# Spokane Neighborhoods Community Assembly

“Provide a vehicle to empower Neighborhood Councils’ participation in government”

**Meeting Agenda for Thursday, November 2, 2017**

5:30 to 8:20pm – **West Central Community Center**, 1603 N Belt

**Proposed Agenda Subject to Change**

Please bring the following items:

*Community Assembly Minutes: October 2017*

## AGENDA ITEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introductions</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
<td>3 min–5:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Agenda ( incl. Core Values, Purpose and Rules of Order)</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
<td>2 min–5:33</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve/Amend Minutes</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
<td>5 min–5:35</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OPEN FORUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reports/Updates/Announcements</th>
<th>Please Sign Up to Speak!</th>
<th>10 min–5:40</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Action/Final Action</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Spokane 2018 Budget</td>
<td>Mayor David Condon</td>
<td>30 min–5:50</td>
<td>Presentation/Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Budget Priorities/Strategic Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomination</td>
<td>Seth Knutson</td>
<td>5 min–6:20</td>
<td>Distribution/Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Admin Committee Ballots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council Update</td>
<td>City Council Members</td>
<td>5 min–6:25</td>
<td>Oral Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONS/Code Enforcement</td>
<td>Heather Trautman</td>
<td>15 min–6:30</td>
<td>Presentation/Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Lisa Key</td>
<td>15 min–6:45</td>
<td>Presentation/Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cottage Housing and Pocket Residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian, Transportation &amp; Traffic (PeTT)</td>
<td>Paul Kropp</td>
<td>5 min–7:00</td>
<td>Oral &amp; Written Report</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CTAB Appointment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Police Commission Liaison</td>
<td>Colleen Gardner</td>
<td>10 min–7:05</td>
<td>Oral Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards</td>
<td>Patrick Rooks</td>
<td>5 min–7:15</td>
<td>Oral Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nominations Procedure/December Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Stronger Neighborhoods</td>
<td>Kelly Lotze</td>
<td>5 min–7:20</td>
<td>Oral &amp; Written Report</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Handbook Training Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee Conversation</td>
<td>Julie Banks</td>
<td>20 min–7:25</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Public Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retreat</td>
<td>Kelly Lotze</td>
<td>15 min–7:45</td>
<td>Discussion/Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fall Retreat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominations</td>
<td>Seth Knutson</td>
<td>5 min–8:00</td>
<td>Voting Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Roundtable</td>
<td>CA Reps</td>
<td>15 min–8:05</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER WRITTEN REPORTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Tina Luerssen</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA/CD Allocation Methodology Briefing</td>
<td>Fran Papenleurd</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Andy Hoye</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Commission Liaison</td>
<td>Greg Francis</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>Teresa Kafentzis</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Advisory Committee – Urban Forestry</td>
<td>Karen Carlbeg</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRTC Horizons 2040</td>
<td>Staci Lehman</td>
<td>Written Report</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGP – Community Conversation</td>
<td>Colleen Gardner</td>
<td>Flyer</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UPCOMING IMPORTANT MEETING DATES

- November 7: CA/Community Development, West Central Community Center, 1603 N Belt, 5:30pm
- November 14: Public Safety, YMCA Corporate Office, Boone and Monroe, 3:30pm
- November 16: Land Use, West Central Community Center, 1603 N Belt, 5pm
- November 23: Budget, Fire Station 4, 1515 W First Ave, 6:30pm
- November 27: Building Stronger Neighborhoods, Vessel Coffee Roasters, 2823 N Monroe, 12pm
- November 28: CA Administrative Committee (agenda item requests due. Please submit all written material to be included in packets two days prior to CA meeting date), ONS Office, 6th Floor, City Hall, 4:30pm
- November 28: Pedestrian, Transportation & Traffic (PeTT), West Central Community Ctr, 1603 N Belt, 6pm
- November 30: Joint CA/City Council, East Central Community Center, 500 S Stone, 5:30pm
- December 5: CA/Community Development, West Central Community Center, 1603 N Belt, 5:30pm
- December 7: Community Assembly, West Central Community Center, 1603 N Belt, 5:30pm

MEETING TIMETABLE PROTOCOL

In response to a growing concern for time constraints the Administrative Committee has agreed upon the following meeting guidelines as a means of adhering to the Agenda Timetable:

1. When a presenter has one minute left in the time allotted the facilitator will raise a yellow pennant and indicate a verbal notice.
   a. Should *any* Neighborhood Representative wish to extend the time of the presentation or comment/question period they may *immediately* “Move to extend the time by (1) to (5) minutes”.
   b. An immediate call will be made for a show of hands in support of the extension of time. If a majority of 50% plus 1 is presented the time will be reset by the amount of time requested.
   c. Extensions will be limited to (2) two or until a request fails to show a majority approval. After (2) two extensions, 1) if a motion is on the table, the facilitator will call for a vote on the open motion to either a) approve or not approve, or b) to table the discussion; 2) if there is no motion on the table, a request may be made to either (1) reschedule presenter to a later meeting, or (2) ask presenter to stay and finish at the end of the agenda.

2. When the allotted time has expired, a red pennant and verbal notice will be issued.

Administrative Committee

COMMUNITY ASSEMBLY LIAISONS & REPS (Draft)

Citizens Transportation Advisory Board (PeTT): Jim Bakke, 466-4285, jfbakke@q.com
Community, Housing, & Human Services Board: Fran Papenleur, 326-2502, papenleurf@yahoo.com
Design Review Board: Kathy Lang, klang0132@gmail.com
Plan Commission: Greg Francis, gfrancis1965@yahoo.com
Plan Commission Transportation Advisory Committee (PeTT): Kathy Miotke, 467-2760, zaromiotke@yahoo.com and Charles Hansen (alternate), 487-8462, charles_hansen@prodigy.net
Urban Forestry: Carol Bryan, 466-1390, cbryan16@comcast.net, Karen Carlberg, 624-6989, karencarlberg@comcast.net
a. CA Rules of Order:
   i. To speak at a meeting, a person must be recognized by the facilitator only one person can be recognized at a time. Each speaker has one minute. When all who wish to speak have been allowed their time, the rotation may begin again.
   ii. When a proposal for action is made, open discussion will occur before a motion is formed by the group.
   iii. As part of the final time extension request, the Facilitator will request a show of hands by the representatives at the table to indicate which of the following actions the group wants to take.
      1. End discussion and move into forming the motion and voting.
      2. Further Discussion
      3. Table discussion with direction
         a. Request time to continue discussion at next CA meeting.
         b. Request additional information from staff or CA Committee
         c. Send back to CA Committee for additional work
Community Assembly Core Values and Purpose

**CORE PURPOSE:**
Provide a vehicle to empower neighborhood councils’ participation in government.

**BHAG:**
Become an equal partner in local government.
(This will be further expounded upon in the Vivid Description. What does this mean to you?)

**CORE VALUES:**
- **Common Good:** Working towards mutual solutions based on diverse and unique perspectives.
- **Alignment:** Bringing together the independent neighborhood councils to act collectively.
- **Initiative:** Being proactive in taking timely, practical action.
- **Balance of Power:** Being a transparent, representative body giving power to citizens' voices.

**VIVID DESCRIPTION:**
The Community Assembly fulfills its purpose, achieves its goals, and stays true to its core values by its members engaging each other and the community with honest communication and having transparent actions in all of its dealings. Community Assembly representatives are knowledgeable and committed to serving their neighborhood and their city as liaisons and leaders.

The Community Assembly initiates and is actively involved early and often in the conception, adoption and implementation of local policy changes and projects. The administration and elected officials bring ideas to the Community Assembly in the forming stages for vetting, input and participation. The Community Assembly is a valuable partner to these officials and neighborhoods in creating quality policy & legislation for the common good.

The Community Assembly stimulates participation in civic life among our residents. Citizens that run for political office will believe in the importance of partnering with the Community Assembly and neighborhood councils. Those candidates’ active participation and history with neighborhoods contributes to their success, enhancing successful partnerships between the Community Assembly and local government.
Community Assembly Meeting Minutes

October 5, 2017

1. Proposed Agenda
   a. Approve as amended
      i. Amend: Alicia Ayers will not be presenting this evening. Karl Otterstrom with Spokane Transit Authority (STA) will be presenting in her place. He will be providing an update on the Central City Line.

