Minutes

[NOTE: The following April 29, 2019, minutes are being republished in this issue of the Official Gazette (Volume 109, Issue 20), as the previous publication of the minutes in the May 8, 2019, issue (Volume 109, Issue 19) contained an inadvertent clerical error under the “Council Recess/Executive Session” portion of the minutes.]

REPUBLICATION OF
MINUTES OF SPOKANE CITY COUNCIL

Monday, April 29, 2019

BRIEFING SESSION

The Briefing Session of the Spokane City Council held on the above date was called to order at 3:30 p.m. in the Council Briefing Center in the Lower Level of the Municipal Building, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, Washington.

Roll Call

On roll call, Council President Stuckart and Council Members Burke, Fagan, Kinnear, and Stratton were present. Council Members Beggs and Mumm were absent.

City Attorney Mike Ormsby, City Council Policy Advisor Brian McClatchey, and City Clerk Terri Pfister were also present on the dais.

Recognition of Street Director Gary Kaesemeyer

Council President Stuckart recognized Street Director Gary Kaesemeyer on his retirement and thanked him for his service to the City. His last day will be this Friday (May 3). Mr. Kaesemeyer has served the City for 37 years.

Advance Agenda Review

The City Council received an overview from staff on the May 6, 2019, Advance Agenda items.

Award Recommendations from the CHHS Board and CoC Board (OPR 2019-0336)

Council President Stuckart requested that Consent Agenda Item No. 2—Award Recommendations from the CHHS Board and CoC Board for public services and housing stability grant funds for the five-year award cycle, including authorization to enter into agreement with various funders and grantees—be moved to the 6:00 p.m. Legislative Session.

Action to Approve May 6, 2019, Advance Agenda

Following staff reports and Council inquiry and discussion regarding the May 6, 2019, Advance Agenda items, the City Council took the following action (pursuant to Council Rule 2.1.2):

Motion by Council Member Fagan, seconded by Council Member Kinnear, to approve the Advance Agenda for Monday, May 6, 2019; carried unanimously (Council Members Beggs and Mumm absent).

ADMINISTRATIVE SESSION

Current Agenda Review

The City Council reviewed changes to the April 29, 2019, Current Agenda items.

First Reading Ordinance C35766

Motion by Council Member Kinnear, seconded by Council Member Fagan, to substitute Ordinance C35766 (thereby replacing previously filed version); carried unanimously (Council Member Beggs and Mumm absent).
CONSENT AGENDA

Upon Unanimous Voice Vote, the City Council unanimously (Council Members Beggs and Mumm absent) approved Staff Recommendations for the following:

Set Hearing for May 20, 2019, on Appeal by Winston & Cashatt, representing the Applicant, Greenstone, and Kelly Puzio, Citizen, of the Hearing Examiner’s January 15, 2019, decision on a preliminary plat/PUD Application (Z18-598PPUD). (LGL 2019-0016)

Renewal of existing Value Blanket Order with Neptune Technologies Group, Inc. (Tallassee, AL) for annual supply of water meters, registers, and parts on an as-needed basis for the Water Department—estimated annual expenditure of $1,000,000. (OPR 2016-0352 / BID 4109-15)

Value Blanket Order Renewal with LN Curtis (Seattle, WA) for firefighter turnout gear —$400,000. (OPR 2016-0120 / RFP 4210-15)

Annual Value Blanket Orders for the Street Department with:

a. National Barricade Co. (Spokane, WA) for aluminum sign blanks—not to exceed $80,000. (OPR 2019-0311 / RFQ 778-19)

b. Traffic Safety Supply (Portland, OR) for perforated square steel tubes (traffic sign posts)—not to exceed $60,000 (incl. tax). (OPR 2019-0312 / RFQ 781-19)

c. Ennis–Flint Trading Co. (Greensboro, NC) for preformed thermoplastic products—not to exceed $85,000 (incl. tax). (OPR 2019-0313 / RFQ 783-19)

Consultant Agreement with KPFF Consulting Engineers, Inc. (Seattle, WA) for continued design of the Post Street Pedestrian and Utility Bridge—not to exceed $1,500,000. (OPR 2019-0296 / ENG 2017105)

Lowest Responsive Bid of Two Rivers Terminal, LLC (Pasco, WA) to supply approximately 65,000 gallons of liquid nitrate oxygen odor control solution at $1.89 per gallon from April 1, 2019 through March 30, 2022—$401,350.95. (OPR 2019-0315)

Contract Amendment with Safway Services, LLC, for scaffolding services at the Waste to Energy Plant through March of 2019—additional amount $60,000 (incl. tax). (OPR 2017-0155 / RFB 4308-16)

Contract Amendment with Collette Leland and the firm Winston Cashatt to provide representation in an arbitration involving a contract dispute with IMCO Construction Co.—additional amount of $170,000. Total contract amount: $644,000. (OPR 2018-0072)

Report of the Mayor of pending:

a. Claims and payments of previously approved obligations, including those of Parks and Library, through April 19, 2019, total $6,250,099.51 (Check Nos. 559728-559919; ACH Payment Nos. 62674-62965), with Parks and Library claims approved by their respective boards. Warrants excluding Parks and Library total $4,970,581.96. (CPR 2019-0002)

b. Payroll claims of previously approved obligations through April 20, 2019: $6,990,143.80 (Check Nos. 552649-552781). (CPR 2109-0003)

City Council Meeting Minutes: April 15 and April 18, 2019. (CPR 2019-0013)

Council Recess/Executive Session
The City Council adjourned at 3:48 p.m. The City Council immediately adjourned into an Executive Session to discuss potential litigation and labor negotiations for 30 minutes. City Attorney Mike Ormsby and Assistant City Attorney Mike Piccolo were present during the discussion on potential litigation. Special Counsel Beth Kennar of Summit Law Group was present during the labor negotiations discussion. The City Council reconvened at 6:00 p.m. for the Legislative Session.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Pledge of Allegiance
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council President Stuckart.
Roll Call
Council President Stuckart and Council Members Burke, Fagan, Kinnear, and Stratton were present. Council Member Beggs and Mumm were absent.

City Clerk Terri Pfister and City Council Policy Advisor Brian McClatchey were also present on the dais.

Poetry at the Podium
Michael Beckley presented a poem entitled “Be.”

There were no Mayoral Proclamations.

OVERVIEW OF WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATIVE SESSION
Council President Stuckart noted the (Washington State) Legislative Session (in Olympia) ended this week. He indicated Council Members Beggs and Mumm, Mayor Condon and Police Chief Meidl were at the bill signing on property crime supervision. Council Member Kinnear provided an overview of Senate Bill 5492 (supervision for motor vehicle-related felonies) signed into law earlier today. Council Member Burke then provided highlights of funding in the (Washington State) budget that will help the City of Spokane and remarked on some of the bills that will assist with the Spokane housing crises.

CITY ADMINISTRATION REPORT
Report on Greenhouse Gas Inventory
Environmental Programs Director Catherine Olsen provided opening remarks on the Greenhouse Gas Inventory. She noted the City of Spokane partnered with Gonzaga University to conduct the City of Spokane’s Greenhouse Gas Inventory, which we do by ordinance every three years. She introduced Dr. J. Alexander Maxwell, the principal investigator, who opened the presentation and then each of the students accompanying Dr. Maxwell reported on the sector of emissions that they researched and analyzed.

“Cody’s Comeback”
Council President Stuckart introduced a video regarding “Cody’s Comeback.” Cody Poole spent almost seven years in federal prison. He was still on probation when he enrolled in the AGC’s (Associated General Contractors) Head Start to the Construction Trade Program. The goal of the program is to give defender’s some hope and when they are released they are able to get employed.

There were no Council Committee Reports.

OPEN FORUM
David Bilsland announced there is a Regents meeting for WSU at 9:00 a.m. Friday morning at the Nursing School, Room 205. He stated he will be there and will bring up the concept of using the Jensen-Byrd Building as a community center.

Jon Lossing remarked on the closing of Runner’s Soul.

Joshua Hiler remarked on the City of Spokane flag and suggested replacing the flag by bringing back an older flag which served from 1912 until 1958. Council Member Burke remarked that she has dropped an ordinance that would start the process of redoing the City’s flag and stated she would be happy to speak with Mr. Hiller following the meeting.

Barb Brock noted that she continues to represent Camp Hope and noted they still meet from 3:00 to 5:00 p.m. every Monday and have been for the last several months. She stated it’s an opportunity to listen to the homeless and hear some of their points of view and ideas. She remarked on homelessness, storage bins for homeless, housing, and the Jensen-Byrd Building.

LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

SPECIAL BUDGET ORDINANCES
Special Budget Ordinance C35763
Subsequent to public testimony and Council commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote (Council Members Beggs and Mumm absent), the City Council passed Special Budget Ordinance C35763 amending Ordinance No. C35703 passed by the City
Council December 10, 2018, and entitled, "An Ordinance adopting the Annual Budget of the City of Spokane for 2019, making appropriations to the various funds, departments and programs of the City of Spokane government for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019, and providing it shall take effect immediately upon passage," and declaring an emergency and appropriating funds in:

Office of Performance Management
FROM: IF Revenue, $494,055;
TO: Miscellaneous accounts, same amount.

(This action budgets costs to the Office of Performance Management.)

There were no Emergency Ordinances.

RESOLUTIONS
Resolution 2019-0031
Subsequent to public testimony and Council commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote (Council Members Beggs and Mumm absent), the City Council adopted Resolution 2019-0031 providing for the sale of surplus City property at 4017 E. Hartson Avenue and 4023 E. Hartson Avenue.

Resolution 2019-0032
Subsequent to an opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak, and the opportunity for Council commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote (Council Members Beggs and Mumm absent), the City Council adopted Resolution 2019-0032 declaring Oracle America, Inc. (Oracle) a sole source provider authorizing staff to negotiate and enter into a contract with Oracle for the purchase of technical support services which include upgrade support and issue resolution at a cost of $211,157.12 (incl. tax.).

There were no Final Reading Ordinances.

FIRST READING ORDINANCES
The following Ordinances were read for the first time, with further action deferred:

ORD C35764 Clarifying the role of the City Administrator concerning the City of Spokane's state and federal legislative agendas and advocacy efforts; amending section 03.01A.110 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

ORD C35765 Relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.

ORD C35766 Strengthening the City's revenue stabilization and contingency reserve accounts; amending section 07.08.010 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS
Contract with Lime (Neutron Holdings, Inc.) (OPR 2019-0316)
The City Council considered the Contract with Lime (Neutron Holdings, Inc.) for Shared Mobility (Bikeshare). Subsequent to public testimony and Council and staff commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon 4-1 Roll Call Vote (Council Member Fagan voting “no” and Council Members Beggs and Mumm absent), the City Council approved the Contract with Lime (Neutron Holdings, Inc.) for Shared Mobility (Bikeshare)—estimated revenue more than $50,000.

HEARINGS
Hearing on the City Council's Adoption of Spokane’s Community Empowerment Zone Strategic Plan (RES 2019-0032)
The City Council held a Hearing on the City Council’s adoption of Spokane’s Community Empowerment Zone Strategic Plan and designating a Community Empowerment Zone Boundary. Subsequent to an opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak, and Council commentary, the following action was taken:
Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote (Council Member Beggs and Mumm absent), the City Council approved adoption of Spokane’s Community Empowerment Zone Strategic Plan and designating a Community Empowerment Zone Boundary.

No individuals spoke during the Second Open Forum.

ADJOURNMENT
There being no further business to come before the City Council, the Regular Legislative Session of the Spokane City Council adjourned at 6:59 p.m.

MINUTES OF SPOKANE CITY COUNCIL
Monday, May 6, 2019

BRIEFING SESSION

The Briefing Session of the Spokane City Council held on the above date was called to order at 3:30 p.m. in the Council Briefing Center in the Lower Level of the Municipal Building, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, Washington.

Roll Call
On roll call, Council President Stuckart and Council Members Beggs, Burke, Fagan, Kinnear, Mumm, and Stratton were present.

City Attorney Mike Ormsby, City Council Policy Advisor Brian McClatchey, and City Clerk Terri Pfister were also present on the dais.

Advance Agenda Review
The City Council received an overview from staff on the May 13, 2019, Advance Agenda items.

Action to Approve May 13, 2019, Advance Agenda
Following staff reports and Council inquiry and discussion regarding the May 13, 2019, Advance Agenda items, the City Council took the following action (pursuant to Council Rule 2.1.2):

Motion by Council Member Fagan, seconded by Council Member Beggs, to approve the Advance Agenda for Monday, May 13, 2019; carried unanimously.

ADMINISTRATIVE SESSION

Current Agenda Review
The City Council reviewed changes to the May 6, 2019, Current Agenda items.

CONSENT AGENDA

Upon motion by Council Member Fagan, seconded by Council Member Beggs, the City Council unanimously approved Staff Recommendations for the following:

Purchase of five vehicles from forfeiture funds from various vendors to be used as undercover vehicles by the Police Department—$125,000. (Relates to Special Budget Ordinance C35767.) (OPR 2019-0335)

Contract with KPFF Consulting Engineers (Seattle, WA) for inspection and load rating of the Post Street Bridge—$62,697 (incl. tax). (OPR 2019-0337)

Report of the Mayor of pending claims and payments of previously approved obligations, including those of Parks and Library, through April 26, 2019, total $9,414,204.77 (Check Nos. 559920-560132; ACH Payment Nos. 62966-63314), with Parks and Library claims approved by their respective boards. Warrants excluding Parks and Library total $8,436,752.53. (CPR 2019-0002)

City Council Meeting Minutes: April 22 and April 25, 2019. (CPR 2019-0013)
Council Recess/Executive Session
The City Council adjourned at 3:47 p.m. No Executive Session was held. The City Council reconvened at 6:00 p.m. for the Legislative Session.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Pledge of Allegiance
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council President Stuckart.

Invocation
Council Member Fagan noted he attended a memorial this weekend for a 54-year member of the VFW Auxiliary, as well as a member of the Spokane Tribe and a career worker at the Coeur d’Alene Casino. He recited the prayer that was recited at her memorial.

Roll Call
Council President Stuckart and Council Members Beggs, Burke, Fagan, Kinnear, Mumm, and Stratton were present.

City Clerk Terri Pfister and City Council Policy Advisor Brian McClatchey were also present on the dais.

There was no Poetry at the Podium presentation.

APPOINTMENTS
Park Board (CPR 1981-0402) and Community, Housing and Human Services Board (CPR 2012-0033)

Upon Unanimous Voice Vote (in the affirmative), the City Council approved (and thereby confirmed) the following appointments:

- Appointment of Barb Richey to the Spokane Park Board with term beginning immediately and serving through February 26, 2024. (CPR 1981-0402)
- Re-appointments of Rebecca Sero and Adriane Leithauser to the Community, Housing and Human Services Board with terms beginning April 18, 2019, through April 17, 2022. (CPR 2012-0033)

MAYOR PROCLAMATIONS

May 2019  Clean Air Month

Council Member Burke read the proclamation. A representative of the Clean Air Agency accepted the proclamation. The proclamation congratulates the Spokane Regional Clean Air Agency on their 50th Anniversary of working to protect, preserve, and enhance the vital natural resource.

May 2019  Historic Preservation Month

Council Member Kinnear read the proclamation. Representatives of the Historic Landmarks Commission accepted the proclamation. Historic Preservation is an effective tool for community revitalization, economic development, and enhancing livability. The Spokane Historic Preservation Office received National and Statewide recognition for its innovative and productive approach to historic preservation while also recognizing the contributions that many dedicated individuals have made in preserving Spokane’s heritage. The proclamation encourages citizens of our great community to join with their fellow citizens across the United States in recognizing and participating in this special observance.

May 14, 2019  College Signing Day

Council Member Beggs read the proclamation. College Success Foundation representatives accepted the proclamation. The College Success Foundation provides a unique integrated system of support and scholarships for underserved low income students to finish high school, graduate from college and succeed in life. College Success Foundation - Spokane works collaboratively within Spokane Public Schools five comprehensive high schools and three middle schools to provide programs and support to more than 1,200 students annually to improve educational outcomes for underserved, low income, and first generation college students. The proclamation encourages all citizens to support the College Success Foundation – Spokane in empowering our community’s underserved youth with the gift of education and opportunity so that all students have the same chance to finish high school, graduate from college, and succeed in life.

May 2019  Mental Health Awareness Month

Council Member Mumm read the proclamation. A representative accepted the proclamation. Since 1949, the month of May has been observed as Mental Health Month, and mental health awareness is an important part of the Spokane community. The number of people afflicted with mental health issues in our country is one in five which translates up to
20 percent of our community which might suffer from some form of mental illness. Through education about good mental
health resources in our community, we can encourage our citizens to seek help for mental health issues. Through
increased awareness, we can help to erase the stigma of mental illness.

May 2019  Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders Heritage Month

Council Member Fagan read the proclamation. The proclamation was accepted by Dr. Lam and others. On October 23,
1992, the President of the United States signed into law annually proclaiming the month of May as Asian Pacific
American Heritage Month to recognize and celebrate the rich cultural heritage and contributions of Asian American
Pacific Islander (AAPI) communities. AAPIs make significant contributions to the growth and vibrancy of Spokane
throughout its history. It is important to mark the start of the AAPI history in Washington State when they immigrated 150
years ago and became instrumental in building every major railroad line in Washington State. The proclamation
encourages all citizens to celebrate the cultural diversity of Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders by learning about the
many different cultures, languages, and ethnicities that embody the AAIP community.

There was no Administrative Report.

There were no Council Committee Reports.

OPEN FORUM

Kristine Schuler commented on the signing of Senate Bill 5492 regarding criminals stealing cars.

Brandon Mast remarked on building three wellness centers or life centers in our community and shared his
belief that if a person uses drugs they should still be able to access health care.

Joseph Sampson remarked on the $30,000 in bus passes that was supposed to be sent out to the homeless
population and questioned where the bus passes went. He also remarked on a common ground initiative and notice of
trespass exclusion from River Park Square that he received.

Richard Dahl noted he is no longer homeless and remarked on warming shelters and homelessness.

Edie Rice-Saua remarked on homelessness and how underfunded the homeless provisions are in our
community. She thanked the City Council at their attempts at creativity and uncovering every possibility of funding.

LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

RESOLUTIONS
Resolution 2019-0022
Council President Stuckart called for a motion to move that the previous question be ordered on Resolution 2019-0022
(authorizing the sale of surplus City property and authorizing the Mayor to execute Real Property Exchange Agreement
with UGM and to execute such other documents as are reasonably necessary to close the transactions contemplated in
the Real Property Exchange Agreement). The following action was taken:

Motion by Council Member Stratton, seconded by Council Members Mumm and Fagan, to move
that the previous question be ordered on Resolution 2019-0022 (which will put it on the agenda);
carried unanimously.

Council President Stuckart stated the motion now before the Council is the adoption of Resolution 2019-0022. Council
Member Kinnear first presented a motion to amend Resolution 2019-0022. The following action was taken:

Motion by Council Member Kinnear, seconded by Council Member Mumm, to amend under
Number 4 (of the Utility and Access Easement), "Maintenance," (to read): "Grantor hereby covenants
and agrees to water, fertilize, and mow the Easement Area so as to maintain it in a park like setting.
Grantor shall use fertilizer and herbicides in accordance with manufacturers’ recommendations and as
minimally as possible and in no case at higher rates of frequencies than specified on product packaging.
Grantor and Grantee shall meet once per year to review the adequacy of Grantor’s maintenance of the
Easement Area and shall review Grantor’s use of fertilizers and herbicides and agree on any needed
adjustments to such use; carried unanimously.

Council President Stuckart noted that it came to light in the last few weeks on this matter that all the land diverts back to
the City if UGM ever moves, which devalues the land in his mind. He stated he thought the City was just vacating and
giving it straight to them but actually it all reverts back to the City if UGM moves so that means UGM cannot build on it and they can’t ever sell it and so that changes the whole valuation. There was an opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak. Council commentary was held, after which the following action was taken:

Upon 6-1 Roll Call Vote (Council Member Mumm voting “no”), the City Council adopted Resolution 2019-0022 (as amended) authorizing the sale of surplus City property and authorizing the Mayor to execute Real Property Exchange Agreement with UGM and to execute such other documents as are reasonably necessary to close the transactions contemplated in the Real Property Exchange Agreement.

For Council action on Resolution 2019-0033, see section of minutes following “Special Budget Ordinance” and “Emergency Ordinance.”

SPECIAL BUDGET ORDINANCE
Special Budget Ordinance C35767
Subsequent to the opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak, and Council commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous 6-1 Roll Call Vote (Council Member Burke voting “no”), the City Council passed Special Budget Ordinance C35767 amending Ordinance No. C35703 passed by the City Council December 10, 2018, and entitled, “An Ordinance adopting the Annual Budget of the City of Spokane for 2019, making appropriations to the various funds, departments and programs of the City of Spokane government for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019, and providing it shall take effect immediately upon passage,” and declaring an emergency and appropriating funds in:

Forfeitures & Contributions Fund
FROM:  Forfeiture Fund-State – Confiscated/Forfeited, $50,000 and Forfeitures – Undesignated Reserves, $75,000;
TO:  Forfeiture Fund-State – Capital–Vehicles, same amount.

(This action replaces five aging undercover vehicles for Police.) (Relates to OPR 2019-0335 under Consent Agenda)

EMERGENCY ORDINANCE
For Council action on Emergency Ordinance C35769, see section of minutes under “Hearings.”

