NONPROJECT DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

FILE NO(S): Z18-883COMP

PROPONENT: David Jeter, MPT, COMT, Acceleration Physical Therapy/Carl Upton and Patricia Upton aka Patricia Reilly (Agent: Dwight Hume, Land Use Solutions and Entitlement)

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: This proposal is to change parcels 35203.0101 and 35203.0102 from "Residential 15-30 Land Use" and RMF zoning to "Office Land Use" and O-35 zoning (same as adjacent parcel to the north). The subject parcels are approximately 13,000 square feet (0.29 acre) total. No specific development proposal is being approved at this time.

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY:

The subject site is two parcels located on the southeast corner of South Sherman Street and East Hartson Avenue, (701 and 707 S Sherman St / parcels 35203.0101 and 35203.0102). The concerned property totals approx. 13,000 square feet (0.29 acre).

Legal Description: Lots 1 and 2 of subdivision of Lot 5, GH Morgan's Addition in the City of Spokane, County of Spokane, Washington State.

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

[]		There is no comment period for this DNS.
[]	I	This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
[X]		This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for at least 14 days from the date of issuance (below). Comments regarding this DNS must be submitted no later than <u>5 p.m.</u> on September 10, 2019 if they are intended to alter the DNS.
***	****	**********************
Res	pons	sible Official: Heather Trautman
Posi	ition	/Title: Director, Planning Services Phone: (509) 625-6300
		ued: August 27, 2019 Signature:
***	****	**************************************

APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION, after it has become final, may be made to the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner, 808 West Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane WA 99201. The appeal deadline is Noon on September 18, 2019 (21 days from the date of the signing of this DNS). This appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official, make specific factual objections, and be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

Environmental Checklist

File No. Z18-883COMP

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1.	Name of proposed project, if applicable: 701-707 S Sherman Map Amendment				
2.	Name of applicant: David Jeter dba Acceleration Physical Therapy				
3.	Address and phone number of applicant or contact person: <u>Dwight Hume</u> agent, 9101 N Mt. View Lane Spokane WA 99218 509-435-3108				
4.	Date checklist prepared: October 2018				
5.	Agency requesting checklist: Planning Services City of Spokane				
6.	Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Upon approval of map and zone change, spring 2020.				
7.	a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.				
	b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain. No				
	·				
8.	List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to his proposal. None				
9.	Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. No				
10.	List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. Map and zone change; building permit; grading permit; landscape plan approval.				

2 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. The site is located at the SEC of Sherman and Hartson. It is .29 acre in size and consist of two platted lots, one vacant and the other has a single family home being used as a rental. There is a haystack basalt rock on the corner that will be removed, improving site distance for traffic at the intersection.
	Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist. SEC Sherman and Hartson. (See above description).
	Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.)
	General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of
	General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of



(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.

(2)	in aboveground or underground storage ta quantities of material will be stored? N/A, non-project action. To be determined.	nks? If so, what types	and
(3)	What protective measures will be taken to in chemicals stored or used on site will not groundwater. This includes measures to ke systems. N/A, non-project action. To be determined.	be allowed to percolate be chemicals out of disp	e to osal
(4)	Will any chemicals be stored, handled or use where a spill or leak will drain to surface stormwater disposal system discharging to su. N/A, non-project action. To be determine permit.	or groundwater or to a face or groundwater? ned at time of building	
	Stormwater What are the depths on the site to groundwate. N/A, non-project action. To be determined.		
(2)	Will stormwater be discharged into the ground impacts? . N/A, non-project action. To be determine		
		180 BICHENVALIO	
TO RE COM	IPLETED BY APPLICANT	MPT A REPARE	
		OCT 2 9 2018	
B. ENVIR	CONMENTAL ELEMENTS	Neighborhood and	Evaluation for
1. Ea	rth	Planning Services	Agency Use Only
a.	General description of the site (circle one): hilly, steep slopes, mountains, other: outcropping and gentle slope	Rock	

t	percent slope)? . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.			
C.	What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.		Agen	ation for cy Use nly
d.	Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	v T		
e.	Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill: N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.			
f.	Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	•		
g.	About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	Neighbor Planning	OCT 2 9 2018	33803
h.	Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	leighborhood and Planning Services	9 2018	WIED)

2. Air

	a.	What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.
	b.	Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.

	C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.
3.	Wa	ter
	a.	SURFACE;
	(1)	Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. No
	(2)	Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. No
	(3)	Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. N/A

Evaluation for Agency Use Only

Neighborhood and Planning Services



(4) Will the proposal require surface water diversions? Give general description approximate quantities if known. No	n, purpose, and
(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood location on the site plan. No	Iplain? If so, note
(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge surface waters? If so, describe the anticipated volume of discharge. No	of waste materials to type of waste and Evaluation for Agency Use Only
b. GROUND: (1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will wa groundwater? Give general descript approximate quantities if known. . N/A, non-project action. To be determed building permit.	ion, purpose, and ined at time of
(2) Describe waste material that will be disch ground from septic tanks or other streatment facility. Describe the general system, the number of houses to applicable) or the number of persons the expected to serve. . N/A, non-project action. To be determine building permit.	sanitary waste al size of the be served (if system(s) are

- c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):
- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