2. Approve/Amend Minutes
   a. Approved

3. Reports/Updates/Announcements
   a. Paul Kropp, PeTT Committee
      i. The Latah Hangman Trail project: This is a future pedestrian pathway project that will connect neighborhoods and parks. There will be an open house hosted by the Inland Northwest Trail Association. The open house is scheduled for October 28, 2017 at S Meadow Lane Rd. (Eagle Ridge Short Course) from 1:00pm to 3:30pm. Please inform your neighborhood councils on this meeting; all those interested are encouraged to attend. More information on this project can be found at this link: https://static.spokanecity.org/documents/projects/latah-hangman-valley-trail/latah-hangman-valley-trail-project-brochure.pdf

   b. Sandy Gill, North Hill
      i. This year the North Hill neighborhood purchased magnets with the CA grant, and created posters they can scatter around the neighborhood to increase neighborhood council participation. North Hill will use these at the neighborhood cleanup and other events throughout the year.

   c. Fran Papenleur, Audubon/Downriver & CACD Committee
      i. Fran provided a handout from the CACD committee. The handout can be viewed here: https://static.spokanecity.org/documents/neighborhoods/getinvolved/presentations/2017/10/cdbg-info.pdf
      This is intended to answer questions the CA had from the CACD committee report at the September CA.
      1. CACD had its first committee meeting this week and had a smaller turnout. The discussion was around how to go to the next level with the program, looking at the community as a whole, and looking at the greater good.
      2. Next month meeting will be held on Tuesday, Nov 7, 2017. The committee will be looking at different allocation methods and are open to engage non eligible neighborhoods – possibly a district model? Come to the table to be a part of this discussion and a part of the solution.
ii. CHHS Board update: direction of the board over next year will be largely on affordable housing, economic development, and community vitality.
   1. Fran’s term will be up next year; those interested in these topics should consider taking part.
d. Andy Hoye, Southgate & Budget Committee
   i. CA Budget committee thanks those Neighborhood Councils that have participated. The deadline for the submission of orders is before or on November 1, 2017. Encouraging any committees to submit formal invoices very close to this date.
4. City Council, Strategic Planning
   a. Amber Waldref, Councilmember District 1
     ii. Draft strategic plan background:
         1. The City has a comprehensive plan but it doesn’t get down into specific actions. This is a more incremental approach.
         2. Council has created a time frame to see if they are achieving these outcomes.
         3. This is the first joint strategic plan with Council and the Administration. It focuses on action and transparency.
         4. Strategic measures within the plan: Increased median household income, increased property values, safest city of WA like cities, increased bond rating, increased population growth, and increased social capital.
         5. Council Committees have also been redeveloped by this structure. Council members will be coming to your neighborhood council meetings to talk about this in more detail.
5. Central City Line
   a. Karl Otterstrom, STA Director of Planning
         1. Provide feedback to: CCLproject@spokanetransit.com
6. Snow Plan Update
   a. Heather Trautman, Director ONS/Code Enforcement, & Parking Services
         1. We will continue to assess and modify this process as the season goes on. The City is buying equipment this year and will continue to purchase over the next several years.
2. If you have feedback please contact your neighborhood liaison to provide your input.

7. **Forum, Sex Offenders**
   a. Kelly Cruz, West Central, C.O.P.S. West
      i. C.O.P.S. West is sponsoring a public forum at the West Central Community Center (WCCC) in the EJ Mason room. This forum will take place on October 17, 2017 from 6:00pm to 8:00pm. The public forum will give folks an opportunity to learn more about sex offenders in your neighborhood.
         1. In West Central there are 44 registered Offenders that live in the neighborhood, 10 are level 3.
         2. Invite everyone to come to the forum, the DOC, SPD and the Spokane County will be at the forum to talk with community members. The County will be reviewing the County webpage in detail on how to find those living in your neighborhood. Come on the 17th to learn more.

8. **Nominations, Update**
   a. Tina Luerssen, Grandview/Thorpe & Nominations Committee
      i. Tina passed around a sign in sheet for those interested in sitting on the Admin committee in 2018.
         1. Attendance record: CA attendance has been great this year! 8 Neighborhood Councils are not regularly attending. 15 Neighborhood Councils of 21 have one or less absence this year.
         2. Admin meets the two Tuesdays (9 days) before the Community Assembly at 4:30pm in City Hall.
         3. Any questions about serving on Admin? Please reach out to Tina (macluerssen@gmail.com).

9. **Awards, Update**
   a. Patrick Rooks, Awards Committee
      i. The committee is proposing three award categories, similar to last years.
         1. 1st: Good Neighbor Award, any member of your NC that deserves recognition.
         2. 2nd: Jennet Harris Award, this will be an open nomination process. Patrick will be sending out an email with more details on this.
         3. 3rd: Spirit of the CA award, could be a little different this year. Should this be going to committee chairs?

**Discussion on Awards for 2018**

Is it practical for CA members to nominate CA Committee Members for the Spirit of the CA aware?

1. Say Thank You for Chairs of Committees, reward for their dedication, no nomination needed
2. Or nominate members of the CA committee
3. Combination of recognizing the chairs and nominating committee members
Motion

For the Spirit of the CA Award - Recognize CA Committee Chairs and recognize anyone on the committee nominated by the Chair or CA representative

Approve – 13

Opposed-0

Abstention – 3

10. BSN, Handbook Training Update
   a. Kelly Lotze, BSN Committee
      i. The grant application for Spokane Public Radio (SPR) has been approved. The ad will read: “The City of Spokane’s 29 neighborhood councils, through which City residents become part of their City government. More at Spokane Neighborhoods dot org.” The ad will be running on SPR from October 17th through January 11th. You can listen to the ad at these times:
         1. Tues-8:30am
         2. Thurs.-6:29pm
         3. Tues-7:41am
         4. Thurs-5:48pm
         5. Tues-8:41am
         6. Thurs-4:48pm
      ii. BSN will be creating a Facebook Page that will post neighborhood events. This has been approved by the City legal department as long as the Facebook page clearly states the Facebook page is independent of the City of Spokane.
      iii. The next CA handbook training will be held on Monday, October 16, 2017 at 6:00pm at the Corbin Senior Center (827 W Cleveland).
      iv. BSN will be working on getting a new poster board created for the lobby of City Hall. This poster will be the same image as the Annual Manual Ad. It is to engage citizens coming into City Hall for services on the main floor.

11. Retreat, Fall Retreat
   a. Kelly Lotze, Retreat Committee
      i. Would like to take a poll of what day and time works best for the group for the fall CA retreat.
         1. Option 1: November 3rd from 3:00pm to 6:30pm.
         2. Option 2: November 4th from 9:00am to 1:00pm.
         3. Option 3: November 6th from 3:00pm to 6:30pm.

Vote:

Friday Afternoon: 8 votes
Saturday Morning: 10 votes

Monday evening: 8 votes

Saturday, November 4, 2017 from 9:00am to 1:00pm will be the date for the fall CA retreat.

12. Committee Conversation, Public Safety
   a. Julie Banks, Public Safety Committee
      i. Julie had to leave early for a family emergency. The Admin committee will work with her to reschedule.
      ii. Please view the Committee Conversation here: https://static.spokanecity.org/documents/neighborhoods/getinvolved/presentations/2017/10/committee-conversation.pdf

13. Roundtable
   a. Colleen Gardner, Chief Garry Park
      i. Colleen recently suffered a major medical emergency and has been out of the hospital for 10 days. Colleen is not going anywhere, but her involvement will be limited. Colleen would like to thank all those that have taken the time to send cards and drop off flowers.
      ii. The developer project is still moving forward. Please review the developer and Neighborhood FAQ here: https://static.spokanecity.org/documents/neighborhoods/getinvolved/presentations/2017/10/development-faq-responses.pdf
   b. Andy Hoye, Southgate & Budget Committee
      i. Budget Committee Updates: Damaged to CA owned equipment - the committee has been discussing a solution to this.
         1. The NECC has done a wonderful job on the check-out and check-in process.
         2. Wear and Tear is going to happen to this equipment; does the CA want to create a reserve to replace equipment in the future?
         3. ONS is working with the NECC to make an improved system.
         4. Increased accountability and responsibility on the CA and neighborhoods. No blame game just make sure the folks are inventorying (walk through prior to taking the equipment).
         5. The group would like the committee to come back with this next month.

19 Reps Present


Cottage Housing & Pocket Residential Code Amendments

Q: What’s “infill” development?
A: The City of Spokane’s Comprehensive Plan defines it as development of vacant lots and parcels within an already built up area. Cottage housing and pocket residential are tools that can be used to support affordable infill development.

Q: What’s changing?
A: The Infill Development Steering Committee recommended code changes to these existing tools to address identified barriers to development, while ensuring neighborhood compatibility. In the first round of code amendments, a change will be made to allow Pocket Residential Development in the Residential Single Family zone, to enable development of difficult sites without any change to the number of homes allowed per acre of land, if each home is built on a separate lot. Currently, pocket residential development in these areas requires rezoning the property. A change to allow slightly smaller compact lots near mixed-use centers is also considered. Another development tool, Cottage Housing, will be updated to allow slightly larger units and the ability to attach two units in a single structure, with a new option of subdividing the development for separate sales, and extension to the Residential Two-Family zone. Unlike pocket residential development, cottage housing grants small bonuses to the number of units allowed that will motivate builders to use enhanced design features.

Q: How will this affect neighbors?
A: The Development Code text amendments may enable some sites to use more space for an additional unit through access by private driveways for the creation of new lots that do not require frontage on a public street. However, the number of housing units per acre designated by the Comprehensive Plan would not be changed by this proposal. The built form of projects may become more responsive to adjacent development through changes to transitional standards. The changes would provide streamlined administration and greater flexibility for smaller forms of housing and for-sale development.