RESOLUTIONS
For Council action on Resolution 2019-0022, see section of minutes before “Special Budget Ordinances.”

Resolution 2019-0033
Subsequent to the opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak, and Council commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote, the City Council adopted Resolution 2019-0033 setting hearing before City Council for June 3, 2019, for the vacation of portions of Erie St. that is part of the Martin Luther King Jr. Way project.

FINAL READING ORDINANCES
Final Reading Ordinance C35764
Subsequent to an overview of Final Reading Ordinance C35764 by Council Member Mumm and public testimony, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote, the City Council passed Final Reading Ordinance C35764 clarifying the role of the City Administrator concerning the City of Spokane’s state and federal legislative agendas and advocacy efforts; amending section 03.01A.110 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

Final Reading Ordinance C35765
Subsequent to an opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak, and Council commentary, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote, the City Council passed Final Reading Ordinance C35765 relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.
Final Reading Ordinance C35766
Subsequent to an overview of Final Reading Ordinance C35766 by Council Member Mumm and the opportunity for public testimony, with no individuals requesting to speak, the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote, the City Council passed Final Reading Ordinance C35766 strengthening the City’s revenue stabilization and contingency reserve accounts; amending section 07.08.010 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

FIRST READING ORDINANCES
The following Ordinance was read for the first time, with further action deferred:

ORD C35768 Relating to pedestrian safety; adopting new chapter 16A.84 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS
Grant Funding Award Recommendations from the CHHS Board and CoC Board (OPR 2019-0336)
The City Council considered award recommendations from the CHHS Board and CoC Board for public services and housing stability grant funds for the five-year award cycle, including authorization to enter into agreement with various funders and grantees. CHHS Director Kelly Keenan provided an overview of the recommendations and responded to Council inquiries and public testimony was held. Council President Stuckart noted there has been a request of Council to split the recommendations into two (public services funding and housing stability). The following actions were taken following Council commentary:

Motion by Council Member Mumm, seconded by Council Member Kinnear, to approve public services funding as recommended; carried 6-1 (Council Member Burke voting “no”).

Motion by Council Member Fagan, seconded by Council Member Mumm, to approve all the housing stability (proposals recommended for funding), less The Carlyle and (Home Yard) Cottages (which two will be funded out of another pot of money); carried unanimously.

HEARINGS
Hearing on Emergency Ordinance C35769
The City Council held a Hearing on Emergency Ordinance C35769 amending the proposed arterial network map (Map TR 12) in Chapter 4 (Transportation) of the City of Spokane’s Comprehensive Plan to remove the designation of “Urban Major Collector Arterial” and “Proposed Urban Major Collector Arterial” on Crestline Street between 37th Avenue and Southeast Boulevard at 31st Avenue; and declaring an emergency. City Planner Tirrell Black provided a presentation on the matter and responded to Council inquiries. Public testimony was received and Council commentary held, after which the following action was taken:

Upon Unanimous Roll Call Vote, the City Council passed Emergency Ordinance C35769 amending the proposed arterial network map (Map TR 12) in Chapter 4 (Transportation) of the City of Spokane’s Comprehensive Plan to remove the designation of “Urban Major Collector Arterial” and “Proposed Urban Major Collector Arterial” on Crestline Street between 37th Avenue and Southeast Boulevard at 31st Avenue; and declaring an emergency.

SECOND OPEN FORUM
Mike Ankney and Judith Gilmore remarked on the AGC (Associated General Contractors) Head Start to Construction Work Program.

ADJOURNMENT
There being no further business to come before the City Council, the Regular Legislative Session of the Spokane City Council adjourned at 8:47 p.m.
The following topic was discussed:

- Boards & Commission Interview: Barb Richey (Park Board)
- SREC Update #3
- Non-profit Shelter Discussion

The meeting was open to the public, but was conducted in a study session format. No public testimony was taken and discussion was limited to appropriate officials and staff.

The meeting adjourned at 5 p.m.

Public Safety & Community Health Committee
Meeting Minutes – May 7, 2018

Call to Order: 1:16 PM

Attendance:
PSCHC Members Present: PSCHC Chair CM Kinnear, CM Fagan, CM Stratton, CM Burke, CP Stuckart, CM Mumm

Staff/Others Present: Chief Meidl, Major Olsen, Major King, Director MacConnel, Detective Harden, Chief Schaeffer, Assistant Fire Chief John, Assistant Fire Chief Wolford, Brian McClatchey, Mike Ormsby, Angie Napolitano, Adam McDaniel, Dawn Kinder, Eric Finch, Alex Reynolds, Ariane Schmidt, Bart Logue, Justin Bingham, Patrick Striker, Kelly Keenan, Ariane Schmidt, Julie Happy, Luvimae Omana, Tim Dunnivant, Ed Lukas, Former-CM Amber Waldref, Kelly Keenan, Mike Lopez

Approval of February 5, 2018 minutes: Motion to approve by CM Fagan; M/S by CM Stratton. The committee approved the minutes for March 5, 2018 unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

OPO Monthly Report – March 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives Monthly Update (SPD)
Fire Chief Follow-up on Key Initiatives Memo (SFD)
Additional Rental Assistance – Housing and Essential Needs (CHHS)
Sharpe Ave. Stormwater Improvements Project (Public Works, Engineering)

STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION

Strategic Priority: Integrated 911/Dispatch
NONE

Strategic Priority: Integrated Response
Integrated Social Services Pilot Update – Alex Reynolds
CP Stuckart opened up by questioning whether the ISS discussion should even continue going forward if the Intermodal site remains in consideration, given that US Border Patrol agents continue to maintain a heavy presence there to conduct transportation checks. CP Stuckart said that he absolutely will not support the project going forward at that site in light of those circumstances. Dawn Kinder said that Asset Management will continue to assess the Intermodal site as a precaution so that construction could go forward on the Intermodal if no alternative sites can be found, but that City is looking for other locations and is not married to Intermodal. CM Stratton asked what other sites are under consideration other than the Intermodal, and Dawn responded that other options are available, but many will require a budget expense to pay rent. Once the costs can be ascertained, she added, then specific sites can be presented, Kelly Keenan also added the time sensitive nature of the search for a site, citing ongoing cooperation with HUD. CM Burke asked about using mobile sites that can travel to communities in need, citing a new WSU study on the concept, rather than using a stationary site. Dawn responded that no internal studies were considering that option at the moment, but reiterated that the current project is focused only on a single, stationary integrated services location. CP Stuckart closed the conversation by stating that, despite the current HUD opportunity, any location discussion that includes the Intermodal site needs to cease unless Border Patrol changes current practices. Instead, he offered placing the site on the first floor of City Hall as an alternative, an option supported by both CMs Burke and Stratton. Tim Dunivant said that the Administration will not commit to any single location at this point, but will continue to work on a variety of potential sites.

Strategic Priority: Criminal Justice Reform
NONE
Strategic Priority: City-Wide Clean & Safe
SPD/Spokane Public Schools Gang Prevention Grant – Amber Waldref
Amber Waldref thanked the Committee for taking time out to address the results of a gang violence prevention grant that Spokane Public Schools obtained from the DOJ to study gangs in Spokane schools. She introduced the presenter, Alise Mnati, who works for Gang-Free Spokane Collaborative. Alise described the Gang-Free steering committee, then began by showing a 4-minute YouTube video, which provided further information about Gang-Free Spokane. Spokane was one of only four US cities to receive the $290,000 grant. Gang-Free Spokane mentors are not limited to NE Spokane, but have freedom to go to any District 81 school and any area of the City, to keep pace with gang activity, which is borderless. Based on the experiences of mentors, students often don’t wear gang-affiliated paraphernalia or colors, and most gang-involved students are white males. Data shows that number of gang-impacted youth is increasing, and number of self-identified gang members is also increasing, per Juvenile Court records. Elise detailed a list of contributing factors that impact a student’s likelihood to become involved in gang activity. Most students who do become involved with gangs immediately become alienated from school and school community, and Gang-Free Spokane trainings will attempt to combat this trend in Spokane schools. Gang-Free Spokane has 5 core strategies to address students involved with gangs: social intervention, opportunity provision, organizational change and development, suppression of gang opportunities, and community mobilization (which is the current focus of Gang-Free Spokane now that the initial study has concluded).

After explaining these strategies, Elise transitioned to providing a breakdown of the Intervention Programs currently being conducted in Spokane public schools. She gave an overview of data examining gang perceptions across generations. CP Stuckart interrupted to ask how human trafficking impacts gang activity in schools. To this, Major Olsen explained that making human trafficking arrests is difficult, and explained how SPD handles these sorts of questions in conducting police activities. Major King added that often times domestic violence arrests will have a human trafficking component as well. Elise concluded by explaining what community members can do to fight gang activity in Spokane. CM Stratton asked about how records of gang-affiliated students are being/can be kept as a performance measure. Alise describe some of the difficulties involved with keeping such records, but elaborated on how observing data trends that don’t involve making records of individual students are still very helpful in measuring performance. Amber Waldref chimed in that survey data has highlighted what factors cause youth to flirt with gang activity; she suggested that fighting those causes is a great platform for further implementation efforts.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Staff Requests:
Homelessness Outreach & Encampments EBO – Dawn Kinder
Following up a meeting on 24/7 shelter services last week, CHHS now has a funding target for the amount that they would need to implement a short-term solution, which would build toward a long-term plan. Staff recommends that Council fund additional staffing to work on homeless outreach & encampment mitigation. The budgetary number is $260,000. CP Stuckart said he was satisfied with this ask after a few of his clarifying questions were answered. CM Stratton indicated her support of the funding after clarifying some specifics about the makeup of the homeless outreach team with Kelly Keenan. CP Stuckart asked if the Mayor supported this solution, and he was assured that is the case. With the Mayor’s support assured, Council President Stuckart closed the conversation by suggesting that progress on this move forward quickly.

NBS/SRHD Sharps Mitigation Plan – Alex Reynolds
“Sharps” is a term coined to describe discarded needles used for drug injection. The pilot mitigation plan for these “sharps” is based on one used in Seattle, which involved placing receptacle boxes around for drug users to safely dispose of used needles. The idea is to provide a clean, safe option for users to dispose of needles discreetly. SPD has identified four locations for the 4-5 suggested receptacles. City also will participate in public outreach around this issue. CM Stratton asked who will be responsible for cleaning receptacles. Alex answered that the City’s Solid Waste Management team would handle that duty, and with that, the discussion ended.

Fire Station Roof & HVAC Unit Repairs – Ed Lukas
Eleven different fire stations will be included in this effort to upgrade each station’s roofing and HVAC units. The first three stations will receive work this year, with the rest to follow in years to come. The new HVAC systems will improve efficiency over the existing ones. CM Mumm asked if roof repairs could include an analysis of the feasibility of supporting solar panels in the future. Ed responded that such an analysis could be included, but it hadn’t been to this point. CM Stratton asked Fire Chief Schaeffer if he was on board with this, and he answered that he certainly was, and further indicated his gratefulness for having asset management from the City handle this so that SFD could remain focused on its public safety responsibilities.

Council Requests:
SPD Human Trafficking Update – CM Kinnear & Detective Harden
SPD Captain Brad Arleth and his investigative team of subject matter experts, including Detective Harden, gave a comprehensive update on human trafficking activities in Spokane. Human Trafficking happens right here in our community and involves labor, sex/prostitution, and other areas of trafficking. SPD has made positive strides to provide outreach and victim services over the past few months, especially in the E. Sprague area. Revitalization of the E. Sprague district has brought growth and expansion in the East Central Neighborhood and SPD is committed to creating a safe community as the area continues to experience growth.
Committee members then opened up with questions to advance the discussion. CM Stratton asked what the age of victims generally were when SPD makes trafficking arrests, and it turned out that all three of the recent E. Sprague incidents did not involve any minors. When a minor is discovered in a human trafficking incident, however, they are taken into protective custody and transported to a crisis stabilization center. Personnel at the center then work with community resource providers to take next steps towards rehabilitating the victim. CM Fagan asked about the connection from gangs involved in human trafficking and larger drug/organized crime cartels. In Spokane, most gangs are street gangs, and it is difficult to know the connection of street gangs to larger organized crime bodies (i.e. cartels). Spokane’s gang scene is unique in that multiple gangs share territories and coexist – there are national-level gangs with a presence in Spokane, as well as home-grown gangs. All of these assorted gangs become involved in an array of Criminal activities, including human trafficking.

Captain Arleth then explained how SPD is currently engaged in multiple different human trafficking operations. Some of these involve state and federal cases. SPD has heard through victim interviews that gangs can make up to $1000/day running trafficked females, and then that money is reinvested in further criminal enterprises. The most pressing challenges for law enforcement are finding victims, getting to the traffickers through victim cooperation, and reducing the demand in our community for criminal enterprises that engage in human trafficking. Most trafficking activities occur at night. Recently, surveillance on the trafficked persons has been used to build a probable cause case against the higher level "Johns" who pimp out multiple trafficked females. CM Mumm asked about public outreach to reduce demand in the community for human trafficking victims. The SPD team answered that more outreach is coming in the near future, but cautioned that most outreach efforts only tend to decrease demand for a short period of time. CM Mumm followed-up with a question about the best way to change "John" behavior. The SPD team responded that educating people about human trafficking will be a huge deterrent to trafficking. The team is focused foremost on recovering juveniles from criminal enterprises and getting them on board to take action against the higher-ups in the trafficking operation. CM Stratton wanted to make sure that outreach efforts reach schools in order to impact students and keep them away from drug addiction, which can often lead to involvement in prostitution and trafficking to pay for bad drug habits. CM Mumm asked about the role of hormone that was among the most common drugs leading dependents into prostitution. The SPD team cautioned that most outreach efforts only tend to decrease demand for a short period of time. CM Mumm followed-up with a question about the best way to change "John" behavior. The SPD team responded that educating people about human trafficking will be a huge deterrent to trafficking. The team is focused foremost on recovering juveniles from criminal enterprises and getting them on board to take action against the higher-ups in the trafficking operation. CM Stratton wanted to make sure that outreach efforts reach schools in order to impact students and keep them away from drug addiction, which can often lead to involvement in prostitution and trafficking to pay for bad drug habits. CM Mumm asked about public outreach to reduce demand in the community for human trafficking victims.

The discussion then turned to SPD’s work with state and federal law enforcement agencies in fighting human trafficking. CM Mumm asked about the FBI’s involvement in Spokane. Captain Arleth responded that the Spokane FBI office created a human trafficking taskforce a few years ago, and continues to rely on cooperation with SPD to conduct investigations and follow leads. SPD/FBI will continue to work together in the near future, and there are plans in the works currently. CM Kinnear asked what SPD resources are available to FBI. Capt. Arleth said that the City’s joining the “Safe Streets Taskforce” with county and higher levels of government allows for resource sharing between all entities in the taskforce. CM Stratton closed the discussion with by asking what Council can do to aid in these efforts. Capt. Arleth said that was a question for SPD administrative leadership, but said that allowing SPD team to present to Council during committees was a big positive. CM Mumm asked what about Spokane specifically makes it attractive to these kinds of criminal enterprises. The SPD team reasoned that many different reasons contribute – geographic, historical, and otherwise – but mostly there is a sizable market for criminal activities in Spokane, being the biggest urban hub in the Intermountain Northwest. CM Burke asked SPD administrative leadership whether funding a specific human trafficking study would be worth it. Chief Meidl said this would not be necessary, as such a study would only confirm existing knowledge about the issue in Spokane.

**Fire Prevention Division Staff Vehicle Purchase**
CM Mumm asked for the result of the bid process in terms of the final cost for purchasing each vehicle. $68,000 for the three vehicles needed (this was satisfactory to CM Mumm, she stated that she simply wanted to know that final [not estimated] price number).

**Inhabitation of cars/RVs in Residential Areas Discussion – CMs Beggs & Burke**
CM Burke has recently received numerous calls from constituents who are concerned about people parking their RVs on residential streets and then living out of them. She suggested creating a safe lot for RV dwellers to park long-term and suggested improving on what failed with this concept in Seattle. CM Burke asked if this problem was concentrated in NE Spokane, but CM Mumm assured her that NW Spokane has experienced the same issues. Dawn Kinder advised strongly against the Seattle model for a safe lot, but said that there are models for good safe lots that could be followed in Spokane, most of which involve 24/7 staffing. CP Stuckart asked if there are estimates of number of RV dwellers currently in Spokane. Kelly Keenan used to point-in-time count data to give an estimate of RV dwellers – around 100 households. Walmart and many churches allow RV camping in their parking lots, and Louis Garcia from Code Enforcement said that there are some regulations around long-term RV living even on private property. CM Fagan reported that he has dealt with 5 different RV incidents in the past week alone. CM Burke asked about the regulations involving water/sewer
hookups for RVs; Louis said that it is legally required to provide these hookups for anywhere to operate as a licensed RV camping facility. CM Mumm asked about providing vouchers to RV dwellers to take up camping in licensed RV campgrounds. Multiple CMs joined in trying to flesh out the idea and it has support, especially if there was a social service provision aspect of any such plan. CM Fagan brought up a piece of Spokane Valley legislation in the works regarding this issue and advised CM Burke to make contact with the City of Spokane Valley to find out about it.

**ADMINISTRATION REQUESTS:**
NONE

**Action Items:** NONE

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 2:39 PM. The next PSCHC meeting will be held Monday, June 4, 2018.

**Attachments/Briefing Papers:**
PSCHC Meeting Minutes – February 5, 2018
OPO Monthly Report – January 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives March Monthly Update (SPD)
Amendment to OPR 2017-0475 Liberty Lake PD agreement (SPD)
Juvenile Court MOU - Human Trafficking Task Force Grant Training (SPD)
DEM HazMat Grant Amendment (SFD)
Swift Water Rescue Jet Boat repair (SFD)
Structural Firefighting PPE Value Blanket increase (SFD)
Community Assembly Liaison to CHHS Board
Yard Sale Ordinance
Surplus Properties Materials

Referenced attachments to the minutes are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

---

Public Safety & Community Health Committee
Meeting Minutes – June 4, 2018

**Call to Order:** 1:15 PM

**Attendance:**
PSCHC Members Present: PSCHC Chair CM Kinnear, CM Fagan, CM Burke, CM Mumm, CM Beggs

Staff/Others Present: Chief Meidl, Major Olsen, Major King, Director MacConnel, Detective Harden, Officer Craig Bulkley, Chief Schaeffer, Assistant Fire Chief John, Assistant Fire Chief Wolford, Brian McClatchey, Mike Ormsby, Angie Napolitano, Adam McDaniel, Dawn Kinder, Eric Finch, Alex Reynolds, Ariane Schmidt, Bart Logue, Justin Bingham, Patrick Striker, Kelly Keenan, Ariane Schmidt, Julie Happy, Luvimae Omana, Tim Dunnivant, Ed Lukas, Kelly Keenan, Mike Lopez, Luvimae Omana, Theresa Sanders

**Approval of May 7, 2018 minutes:** Motion to approve by CM Fagan; M/S by CM Beggs. The committee approved the minutes for May 7, 2018 unanimously.

**CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS**

OPO Monthly Report – April 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives Monthly Update (SPD)
AOT False Alarm Program (SPD)
Galls Contract for Police Uniforms, Alterations, and Repairs (SPD)
Purcahse of Central #2 Well Station Pump (Public Works)
Non-Federally Funded Right of Way Acquisition Services (Asset Management)
Sunset Blvd. Grind & Overlay (Public Works) – CM Mumm asked Dan Buller a clarifying question about the grind & overlay work and compatibility with City long-term connectivity and transport plans. Dan said he can report back. Theresa Sanders asked about the specifics of the project, and Dan described in detail the lane changes that are set to occur.

**STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION**

**Strategic Priority: Integrated 911/Dispatch**
NONE
Strategic Priority: Integrated Response
Integrated Social Services Pilot Update – Alex Reynolds
Mr. Reynolds’s update centered on the potential locations for the pilot site, as the Intermodal Center site had long fallen out of favor. He listed three potential locations that had come under consideration: Monroe Court, the Jenkins Building, and a space on the first floor of the downtown public library. There also exists a possible fourth location that would be shared with Worksource. Using a PowerPoint presentation, Mr. Reynolds mapped all three main candidates and listed both the strengths and weaknesses associated with each potential location. CM Mumm asked for specifics about the 1st-Floor Library location and suggested that cost estimates be obtained as a next step. City Administrator Theresa Sanders indicated her desire to maintain a focus on law enforcement connectivity with the services provided at a potential site location.

Strategic Priority: Criminal Justice Reform
NONE

Strategic Priority: City-Wide Clean & Safe
SPD Public Safety & Recidivism Reduction Programs Update – Asst. Chief Lundgren
Asst. Chief Lundgren was out sick on the date of the meeting. He will present this update during the July 30th PSCHC meeting.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Staff Requests:

CHHS Board Update – Chairwoman Anne Stuyvesant-Whigham
Chairwoman Stuyvesant-Whigham passed out a written update for committee members, as her schedule necessitated that she leave early for another commitment. Before she left, however, the chairwoman did provide an overview of CHHS’ five-year grant program, which, since April of 2017, has had three workgroups making progress on this process. These workgroups will continue to focus particularly on food security, work-force training, and housing security. RFPs will be sent out in September 2018. CM Burke indicated her desire to work with the CHHS Board on its efforts going forward, especially on the food security aspect.

