Closed Case Summary

Complaint Number: C18-012  OPO Number: N/A
Date of Complaint: 2/12/2018
Allegation: Demeanor / Dishonesty
Chain of Command Finding: Unfounded
Final Discipline: Not Applicable

INCIDENT SYNOPSIS
The complainant reported that she was stopped by an officer for a reported red light violation. The
complainant disagreed with the officer’s observations and requested to speak to a supervisor. The officer
called for a supervisor.

COMPLAINT
The complainant alleged that the officer carried himself in a way that “softly” discouraged the
complainant from staying at the scene, saying the supervisor was all the way across town. She left before
supervisor’s arrival. The complainant also alleges that the officer changed his story as to where he was
when he observed the violation. He told her one thing upon initial contact, and another upon a secondary
contact.

INVESTIGATION
This investigation was assigned to the officer’s Sergeant for a shift-level investigation. The sergeant
reviewed the body worn camera video of the incident, interviewed the officer, but was not able to
interview the complainant as she would not return the Sergeant’s phone calls. Several voice messages
were left requesting contact with no response.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION
This case was sent up the officer’s chain of command for a review. It was determined through the body
worn camera video that the officer was professional during the contact, and his account of the incident
was consistent throughout the contact. This complaint was determined to be unfounded.