Q: What ensures compatibility between new development and neighbors?
A: The Spokane Municipal Code is designed to ensure compatibility and transitions between new development and the residential neighborhood. These protections include:

1. Cottage housing is currently and remains a proposed conditional use. The decision criterion for conditional uses for not having an adverse impact on the environment or the surrounding properties is in SMC 17G.060.170(C). This code section also allows conditions to be placed on proposals to avoid significant effects or interference with the use of neighboring property or the surrounding area, considering the design and intensity of the proposed use.

2. The “Type II” review process for cottage housing, as well as the Type II or III process for subdivisions of cottage or pocket residential development, also provides for notification and comment period for the owners, occupants, and taxpayers of parcels within 400 feet of a proposed development when an application is received.

3. For both cottage housing and pocket residential development, Design Guidelines are used to ensure that proposals are compatible in character with adjacent development, following guidance of the Comprehensive Plan. The guidelines specify aspects of new development such as building materials, architectural details, site features, and relationship to the street and adjacent properties.

Q: Are there restrictions for the type of homes that may be constructed?
A: Yes. The types of homes built must be types that are already allowed in the zone.

Q: How will open space be preserved?
A: Both pocket residential development and cottage housing require that outdoor area be provided. In pocket residential development, that area is 250 square feet for each unit, which may include a covered unenclosed porch or patio. In cottage housing, the area for each unit is larger—300 square feet is proposed per unit for common open space, with an additional 200 square feet of private space for each unit.

Q: Why is the City promoting “infill” development?
A: It can bring residences and destinations closer together, making it easier for people to walk, bike, use transit, and find housing options in desired locations. Bringing active uses to vacant land helps support neighborhood business centers while preserving some open spaces for homes and the community.

Q: How can I learn more or get involved?
A: Contact Nathan Gwinn at ngwinn@spokanecity.org or 509-625-6893. Additionally, please visit the project webpage for the latest information:

https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/infill-housing-strategies-infill-development/
**Proposed Revisions to Cottage Housing**

**Spokane Municipal Code § 17C.110.350**

**What is Cottage Housing?**
Cottage housing provides enhanced design and livability in a grouping of small, single family dwelling units clustered around a common outdoor space and developed with a coherent plan for the entire site. The intent of cottage housing is to support the diversity of housing, increase the variety of housing types for smaller households, and provide the opportunity for small detached single-family dwelling units within existing neighborhoods.

**Proposed Revisions to Cottage Housing**

**Subdivision of Cottage Developments**

**Proposed Regulation:** To increase ownership options, the proposed update would allow subdivision of cottage units in addition to existing rental or condominium options. A homeowners’ association would be required to be created for the maintenance of common open spaces, parking area and common buildings.

**Development, Cluster, & Cottage Sizes**

**Proposed Regulation:** Allow smaller clusters and larger cottage units.

- **Cluster Size:** 4 or more units
- **Minimum Development Size:** 14,500 sq. ft.
- **Maximum Detached Unit Floor Area:** 1,200 sq. ft.
- **Maximum Detached Unit Footprint:** 1,000 sq. ft.
- **Maximum Attached Units Combined Total Floor Area:** 2,000 sq. ft.
- **Maximum Carriage Unit Floor Area:** 800 sq. ft.

*(Carriage units are housing units located above a garage structure)*

**Attached Units and Carriage Units**

**Proposed Regulation:** The proposed change would allow a mix of detached units, attached units, and carriage houses. A maximum of two units may be attached in a single structure.

**Common and Private Open Space Requirements**

**Proposed Regulation:**
- **Minimum Common Open Space Area:** 300 sq. ft. per unit
  *(Minimum dimension of 20 ft. on any side.)*
- **Minimum Private Open Space Area:** 200 sq. ft. per unit
  *(Minimum dimension of 10 ft. on any side and oriented toward the common open space)*
- **Common Open Space Setback:** 10 ft.
  *(Porches are allowed to extend up to 6 ft. within this setback)*

---

**Diagram Key**

1. Cottage Unit
2. Attached Cottage Unit
3. Detached Garage with Carriage Unit
4. Community Building
5. Common Open Space
6. Parking Spaces
7. Internal Sidewalk
8. Public Sidewalk

**Note:** This document is only a summary of the major proposed changes.
Proposed Revisions to Cottage Housing

Proposed Regulations: These standards and guidelines provide the framework to ensure compatibility with surrounding land uses. This page only highlights the regulations that impact the surrounding properties. Internally focused regulations are not shown here.

Height
The height for all structures with cottage housing units shall not exceed twenty feet. Structures with cottage housing units having pitched roofs with a minimum slope of 6:12 may extend up to thirty feet at the ridge of the roof. Height requirements for accessory structures are listed in Table 17C.110-3.

Setbacks
Setbacks for all structures from the exterior side and front property lines shall be the setbacks of the underlying zone. The exterior rear yard setback shall be fifteen feet.

Parking
• Parking requirements for cottage housing developments are as stated for residential uses in chapter 17C.230 SMC, Parking and Loading, except as modified in this subsection.
• Parking, garages, and vehicular maneuvering areas, excluding driveways, shall be set back a minimum of 20 feet from a public street lot line.
• All parking shall be separated and screened from adjacent public streets, residential areas, and the common open space by landscaping and/or architectural screen, consistent with landscape type L2 see through buffer.
• Parking areas shall be located to the side or rear of cottage clusters and not between a public street and cottage housing structures. Parking may be located between structures and an alley.
• Garage doors and/or carport openings shall not face a public right-of-way except where alley access is provided.

Design Standards and Guidelines
Design standards are in the form of requirements (R), presumptions (P), and considerations (C). Regardless of which term is used, an applicant must address each guideline.

Orientation and Building Facades:
• Each building abutting a public street shall have a minimum of four listed building elements, features, and treatments incorporated into the street-facing facade. (R)
• Parking lots, garages, and solid, blank wall facades shall not dominate common areas or other public areas. (R)

Orientation and Building Facades (Continued):
• Each of the units abutting a public street must have its address, windows, and main entrance oriented toward the street frontage. (R)
• Attached unit homes abutting public streets shall be designed to appear like a detached single-family home. Attached unit homes on corner lots shall be designed so each unit is oriented towards a different street.

Open Space and Landscaping:
• All street-facing facades must have landscaping along the foundation. There must be at least one three-gallon shrub for every three lineal feet of foundation. (R)
• At least fifty percent of the units in the development shall abut a common open space. A cottage housing unit is considered to “abut” an area of open space if there is no structure between the unit and the open space. (P)
• No more than one driveway per cottage cluster should be permitted, except along an alley or where clusters front onto more than one street. (P)

Patios and Porches:
• Cottage housing units shall have a covered, unenclosed porch or entry at least sixty square feet in size with a minimum depth of six feet and minimum width of eight feet. (R)
• If the cottage housing unit is fronting on a public street then at least one primary entry porch shall be located to face the street. If the unit is not fronting on a public street then the covered porch shall be located on the side of the home that serves as the main entry from a common open space. (R)

Exterior Building Lighting:
• To diminish the amount of glare and spillover from lighting, the following standards shall apply: (R) Intensity: Exterior lighting fixtures shall not exceed one foot-candle in intensity. Cutoffs Required: Lighting fixtures shall comply with the standards of SMC 17C.220.080.

Variety in Design and Architectural Features:
• Attached units must be modulated along the public street at least every thirty feet. Building modulations must step the building wall back or forward at least four feet. (R)
• Reduce the potential impact of new cottage housing development on established and historic neighborhoods by incorporating elements and forms from nearby buildings. This may include reference to architectural details, building massing, proportionality, and use of high-quality materials such as wood, brick, and stone. (P)
• Create a human scale streetscape by including vertical and horizontal patterns as expressed by bays, belt lines, doors and windows. (P)
• Design of garage structures and carports shall be similar to the style, materials, color, detailing, articulation, fenestration, etc. of the cottage housing units. (R)
• Carriage unit homes shall not comprise more than fifty percent of the total dwelling units in a cottage housing development. (P)

Note: This document is only a summary of the major proposed changes.
Proposed Revisions to Pocket Residential Development

What is the Purpose of Pocket Residential Development (PRD)?

- Expand opportunities for affordable home ownership.
- PRD allows for housing units on individual lots to be built with their frontage on to a private drive or walkway rather than on a street. This results in greater efficiency of land use by allowing effective development of difficult sites at levels prescribed by the Comprehensive Plan.
- Stimulate new compact infill housing that is compatible in scale and character to established surrounding residential areas.
- Create a broader range of building forms for residential development.

Proposed Revisions to PRD

Applicability and Application Procedure

**Proposed Regulation:** To allow new lots and private access on sites between 8,700 sq. ft. (about 0.2 acres) and 1.5 acres consistent with the number of housing units permitted per acre in the comprehensive plan. The proposed update would allow a PRD outright within the RSF Zone without the need for a rezone to RSF-C.

