Spokane Neighborhoods Community Assembly  
“Provide a vehicle to empower Neighborhood Councils’ participation in government”

Meeting Agenda for April 3, 2015

4:00-6:15 p.m. – COUNCIL BRIEFING CENTER, Basement, City Hall

Proposed Agenda Subject to Change

Please bring the following items:
*Community Assembly Minutes: March 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introductions</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
<td>3 min–4:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Agenda (incl. Core Values and Purpose)</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
<td>2 min–4:03</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve/Amend Minutes</td>
<td>Facilitator</td>
<td>5 min–4:05</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• March 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| OPEN FORUM                        |                               |          |        |          |
| Reports/Updates/Announcements     | Please Sign Up to Speak!      | 5 min–4:10|        |          |

| LEGISLATIVE AGENDA                |                               |          |        |          |
| City Council                      | City Council                  | 5 min–4:15| Oral Report |          |
| • Update                          |                               |          |        |          |
| • Retreat                         |                               |          |        |          |
| ONS/Code Enforcement              | Heather Trautman              | 15 min–4:50| Oral Report/ Vote | 10 |
| • Update                          |                               |          |        |          |
| • Forest Spokane                  |                               |          |        |          |
| • NW Neighborhood Council Boundary Change |                               |          |        |          |
| CA/Community Development          | Fran Papenleur, George Dahl   | 15 min–5:05| Oral & Written Report/Vote | 18 |
| • CDBG Neighborhood Allocation Model |                               |          |        |          |
| Community Housing & Human Services (CHHS) | Fran Papenleur | 5 min–5:20| Oral & Written Report | 33 |
| • Update                          |                               |          |        |          |
| Public Safety                     | Julie Banks                   | 10 min–5:25| Oral & Written Report/ Map | 36 |
| • Outdoor Storage – Vehicle Storage |                               |          |        |          |
| Liaison                           | Colleen Gardner               | 5 min–5:35| Oral Report |          |
| • Update                          |                               |          |        |          |

| PRESENTATIONS/SPECIAL ISSUES      |                               |          |        |          |
| Blight                            | Tara Zeigler                  | 15 min–5:40| Presentation/ Q&A | 39 |
| • Update                          |                               |          |        |          |
| Neighborhood Retail Parking Standards | Boris Borisov, Planning     | 20 min–5:55| Presentation/ Q&A |          |
| • Proposed                        |                               |          |        |          |

| OTHER WRITTEN REPORTS             |                               |          |        |          |
| Land Use                          | Teresa Kafentzis              | Written Report | 42 |
| Plan Commission Liaison           | David Burnett                 | Written Report | 45 |
| Design Review Board Liaison       | Colleen Gardner               | Written Report | 46 |
| PeTT                              | Paul Kropp                   | Written Report | 47 |
| Plan Commission Transportation    | Kathy Miotke                 | Written Report | 48 |

* IF YOU CAN’T MAKE THE MEETING, PLEASE SEND YOUR ALTERNATE!!!! *
UPCOMING IMPORTANT MEETING DATES

- April 7: CA/CD, West Central Community Center, 1603 N Belt, 5:30pm
- April 14: Public Safety, YMCA Corporate Office, 1126 N Monroe, 4pm
- April 16: Land Use, West Central Community Center, 1603 N Belt, 5pm
- April 21: CA Administrative Committee (agenda item requests due. Please submit all written material to be included in packets two days prior to CA meeting date), ONS Office, 6th Floor, City Hall, 4:30pm
- April 27: Building Stronger Neighborhoods, Fire Station 4, 1515 W. Riverside, 5pm
- April 27: Pedestrian, Transportation & Traffic (PeTT), West Central Comm. Ctr, 1603 N Belt, 6pm
- April 28: Joint CA/City Council, East Central Community Center, 500 S Stone, 5:30pm
- May 1: Community Assembly, Council Briefing Center, City Hall, 4pm

MEETING TIMETABLE PROTOCOL

In response to a growing concern for time constraints the Administrative Committee has agreed upon the following meeting guidelines as a means of adhering to the Agenda Timetable:

