

(WAC 197-11-970) Section 11.10.230(3) Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)

File No. 2008094/2010102/2010137

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Description of Proposal: Upriver Drive Sewer; CSO Basin 41 Control Facility; and Upriver Drive Sewer Lift Station

Proponent: City of Spokane

Location of proposal, including street address, if any: The proposal is located in the right-of-way of Upriver Drive from Freya Street to Frederick Avenue.

Lead agency: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed Environmental Checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

I	ſ	1	There	is no	comment	period	for	this	DNS
1			HIGHE	13 110	COMMENT	DELIOU	101	UIIIO	DIVO.

- [] This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in Section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- [X] This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by March 7, 2011.

Responsible official: Perry M. Taylor, P.E.

Position/Title: Director, Engineering Services

Phone: (509) 625-6700

Address: 2nd Floor, City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201-3343

Date: February 21, 2011

Signature:

You may appeal this determination to Perry M. Taylor, P.E.

at (location): 2nd Floor, City Hall, Spokane, WA 99201-3343

no later than (date): March 7, 2011

by (method): written

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Contact John Mercer at (509) 625-6270 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

Engineering Services File CC:

Planning Services (w/encl.)

Traffic Design

Spokane Regional Transportation Council (w/encl.)

Capital Programs Development

John Mercer

Engineering Services - Design

Gary Nelson, P.E., Principal Engineer

Neighborhood Services

Department of Ecology, ERO (w/encl.) 4601 North Monroe Street #100

Spokane, WA 99205

Attn: Terri Costello, SEPA Coordinator

Washington State Department of Ecology (w/encl.)

Environmental Review

PO Box 47703

Olympia, WA 98504-7703

30000944dns

ENVIRONMENTAL

CHECKLIST

SPOKANE
ENVIRONMENTAL
ORDINANCE

SECTION 11.10.230(1)

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Environmental Checklist

<u>File No.: City of Spokane Project No. 2008094 and Project No. 2010102</u> **Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply". IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal", "proposer", and "affected geographic area", respectively.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Upriver Drive Sewer Project (#2008094) CSO Basin 41 Control Facility (#2010102) Upriver Drive Sewer Lift Station (#2010137)

2. Name of Applicant:

Department of Engineering Services on behalf of City of Spokane

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Contact Person: David Daly, P.E. Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.

Office #: (509)625-6267 Fax #: (509)625-6349

- 4. Date checklist prepared: January 14, 2011
- 5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Spokane, Washington; Building and Planning Services Department

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Construction is scheduled to commence in the summer of 2011.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

A Cultural Resources Report was prepared in March, 2010. This report was authored by Steven Dampf (Historical Research Associates, Inc. (HRA)).

 Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

- List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
 - a) City Shoreline Exemption
 - b) County Shoreline Exemption
 - c) NPDES General Construction Permit
 - d) Spokane County Obstruction Permit
 - e) City of Spokane Obstruction Permit
- 11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

The City of Spokane plans to construct approximately 7,300 linear feet of sewer line within the current right-of-way of Upriver Drive between Rebecca Street and Frederick Street (Project No. 2008094). Approximately 1,000 linear feet of the line will be sewer force main and will be accompanied by the construction of a lift station (Project No. 2010137). The lift station footprint is limited to a small tract of land that encompasses less than 9,500 square feet. The Lift station building itself will comprise an approximate 10' x 15' concrete masonry unit (CMU) structure. The remainder of the sewer line is design to be gravity flow. The sewer line will be comprised of pipes ranging in size from 12 inches to 30 inches. In addition to the sewer main project, a combined sewer overflow (CSO) storage facility, for basin 41, will be installed west of the Rebecca Street intersection and parallel to the new sewer line (No. 2010102). This CSO 41 concrete storage vault will be approximately 35' wide by 250' long and will also be situated below the paved surface at the Rebecca Street/Upriver Drive intersection.