SPD Traffic Camera Posting Update – Officer Craig Bulkley
Officer Bulkley gave an overview update of the traffic-calming Photo Red traffic camera system. He included a packet with 2016/2017 data regarding Photo Red, which was combined with the SFD briefing packet. CM Kinnear noted her surprise at the high average rate of speed for those given speeding citations in school zones. The average speed was reported at almost 31 mph when the posted limit is 20 mph. Officer Bulkley said that increased education about driving in school zones will help reduce these high speeds. CM Mumm asked for his analysis of crashes that occurred in Photo Red zones. Officer Bulkley explained, and analyzed some of the data he included. CM Kinnear asked about strategies for reducing speeds and fatalities, and Officer Bulkley offered that if officers are posted near the zones, people will slow down to closer to 20 mph. CM Kinnear and Theresa Sanders both echoed the need for greater community education about signals and proper driving practices in slow-speed zones.

Fire/EMS Resource Deployment Briefing – Chief Schaeffer
Chief Schaeffer gave an in-depth presentation about how SFD’s emergency resources are deployed, following up on a request during the May meeting for more information on this topic. He explained the number of calls SFD responds to and explained the procedures entities use in making deployment decisions. He also mentioned some of the issues with conveying deployment processes and decisions to the public, but explained the reasons why certain deployment decisions are made. In addition, the Chief noted how deployment is very technology-driven and explained how the process of deployment works through these technological systems. SFD just launched a behavioral health unit with a Frontier behavioral health specialists working with paramedics to respond to only behavioral health calls. From there, Chief Schaeffer explained the average time SFD spends responding to a single call, as well as how personnel deployment decisions are made according to the seriousness and threat associated with a particular call. He continued by explaining how industry studies on fire safety provide the standards for preparedness, and then showed an elaborative 2-minute video explaining how preparedness decisions impact resource and personnel deployment decisions. He explained ARUs, which are two-man teams in SFD pickup trucks that aid and assist the big fire trucks in deployment and keeping them serviced and operational. CMs Mumm and Kinnear asked some clarifying questions about some of the topics, and Chief Schaeffer was able to go into further detail on a few subjects to provide answers. One clarifying question by CM Kinnear regarded the behavioral health calls; Theresa Sanders asked how SPD addresses behavioral health issues in deployment. Both SFD and SPD work with MCAT, the crisis-stabilization team. Chief Schaeffer then gave an overview of severity staffing for the upcoming wildland fire season, which the Chief expects will hit its peak beginning in July.

SMC Revisions in Accordance with HB 2057 Policy/Procedures Discussion – Melissa Wittstruck
Melissa and Luiz Garcia overviewed how HB 2057 passed the state legislature this session and how it represents a big win for cities in dealing with foreclosed/abandoned properties. Melissa gave specifics on what exactly HB 2057 does to state law and what new abilities cities have under it. Under the new law, the City’s Code Enforcement Department will have expanded abilities to address these issues. HB 2057 also protects Cities from legal liabilities associated with performing the duties that Code Enforcement will now have the authority to do. CM Mumm commented on the need for
good data-collection on how these changes to state law are having an impact. She indicated her preference that the City make such data available for Spokane’s lobbyists in the state legislature.

**Goodwill Homeless Veteran Outreach Discussion – Kelly Keenan**

Kelly Keenan invited Michele Harris from Goodwill to present on Goodwill’s program for ending veteran homelessness in Spokane. Ms. Harris passed out detailed report packets explaining how a coordinated approach between private and public entities can help to address the problem of veteran homelessness. Goodwill’s process in creating this program brought landlords and groups of veterans who’ve experienced homelessness together to find solutions. She also gave a unique Spokane definition of what truly ending veteran homelessness would look like. She then explained the key findings discovered in the process of producing the report. In addition, Ms. Harris ran through the Five Priority Goals for the Goodwill ending veteran homelessness effort: (1) increase community awareness; (2) make HMIS database more complete and comprehensive under present circumstances; (3) address service silos; (4) streamline the intake process; and (5) increase availability of permanent housing. Ms. Harris also gave an update on how the effort, which was funded by multiple grants, is making progress in housing homeless veterans and reducing numbers of veterans who have experienced homelessness multiple times. She then showed an educational video about the challenges that homeless veterans often struggle with, and informed the committee that the video will be distributed in the community. Ms. Harris also gave a brief update on the progress of the Hope Works program that Goodwill is running in Spokane, which began in March 2018. Hope Works connects with those panhandling on the streets and offers them volunteer work opportunities with DSP service and clean-up areas, which earns them a $50/day stipend. She said a large number of youth have been involved with the young people program, and that the van that services this program is full every day. The days panhandlers spend working ends with a connection to services for the individuals to set up next steps.

**Council Requests:**

**CHHS Safe Parking Program Discussion – CM Burke & Kelly Keenan**

CHHS staff created briefing materials on safe parking programs created in other cities to give Council an overview. Kelly Keenan said he was interested in finding a workable Spokane approach to a problem facing cities all over the US. CM Mumm suggested sharing the data with SPD as well.

**Basketball Hoops Policy and Procedure Discussion – CM Beggs**

In response to some media stories about mobile basketball hoops on the streets/sidewalks of our neighborhoods that were earning tickets from Code Enforcement for code violations, CM Beggs wanted to clarify what exactly constitutes a violation of basketball hoop policies. CM Beggs initially had drafted an ordinance to address this, but a solution was found at policy level, so an ordinance is no longer necessary. Kris Becker explained how administrative policy will address the complaints and enforcement issues in the future.

**Traffic Officers Resolution – CM Beggs**

CM Beggs explained that, following a school traffic safety pilot project being made perfect, there are some funds in reserves left over from the aforementioned program available for bringing in new traffic officers to the ranks of SPD. The resolution will come before Council on June 11 and will need four votes. CM Mumm raised a concern about taking money from the school-related budget column for officers that won’t work exclusively for or around schools. CM Mumm also raised a concern about funding through traffic-calming dollars, which are not guaranteed, so she wanted to add a date for program review before extending funding further in the future. Theresa Sanders asked about some of the logistics involved with SPD filling these new traffic officer roles, and Major King explained how SPD would bring the new traffic officers into the fold. CM Mumm reiterated her preference that the funds come exclusively from the General Fund, not out of the school traffic-calming fund. Policy discussion ensued about philosophical differences between using funding for infrastructure solutions to traffic issues around schools, or funding law enforcement presence as a solution.

**ADMINISTRATION REQUESTS:**

NONE

**Action Items:** NONE

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 2:45 PM. The next PSCHC meeting will be held Monday, July 30, 2018.

**Attachments/Briefing Papers:**

- PSCHC Meeting Minutes – May 7, 2018
- OPO Monthly Report – April 2018
- Photo Red Update (SPD)
- Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
- AOT False Alarm Program (SPD)
- Galls Contract for Police Uniforms, Alterations, and Repairs (SPD)
- Purchase of Central #2 Well Station Pump (Public Works)
- Non-Federally Funded Right of Way Acquisition Services (Asset Management)
- Sunset Blvd. Grind & Overlay (Public Works)

Referenced attachments to the minutes are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.
Public Safety & Community Health Committee
Meeting Minutes – July 30, 2018

Call to Order: 1:15 PM

Attendance:
PSCHC Members Present: PSCHC Chair CM Kinnear, CM Fagan, CM Burke, CM Mumm, CM Beggs
Staff/Others Present: Chief Meidl, Major Olsen, Major King, Director MacConnel, Detective Harden, Officer Craig Bulkley, Chief Schaeffer, Assistant Fire Chief John, Assistant Fire Chief Wolford, Brian McClatchey, Mike Ormsby, Angie Napolitano, Adam McDaniel, Dawn Kinder, Eric Finch, Alex Reynolds, Ariane Schmidt, Bart Logue, Justin Bingham, Patrick Striker, Kelly Keenan, Ariane Schmidt, Julie Happy, Luvimae Omana, Tim Dunnivant, Ed Lukas, Kelly Keenan, Mike Lopez, Luvimae Omana, Theresa Sanders

Approval of May 7, 2018 minutes: Motion to approve by CM Fagan; M/S by CM Beggs. The committee approved the minutes for May 7, 2018 unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

OPO Monthly Report – April 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives Monthly Update (SPD)
AOT False Alarm Program (SPD)
Galls Contract for Police Uniforms, Alterations, and Repairs (SPD)
Purchase of Central #2 Well Station Pump (Public Works)
Non-Federally Funded Right of Way Acquisition Services (Asset Management)
Sunset Blvd. Grind & Overlay (Public Works) – CM Mumm asked Dan Buller a clarifying question about the grind & overlay work and compatibility with City long-term connectivity and transport plans. Dan said he can report back. Theresa Sanders asked about the specifics of the project, and Dan described in detail the lane changes that are set to occur.

STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION

Strategic Priority: Integrated 911/Dispatch
NONE

Strategic Priority: Integrated Response
Integrated Social Services Pilot Update – Alex Reynolds
Mr. Reynolds provided his customary update, which centered on location and the service providers involved.

Strategic Priority: Criminal Justice Reform
NONE

Strategic Priority: City-Wide Clean & Safe
SPD Property Crime & Vehicle Prowling Update – Asst. Chief Lundgren
Asst. Chief Lundgren provided an update on the data surrounding property crime and vehicle prowling in Spokane. Automobile thefts per capita have dropped. This downward trend in Spokane contrasts with rising auto-theft trends in similar-sized cities such as Salt Lake City and Tacoma. In responding to a council member question regarding SPD’s goal, Asst. Chief Lundgren cited a nationwide goal of 300 MSAs.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Staff Requests:
Maple Street Gateway Project Overview – Omar Akkari
Mr. Akkari gave an overview of the City’s next gateway project, which involves the Maple gateway block between Maple and Walnut Streets and includes work in two large areas. An internal concept plan is in place and an outside consultant, AHBL, Inc., has been hired for the first phase of project implementation. The purpose of the gateway is to improve the city’s appearance from the perspective of motorists driving on the freeway, or exiting the freeway into the city. Additionally, the project aims to improve the pedestrian experience in the area as well, improving safety and comfort. The Maple Street Gateway’s theme will be “Parks & Boulevards.” CM Mumm asked whether any talks had occurred regarding pedestrian safety on the southwest portion of the Monroe Street exit. Mr. Akkari responded that this exit may receive pedestrian safety improvements in the future, as more resources become available. Various neighborhood groups and citizen advisory boards selected the project sites for the Gateway concept. CM Kinnear indicated her preference to see pedestrian safety improvements included in the work under the first phase of such projects. Heather Trautman, Director of Planning & Neighborhood Services, explained that the City will seek funding from WSDOT as well as pursuing other funding sources, as PAC funding is currently being used. CP Stuckart asked for an update at the next
Finance & Administration Committee meeting regarding what the status of the SIP loan is. CM Mumm also indicated her view that pedestrian safety needs to occupy a higher priority in the planning of future projects, including the Green Wall project on 4th Ave. between Lincoln and Wall Streets, which Mr. Akkari overviewed. CM Mumm stated that pedestrian safety needed to be planned out before any money for future projects is allocated, so that initial work on a project will never have to be redone at a later phase. Mr. Akkari responded that projects must be vetted so as to not obstruct future pedestrian safety improvements and that the PAC has recommended that Council commit $750,000 towards the Maple Gateway and the Living Green Wall projects. Council will vote on appropriating these funds in the future. Finally, Mr. Akkari noted that the masterplan for the Maple Street Gateway was nearly finished, and that he would distribute an electronic copy of his presentation to committee members.

**Council Requests:**

**DSP Request for Surveillance Camera Grant Program – CM Kinnear & Mark Richard**

The aim of this program would be to support private businesses that desire to install surveillance cameras at their places of business. Mr. Tom Hicks, the Chairman of the Downtown BID Board, explained that the BID would help facilitate a grant for up to half the price of the surveillance system for a business, or for a surveillance system upgrade, in coordination with the tenants of buildings and the business owners involved. The cameras would only be installed to surveil public places, where the privacy expectation of citizens does not apply. CM Mumm raised her concern over whether two-party consent was needed or not for citizens to be under video surveillance. Mr. Hicks responded that the private security cameras were essential in quickly identifying the Boston Marathon bombing suspect quickly, which led to his capture. Last year the DSP Board unanimously approved $35,000 in funding as seed money for the project. Council would need to approve the program itself, which would provide the opportunity for a public process. CP Stuckart asked what would happen to systems installed under this program when the property involved changes ownership. CM Kinnear then asked if there were ways in place to protect the cameras from vandalism, to which Mr. Richard suggested annual camera checks. CM Kinnear also asked whether there was any research to show that surveillance cameras actually reduce crime or increase the perception of public safety. Some reviews of crime data do apparently suggest that crime rates have reduced in certain areas after the installation of such cameras. SPD will have access to the camera footage resulting from this program, which can play a vital role as video evidence in solving and prosecuting criminal cases.

**Probation Electronic Home Monitoring (EHM)/Jail Alternative Contract – CM Beggs & Howard Delaney**

The objectives of this contract are to support alternatives to incarceration for offenders convicted of property crimes, DUls, domestic violence, and other crimes. There are two new positions through the Civil Service created under the contract. The benefits of this contract are that it increases supervision over domestic violence and property crime offenders by offering a surety of punishment, EHM sentences, versus a costly but more severe punishment. In order to improve the effectiveness of EHM, there is a need to expand the scope of monitoring, where certain violations warrant more severe monitoring practices on the offender. EHM sentences also offer the benefit of allowing more cases to be adjudicated in a more expeditious manner. In addition to EHM, access to services for offenders should also be considered. House arrest parameters under EHM are set by the distance the monitoring unit may travel from its radio frequency unit. There are exceptions to this during certain hours of the day allotted for various visits to the grocery store, doctor, etc. up to a certain distance. CM Beggs and CP Stuckart both stressed that all EHM services need to make budget sense. The three levels of supervision include alcohol monitoring, monitoring of property crime offenders, and the highest being reserved for domestic violence offenders. In addition to this contract, the McArthur Grant provides funds for pretrial release services. The question remains, how best to provide pretrial monitoring services, if at all? The monitoring and clerk positions under this grant need to be clarified by HR and Civil Service. There may need to be another position depending on the amount of field work involved.

**ADMINISTRATION REQUESTS:**

NONE

**Action Items:** NONE

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 2:45 PM. The next PSCHC meeting will be held Monday, September 10, 2018.

**Attachments/Briefing Papers:**

PSCHC Meeting Minutes – May 7, 2018
OPO Monthly Report – April 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
AOT False Alarm Program (SPD)
Galls Contract for Police Uniforms, Alterations, and Repairs (SPD)
Purchase of Central #2 Well Station Pump (Public Works)
Non-Federally Funded Right of Way Acquisition Services (Asset Management)
Sunset Blvd. Grind & Overlay (Public Works)

Referenced attachments to the minutes are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.
Call to Order: 10:28 AM

Attendance:
PSCHC Members Present: PSCHC Chair CM Kinnear, CM Fagan, CM Mumm, CM Stratton
Staff/Others Present: Asst. Chief Lundgren, Major Olsen, Major King, Director MacConnel, Capt. Tracie Meidl, Capt. Dan Torok, Chief Schaeffer, Assistant Fire Chief John, Brian McClatchey, Mike Ormsby, Angie Napolitano, Adam McDaniel, Eric Finch, Alex Reynolds, Ariane Schmidt, Bart Logue, Kelly Keenan, Kelly Keenan, Luvimae Omana, Theresa Sanders, Heather Trautman, Duane Leopard, Officer Rouberge

Approval of July 30, 2018 minutes: Motion to approve by CM Fagan; M/S by CM Mumm. The committee approved the minutes for July 30, 2018 unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

Monthly Reports:
OPO Monthly Report – July 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives Monthly Update (SPD)

Consent Agenda Portion:
WTSC – DUI AG-1942 Enforcement Grant (SPD)
WTSC – Target Zero Priorities Agreement (SPD)
WTSC – LEL Program Agreement (SPD)
WTSC – PSZ Agreement (SPD)
Spokane County JAG 17 Sub-recipient Agreement (SPD)
Byrne JAG 17 Grant Purchase (SPD)
Budget Transfer for Confidential Funds (SPD) – CM Mumm wanted to clarify what “confidential funds” meant. Major Olsen explained that those funds are used to purchase illicit drugs in targeting mid-level criminal dealers for busts. The funds are tracked with serial numbers, and put back into the fund once arrests have been made, depending on the case. CM Mumm applauded that SPD is targeting dealers who are higher up the chain of drug dealing. Major Olsen said that the most noticeable trend in illegal drug distribution is a spike in powder cocaine, which he attributed to the strength of the economy.
County/City RSO Agreement
Idaho Bureau of Homeland Security Grant (SPD)
SBO for Firing Range (SPD) – CM Stratton wanted to clarify the scope of this item. Director MacConnell explained that other law enforcement agencies pay SPD to use its firing range, this item deals with those funds.
Emergency Justification for Heavy Apparatus Body Repair (SFD)
Haz-Mat Executive Summary of Program and Services (SFD)

STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION

Strategic Priority: Integrated 911/Dispatch
NONE

Strategic Priority: Integrated Response
Integrated Social Services Pilot Update – Alex Reynolds
Negotiations with a landlord to lease a space at 130 S Arthur St to host the EnVision Center were ongoing, Mr. Reynolds explained. Eight different service agencies have agreed to MOUs with the City to operate out of the space, and there are a few other providers who have principal agreements to participate without an MOU. CM Kinnear asked if any medical facilities will be provided at the location. Mr. Reynolds responded that CHAS Health would operate at the location, but other than that, there will be no other medical facilities available at the EnVision Center. CM Stratton suggested that mobile healthcare providers could be contacted, and Kelly Keenan, Director of CHHS, said that his staff was exploring such providers as an option. CM Kinnear asked if the location on S Arthur is proximate to major bus routes. Mr. Keenan replied in the affirmative. Mr. Reynolds concluded the update by saying that the goal is to open the center, complete with service providers present, in early October. Responding to a question by CM Kinnear, Mr. Reynolds confirmed that there would be an official launch event once the facility is prepared.

Strategic Priority: Criminal Justice Reform
NONE

Strategic Priority: City-Wide Clean & Safe
SPD Crime Statistics Update – Major Olsen
Major Olsen indicated that he was combining the crime statistics update with SPD’s Q2 performance measures update, which was initially included as a separate discussion on the agenda. The trend for incidents of violent crime is ticking up
in Q2, Major Olsen said, but this is at least partly due to a new way of counting crime incidents in the report under national guidelines, especially regarding instances of assault and sexual assault. Major Olsen presented a detailed graph to illustrate these new distinctions, especially between reported incidents and charged ones. Overall, violent crime continues on a downward trend, and there are fewer individual incidents of assault than in the past. CM Kinnear asked what she could expect in the data from next year, after a full year of the new reporting method. Major Olsen said the data will be normalized by next year and will not reflect an increase as it did this year. Major Olsen then presented another graph depicting instances of sexual assault. SPD is establishing a new written reporting protocol based on these changes, so that the methods and statistics remain consistent going forward. CM Kinnear clarified that, despite some of these reporting hurdles, violent crime is trending down, and Major Olsen confirmed and clarified on a few unusual spikes in the data, which he said didn’t show a negative trend in overall violent crime.

The discussion then turned to property crime. Major Olsen showed a graph on auto thefts, which are down slightly. Major Olsen has crime analysts working on some specific aspects of the auto theft data, which he said he’d follow up on at the October PSCHC meeting. Spokane went from #11 in the nation for most instances of auto theft down to #36 recently. Major Olsen said that he’d have an updated graph on how arrests impact instances of auto theft next month. CM Stratton asked about human trafficking instances in regards to reporting in the data. Major Olsen said they are not specifically addressed in this report, as human trafficking has its own distinct charge (committee agreed to request a specific update on human trafficking for the November meeting). Theresa Sanders asked about instances of arrests and what the result was (released on OR, jailed, etc.). Major Olsen explained the difficulties of tracking these for SPD with the superior courts; Theresa and CM Kinnear wanted to have follow-up conversations on these so that City has accurate data on how arrests are resolved. Major Olsen showed a graph comparing trend lines for auto theft in Spokane compared to other, similarly-sized cities. CM Mumm wanted a copy of this PDF report from SPD to show state lawmakers in working on statewide criminal justice initiatives. CM Kinnear indicated a desire to see more data connecting property crime instances with drug charges or drug related instances. Major Olsen showed a graph showing police activity statistics, which show an upward trend in the amount of instances that officers were able to respond to, reflecting increased SPD staffing levels beginning to have a measurable impact. CM Kinnear asked if this was result of officers also being more proactive, and Major Olsen agreed but qualified that the results were due to a mix of multiple factors. He then showed a graph on calls for service response times, with a breakdown based on priority 1, 2, and 3 calls. CM Kinnear and Theresa Sanders asked for descriptions of what those priorities are defined as, which Maj. Olsen provided. He then presented a graph on uses of force per 100 calls for service, which has dropped slightly and remains low by national standards. Major Olsen concluded the presentation by showing graphs on constituent complaints and the number of youth participating in SPD youth programs.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Staff Requests:

Elevator Code Amendments – Duane Leopard
City elevator inspector Duane Leopard presented on proposed amendments to the elevator code regulations contained in the Spokane Municipal Code. He explained what those amendments would be and why they are necessary (mainly to bring code up to state and national standards, and change the status of some basic infraction issues). CM Fagan asked about state regulations on inspections. Mr. Leopard said state inspections don’t require witnessing, and that the City carries out most inspections. Asked how many elevators inspections are carried out by the City and about timeline for inspections, Mr. Leopard responded that he tries to have the City perform one annual inspection for every registered elevator. Theresa Sanders asked why the City is doing any inspections when the State has that responsibility. Kris Becker said that building owners feel they get higher quality of service from City inspections, and that the City’s inspection program is sustained by fees paid by those who’s elevators are inspected. Theresa Sanders then asked how many infractions are issued by City inspectors, and Mr. Leopard provided a specific answer. CM Mumm explained how critical it is to have a good inspection program from the City, especially for remodel projects, praised changing code to allow the City to mandate decommissioning of unsafe elevators by City inspectors. CM Mumm asked for graph metrics specific to costs of inspection program for future updates.