**Applicability:** Pocket residential development is permitted within the RSF, RSF-C, RTF, RMF, RHD, O, OR, CC, NR, CB, and GC zones.

**Application Procedure:** Pocket residential development is allowed outright with a building permit except when a subdivision of land is proposed. These provisions allow for one single-family residence per lot in the RSF zone, a PRD would create new lots through subdivision.

When pocket residential development involves subdivision of land, the application shall be processed in accordance with the procedures of chapter 17G.080 SMC, Subdivisions.

Parking

**Proposed Regulation:** The minimum required off-street parking for a pocket residential development shall comply with the required parking standards of the underlying zone for residential uses in chapter 17C.230 SMC Parking and Loading.
Proposed Revisions to Pocket Residential Development

Spokane Municipal Code § 17C.110.360

V Examples of Two Unit Developments on a Lot over 8,700 SF

Diagram Key

1 Detached Units | Tandem Configuration
2 Attached Units | Tandem Configuration
3 Attached Units | Side-by-side House with Shared Entry

Proposed New Diagram for Existing Regulation: To improve the compatibility with surrounding established and historic neighborhoods, PRD must incorporate elements and forms from nearby buildings.

V Examples of Contextual Form and Massing

Form: ✓ Scale: ✓

1. Peak Roof
2. Side Facing Shed Roofs
3. Peak Roof

Form: ✓ Scale: ✓

1. Shed Roof
2. Shed or Flat Roof
3. Flat Roof

Form: ✓ Scale: ✓

1. Peak Roof
2. Peak Roof
3. Peak Roof

Form: ❌ Scale: ❌

1. Peak Roof
2. Flat Roof
3. Peak Roof

Attached houses (joined at garage visible in left image) divided into volumes similar in form to nearby detached houses.

Attached houses joined with less obvious internal wall.

Attached Units | Corner Lot with an Entry at each Street Frontage
MEETING NOTES:

October 24, 2017 - 6:00 – 7:30 PM
West Central Community Center – 1603 N. Belt Street

CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS
8 neighborhood councils represented
3 city staff in attendance

PRESENTATION: Traffic Calming Projects of Interest and Significance

- A discussion with slides of the types of projects "photo-red" funding has enabled neighborhood councils in each district to build for sidewalk improvements and for addressing local and arterial traffic situations and safety. (A PDF file of this presentation will be included with the November meeting notes.)
  
  Katie Myers, Neighborhood Services
  Bob Turner, Streets

- Folks can visit the ONS Traffic Calming web page that includes related documents and an interactive map of all traffic calming projects to date. Here’s the web address: https://my.spokanecity.org/neighborhoods/programs/traffic-calming/

CTAB REPORT AND MEMBER SELECTION

- Report on CTAB functions and the October 18th meeting
  
  Jim Bakke, Charles Hansen, Randy McGlen

Within the city's 6-Year Pavement Maintenance Program the Citizens Transportation Advisory Board (CTAB) functions to assist in selecting specific projects for the coming construction season and prospects for the years after that. Within this program the Transportation Benefit District $20 car tab revenue is dedicated to residential streets. In addition, 10% of TBD revenue is allocated to implementing the pedestrian construction element of the city's 6-Year Comprehensive Street Program. (See the 2016 annual report which is included below.)

- By acclimation the committee selected Randy McGlen to succeed Jim Bakke on CTAB for next 3-year term beginning this November. His name will be presented to the Community Assembly’s November meeting for ratification and forwarding to the office of the city council.

NEXT MEETING -- November 28, 2017

- The agenda will include a discussion of the committee’s focus areas for 2018, for example:
  
  o Comprehensive plan Chapter 2 Implementation for Ch 4 Transportation
  o Street standards revision process (e.g., crosswalk policies, ADA implementation)
  o School sidewalk priority and traffic safety measures
  o All-city sidewalk repair and infill program
Application for
Citizen’s Transportation Advisory Board
The information you provide on this questionnaire will be
used by the TBD Governing Board in considering your
appointment. Please complete each blank, if applicable.

PLEASE TYPE OR USE BLACK INK ONLY AND ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.

Committee applied for: Citizen’s Transportation Advisory Board  New Appointment ☑ Re-appointment 

Position Applied for (please check one of the below):
(Should you have questions in regard to which council district you reside, please view the Council District Map available on the City Council website or call the City Council Office at 509-625-6255.)

Member at Large ___
PCTS representative ___ PETT representative ___ BAB ___

Person Information
Full Name: Rodney Jay M. Glenn
Residence Address: 1028 16th Ave
City: Spokane
State: WA Zip: 99202 Phone: (509) 953-7580 Years at Current Address: 13 years
Email: rmeglenn@infomart.us

How long have you been a continuous resident of the City of Spokane? 17 years
Are you a citizen of the United States? Yes ☑ Are you registered to vote in the City of Spokane? Yes ☑ No ___
Have you ever used or been known by any other name (aside from maiden name)? No ___

Background/Training
High School: West Valley High School Highest Grade Completed: 12 Graduation Date: June 1994
College: ITT Tech. Institute Highest Grade Completed: AAS Graduation Date: 2002
Field of Study: Info. Tech. - Networking Degree: AAS
Other Schools Attended or Specialized Training: Degree:

Organizations, Civic and Government Experience
List any community, civic, trade or professional organization in which you have been active.
Organization/Project: East Central Neighborhood City/State: Spokane, WA From/To: Dec 2016 - Current
Organization/Project: Libertarian Party of WA City/State: Spokane, WA From/To: Mar 2012 - Current
Organization/Project: PETT Committee City/State: Spokane, WA From/To: July 2017 - Current

Have you ever been elected or appointed to any public office, board or commission in Washington State? If so, please list.
Title/Position: Office/Board/Commission: Election/Appt. Date: Term Length:

Have you ever been employed by or held a position or office with any federal, foreign, Washington or other state or
local governmental entity or agency? If yes, please list.
Position: Name of Entity/Agency: From/To:
Position: Name of Entity/Agency: From/To:
Position: Name of Entity/Agency: From/To:
City of Spokane Employment History
Answer all of the following by placing "X" in the proper column. If an answer to any question is "yes", explain in detail on a separate piece of paper.
A. Have you ever been rejected for city employment? Yes ___ No X
B. Have you ever been discharged (fired) or resigned (quit) in lieu of discharge, except for lay off because of lack of work? Yes ___ No X
C. Have you been convicted by a court of law within the last 7 years, including forfeiture of collateral? A conviction will not necessarily bar you from serving in volunteer position. Yes ___ No X

Military History
Are you or have you been a member of the Armed Forces of the United States? Yes X No
Dates of Service

June 1991
Nov 1998

Branch of Service Army

Date & Type of Discharge
Nov 1998 General
Under Honorable Ld.

References
The following individuals are qualified to comment on my capabilities.

Reference 1 Full Name Karen Suckle
Relationship Fellow associate with the East Central Neighborhood Council.
Phone Number Email Address karen.suckle@gmail.com

Reference 2 Full Name Laverne Bied
Relationship Employer
Phone Number (509) 747-2214 Email Address laverne@accessunified.net

Reference 3 Full Name Pony Heckendorn
Relationship Professional peer
Phone Number Email Address chair @ lpspokane.org

Oath of Application

I CERTIFY UNDER OATH that I have read and understand all questions and statements contained in this application (and supplemental questions), further, that all statements I have made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

AUTHORIZATION FOR REFERENCE CHECK. I hereby authorize any individual, company or institution with whom I have been associated to furnish the City of Spokane any pertinent information concerning my employability which they may have on record or otherwise. I do hereby release the individual, company, or institution and all individuals connected therewith from all liability for any damages whatsoever incurred in furnishing such information.

(Initials Here)

NOTE: Information contrary to State laws against discrimination is not sought or utilized.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT DATE 10/30/2017

PLEASE RETURN A HARD COPY OF THIS FORM, ALONG WITH (IF REQUIRED) ALL CURRENT PUBLIC DISCLOSURE REPORTS FILED PURSUANT TO RCW 42.17

RETURN TO: OFFICE OF THE CITY COUNCIL
Supplement to CTAB Application

Please answer the following questions on a separate sheet of paper and return your responses to the City Council Office with the CTAB Application.

1. What do you know about Spokane’s Transportation Benefit District (TBD)?

2. What is the role of the Citizens’ Transportation Advisory Board (CTAB)?

3. What do you think the TBD and the CTAB can do for Spokane?

4. Each member of the CTAB will bring a variety of qualities, experience and interest to the Board. What experience or qualities will you bring to the CTAB should you be a confirmed member of the board?
1. The Spokane Transportation Benefit District (TBD) was established to help pay for the city’s transportation infrastructure with funds from a $20 licensing fee that was levied for the TBD.

2. The role of the Citizens’ Transportation Advisory Board (CTAB) is to steer residential road maintenance projects through recommendations and reporting to the TBD Committee.