		N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	
	(2	Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	
	d.	PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any. . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	
I .	Pla	nts	Evaluation for Agency Use Only
	a.	Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:	Omy
		Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other.	
		Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other.	
		Shrubs	
		Pasture	
		Crop or grain	
		Wet soil plants, cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cat	obage,
		other.	
		Water plants: water lilly, eelgrass, milfoil, other.	
		Other types of vegetation.	P. C.
	b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	见话话出W话儿 007 2 9 2018 Neighborhood and Planning Services
	C.	List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site. Unknown	が を対 and でices

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if

	<u>t</u>	ny: . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at ime of building permit.		
	3			
5.	An	imals		
	a.	Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site are known to be on or near the site: birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: other:		
	b.	List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.	Evaluation Agency Us Only	
		None		
	C.	Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain		
	d.	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: None		
6.	En	ergy and natural resources	T 7	50
	a.	What kinds or energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	0CT 2 9 2018 Neighborhood and Planning Services	
	b.	Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. No		

C.	What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.			
7. E	nvironmental health			
а	Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.			
		_	valuati	
(1)	Describe special emergency services that might be required. N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	A	Agency Onl	
(2)	Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.			
b.	NOISE:			
(1)	What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? Noise would not affect the proposed use	Neig Plar	**9.14	
(2)	What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	Neighborhood and Planning Services	OCT 2 9 2018	KCBI(V/BID)

	(3)	Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	
3.	La	nd and shoreline use	
	a.	What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Site: Vacant and rental house; East: S/F; South: S/F; West: Vacant, apartments and S/F; North: Office	
	b.	Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe. No	Evaluation for
	C.	Describe any structures on the site. S/F Rental	Agency Use Only
	d.	Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? Yes, S/F House	
	e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site? RMF	
	f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? R-15-30	
	g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? N/A	配置型侧侧侧侧 0CT 2 9 2018 Neighborhood and Planning Services
			od a
	h.	Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area? If so, specify. No	S a

i.	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?	
	N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of	
	building permit.	
j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? <u>Unknown</u>	
k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: None	
L	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: Development to applicable development standards.	
		Evaluation for
		Agency Use Only
9. H	lousing	
a.	Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing. None	a K
b.	Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. One	
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: None	Neig Plan
		ဟင္ဆံ 💆 💳
10. Ae	esthetics	2018 2018 ervice
a.	What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? 35' allowed by O-35 zone	9

	b.	What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? None		
	C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.		
11.	Lig	ght and Glare		
	a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? Outdoor lighting from dusk to dawn.		
			Evaluat	ion for
			Agenc On	
	b.	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? No		•
	C.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? None		
	d.	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: Down casting and indirect lighting		
			P Ne	20
12	. Re	ecreation	OCT Ochbo	
	a.	What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? N/A	0CT 2 9 2018 Neighborhood and Planning Services	
			w <u>a</u>	
	b.	Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. No		

C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: None	
13. Hi	storic and cultural preservation	
a.	Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.	
b.	Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site. Unknown	
		Evaluation for
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any: None	Agency Use Only
14. Tr	ansportation	
a.	Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. Hartson and Sherman	OCT Neighbo Planning
		191
b.	Is site currently served by public transit? Yes	[] 2 9 2018 orthood and
C.	How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate? . N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.	ö d.
d.	Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). No	

	е	. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No			
	f.	How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur N/A, non-project action. To be determined at time of building permit.			
		(Note: to assist in review and if known indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak and Weekday (24 hours).)			
	g.	Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: On-site parking and removal of existing hay stack outcropping to improve site distance at intersection, if feasible.			
15.	Pu	blic services		aluatio gency Only	Use
	a.	Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.			
	b.	Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any: None	Neighborhood and Planning Services	OCT 2 9 2018	西山西山西山
16.	Uti	lities	od a	208	
	a.	Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.	SS Dark		
	b.	Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction			

activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might

be needed <u>N/A, non-project action. To be determined</u> at time of building permit.
C. SIGNATURE
the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made ruthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist. Date:
Proponent: <u>Dwight Hume</u> Address: <u>9101 N Mt. View Lane</u>
Phone: 509-435-3108 Spokane WA 99218
Person completing
form (if different Address: Address:
Phone:
FOR STAFF USE ONLY
Staff member(s) reviewing checklist:
Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:
A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.
Neighborhood and 16 OF 19
16 of 19

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

Proposed n	neasures to avoid or reduce such increases are:
NOTICE	
How would marine life? No impacts	
or marine li	neasures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish fe are:
or marine li	fe are:
None How would resources?	the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural
or marine li None How would	the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural

Neighborhood and Planning Services



<u> No</u>	farmlands?
educ	esed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or e impacts are:
use, shore	would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline including whether it would allow or encourage land or line uses incompatible with existing plans? In non-project action. To be determined at time of building pern
 Propo	osed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use
mpa	cts are: ., non-project action. To be determined at time of building perm
Mpac N/A 	ets are:

Neighborhood and Services

C. SIGNATURE

truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist. Date:			
Please Print or Type:			
Proponent: Dwight Hume Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane			
Phone: <u>509-435-3108</u> <u>Spokane WA 99218</u>			
Person completing form (if different from proponent):			
SAME Address:			
Phone:			
FOR STAFF USE ONLY			
Staff member(s) reviewing checklist:			
Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:			
A there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.			
B probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.			
C there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.			

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made