1. When a presenter has one minute left in the time allotted the facilitator will raise a yellow pennant and indicate a verbal notice.
   a. Should any Neighborhood Representative wish to extend the time of the presentation or comment/question period they may immediately “Move to extend the time by (1) to (5) minutes”.
   b. An immediate call will be made for a show of hands in support of the extension of time. If a majority of 50% plus 1 is presented the time will be reset by the amount of time requested.
   c. Extensions will be limited to (2) two or until a request fails to show a majority approval. After (2) two extensions, 1) if a motion is on the table, the facilitator will call for a vote on the open motion to either a) approve or not approve, or b) to table the discussion; 2) if there is no motion on the table, a request may be made to either (1) reschedule presenter to a later meeting, or (2) ask presenter to stay and finish at the end of the agenda.

2. When the allotted time has expired, a red pennant and verbal notice will be issued.

Administrative Committee

COMMUNITY ASSEMBLY LIAISONS (Draft)

Citizens Street Advisory Commission (CSAC): Hal Ellis, 838-9778, hellisspo@earthlink.net
Citizens Transportation Advisory Board (PeTT): Jim Bakke, 466-4285, jfbakke@q.com
Community, Housing, & Human Services Board: Fran Papenleur, 326-2502, fran_papenleur@waeb.uscourts.gov
Design Review Board: Colleen Gardner, 535-5052, chiefgarryparknc@gmail.com
Mayor’s Design Advisory Team (PeTT): Paul Kropp, 448-2291, pkropp@fastmail.fm
Plan Commission: David Burnett, 720-3321, dburnett@sppokanecity.org
Plan Commission Transportation Advisory Committee (PeTT): Kathy Miotke, 467-2760, zaromiotke@yahoo.com and Charles Hansen (alternate), 487-8462, charles_hansen@prodigy.net
Single Family Home Rehab Task Force: Sandy Gill, 325-4260, gillflah@comcast.net
Urban Forestry: Carol Bryan, 466-1390, cbryan16@comcast.net
a. CA Rules of Order:
   i. To speak at a meeting, a person must be recognized by the facilitator only one person can be recognized at a time. Each speaker has two minutes. When all who wish to speak have been allowed their time, the rotation may begin again.
   ii. When a proposal for action is made, open discussion will occur before a motion is formed by the group.
   iii. As part of the final time extension request, the Facilitator will request a show of hands by the representatives at the table to indicate which of the following actions the group wants to take.
      1. End discussion and move into forming the motion and voting.
      2. Further Discussion
      3. Table discussion with direction
         a. Request time to continue discussion at next CA meeting.
         b. Request additional information from staff or CA Committee
         c. Send back to CA Committee for additional work
**Community Assembly Core Values and Purpose**

**CORE PURPOSE:**
Provide a vehicle to empower neighborhood councils’ participation in government.

**BHAG:**
Become an equal partner in local government.
(This will be further expounded upon in the Vivid Description. What does this mean to you?)

**CORE VALUES:**
- **Common Good:** Working towards mutual solutions based on diverse and unique perspectives.
- **Alignment:** Bringing together the independent neighborhood councils to act collectively.
- **Initiative:** Being proactive in taking timely, practical action.
- **Balance of Power:** Being a transparent, representative body giving power to citizens' voices.

**VIVID DESCRIPTION:**
The Community Assembly fulfills its purpose, achieves its goals, and stays true to its core values by its members engaging each other and the community with honest communication and having transparent actions in all of its dealings. Community Assembly representatives are knowledgeable and committed to serving their neighborhood and their city as liaisons and leaders.

The Community Assembly initiates and is actively involved early and often in the conception, adoption and implementation of local policy changes and projects. The administration and elected officials bring ideas to the Community Assembly in the forming stages for vetting, input and participation. The Community Assembly is a valuable partner to these officials and neighborhoods in creating quality policy & legislation for the common good.