3. Together the TBD and CTAB can provide a comprehensive plan to fulfill the community’s infrastructure needs with a diversity of stakeholders from the city and its citizens.

4. My professional experience as a person who performs project delivery to customers will be well suited for my position on the CTAB Committee. I excel in listening to all stakeholders, building consensus, thinking outside the box, and fostering a collaborative and productive environment for all parties.
Community Assembly Committee:  Building Stronger Neighborhoods
10/23/2017 12:00PM Vessel coffee, 2823 N Monroe

Members present: Kelly Lotze (Chair: Browne’s Addition), Tina Luerssen (Secretary: Grandview/Thorpe), EJ Iannelli (Emerson-Garfield), Dave Lucas (Rockwood), Seth Knutson (Vice Chair: Cliff/Cannon).
ONS staff liaison: Katie Myers.

- Committee Housekeeping
  - September meeting minutes approved.
- Committee Business
  - September meeting minutes approved.
    - Budget Application Update: Kelly will submit the application for the BSN ad posterboard, to be placed in the lobby at City Hall. Katie will figure out a brochure-holder to go onto the easel, to put ONS/NC brochures into.
    - SPR advertising: our sponsorship is scheduled to play 10/17-1/11/18. Tues. 7:41am, 8:30am, 8:41am; Thurs. 4:48pm, 5:48pm, 6:29pm.
    - Other marketing funds: Deadline to spend funds is Nov. 1, so we’re getting too late now to efficiently spend any other money.
- Education & Outreach
  - Handbook Training: Nobody showed up, besides committee members Seth, EJ and Tina. Discussion of scheduling individual trainings when requested, specifically with the requesting Neighborhood
  - Neighborhood Communications Toolkit: EJ and Sandy have posted some Training documents to Slack, which can be part of the Toolkit.
  - Cleaning From the Corridor Fall 2017: more residential-focused than spring CFTC events, worked on about 10 homes. 24 tons of trash removal, plus large appliances that were picked up.
    - Spring CFTC will be the last weekend in April, location TBD.
      - Still evolving how to figure out focus areas for future events.
    - Fall CA Retreat, Sat. Nov. 4th 9am-1pm at WCCC.
- Topics for next meeting:
  - 2018 Goals setting.
- Next meeting: Next regular meeting will be on Monday, November 27th. 12pm at Vessel Coffee Roasters, 2823 N. Monroe.

2016 Goals:
The Building Stronger Neighborhoods Committee works to provide training and resources to neighborhoods to increase participation, identify and meet neighborhood needs, and build capacity.

- BSN will focus on outreach as opportunities arise in collaboration with ONS and members of the 28 Neighborhood Councils. Goal: Spread the word about Neighborhood Councils to the general public by having volunteers attend neighborhood events, block parties, neighborhood parades or fairs.
- Continue to promote and support neighborhood events through all the means possible.
- Hold two trainings one on E-Newsletters and another on Postcard Content/Best Practices Training
Community Assembly “Committee Conversations” Outline

Committee Name:
Public Safety Committee of the Community Assembly

Regular Meeting Date/Time/Location:
2nd Tuesday of the month, 3:30PM-5:00PM
Meets at Corporate Offices of the YMCA of the Inland Northwest

Current Elected Officers:
Julie Banks, Chair (Rockwood)

Typical Meeting Attendance:
4-8

Stated Committee Purpose:
The Public Safety Committee works to bring awareness and solutions to neighborhood safety issues.

2017 Goals

1. Develop Education/Communication/Awareness Strategies (such as FAQs for City website) to address neighborhood issues as identified from survey
2. Coordinate with City of Spokane's Compassionate City Initiative for neighborhood branding
3. Follow-up with vehicle storage inclusion to Nuisance Ordinance
4. Work with Community Court to identify a neighborhood for expanding services

Past Committee Accomplishments:

• Successfully worked with City Council to pass an Outdoor Storage Ordinance
• Convened the Rental Housing Stakeholder Group and made recommendations to the Mayor’s Housing Quality Task Force

Current Committee Projects:
Working with City Attorneys and Code Enforcement to include vehicle storage into the existing Nuisance Ordinance. Also, working with Spokane Police Department to clarify the definition of observation for purposes of reporting civil nuisance activities to proper authorities. We are developing suggestions for a
landing page on the City’s website for Neighborhoods with FAQs regarding public safety information and crime prevention.

**How This Committee Can Impact Your Neighborhood:**

The committee raises awareness of existing resources available through Spokane Police Department and the Office of Neighborhood Services and Code Enforcement, for neighbors to improve quality of life and safety in their neighborhoods.

**How Does the Committee Work Towards the CA Vision?** (To be an equal partner in local government; Core Values *Common Good, Alignment, Initiative, Balance of Power*)

We work to inform and empower citizens to be involved in issues concerning crime prevention and community standards.

**What Does the Committee Need?** (*i.e. increased participation; specific information or help; direction from the CA; funding; etc.*)

Greater participation! More promotion of the committee to Neighborhood Councils. Also, members of the committee ask Community Assembly to consider rebranding the committee as “Neighborhood Safety.”

**Discussion.**
Greetings & Welcome

Approval of September Minutes
  o Motion: Sarah Tosch motion to Approve the September minutes. Bruce Higgins seconds the motion

Update on “observation” definition for Nuisance Ordinance
  o Officer Doug Strosahl, Spokane Police Department Northwest Neighborhood Resource Officer provided information about the noise complaint process as well as the chronic nuisance property process.
  o Officer Strosahl discussed how staffing issues impact responses to noise issues, and discussed the chronic nuisance process as a more effective alternative to nuisance issues such as noise. This civil process utilizes neighborhood complaint forms and calls for services as observations or occurrences of nuisance activity and can send notice, issue tickets, etc...
  o Officer Strosahl provided clarification on what type of “observance” would be required for specific situations of a criminal noise case and a chronic nuisance civil case.
  o Officer Strosahl stated he does not believe an ordinance amendment is necessary for the civil process because the current Chronic Nuisance ordinance enable neighbor concerns and calls for service to be documented as nuisance events. Strosahl said there may just need to be more discussion and training on the Police side on how to effectively incorporate these into solutions through the chronic nuisance process.

FAQ’s Resource/Landing page
  o This will be the focus of the November meeting.

City of Spokane Snow update
  o Jason Ruffing (ONS/Code Enforcement) presented the updates to the City of Spokane’s snow season plan, discussed situations, and answered questions.
Minutes for the meeting of October 24th, 2017

Community Assembly Administrative Committee.

Attendees: Committee members Seth Knutson (Chair), Tina Luerssen (Secretary), Andy Hoye (Vice Chair), Patrick Rooks, Kelly Lotze. City Staff: Rod Minarik, Heather Trautman.

November 2nd CA Meeting Agenda: Bart will be facilitating (Rod will confirm this).

Nominations: 5 minutes for Seth Knutson to hand out ballots.

City Council: 5 minute placeholder for update.

City Budget 2018: 30 minutes for Mayor Condon to discuss budget priorities/strategic planning.

ONS/Code Enforcement: 15 minutes for Heather Trautman to present updates for Neighborhood Clean-Up Program 2018 and City Hall access changes.

Planning: 15 minutes for Nathan Gwinn to present the working draft of Cottage Housing and Pocket Residential amendment.

PeTT: 10 minutes for Paul Kropp to present an update on TBD Dollars.

OPOC Liaison: 10 minutes for Colleen Gardner to present information on how NCs can have a say in the Commission.

Awards Committee: 5 minutes for Patrick Rooks to update on the nomination procedure and December meeting.

Building Stronger Neighborhoods: 5 minutes for Kelly Lotze to present future Handbook Training plans and SPR advertising spots.

Committee Conversation: 20 minutes for Julie Banks to lead Public Safety conversation.

Retreat Committee: 15 minutes for Kelly Lotze to update on the retreat with suggestions from Admin.

Nominations: 5 minutes for election results.

Roundtable: 15 minutes.

Admin Committee Discussion:

SRTC request: Still unclear whether there is any opportunity for input, or if this is just a standard powerpoint presentation. How does this impact Neighborhoods, and can we have a say in the Plan? Decline request at this time.

Mayor’s HQTF: No further update from Alicia, we believe that she is not ready to meet with the CA at this time.
Committee Conversation: As Julie Banks had to leave CA early last month, we will repeat Public Safety on the agenda for November. Land Use will be in December or January.

Retreat: As we are less than 2 weeks from the proposed Retreat date and still don’t have an agenda or facilitator, Admin discussion led to postponing the Retreat at this time, and planning now for a Retreat in early 2018. Discussion followed on possible topics, including Working Together with City Government; Sharing Best Practices; Thinking Outside the Box (looking at other city/state’s programs). What if we send CA Rep(s) to NUSA 2018, and then those Reps lead a retreat discussion on what they learned at the conference in the Fall.

Goals Approval 2018: Suggest Committee Goals are reviewed at a “mini-retreat” at February 1 CA Meeting. Encourage committee members to attend CA to participate in the discussion.

