(WAC 197-11-970) Section 11.10.230(3) Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)

File No. 2015178

DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Description of Proposal: CSO Basin 25 Improvements

Proponent: 2015178

Location of proposal, including street address, section, township and range if any: This project is in the Peaceful valley neighborhood of Spokane, just west of downtown. The project limits are generally bordered by Riverside/Clarke Avenue on the south, the Spokane River on the north, Ash Street on the west and Monroe Street on the east. It occupies the SE Corner of Section 13, Township 25, Range 42, and the SW corner of Section 18, Township 25, Range 43.

Lead agency: City of Spokane, Integrated Capital Management

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed Environmental Checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

[]	There is no comment period for this DNS.		
[]	This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in Section 197-11-355 WAC There is no further comment period on the DNS.		
[X]	This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by October 21, 2016.		
Responsible official: Katherine Miller			
Position/Title: Director, Integrated Capital Management Phone: (509) 625-6700			
Address: 2nd Floor, City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201-3343			
Date: October 7, 2016 Signature: Katherine Miller			
You may appeal this determination to Katherine Miller, Director, Integrated Capital Management			
at	(location): 2nd Floor, City Hall, Spokane, WA 99201-3343		
no	later than (date): October 21, 2016		

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

by (method): written

Contact Frances Perkins at (509) 625-6700 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: CSO Basin 25 Improvements

FILE No.: 2015178

E-mail Copies

City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- Building Department, Attn: John Halsey
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Heather Trautman
- Construction Management, Attn: Ken Brown* **
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller* **
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot *
- GIS, Attn: Steven Allenton
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis* **
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller * **
- Library Services, Attn: Dana Dalrymple*
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: Jonathan Mallahan & ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Tony Madunich*
- PCED, Attn: Theresa Sanders
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Lisa Key
- Planning & Development, Attn: Julie Neff
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt Chuck Reisenauer*
- Public Works, Attn: Scott Simmons
- Solid Waste, Attn: Scott Windsor
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Inga Note**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Costner**
- Water Department, Attn: Dan Kegley**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Scott Engelhard
- Spokane County Planning Department, Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Paul Savage
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: Dave Harsh
- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dee Caputo
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sikes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Karin Divens -Habitat Program

Other Agencies

- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Chambers
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Eric Grainger
- Avista Utilities, Randy Myhre
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Lori Barlow
- · City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: Mike Basinger
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Candy Johnson
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Erin Casci
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Jacki Corley
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Kevin Wallace
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Amanda Mansfield
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (SE Corner of Section13, Township 25, Range 42 & SW Corner of Section 18, Township 25, Range 43)

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: CSO Basin 25 Improvements

FILE No.: 2015178

COMMENTS: (Use additional sheets if necessary)

Authorized Signature

Department or Agency

Date

Concurrency Passed/Failed

ENVIRONMENTAL

CHECKLIST

SPOKANE
ENVIRONMENTAL
ORDINANCE

SECTION 11.10.230(1)

Environmental Checklist

File No. 2015178

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply". IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal", "proposer", and "affected geographic area", respectively.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

CSO Basin 25 Improvements

2. Name of Applicant:

City of Spokane

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Ryan Brodwater 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane WA (509) 625-6331

- 4. Date checklist prepared: 10/3/2016
- 5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Spokane, Washington; Integrated Capital Management Washington State, Department of Ecology

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Design in early 2017 with construction in 2017.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

None.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

- a) City Council approval
- b) Obstruction permit
- c) Stormwater Permit
- d) Shoreline Permit
- 11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

The CSO Basin 25 project is multi-faceted. A combined sewer overflow tank will be constructed at the Main/Cedar intersection to store excess flows during rain events. Stormwater runoff along Water and Main Avenues will be separated from the combined sewer via installation of an independent storm pipe system. This pipe system will discharge to a proposed bioinfiltration swale in Riverwalk Park. This new stormwater conveyance will also incorporate the runoff from Maple Street Bridge, which currently has an independent Spokane River MS4 outfall. The project limits also include the CSO 24 outfall, which currently routes down Cedar Street and discharges to the Spokane River just north of Water Avenue. This outfall pipe will be replaced to increase capacity. In addition, all sewer and water mains within the project limits will be evaluated and considered for replacement.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

This project is in the Peaceful Valley neighborhood of Spokane, just west of downtown. The project limits are generally bordered by Riverside/Clarke Avenue on the south, The Spokane River on the north, Ash Street on the west, and Monroe Street on the east. It occupies the SE corner of Section 13, Township 25, Range 42, and the SW corner of Section 18, Township 25, Range 43.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries).

Yes; ASA; GSSA; PSSA; City of Spokane

- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
 - a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Portions of Riverwalk Park will be graded into a bioinfiltration swale, which will include Underground Injection Control (UIC) drywells. Stormwater runoff will be treated and infiltrated within the bioinfiltration areas.

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No.

