

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS



Agency and City Department Review
FILE NO. Z21-284COMP, 801 W Francis Ave
Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map Amendment Proposal

DATE: April 15, 2022

TO: Interested Parties, City Departments and Agencies with Jurisdiction
(Distribution List Attached)

FROM: KayCee Downey, Assistant Planner II
808 W Spokane Falls Boulevard
Spokane, WA 99201

kdowney@spokanecity.org or call (509) 625-6194

SUBJECT: Proposed amendment of the Land Use Plan Map designation for portions of four parcels totaling 0.99 acres from "Residential 4-10" to "Office" and a concurrent change of zoning from "Residential Single-Family (RSF)" to "Office Retail – 35-foot height limit (OR-35)".

APPLICANT/AGENT: Dwight Hume, Land Use Solutions and Entitlement and the City of Spokane
(expanded properties)

ADDRESS: 801 W Francis Avenue
Expanded properties include: 6228 N Monroe St, 6216 N Lincoln St, & 6211 N Wall St

PARCELS: 36312.0216
Expanded properties include: 36312.0822, 36312.0703, & 36312.0503

LOCATION: Properties bounded by N Monroe St to the west, N Wall St to the east, and W Francis to the north; NW 1/4, Section 31, Township 26, Range 43

COMMENT NEEDED BY 5 PM on APRIL 29, 2022.

If additional information is required in order for your department or agency to comment on this proposal, please notify the Planning Services Department as soon as possible so that the application processing can be suspended while the necessary information is being prepared. Under the procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected departments and agencies is for the following:

- 1) The determination of a complete application. If there are materials that the reviewing departments and agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the applicant;
- 2) Provides notice of application;
- 3) Concurrency Testing, please note one of the following:
 - a) () This application is subject to concurrency and agency is required to notify this department that applicant meets/fails currency; OR
 - b) (X) This application is exempt from concurrency testing, but will use capacity of existing facilities.

The lack of comment including concurrency by any referral agency will be considered acceptance of this application as technically complete and meeting concurrency requirements.

Under the revised procedures of SMC 17G.060, this referral to affected Departments and Agencies is to provide notice of a pending application. **THIS WILL BE THE LAST NOTICE PROVIDED TO REFERRAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES UNLESS WARRANTED.** If there are materials that the reviewing Departments and Agencies need to comment on this proposal, notice of such must be provided to the Applicant. The lack of comment by any referral agency will be considered to be acceptance of this application as Technically Complete.

A map of the proposal is attached. Additional maps and materials can be found here:

<https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/2021-2022-proposed-comprehensive-plan-amendments/>

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW / SEPA: The City of Spokane Planning Services Department is the Lead Agency for this proposal; Spencer Gardner, Planning Director, is the responsible official. No determination has yet been made. This non-project proposal will be reviewed for compliance with SEPA Regulations, Spokane Municipal Code 17E.050. See attached SEPA Checklist.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1) Distribution List, Request for Comments
- 2) Reference Map, Land Use
- 3) SEPA Checklist

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME: 801 W Francis Ave

FILE No.: Z21-284COMP

E-mail Copies

City Departments

- Asset Management, Attn: Dave Steele
- City Attorney, Attn: James Richman
- City Treasurer: Renee Robertson
- Code Enforcement, Attn: Kris Becker
- Construction Management, Attn: Joel Graff* **
- Engineering Services, Attn: Dan Buller* **
- Fire Dept., Attn: Dave Kokot *
- Historic Preservation, Attn: Megan Duvall
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Inga Note**
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Marcia Davis* **
- Integrated Capital Management, Attn: Katherine Miller * **
- Integrated Capital Management: Scotty Allenton* **
- Library Services, Attn: DT Circulation*
- Neighborhood & Business Services, Attn: Carly Cortright
- Neighborhood Services, Attn: ONS Team
- Parks Dept., Attn: Garrett Jones*
- PCED, Attn: Johnnie Perkins
- Planning & Development, Attn: Dean Gunderson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Kris Becker
- Planning & Development, Attn: Eldon Brown**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Joeline Eliason
- Planning & Development, Attn: Erik Johnson
- Planning & Development, Attn: Patty Kells*
- Planning & Development, Attn: Dermott Murphy
- Planning & Development, Attn: Mike Nilsson**
- Planning & Development, Attn: Tami Palmquist
- Planning Services, Attn: Spencer Gardner
- Police Department, Attn: Sgt Kevin Keller*
- Public Works, Attn: Marlene Feist
- Solid Waste, Attn: Chris Averyt
- Solid Waste, Attn: Rick Hughes*
- Street Operations, Attn: Clint Harris**
- Street Operations, Attn: Bobby Halbig**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: Mike Morris**
- Wastewater Management, Attn: William Peacock**
- Wastewater AWWTP, Attn: Mike Cannon**
- Water Department, Attn: Jim Sakamoto**