Budget Committee: Andy will make an announcement during Open Forum asking for ideas for remaining funds, and will let everyone know when the committee will be meeting in November for discussion.

**Topics for Admin follow-up:** Retreat Follow-up; Committee Conversation for Land Use (December or January), Liaison, Admin; Land Use-Watershed discussion request. Budget remaining funds discussion. Admin meeting location if City Hall security changes to require badge for 6th floor access.

**Next Admin meeting:** *New Committee Members* Tuesday November 28th, 4:30pm at ONS.
SUBJECT: Review of existing methodology for distributing Neighborhood Community Development funds.

BACKGROUND: The CA/CD Committee drafted an allocation methodology for distributing funds to neighborhood councils with the highest concentrations of poverty. The allocation methodology was approved by members of the Community Assembly (CA) at their April 3, 2015 meeting. The CA/CD Committee has used this same methodology for allocating funds by neighborhood since approved by the CA.

The allocation methodology is driven by census data. Particular focus is given to census block groups where >51% of residents are earning less than 80% area median income (AMI). The CA/CD Committee chose to split census block groups into 3 separate categories and weight them according to their concentration of low and moderate income (LMI) individuals. The three separate categories are outlined below:

1. Greater than (> ) 75% LMI
2. 60 – 74.9% LMI
3. 51 – 59.9% LMI

ISSUES: The existing model is not inclusive of all Spokane neighborhood councils and is difficult to understand. Of the 29 total neighborhood councils, only 19 receive an allocation. This has resulted in neighborhood councils located on the south hill and northwest Spokane feeling excluded from helping develop community development programing throughout the City of Spokane.

ALTERNATIVES: Members of the CA/CD Committee will evaluate the existing allocation methodology and several others during their November 7th meeting. The following alternatives will be discussed:

1. Current allocation model (status quo)
2. Allocation of funds by City Council District (not related to Spokane Matters)
3. Allocate funds based on City-wide significance
4. Other Ideas as presented

ACTION: Attend the Tuesday, November 7th CA/CD Committee meeting for a detailed overview of the existing allocation methodology and review alternative methods for allocating Neighborhood Community Development funds.
MINUTES - CA – Budget Committee

Date 10-26-17 | time 6:35 PM | Meeting Called to order by ANDY HOYE, Chair

Members In Attendance
Mark Davies (N. Indian Train) Patricia Hansen (Cliff/Cannon)
Mary Winkes (Manito) Andy Hoye (Southgate)
Abbey Martin (ONS)

Absent
Taylor Phillips (Emerson/Garfield)

Guests
John Schram (Comstock)

Approval of September minutes
September 28, 2017 Budget Committee meeting minutes were approved unanimously.

Neighborhood Grant Funding Requests and Modifications

1. John Schram presented justification for Comstock to change the use of funds approved during the second round. They were requesting $53.80 for business cards and $447.75 for 15 signs. During discussion it was explained that their first order of business cards was made before the allocation of funds and could not be covered, per guidelines. Additionally, the amount they were requesting was over the $329.00 initially requested and approved.

Motion to allow the use of funds for the second order of business cards and signs not to exceed the allocated amount of $329.00 was passed by a vote of 3-Yes and 1-Abstain.

2. Patricia Hansen presented the reasoning behind and justification for the use of second round allocation funds to be used for Neighborhood Block Party which took place on September 16, 2017. The proposal was discussed at length.

Motion to allow the neighborhood to apply second round allotment of funds to pay for grill rental ($337.08) and other compliant invoices from the September 16th event was denied by a vote of 0-Yes, 3-No and 1-Abstain. Basic reasoning by the Committee was that this was a “cost overrun[s] from previous projects [that are] not covered by the reallocation process.” (Guidelines page 3.) (Neighborhood will appeal their case to Director of ONS, as allowed in the Disclaimer, p. 5 of the Guidelines.)

Status update for neighborhoods’ use of reallocation funds
Abbey Martin of ONS provided an extensive update summary of funds spent during the first round allocation and status of second round funds. The Lincoln Heights council has relinquished their second round allocation due to administrative reasons. Each committee
member was asked to contact the neighborhoods that they are Liaison for and remind them of the absolute need to have all receipts into ONS not later than close of business November 15, 2017. Budget committee will meet that evening to identify the remaining funds and propose alternatives to the CA at the December meeting for their use.

Other
The November CA Budget Committee meeting will be on NOVEMBER 15. The location of the meeting is TBD. The rationale is that the invoice due date for second round reallocation is November 15 at 5pm. Exceptions will not be made and a determination of funds remaining must be made at that time.

West Central would like to know if funds for next year can be used to pay for a Post Office Box and it was the opinion of the committee that a Post Office Box would fall under the same category as stamps and not available for funding. Abbey Martin of ONS will double-check with accounting to ensure that understanding is correct, and the Committee will revisit this topic at a later meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 8:15 PM. The next CA Budget Committee meeting will be held WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 15th at location TBD to begin at 5:30 PM.
Plan Commission Liaison Report
November 2, 2017
Greg Francis – gfrancis1965@yahoo.com

The Plan Commission provides advice and makes recommendations on broad planning goals, policies, and other matters as requested by the City Council. It meets the second and fourth Wednesday of each month at 2pm in the Council Briefing Center in city hall with hearings typically starting at 4pm if there are any scheduled for that session. All Plan Commission meetings are open to the public. All agendas and materials are available at https://my.spokanecity.org/bcc/commissions/plan-commission/.

Hearings

Sign Code Update – The hearing for the Sign Code update occurred on 10/11. City Council had made two changes since the Plan Commission’s previous workshop: the recommended removal of the 180-day time limit for temporary signs and not allowing off-premise signs to be relocated into residential or neighborhood retail zones. The removal of the 180-day time limit for temporary signs was done because of the difficulty of enforcing such a provision with the many temporary signs that are placed. This does create challenges for neighborhoods that have temporary signs (e.g., political signs) that are placed in vacant lots and right of ways. It is recommended that neighborhoods contact ONS for removal of these temporary signs. Note: the definition of a temporary sign is now about durability of the materials used to construct the sign rather than the temporal nature of the sign. The only public comment during the hearing was from a representative of Lamar Outdoor Advertising, the owner/operator of most of the billboards in Spokane. One amendment proposed and passed by the Plan Commission by a vote of 4-3 was to define a roadway as both directions of a couplet. The code, as amended, was recommended for approval by the Plan Commission by a vote of 7-0. For additional details on the new sign code update, see my previous reports and https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/sign-code-update/.

Citywide Capital Improvement Program (2018-2023) – The updated CIP adds 78 additional capital projects with a total projected cost of $61 million, with street improvements being nineteen of those projects at $17.4 million. Other improvements are related to parks, public works, ONS, and other departments. There was no public comment on the updated CIP and the Plan Commission recommended approval with a vote of 7-0. The draft CIP is available at https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/capital-programs/.

Workshops

Demolition Ordinance – The demolition and historical preservation ordinances are being updated to help protect historic homes and districts. There are also provisions being added to allow for the creation of local historical districts, which would place all homes in the area under slightly increased protections from demolition depending on the construction of the home of itself. There are also some economic incentives being considered for maintaining an historical home. Other things included is an economic hardship test for demolishing an
historical building and provisions for design review if a new building is replacing an historical building. There are lots of details in this code so I would strongly recommend that anyone living in a home that might be considered historical or in a historical neighborhood read the text of the changes. Because of some concerns expressed by Plan Commission, the hearing planned for November 8th is being delayed until January. The text of the code changes is available at https://my.spokanecity.org/citycouncil/items-of-interest/demolition/.

Building Height Limits along Spokane Falls Boulevard – Based on the recommendations in the Plan Commission report earlier this year, a city staff project has been initiated to review the code regarding building height limits along Spokane Falls Boulevard, where building heights are currently limited to 100’. The Plan Commission report recommended allowing greater heights if certain criteria are met, such as residential vs commercial use, design standards, etc. The staff project has a goal of having draft code available in January with the potential for a Plan Commission hearing in February. The original report can be viewed at https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/building-heights-on-spokane-falls-boulevard/ and the staff-led project charter is available in the October 11th Plan Commission packet (pages 88-89) available at https://static.spokanecity.org/documents/bcc/commissions/plan-commission/agendas/2017/10/plan-agenda-2017-10-11.pdf.

Infill Housing Project – Phase One – Phase one of the Infill Housing update is still on track for making it through Plan Commission by the end of the year with the hearing scheduled for 12/13. Phase one’s focus is on cottage housing and pocket residential developments with changes to rules surrounding their development including the allowing of pocket residential outright in certain areas zoned RSF rather than requiring a rezone to RSF-C, which is an expensive and time-consuming process. The rules for where it’s allowed in RSF is still being determined with the current thinking being within a 1/4 mile of any CC zone. There are also changes being considered to lot and building sizes, especially for cottage housing. Another change is to the rules for lot subdivisions, which would enable ownership of cottage housing. There are lots of changes being considered and is well worth checking out at https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/infill-housing-strategies-infill-development/ if you’re interested in how this might affect your neighborhood.