The Community Assembly stimulates participation in civic life among our residents. Citizens that run for political office will believe in the importance of partnering with the Community Assembly and neighborhood councils. Those candidates’ active participation and history with neighborhoods contributes to their success, enhancing successful partnerships between the Community Assembly and local government.
Community Assembly Minutes
March 6th, 2015

Agenda was approved. February minutes were approved unanimously.

1. Open Forum
   a. Barbara Anne Bonner
      i. Barbara Anne would like to encourage the neighborhoods to invite brain injured people that may have desire to be but may not have the motivation.
   b. Fran Papenleur
      i. Fran informed the group that there was an article in the Spokesman Review regarding the background on splitting up the Northwest neighborhood council. Article is on page 35 of the packet.
   c. Marilyn Lloyd
      i. Tree stewardship booklet regarding how to keep trees healthy and what trees do well in the City you can see the online version by clicking here.
      ii. Master Gardener is doing an annual garden symposium is on March 14th.

2. City Council Placeholder:
   a. No city council was present.

3. Administrative Committee:
   a. Luke Tolley, Tina Luerssen
      i. Volunteer Award-Gary Pollard
         1. Create a Volunteer of the Year Award honoring dedicated volunteers like Jeanette Harras
            a. Criteria for the volunteer of the year award
            b. Create an ad-hoc committee to identify a list of criteria by April 3rd meeting for nominations.
            c. May select a candidate and awarded at the City Council meeting.
         2. The Committee will be made up of:
            a. Hazel Jackson (Logan), Luke Tolley (Hillyard), Gary Pollard (Riverside) and Colleen Gardner (Chief Garry Park).
         3. Vote:
            a. In Favor: Unanimous
            b. Against: 0
            c. Abstain: 0
      ii. Retreat
         1. PowerPoint Presentation was given on the follow-up to the retreat can be found in the packet on page 37.
            a. The PowerPoint presentation was titled “Role of the Community Assembly in the context of our vision”
         2. If you would like to be involved in the outreach and education group the next meeting is Thursday March 19th 4:00pm at the Arc, 302 E. 2nd.

4. Neighborhood Services & Code Enforcement Update:
   a. Heather Trautman, Director of Office of Neighborhood Service & Code Enforcement
      i. Upcoming Events (can always be found at www.spokaneneighborhoods.org select Calendar tiles)
1. March 23rd, 6:00pm Town Hall for South East Neighborhoods, East Central Community Center, 501 S. Stone
2. April 1st, 6:00pm City Council Short Term Rental Open House, City Hall, Council Chambers

ii. Program Application Deadlines
   1. March 1st to June 30th: Greening Grant-Forest Spokane
   2. July 1st to October 31st (tentative date): CDBG Applications

iii. CDBG (Community Development Block Grant) Program
   1. 2015 Application Status
      a. Next Steps
         i. CHHS Board Public Hearings on March 4th and April 1st
         ii. CHHS Board recommendation to City Council-Mid April
         iii. 2015 Action Plan submitted to HUD in May

iv. CHHS (Community Housing and Human Services) Plan
   1. Three 5 year plans to guide goals, strategies and investments of $65 + million in local, state and federal dollars
      a. **Consolidated Plan** - benefit extremely low-to-moderate income residents
      b. **Homeless Plan** - prevent and reduce homelessness
      c. **Fair Housing Plan** - reduce and prevent housing discrimination and improve housing equality
   2. Plans have been through a nearly two year process
   3. Need neighborhood input to ensure they accurately reflect the needs of the community –
   4. See Handout - Workshops planned for March 10th, March 18th and March 25th, see page 24 in the packet for more information on location and times.