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater? This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

Contractor will be required to submit SPCC (Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure) Plan as part of construction contract.

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No.

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

The proposed bioinfiltration swale site is approximately 15 feet higher than the adjacent river level. Presence of bedrock is unlikely.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

Yes. Stormwater will be infiltrated via bioinfiltration swales in Riverwalk Park. This ultimately results in a positive impact, as the stormwater currently enters the combined sewer system or discharges directly to the Spokane River.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. EARTH

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling hilly, steep slopes, mountainous

The project site generally slopes downhill from east to west.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The steepest road grade (on Main Avenue) is approximately 6%. North of Main Avenue is a steep slope down to the river, approximately 75%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Preliminary geotechnical analysis has concluded that the soils are primarily sands and gravel.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Yes. Main Avenue (just west of Monroe Street) has had issues with settling. It is expected that some of this area is fill, possibly including building debris from downtown fires.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

N/A.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Not likely.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Impervious areas are not expected to increase as part of this project.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

N/A.

2. AIR

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Increase in particulates and exhaust emissions during construction. Reduction in particulates after construction.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Watering for dust control during construction.

3. WATER

a. Surface:

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lake, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes. The project site is bordered on the north by the Spokane River.

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes. An existing pipe outfall will need to be replaced.

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A.

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No.

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Yes.

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

No.

b. Ground:

(1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Yes, stormwater will be treated and infiltrated via a bioinfiltration swale.

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known).

Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Stormwater from the street surface will be collected in a new pipe system and conveyed to bioinfiltration swales for treatment and disposal.

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

N/A.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any (if the proposed action lies within the <u>Aquifer Sensitive Area</u> be especially clear on explanations relating to facilities concerning Sections 3b(4), 3b(5), and 3c(2) of this checklist).

Disposal of surface runoff as described above is the only practical method for this project.

4. PLANTS

a.	Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:
	deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen,
	other.
	evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other.
	grass pasture.
	crop or grain.
	wet soil plants, cattail, buttercup,
	bulrush, skunk cabbage, other.
	water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil,
	other.
	other types of vegetation.
Ъ.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
	Dryland grasses will be removed with Riverwalk Park.
c.	List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
	None.
d.	Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site if any:

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

Dryland grasses within Riverwalk Park will be removed for grading of the bioinfiltration swale. This area will be reestablished with grasses and additional landscaping.

5. ANIMALS

Circle any birds and animals which have been a. observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

> birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds other: mammals; deer, bear, elk, beaver other: domestic pets fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish other:

other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known.

Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. c.

> The Spokane Region is part of the North American Pacific Flyway migration route. This project will not have an impact on this route.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

N/A.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, wood a. stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

N/A.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No.

(1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

N/A.

(2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Watering for dust control during construction.

b. Noise:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other?

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

None.

(2) What type and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term construction equipment noise during time of construction. City noise ordinance is from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

City of Spokane Noise Ordinance.

8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Fully developed roadway. Adjacent properties are generally developed, mix of commercial and residential uses.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Mix of commercial and residential structures.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

No.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

Adjacent properties are zoned RMF (Residential Multi Family) and DTG (Downtown General).

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Current Land Use is a mix of Residential (10-20) and Downtown.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the Site?

Great Gorge Park.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Yes.

This area is contained within the "Aquifer Sensitive Area" as outlined by the Spokane County Engineer's "208" Water Quality Management Program.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

and plans, if any:

None.

9. HOUSING

 a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A.

10. AESTHETICS

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. LIGHT AND GLARE

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. RECREATION

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The proposed project limits include Riverwalk Park, Glover Field Park, and the future South Gorge Trail.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

Landscaping in Riverwalk Park would be improved as part of bioinfiltration swale placement.

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

The dry-stack rock retaining wall at the intersection of Main and Cedar has been suggested to have historical significance.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

The original concept piping route was revised to minimize impacts to the rock wall.

14. TRANSPORTATION

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The site is accessed from the west by Clarke Avenue, and from the east by Main Avenue.

b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Yes, STA bus route 20C routes through Main Avenue.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

eliminate?

N/A.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing road and/or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

N/A.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

N/A.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: Fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

None.

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

Evaluation For Agency Use Only

16. UTILITIES

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity natural gas water refuse service telephone,
sanitary sewer septic system

other: Storm sewer

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

None.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under the penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any determination of nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 10/5/2016 Proponent: City of Spokane

Signature: The Walnet

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.

Phone: 625-6700

Person completing form: Ryan Brodwater Date: 10/5/2016

Phone: 625-6331

(WAC 197-11-960) Section 11.10.230(1)

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff Member	r(s), Reviewing Checklist: Marcia Davis
Signature:	Allema Tarr
Based on this	s staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information
the staff:	
A	Concludes that there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a determination of nonsignificance.
В	Concludes that probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a mitigated determination of nonsignificance with conditions.
C.,	Concludes that there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a determination of significance.

FILING FEE - \$75.00