Sign Code – Additional Cleanup – The recently approved changes to the main sign code have caused some other potential changes to surface be fully compatible with the new sign code provisions. These changes are related to neighborhood businesses, home-based business signage, mobile home park signage, and several definitions.

Upcoming Hearings (Tentative)

11/8 – Demolition Ordinance (deferred until January)
12/13 – Code Cleanup (additional updates related to recent Sign Code hearing)
12/13 – Infill Code Revisions (Phase I: Cottage Housing, Pocket Residential, etc)
12/13 – Housing Quality Code Amendments
Other

**Plan Commission Schedule** – There will be no Plan Commission meetings on 11/22 and 12/27 due to proximity to holidays.

**Joint Plan Commission/City Council Meeting** – There will be a joint meeting of the City Council and Plan Commission from 3:30pm-5pm on Thursday, 12/14, in the City Council Briefing Center. The PC meets with the CC once a quarter.
Land Use Committee (LUC)
Minutes for October 19, 2017
5:30 – 7:00 p.m.
West Central Community Center – Don Kelly Room
Facilitator: Margaret Jones
Secretary: Teresa Kafentzis
Executive Committee: Teresa Kafentzis, Margaret Jones, Barbara Biles, Patrick Rooks

1. **Introductions**
   - Sylvia St Clare – West Central
   - Teresa Kafentzis -- Southgate
   - Margaret Jones -- Rockwood
   - Robynn Sleep – Cliff Canyon
   - Patrick Rook – West Hills
   - Barbara Biles – Emerson Garfield
   - Terryl Black – Comstock
   - Melissa Wittstruck – City ONS
   - Nate Gwinn – City
   - Shauna Harshman -- City

2. **Review and Approve Current Agenda**
   - Approved

3. **Review and Approve Last Month’s Minutes (September 2017)**
   - Approved

4. **New Business**
   - **Parks and Conservation Areas- Review maps to determine city parks and conservation areas near Centers and Corridors (All)**
     - How do we want to continue this discussion?
     - Are there other parks that might be negatively impacted within centers and corridors?
     - Concern that parks might be at risk in C&C with increased businesses and low parking requirements and need for parking.
     - Would like to see current population figures and projections for future growth. Official projection is 20,000 in 10 years.

   - **Committee Conversations- Discuss the “Committee Conversations” outline to present to the Community Assembly (All)**
     - Quick conversation to get data collected. Teresa will finish using minutes and forward to others for comments.
     - Teresa tentatively will present at CA on November 2.
Infill Proposals Presentation – Nathan Gwinn
  o Proposal is moving forward to Plan Commission
  o Request to present at CA
  o Starting to work on development regulations and street design standards
  o Reviewed Pocket Residential and Cottage Housing
  o Open House on November 2 at West Central Community Center from 4:00 pm to 6:30 pm

Old Business

5. LUC survey closed October 13
  o Teresa will email out the downloaded report to LUC members

Urban Densification
  o Melissa reviewed handouts regarding Grant Park parking lot project stakeholders (currently a city stakeholder team as directed by District 2 community members)
  o Melissa talked with Garrett on the stakeholders group regarding status
    ▪ No funding or approval from Park Board
    ▪ Parks Board has been briefed
    ▪ Current parking lot functions poorly
    ▪ District 2 process has discussed a solution
    ▪ If project goes forward, will work with the surrounding park service area neighbors
    ▪ Developing a survey for neighbors for needs assessment to determine if project should be go forward
    ▪ Executive director of Spokane Public Schools has reached out to stakeholders to determine if there can be a partnership with Grant School for bus loading safety within the project
    ▪ Robynn is going to talk to her councilperson since there is nothing in the minutes that directs any community input; minutes show that there was no vote.
    ▪ Patrick – has East Central NC made any comments?
      ▪ Nothing seen at city level from NC
    ▪ Next District 2 meeting on November 29 (note: The mayor’s pilot project “Spokane Matters district meetings” will be reviewed at end of 2017 to determine if effective and will be continued)
6. Reports
   • Plan Commission – Liaison, Greg Francis (Rockwood) – no report
   • PeTT Committee – Paul Kropp (Southgate) – no report
   • Public Safety – Julie Banks (Rockwood)
     o Terryl Black attended
       ▪ Nuisance complaints ordinance has been updated; not clear how to make complaints. Do you call Code Enforcement? Police?
       ▪ Goal of committee is to get a set protocol online for citizens and police officers to use

7. Elected Representatives – Councilwoman Waldref (as needed)

8. Good of the Order
   • Request change for Lisa Key to present year-end review at December meeting

9. Next Month’s Meeting: November 16, 2017
   • Review election candidates for December elections
   • Update Goals for CA
   • Survey Monkey results review

10. Adjourned at 7:20 pm

MEETING AGENDA  October 3, 2017  3 PM

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

CEREMONIES, APPOINTMENTS, ANNOUNCEMENTS

CONSENT AGENDA
  • Approval of minutes.

COMMITTEE AND REPORTS

  • Heritage Tree - Tim K.
  • Downtown Street trees – Juliet S.
  • Ponderosa pine group – Carrie A.
  • Susie Forest – Nancy M.
  • Community Assembly - Carol
  • Staff Report - Angel

Old Business
  CAC – New Chair
  PP contest – Angel and others

New Business
  Oct 31st, next meeting wear a costume.

ADJOURNMENT

Tree of the month: Eastern White Pine, *Pinus Strobus*
  • Height: 150 feet
  • Diameter: 40 inches
  • Can live: 450+ years
  • Native Range: North eastern U.S.
  • 5 needle pine.
  • Valuable timber tree, was used for ship masts during the sailing days.

Spokane tree inventory.
  • None in tree inventory
CAC minutes Sept. 5, 2017

Attendance:
Becky, Melissa, Carol, Juliet, Joe, Angel, Guy

Downtown street trees” Parking advisory committee who manage some of the parking meter money in a designated area are coming up with a capital plan on how to use the money. They plan to put about 25K annually into the Urban Forest which is matching money from the Utility department. The Utility department will be taking over management of the street trees in that area.

Goal is to replace dead trees then infill with trees, also work on maintenance. Urban Forestry is also putting 10K as a match. This should give a more consistent street tree look. Spokane utility is involved as a Storm water mitigation issue.

Melissa shared the tree grate art program which will be used in parts of downtown. They downtown area is divided into districts and each district will have a unique metal tree grate for the trees. Grates are 4 x 8 feet but can be also 4 x 4 feet.

**Juliet will check to insure center of grates can be expanded.

Question was brought up on the infiltration of the grates are they the same or different.

34 trees will be going in on Division between 3rd and 4th. Sprague

Carol brought up a concern on the plan for Glover park and the area north of Spokane Club and what is going on with Street tree. What district does Peaceful Valley reside in downtown or?

New CSO tank in Peaceful Valley will trail be incorporated with that and will trees be included.

KXLY Extreme Team and the Spokane tribe will be rehabbing buildings around Glover Field part in late September to make baseball field more usable. Also boat launch for Kayaks will be installed.

**Angel follow up with what is going on with street trees in Peaceful Valley and CSO project in Peaceful Valley.

Video of Palisade fire was viewed by group.
What is Horizon 2040?

Horizon 2040 is the long range transportation plan for the Spokane region. This draft version of Horizon 2040 continues the vision for our transportation system into the future. This plan strives to achieve a safe and efficient multi-modal transportation system for our region. It also places great importance on working with local jurisdictions and the public to achieve the desired outcome. SRTC welcomes public comments on the contents of this plan.

Six Guiding Principles that were generated by public stakeholders, with the values of the entire community in mind, provide the framework for this Plan.
Performance of the System:

SRTC is using performance-based planning to link planning and decision-making. Horizon 2040 establishes metrics for monitoring and evaluating success. Performance is measured in term of how safe our roads are: how well our pavement and bridges are maintained; how much congestion we face; and what walking, biking and transit choice are available to us.

Safety is a priority in Horizon 2040, specifically to reduce serious injuries and fatalities. The chart below reports our current five year average of collisions and our new performance target for serious injuries and fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current 5-year</th>
<th>Target 5-year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serious Injuries</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WA State Crash Data Portal

SRTC also places a priority on the condition of our system. The graphic below indicates the number of bridges on the National Highway System in Spokane County that are in good, fair, or poor condition. SRTC is currently working with the WSDOT to set performance targets to improve bridges in good condition and reduce the percentage of bridges in poor condition to under five percent.

Top Issues:

Additional funding needed for operations, maintenance and preservation to address the region’s aging infrastructure and pavement condition.

Nearly $2.1 billion in repairs are needed for the region’s bridges.

High Performance Transit services are needed to connect activity centers and enhance the region’s quality of life. At the same time, seniors in outlying areas are challenged by a lack of transportation options for accessing medical and other critical services.

Roadway design and other efforts, such as education and enforcement, are needed to reduce serious injuries and fatalities.

