



SPOKANE POLICE DEPARTMENT

CHIEF OF POLICE

KEVIN HALL

Closed Case Summary

Complaint Number:	C25-039	OPO Number: N/A
Date of Complaint:	4/8/2025	
Allegation:	Demeanor and Failure to Report	
Chain of Command Finding:	Closed	
Final Discipline:	Not Applicable	

INCIDENT SYNOPSIS:

SPD Officers responded to a reported loud disturbance and encountered a group of juveniles, some of which were intoxicated, walking in the area. When the officers arrived, a few ran away and were contacted. Two officers detained one juvenile in the parking lot of a business. All of the juveniles were eventually contacted and once it was determined no crimes had occurred, they were all returned to places of safety.

COMPLAINT:

The complainant alleged the responding officers who contacted the juvenile in the business parking lot were dismissive toward a witness and also failed to report damage caused to a vehicle when the officers detained the person parking lot and the detainee leaned against the vehicle.

INVESTIGATION:

Internal Affairs collected all the material pertinent to this investigation. CAD reports and police reports were reviewed, and body worn camera video was reviewed. The complainant was interviewed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION:

The allegation of unlawful demeanor was classified as Closed- Unfounded. *When the investigation discloses that the alleged act(s) did not occur or did not involve department personnel.* Upon review of the body worn camera video, the witness's interaction with the officer was objectively courteous given the facts and circumstances known at the time of the interaction. The officer listened to the witness's concern, thanked them for the information, and then immediately addressed the concern via radio with other officers in the area.



The allegation of failure to report damage classified as Closed- Not Sustained. *When the investigation discloses that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the complaint or fully exonerate the employee.* The body worn camera video review concluded that the officers did not direct the person to lean against a vehicle. The persons contact with the vehicle was brief and not forceful. There was insufficient evidence to sustain the complaint of the officers' failure to report any damage to the vehicle. The complainant was asked for and failed to provide any evidence to substantiate any claim of damage to the vehicle