SPD Quarterly Performance Measures Update – Major Olsen
Major Olsen included this update during SPD’s crime statistics presentation earlier in the meeting.

SPD Unmanned Aerial Systems Resolution – Asst. Chief Lundgren
Assistant Chief Lundgren requested that Council adopt a resolution authorizing SPD to use drones for specific law enforcement purposes to increase officer safety and public safety, as well as to improve operational efficiency. Drone usage, he explained, can help in surveying auto collision incidents – doing them more efficiently so that streets on which crashes occurred can be reopened more quickly. Further, drones can help with searches for missing or dangerous persons, especially those fleeing law enforcement who might be armed or endangered people who are missing. Drones are also of assistance in helping with pre-operation intelligence gathering for carrying out high-risk search warrants at houses or structures. Drones are quiet and stealthy, which wouldn’t betray SPD’s presence to potential suspects. Finally, drones in police work can assist in locating and identifying homeless camp sites, which will save SPD man hours and improve efficiency in operations.
After discussing the benefits drones can provide to SPD, Assistant Chief Lundgren began discussing exactly how SPD would operate drones. Any SPD pilot, he said, would have an appropriate FAA drone license. Additionally, all drone flights will be carefully logged by SPD. The Assistant Chief explained the existence of protocols around uses of technology in law enforcement operations that protect privacy; he then explained what policies SPD policy would implement to govern drone usage. CM Mumm asked what the FAA says about police use of drones. Assistant Chief Lundgren replied that the FAA handles all licensing of drone pilots, SPD pilots would have to be certified through FAA processes under FAA standards. CM Mumm asked about Spokane County’s use of drones, and the Assistant Chief clarified his desire for SPD use of drones to be authorized by the City for use in the city limits. CM Fagan commented on a potential system to coordinate which agency (the County, SFD, and SPD) is responsible for which drone and potentially to share drones between them. Theresa Sanders indicated her support for exploring this idea. She then asked how many drones SPD anticipated it would need and how many pilots would be needed. Assistant Chief Lundgren clarified that resolution only requests SMC changes authorizing SPD to use drones; SPD will determine these decisions in the future, but the Assistant Chief said he’s thinking of having one for North and one for South Spokane, along with a third for special uses, such as SWAT. CM Kinnear indicated her support and asked what SPD’s preferred next steps would be. The Assistant Chief said that SPD would begin crafting internal policy to govern drone use, and then look for actual implementation measures to deploy drones in the field.

**Council Requests:**
**Uber/Lyft Ordinance – CM Stratton**
CMs Stratton and Fagan overviewed the City’s MOUs with Lyft and Uber, but CM Stratton said that an ordinance impacting the SMC was necessary in light of failures by those companies to comply with the conditions of those MOUs. CM Stratton explained the need to address outdated taxi regulations and the need to rid City code of unneeded regulations, and to apply similar regulations to taxis and ride-share services. CMs Fagan and Stratton put together a working group including Brian McClatchey, SPD, and Director Kris Becker from Business & Neighborhood Services to craft this ordinance. CM Stratton then explained her desire to pursue a cell parking lot pilot project to help facilitate all driver pick-ups, especially around bars and restaurants, where riders might be intoxicated. Director Becker supports this and will help facilitate implementation. The ordinance includes back-ground check regulations, vehicle inspections requirements, and auditing of Uber/Lyft and like firms, which must be carried out by the companies – all this was in response to a question by Theresa Sanders. CM Mumm asked about level of equality between Uber/Lyft and taxis. Brian McClatchey said that the idea is to create a floor for equal requirements for public safety purposes that all ride-share and taxi services would be required to comply with.

CM Stratton then went through a point-by-point overview of ordinance and the process for writing it. CM Mumm and Theresa Sanders clarified that the overall goal is to regulate to ensure safe cars and safe drivers for all ride service industries and companies. CM Mumm indicated her preference to have a requirement in the City’s municipal code that drivers for all services have WA state licenses, not licenses from other states. CM Mumm asked about penalties for non-compliance created by the ordinance, and Brian McClatchey explained that instances of non-compliance will be Class 1 Civil Infractions and penalized as such. Theresa Sanders raised the ban-the-box requirements and how they relate to background checks for these services; Brian McClatchey said he’d consider and look into it further. Brian McClatchey then clarified some of the finer points on rate transparency and rate setting for the protection of consumers. Theresa Sanders asked who would be responsible for auditing of companies, and Brian McClatchey said that audits would be done through a complaint-based system, and would be follow ups with companies, rather than mandatory, proactive audits. CM Kinnear asked for a timeline for passing ordinance; CM Fagan said there are a few more meetings to get through and issues to tackle, but that it would be moving forward this fall.

**Assistance to SPD NROs for Homeless Camps along River – CM Stratton**
CM Stratton explained that she’s received numerous calls from constituents regarding homeless camps along the river, which she always refers to SPD. She said that she wants to get a handle on the issue. Capt. Torok of SPD explained how incidents with camps are reported and other issues with activities involved with encampments; SPD has had to use overtime hours for officers to identify and issue citations for camping, which are referred to Community Court. NROs have had to spend considerable amounts of time responding to these and that time comes at the expense of other neighborhood duties. Usual SPD response to camps occurs within 60 days, but is often much quicker. Capt. Torok said that there is a need for more resources to deal with these camps, and explained that the longer a camp exists in a particular location, the more waste and debris can build up there, making it harder to clean and eliminate the campsite. Before a camp can be cleaned out however, the SPD process starts with noticing a camp that action will be forthcoming. Theresa Sanders asked about specific data regarding response times to encampments; she brought in Heather Trautman to explain the cleanup process. City Parks staff provides some oversight to identify camps and help in their cleanup, but this takes away from other Park duties. CM Kinnear indicated the need for a better approach to these situations, one that could cut down on SPD overtime and misuse of Parks staff time. She explained how the focus of meetings so far has been on reducing response times to camps that have been identified (trigger the homeless outreach notification process, to expedite camp cleanup and removal). Next steps could include systemic CPTED reviews to prevent future camps from popping up in locations where camps have existed in the past. CM Kinnear said that SPD has spent disproportionate amounts of time on this issue and wants to address that either by increasing staffing of NROs who can respond exclusively to homeless encampments. She further indicated her desire to review staffing decisions and practices for deploying existing resources. Theresa Sanders said that needs to be included in a bigger conversation, including involvement from Park Rangers to be
vigilant against the creation of new camps. According to Heather Trautman, the next meeting will occur in the next several weeks. CM Kinnear noted that summer season is ending, but that conversations need to continue in the future around this issue. CM Kinnear asked for a follow up on this issue during the October PSCHC meeting.

ADMINISTRATION REQUESTS:
NONE

Action Items: NONE

Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 11:57 AM. The next PSCHC meeting will be held Monday, October 1, 2018.

Attachments/Briefing Papers:
PSCHC Meeting Minutes – July 30, 2018
Elevator Code Amendments
SPD Unmanned Aerial Systems Resolution
Uber/Lyft Ordinance

Referenced attachments to the minutes are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

Public Safety & Community Health Committee
Meeting Minutes – October 1, 2018

Call to Order: 1:15 PM

Attendance:
PSCHC Members Present: PSCHC Chair CM Kinnear, CM Fagan, CM Stratton, CM Beggs, CM Burke,

Staff/Others Present: Chief Meidl, Asst. Chief Lundgren, Capt. Dave Singley, Chief Schaeffer, Brian McClatchey, Mike Ormsby, Angie Napolitano, Adam McDaniel, Eric Finch, Alex Reynolds, Ariane Schmidt, Kelly Keenan, Theresa Sanders, Heather Trautman, Andrew Rolwes, Patrick Striker, Ed Lukas, Michele Anderson, Mike Sloan, Lt. Brain, Mary Muramatsu, Melissa Morrison, Mark Richard

Approval of July 30, 2018 minutes: Motion to approve by CM Beggs; M/S by CM Fagan. The committee approved the minutes from the September 10, 2018 PSCHC meeting unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

Monthly Reports:
OPO Monthly Report – August 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives Monthly Update (SPD)

Consent Agenda Portion:
Component Cooling Water Cells Purchase – Public Works
Non-Federal Funded Right of Way Acquisition Services – Asset Management
Interview Room Cameras Contract – SPD
OJJDP FY 2018 Gang Suppression Planning Grant Application – SPD
SWAT Bearcat Vehicle Purchase – SPD

STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION

Strategic Priority: Integrated 911/Dispatch
NONE

Strategic Priority: Integrated Response
Integrated Social Services Pilot Update – Alex Reynolds
Mr. Reynolds began by passing out informational documents to committee members that included a floor plan for the EnVision Center’s space and an agency-by-agency breakdown of where each service provider would be tentatively stationed within it. He then clarified that he has requested an expedited Council approval of the City’s lease agreement for the EnVision Center’s location on S Arthur St. The project’s current focus is in finishing obtaining signed MOUs from service providers. The next steps from there are to finalize the project’s performance indicators. Once Council has approved the lease agreement, the City will officially sign the lease and begin moving service providers into the space. On October 25, the City is hoping to host HUD Secretary Ben Carson for a visit to the space. All of the Center’s 20,000 square feet of space will be filled, and service providers will be organized in that space according to six different needs that the Center will aim to address, among others. The Center will also include a supervised play space for children, so
that adults can meet with providers to address needs. Once the lease is finalized and move-in can begin, Mr. Reynolds said that the next step would be to obtain furniture for the Center. The project team hopes to receive donated surplus office furniture that is no longer used by private firms in the area. Tim Sigler has inspected the furniture in person and it appears to be in excellent condition. Mr. Reynolds finally explained that the Center will undergo a “ramp-up” period beginning with the execution of the lease agreement through January 1 during which move-in and set-up will take place. A full opening of the EnVision Center will occur sometime in early 2019.

Mr. Reynolds then opened up his presentation to questions. CM Stratton asked about transportation to the Center for people who can’t afford to transport themselves, especially from northwest Spokane in the 3rd Council District. Mr. Reynolds acknowledged that has been a concern and said that his team is engaging in ongoing conversation with STA to provide accessible transportation for those in need to reach the center. CM Mumm said she shared that concern and said that the S. Arthur is off the beaten path. She encouraged exploring charitable partnerships to transport people to Center using vans, possibly. Theresa Sanders said that the S. Arthur location is accessible from major STA routes, but agreed that there needs to be some longer-term planning on transportation options before the pilot location ends and the center moves to a permanent location. CM Kinnear said that, regardless of location, there needs to be a plan for accessible transportation to those in need. Ariane Schmidt explained that the project team will be presenting before the STA Board to make them aware of the transportation needs that could be addressed by STA. CM Fagan suggested requesting that CHHS Board make transportation provision a requirement for contracts with service providers going forward. The conversation ended with praise for the project and a commitment to continue working on a transportation plan.

Mental Health Crisis Stabilization Centers Update – Ariane Schmidt
Ariane Schmidt began by introducing Maggie Yates, who was recently hired as the joint City-County Law & Justice Department administrator and would contribute to the presentation. The crisis stabilization facility will provide a diversion option for criminal defendants to avoid jail by utilizing the center and avoid being charged. The Stabilization Center would complement other programs like the EnVision Center that aim to reduce the need to take alleged offenders into criminal custody and instead promote their connection to services. Ms. Schmidt updated the committee on how funding for the center is being obtained through County and State tax funds that have been set aside and awarded for the project. Over the past six months, the project team has focused on studying how multiple diversion programs that touch the criminal justice system can all work together to make a positive impact. Conversations with County Commissioners to determine how involved the County would be with programs like this, as the County oversees the broader administration of the criminal justice system. City Administrator Theresa Sanders asked when a commitment and decision on this issue from County Commissioners would be reached. Ariane responded that she and her team were presenting on the center later that week to the County Commissioners and would receive more information then. CM Kinnear indicated her desire for the City to provide supporting documents for the briefing to encourage heavier involvement from the County. The pitch to the County, she said, would focus on how the crisis stabilization center would be a law enforcement tool, as officers will have access to criminal databases that will help them determine if diversion is an appropriate option in particular cases. Once diversion is triggered, the subject goes into the healthcare system, not the criminal justice system. Law enforcement use all available data on a particular suspect when creating a charging report for an incident, but that report would be held in suspension if diversion through the stabilization center were to be utilized. Asst. Chief Lundgren confirmed that this would be the case. CM Kinnear clarified that state funds were allocated to the County for the center.

Ariane then refocused the presentation on potential locations for the center. The most likely location would be on the County criminal justice campus where the County jail is currently located. CM Mumm asked about the possibility that a new jail facility could be opened at the facility. CM Burke asked whether the center would be located in a lock-down area if it were located in the current jail after a new one was opened. Ariane responded that it would not be located in such an area, and said the center would only be accessible from a public entrance. CM Burke encouraged team members to visit the crisis center in Snohomish County, which CM Burke has visited and was impressed by. CM Beggs expounded on the Snohomish County model and related some of the concerns of members of SRLJC around housing the crisis stabilization facility in an old jail building. Ariane provided an overview of potential service providers at the facility: medical clearance, detox, sobering, and multiple others; an RFP will eventually go out to providers to provide these services. Ariane finished the presentation by providing a final funding and costs overview.

Strategic Priority: Criminal Justice Reform
NONE

Strategic Priority: City-Wide Clean & Safe

Property Crimes Initiative Project Charter Update – CM Kinnear
CM Kinnear, one of the sponsors of the project, explained that the initiative touches on the hot-button issue of property crime, which is one of her top priorities to address in the community. The initiative project will focus on engagement in the community to inform constituents of accurate crime statistics, SPD operations, and provide them with instructions on how to avoid being victimized by property crimes. CM Mumm raised her concern that not enough accurate crime information is reaching residents of the Indian Trail neighborhood, and said that there has been an uptick in gun thefts (firearms stolen out of parked vehicles) in the area. City Administrator Theresa Sanders said that a big goal of project will be to help people understand how to prevent gun theft. CM Burke claimed that the issue is not a dearth of crime information reaching the community, but is rather an issue of failing to address constituents who call crime-check and do
Michele reminded the committee that October is public safety month. She said that a proclamation will be brought before the committee to share the results of the survey with the community.

Kathy passed out a briefing packet on the community survey and overviewed the survey results, which were based on over 1500 community responses. Most respondents had very positive things to say of their interactions with SPD. Among respondents there was an overwhelming concern for property crime rates. The next step for SPD is to handle more serious cases.

CM Burke’s assessment that the community does need to feel that their calls are being heard and appropriately responded to by law enforcement. CM Stratton added that the initiative project should focus on providing presentations to constituents during neighborhood council meetings. CM Beggs brought the discussion back to the social media issue, and updated that SPD NROs are now allowed to respond directly to users on the NextDoor social media platform to inform constituents and have a presence online. CM Beggs also briefed the idea of SPD hiring non-commissioned public information professionals to handle follow-up customer service work while leaving officers free to handle more serious cases. CM Fagan asked Chief Meidl if people who call crime check are asked clarifying questions that might inform how solvable a case may be for SPD. Chief Meidl clarified some of the realities that SPD faces in trying to respond to and follow up on lower priority calls for service. The Chief wrapped up the conversation by emphasizing SPD’s goal of distributing more crime prevention tips in the community.

**SPD Crime Statistics Update – Chief Meidl**

Chief Meidl passed around a physical copy of the latest COMPSTAT report to committee members, which had just been published earlier in the day. SPD policy and procedures had recently been updated to keep up with evolving national standards, which accounts for appearance of higher instances of violent crime. The Chief echoed last month’s report that showed that overall violent crime rate is actually decreasing. He concluded by updating the committee on ongoing efforts to electronically monitor defendants charged with property crimes prior to trial.

**DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**Staff Requests:**

**Introduction w/ WA Dept. of Corrections East Regional Field Administrator – David Ganas**

Mr. Ganas wanted to introduce himself to the City Council, as he began in his role as the east regional field director only 10 months ago. He explained that the Dept. of Corrections maintains a presence in local law enforcement agencies to determine how to meet past offenders where their needs are when they seek to reintegrate back into society. Mr. Ganas highlighted his experiences working with SRLJC, as well as that the Dept. of Corrections has made it a priority to provide mental health services in all detention facilities across the state. He stressed his support for diversion programs like the mental health crisis stabilization center, as well as his desire for more cooperation with local entities on criminal justice matters. Finally, Mr. Ganas highlighted the issue of removing defendants from their communities to find jail/hearing availability in other jurisdictions in the state. He said that the Dept. of Corrections is committed to working with local communities to provide services through the criminal justice process to the people who need them.

After providing this overview of the operations of the Dept. of Corrections, Mr. Ganas wrapped up his presentation by offering to be available to local government officials to bridge the gaps between state and local government in criminal justice matters. He then took questions. CM Mumm asked about the potential pilot project for the Dept. of Corrections to provide supervision of auto-theft offenders following release from custody on probation or parole. Chief Meidl gave an overview of what that pilot project would entail and the history of trying to accomplish this program, which is now being pursued as a statewide program. CM Mumm indicated her desire to follow-up with Mr. Ganas at another time to clarify details on this project. Theresa Sanders offered to do follow-up briefings with Mr. Ganas on other local criminal justice initiatives.

**Frontier Behavioral Health Contract – Lt. Mark Griffiths**

Lt. Griffiths overviewed the history of adding Frontier professionals to ride along with SPD personnel for behavioral health issues. He explained that, for most of these behavioral health situations, there is a problem of limited options for law enforcement: either bring the subject to jail or to the hospital. In light of this, he voiced his support for the mental health crisis stabilization center effort that Ariane Schmidt briefed the committee on earlier in the meeting. Lt. Griffiths clarified that Frontier clinicians are not extra police officers, but extra resources that assist police officers. CM Kinnear asked Chief Meidl about the long-term future of the program. The Chief indicated that the program with Frontier will run as long as grant funding lasts, which is currently for another year and a half, and then the program will be evaluated for effectiveness before SPD decides whether it will request funds to maintain the program with Frontier.

**Community Survey Results – Kathy Armstrong**

Kathy passed out a briefing packet on the community survey and overviewed the survey results, which were based on over 1500 community responses. Most respondents had very positive things to say of their interactions with SPD officers. Among respondents there was an overwhelming concern for property crime rates. The next step for SPD is to share the results of the survey with the community.

**Public Safety Month Update – Michele Anderson**

Michele reminded the committee that October is public safety month. She said that a proclamation will be brought before Council to initiate public safety month, followed by tons of SFD and SPD events throughout the community for the month of October. She then provided a brief overview of all the major events that will be involved.
PSCHC Chair CM Kinnear, CM Fagan, CM Beggs, CM Burke, CP Stuckart (left after Mr. Reinke discussion), CM Stratton (left after Mr. Reinke discussion)

**Council Requests:**

**SPD Process for MOUs w/ Community Groups – CM Beggs**
CM Beggs began the discussion by asking Chief Meidl about SPD’s process for creating MOUs with various community groups. Chief Meidl responded that a major issue that SPD has to confront in creating such MOUs is ensuring that those agreements don’t create a special relationship between SPD and a certain community group that SPD cannot match with other community groups. The Chief said he doesn’t want SPD to commit so special relationships with numerous community organizations that might place an impossible burden on SPD’s available resources. He clarified that SPD will engage with any community group that wants to contact SPD, but that making special commitments to any single group might be problematic. CM Kinnear asked if SPD commonly does MOUs with community groups. The Chief responded that SPD only enters MOUs with groups that provide a specific law enforcement-related service (like domestic violence-centric groups that provide victim advocacy and counseling). CM Kinnear followed up by asking if community groups are made aware of special programs that SPD operates. Chief Meidl assured her that SPD does. CM Beggs said he highly approves of SPD community outreach under Chief Meidl; he just wanted to clarify some of the finer points of wordsmithing MOU agreements or like agreements. He also wanted to relay to Chief Meidl the things that he’s heard from community groups, and Chief Meidl was very interested to hear that feedback and is open to continuing suggestions from Council.

**“Sit & Lie” Ordinance Enforcement Update w/ SPD – CM Beggs**
CM Beggs wanted a status update on enforcement of the “Sit & Lie” Ordinance in light of the operational changes made at the House of Charity (HoC) at the end of the summer, especially in light of press reports indicating higher rates of enforcement. When the HoC was operating under the 24/7 model, it allowed for more citations under “Sit & Lie” and referrals to the Municipal Community Court, but citations have dropped off after HoC departed from that model. Chief Meidl chimed in that business owners downtown have grown frustrated at issues related to homelessness recently, and that increasing the enforcement of “Sit & Lie” is a tool to address that frustration. CM Burke apologized for what, in her view, has been bad management of City funds by Council to address affordable housing and shelter funding, the consequences for which she feels has fallen on SPD to deal with. She further indicated her commitment to working on those issues. CM Kinnear asked Theresa Sanders and Heather Trautman about the time frame for getting another homeless shelter up and running to supplement the HoC. Heather offered to provide an update on that to CMs after the meeting.