Improvements targeting bicycle and pedestrian connectivity, accessibility and safety are needed.

The regional transportation system contributes significantly to economic vitality. Improving freight movement by truck, addressing rail grade separation issues, and capitalizing on existing freight transportation infrastructure are key strategies.

Regional cooperation and leadership is needed to address infrastructural needs that are subject to increased growth. It is important to maintain infrastructure to meet needs and demands for Fairchild Air Force Base, Spokane International Airport, and the surrounding community.

Draft Implementation Strategies:

Implementation strategies that lead to the execution of this plan and will assist in achieving the outcomes are included on the insert page of this handout.
**Financial Predictions:**

Based on financial analysis of this Plan, $9.9 billion over the life of the plan should be targeted in the following program areas to best approach the regional needs.

**Draft Horizon 2040 Projects:**

A list and map of regionally significant projects, larger scale projects in the region, can be found in the next column and on the back side of this handout.

For more information, go to: www.srtc.org/horizon-2040

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Total Funding Target (YOE $)</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Transportation</td>
<td>$223.3m</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>$523.2m</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>$4,042.8m</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>$6.9m</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>$2,513.1m</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regionally Significant Projects</td>
<td>$1,706.1m</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Capital</td>
<td>$191.8m</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety/Security</td>
<td>$73.1m</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>$6.9m</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>$462.7m</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSMO</td>
<td>$175.4m</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$9,925.3m</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Year*</th>
<th>Year of Expenditure Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Monroe St Lane Reduction</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$9m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Sunset Blvd Improvements</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$3.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Sprague Ave Rebuild Phase II</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$3.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Harvard Rd Bridge Revision</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$5.2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Barker Rd Reconstruction</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$4.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>I-90 and Barker Rd South Intersection Improvements</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$3.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Bigelow Gulch/Forker Rd Urban Conector</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$63m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Central City Line</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$75.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>West Plains Transit Center</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$12.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>US 395, Half Moon Rd to Stevens County Line</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>I-90/Medical Lake and Geiger Interchanges Reconstruction</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$26.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>US 395/North Spokane Corridor - Francis to Spokane River</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$260m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Argonne Rd and I-90 Interchange Bridge Widening</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$8.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Barker Rd/BNSF Grade Separation</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$37.8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Fort George Wright Dr Improvements</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$4m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pines Rd (SR 27)/BNSF Grade Separation</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$21.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Sullivan Rd/BNSF Grade Separation</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$27m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Indian Trail Rd Improvements</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Argonne Park &amp; Rides</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$9.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Division Bus Rapid Transit</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$103.4m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Latah Bridge Rehabilitation</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$44.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>US 395/North Spokane Corridor Transit</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$6.1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Park Rd/BNSF Grade Separation</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>US 395/North Spokane Corridor - Spokane River to I-90</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$490m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I-90/Henry Rd - New Interchange</td>
<td>2031</td>
<td>$26.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>I-90/US 195 Interchange and Latah Creek Bridges</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$298m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>I-90/Barker Rd Interchange</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>$26.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>SR 904, Betz Rd to I-90</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>$18m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>SR 290, Barker Rd to Idaho State Line</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>$6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>US 195, Hatch to I-90</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>$71m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Year of construction/completion
# Horizon 2040

## Draft Implementation Strategies

### Focus Areas:

#### Provide transportation preservation, maintenance, and operations:

Emphasizing resiliency by focusing on the preservation, maintenance & operations of the region’s existing transportation networks. The highest priority is addressing the backlog of deferred maintenance activities. The continued operation of effective public transportation in the region is crucial.

- Prioritize projects that reduce the regional backlog of preservation activities and serve to eliminate deferred maintenance.
- Prioritize projects that result in a year-round transportation system for improved design & maintenance for all users.
- Collaborate with WSDOT in developing an asset management plan.

#### Support Transportation Demand Management & Transportation Systems Management and Operations:

Placing a priority on getting the greatest value of current transportation systems using cost-effective approaches such as Transportation Demand Management (action intended to modify travel behavior) and Transportation System Management and Operations (utilizing technological solutions over capacity changes).

- Encourage funding programs that develop and deploy Transportation Demand Management approaches within Spokane County.
- Place a priority on projects that implement the Spokane Region Intelligent Transportation System Plan.

#### Pursue cost-effective transportation solutions:

Supporting the stewardship of public resources by prioritization, obligation and implementation of funds. Horizon 2040 also strongly encourages the use of innovative techniques for the cost-efficient engineering and construction of transportation projects using high-quality, long-lasting materials.

- Refine methodologies for a regional needs assessment and invest in projects that meet multiple performance goals.
- Include scoring criteria for innovative approaches to cost-effective design and construction of transportation.
- Prioritize investments that impact the Horizon 2040 top regional priority networks.
- Monitor unfunded local agency projects and programs & seek additional funding and provide support.
- Provide technical assistance in the regional funding program so projects are delivered on time and within compliance.
- Target needed capacity changes.

#### Invest in public transit:

Employers benefit from access to transit as it supports the recruitment and retention of employees and provides access for customers. Employees benefit from transit through reduced commute and parking costs. This effort will require strong coordination between SRTC, regional economic development, Spokane Transit, and local jurisdictions to enact policies that can support and encourage employment in transit-rich locations.

- Support the continued implementation of the regional High Performance Transit Network.
- Pursue additional funding for tribal, small town and rural connector services.
- Coordinate with STA on cost-effective uses of Uber/Lyft type services for first & last mile connection.

### Strategies:

#### Pursue cost-effective transportation solutions:

- Refine methodologies for a regional needs assessment and invest in projects that meet multiple performance goals.
- Include scoring criteria for innovative approaches to cost-effective design and construction of transportation.
- Prioritize investments that impact the Horizon 2040 top regional priority networks.
- Monitor unfunded local agency projects and programs & seek additional funding and provide support.
- Provide technical assistance in the regional funding program so projects are delivered on time and within compliance.
- Target needed capacity changes.

#### Invest in public transit:

- Support the continued implementation of the regional High Performance Transit Network.
- Pursue additional funding for tribal, small town and rural connector services.
- Coordinate with STA on cost-effective uses of Uber/Lyft type services for first & last mile connection.
**Improve safety and security:**

The transportation investments in Horizon 2040 will support and enhance the safety and security of the regional networks and systems. Horizon 2040 targets safety improvements in corridors where higher rates of vehicular collisions and bicycle & pedestrian involved collisions are identified. Security remains a priority on vital transportation facilities that carry a high volume of people and freight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement Areas:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Prioritize projects that improve the safety and security of the regional transportation network through scoring criteria in applications for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Collect and analyze region wide collision data for causes and to develop strategic approaches in coordination with implementing agencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Protect the natural environment:**

Protecting the natural environment including air, soil and water quality will be a requirement for regional funding for all transportation projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural Environment Focuses:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Ensure that Horizon 2040 is in compliance with Federal and State Conformity Air Quality regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ensure the Congestion Management Air Quality (CMAQ) projects funded through SRTC are improving air quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ensure projects are addressing specific natural environment considerations in funding applications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provide multimodal options:**

Everyone, regardless of age, ability, income, race, or ethnicity, ought to have safe, comfortable, & convenient access to community destinations and public places—whether walking, driving, bicycling, or taking public transportation. Horizon 2040 promotes policies and practices that ensure streets are safe for all people while balancing the needs of different modes, and supporting local land uses, economies, and the surrounding environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multimodal Options:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Prioritize roadway projects that include multimodal elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Continue to require Safe &amp; Complete Streets Checklists are completed as related to the SRTC Policy &amp; Guiding Principles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pursue collaboration opportunities with public health partners for projects with complimentary health and transportation benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coordinate with jurisdictions and agencies to develop a regional Active Transportation &amp; Health Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Implement a bike/ped count program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Promote regional leadership:**

Horizon 2040 has identified the need for SRTC to provide additional coordination and leadership. Additional regional coordination is useful for early identification of land use & transportation issues that require cross-jurisdictional coordination and solutions. SRTC will also take the lead in bringing educational opportunities to convey best practices information to stakeholders in the region.

- Develop and implement an education series for regional stakeholders and the public on best practices.
- Develop a resource center for best practices information.
- Develop a system to track and report land use & transportation cumulative impacts to assist jurisdictions on potential impacts and opportunities for land use changes.
- Lead practical and specific land use and/or transportation studies that evaluate least cost solutions in partnership with state and local stakeholders focusing on economic, transportation, and public health issues.
- Monitor funding and provide coordination for the completion of the North South Corridor.

---

More information about Horizon 2040: [https://www.srtc.org/horizon-2040/](https://www.srtc.org/horizon-2040/)

Comments or questions: contact.srtc@srtc.org
Please join us for the 5th and final Community Conversation of 2017

Our speaker will be Chief Craig Meidl of SPD

Have questions you need answer’s too

This is YOUR chance too be part of the Conversation

Tuesday Nov, 21st, 2017
Northeast Community Center
4001 N Cook
6:30-8pm

Hope to see you there