DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE "DNS"

FILE NO(S): 2017058

PROPONENT: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: CSO 20 Outfall Pipe Removal

Date Issued: May 2, 2019

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY:

Bank of Latah Creek down the hill from High Drive at about 33rd Avenue.

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

intorma	ition on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.
[]	There is no comment period for this DNS.
[]	This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
[X]	This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for
	At least 14 days from the date of issuance (below).
* * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Responsible Official: Kyle Twohig	
Positio	n/Title: Director of Engineering Services Phone: (509) 625-6700
Addres	ss: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201

APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION, after it becomes final, may be made to the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner, 808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201. The appeal deadline is fourteen (14) calendar days after the signing of the DNS. This appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official, make specific factual objections and be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

Contact Frances Perkins at (509) 625-6700 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: CSO 20 Outfall Pipe Removal

FILE No.: 2017058

E-mail Copies

City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Luis Garcia
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff* **
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller* **
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot *
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Inga Note**
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis* **
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller * **
- Integrated Capital Management: Scotty Allenton* **
- Library Services, Attn: Dana Dalrymple*
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Garrett Jones*
- PCED, Attn: Theresa Sanders
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Joelie Eliason
- Planning & Development, Attn: Erik Johnson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Dean Gunderson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Planning & Development, Attn: Andy Schenk
- Planning & Development, Attn: Heather Trautman
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt. Chuck Reisenauer*
- Public Works, Attn: Scott Simmons
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Bob Turner**
- Street Operations, Attn: Gary Kaesemeyer**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Coster**
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Scott Engelhard
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Paul Savage
 Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dave Andersen
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Habitat Program

Other Agencies

- American Medical Response, Attn: Lori Koch
- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Chambers
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Randy Myhre
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Eric Grainger
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Lori Barlow
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Mike Basinger
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Erin Casci
- Spokane Aguifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section 31, Quarter SW, Township 25N, Range 43E)

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: CSO 20 Outfall Pipe Removal

FILE No.: 2017058

COMMENTS: (Use additional sheets if necessary)

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

File No.

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1.	Name of proposed project: CSO 20 Outfall Pipe Removal
2.	Applicant: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services
3.	Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard
	City/State/Zip: <i>Spokane/WA/99201</i> Phone: (509) 625-6700
	Agent or Primary Contact: <u>Dan Buller</u>
	Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd
	City/State/Zip: Spokane WA 99201 Phone: 509-625-6700
	Location of Project: See four attached exhibits - bank of Latah Cr. down the hill from High Dr. at about
	33rd
	Address: None
	Section: 31 Quarter: SW Township: 25N Range: 43E
	Tax Parcel Number(s) 35313.0002
4.	Date checklist prepared: April 30, 2019
5.	Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services
6.	Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Summer/fall 2019
7.	a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected
	with this proposal? If yes, explain. No
	b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain. Yes, the
	city owns most of the adjacent parcels
8.	List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared,
	directly related to this proposal. None
9.	Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly
	affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. None known
10	List any government approvals or powerto that will be used of far your property if the same Charatters
10.	List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. Shorelines
	substantial development permit from city of Spokane

11.	Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. The proposed project removes approx. 40' of combined sewer outfall pipe to Latah Cr. Because of recent upgrades to the City's sewer system, combined sewer will no longer overflow to Latah Cr. so this unsightly pipe (see attached pictures will be removed). The pipe is approximately 5' deep so this is a relatively minor impact project.
12.	Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist. See four attached exhibits - bank of Latah Cr. down the hill from High Dr. at about 33rd
13.	Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries). Yes to all

- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
- a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
 - (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities). None
 - (2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored? No _____

	(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. <i>None, not applicable</i>
	(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater? No
b.	Stormwater
	(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)? At least 50'
	(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts. Not as a result of this project
В.	ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS
1.	Earth
a.	General description of the site (check one):
	☐ Flat ☐ Rolling ☐ Hilly ☒ Steep slopes ☐ Mountainous
	Other:
b.	What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? 50%
C.	What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. <i>Sand</i>
d.	Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe Yes, the bank is eroding due to action of the nearby Latah Cr
e.	Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill: Excavation necessary to remove the pipe will total about 50 CY
f.	Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe Yes, this project is on a slope that is already eroding

g.	About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)? <i>None</i>
h.	Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: Construction will occur in late summer when the chance of rainfall is at a minimum and just before autumn when hydroseeding (for restoration) has the best chance of taking root. Also the disturbed area will be covered with jute mats (or similar biodegradable product) for erosion control
2.	Air
a.	What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. None other than short term associated with construction equipment
b.	Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. No
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: None
3.	Water
a.	SURFACE WATER:
	(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. Latah Cr. which flows to the Spokane River
	(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Yes, the proposed project removes existing piping which previously conveyed combined sewage (stormwater and sanitary sewage) which exceeded the capacity of the upstream sewer to Latah Cr. The capacity of the upstream sewer has been expanded and so this overflow piping is no longer and, because it is unsightly, will be removed. No work is proposed within the water.

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. None
(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No
(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. No
(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. No, this project is part of a series of project designed to eliminate discharge of waste materials to surface waters
GROUNDWATER:
(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No
(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. None
WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):
(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.
This project does not pertain to stormwater – the site is 100% pervious and will remain so after the project with the same site contours as currently exist

b.