**Federal Civil Immigration Enforcement on City Property Ordinance – CP Stuckart & CM Beggs**
CM Beggs overviewed what the ordinance would do and what Federal law says on the operations of Customs & Border Patrol (CBP) agents on non-publicly-accessible spaces of City property, particularly at the City-owned Intermodal Center in downtown. CM Kinnear invited City Attorney Mike Ormsby to present City Legal’s concerns regarding the proposal. Mr. Ormsby referred to the briefing materials that his office had prepared, and gave a general overview of the three main concerns. CM Kinnear asked about the ordinance’s impact on human trafficking enforcement, as the City cooperates with State and Federal law enforcement to mitigate human trafficking in Spokane. CM Beggs responded that this ordinance only touches civil (not criminal) enforcement relating to immigration, nothing in the ordinance would intervene in Federal-City law enforcement partnerships. CM Mumm asked what authorized CBP to operate the way they do on City property currently. CM Beggs answered that current law doesn’t well describe what limits are on CBP’s enforcement authority is in varying situations within their 100-air mile operation zone from a national border. Theresa Sanders raised her concern that the ordinance doesn’t truly address the legal question of what defines a “public building”. CMs Beggs and Mumm clarified that the ordinance doesn’t need to make that definition, but rather addresses that owners of property get to determine what areas of that property are open to the public (including CPB) and which areas are not.

**ADMINISTRATION REQUESTS:**
NONE

**Action Items:** NONE

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 PM. The next PSCHC meeting will be held Monday, November 5, 2018.

**Attachments/Briefing Papers:**
September 10, 2018 PSCHC Meeting Minutes
Property Crimes Initiative Project Charter
Frontier Behavioral Health Contract
Federal Civil Immigration Enforcement on City Property Ordinance & Briefing Paper

Referenced attachments to the minutes are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.
Approval of October 1 minutes: Motion to approve by CM Fagan; M/S by CM Beggs. The committee approved the minutes from the October 1, 2018 PSCHC meeting unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

Monthly Reports:
OPO Monthly Report – September 2018
Photo Red Update (SPD)
Sit and Lie Update (SPD)
Strategic Initiatives Monthly Update (SPD)

Consent Agenda Portion:
Give Real Change SBO (CHHS)
Emergency Solutions Grant Allocation SBO (CHHS)
WTE Facility On-site Valve Repairs Contract Extension (Public Works)
WTE Facility Off-site Cylinder Rebuilding Contract Extension (Public Works)
WTE Facility Crane & Hoist Maintenance Contract Extension (Public Works)
Body Camera Contract Amendment (SPD)
Purchase of 3 Undercover Vehicles (SPD)

CM Kinnear & CP Stuckart – Discussion of Recent Stabbings
Capt. Arleth presented a brief overview of the recent stabbing incidents that occurred in Spokane. One stabbing involved gang-related activities that turned violent. The victim chose not to cooperate with law enforcement and no suspect had been arrested prior at that point. Three other recent stabbing incidents were all thought to be connected and interrelated. Although SPD encountered another non-cooperative victim in the case, officers had detained a suspect who is believed to have committed a number of other stabbings throughout recent months. Capt. Arleth explained that this particular suspect appeared to have recently arrived in Spokane and had been staying at the Union Gospel Mission. Responding to a question from the committee, Capt. Arleth explained his view that SPD could not have done much else proactively to prevent the recent stabbings, since the person allegedly responsible had only recently arrived in Spokane and was arrested shortly after the stabbing spree broke out. With no further questions from the committee, the discussion ended.

STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION

Strategic Priority: Integrated 911/Dispatch
NONE

Strategic Priority: Integrated Response
Integrated Social Services Pilot Update – Kelly Keenan
Mr. Keenan began his update by reporting that the lease agreement for the EnVision Center at the 130 S. Arthur St location had been finalized. The next step, he explained, was to work with the Center’s service providers to organize a number client-centered, experience-based “pods” in which to collaboratively assist new clients. Mr. Keenan then listed off a number of tasks that CHHS is working to accomplish on an ongoing basis, including: creating transportation opportunities for those in need to access the facility, network installation, data collection/evaluation process development, and the establishment of security and neighborhood engagement procedures. CM Kinnear asked specifically about the progress of identifying transportation options, and Mr. Keenan responded there was more work to be done on that front, although the S. Arthur St. is accessible from a number of major STA routes. The committee suggested implementing signage directing people to the EnVision Center through the correct transportation channels and SPA routes. CM Kinnear closed the discussion by asking whether there would be a no-cost transportation option to access the center. Mr. Keenan responded that he was unsure at that point, but that a free transportation option could be included in the 5-year RFP process for a permanent integrated services center.

Strategic Priority: Criminal Justice Reform
NONE

Strategic Priority: City-Wide Clean & Safe
SPD Quarter 3 Performance Measures – Chief Schaeffer
City Administrator Theresa Sanders overviewed the “Power B.I.” online program used by SFD before Chief Schaeffer provided a more thorough look. The software tracks basic performance measures and its use by SFD has improved how the Department can effectively use performance measures to improve operations. For example, the “Power B.I.” tool has
helped SFD increase the percentage of calls that SFD is “on-time” in responding to (but longer response times don’t always lead to lower-quality outcomes in all situations, the Chief reminded). Power B.I. has also allowed SFD to consolidate its efforts in tracking performance measure data regarding SFD’s overall business model. For example, data on SFD overtime staffing is being tracked more accurately and in greater detail using the software. CM Kinnear asked if it would be beneficial for SFD to use the tools of Power B.I. to get more complete, but still concise, public safety information out to the community. Chief Schaeffer responded that there are a lot of compelling stories coming out of SFD’s work, and said that getting the stories out to the community would only be a matter of choosing which ones to tell and in what level of detail. The goal, he continued, is to make as much data available to the public through Power B.I. as possible. CM Beggs said he would be interested to know whether SFD has experienced a reduction in repeat calls for service after a subject is referred to the SFD Cares team. Chief Schaeffer responded that SFD has experienced a 61% in return calls for service after such a referral had been made. He concluded the discussion by offering to release that statistic to the public.

SPD Responses to Opioid Epidemic – Captain Arleth
Captain Arleth began by referencing the 2018 annual DEA drug threat assessment that was released shortly before the meeting. The DEA assessment showed that the dangers of opioid abuse and overdose are persisting around the country; Captain Arleth made clear that the Spokane area is no exception. Recent narcotics-related operations have resulted in SPD seizing illegal drugs at the local level even without DEA involvement. SPD understands that many opioids are being smuggled over the Southern US border to American border cities, and then transported to Spokane via outlets in the Tri Cities area. In addition to organic opioids, the distribution of counterfeit pharmaceuticals represents another growing concern locally, as new regulations on the pharmaceutical industry has made obtaining legitimately-produced pharmaceuticals more difficult. At the same time, heroin prices have decreased recently, and the availability of the highly-potent synthetic opioid, fentanyl, has also increased. Given fentanyl’s dangerous potency, it can be shipped in micro dosages that make it extremely difficult for law enforcement to locate and seize. Mexican criminal drug entities have recently begun to pack fentanyl into pills for distribution to the community, through a sloppy industrial process, which makes it difficult to detect and control. Potential users to know exactly what dosage and other substances are contained in the final pill. This represents another danger to the community, as the pills have been sold as oxycodone pills in Spokane. Captain Arleth then explained how drug addiction is driving property crime rates in the community. The SPD special investigations unit is trying to both contain the local drug supply and deal with property crime suspects whose criminal behavior is often driven by drug addiction. SPD continues to work in close cooperation with the FBI “Safe Streets Taskforce” to address these issues. Additionally, SPD is operating multiple drug abuse prevention efforts in the community, including youth outreach programming and maintaining safe drop boxes for users to deposit harmful drug paraphernalia. Captain Arleth completed his overview by explaining how cooperation between local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies, including with the offices of US Attorneys, to map out overdose data can lead to the creation of new tools for tracking narcotics and preventing drug abuses in the future.

Committee members then offered their questions and thoughts. CM Burke asked if SPD knew the ratio of narcotics consumption by first-time or inexperienced users versus experienced ones or addicts. Captain Arleth explained that SPD does not keep statistics along those lines, but suggested that the Spokane Regional Health District’s opioid taskforce might track such statistics. CM Burke then stated that she worries for addicts whose condition is so severe that they require additional drug consumption to stave off the potentially life-threatening symptoms of withdrawal. Her concern is that, once addicted, users are driven harder to maintain their access to drugs through avenues such as property crime; she suggested an increased focus on preventing people from using drugs in the first place. CM Fagan then asked two questions: (1) is SPD seizing more explosives and firearms through the recent, successful drug busts? And (2) are there any statistics on relationship between drug trafficking and human trafficking? Captain Arleth responded that: (1) almost all drug busts include seizures of firearms (mostly semi-auto pistols), but not necessarily explosives; and (2) he did not have statistics on-hand regarding the relationship between drug trafficking and human trafficking, but he acknowledged that there is a correlation between the two. As the discussion ended, Captain Arleth referred CM Fagan to the FBI Safe Streets Taskforce as a potential source of additional information on that matter.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Staff Requests:
CHHS Board Update – Chairwoman Anne Stuyvesant-Whigham
The Chairwoman began her update by explaining that the CHHS Board will make a decision on how to use CBDG capital funds by the end of the year. The Board is also working on 5-year RFP program, and a decision on that is expected in the new year in time to be brought before Council in March of 2019, hopefully. Chairwoman Stuyvesant-Whigham ended the update by noting how the CHHS Board currently has a number of vacancies. These are being filled slowly and new applicants will continue to come before the City Council for approval.

2018/2019 Warming Centers Update - Kelly Keenan & Tija Danzig
CHHS Director Keenan began by informing the Committee of the RFP process for the warming center initiative. The RFP went out in September and received three different proposals. CHHS then put together an ad hoc RFP review committee, which included CM Beggs as the Council representative. Director Keenan then overviewed all three proposals individually, which came from organizations such as Volunteers of America, Hope House, Women’s Hearth Collaboration, and Family Promise, among others. CHHS is currently working to identify potential locations for these
sponsoring the ordinance. He then overviewed what kinds of animals are accepted as pets as service animals needed to be brought into alignment with State law, which was the essential basis for his

CM Fagan provided an overview of the ordinance and the rationale for bringing it forward. He said that City law regarding Misrepresenting Pets as Service Animals Ordinance

would draining for that dispatcher. Changes, he concluded, would be welcome at some level. With that, the discussion concluded.

Assistant Police Chief Lundgren then responded that one dispatcher, working a 12-hour shift, handles most of SPD’s calls, which he said could be extremely draining for that dispatcher. Changes, he concluded, would be welcome at some level. With that, the discussion concluded.

Mr. Reinke reiterated in response, and the commissioners made the final determination of the Board

City elected official a seat on the SREC Board. The Spokane County Commission created the public authority for SREC, CP Stuckart then raised another concern

Director Keenan responded that as soon as agreements with participating community centers were finalized they would be brought before Council for approval and operations at the centers could commence thereafter. In closing, CMs Kinnear and Beggs each commended and thanked the CHHS staff for their vigorous work on the warming centers initiative.

SRHD “Policy Partner” Presentation – Gloria Ochoa-Bruck & Linda Graham

The Spokane Regional Health District’s policy analyst, Linda Graham, presented on SRHD’s newly-developed policy tool, “Policy Partner”. Ms. Graham began by providing an overview document on the tool, which is designed to help policy-makers assess the health and equity impacts of new proposals. She focused particularly on the distinction between “equity” and “equality”, explaining that the “Policy Partner” tool focused on the former. The tool connects heavily to addressing societal issues like homelessness by focusing on the needs of homeless adults, homeless youth, homeless addicts, homeless families, etc. from an equity-based perspective, rather than an equality-based one. The tool’s primary focus in addressing issues like homelessness would be on healthcare equity, Ms. Graham said. Given the time constraints on the presentation necessitated by the heavy meeting agenda, the committee offered no questions. CM Kinnear then requested that Ms. Graham return for the committee’s December meeting to provide a follow-up briefing.

Council Requests:

Discussion of SREC (Integrated 911/Dispatch) – CP Stuckart & Steve Reinke

* Due to scheduling concerns, this discussion was moved up in the agenda and occurred immediately after the approval of the October PSCHC minutes.* CM Beggs introduced Mr. Reinke as the proposed SREC’s executive director and noted how both he and CM Kinnear had met with Mr. Reinke a number of times prior to the PSCH meeting. CM Beggs listed a number of the questions that he and CM Kinnear had asked Mr. Reinke in the past and overviewed the general answers given in previous meetings. CM Beggs explained, however, that the question of whether funds from the newly-approved voter levy could impact the 911 regional integration plan had not yet been discussed. There are a number of factors CM Beggs cited for why that information has been difficult to ascertain. CP Stuckart then entered to the conversation to express to Mr. Reinke his three main concerns over SREC, which, he said, he had laid out previously in a letter to the SREC Executive Director. Mr. Reinke then proceeded to lay out the specifics regarding how SREC would operate, in response to the Council President. The region’s CAD systems, Mr. Reinke said, would be integrated, which would make the details of each call available to all law enforcement and EMS agencies in the region – saving time when multiple agencies are required to respond to a single call. CP Stuckart then raised another concern – the representational make-up of SREC’s Board of Directors. Specifically, CP Stuckart was concerned that not elected official from the City of Spokane would have a seat on the Board; what happens, he asked, when the Board makes decisions that might impact City residents without the direct input of an official elected to represent those residents. Mr. Reinke responded that he’s trying to work within the existing environment created by Spokane County Commissioners. At the request of City Administrator Theresa Sanders, Mr. Reinke then overviewed the specific make-up of the SREC Board, which includes Administrator Sanders, as well as both SFD Chief Schaeffer and SPD Chief Meidl as City representatives. Responding to a question from CM Kinnear, Mr. Reinke explained the reasoning that, given the amount of available funding, an integrated, regional 911 model would improve efficiency in the long-run, and would reduce stress on the City’s General Fund. CP Stuckart, referencing a unanimously-adopted Council resolution, asked if there were any guarantees that current City dispatch employees would be offered a job in the new integrated system. Mr. Reinke responded that he did not have enough information to make such a guarantee at that point, but he said he is working on it and understands Council’s position regarding the status of current City employees. CM Stratton asked what the rationale was for declining to offer a City elected official a seat on the SREC Board. The Spokane County Commission created the public authority for SREC, Mr. Reinke reiterated in response, and the commissioners made the final determination of the Board’s make-up. CM Beggs clarified that the integrated model itself likely wouldn’t cut costs, but that it would diversify the sources of funding; Mr. Reinke said that was correct. CM Burke then asked the representatives of both the SPD and SFD if they had any thoughts. Chief Schaeffer responded that the SFD dispatch system is unique because it uses 24-hour shifts to manage its employees. SFD, he continued, would have some staffing problems regardless of whether or not integration actually takes place, so SFD will have to change its operational model regardless in the future. Assistant Police Chief Lundgren then responded that one dispatcher, working a 12-hour sift, handles most of SPD’s calls, which he said can be extremely draining for that dispatcher. Changes, he concluded, would be welcome at some level. With that, the discussion concluded.

Misrepresenting Pets as Service Animals Ordinance – CM Fagan

CM Fagan provided an overview of the ordinance and the rationale for bringing it forward. He said that City law regarding pets as service animals needed to be brought into alignment with State law, which was the essential basis for his sponsoring the ordinance. He then overviewed what kinds of animals are accepted as “service animals” under the RCW.
He concluded by providing a brief run-down of the penalty structure for infractions created under the proposal, which mirrors the RCW’s stipulation that misrepresentations of service animals is a misdemeanor offense.

**HopeWorks Update – CM Kinnear & Michelle Harris**

Ms. Harris of Goodwill Industries Inc., provided an overview of how the HopeWorks program has been working since its inception in March of 2018. She first outlined the other community partners who are providing funding beyond the City of Spokane. She then showed an informational video on the program produced by City Cable 5 reporter, Jeff Humphrey, which shows the mission of HopeWorks and how it operates in action. Ms. Harris then gave a detailed program report detailing the programs progress since it began in the spring. The reported included: a breakdown of monthly participation numbers, the demographic makeup of HopeWorks participants thus far (which included a breakdown by gender and age – Chief Schaeffer suggested smaller age ranges for future reports, as a range of 18-45 years old is too large), and the statistic that 97.7% of panhandlers who participated in HopeWorks were homeless. HopeWorks case managers became full-time employees of the program in July, and HopeWorks has now gotten 2 participants housed and 1 employed, Ms. Harris said. Further, the program is responsible for the clean-up of over 30,000 pounds of garbage and waste so far this year. Ms. Harris then provided an update on the continuing needs of the program, which are mainly centered on additional funding. The overall goal for the near term, she said, is to increase the program’s days of operation from two days per week to three. CM Beggs asked if there were any repeat participants in the program to that point. Ms. Harris responded in the affirmative, and reported that some 46% of the programs participants had served multiple times. As HopeWorks is actually a volunteer program that provides a stipend (rather than an employment program that pays a wage), there is a limit to how many times an individual may participate, Ms. Harris explained. CM Kinnear then concluded the discussion by asking Ms. Harris for an update on the SOAR program during the December PSCHC meeting.

**Tow & Impound Ordinance Update – CM Kinnear & Mike Ormsby**

CM Kinnear and City Attorney Ormsby explained that this proposed ordinance had first come before the PSCHC in February of 2018, but had been held up in the legislative process by a dispute between Local 270 and the Police Guild, which had since been resolved by an MOU (a copy of which was included in the PSCHC agenda packet). The committee’s SPD representatives stated their full support for the proposal, as it will save police officers time and allow them to focus on responding to higher-priority calls for service than vehicle impounds. Authority to tow and impound appropriate vehicles would be placed with the City’s Code Enforcement Department under the proposed ordinance.

**Workgroup on Housing Protections Policy Resolution – CM Burke**

CM Burke began by clarifying that the resolution was not necessarily about a work group, but rather that its main purpose was to state that the City Council officially acknowledges the challenges the City is facing with affordable housing. The resolution would also commit the City Council to establishing a series of public meetings open to all who want to discuss housing policy in Spokane. CM Kinnear requested that CM Burke coordinate the efforts described in the measure with the Mayor’s Quality Housing Taskforce. City Administrator Sanders offered to help perform this coordination so that the Taskforce can provide an overview of its work during any public meetings held regarding affordable housing in the future.

**ADMINISTRATION REQUESTS:**

NONE

**Action Items:** NONE

**Adjournment:** CM Kinnear adjourned the meeting at 2:59 PM. The next PSCHC meeting will be held Monday, December 3, 2018.

**Attachments/Briefing Papers:**

- September 10, 2018 PSCHC Meeting Minutes
- Property Crimes Initiative Project Charter
- Frontier Behavioral Health Contract
- Federal Civil Immigration Enforcement on City Property Ordinance & Briefing Paper

Referenced attachments to the minutes are on file in the Office of the City Clerk.
ORDINANCE NO. C35764

An ordinance clarifying the role of the City Administrator concerning the City of Spokane’s state and federal legislative agendas and advocacy efforts; amending section 03.01A.110 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

WHEREAS, the City of Spokane adopts its official legislative agenda by City Council resolution, pursuant to SMC 02.03.030; and

WHEREAS, all lobbying, whether state or federal, on behalf of the City of Spokane “shall be consistent with the legislative agenda adopted by the City Council”; and

WHEREAS, currently, and for at least the past four legislative sessions, the City Council and its staff, in conjunction with its contract lobbyists, have led the effort to establish and advocate for the City’s legislative agenda; and

WHEREAS, however, despite that long-standing practice, the Spokane Municipal Code requires the City Administrator to prepare and recommend a legislative agenda for Council action; and

WHEREAS, the City Council wishes to harmonize the Spokane Municipal Code with long-standing practice, by deleting the legislative agenda responsibilities from the City Administrator’s duties.

NOW THEREFORE, the City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That section 03.01A.110 of the Spokane Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

Section 03.01A.110 City Administrator

A. Under the direction of the mayor, the city administrator performs a variety of administrative duties to assist the mayor in the direction of City operations, and represents the mayor at meetings and conferences and as otherwise directed. This office responds to questions and complaints from the public and the city council.

((B. The city administrator is responsible for planning, recommending, coordinating and administering a local, state and federal legislative program for the City of Spokane in accordance with legislative guidelines established by the city council. The office develops for council approval City legislative programs for the state and federal legislative sessions, represents the City before state and federal legislative bodies, and analyzes and submits reports to the mayor and the city council on state and federal legislation affecting the City.))

((C.))B. The city administrator is appointed and removed by the mayor.

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35765

An ordinance relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC section 16A.44.100 is amended to read as follows:

16A.44.100 ((Regulations on Motor Carriers Used in Intrastate or Interstate Commerce ))Commercial Motor Vehicle Regulations

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35766

An ordinance relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC section 16A.44.100 is amended to read as follows:

16A.44.100 ((Regulations on Motor Carriers Used in Intrastate or Interstate Commerce ))Commercial Motor Vehicle Regulations

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35767

An ordinance relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC section 16A.44.100 is amended to read as follows:

16A.44.100 ((Regulations on Motor Carriers Used in Intrastate or Interstate Commerce ))Commercial Motor Vehicle Regulations

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35768

An ordinance relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC section 16A.44.100 is amended to read as follows:

16A.44.100 ((Regulations on Motor Carriers Used in Intrastate or Interstate Commerce ))Commercial Motor Vehicle Regulations

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35769

An ordinance relating to commercial vehicles; amending SMC section 16A.44.100.