5. 2015 CA Goals
   a. Every year the committees set their goals for the year and review those they did for the year before.
   b. This is the formal work plan for 2015 on the CA meeting; Administrative Committee has set some goals for themselves that was not in the report previously.
   c. The goals have been in the packet since January they can be found on page 16 of the packet.
   d. Suggest adopting the goals but as the group does reorganization of the CA then the committees revisit their goals to makes sure they are in alignment to the CA overall goals.
   e. Adopt the goals as they are written:
      i. **Vote:**
         1. In Favor: Unanimous
         2. Against: 0
         3. Abstain: 0

6. Public Safety Committee: Action Item
   a. Julie Banks, Rockwood Neighborhood/Public Safety Committee Chair
      i. Outdoor storage with the inclusion of the vehicle portion because it may not have gone out to the neighborhoods
         1. Postpone the Vehicle Storage until April and put it on the agenda again.
      ii. Rental Inspection Program:
         1. Does the CA want the Public Safety Committee to continue to work on understanding the issue and then explore whether a Rental Inspection program is the solution or if there are other alternative solutions?
7. CHHS Board
   a. Fran Papenleur, Northwest Neighborhood
      i. 2015-2020

8. CHHS Board
   a. Fran Papenleur, Northwest Neighborhood
      i. Next 5 years 2015-2020
         1. Consolidated Community Development & Housing Plan
            a. Public Hearing held March 3; beginning of 30-day public comment period.
            b. Primary needs identified were Safe Affordable Housing Choice, Reduce Homelessness and Provide for Basic & Special Needs, and Community Development, Infrastructure and Economic Opportunities.
            c. There are 3 million dollars given to the City for CDBG Entitlement Funding Assistance, and 30% of that goes to the neighborhoods.
            d. There are 3 Educational Workshops focusing on Priority Needs identified in the 2015-Consolidated Plan:
               i. Safe, Affordable Housing Choice-March 10th, 11:30-1:00pm Sprague Union Terrace, 1420 E. Sprague
               ii. Community Development, Infrastructure and Economic Opportunities-March 18th, 5:30-7:00pm at West Central Community Center, 1603 N. Belt
               iii. Reduce Homelessness and Provide for Basic & Special Needs-March 25th, 2:30-4:00pm at SNAP Ft. Wright Building, 3102 W. Fort George Wright Dr.
            e. The CHHS Board is recruiting new members. For more information go to https://beta.spokanecity.org/bcc/boards/community-housing-and-human-services-board/

9. CA/Community Development Committee
   a. George Dahl, CHHS/ Fran Papenleur, Northwest Neighborhood
      i. Proposed 2016 CDBG Neighborhood Allocation Model results and CA/CD meeting minutes can be found in the Packet starting on page 25.
         1. Action Requested
            a. CA Representatives take the proposed allocations and model to the Neighborhood Councils to discuss see allocation model beginning on page 25 also see George Dahl’s PowerPoint beginning on page 49 of the CA Packet to better understand the models.
            b. CA Vote at the April 3rd meeting on the allocations and model
               i. Next Steps
                  1. Vote for allocation model in April
                  2. Develop workshops for neighborhoods on CDBG
                  3. Open Applications for CDBG funding

a. Tirrell Black, Planning & Development Services
   i. See full PowerPoint Presentation on page 62 of the packet.
   ii. 2014-2015 Amendments
       1. 3 land use map amendments
       2. 1 Text amendment

b. Learn More & Participate
   i. City Webpage-Business & Development Projects Page
   ii. Spokane Municipal Code SMC 17G.020 (outline the process)
   iii. Add your name to interested persons list for specific application
   iv. Make Written comment

c. Plan Commission Workshops (no public testimony taken)
   i. March 11th-Perry District Vicinity
   ii. March 25th-Plan Commission workshop for Market & Cleveland and Wellesley & Maple
   iii. April 8th-Manufactured Homes Preservation Policy Text Amendment
   iv. April 22nd-Held for continued workshop

d. Additional Meetings
   i. March 12: Bemiss 6:00pm and Minnehaha 7:00pm from Spurway Living Trust
   ii. March 17th: East Central NC 6:30pm for CCRC LLC
   iii. March 19th: Northwest NC 7:00pm for GRR Family LLC
   iv. April 15th: Planning & Development Department will hold Open House for all applications; include the text amendment in Chase Gallery.
   v. April 16th: North Hill NC 6:30pm for GRR Family LLC
   vi. Plan Commission Public Hearing & City Council Public Hearing-Dates to be determined

e. Raised during the Plan Commission email to Dave Burnett
   i. Important to engage at the Plan Commission level versus waiting until the City Council meeting.

