

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-970) Section 11.10.230(3)
Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)

File No. 2016059

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Description of Proposal: Peaceful Valley Trail and Clark Avenue Water and Pavement Replacement

Proponent: City of Spokane Engineering Services

Location of proposal, including street address, section, township and range if any: The project is located within the Peaceful Valley neighborhood of Spokane, Washington just west of downtown; western project limit is People's Park parking lot located at 2790 West Riverside Avenue; eastern project limit is Glover Field located at 1308 West Main Avenue; improvement work will be on or near the following streets: Clark Avenue, Elm Street and Water Avenue.

Lead agency: City of Spokane, Department of Engineering Services

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed Environmental Checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

- There is no comment period for this DNS.
- This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in Section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by April 19, 2017.

Responsible official: Kyle Twohig

Position/Title: Engineering Operations Manager

Phone: (509) 625-6700

Address: 2nd Floor, City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201-3343

Date: April 5, 2017

Signature: _____

You may appeal this determination to Kyle Twohig, Engineering Operations Manager

at (location): 2nd Floor, City Hall, Spokane, WA 99201-3343

no later than (date): April 19, 2017

by (method): written

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Contact Frances Perkins at (509) 625-6700 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: Peaceful Valley Trail and Clark Avenue Water and Pavement Replacement

FILE No.: 2016059

E-mail Copies

City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- Building Department, Attn: John Halsey
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Heather Trautman
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff**
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller**
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot *
- GIS, Attn: Steven Allenton
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis**
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller**
- Library Services, Attn: Dana Dalrymple*
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: Jonathan Mallahan & ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Tony Madunich*
- PCED, Attn: Theresa Sanders
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Lisa Key
- Planning & Development, Attn: Julie Neff
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt Chuck Reisenauer*
- Public Works, Attn: Scott Simmons
- Solid Waste, Attn: Scott Windsor
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Inga Note**
- Treasury Accounting Group, Attn: Kim Bustos, Gavin Cooley, Renee Robertson, Trisha Schroff & Kevin Young
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Costner**
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Scott Engelhard
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Paul Savage
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dee Caputo
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sikes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Karin Divens - Habitat Program

Other Agencies

- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Chambers
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Byus
- Avista Utilities, Randy Myhre
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Lori Barlow
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Mike Basinger
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Erin Casci
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Jacki Corley
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Amanda Mansfield
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: Peaceful Valley Trail and Clark Avenue Water and Pavement Replacement

FILE No.: 2016059

COMMENTS: (Use additional sheets if necessary)

Authorized Signature

Department or Agency

Date

Concurrency
Passed/Failed

**State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

File No. 2016059

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "*does not apply.*"

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project: Peaceful Valley Trail & Clark Ave. Water & Pavement Replacement
2. Applicant: City of Spokane
3. Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.
City/State/Zip: Spokane WA, 99201-3343 Phone: 509-625-6700
Agent or Primary Contact: Dan Buller
Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.
City/State/Zip: Spokane WA, 99201-3343 Phone: 509-625-6391
Location of Project: Peaceful Valley neighborhood, Spokane WA
Address: Along Clarke and Water Avenues between People's Park and Glover Field
Section: 13 Quarter: SW1/4 Township: T25N Range: R42E
Tax Parcel Number(s) 25242.1201(People's); 25133.1807; 25134.3534(River Walk); 25134.3508(Community Garden); 35183.2101(Glover)
4. Date checklist prepared: April 4, 2017
5. Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): 2018 or 2019 construction

7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. Yes, other city projects will continue this project's trail from Glover Field to Riverfront Park.

- b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain. Yes, the City of Spokane owns a number of parcels adjacent and near project, land which is intended to remain undeveloped to provide natural buffer to river.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. The project has a completed final draft Habitat Management Plan; a Cultural Resources Study and Report is currently being prepared.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. Yes, project is currently pursuing a Cultural Resources Study that will require DAHP and Spokane Tribe review
-
-
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. City of Spokane Shoreline Permit, possibly JARPA
-
-
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. Construction of trail-head improvements at Glover Field and People's Park; construction of 10' wide mix-use trail between the aforementioned trail-heads; construction of retaining wall structures to widen roadway; water main and pavement replacement on Clarke Ave.
-
12. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist. The project is located with the Peaceful Valley neighborhood of Spokane WA, just west of downtown; Western project limit is People's Park parking lot located at 2790 W. Riverside Ave; eastern project limit is Glover Field located at 1308 W. Main Ave; Improvement work will be on or near the following streets: Clarke Ave, Elm St, and Water Ave.
-
13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.) The project is located within the City of Spokane; lies within the Aquifer Sensitive Area; lies within the General Sewer Service Area
-

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities). Swales will be constructed in misc. locations to treat stormwater - in accordance with Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored? Storage of chemicals such as organic solvents and petroleum fuels are not anticipated to be stored within or near the project.