To install the sewer lines, lift station and CSO facility, excavation below the existing pavement is anticipated to depths up to 30 feet below grade. Shoring will be implemented in some locations as needed to contain or minimize excavation limits. This project will install a total of approximately 36 manholes to service the sewer lines, lift station and CSO facility; as well as replace approximately 15,000 square yards of asphalt (i.e. the Upriver Drive paved surface). A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be prepared and implemented prior to any earthwork linked to this project.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and

section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

This sewer line project is located just north of the Spokane River in Sections 10 and 11, Township 25 North, Range 43 East in Spokane County, WA (see attached project locator map). This project falls along the existing right-of-way of Upriver Drive, between Freya Street (Western Limit) and Frederick Avenue (Eastern Limit).

It should be noted that this project falls under two local jurisdictions (i.e. the City of Spokane and Spokane County). The City of Spokane has jurisdiction over the two segments that span between Rebecca to Havana and from Buckeye to Frederick. Spokane County has jurisdiction over the middle portion of the project, which spans between Havana to Buckeye.

The lift station will be located in the northwest quadrant of the (Custer Road) Beau Rivage West/Upriver Drive intersection. This location is located in Section 11, Township 25 North, Range 43 East in Spokane County, WA. The lift station is located in Spokane County.

The CSO 41 project is located north of the Spokane River in Section 10, Township 25 North, Range 43 East in the City of Spokane. The Project is located within existing Upriver Drive and City of Spokane right-of-way at the intersection of Upriver Drive and Rebecca Street. The proposed storage facility will extend approximately 500 feet west of the Upriver Drive/Rebecca Street intersection along Upriver Drive.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries).

According to the City of Spokane's GIS Map pertaining to ASA, and PSSA, this project falls entirely within a designated ASA and PSSA. Similarly, the County's Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) Map depicts the middle portion of this project is within a CARA areas that is classified as having "High Susceptibility."

A portion of the project area falls within the City of Spokane and within Spokane County (see attached project locator map).

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
 - a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)
 - (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Not applicable.

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

Not applicable.

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater? This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

The new sewer lines, lift station, and the CSO storage facility will be tested prior to use to ensure that leaks will not occur.

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No

- b. Stormwater
- (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Depths to groundwater and bedrock exceed (are deeper than) the bottom of the anticipated excavation limits of the trenches, based upon the findings of a geotechnical investigation conducted for the project.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Stormwater will not be discharged into the ground, but the volume of stormwater presently discharged to the Spokane River in the combined sewer collection system will be reduced.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. EARTH

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous other:

Flat, the existing elevations along Upriver Drive, correlate to a range of approximately 1,907 to 1,942 feet above sea level.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The fill slopes associated with Upriver Drive that taper toward the Spokane River equates to approximately 45% or a 1:1 ratio. Slopes on the land to be occupied by the lift station are approximately 5%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

The mapped native soils for the project action area include: Garrison gravelly and very gravelly loams, o to 8 percent slopes. These soils are listed as "Prime farmland, if they are irrigated."

The agricultural soil designation is not relevant since the project action area encompasses a paved roadway. Based upon investigation at various locations, the upper one to two feet immediately below the asphalt of Upriver Drive contains non-native structural road-base materials (e.g. fractured rock/gravel).

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Yes – somewhat; the asphalt along Upriver Drive displays minor longitudinal, transverse and alligator cracking, which may be an indication of unsettled or not fully compacted sub-surface materials. Per the Spokane County Soil Survey, the mapped native soils have an erosion hazard potential that is determined to be "slight." In addition, soil stabilization methods (e.g. gabions) have been implemented in areas along Upriver Drive.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Native/existing soils will be used to backfill the trenches, except for approximately 4,000 cubic yards of imported sand that will be used to bed the new sewer pipes. There will be no net fill; the vertical alignment of Upriver Drive will be restored post construction to pre-construction contours.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Yes it is possible during the open trenching; however, BMPs such as shoring the excavation limits when needed and implementing a SWPPP should minimize the potential of erosion during construction.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

As a result of this project, there will be a

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

slight increase in impervious surfaces. The lift station structure will increase impervious surfaces 100 to 200 square feet.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

> See the response to "f" on the previous page. Barren soils post construction will be revegetated with native upland seed mix.