In attendance:
Browne’s Addition  Bemiss  Chief Garry Park  Cliff Cannon
Comstock  East Central  Grandview/Thorpe
Hillyard  Latah/Hangman  Lincoln Heights
Logan  Manito/Cannon Hill  Minnehaha
North Hill  Northwest  Peaceful Valley
Riverside  Rockwood  Southgate
West Central

Not in attendance:
Balboa/SIT  West Hills
Whitman
Emerson/Garfield
Five Mile Prairie
Nevada/Lidgerwood
North Indian Trail
CA Administrative Committee Meeting
March 24, 2015
4:45-5:45 p.m.
City Hall, ONS

CA Reps Present:
Jay Cousins (Emerson-Garfield), Chair
Seth Knutson (Cliff-Cannon)
Fran Papenleur (Northwest), Secretary
Kathryn Alexander (Bemiss)

Others Present:
Rod Minarik, City Staff/ONS
Heather Trautman, City Staff/ONS
Not Present:
Gary Pollard (Riverside), Vice Chair
Karen Stratton, City Council Liaison

I. Today’s Agenda/Items to address:
   ➢ Draft Agenda for April Community Assembly meeting
   ➢ New Business

II. April CA Agenda
   
   A. Legislative Agenda topics, speakers and/or reports were reviewed.
      
      1. City Council – update from Council Member(s)
      2. CA Admin – Jay, Luke and Tina will lead a discussion regarding the ongoing efforts of the Retreat Committee (Core Values & Purpose). (30 Minutes)
      3. ONS – Heather. Multiple updates on spring programs and initiatives. Will finish with recommendation vote on Northwest Neighborhood division.
      4. CHHS – Report on CHHS Board meeting and public hearing held April 1 (ends the 30-day comment period on the 5-Year Strategic/Action Plan).

   B. Guest Speakers
      
      1. Blight Update – Tara Ziegler (5-10 minutes)
      2. Neighborhood Retail Parking Standards – Boris Borisov, Planning (20+ minutes)
      3. Abandoned Property Registry (Melissa Wittstruck) – postpone to May meeting.

III. There being no other pressing business, meeting adjorned. Next Admin Committee meeting will be Tuesday, April 21, 4:45 p.m. City Hall/ONS.
Forest Spokane – Residential Tree Program
Alicia Bemiss-Powell, Neighborhood Services and Code Enforcement

Spring is in the air and the Forest Spokane Initiative is looking to give away 2,000 trees this year to Spokane residents! The Residential Tree Program aims to plant trees to help reduce stormwater runoff.

There are two free tree giveaway events in 2015 as part of the Residential Tree Program. The first event will be held this spring on April 17th and 18th. The second event will be held near the end of October (exact dates to be determined). One thousand trees will be offered at each event.

Trees available on the day of the events are first come, first serve as long as supplies last. Residents living within the city of Spokane are eligible to receive up to two (2) free trees each. A variety of tree species are available between the sizes of four to seven gallons.

The City’s Office of Neighborhood Services is working with three local nurseries that will have the tree stock available on the day of the event.

- Blue Moon Nursery: 1732 S. Inland Empire Way Spokane, WA 99224
- Spokane Conservation District: 210 N. Havana St. Spokane, WA 99202
- Home Fires Nursery: 2919 S. Geiger Blvd. Spokane, WA 99224

To view the FREE TREE species list visit the Neighborhood Services webpage at, www.spokaneneighborhoods.org and click anywhere on the FREE TREE WEEKEND image.

As part of the Residential Tree Program, Greenleaf Landscaping & Nursery is offering a special deal for Spokane residents. Greenleaf has reserved 300 deciduous and coniferous tree species for residents to purchase at $95 per tree, Spokane residents are eligible for up to 2 trees. With your purchase Greenleaf will deliver and install your new tree(s) directly on to your property at a time convenient for you.

The Residential Tree Program is part of the Forest Spokane Initiative. The goal of Forest Spokane is to plant 10,000 trees in the city as a way to mitigate stormwater from entering into our stormwater system and the Spokane river.