County Departments

- Spokane County Public Works, Attn: Barry Greene
- Spokane County Planning Dept., Attn: Scott Chesney
- Spokane County Planning Dept., Attn: John Pederson
- Spokane County Planning Dept., Attn: Saegen Neiman
- Spokane County Building Dept., Attn: James Moore
- Spokane County Engineering Dept., Attn: Gary Nyberg
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Jon Sherve
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Paul Savage
- Spokane Regional Health District, Attn: Eric Meyer
- SRCAA, Attn: April Westby

Washington State Agencies

- Department of Natural Resources Aquatics
- Department of Natural Resources, Attn: SEPA Center
- Department of Commerce, Attn: Dave Andersen
- Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation, Attn: Gretchen Kaehler
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Environmental Review Section
- Department of Ecology, Attn: Jacob McCann
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: Jeremy Sikes, Shoreline Permit Reviewer
- Department of Ecology, Eastern Region, Attn: David Moore, Wetlands/Shoreline
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Char Kay
- Department of Transportation, Attn: Greg Figg
- Department of Fish & Wildlife, Attn: Leslie King - Habitat Program

Other Agencies

- U.S. Army corps of Engineers, Attn: Jess Jordan
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Lu Ann Weingart
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Dave Byus
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Randy Myhre
- Avista Utilities, Attn: Larissa Pruitt
- Cheney School District Operations, Attn: Jeff McClure
- City of Airway Heights, Attn: Heather Trautman
- City of Spokane Valley Planning, Attn: SEPA Review
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Greg Forsyth
- District 81 Capital Projects, Attn: Sonya Chouinard
- Mead School District Facilities & Planning, Attn: Ned Wendle
- Spokane Aquifer Joint Board, Attn: Tonilee Hanson
- Spokane School District, Attn: Bob Turner
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Gordon Howell
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Hynes
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Mike Tresidder
- Spokane Transit Authority, Attn: Kathleen Weinand
- Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Attn: Ryan Stewart
- Spokane Tribe of Indians, Attn: Randy Abrahamson (Section, Township, Range)
- Williams Northwest Pipeline, Attn: Michael Moore

Hard Copies

Other Agencies

- U.S. Postal Service, Attn: Postmaster




Application Z21-284COMP (W Francis Ave)

Concerning parcel(s) in the North Hill Neighborhood of Spokane

2022 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Proposals

Drawn: 3/24/2022
THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT
The information shown on this map is compiled from various sources and is subject to constant revision. Information shown on this map should not be used to determine the location of facilities in relationship to property lines, section lines, streets, etc.

EXHIBIT A: Existing Land Use Plan Map



City Boundary

Parcels

Application Parcels

Land Use Plan Designation


General Commercial

Office

Residential 4-10

* City Council added these parcels to the proposal.

EXHIBIT B: Proposed Land Use Plan Map





Parcel(s):


35312.0216, .0503,
.0703, and .0822

Approximate Area:

Affected Parcels - 1.7 acres
Area of Change - 0.5 acres



PROJECT LOCATION



Neighborhood and Planning Services
Drawn By: Kevin Freibott

**State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

File No. Z21-284COMP

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "*does not apply*."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

Note from City of Spokane Staff:

The proposal classified as File Z21-284COMP has been recommended for expansion by the Spokane City Council, adding portions of three (3) parcels and an area of approximately 0.46 acres to the project area. The expanded properties are proposed to be rezoned to O-35 (Office – 35-foot height limit) to match adjacent parcels, while the original application is proposed to be rezoned to OR-35 (Office Retail – 35-foot height limit).