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems. Fueling operations shall be in accordance with the contractor's Pollution Prevention Plan, to include safety measures to contain and dispose of any inadvertent spillage

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater? No

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)? Groundwater levels roughly match adjacent river levels

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Swales will be constructed in misc. locations to treat stormwater - in
accordance with Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (check one):

Flat Rolling Hilly Steep slopes Mountainous

Other: Project construction will primarily follow existing roadway grade; project will require construction of relatively low (under 7') retaining walls adjacent to existing roadway for widening.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Road slopes are 7% or less; adjacent slopes are up to 50%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.
Springdale gravelly sandy loam (silty sand with gravel)

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.
No

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill: Full depth road replacement at existing grade. Most portions of the trail will be constructed approx. at existing grade...there are two segments where short (<7') fill retaining walls will be constructed. Source of fill and quantities not yet known.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
Yes...that is why an TESC will be prepared and followed

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)? The project site is bounded by an existing paved road.
This project will add approx. 6000 SY of HMA.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: _____
Develop and follow TESC

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. Typical construction equipment shall be employed for excavation and material lay down. Emission quantities generated during construction, operation and maintenance are have not been quantified.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. None known

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: None

3. Water

a. SURFACE WATER:

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. The Spokane River is a nearby body of water that flows year round.

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Yes, the majority of proposed work will be within the 200' boundary.

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. Proposed work will not involve placement of fill or removal of material from Spokane River or wetlands.

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. Yes, there are portions along the trail that extend into the 100-year flood plain.

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. Project will not include discharge of any waste material to surface waters.

b. GROUNDWATER:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No withdrawal of groundwater will be performed as part of this project.

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. No waste material will be discharged into the ground.

Swales may be constructed per Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. Runoff will be collected and routed for treatment in swales constructed in accordance with Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual to the maximum extent feasible

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. No

(3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. No appreciable alteration of existing drainage patterns will be made
by this project.

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage patter impacts, if any. Construction shall be performed in accordance with an approved
Pollution Prevention Plan to include stormwater runoff. Measures to include, but not limited
to, concentrated flow inlet protection (ie sandbags), proper silt fence installation adjacent to areas
that are to be disturbed, application of hydroseed with tacifier to disturbed areas, and
timely sweeping of soil from roadways.

4. Plants

a. Check the type of vegetation found on the site:

Deciduous tree: alder maple aspen

Other: _____

Evergreen tree: fir cedar pine

Other: _____

Shrubs Grass Pasture Crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops

Wet soil plants: cattail buttercup bullrush skunk cabbage

Other: _____

Water plants: water lily eelgrass milfoil

Other: _____

Other types of vegetation: _____

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? Misc shrubs and grasses

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. Per the project's HMP there are no known threatened or endangered species on or near the site.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: Replacement of lost plants will be in accordance with the HMP

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. None known

5. Animals

- a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds: hawk heron eagle songbirds

Other: _____

Mammals: deer bear elk beaver

Other: _____

Fish: bass salmon trout herring shellfish

Other: _____

Other (not listed in above categories): _____

- b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.

None known

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Unknown, within 20 miles of a bird sanctuary

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: None

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. No known invasive animal species is believed to be on or near the site.

6. Energy and natural resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. n/a

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. No

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: Lighting that may be included in this project will utilized energy efficient LED bulbs.

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.
No

- (1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

None

- (2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. None

- (3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. None

- (4) Describe special emergency services that might be required. As part of the project, the existing 6" water main under Clarke Ave will be replaced. Should a break in the existing water main occur during construction, city Water Dept will need to be available to coordinate with emergency repairs.

