

SH 2.3 Compatible Design of Special Needs Facilities

*Ensure that facilities that accommodate special needs populations blend in with the existing visual character of the neighborhood in which they are located.*

Discussion: Neighborhood residents will be more likely to accept a residential care or treatment facility if it contributes to the consistency and appeal of the neighborhood’s visual character.

SH 2.4 Co-Location of Facilities

*Encourage a land use pattern that allows convenient access to daily goods and services, especially for those persons with limited mobility and/or transportation options.*

SH 2.5 Family Day Care Providers’ Home Facilities

*Allow use of a residential dwelling as a family day care provider’s home facility in all areas where housing exists or is permitted.*
**Discussion:** Zoning regulations that relate to family day care providers’ home facilities cannot be any more restrictive than conditions imposed on any other residential dwelling in the same zone. However, certain procedures and conditions may be required insofar as they relate specifically to use of the property as a day care facility, as outlined in RCW 36.70A.450.

**SH 2.6 Joint-Use Facilities**

*Provide for the joint use of facilities that clusters services for child or adult day care, health care, human services, libraries, schools, and cultural, recreational, and educational programs, as needed.*

**SH 2.7 Exceptions to Fair Housing**

*Regulate residential structures occupied by persons who pose a direct proven threat to the health or safety of other individuals or whose tenancy would result in substantial physical damage to the property of others through appropriate and necessary means to protect the public health, safety and welfare.*

**Discussion:** Institutional housing facilities serving individuals in a residential setting who are not subject to fair housing laws, such as the Federal Fair Housing Act and the State Housing Policy Act, but who pose a significant and serious risk to the public health, safety and welfare may be subject to local zoning regulations, per 42 U.S.C. §3604(t)(9). Such a determination must rely on competent and substantial evidence rather than fear, ignorance, or prejudice. These facilities are often difficult to site, but are essential to successful re-entry and rehabilitation. Therefore, siting these housing facilities is an important function of government.

Development regulations identify requirements for on-site supervision, and spacing requirements sufficient to adequately separate uses from each other and buffer vulnerable sites such as schools, day care facilities, parks, community centers, libraries, places of worship and school bus stops. Strategies for public involvement range from initial notification to the option of a public hearing before the Hearing Examiner. The siting process will follow the guidelines in place for siting of essential public facilities.

*See Chapter 3, Land Use, for policies related to the siting of facilities for special needs populations and Chapter 6, Housing for policies related to fair housing.*
texture that improves everyone’s quality of life, and helps us to understand, appreciate, and value each other.

*Chapter 6, Housing, includes policies related to the mix of housing types, as they relate to social health.*

---

**SH 5 PUBLIC BENEFIT USES**

**Goal:** Create policy framework, laws, and regulations that expand and develop wellness programs, affordable and accessible health and human services, affordable and ADA-accessible housing, child and adult day care, and other public benefit uses.

---

**Policies**

**SH 5.1 Coordination of Human Services**

Coordinate with public and private agencies and other appropriate entities to evaluate existing needs, facilities, and programs relative to health and human services, and develop regionally equitable and comprehensive programs and service delivery systems.

**Discussion:** Community-based partners in this coordination process may include social service agencies, legal service providers, schools, libraries, community centers, and neighborhood groups. Efforts should be directed toward issues related to persons who are homeless, disabled, in low-income brackets, reentering the community following release from incarceration, and others in need. Of particular concern are the impacts of deinstitutionalization and the inequities and inefficiencies of service delivery, which can result when location of service provision, geographic distribution of consumers, and funding and programmatic decision-making become disassociated from one another. Cooperation will result in improved coordination, reduced duplication of services, and increased efforts to access and leverage any funds available to the respective entities that support these efforts.

**SH 5.2 Neighborhood-Level Health and Human Services**

Provide financial, regulatory, and tax incentives for business and property owners, service providers, and developers in order to increase the number of neighborhood and district centers where health and dental clinics, and human services are available.
Discussion: Access to health and dental care, and human services, is a fundamental aspect of social health. Therefore, facilities and staffing should be sufficient to enable all citizens to obtain health and human services at the neighborhood level, preferably within walking distance of their home. There are a number of ways the City of Spokane can provide financial support for neighborhood-based health and human services. By adequately funding the Community Housing and Human Services Department, the city provides both the matching money necessary to access outside funding as well as staff whose technical assistance can help non-profit organizations obtain federal, state and private funding for which they are eligible. These efforts should specifically focus on projects that support the location of human services in neighborhood and district centers.

SH 5.3 Space for Public Benefit Uses

Provide regulatory and tax incentives and flexibility that encourage builders, developers, and businesses to make space available in their project for public benefit uses.

Discussion: Any of the following uses qualify as a public benefit use, so long as they are available to the general public: child and/or adult day care; health and human services, such as employment counseling and walk-in clinics; recreation facilities; educational or vocational activities; community meeting rooms; and art galleries or museums.

SH 6 SAFETY

Goal: Create and maintain a safe community through the cooperative efforts of citizens and city departments, such as Planning and Development, Police, Fire, Community, Housing and Human Services, Parks and Recreation, and Neighborhood Services.

Policies

SH 6.1 Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design Themes

Include the themes commonly associated with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) in the normal review process for development proposals.
Discussion: The CPTED concept packages quality planning and design standards into a development tool that supports public safety. Certain themes commonly associated with the CPTED approach include:

- **Activities vs. Locations**: Create a presence of normal activity, which dominates the tone of acceptable behavior and ownership for any given space.