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC section 16A.44.100 is amended to read as follows:

16A.44.100 ((Regulations on Motor Carriers Used in Intrastate or Interstate Commerce ))Commercial Motor Vehicle Regulations

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

These ordinances are published in this issue of the Official Gazette pursuant to passage by the Spokane City Council. It should be noted that these ordinances may be subject to veto by the Mayor. If an ordinance is vetoed by the Mayor, the Mayoral veto will be published in a subsequent issue of the Official Gazette.
((A. Concerning motor carriers used in intrastate or interstate commerce and operating within the City of Spokane, the following are adopted by reference as if fully set forth herein:

1. RCW 46.16a.455, “Trucks, buses, and for hire vehicles based on gross weight”;
2. WAC 446-65-010(u), “Transportation Requirements”.

B. Violation of this section is a class 2 civil infraction.))

Concerning motor carriers used in intrastate or interstate commerce and operating within the City of Spokane, the following RCWs are hereby adopted by reference as if fully set forth herein:

A. 46.16A.455 – Trucks, buses, and for hire vehicles based on gross weight

1. LOGBOOK / MEDICAL CERTIFICATE

46.32.010 – Viol Fed Regs Logbook/Med Cert $191

2. COMMERCIAL DRIVER’S LICENSE – Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 383 - 387

a. 46.25.040.2.b – Operating a CMV with more than 1 driver license CFR 383.21 Rpt-FMCSA
b. 46.25.050 – No Valid Commercial Driver’s License CFR 383.23(a)(2) $1000

c. 46.25.060.5.c – Operating on Learner’s Permit without CDL Driver CFR 383.23(c)(1) Rpt-FMCSA
d. 46.25.052 – Violating Conditions of Commercial Learner’s Permit CFR 383.23 Rpt-FMCSA
e. 46.25.050.2 – Driving While CDL Suspended/Revoked/Disqualified CFR 383.51(a)(1) $1000
f. 46.25.020.2 – Employer Allowing Disqualified Driver to Drive CFR 383.51(a)(2) Rpt-FMCSA
g. 46.25.080.2 – Operating a CMV with improper CDL Class CFR 383.91(a) Rpt-FMCSA
h. 46.25.080.2 – No Double or Triple Trailer Endorsement on CDL CFR 383.93(b)(1) Rpt-FMCSA
i. 46.25.080.2 – No Passenger Vehicle Endorsement on CDL CFR 383.93(b)(2) Rpt-FMCSA
j. 46.25.080.2 – No Tank Vehicle Endorsement on CDL CFR 383.93(b)(3) Rpt-FMCSA
k. 46.25.080.2 – No Hazardous Materials Endorsement on CDL CFR 383.93(b)(4) Rpt-FMCSA
l. 46.25.080.2 – Violation of Air Brake Restrictions CFR 383.95(a) Rpt-FMCSA
m. 46.32.010.1 – Failure to Register with FMCSA to Obtain a USDOT Number CFR 385.301(a) $136
n. 46.30.020 – No Evidence of Public Liability/Property Damage Insurance CFR 387.301(a) $550
o. 46.16.260 – No Copy of Certificate of Registration CFR 387.303(b)(4) Rpt-FMCSA

3. INSURANCE – Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 387

a. 46.30.020 – No Evidence of Public Liability/Property Damage Insur CFR 387.301(a) $550
b. 46.16.260 – No Copy of Certificate of Registration CFR 387.303(b)(4) Rpt-FMCSA

4. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS – Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 390

a. 46.32.010 – No Periodic (Annual) Inspection CFR 396.17(c) $191
b. 46.32.010.1 – Motor Carrier Identification Report (USDOT Required) CFR 390.19 $136
c. 46.32.010 – Commercial Motor Vehicle Not Marked as Required CFR 390.21 $191
d. 46.32.010.1 – Marking of Commercial Motor Vehicles (Interstate) CFR 390.21 $136
e. 81.80.305 – Marking of Commercial Motor Vehicles (Intrastate) CFR 390.21 $1000
f. 46.37.360 – Brake Connections with Air Leaks CFR 390.45(d) $136

5. DRIVER VIOLATIONS – Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 391

a. 46.25.055 – Using a Driver UNDER 21 Years of Age CFR 391.11(b)(1) $550
b. 46.25.055 – Unable to Understand Road Sig/Signals CFR 391.11(b)(4) Rpt-FMCSA
c. 46.25.040 – Using a Physically Unqualified Driver CFR 391.11(b)(7) Rpt-FMCSA
d. 46.25.040 – Using a Driver with No or Invalid Driver License CFR 391.11(b)(7) Rpt-FMCSA
e. 46.25.040(2)(a) – Requiring/Allowing a Driver to Drive while Disqualified/Suspended/Revoked, or Cancelled CFR 391.15 Rpt-FMCSA
f. 46.25.057 – No Medical Certificate in Driver’s Possession CFR 391.41(a) $550
g. 46.25.057 – Expired Medical Examiner Certification CFR 391.45(b) $550
h. 46.25.057 – No Valid Medical Waiver in Driver’s Possession CFR 391.49(i) $550

6. DRIVING OF COMMERCIAL VEHICLES – Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 392

a. 46.44.010 – Operating Over Legal Width CFR 392.2 $156
### HOURS OF SERVICE - Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 395

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CFR</th>
<th>Fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 16 Hour Rule – Property Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.3(o)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 10 Hour Rule – Property Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.3(a)(1)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 14 Hour Rule – Property Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.3(a)(2)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 60/70 Hour Rule – Property Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.3(b)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 34 Hour Restart Possible OOSV</td>
<td>CFR 395.5(c)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 60/70 Hour Rule – Passenger Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.5(b)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 10 Hour Rule – Passenger Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.5(a)(1)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of 15 Hour Rule – Passenger Possibl</td>
<td>CFR 395.5(a)(2)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – False Report of Driver’s Record of Duty Status</td>
<td>CFR 395.8(a)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Driver’s Record of Duty Status</td>
<td>CFR 395.8(a)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – No Previous 7 days Records of Duty Status</td>
<td>CFR 395.8(f)(1)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – Violation of HOS out-of-service order for HOS</td>
<td>CFR 395.13(d)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – On-Board Recording Device Failure</td>
<td>CFR 395.15(f)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o.</td>
<td>46.32.020 – On-Board Recording Device Info Not Available</td>
<td>CFR 395.15(g)</td>
<td>$191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 8. EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS – Title 49 Subtitle B Chapter III Subchapter B CFR Part 393

## a. Brakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>CFR Code</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.37.340 – No or defective parking brake system on CMV</td>
<td>393.41</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.340 – No Brakes as Required</td>
<td>393.42</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.340 – All Wheels not Equipped with Brakes</td>
<td>393.42(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – No or Improper breakaway or emergency braking</td>
<td>393.43</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – No or improper tractor protection valve</td>
<td>393.43(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – No or defective automatic trailer brake</td>
<td>393.43(d)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Airlines Rubbing on Deck</td>
<td>393.45(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.360 – Brake Hose or Tubing Chafing and/or Kinking</td>
<td>393.45(b)(2)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.360 – Brake Hose or Tubing Contacting Exhaust System</td>
<td>393.45(b)(3)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Defective/Mismatched Brake Chambers</td>
<td>393.47(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Defective/Mismatched Slack Adjuster</td>
<td>393.47(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Defective/Insufficient Brake Linings</td>
<td>393.47(d)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Clamp or Roto-Type Brake(s) Out of Adjustment</td>
<td>393.47(e)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Wedge type brake(s) out of adjustment</td>
<td>393.47(f)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Insufficient Brake Drum</td>
<td>393.47(g)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Inoperative Brakes</td>
<td>393.48(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Brakes – Missing or Broken Components</td>
<td>393.48(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Inadequate Air/Vacuum</td>
<td>393.50</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – Defective/Inoperative Low Air Waning Device</td>
<td>393.51</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – No Automatic Brake Adjuster</td>
<td>393.53</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.360 – No ABS as required on CMV w/Air Brakes – 02/98</td>
<td>393.55(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – No or Defective ABS Indicator on Trailer</td>
<td>393.55(d)(3)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.360 – No ABS as required on CMV w/Hydraulic Brakes – 1999</td>
<td>393.55(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.360 – ABS Indicator Malfunction</td>
<td>393.55(d)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.351 – BRAKES OUT OF SERVICE – 20% of Defective</td>
<td>396.3(a)(1)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.37.351 – General Brake Violations</td>
<td>396.3(a)(1)B</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.37.351 – Brakes Out of Adjustment</td>
<td>396.3(a)(1)BA</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.37.351 – Compressor Violation</td>
<td>396.3(a)(1)BC</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.37.351 – Brake Drum Violations</td>
<td>396.3(a)(1)BD</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.46.37.351 – Brake Reserve System Pressure Loss</td>
<td>396.3(a)(1)BL</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## b. Coupling Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>CFR Code</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.32.010.1 – Fifth Wheel Violations</td>
<td>393.70</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.32.010.1 – Defective Coupling Device</td>
<td>393.70(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.32.010.1 – Defective Fifth Wheel Locking Mechanism</td>
<td>393.70(b)(2)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.32.010.1 – Defective/Improper Fifth Wheel Assembly</td>
<td>393.70(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.32.010.1 – Defective Coupling Devices for Full Trailer</td>
<td>393.70(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.37.495 – No/Improper Safety Chains or Cables</td>
<td>393.70(d)</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.37.495 – Improper Safety Chain Attachment</td>
<td>393.70(d)(8)</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.37.495 – Improper Coupling Driveaway/Towaway Operation</td>
<td>393.71</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.37.495 – Improper Weight Distribution Driveaway/Towaway</td>
<td>393.71(b)(3)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.37.495 – Prohibited Towing Connection/Device</td>
<td>393.71(g)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.37.495 – Towbar Requirement Violations</td>
<td>393.71(h)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.46.37.495 – No or Improper Safety Chains for Towbar</td>
<td>393.71(h)(10)</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## c. Emergency Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>CFR Code</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.46.32.010.1 – No/Discharged/Unsecured Fire Extinguisher</td>
<td>393.95(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.46.32.010.1 – Failure to Equip Hazardous Material Vehicle With A Fire Extinguisher With a Minimum UL Rating of 10 B:C</td>
<td>393.95(a)(1)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.46.32.010.1 – No spare fuses as required</td>
<td>393.95(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.46.32.010.1 – No/Insufficient Warning devices</td>
<td>393.95(f)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.46.32.010.1 – HM Restricted Emergency Warning Device</td>
<td>393.95(g)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## d. Exhaust

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>CFR Code</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.46.32.010.1 – Exhaust System Location</td>
<td>393.83(a)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.46.32.010.1 – Exhaust Discharge Fuel Tank/Filler Tube</td>
<td>393.83(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.46.32.010.1 – Improper Exhaust-Bus (gasoline)</td>
<td>393.83(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.46.32.010.1 – Improper Exhaust-Bus (diesel)</td>
<td>393.83(d)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e. Frame Violations

1) WAC 204.10.022.8 – Frame Cracked/Loose/Sagging/Broken CFR 393.201(a) Rpt-FMCSA
2) 46.32.010.1 – Bolts Securing Cab Broken/Loose/Missing CFR 393.201(b) $136
3) 46.32.010.1 – Frame Rail Flange Improperly Bent/Cut/Notched CFR 393.201(c) $136
4) 46.32.010.1 – Frame Accessories Improperly Attached CFR 393.201(d) $136
5) 46.32.010.1 – Prohibited Holes Drilled in Frame Rail Flange CFR 393.201(e) $136
6) 46.32.010.1 – Cab/Body Improperly Secured to Frame CFR 393.203(b) $136
7) 46.32.010.1 – Cab Front Bumper Missing/Unsecured/Protrude CFR 393.203(e) $136

f. Fuel System Violations

1) 46.37.465 – Fuel System Requirement Violations CFR 393.65 $136
2) 46.37.465 – Improper Location of Fuel System CFR 393.65(b) $136
3) 46.37.465 – Improper Securement of Fuel Tank CFR 393.65(c) $136
4) 46.37.465 – No/Improper Fuel Line Protection CFR 393.65(f) $136
5) 46.37.465 – Fuel Tank Requirement Violations CFR 393.67 $136
6) 46.37.465 – Fuel Tank Fill Cap Missing/Defective CFR 393.67(c)(7) $136
7) 46.37.465 – Improper Fuel Tank Safety Vent CFR 393.67(c)(8) $136

Lighting & Reflective Devices

7) 46.37.090 – No or Inoperative Lamps on Projecting Load CFR 393.11 $136
8) WAC 204-21-220 – No or Inoperative Trailer Tongue Lamps CFR 393.1 $136
9) 46.32.010.1 – No Lower Rear Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Veh Aft 12/1993 CFR 393.11LR $136
10) 46.32.010.1 – No Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Vehicle Built after 12/93 CFR 393.11N $136
11) 46.32.010.1 – No Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Vehicle Built after 12/93 CFR 393.11N $136
12) 46.32.010.1 – No Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Trailers Built after 12/93 CFR 393.11RT $136
13) 46.32.010.1 – No Side Retro-Reflecting Sheeting – Vehicle Built after 12/93 CFR 393.11S $136
14) 46.32.010.1 – No Mud Flaps Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Tractors after 1993 CFR 393.11TL $136
15) 46.32.010.1 – No Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Tractor Built after 7/97 CFR 393.11TT $136
16) 46.32.010.1 – No Upper Corner Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Tractors after 97 CFR 393.11TU $136
17) 46.32.010.1 – No Upper Rear Retro-Reflective Sheeting – Veh after 7/97 CFR 393.11UR $136
18) 46.32.010.1 – No Rear-Reflective Tape Not Affixed as Required CFR 393.13(a) $136
19) 46.32.010.1 – No Rear-Reflective Sheeting – Trailers built before 12/93 CFR 393.13(b) $136
20) 46.32.010.1 – No Side Retro-Reflective Sheeting as Required CFR 393.13(c)(1) $136
21) 46.32.010.1 – No Lower Rear Retro-Reflective Sheeting as required CFR 393.13(c)(2) $136
22) 46.32.010.1 – No Upper Rear Retro-Reflective Sheeting as required CFR 393.13(c)(3) $136
23) 46.32.010.1 – Improper Side Placement of Retro-Reflective Sheeting CFR 393.13(d)(1) $136
24) 46.32.010.1 – Improper Rear Placement of Retro-Reflective Sheeting CFR 393.13(d)(2) $136
25) 46.32.010.1 – No/Defective Lamp/Reflector-Towaway Operation CFR 393.17 $136
26) 46.32.010.1 – No/Defective Lamps-Towing Unit-Towaway Operation CFR 393.17(a) $136
27) 46.32.010.1 – No/Defective Towaway Lamps On rear Unit CFR 393.17(b) $136
29) 46.32.010.1 – Inoperative Clearance Lamps CFR 393.20 $136
30) 46.32.010.1 – Required Lamp Not Powered by Vehicle Electric CFR 393.23 $136
31) 46.37.040 – Inoperative Headlight CFR 393.24(a) $136
32) 46.37.210 – Non-Compliant Auxiliary / Driving / Fog Lamps CFR 393.24(b) $136
33) 46.37.040 – Improper Headlamp Aiming CFR 393.24(c) $136
34) 46.32.010.1 – Lamps Not Visible as Required CFR 393.25(b) $136
35) 46.37.070 – Inoperative Stop/Brake Lamps CFR 393.25(f) $136
36) 46.32.010.1 – Reflector Requirements CFR 393.26 $136
h. Load Securement

1) 46.37.490 – No or Improper Load Securement CFR 393.100(a) $136
2) 46.37.490 – Leaking/Spilling/Blowing/Falling Cargo CFR 393.100(b) $136
3) 46.37.490 – Failure to Prevent Cargo Shifting CFR 393.100(c) $136
4) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement Systems (Tiedowns Assemblies) CFR 393.102(a) $136
5) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Means to Prevent Forward Movement CFR 393.102(a)(1)(i) $136
6) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Means to Prevent Rearward Movement CFR 393.102(a)(1)(ii) $136
7) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Means to Prevent Lateral Movement CFR 393.102(a)(1)(iii) $136
8) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Means to Prevent Vertical Movement CFR 393.102(b) $136
9) 46.37.490 – Exceeding Working Load Limit for Tiedowns CFR 393.102(c) $136
10) 46.37.490 – Inadequate/Damaged Securement Device CFR 393.104(a) $136
11) 46.37.490 – Damaged Securement Tiedowns CFR 393.104(b) $136
12) 46.37.490 – Damaged Vehicle Structures/Anchor Points CFR 393.104(c) $136
13) 46.37.490 – Damaged Dunnage, Chocks, Cradles, Shoring Bars CFR 393.104(d) $136
   Blocking and Bracing

14) 46.37.490 – Knotted Tiedown CFR 393.104(f)(1) $136
15) 46.37.490 – Use of Tiedown with Improper Repair CFR 393.104(f)(2) $136
16) 46.37.490 – Loose or Unfastened Tiedown CFR 393.104(f)(3) $136
17) 46.37.490 – No/Improper Front End Structure/Headerboard CFR 393.106(a) $136
18) 46.37.490 – Cargo Not Immobilized or Secured CFR 393.106(b) $136
19) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Aggregate Working Load Limit CFR 393.106(d) $136
20) 46.37.490 – No Means to Prevent Cargo from Rolling CFR 393.106(c)(1) $136
21) 46.37.490 – Cargo W/out Direct Contact Not Prevented from Shifting CFR 393.106(c)(2) $136
22) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Aggregate Working Load Limit CFR 393.106(d) $136
23) 46.37.490 – Failing to Meet Tiedown Requirements CFR 393.110 $136
24) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Tiedowns to Prevent Forward Movement Not Blocked by Headerboard, Cargo, Bulkhead or other Cargo CFR 393.110(b) $136
25) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Tiedowns for an Article Blocked with a Headerboard, Bulkhead or other Cargo CFR 393.110(c) $136
26) 46.37.490 – Large/Odd-shaped Cargo Not Adequately Secured CFR 393.110(d) $136
27) 46.37.490 – Tiedown Not Adjustable by Driver CFR 393.111 $136
28) 46.37.490 – No or Improper Front End Structure CFR 393.114 $136
29) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Height for Front End Structure CFR 393.114(b)(1) $136
30) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Width for Front End Structure CFR 393.114(b)(2) $136
31) 46.37.490 – Front End Structure Insufficient to Prevent Cargo to Pass CFR 393.114(d) $136
32) 46.37.490 – No or Improper Securement of Logs CFR 393.116 $136
33) 46.37.490 – Shortwood Log Extends More Than 1/3 of Logs Total Length Beyond Supporting Structure CFR 393.116(d)(1) $136
34) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Tiedowns for Shortwood Loaded Crosswise CFR 393.116(d)(2) $136
35) 46.37.490 – Tiedowns Improperly Positioned On Load of Shortwood CFR 393.116(d)(3) $136
36) 46.37.490 – No Center Stakes and/or High Log Not Secured on Shortwood Vehicles more than 10m (33 ft) long CFR 393.116(d)(4) $136
37) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement of Shortwood Logs Loaded Lengthwise CFR 393.116(e) $136
38) 46.37.490 – No/Improper Lumber/Building Materials Securement CFR 393.118 $136
39) 46.37.490 – Improper Placement of Bundles CFR 393.118(b) $136
40) 46.37.490 – Insufficient Protection Against Lateral Movement of Lumber or Building Materials CFR 393.118(d) $136
41) 46.37.490 – Insufficient or Improper Arrangement of Tiedowns For Lumber or Building Materials CFR 393.118(d)(3) $136
42) 46.37.490 – No or Improper Securement of Metal Coils CFR 393.120 $136
43) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement of Metal Coils Transported Vertically CFR 393.120(b)(1) $136
44) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement of Metal Coils Transported in Rows with the Eyes Vertical CFR 393.120(b)(2) $136
45) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement of Metal Coils Transported with Eyes Crosswise CFR 393.120(c)(1) $136
46) 46.37.490 – Prohibited Load Securement – Crossing Tie-downs In a X Pattern Through the Eye of a Metal Coil Transported Crosswise CFR 393.120(c)(2) $136
47) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement of Metal Coil Transported with Eye Lengthwise CFR 393.120(d)(1) $136
48) 46.37.490 – Improper Securement of Metal Coils Transported in Rows, Eyes Lengthwise to the Vehicle CFR 393.120(d)(4) $136
49) 46.37.490 – No Protection Against Shifting or Tipping of Metal Coils Transported in Sided Vehicle or Intermodal Container Without Anchor Points CFR 393.120(e) $136
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50)</td>
<td>No/Improper Securement of Paper Rolls</td>
<td>CFR 393.122</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51)</td>
<td>Improper Securement of Paper Rolls Transported With Eyes Vertical in a Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52)</td>
<td>Improper Securement of Split Loads of Paper Rolls Transported with the Eyes Vertical in a Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53)</td>
<td>Improper Securement of Stacked Loads of Paper Rolls Transported with the Eyes Vertical in a Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(d)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54)</td>
<td>Improper Securement of Paper Rolls Transported With the Eyes Crosswise In a Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(e)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55)</td>
<td>Securement of stacked loads of Paper Rolls Transported with Eyes Crosswise in a Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(f)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56)</td>
<td>Securement of Paper Rolls Transported With Eyes Lengthwise in a Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(g)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57)</td>
<td>Securement of Stacked Loads of Paper Rolls Transported with the Eyes Lengthwise in a Other then Container Chassis Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(h)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58)</td>
<td>Securement of paper Rolls Transported on a Flatbed Vehicle or in a Curtain-Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.122(i)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59)</td>
<td>No or Improper Securement of Concrete Pipe</td>
<td>CFR 393.124</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60)</td>
<td>Insufficient Working Load Limits for Tiedowns on a Group of Concrete Pipes</td>
<td>CFR 393.124(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61)</td>
<td>Improper Blocking of Concrete Pipe</td>
<td>CFR 393.124(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62)</td>
<td>Improper Arrangement of Concrete Pipe</td>
<td>CFR 393.124(d)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63)</td>
<td>Improper Securement of Concrete Pipe with an Inside Diameter up to 45 inches (1143 mm)</td>
<td>CFR 393.124(e)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64)</td>
<td>Improper Securement of Concrete Pipe with an Inside Diameter Greater than 45 inches</td>
<td>CFR 393.124(f)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65)</td>
<td>Failure to Ensure Intermodal Container Securement</td>
<td>CFR 393.126</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66)</td>
<td>Damaged or Missing Tiedown or Securement device for Intermodal Containers Transported on Container Chassis Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67)</td>
<td>Lower Corners of Loaded Intermodal Container Not Resting on Surface of Transporting Vehicle (Non Container Chassis)</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(c)(1)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68)</td>
<td>All Corners of Loaded Intermodal Container Not Secured When Transported on Vehicle other than Container Chassis Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(c)(2)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69)</td>
<td>Front and Rear of Loaded Intermodal Container Not Secured Independently When Transported On Vehicle Other Than Chassis Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(c)(3)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70)</td>
<td>Empty Intermodal Container Not Properly Positioned When Transported on Vehicle Other than Container Chassis Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(d)(1)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71)</td>
<td>Empty Intermodal Container With More Than 5 ft Overhang When Transported on Vehicle Other than Container Chassis Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(d)(2)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72)</td>
<td>Empty Intermodal Container Not Properly Secured to Prevent Shifting When Transported on Vehicle Sided Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.126(d)(4)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73)</td>
<td>No/Improper Securement of Vehicles</td>
<td>CFR 393.128</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74)</td>
<td>Vehicle Not Secured, Front and Rear</td>
<td>CFR 393.128(b)(1)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75)</td>
<td>Tiedown(s) Not Affixed to Mounting Points</td>
<td>CFR 393.128(b)(2)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76)</td>
<td>Tiedown(s) Not Over/Around Wheels</td>
<td>CFR 393.128(b)(3)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77)</td>
<td>No/Improper Heavy Vehicle/Machine Securement</td>
<td>CFR 393.130</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78)</td>
<td>Item Not Properly Prepared for Transport</td>
<td>CFR 393.130(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79)</td>
<td>Improper Restraint/Securement of Item</td>
<td>CFR 393.130(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80)</td>
<td>No/Improper Securement of Crushed Vehicles</td>
<td>CFR 393.132</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81)</td>
<td>Prohibited Use of Synthetic Webbing</td>
<td>CFR 393.132(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82)</td>
<td>Insufficient Tiedowns Per Stack Cars</td>
<td>CFR 393.132(c)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83)</td>
<td>Insufficient Means to Retain Loose Parts</td>
<td>CFR 393.132(c)(5)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84)</td>
<td>No/Improper Securement of Roll/Hook Container</td>
<td>CFR 393.134</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85)</td>
<td>No Blocking Against Forward Movement</td>
<td>CFR 393.134(b)(1)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86)</td>
<td>Container Not Secured to Front of Vehicle</td>
<td>CFR 393.134(b)(2)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87)</td>
<td>Rear of Container Not Properly Secured</td>
<td>CFR 393.134(b)(3)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88)</td>
<td>No/Improper Securement of Large Boulders</td>
<td>CFR 393.136</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89)</td>
<td>Improper Placement/Positioning for Boulder</td>
<td>CFR 393.136(b)</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
i. Marking Requirements