The properties added to the proposal by Plan Commission include:

Parcel	Address
36312.0822	6228 N Monroe St
36312.0703	6216 N Lincoln St
36312.0503	6211 W Wall St

Where necessary, **boxes with red text** have been added to the SEPA Checklist to account for additional relevant information necessary for evaluating the environment impact of the expanded proposal. These additions have been inserted by City staff and concern only the expanded parcels listed above.

A. BACKGROUND

1. **Name of proposed project:** 801 W Francis LLC Comp Plan Amendment
2. **Applicant:** 801 Francis Development LLC c/o Joe Lobb
Address: 624 W Hastings Rd #11
City/State/Zip: Spokane WA 99218 Phone: (509) 768-1324
3. **Agent or Primary Contact:** Land Use Solutions & Entitlement c/o Dwight Hume
Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane
City/State/Zip: Spokane WA 99218 Phone: (509) 435-3108
4. **Location of Project:**
Address: This is a portion of an aggregated site commonly known as 801 W Francis Avenue
Section: 31 Quarter: NW Township: 26 Range: E43
Tax Parcel Number(s): 36312.0216

See the note on page 2 for expanded property addresses and parcel numbers.
5. Date checklist prepared: April 7, 2022
6. Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane, Washington
7. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Upon approval of this amendment request
8. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:
No
b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain:
The subject parcel was aggregated into the adjacent office site commonly known as 801 W Francis.
9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:
Parking and landscape plans will be reviewed for intended improvement.
10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:

No other plans are pending.

11. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:

Landscape plan approval and parking and lighting plan approval.

12. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

This is the remainder of an Office site needing to be included in the Office designation and the OR zone. It is approximately 3300 sf and is approximately 65' deep and 50' N/S and fronts along Post Street approximately 170' south of Francis Avenue. Once approved, it will be used for parking and storm drainage collection associated with the new office building fronting along Francis.

13. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

The subject site is located some 170' south of Francis along the west side of Post Street as a part of the recent office construction at the SW corner of Post and Francis. It is the remainder of the site left in dirt surrounded by 6' vinyl fencing and new curb and sidewalk along the Post Street frontage.

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 14. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| The General Sewer Service Area? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| The Priority Sewer Service Area? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| The City of Spokane? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

See the note on page 2 for expanded property addresses and parcel numbers. Legal descriptions are available upon

15. The following questions supplement Part A.

- a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

- (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of

material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Not applicable, this is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non-project action.

- (2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

No

- (3) What protective measures will be taken to ensure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non-project action.

- (4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

No

b. Stormwater

- (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Unknown

- (2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non-project action.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site (check one):

☒ Flat ☐ Rolling ☐ Hilly ☐ Steep slopes ☐ Mountainous

Other:

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Flat

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Garrison Sandy Loam

Expanded properties
have McB soil.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No

- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The site is already graded for future improvement of parking and storm pond areas.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No, site is already graded flat

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)?

While this is a non-project action, future use will likely cover approximately 90% of the site.

There are no
current plans to
redevelop the
expanded area.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Compliance with applicable development regulations

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action. Future use will be in compliance with applicable emission standards

3. Water

a. SURFACE WATER:

- (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No

- (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No

- (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None

- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

- (5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No

- (6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. GROUNDWATER:

- (1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

None

- (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

- (3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

4. Plants

a. Check the type(s) of vegetation found on the site: **None**

Deciduous trees: ☐ alder ☐ maple ☐ aspen

Other:

Evergreen trees: ☐ fir ☐ cedar ☐ pine

Other:

☐ shrubs ☐ grass ☐ pasture ☐ crop or grain

☐ orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops

Wet soil plants: ☐ cattail ☐ buttercup ☐ bullrush ☐ skunk cabbage

Other:

The expanded properties contain deciduous trees. Parcel 36312.0103 also contains a mature evergreen. No modifications to the existing landscaping is anticipated at this time.