See the forest for the trees, be a part of something bigger! Help the Initiative reach its goals of mitigating stormwater by planting your FREE TREE in the right place. In order for your tree to help mitigate stormwater the leaves and branches need to overhang at maturity over a sidewalk, street,
driveway or walkway. But you also want to plant your tree in the correct location by taking into account the tree maturity size when selecting the proper location. Each of the participating nurseries can help you in choosing the correct tree species for your home or visit the Forest Spokane Initiative to learn more about choosing the right tree.

For more information about the program contact Alicia Powell in the Office of Neighborhood Services & Code Enforcement office by phone at 625-6780 or by email Apowell@spokanecity.org
The Residential Tree Program is part of the Forest Spokane Initiative. The Forest Spokane Initiative is an ambitious plan to mitigate stormwater by planting trees. The Forest Spokane Initiative aims to plant 10,000 new trees in Spokane through various programs like Residential Tree Program. Each tree planted through the Initiative’s programs directly mitigates stormwater from entering into our stormwater system and polluting our waterways like the Spokane River and aquifer.

To ensure that your tree directly helps to mitigate stormwater it must be planted in the correct location. Trees help to mitigate stormwater through its leaves, limbs, trunk, vast root system and annual leaf litter. By following the, ‘Right tree, Right place’ principal you will directly contribute to mitigating stormwater for many years to come.

**RIGHT TREE, RIGHT PLACE**

The right tree, right place principal takes in to account all aspects of tree planting and placement that allow the tree to thrive. When choosing your tree take into consideration: form or shape, size at maturity and its role or function in the landscape.

Mitigate stormwater directly by planting your tree in a location that at maturity will overhang current infrastructure such as walkways, sidewalks, driveways or streets. Take care when selecting your tree by always considering the trees size at maturity first and plant them in a location suitable for its mature size. Trees that are too large for a location will cause damage to infrastructure. Do not plant trees in right-of-way, i.e. a separated side walk, before calling the Urban Forestry Department. All trees planted in the right-of-way require a Street Tree Permit.

Follow these Planting Distance Guidelines in order to find the proper location for your trees mature size:

1.) Maturity Size of 25 ft. or less shall be planted within 20ft of current infrastructure.

2.) Maturity size of 40 ft. in height or less shall be planted within 30ft of current infrastructure.

3.) Maturity size of 40 ft. in height or taller shall be planted further than 50ft. from current infrastructure.

**TREE PLANTING INSTRUCTIONS**

**For more information please contact Alicia Powell at apowell@spokanecity.org or 625-6780.**
See the forest for the trees, be a part of something bigger...

1. Social Benefits
   - Tree-shaded sidewalks encourage pedestrian activity thus reducing use of cars.
   - Trees supported a more livable community, fostering psychological health and providing residents with a greater sense of place.
   - Presence, number and location of trees strongly predict the amount of time inner city residents spend in outdoor common spaces around urban public housing.

2. Environmental Benefits
   - Trees intercept stormwater by absorbing it through the leaves, bark and root system; lowering stormwater runoff, water treatment costs and chance of flooding.
   - Urban trees provide critical habitat for wildlife and promotes a connection to the natural world for residents.
   - Trees help to sequester carbon dioxide (a greenhouse gas) by converting and storing carbon dioxide in the form of wood and by lowering the demand for heating and air conditioning.

3. Economic Benefits
   - The presence of larger trees in yards and as street trees can add from 3% to 15% to home values throughout neighborhoods.
   - Trees reduce annual heating and cooling for residents.
   - Trees reduce stormwater runoff and thus reduce treatment costs.