1) 46.32.010.1 – Motor Carrier Identification Report (USDOT Required)  CFR 390.19 $136
2) 46.32.010.1 – Marking of Commercial Motor Vehicles (Interstate)  CFR 390.21 $136
3) 81.80.305 – Marking of Commercial Motor Vehicles (Intrastate)  CFR 390.21 $1000

j. Miscellaneous CMV Equipment Violations

1) 46.32.010.1 – Improper Battery Installation - No Cover  CFR 393.30 $136
2) 46.37.380 – No or Inoperative Horn  CFR 393.81 $136
3) 46.37.400 – No or Defective Mirrors  CFR 393.80 $136
4) 46.37.500 – No Covers / Flaps or Splash Aprons  CFR 393.30 $136

k. Steering Violations

1) 46.37.375 – Steering Wheel Not Secure/Broken  CFR 393.209(a) $136
2) 46.37.375 – Excessive Steering Wheel Lash  CFR 393.209(b) $136
3) 46.37.375 – Loose Steering Column  CFR 393.209(c) $136
4) 46.37.375 – Steering System Components Worn/Welded/Missing  CFR 393.209(d) $136
5) 46.37.375 – Power Steering Violations  CFR 393.209(e) $136

l. Suspension Violations

1) 46.37.369 – Axle Positioning Parts Defective/Missing  CFR 393.207(a) $136
2) 46.37.369 – Adjustable Axle Locking Pins Missing or Not Engaged  CFR 393.207(b) $136
3) 46.37.369 – Leaf Spring Assembly Defective/Missing  CFR 393.207(c) $136
4) 46.37.369 – Coil Spring Cracked and/or Broken  CFR 393.207(d) $136
5) 46.37.369 – Torsion Bar Cracked and/or Broken  CFR 393.207(e) $136
6) 46.37.369 – Air Suspension Pressure Loss  CFR 393.207(f) $136
7) 46.37.369 – No/Defective Air Suspension Exhaust Control  CFR 393.207(g) $136

m. Tire Violations

1) 46.37.425 – Flat Tire or Fabric Exposed  CFR 393.75(a) $136
2) 46.37.425 – Tire-Ply or Belt Material Exposed  CFR 393.75(a)(1) $136
3) 46.37.425 – Tire-Tread and/or Sidewall Separation  CFR 393.75(a)(2) $136
4) 46.37.425 – Tire-Flat and/or Audible Air Leak  CFR 393.75(a)(3) $136
5) 46.37.425 – Tire-Cut Exposing Ply and/or Belt Material  CFR 393.75(a)(4) $136
6) 46.37.425 – Steer Axle Tire Less Than 4/32" Tread Depth  CFR 393.75(b) $136
7) 46.37.425 – Steer Axle Tire Less Than 2/32" Tread Depth  CFR 393.75(c) $136
8) 46.37.425 – Regrooved or Recapped Tire on Bus/Tractor Steer Axle  CFR 393.75(d) $136
9) 46.37.425 – Regrooved or Recapped Tire on Truck/Tractor Steer Axle  CFR 393.75(e) $136
10) 46.37.425 – Tire Load Weight Rating Violation/Under Inflated  CFR 393.75(f) $136
11) 46.37.425 – Under-Inflated Tire  CFR 393.75(h) $136
12) 46.37.425 – All Other General Tire Violations  CFR 396.3(a)(1) $136

n. Wheel Violations

1) 46.37.369 – Wheel/Rim Cracked or Broken  CFR 393.205(a) $136
2) 46.37.369 – Stud/Bolt Holes Elongated on Wheels  CFR 393.205(b) $136
3) 46.37.369 – Wheel Fasteners Loose and/or Missing  CFR 393.205(c) $136

o. Windshield

1) 46.32.010.1 – Each Bus and Truck Shall Be Equipped With a Windshield  CFR 393.60(b) $136
2) 46.32.010.1 – Damaged or Discolored Windshield  CFR 393.60(c) $136
3) 46.32.010.1 – Glazing Permits <70% of Light  CFR 393.60(d) $136
4) 46.32.010.1 – Windshield Wipers Inoperative/Defective  CFR 393.78 $136
5) 46.32.010.1 – No Required Windshield Washer Fluid  CFR 393.78 $136
6) 46.32.010.1 – Defroster/Defogger Inoperative  CFR 393.79 $136
9. HAZARDOUS MATERIAL VIOLATIONS - 49 C.F.R. Parts 100 through 199

a. Cargo Tanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – Metal Certification Plate</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(1)(b)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Appurtenances</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(8)(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Rear End Protection</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(8)(b)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 46.48.175 – Overturn Protection</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(8)(c)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) 46.48.175 – Shear Section</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(8)(d)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) 46.48.175 – Piping Protection</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(8)(d)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) 46.48.175 – Discharge Outlets Not Capped</td>
<td>CFR 178.340(8)(d)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Labeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – No Label on Package</td>
<td>CFR 172.400(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Placarding Violations</td>
<td>CFR 172.401</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Subsidiary Hazard labeling</td>
<td>CFR 172.402(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 46.48.175 – RAM Labeling Requirement</td>
<td>CFR 172.403(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) 46.48.175 – Mixed Label Placement</td>
<td>CFR 172.404(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) 46.48.175 – Placement Requirement</td>
<td>CFR 172.406(a)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) 46.48.175 – Multiple Label Placement</td>
<td>CFR 172.406(c)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Marking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – No Shipping Name</td>
<td>CFR 172.203(d)(2)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Marking Obscured</td>
<td>CFR 172.304(a)(3)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Unauthorized Abbreviations</td>
<td>CFR 172.308(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 46.48.175 – No/Missing Package Orientation</td>
<td>CFR 173.312(a)(2)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) 46.48.175 – Hazardous Substance</td>
<td>CFR 173.324</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) 46.48.175 – Portable Tank: No Shipping Name</td>
<td>CFR 173.329(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) 46.48.175 – Portable Tank: No Identification Number</td>
<td>CFR 173.329(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) 46.48.175 – Portable Tank: No Owner’s Name</td>
<td>CFR 173.329(b)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) 46.48.175 – Cargo Tank: No Shipping Name/ID Number</td>
<td>CFR 173.329(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) 46.48.175 – QT/QT Marking</td>
<td>CFR 173.329(c)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) 46.48.175 – Bilk Packaging: No ID Number</td>
<td>CFR 173.331</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) 46.48.175 – ID Number Marking for (b) Panel (c) Placards</td>
<td>CFR 173.332</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. MC 306

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – Improper Manhole</td>
<td>CFR 173.341(3)(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Internal Valve</td>
<td>CFR 173.341(5)(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Fusible Link</td>
<td>CFR 173.341(3)(a)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 46.48.175 – Remote Shutoff</td>
<td>CFR 173.341(5)(a)(2)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) 46.48.175 – Shear Protection</td>
<td>CFR 173.341(8)(d)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e. MC 307

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – Leaky Closure</td>
<td>CFR 173.342(3)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Internal Valve</td>
<td>CFR 173.342(5)(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Fusible Link</td>
<td>CFR 173.342(5)(a)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 46.48.175 – Remote Shutoff</td>
<td>CFR 173.342(5)(a)(2)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f. MC 312

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – Manhole Closures</td>
<td>CFR 173.343(3)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Internal Valve/Top Outlet</td>
<td>CFR 173.343(5)(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Bottom Valve Piping Protection</td>
<td>CFR 173.343(5)(b)(1)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g. MC 331

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>CFR Reference</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) 46.48.175 – Fitting Protection</td>
<td>CFR 173.337(10)(a)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) 46.48.175 – Rear End Protection</td>
<td>CFR 173.337(10)(d)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 46.48.175 – Internal Valve</td>
<td>CFR 173.337(11)(a)(2)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) 46.48.175 – Remote Control &gt; 3500 Capacity</td>
<td>CFR 173.337(1)(a)(2)(i)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) 46.48.175 – Remote Control &lt; 3500 Capacity</td>
<td>CFR 173.337(1)(a)(2)(ii)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Placarding Requirements for the Transportation of Hazardous Material

h. Packaging

1) 46.48.175 – Non-Specific Package Requirement
   CFR 172.24(b) $500
2) 46.48.175 – Reuse of Packaging
   CFR 172.28(a) $500
3) 46.48.175 – Empty (MT) Packages
   CFR 172.29(a) $500
4) 46.48.175 – IM Portable Tank Testing
   CFR 172.32(a) $500
5) 46.48.175 – Unauthorized Cargo Tank
   CFR 172.33(a) $500
6) 46.48.175 – Unlawful Cargo Tank Holding
   CFR 172.33(b) $500
7) 46.48.175 – Cylinder Violations
   CFR 172.34(e) $500

i. Placarding Requirements for the Transportation of Hazardous Material – 49 CFR 172

1) 46.48.175 – Prohibited Placarding
   CFR 172.502(a)(1) $500
2) 46.48.175 – Sign or Device Could be Confused with HM Placard
   CFR 172.502(a)(2) $500
3) 46.48.175 – Vehicle Not Placarded as Required
   CFR 172.504(a) $500
4) 46.48.175 – Dangerous Placard Violation
   CFR 172.504(b) $500
5) 46.48.175 – No Placard for Poison Inhalation Hazard
   CFR 172.505(a) $500
6) 46.48.175 – Not Placarded for RAM and Corrosive When Required
   CFR 172.505(b) $500
7) 46.48.175 – No Placard for Subsidiary DANGEROUS WHEN WET
   CFR 172.505(c) $500
8) 46.48.175 – Failed to Provide Placards Shipper
   CFR 172.506(a) $500
9) 46.48.175 – Placards Not Affixed to Vehicle
   CFR 172.506(a)(1) $500
10) 46.48.175 – Not Placarded for RAM Highway Route
    CFR 172.507 $500
11) 46.48.175 – Freight Container Not Placarded
    CFR 172.512(a) $500
12) 46.48.175 – Bulk Package Offered Without Placard
    CFR 172.514(a) $500
13) 46.48.175 – Bulk Package with Residue of HM Not Placarded
    CFR 172.514(b) $500
14) 46.48.175 – Placard Not Visible from Direction it Faces
    CFR 172.516(a) $500
15) 46.48.175 – Placard Not Securely Affixed or Attached
    CFR 172.516(c)(1) $500
16) 46.48.175 – Placard Not Clear of Appurtenance
    CFR 172.516(c)(2) $500
17) 46.48.175 – Placard Improper Location
    CFR 172.516(c)(4) $500
18) 46.48.175 – Placard Not Reading Horizontally
    CFR 172.516(c)(5) $500
19) 46.48.175 – Placard Damaged, Deteriorated or Obscured
    CFR 172.516(c)(6) $500
20) 46.48.175 – Placard Not on Contrasting Background or Border
    CFR 172.516(c)(7) $500
21) 46.48.175 – Placard Does Not Meet Specifications
    CFR 172.519 $500
22) 46.48.175 – No Placards and/or Markings When Required
    CFR 177.823(a) $500

j. Shipping Papers for the Transportation of Hazardous Material – 49 CFR 172

1) 46.48.175 – No Shipping Paper Provided or Offered
   CFR 172.200(a) $500
2) 46.48.175 – Hazmat Not Distinguished From Non-Hazmat
   CFR 172.201(a)(1) $500
3) 46.48.175 – Hazmat Not Printed Legibly in English
   CFR 172.201(a)(2) $500
4) 46.48.175 – Hazmat Description Contains Abbreviation or Code
   CFR 172.201(a)(3) $500
5) 46.48.175 – Additional Information Not After Hazardous Materials
   CFR 172.201(a)(4) $500
6) 46.48.175 – Failure to List Page Number of Pages
   CFR 172.201(c) $500
7) 46.48.175 – Emergency Response Phone Number Not Listed
   CFR 172.201(d) $500
8) 46.48.175 – Improper Shipping Name
   CFR 172.202(a)(1) $500
9) 46.48.175 – Improper Hazard Class
   CFR 172.202(a)(2) $500
10) 46.48.175 – Wrong or No ID Number
    CFR 172.202(a)(3) $500
11) 46.48.175 – No Packing Group Listed
    CFR 172.202(a)(4) $500
12) 46.48.175 – Total Quantity Not Listed
    CFR 172.202(a)(5) $500
13) 46.48.175 – Basic Description Not In Proper Sequence
    CFR 172.202(b) $500
14) 46.48.175 – Total Quantity Improper Location
    CFR 172.202(c) $500
15) 46.48.175 – Non Hazardous Material Entered With Class or ID #
    CFR 172.202(e) $500
I. General Requirements For Shipments And Packagings – 49 CFR 173-177

1) 46.48.175 – Exemption Number Not Listed  
   CFR 173.203(a) $500
2) 46.48.175 – Limited Quantity Not Shown  
   CFR 173.203(b) $500
3) 46.48.175 – Hazardous Substance Entry Missing  
   CFR 173.203(c)(1) $500
4) 46.48.175 – RQ Not On Shipping Paper  
   CFR 173.203(c)(2) $500
5) 46.48.175 – No QT / NQT for Anhydrous Ammonia  
   CFR 173.203(h)(1) $500
6) 46.48.175 – No Notation for QT / NQT for Liquefied Petroleum Gas  
   CFR 173.203(h)(2) $500
7) 46.48.175 – No Technical Name for “nos” Entry  
   CFR 173.203(k) $500
8) 46.48.175 – No Poison Inhalation Hazard and/or Hazard Zone  
   CFR 173.203(m) $500
9) 46.48.175 – No “hot” on Shipping Paper  
   CFR 173.203(n) $500
10) 46.48.175 – No Temperature Controls Noted for Class 4.1 or 5.2  
    CFR 173.203(o) $500
11) 46.48.175 – Hazardous Waste Manifest Not as Required  
    CFR 173.205 $500
12) 46.48.175 – No Instructions for Exclusive Use Packaging:  
    Low Specific Activity (LSA)  
    CFR 173.427(a)(6)(iv) $500
13) 46.48.175 – Exclusive Use Low Specific Activity (LSA) Radioactive  
    Material Not Marked “Radioactive-LSA”  
    CFR 173.427(a)(6)(iv) $500
14) 46.48.175 – Failure to Provide Exclusive Use Instructions to Carrier  
    CFR 173.441(c) $500
15) 46.48.175 – No Shipping Papers (Carrier)  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
16) 46.48.175 – Shipper Certification Missing (When Required)  
    CFR 177.817(b) $500
17) 46.48.175 – Shipping Paper Accessibility  
    CFR 177.817(e) $500

m. Transportation of Hazardous Materials – 49 CFR 177

1) 46.48.175 – No Shipping Papers  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
2) 46.48.175 – Shipping Paper Accessibility  
    CFR 177.817(e) $500
3) 46.48.175 – Improper/No Placard/Marking  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
4) 46.48.175 – Hazmat Packages Not Secured  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
5) 46.48.175 – Fail To Prevent Relative  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
6) 46.48.175 – Manholes/Values Not Closed or Leak Free  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
7) 46.48.175 – Poison/Foodstuff Violation  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500
8) 46.48.175 – Segregation, Load Combination  
    CFR 177.817(a) $500

B. The following parts of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.), as set forth in WAC 446-65-010, are hereby adopted by reference as if fully set forth herein:

1. “Transportation Requirements”
   a. Part 40 Procedures for transportation workplace drug and alcohol testing programs.
   b. Part 325 Compliance with interstate motor carrier noise emission standards.
   c. Part 350 Commercial motor carrier safety assistance program.
   d. Part 355 Compatibility of state laws and regulations affecting interstate motor carrier operations.
   e. Part 365 Rules governing applications for operating authority.
   f. Part 367 Standards for registration with states.
   g. Part 372 Exemptions, commercial zones and terminal areas.
   h. Part 373 Receipts and bills.
   i. Part 376 Lease and interchange of vehicles.
   j. Part 379 Preservation of records.
   k. Part 380 Special training requirements.
   l. Part 381 Waivers, exemptions, and pilot programs.
   m. Part 382 Controlled substances and alcohol use and testing.
   n. Part 383 Compliance with commercial driver's license program.
   o. Part 385 Safety fitness procedures.
   p. Part 387 Minimum levels of financial responsibility for motor carriers.
   q. Part 390 General.
   r. Part 391 Qualification of drivers. Provided that 49 C.F.R. 391 subpart D (Tests), and E (Physical Qualifications and Examinations) do not apply to motor carriers operating vehicles with gross vehicle weight rating between 10,001 lbs. and 26,000 lbs. operating intrastate, and not used to transport hazardous materials in a quantity requiring placarding.
   s. Part 392 Driving of motor vehicles.
   t. Part 393 Parts and accessories necessary for safe operation.
   u. Part 395 Hours of service of drivers: Except if a company has drivers of commercial motor vehicle of any size, hauling logs from the point of production or driving in dump truck operations in intrastate commerce provided that:
      1) The driver must:
(a) Operate within a one hundred air-mile radius of the location where the driver reports to work and the driver must return to the work reporting location at the end of each duty tour;
(b) Have at least ten consecutive hours off duty separating each on-duty period;
(c) Not drive:
   i. More than twelve hours following at least ten hours off duty; or
   ii. After the fourteenth hour after coming on duty on at least five days of any period of seven consecutive days; and
   iii. After the sixteenth hour after coming on duty on no more than two days of any period of seven consecutive days; and
   iv. After having been on duty for eighty hours in seven consecutive days if the employing motor carrier does not operate commercial motor vehicle every day of the week; or
   v. After having been on duty for ninety hours in eight consecutive days if the employing motor carrier operates commercial motor vehicle every day of the week; in any period of seven or eight consecutive days may end with the beginning of any off-duty period of twenty-four or more consecutive hours.

