CITY OF SPOKANE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY DEPT 4320-19-01 PUBLIC RULE AND POLICY LGL 2019-0020

TITLE: RPWRF INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM - Administrative Fine

Schedule

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2020 REVISION EFFECTIVE DATE: N/A

1.0 GENERAL

1.1 The City of Spokane Wastewater Management Department Riverside Park Water Reclamation Facility (RPWRF) established the following public rule, policy, procedures and protocol regarding the Industrial Pretreatment Program.

An administrative fine structure was added to the existing Enforcement Response Plan. The new protocol ensures that all administrative fines relating to enforcement of Federal, State, and City Wastewater Pretreatment Standards are implemented in a fair, consistent manner.

The administrative fine structure worksheet can be found onsite at RPWRF located at 4401 North Aubrey L. White Parkway, Spokane, Washington 99205.

1.2 TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.0 GENERAL
- 2.0 DEPARTMENTS/DIVISIONS AFFECTED
- 3.0 REFERENCES
- 4.0 DEFINITIONS
- 5.0 POLICY
- 6.0 PROCEDURE
- 7.0 RESPONSIBILITIES
- 8.0 APPENDICES

2.0 DEPARTMENTS/DIVISIONS AFFECTED

This public rule and policy shall apply to the City of Spokane Wastewater Management Department Riverside Park Water Reclamation Facility, and the City of Spokane Accounting Department.

3.0 REFERENCES

Chapter 13.03A SMC

Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977 – 33 USC section 1251 et seg.

General Pretreatment Regulations – 40 CFR Chapter I, Sub Ch. N part 403

Chapter 90.48 RCW

Chapter 173-216 WAC

EPA Region 10 Model ordinance for pretreatment

Enforcement Response Plan located at RPWRF

Industrial Pretreatment Program Policy and Procedure (DEPT 4320-16-01)

4.0 DEFINITIONS

See SMC 13.03A

5.0 POLICY

- 5.1 The goal of the City's Industrial Pretreatment Program is to achieve continuing compliance with Industrial Pretreatment Program requirements in a fair and equitable manner. The City strives to educate Industrial Users of their requirements, and will make consistent and persistent efforts to obtain compliance. Three of the main tenets that guide implementation are:
 - a. All instances of Non-Compliance are subject to formal enforcement action.
 - b. Continuing or repeated instances of non-compliance or violations will result in escalation of enforcement responses.
 - c. The violator is responsible for developing necessary compliance measures and implementing them.
- 5.2 Non-compliance and violations of Pretreatment Standards may result in administrative fines as provided in chapter 13.03A SMC, in accordance with the administrative fine structure located in the Enforcement Response Plan, and may also include any available Judicial and Supplemental Remedies under the law, without limitation and including a penalty of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) plus the costs of prosecution, per violation, per day and/or be subject to imprisonment for up to three hundred sixty-four (364) days, or by both.
- 5.3 Enforcement actions, including fines, may be appealed using procedures provided in SMC 13.03A.0904 Administrative Appeals.
- 5.4 The City reserves the right to utilize any and all remedies available under state, federal or local law which could include civil and/or criminal penalties.

6.0 PROCEDURES

- In compliance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(5), the City's Industrial Pretreatment Program has developed and implemented an Enforcement Response Plan (ERP). The Plan contains detailed procedures indicating how RPWRF will investigate and respond to instances of Industrial User Non-Compliance.
- 6.2 Wastewater Management has the authority to amend the Enforcement Response Plan at any time in order to more effectively implement the requirements of the Federal Pretreatment regulations or the City of Spokane Industrial Pretreatment Program.
- 6.3 The addition of an administrative fine structure to the Enforcement Response Plan provides a fair, consistent protocol for determining dollar amounts for administrative fines for instances of Industrial User Non-Compliance.
- 6.4 A mathematical method has been developed to calculate administrative fines to be administered for violations and non-compliance of Pretreatment Standards. The method is in the form of an Excel worksheet format for ease of use.
- Information from the Industrial User's Non-Compliance is entered into the spreadsheet to calculate a total dollar amount to be assessed. The administrative fine structure reflects the significance of the violation, the Industrial User's compliance history, the economic benefit to the Industrial User resulting from the violation, efforts to return to compliance, costs resulting from the violation (such as sampling, analysis, investigation) and/or any damage to the environment or the City's Publicly Owned Treatment Works, and other relevant factors as outlined in the administrative fine structure worksheet.

7.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

The Wastewater Management Department through its Industrial Pretreatment Program and Accounting Department shall administer this Public Rule and Policy. The Industrial Pretreatment Program will provide the calculated dollar amount to the Accounting Department. The Accounting Department will then invoice the Industrial User the total calculated amount from the fine worksheet. Unpaid charges, fines, and penalties shall, after thirty calendar days, be assessed an additional penalty of one percent (1%) of the unpaid balance per month.

8.0 APPENDICES

8.1 "A" - Fine Structure Worksheet

APPROVED BY:	
City Attorney	December 10, 2019 Date
Utilities Facilities Director	Date
City Administrator	12/16/19 Date

8.1 "A" - Fine Structure Worksheet

APPROVED BY:

City Attorney		
	a de la companya de	
Utilities Facilities Director		
M		

Date

APPENDIX "A"

learnethone
Use the worksheet to the right to fill in clear cells in the meetic above.
The servin step #13 is the total fee to be assessed.
Total feet and to recreef shood per day per vidorion.