(5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Watering for dust control

b. NOISE:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? None

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. Short term construction equipment noise during construction. City noise ordinance restricts noise from 10 pm to 7 am.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: Implementation of the City's noise ordinance

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. Properties adjacent to the proposed work consist of private single residences, and city-owned lots that are part of a land conservation program. The project is to include the construction of a new boat launch and parking facilities at Glover Field

Evaluation for
Agency Use Only

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? No

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: Not applicable

- c. Describe any structures on the site. Structures within the proposed site to receive improvements include short (<2 ft) retaining walls at 2 residences on Clarke Ave; the Peaceful Valley Community Center at Glover Field; existing dry-stack rock retaining wall along Clarke Ave.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? The short retaining walls on Clarke may require removal and replacement; the dry-stack rock retaining wall on Clarke will be replaced with new retaining wall.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? The proposal falls within the zones of RSF, RMF & RHD.

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? The proposal falls within the land use designations of Conservation Open Space, R 4-10, R15-30 and R 15+.

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? _____
The proposal is in the Urban Conservancy Shoreline Environmental Designation in the Great Gorge Park Shoreline District. The proposal will have varying shoreline buffers of 200', 75' and 150', as the project moves from west to east.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify. ____
The proposal falls within Riparian Habitat zone 2, the 100 year floodplain, erodible soils, Hazardous Geology and steep slopes, there are also habitat and species overlays categorized as the Spokane River Corridor and Riverside State Park, which include Bald Eagle, Ringed Bald Eagles, nesting Red Tailed Hawks, Winter Waterfowl Concentrations, Cavity nesting Ducks, Pileated Woodpeckers, Coopers Hawks, Nesting Osprey.
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? This project will not alter the number of people of reside or work within the project's limits.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? None.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: None
- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: Proposed project is consistent with existing and projected land uses
- m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: Not applicable.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high-, middle-, or low-income housing. Not applicable.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. Not applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: Not applicable.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? N/A

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? None

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: Trail design will be routed through Design Review Board to assist with aesthetic considerations

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
Trail lighting is being considered for users safety at night. Lights will be downcast so as to minimize glare.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? Lighting that is being considered as part of the project could adversely affect views with glare.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? None are known.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: Utilize downcast lighting units to minimize glare.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Park facilities at Glover Field providing grass and children play areas; People's Park provides for a more natural park amenities for hiking, picnicking, and trail head access.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. The project will not displace any existing recreational uses - it will provide additional recreational uses
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: The primary purpose of the project is to provide recreational opportunities

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. Many of the home buildings that are adjacent to the project are over 45 years old - none will be impacted by the project

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. Peaceful Valley was occupied by the Spokane Tribe of Indians prior settlement by people of European decent. The Spokane Tribe has a strong affinity to the Peaceful Valley area, having used the land as home, and for fishing off the river's shores. A cultural resource survey is being prepared.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. The Spokane Indians were brought in during the earliest stages of the project to advise of the project's goals. Currently the project has hired a Cultural Resource consultant to perform research and provide a archaeological report
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required Project will work around resources identified in cultural resource report
-
-
-

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. Planned improvements are near or adjacent to Main Ave., Water Ave. and Clark Ave.
-
-
- b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop Yes, Spokane Transit has a bus route with a number of stops along Clarke Ave. STA has been advised of planned work and will adjust its schedule and route as needed during the construction phase.
-
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? It is estimated that Glover Field trail head will have an additional 12 to 15 off-street parking spaces; The existing People's Park dirt parking lot will its present size and parking capacity, however will be improved with HMA pavement and parking stall striping.
-

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). Project will replace full depth and full width Clarke Ave, and construct a new mixed-use(pedestrian and bicycle) trail as shown on the attached plan sheet.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? The project will not significantly alter the existing vehicular trips per day after construction. The new trail is expected encourage pedestrian and bicycle usage by both recreational users from both within and outside the neighborhood.

(Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).)

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe. No, project will not interfere with agricultural or forest product movement.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: Project will provide 'traffic calming' measures to induce slower and safer vehicular traffic along the improved project route. The proposed trail will enhance pedestrian and bicycle travel by providing a dedicated route for those users.

15. Public services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. No, the project will not increase need for public services.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any: Not applicable.

16. Utilities

a. Check utilities currently available at the site:


- electricity
- natural gas
- water
- refuse service
- telephone
- sanitary sewer
- septic system

Other: _____

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed: _____
The project will replace the old water main along Clarke Ave between People's Park and
Elm St. Installation work will be performed by the selected contractor, with coordinated
involvement by the city's Water Dept.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 4-4-17 Signature: 

Please Print or Type:

Proponent: Dan Beller Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd

Phone: 625-6700 Spokane, WA 99201

Person completing form (if different from proponent): _____

Phone: _____ Address: _____

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Dan Beller

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.