THE RESIDENTIAL TREE PROGRAM
2015 Inland Northwest Fair Housing Conference

A RENEWED COMMITMENT

Thursday, April 23, 2015
8:00 am to 4:30 pm
Spokane Convention Center
334 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, WA
$40 Registration Fee

KEYNOTE: Gloria Ochoa
Gloria was appointed as the City of Spokane Local Government & Multi-Cultural Affairs Director in December, 2013. Previously she served as a Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for Benton County, before transitioning into a private practice focused on state and federal criminal defense. Gloria has held a judicial services contract with the Spokane Tribe of Indians and served as Chief Judge for Spokane Tribal Court. Gloria serves as the City’s liaison to local government. She is the point of contact for the City/County regional criminal justice reform efforts, serves as Chair of Governor Inslee’s Commission on Hispanic Affairs, and serves on the Washington State Bar Association Lawyer’s Fund for Client Protection Board. She is a member of the cohort of the Washington Equal Justice Community Leadership Academy and a certified Intercultural Development Inventory Consultant.

General Sessions for All Attendees: • Fair Housing Basics • Reasonable Accommodations

Afternoon Breakout Sessions (Choose 3): Assistance Animals • Familial Status / occupancy standards and overly restrictive rules for children • Domestic Violence and Fair Housing Implications • National Origin issues • LGBT and Fair Housing • Fair Housing for Veterans • Fair Housing Laws in Homeless Shelters and Transitional Housing • Advertising and the Internet • Fair Lending • Landlord/Tenant Law • or Track for Recipients of Federal Funding

Accommodations will be provided, to the maximum extent feasible, to meet the needs of non-English speaking, deaf and hearing impaired, and visually impaired persons. The City of Spokane will make arrangements to provide an interpreter, a signer, or a reader upon request, if such a request is made at least 72 hours prior to the Fair Housing Conference. If you need additional accommodations, please call George Dahl at (509) 509.625.6036 at least 72 hours in advance.

SPONSORS
### Morning Session

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00am – 8:30am</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30am – 8:45am</td>
<td>Welcoming Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45am – 9:15am</td>
<td>Keynote: Gloria Ochoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15am – 10:15am</td>
<td>Fair Housing Basics – Presenters: Kristina Miller, Heidi O’Day, Marley Hochendoner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15am – 10:30am</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am – 12:00pm</td>
<td>Reasonable Accommodations and Q &amp; A. – Presenters: Kristina Miller, Heidi O’Day, Marley Hochendoner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00pm - 1:00pm</td>
<td>Lunch - Videos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Afternoon Breakout Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Federally Funded Track</th>
<th>Protected Classes</th>
<th>Advanced Fair Housing Topics</th>
<th>Emerging Issues and Trends</th>
<th>Landlord / Tenant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm - 2:00pm</td>
<td>Session A-1 Federally funded track: Basic Introduction to AFFH and the AFHMP – Presenter: Kristina Miller, HUD</td>
<td>□ Session A-2 Fair Housing for Veterans – Presenters: Heidi O’Day and Wilma Cartagena, WSHRC</td>
<td>□ Session A-3 Fair Lending - Presenter: Steven Driscoll, NWFHA</td>
<td>□ Session A-4 Assistance Animals – Presenter: Marley Hochendoner, NWFHA</td>
<td>□ Session A-5 Landlord / Tenant Law - Presenters: Eric Steven, P.S., and Barry Pfundt, Center for Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30pm - 4:30pm</td>
<td>Session C-1 Federally funded track: Section 3 – Presenter: Kristina Miller, HUD</td>
<td>□ Session C-2 National Origin issues– Presenters: Heidi O’Day and Wilma Cartagena, WSHRC</td>
<td>□ Session C-3 Fair Housing Laws in Homeless Shelters and Transitional Housing – Presenter: Marley Hochendoner, NWFHA</td>
<td>□ Session C-4 Advertising and the Internet – Presenter: Shahrokh Nikfar, NWFHA</td>
<td>□ Session C-5 Landlord / Tenant Law - Presenters: Eric Steven, P.S., and Barry Pfundt, Center for Justice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***SESSION HANDOUT MATERIALS WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE AT THE CONFERENCE, BUT WILL BE AVAILABLE TO DOWNLOAD FROM THE CITY OF SPOKANE WEBSITE PRIOR TO THE CONFERENCE. A link will be provided in the registration confirmation email.***
VERY IMPORTANT:
Please indicate which sessions that each person registering will attend and send this page with your registration (keep a copy for your records).
Thank You!!!