2) The motor carrier that employs the driver must maintain and retain for a period of twelve months accurate and true time recordings showing:
   (a) The time the driver reports for duty each day;
   (b) The total number of hours the driver is on duty each day;
   (c) The total number of hours the driver drives each day;
   (d) The time the driver is released from duty each day; and
   (e) The total time the driver is driving and on duty for the preceding seven days.

v. Part 396 Inspection, repair, and maintenance.
w. Part 397 Transportation of hazardous materials; driving and parking rules.

2. As provided in Part 395, exemption for agricultural transporters, the harvest dates are defined as starting February 1 and ending November 30 of each year.


Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35766

An ordinance strengthening the City’s revenue stabilization and contingency reserve accounts; amending section 07.08.010 of the Spokane Municipal Code.

NOW THEREFORE, the City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That section 07.08.010 of the Spokane Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

Section 07.08.010 General Fund and Reserve Accounts – Establishment

A. There is established a “general fund” into which all sums of money collected by the City for any purpose whatsoever shall be deposited unless otherwise provided by ordinance directing the deposit into some specific fund other than the general fund.

B. There is established within the general fund a revenue stabilization account which shall consist of a specific portion of the unappropriated general fund balance as determined by this section and which shall be used for the revenue stabilization for future city operations and to fund ordinary and ongoing city activities that would otherwise be reduced in scope, suspended, or eliminated due to unanticipated shortfalls in general fund revenues. The revenue stabilization account shall be funded as follows.

1. At the conclusion of each and every fiscal year commencing with year((2007 for the 2008 budget)) 2018 and every year thereafter, ((amounts from)) that year’s unappropriated general fund balance shall be ((appropriated to)) automatically transferred into the revenue stabilization account until such time as the revenue stabilization account is funded to the targeted funding level as listed in this section. ((Any year’s unappropriated general fund balance beyond the revenue stabilization account targeted funding level shall remain in general fund unappropriated fund balance.))

2. Additional funds may be added to the revenue stabilization account during the ensuing ((budget)) fiscal year in accordance with standard ((emergency)) special budget ordinance procedures.
3. The (initial) targeted funding level within the revenue stabilization account shall be three and one-half percent (3.5%) of current-year budgeted general fund revenues.

   (a. The City shall, on a best efforts basis, take such steps necessary to meet the initial targeted funding level no later than April 30, 2008, and each year thereafter.)

   (b.) Annually during each budget cycle, the chief financial officer, or designee, shall report to the city council on the revenue stabilization account including current and proposed future funding levels consistent with revenue growth projected in the City’s six-year general fund financial forecast and a discussion of investment activity within the account for the period and investment planning in place for future periods. This annual report shall also include analysis and consideration of the proper targeted funding level going forward in relation to changing conditions and prudent fiscal practices.

   (c.) Disbursements from the revenue stabilization account may be made to mitigate a general fund revenue shortfall deemed by the city council, in consultation with the chief financial officer or designee, to meet the following criteria:

      i. The revenue shortfall results from revenue collections considered to be materially short of the amount budgeted, or the revenue shortfall results from projected baseline (existing) budgeted revenues for any ensuing year increasing by less than the assumed long-term revenue growth rate in the City’s six-year general fund projection for the immediate year; and

      ii. The revenue shortfall is expected to persist through the end of the fiscal year; and

      iii. The revenue shortfall is reasonably expected to persist for a period no longer than three (3) years. A revenue shortfall expected to persist beyond three (3) years shall be directly addressed in the current annual budget process through long-term budget measures.

   (d.) Disbursements from the revenue stabilization account may include amounts budgeted in the general fund to supplement revenue shortfalls that occur in other City funds.

   (e.) Appropriation from the revenue stabilization account is by (enactment of an ordinance pursuant to standard procedures except that the ordinance shall be passed by a vote of one more than a majority of the council except where an appropriation is already included in the regularly adopted annual budget) the standard special budget ordinance procedure.

C. There is established within the general fund a contingency reserve account which shall consist of a specific portion of the unappropriated general fund balance.

1. When the revenue stabilization account is at the targeted funding level as specified in SMC 07.08.010(B)(3), and every year thereafter, ((an amount from the)) that year’s remaining unappropriated general fund balance ((at each such year-end)) shall be ((appropriated to)) automatically transferred into the contingency reserve account.

2. Additional funds may be added to the contingency reserve account in such amounts and at such additional times during the ensuing (budget) fiscal year in accordance with standard special budget ordinance procedures.

3. The targeted funding level within the contingency reserve account shall be ten percent (10%) of current-year budgeted general fund expenditures.

   (a. The City shall, on a best efforts basis, take such steps necessary to meet the targeted funding level no later than December 31, 2008, and each year thereafter.)

   (b.) During each budget cycle, the chief financial officer, or designee, shall report to the city council on the contingency reserve account including current and estimated future funding levels consistent with the City’s six-year general fund financial forecast. This annual report shall include analysis and consideration of the proper targeted funding level in relation to changing conditions and prudent fiscal practices.

4. Disbursements from the contingency reserve account are for the purpose of meeting extraordinary expenditures ((and are to be governed by the following criteria)) as deemed by the city council, in consultation with the chief financial officer or designee, to meet the following criteria:
a. Unforeseen circumstances arising after the adoption of the annual budget which require an unavoidable and non-continuing allocation; or

b. Unforeseen emergency threatening health and/or safety of the citizens; or

c. Unanticipated non-continuing expenses are needed to fulfill an unfunded legislative mandate; or

d. Significant operating efficiencies can be achieved resulting in clearly identified near-term and offsetting cost savings.

5. Appropriation from the contingency reserve account is by the standard special budget ordinance procedure.

D. During such time that both the revenue stabilization and contingency reserve accounts are at the targeted funding levels, any unappropriated fund balance in ensuing years should first be used to pay for existing obligations rather than to fund new programs.

E. The transfers required by SMC 07.08.010(B)(1) and (C)(1) shall be accomplished no later than July 1 of each fiscal year.

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

ORDINANCE NO. C35769

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE PROPOSED ARTERIAL NETWORK MAP (MAP TR 12) IN CHAPTER 4 (TRANSPORTATION) OF THE CITY OF SPOKANE’S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO REMOVE THE DESIGNATION OF “URBAN MAJOR COLLECTOR ARTERIAL” AND “PROPOSED URBAN MAJOR COLLECTOR ARTERIAL” ON CRESTLINE STREET BETWEEN 37TH AVENUE AND SOUTHEAST BOULEVARD AT 31ST AVENUE; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

WHEREAS, on or about July 9, 2018, the Spokane City Council adopted Resolution 2018-0061 amending the City’s Comprehensive Plan Amendment Annual Work Program to include a proposed amendment to Map TR 12 that would remove proposed major collector arterial and major collector arterial designations from Crestline Street between 37th Avenue and Southeast Blvd at 31st Avenue (the “Proposed Amendment”); and

WHEREAS, The City Council, anticipating significant development activities in the area, asked staff to process the Proposed Amendment to Map TR 12 as an emergency amendment to the City’s Comprehensive Plan. Resolution 2018-0061 reflects the Council’s sense of urgency by indicating the Council’s determination that an emergency of community-wide significance exists necessitating an emergency amendment to Map TR 12 because of a community need to ensure adequate, appropriate, and available public facilities. As an emergency amendment, the Proposed Amendment to Map TR 12 may be considered outside of the usual annual amendment process. RCW 36.70A.130(2)(b) and SMC 17G.020.040D (indicating that comprehensive plan may be amended outside of the annual amendment process in cases of an emergency, such as the need to address the availability of adequate public facilities); and

WHEREAS, following appropriate public participation during which all persons desiring to comment on the Proposed Amendment were given a full and complete opportunity to be heard, the City of Spokane Plan Commission conducted a public hearing regarding the Proposed Amendment and unanimously voted to recommend approval of the Proposed Amendment; and

WHEREAS, a public notice was published in the Spokesman-Review on April 21, 2019 giving notice of the City Council public hearing on the Proposed Amendment and encouraging public participation, thus fulfilling all public noticing requirements; and

WHEREAS, the City provided state agencies with the 60-day notice of the Proposed Amendment on March 4, 2019 and no formal comments were received; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has reviewed and considered the Plan Commission’s Finding and Conclusions and recommendation dated April 10, 2019, public testimony made at the public hearings, and other pertinent material regarding the Proposed Amendment;

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SPOKANE, WASHINGTON DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:
Section 1: Findings, Analysis and Conclusions. The City Council hereby adopts the findings and conclusions contained in the City’s Plan Commission recommendation dated April 10, 2019.

Section 2: Amendment of Map TR 12. Map TR 12 in Chapter 4 (Transportation) of the City’s Comprehensive Plan is hereby amended to remove the proposed major collector arterial and major collector arterial designations from Crestline Street between 37th Avenue and Southeast Boulevard at 31st Avenue.

Section 3: Declaration of Emergency and Effective Date. This ordinance, passed by a majority plus one of the whole membership of the City Council as a public emergency ordinance necessary for the protection of the public health, public safety, public property, or public peace, and for the immediate support of City government and its existing public institutions, shall be effective immediately upon its passage. The City Council previously adopted Resolution 2018-0061 which reflected the Council’s determination that an emergency of community-wide significance exists because of a community need to ensure adequate, appropriate, and available public facilities.

Section 4: Transmittal to State. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106, this Ordinance shall be transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce as required by law.

Passed by City Council May 6, 2019
Delivered to Mayor May 13, 2019

Notice for Bids
Supplies, Equipment, Maintenance, etc.

Cycle 7 (2017) Traffic Calming
Engineering Services File No. 2017046, 047, 048

This project consists of the construction of approximately 2,208 square yards of sidewalk, 75 linear feet of storm sewer, two drainage structures, 2,789 linear feet of curb, 1,128 square yards of 3-inch thick pavement, 923 square yards of 3-inch thick pavement patching, 30 square yards of 5-inch thick pavement patching, 28 square yards of 6-inch thick pavement patching, 43 square yards of 7-inch thick pavement patching sundry utility adjustments, and other related miscellaneous items.

The City of Spokane will receive bids until 1:00 p.m. May 20, 2019 for the above project located in Spokane, Washington, in accordance with the Contract Documents on file at the Department, Engineering Services. Hand delivered bids shall be delivered to the security desk on the first floor, City Hall, 808 W Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane WA 99201-3316. The bids will be publicly opened and read at 1:15 p.m. in the City Council Chambers located on the basement level of City Hall.

Copies of the Contract Documents are available at www.cityofspokaneplans.com. The Planholders list is also available at this website. Additional project information including the Engineer’s estimated cost range for the project, bid results (after bid opening), as well as information about other City projects are available by following the appropriate links at the following website: www.spokaneengineering.org/bid-information.

The City of Spokane, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulation, Department of Transportation, subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that in any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises as defined at 49 CFR Part 26 will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color or national origin, or sex in consideration for an award.

Bids shall be submitted on the forms provided in accordance with the provisions of the Specifications. Irregular bid proposals will be rejected in accordance with the specifications.

Cash, cashier’s check, a certified check or surety bond in the sum of five percent (5%) of the Total Project Bid must accompany the copy of the bid filed with the City Clerk. Successful bidder shall execute the Contract within TEN (10) calendar days after receiving the Contract. Should the successful bidder fail to enter into such contract and furnish satisfactory performance bond within the time stated herein, the bid proposal deposit shall be forfeited to the City of Spokane.
The City of Spokane will normally award this Contract or reject bids within FORTY FIVE (45) calendar days after the time set for the bid opening. If the lowest responsible Bidder and the City of Spokane agree, this deadline may be extended. If they cannot agree on an extension by the 45-calendar day deadline, the City of Spokane reserves the right to Award the Contract to the next lowest responsible Bidder or reject all Bids.

In accordance with SMC 7.06.500 and RCW 39.04.350(1), the low bidder shall complete the Supplemental Bidder Responsibility Criteria form located in Appendix C. Failure to promptly submit the form including supporting documentation if required may delay award of the Contract.

Publish: May 1, 8 and 15, 2019

**33rd Avenue from Bernard Street to Lamonte Street**
Engineering Services File No. 2017118

This project consists of the construction of approximately 1,500 cubic yards of excavation and embankment, 550 linear feet of storm sewer, 350 linear feet of storm sewer 15 drainage structures, 500 square yards of sidewalk, 6,150 square yards of 4-inch thick HMA pavement, 3,000 square yards of 2-inch thick HMA pavement, 2,000 square yards of grading, sundry utility adjustments, and other related miscellaneous items.

The City of Spokane will receive bids until 1:00 p.m. May 20, 2019 for the above project located in Spokane, Washington, in accordance with the Contract Documents on file at the Department, Engineering Services. Hand delivered bids shall be delivered to the security desk on the first floor, City Hall, 808 W Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane WA 99201-3316. The bids will be publicly opened and read at 1:15 p.m. in the City Council Chambers located on the basement level of City Hall.

Copies of the Contract Documents are available at [www.cityofspokaneplans.com](http://www.cityofspokaneplans.com). The Planholders list is also available at this website. Additional project information including the Engineer’s estimated cost range for the project, bid results (after bid opening), as well as information about other City projects are available by following the appropriate links at the following website: [www.spokaneengineering.org/bid-information](http://www.spokaneengineering.org/bid-information).

The City of Spokane, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulation, Department of Transportation, subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that in any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises as defined at 49 CFR Part 26 will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color or national origin, or sex in consideration for an award.

Bids shall be submitted on the forms provided in accordance with the provisions of the Specifications. Irregular bid proposals will be rejected in accordance with the specifications.

Cash, cashier’s check, a certified check or surety bond in the sum of five percent (5%) of the Total Project Bid must accompany the copy of the bid filed with the City Clerk. Successful bidder shall execute the Contract within TEN (10) calendar days after receiving the Contract. Should the successful bidder fail to enter into such contract and furnish satisfactory performance bond within the time stated herein, the bid proposal deposit shall be forfeited to the City of Spokane.

The City of Spokane will normally award this Contract or reject bids within FORTY FIVE (45) calendar days after the time set for the bid opening. If the lowest responsible Bidder and the City of Spokane agree, this deadline may be extended. If they cannot agree on an extension by the 45-calendar day deadline, the City of Spokane reserves the right to Award the Contract to the next lowest responsible Bidder or reject all Bids.

In accordance with SMC 7.06.500 and RCW 39.04.350(1), the low bidder shall complete the Supplemental Bidder Responsibility Criteria form located in Appendix C. Failure to promptly submit the form including supporting documentation if required may delay award of the Contract.

Publish: May 1, 8 and 15, 2019

**Erie & Trent Storm Facility**
Engineering Services File No. 2015130

This project consists of the construction of approximately 7,000± cubic yards of excavation and embankment, 50± linear feet of 6” water main, 650± linear feet of 8 inch to 72 inch storm sewer, 15± drainage structures, 0.45± acres of geomembrane lined bioinfiltration swale, 10hp± stormwater duplex lift station with controls, 370± square yards of 3-inch thick asphalt pavement, street trees, sundry utility adjustments, contaminated soil remediation, and other related miscellaneous items.
The City of Spokane will receive bids until 1:00 p.m. June 3, 2019 for the above project located in Spokane, Washington, in accordance with the Contract Documents on file at the Department, Engineering Services. Hand delivered bids shall be delivered to the security desk on the first floor, City Hall, 808 W Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane WA 99201-3316. The bids will be publicly opened and read at 1:15 p.m. in the City Council Chambers located on the basement level of City Hall.

Copies of the Contract Documents are available at www.cityofspokaneplans.com. The Planholders list is also available at this website. Additional project information including the Engineer’s estimated cost range for the project, bid results (after bid opening), as well as information about other City projects are available by following the appropriate links at the following website: www.spokaneengineering.org/bid-information.

The City of Spokane, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulation, Department of Transportation, subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that in any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises as defined at 49 CFR Part 26 will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color or national origin, or sex in consideration for an award.

Bids shall be submitted on the forms provided in accordance with the provisions of the Specifications. Irregular bid proposals will be rejected in accordance with the specifications.

Cash, cashier’s check, a certified check or surety bond in the sum of five percent (5%) of the Total Project Bid must accompany the copy of the bid filed with the City Clerk. Successful bidder shall execute the Contract within TEN (10) calendar days after receiving the Contract. Should the successful bidder fail to enter into such contract and furnish satisfactory performance bond within the time stated herein, the bid proposal deposit shall be forfeited to the City of Spokane.

A non-mandatory pre-bid conference will be held at the Union Gospel Mission conference room at 1224 E. Trent Ave. at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, May 22, 2019. Attendance is strongly encouraged.

The City of Spokane will normally award this Contract or reject bids within FORTY FIVE (45) calendar days after the time set for the bid opening. If the lowest responsible Bidder and the City of Spokane agree, this deadline may be extended. If they cannot agree on an extension by the 45-calendar day deadline, the City of Spokane reserves the right to Award the Contract to the next lowest responsible Bidder or reject all Bids.

In accordance with SMC 7.06.500 and RCW 39.04.350(1), the low bidder shall complete the Supplemental Bidder Responsibility Criteria form located in Appendix C. Failure to promptly submit the form including supporting documentation if required may delay award of the Contract.

****Time is of the essence due to the length of the construction window and/or the time of year in which the project is being constructed. Please note that various award phase steps have shorter than normal time frames as detailed in section 1-03.3, 1-08.4, and 1-08.5.

It is anticipated that this project will be funded in part by the Washington State Department of Ecology. Neither the State of Washington nor any of its departments or employees are, or shall be, a party to any contract or any subcontract resulting from this solicitation for bids.***

Publish: May 15, 22 and 29, 2019

2019 Residential Grind & Overlay Project
Engineering Services File No. 2019044

This project consists of the construction of approximately, 28,000 square yards of 2-inch thick HMA pavement, 3,900 square yards of pavement repair, 13,500 square yards of grinding, and 700 square yards of sidewalk, two (2) drainage structures, sundry utility adjustments, and other related miscellaneous items.

The City of Spokane will receive bids until 1:00 p.m. June 3, 2019 for the above project located in Spokane, Washington, in accordance with the Contract Documents on file at the Department, Engineering Services. Hand delivered bids shall be delivered to the security desk on the first floor, City Hall, 808 W Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane WA 99201-3316. The bids will be publicly opened and read at 1:15 p.m. in the City Council Chambers located on the basement level of City Hall.

Copies of the Contract Documents are available at www.cityofspokaneplans.com. The Planholders list is also available at this website. Additional project information including the Engineer’s estimated cost range for the project, bid results (after bid opening), as well as information about other City projects are available by following the appropriate links at the following website: www.spokaneengineering.org/bid-information.
The City of Spokane, in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulation, Department of Transportation, subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that in any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises as defined at 49 CFR Part 26 will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color or national origin, or sex in consideration for an award.

Bids shall be submitted on the forms provided in accordance with the provisions of the Specifications. Irregular bid proposals will be rejected in accordance with the specifications.

Cash, cashier’s check, a certified check or surety bond in the sum of five percent (5%) of the Total Project Bid must accompany the copy of the bid filed with the City Clerk. Successful bidder shall execute the Contract within TEN (10) calendar days after receiving the Contract. Should the successful bidder fail to enter into such contract and furnish satisfactory performance bond within the time stated herein, the bid proposal deposit shall be forfeited to the City of Spokane.

The City of Spokane will normally award this Contract or reject bids within FORTY FIVE (45) calendar days after the time set for the bid opening. If the lowest responsible Bidder and the City of Spokane agree, this deadline may be extended. If they cannot agree on an extension by the 45-calendar day deadline, the City of Spokane reserves the right to Award the Contract to the next lowest responsible Bidder or reject all Bids.

In accordance with SMC 7.06.500 and RCW 39.04.350(1), the low bidder shall complete the Supplemental Bidder Responsibility Criteria form located in Appendix C. Failure to promptly submit the form including supporting documentation if required may delay award of the Contract.

Publish: May 15, 22 and 29, 2019

Notice for Bids
Supplies, Equipment, Maintenance, etc.

ON-GOING PUBLIC AUCTION SERVICES
City of Spokane

CITY RFP #5066-19
STA RFP #2019-10333

Description: On-Going Public Auction Services for the City of Spokane in a cooperative effort with Spokane Transit Authority and the Spokane International Airport.

Sealed Proposals will be acknowledged at the 1:15 p.m. public bid opening on MONDAY, JUNE 3, 2019, in the Council Chambers, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, Washington 99201, for ON-GOING PUBLIC AUCTION SERVICES for the City of Spokane, Spokane Transit Authority and Spokane International Airport.

The Request For Proposals document is available for download through the City of Spokane’s online procurement system https://spokane.procureware.com. Registration is required to view and download this solicitation. Solicitation documents will not be mailed, e-mailed, or provided in person.

It is the responsibility of Proposers to check the City of Spokane’s online procurement system identified above for Addenda or other additional information that may be posted regarding this Request for Proposals.

Questions from potential Proposers will be accepted through the “Clarifications” tab under the associated project number in the online procurement system.

All Proposal documents shall be submitted electronically through the City of Spokane’s online procurement system no later than 1:00 p.m. on Monday, June 3, 2019. Hard copy and/or late submittals will not be accepted. Proposals must be
uploaded/completed sufficiently ahead of time to be received by the required date and time. The City of Spokane is not responsible for Proposals submitted late.

The right is reserved to reject any and all Proposals and to waive any informalities.

Thea Prince
City of Spokane Purchasing

Publish: May 15 & 22, 2019