2. AIR

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

During construction: dust is possible and emissions from internal combustion engines will occur. After the project is completed, the air quality in the immediate area will not see a significant change. An occasional odor at the lift station or CSO tank site may be detected during maintenance.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Use of water trucks to minimize dust impacts during the earthwork. Odor control measures will be implemented for the CSO storage facility and lift station.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

3. WATER

Surface:

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lake, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes, the Spokane River is located immediately south of the project.

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

A large percentage of the sewer line alignment is situated within the 200 foot shoreline buffer of the Spokane River. The line will be located approximately under the roadway centerline of Upriver Drive.

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None.

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No excavation is anticipated waterward (or south) of the designated 100year flood plain. Pursuant to Firmette or FEMA Maps (i.e. Map ID #'s: 53063C0562D and 53063C0561D) the 100-year flood plain (or the base flood elevations) ranges in the project vicinity from El. 1903 (at the eastern project limits, near Frederick Ave) to El. 1897 (at the western project limits, near Freva Street). Some excavation limits may be below the designated 100-flood plain elevations (landward of the floodplain); however, no impact to the actual 100-year flood plain is anticipated.

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

None.

b. Ground:

(1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No - not applicable.

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None.

- c. Water Runoff (including storm water):
 - (1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Stormwater from street surfaces in the project vicinity will be collected into the new CSO storage facility and piped to the existing combined storm sewer system for treatment at the City of Spokane Riverside Park Water Reclamation Facility.

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

During peak storm events (greater than a 2-year event), stormwater will continue to discharge into the Spokane River. This project improves the existing conditions, in which currently all storm events discharge into the Spokane River. The sizing of the CSO storage facility is based on the regulatory conditions set forth by the Department of Ecology.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any (if the proposed action lies within the <u>Aquifer Sensitive Area</u> be especially clear on explanations relating to facilities concerning Sections 3b(4), 3b(5), and

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

3c(2) of this checklist).

Disposal of surface runoff as described above is the only practical method for this project.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

4. PLANTS

a.	BOLD type of vegetation found on the site:					
	deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen,					
	cottonwood, locust.					
	evergreen tree: fir, cedar, ponderosa					
	pine, other.					
	shrubs - snowberry, Oregon grape.					
	grass – cheat grass, smooth brome.					
	pasture.					
	crop or grain.					
	wet soil plants, cattail, buttercup,					
	bulrush, skunk cabbage, other.					
	water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other.					
	other types of vegetation.					
	other types of vegetation.					
b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?					
	Small amount of grass will be removed. No impacts to woody vegetation are anticipated.					
c.	List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.					
	None known – see attached letter from USFWS dated (March 3, 2010).					
d.	Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:					
	Barren soils post construction will be re-					

5. ANIMALS

a. **BOLD** any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

vegetated with native upland seed mix.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, quail, waterfowl.

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known – see attached letter from USFWS dated (March 3, 2010).

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes, the Spokane River is a migration route.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This project will not disturb the natural riparian areas located along the Spokane River. All construction work is contained within the existing paved areas of Upriver Drive.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Electricity for pumps and controls.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

High efficiency electric motors.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

None, except dust during construction activities.

 Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None.

(2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Watering for dust control during construction.

b. Noise:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other?

Felts Field (local airport) is located within one half-mile of the project action area. Construction equipment noise levels should not supersede the air-traffic noise levels exhibited from the airport property.

(2) What type and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

example: traffic, construction, operation, other? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term construction equipment noise during time of construction. City noise ordinance restricts noise generating activities from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Adhering to the City of Spokane and Spokane County Noise Ordinances.

8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Upriver Drive is a traveled paved roadway. Adjacent properties have several mixed uses; some uses include residential homes/apartments and some industrial (e.g. power sub-stations) uses in the project vicinity. The lift station site is currently vacant property.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

The lift station will comprise an approximate 10' x 15' CMU structure.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

No.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The actual site is a roadway right-of-way, which does not have a "zoning classification." Adjacent properties are zoned residential and industrial.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The actual site is a roadway right-of-way, which does not have a "comprehensive plan designation."