- **Elimination of Anonymous Spaces**: Employ methods that create a perception of territorial ownership in public spaces, such as artwork (as approved by the Arts Commission) on bus shelters, underpasses, and parking lots, as one means to reduce vandalism.

- **Friendly Streetscapes**: Encourage on-street parking (as opposed to expansive parking lots), narrower streets, crosswalks, and sidewalks.

- **Lighting**: Design lighting to specifically support safety, identification, environmental integration, beautification, attraction, and recreation.

- **Variety of Uses**: Include a variety of uses in the same building, which helps to ensure that someone is around the building more frequently; e.g., residential and commercial uses in the same building.

- **Natural Barriers**: Provide natural barriers, such as distance or terrain, to separate conflicting activities.

- **Pedestrian Amenities**: Encourage public interaction and create street activity by providing pedestrian amenities, such as sturdy seating and pedestrian-level lighting in parking lots, walkways, entrances, and exits.

- **Property Maintenance**: Create the impression that someone is monitoring a property by consistently maintaining the property in a way that conveys a pride of ownership.

**SH 6.2 Natural Access Control**

*Use design elements to define space physically or symbolically to control access to property.*

Discussion: Examples of acceptable natural or symbolic elements include visually permeable fences, low walls, prickly shrubbery and canopy trees, signs, pavement, art, and vegetative or fenced screening. These tools can be used effectively to notify an intruder that they have entered someone’s space. The idea is to create a safe environment that still has a people-friendly feel to it. The goal is to discourage access control methods that feel institutional, ranging from labor-intensive organized methods, such as guards, or overt mechanical devices, such as locks and gates. Through application of restraint, it is possible to limit access and declare ownership without sacrificing aesthetics.
SH 6.3  Natural Surveillance

*Design activities and spaces so that users of the space are visible rather than concealed.*

**Discussion:** Activity patterns can be influenced through the design of parking, building orientation, and elements such as windows and landscaping, which encourage visibility and public interaction. It is usually more efficient and cost-effective for people who know their neighbors to assert ownership over their personal and public space than to expect this level of oversight from an outside presence such as a police patrol. Also, people's behavior often corresponds to the quality and character of their environment. For example, people tend to rise to the expectations of a humane environment, whereas an impersonal or anonymous environment suggests that people may not need to be accountable for their actions.

SH 6.4  Territorial Reinforcement

*Employ certain elements to convey a sense of arrival and ownership and guide the public through clearly delineated public, semi-public, and private spaces.*

**Discussion:** Examples of elements that can be used to indicate the location of defensible space include sidewalks, pavement, lighting, landscaping, signage, art, low walls, fencing, and changes in elevation. Public spaces are those intended for all to use, semi-private spaces are intended for specific users or uses, and private space is intended for private use by businesses, tenants, and homeowners.

SH 6.5  Project Design Review

*Include the crime prevention principles of CPTED in any analysis of projects that come before the Design Review Board.*

**Discussion:** Design review for crime prevention should result in recommendations that encourage voluntary, creative solutions rather than mandates, which require specific actions.

SH 6.6  Neighborhood Role

*Encourage neighborhood residents to apply CPTED principles in their consideration of development issues within their own particular neighborhood.*
**Discussion**: Information on CPTED principles should be available to citizens who are interested in proactive steps they can take to make their neighborhood a safer place to live, work, shop, and play.

**SH 6.7 Community Oriented Policing Services**  
*Continue to support the operation and administration of neighborhood-based Community Oriented Policing Services (C.O.P.S.)*.

**Discussion**: Spokane’s Community Oriented Policing Services (C.O.P.S.) is an international model for successful community mobilization and neighborhood level problem solving. This prime example of neighbors helping neighbors is a very effective way to improve neighborhood safety, a key aspect of social health. C.O.P.S. Substations also provide vital venues for decentralized, neighborhood-based collaborative outreach between agencies.

---

**SH 7 CRIMINAL JUSTICE**

**Goal**: Provide evidence based criminal justice services that use police, prosecutors, courts, public defenders, treatment and supervision to reduce crime and recidivism while supporting victims.

**Policies**

**SH 7.1 Racial Equity in the Criminal Justice System**  
*Implement cost-effective, research-based, smart justice reforms to eliminate racial disproportionality in arrests, sentencing and incarceration.*

**SH 7.2 Disproportional incarceration of individuals with Mental or Cognitive Disabilities**  
*Implement cost-effective, research-based, smart justice reforms and funding that utilize comprehensive assessment and placement at non-jail facilities for community members who suffer from mental or cognitive disabilities and can be safely housed outside a jail.*

**SH 7.3 Therapeutic Courts and Jail Diversion Center**  
*Expand the use of therapeutic courts and non-jail alternatives to increase the provision of treatment and rehabilitation in order to reduce recidivism.*

**Discussion**: Therapeutic courts oversee the treatment and rehabilitation of those who suffer from mental illness or addiction. Studies consistently show that therapeutic courts are more effective than their traditional counterparts at reducing recidivism. Incarceration is both costly and largely ineffective at rehabilitation. Non-jail alternatives, including diversion, keep people employed and housed. Developing a jail diversion center and law