Sam the unit fees from steps #3 durough step #15.

Gity of Spokane Industrial Pretreatment Program Administrative Fine Worksheet

WINDTHN BARA	Factor	(4) ment	No. of Concession,
 Any violations poter to this in the greeksus 6 months? Y/K = 1/0 		300	0
 Total number of violations in previous 6 months, including this 		50	0
violetian		Sunt	a
	Patter	Value (s)	(a) resor
s) Penalty factor		•	0
A Impact on the POW		106	0
 Jerpect on human health or environment 		1000	0
6.) Economic benefit or savings from the noncongiliance			
) Sumficience of variation (SNC)	auto e	gap	0
6.) Late report for		30	
y Imperior fu		196	0
io.) Incomplete doss pH or flow logger failure		9.0	0
Cast Recovery Matrix	10 M.	(1) STREAM	100000
th) RPWRF cost recovery; attributed to IU discharge			a
11.) RPARE manpower per hour			0

monetary value	(160) Whiteham administration and an arrangement (160)
- Constant	Cost recovery (& PAND from maintanappe from based occupants)
	III III WATER COURT COUNTY IN THE WATER COURTS AND COURTS AND COURTS
	own grips or 3 consecutive days or more
Fictor	10.) Incomplete data pit or now logger failure
e of imperions	Buta inspections required due to violation
20000	5) Inspection fee
0.071	Los laborar (2-4 del partir pa
FRIO	E) Les reportées
001	and the control of th
Factor 1	7.) Significance of Historical (SHC)
monetary value	Economic berrefit extiruction
0	No economic benefit delived
- Carrier	s) Edianits er Smith Unified from the volumen
L L	Direct impact on human health (e.g. linury, liness effect on individual(s)
	indirect impact on or tireat to human health (e.g. sewer overflow or backup)
0	No obvious impact or human hapkin
Factor .	>) Impact on Human residu or environment
,	>100,000
	\$0,001-100,000
,	25,001-50,000 Rpd
2	pall and/s- tag/at
ty.	5,00110,000 gpd
	e Name ped
FK196	(miles on board (pased on treasing about the graph and on paster (1)
6	Refusal to return to compliance or cooperate
	Driay is return to compilance due to non-cooperation
	Cooperative effort to return to compliance by assigned deading
0	is a pretreatment system currently being designed or installed?
Facion	3.) Penalty factor
Pviolations	igia number of worksoms in the previous a moreha, including this violation
Factor	t) Confidence Nation?
-	
1,000	Old prior violations in the previous 6 months occur? Yes - 1, No s o
Tactor	L) Number of preside violations

City of Spokane Industrial Pretreatment Program Administrative Fine Worksheet

Factor	Value (¢)	Base Fee
	300	0
	50	0
	Sum:	0
Factor	Value (\$)	Total (\$)
	0	0
	100	0
	1000	0
		0
	500	0
	50	0
	250	0
	50	0
	Factor	Value (8 0 0 1000 1000 500 500 550 500 500 500 5

Cost Recovery Matrix	Pactor	Value (\$)	Total (\$)
11.) RPWRF cost recovery: attributed to IU discharge			0
12.) RPWRF manpower: per hour			0
to Total charges			,

retruction

Use the worksheet to the right to fill in clear cells in the matrix above

The sum in step #13 is the total fee to be assessed

Total fees not to exceed \$10,000 per day per violation

n.) RPWRF costs caused by IU and assessed by Control Authority
RPWRF man hours assessed for investigation and enforcement

(g) Total Fees
Sum the unit fees from steps #3 through step #12.

(i.) RPWRF costs caused by IU and assessed by Control Authority
Cost recovery (RPWRF fines, maintenance fees, interdepartmental cost)

monetary value

of man hours

Data gaps of 3 consecutive days or more

" Or maleston	The supplemental section of the sect
# of inspections	Extra inspections required due to violation
Factor	9.) Inspection fee
0 or 1	For reports 15-44 days late: Yes = 1, No = 0
Factor	8.) Late report Fee
0 or 1	Meets SNC criteria as defined in SMC 13.03A.0801
Factor	7.) Significance of Violation (SNC)
monetary value	Economic benefit estimation
0	No economic benefit derived
Factor	6.) Economics or savings benefited from the violation
2	Direct impact on human health (e.g. injury, illness effect on individual(s)
	Indirect impact on or threat to human health (e.g. sewer overflow or backup)
0	No obvious impact on human health
Factor	5.) Impact on human health or environment
5	>100,000
4	50,001 - 100,000
3	25,001 - 50,000 gpd
2	10,001 - 25,000 gpd
1.5	5,001-10,000 gpd
-3	< 5,000 gpd
Factor	4.) Impact on POTW (based on average gallons per day discharged)
6	Refusal to return to compliance or cooperate
w	Delay in return to compliance due to non-cooperation
1	Cooperative effort to return to compliance by assigned deadline
0	Is a pretreatment system currently being designed or installed?
Factor	3.) Penalty factor
# violations	Total number of violations in the previous 6 months, including this violation
Factor	2.) Compliance history
p or 1	Did prior violations in the previous 6 months occur? Yes = 1, No = 0