C.

	(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. Possible due to erosion. Erosion control measures as described above will be implemented.
	(3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. No, the site is 100% pervious and will remain so after the project with the same site contours as currently exist.
d.	PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any. Proper erosion control.
4.	Plants
a.	Check the type of vegetation found on the site:
	Deciduous tree: ☐ alder ☐ maple ☐ aspen
	Other:
	Evergreen tree: fir cedar pine
	Other:
	☑ Shrubs ☐ Grass ☐ Pasture ☐ Crop or grain
	☐ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
	Wet soil plants: ☐ cattail ☐ buttercup ☐ bull rush ☐ skunk cabbage Other:
	Water plants: ☐ water lily ☐ eelgrass ☐ milfoil
	Other:
	Other types of vegetation: Dryland grass
b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? One tree approx. 20" in diameter, two trees less than 6" diameter, dryland grass
C.	List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. <i>None.</i>

d.	Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: <i>Dryland grass restoration of disturbed area.</i>
е.	List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. None observed
5.	Animals
a.	<u>Check and List</u> any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:
	Birds: ☒ hawk ☐ heron ☐ eagle ☒ songbirds
	Other:
	Mammals: ⊠ deer □ bear □ elk □ beaver
	Other:
	Fish: ☐ bass ☐ salmon ☐ trout ☐ herring ☐ shellfish
	Other: unknown
	Other (<u>not</u> listed in above categories):
b.	List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site. None known
C.	Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Yes. Within 20 miles of bird sanctuary.
d.	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: None
e.	List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. None known
6.	Energy and natural resources
a.	What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. <i>None</i>
b.	Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. <i>No.</i>

C.	What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: None
7.	Environmental health
a.	Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. No
	(1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses. <i>None known or likely</i>
	(2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. None
	(3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. None
	(4) Describe special emergency services that might be required. None
	(5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: None
b.	NOISE:
	(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? None.
	(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. Short-term construction equipment noise during time of construction. City noise ordinance is from 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. There are no nearby residences or businesses.
	(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: City of Spokane Noise Ordinance.

8.	Land and shoreline use
a.	What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Undeveloped natural area.
b.	Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or non-forest use? <i>No</i>
	 Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: No
C.	Describe any structures on the site. Buried combined sewer overflow piping that is proposed for removal
d.	Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? Yes, the buried combined sewer overflow piping is proposed for removal as described above.
e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site? Residential single family
f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? Open space
g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? Natural environment
h.	Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify. \$\$This area is contained within the "Aquifer Sensitive Area", "Critical Aquifer Recharge Area" and "Erodible Soil".
i.	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? None.
j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? None.
k	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: N/A.

l.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: It is – no change in existing land use as a result of this project
m.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: N/A.
9.	Housing
a.	Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. <i>None</i> .
b.	Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. <i>None</i> .
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: N/A
10.	Aesthetics
a.	What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? <i>N/A – removing buried pipe.</i>
b.	What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? <i>None</i> .
C ,,	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: None.
11.	Light and Glare
a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? None.
b.	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? No
C.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? None
d	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: <i>None</i> .

12. Recreation

a.	What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Hiking trails on High Dr. bluff.
b.	Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. No except a potential temporary impact during the short proposed project
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: <i>None</i>
13.	. Historic and cultural preservation
a.	Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. <i>No.</i>
b.	Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. Yes, per the City's historic preservation officer, there are known archaeological sites in the area which have been identified as follows: Known archaeological sites in the area: Date Recorded: 2017-05-25T09:38:11.513 Smithsonian Number: SP00828 Site Name: Pre Contact Lithic Material
	Adjacent Cultural Resource Study: NADB: 1352669 Title: Cultural Resources Assessment of US 195 Hatch Road to Interstate 90 Improvement Project, Spokane Document Type: Survey Report Author: Bowers, Robin This study location is below the project site which is on the bluff. Included 6 known archaeological sites and one new site.
C.	Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. <i>Conversations with the city's historic preservation officer</i>

d.	Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required construction phase monitoring			
14.	Transportation			
a.	Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. <i>None, see attached location exhibits</i>			
b.	Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? No			
c.	How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? <i>None</i>			
d.	Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). <i>No</i>			
e.	Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No			
f.	How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? <i>None</i>			
g.	Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe. <i>No</i>			
h.	Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: None.			
15	. Public services			
a.	Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. <i>No</i>			

Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any: None.
. Utilities
Check utilities currently available at the site:
□ electricity
☐ natural gas
□ water
refuse service
☐ telephone
☐ sanitary sewer
☐ septic system
Other: This is a natural area – there are no utilities available nor needed at the site
Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed: <i>None</i> .
SIGNATURE
the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful ck of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Non-Significance that might issue in reliance upon this checklist. Ate: April 30, 2019 Signature: Dease Print or Type: Opponent: City Of Spokane Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane WA 1201 Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane WA 1201 Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane WA 1201
erson completing form (if different from proponent): Dan Buller
none: 625-6700, Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane WA 99201

FOF	ST	AFF USE ONLY
Staf	f me	mber(s) reviewing checklist:
Based conclu		this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff that:
	A.	there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
	В.	probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
	C.	there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.

Evaluation for Agency Use Only