Water plants: ☐ water lily ☐ eelgrass ☐ milfoil

Other:

Any other types of vegetation:

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

None exist, the site has been cleared previous to this application per prior permits and approvals

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site:

Unknown, urban environment with mixed uses.

No prior site preparation has occurred on the expanded properties are no development is currently anticipated.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site:

None

5. Animals

- a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds: ☒ hawk ☐ heron ☐ eagle ☒ songbirds

Other:

Mammals: ☐ deer ☐ bear ☐ elk ☐ beaver

Other:

Fish: ☐ bass ☐ salmon ☐ trout ☐ herring ☐ shellfish

Other:

Any other animals (not listed in above categories):

- b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.

None

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Unknown, there are no trees or water for nesting or feeding

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe:

No

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: *None*

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No

- (1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

None known

- (2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

None

- (3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

None

(4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None

(5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

None

b. NOISE:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? Arterial traffic in the area.

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)?

Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Paving equipment during paving. Parking vehicles thereafter.

There are no current plans to redevelop the expanded area.

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

None

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

North: Retail; West: S/f unit and parking; South S/F unit; East: S/f Unit. (Note: Units to east and west are being proposed for Office designation by Docketing Committee and Council).

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

No

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

None

Expanded area includes office and residential uses.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

No

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

RSF

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

R 4-10

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify.

Unknown

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None

The non-project action will result in no change in work or residence count.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Compliance with applicable development regulations at time of construction

- m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

None

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing.

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

None

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Ruth Park is .4 mile east of subject property

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

No

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

Unknown

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

None

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. None

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The site is bounded by Francis on the North, Post on the east, Lincoln on the west and accessed from Post ST.

- b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop.

Not applicable.

Bus route 27 runs along W Francis Ave, with a bus stop at the corner of Francis and Wall.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

This is an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan designation as a non- project action.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? (Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).

Unknown

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe.

No

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None

15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

None

16. Utilities

a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

- ☒ electricity ☒ natural gas ☒ water ☒ refuse service
☒ telephone ☒ sanitary sewer ☐ septic system

Other:

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:

No services are needed.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: April 8, 2022 Signature: *Dwight J Hume*

Please Print or Type:

PROJECT PROPONENT:

Name: 801 Francis Development LLC Address: 624 W Hastings Rd #11
Phone: 509-768-1324 Spokane WA 99218

CHECKLIST PERPARER (If different from proponent):

Name: Dwight Hume Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane
Phone: (509 435-3108 Spokane WA 99218

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Staff Name KayCee Downey

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, staff concludes that:

- ☒ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- ☐ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- ☐ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS
(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

There are no current plans to redevelop the expanded area.

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The use of the site is accessory to an existing office project. There would be no measurable impacts to water discharge, emissions to air, production, storage, release of toxic substances or production of noise.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

None

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

There are no plants, animals, fish or marine life associated with this site.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

None

2. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

No structures for occupancy are planned for this site.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

None

3. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?

There are no sensitive areas nearby, accordingly no impacts for the use of this site are anticipated.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None

4. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The site is functionally a part of the adjacent office complex and will be improved to applicable development standards if approved.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

Compliance with applicable development regulations

5. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

There would be no impact to transportation or public services

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

None

6. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

There are no conflicts to local state or federal regulations from this site's development.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: April 8^d, 2022 Signature: *Dwight J Hume*

Please Print or Type:

PROJECT PROPONENT:

Name: 801 Francis Development LLC Address: 624 W Hastings Rd #11
Phone: (509) 768-1324 Spokane WA 99218

CHECKLIST PERPARER (If different from proponent):

Name: Dwight Hume Address: 9101 N Mt. View Lane
Phone: (509) 435-3108 Spokane WA 99218

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: KayCee Downey

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, staff concludes that:

- ☐ A. There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- ☐ B. Probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- ☐ C. There are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.