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the Site?

The project site lays immediately adjacent to the Spokane River. The current shoreline District designation in the project vicinity is "Upriver." Within Spokane County, the project lies within the "Conservancy" designation.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

This area is contained within the "Aquifer Sensitive Area" as outlined by the Spokane County Engineer's "208" Water Quality Management Program. The project falls within the Upriver Shoreline District.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Not applicable.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

None.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
 Not applicable.
- Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

None.

9. HOUSING

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

 Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

None.

10. AESTHETICS

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Electric control cabinets, 7 feet high. The lift station structure will be approximately 10' high.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

altered or obstructed?

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. LIGHT AND GLARE

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. RECREATION

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The Centennial trail parallels the project between Upriver Drive pavement and the Spokane River.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

Yes, this portion of the Centennial trail may be closed for short periods of time during construction of the project.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Provide temporary barriers along active construction zones to keep the trail open.

13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

No (see HRA report, dated March, 2010).

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

The proximity of the Spokane River is the most significant potential resource in the project vicinity in terms of potential archaeological, scientific or cultural importance.

 Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Implement HRA recommendations, which include: the development of an inadvertent discovery plan and archaeological monitoring during construction activities.

14. TRANSPORTATION

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Upriver Drive will be closed during construction from Freya Street to Frederick

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

Avenue. A detour route will be implemented.

b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Spokane Transit Authority (STA) does provide transit service on Upriver Drive (within the project area).

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

None.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing road and/or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

> Disturbed portions of Upriver Drive pavement will be replaced post construction to pre-construction contours. No change to Upriver Drive is anticipated as a result of this project.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

None.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

transportation impacts, if any:

A transportation detour route will be developed and implemented during construction of the project.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: Fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

Yes - sewer maintenance.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

None.

16. UTILITIES

- a. **Bold** utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system and storm sewer.
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Sewer and combined sewer facilities (City of Spokane).

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under the penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any determination of nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: February 8, 2011

Proponent: City of Spokane

Signature: 1

Address: 808 W Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, WA 99201

Phone: (509)265-6700

Person completing form: *David Daly*, *P.E.*

Date: February 8, 2011

Phone: (509) 625-6267

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff Membe	r(s) Reviewing Checklist: DHN MERCER
Signature:	Jan. Men
Based on this the staff:	s staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information,
Α	Concludes that there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a determination of nonsignificance.
В	Concludes that probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a mitigated determination of nonsignificance with conditions.
C	Concludes that there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a determination of significance.

FILING FEE - \$75.00



United States Department of the Interior





FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Washington Fish and Wildlife Office

Eastern Washington Field Office 11103 East Montgomery Drive Spokane Valley, WA 99206

October 5, 2010

Cindy J. Kinzer, P.E. Associate Engineer - Design City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd Spokane, WA 99201-3343

Updated Species List for the City of Spokane Corporate Limits, Spokane County,

WA (File #870.2101)

Reference Number: 13410-2011-SL-0003

Cross Reference: 1-9-10-SP-0014, 1-9-09-SP-0091

Dear Ms. Kinzer,

Per your September 8, 2010, letter the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) is writing to update the March 3, 2010 species list FWS 1-9-09-SP-0014 for the subject project. No Federally listed, proposed, or candidate species are like ly to occur within the city limits of Spokane.

This letter officially updates the previous list and provides you with a new reference number, 13410-2011-SL-0003. You should refer to this species list number in all subsequent correspondence. This update fulfills the requirements of the Service under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act), as amended.

Information regarding Federal agency obligations under the Act, biological assessments, and candidate species has been provided to you in previous correspondence from this office. If you have any questions, please contact Michelle Eames in this office at (509) 893-8010 or via email at Michelle Eames@fws.gov. Thank you for your efforts to protect our nation's species and their habitats.

Sincerely,

Ken S. Berg, Manager

Washington Fish and Wildlife Office



P-(Yearm*)CMI 3D Projects/C302010_2010102-C5O 41/PRESENTATION.dwg, vlc.map, 2/1/2011 11:16:25 AM, 1:1,02825