REGISTRATION:
Name of Each Registrant Attending:  Email Address (s):  Choose 3 breakout sessions: 1:00-2pm, choose A1, A2, A3, A4, or A5; 2:15 – 3:15pm, choose B1, B2, B3, B4, or B5; & 3:30 – 4:30pm, choose C1, C2, C3, C4, or C5.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>A  B  C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lunch:  Boxed lunches that include a meat sandwich, whole fruit, chips and a cookie will be provided. Indicate below if you want to substitute the meat sandwich with a vegetarian sandwich or gluten-free entre by putting number of lunches requested into the box.

☐ Vegetarian sandwich  ☐ Gluten-free

Company/Organization:
Address:
City/State/Zip:
Phone Number:

Mail Completed Registration with $40 Registration Payment To:
Northwest Fair Housing Alliance
35 W Main, Suite 250
Spokane, WA 99201
Community Assembly – Community Development

Neighborhood Allocations
April 3, 2015
Action Requested

• CA Representatives take the proposed allocations and model to the Neighborhood Councils to discuss
• CA vote at the April 3rd Meeting on the allocations and model

Next Steps:
• Vote for allocation model
• Develop Workshops for Neighborhoods on CDBG
• Open Applications for CDBG Funding
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Allocation by Neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bemiss</td>
<td>$46,257.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne's Addition</td>
<td>$2,933.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Garry Park</td>
<td>$49,304.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff/Cannon</td>
<td>$40,390.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
<td>$79,241.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmerson Garfield</td>
<td>$56,299.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillyard</td>
<td>$58,104.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latah/Hangman</td>
<td>$10,831.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>$27,077.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan</td>
<td>$38,472.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnehaha</td>
<td>$14,103.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Lidgerwood</td>
<td>$111,695.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hill</td>
<td>$32,154.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>$23,693.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful Valley</td>
<td>$10,154.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>$17,149.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwood</td>
<td>$12,410.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southgate</td>
<td>$1,692.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>$68,145.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hills</td>
<td>$10,154.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman</td>
<td>$13,538.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed 2016 CDBG Allocations by Neighborhood
Revised Neighborhood Allocations

- Northwest Neighborhood Council
  - Proposed split
    - Wellesley Ave.
    - Emmerson Garfield (T J Meenach Dr.)
**Proposed 2016 CDBG Allocations by Neighborhood**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audubon North</td>
<td>$10,492.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audubon South</td>
<td>$13,200.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bemiss</td>
<td>$46,257.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne's Addition</td>
<td>$2,933.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Garry Park</td>
<td>$49,304.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff/Cannon</td>
<td>$40,390.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
<td>$79,241.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmerson Garfield</td>
<td>$56,299.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillyard</td>
<td>$58,104.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latah/Hangman</td>
<td>$10,831.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>$27,077.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan</td>
<td>$38,472.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnehaha</td>
<td>$14,103.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Lidgerwood</td>
<td>$111,695.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hill</td>
<td>$32,154.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful Valley</td>
<td>$10,154.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>$17,149.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwood</td>
<td>$12,410.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southgate</td>
<td>$1,692.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>$68,145.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hills</td>
<td>$10,154.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman</td>
<td>$13,538.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Wellesley Ave.
South Wellesley Ave.
Revised Emmerson Garfield
Action Requested

- CA Vote
  - Approve Revised 2016 Neighborhood CDBG Allocations
- Next Steps (April – May):
  - Develop Workshops for Neighborhoods on CDBG
  - Open Applications for CDBG Funding

- Next CA/CD Committee Meeting
  - Tuesday, April 7th
  - 5:30-7:00
  - West Central Community Center
How Can Funds Be Used?

- Public Facilities/Capital Improvements
  - i.e. bus shelters, traffic calming, park shelters, etc.
- Economic Development
  - i.e. SNAP’s Microenterprise Program
- Housing Activities
  - i.e. Homeowner Rehab, Essential Repairs, Down payment Assistance
- Community Centers
  - i.e. capital improvements at youth and senior centers
MEETING SUMMARY
Community Development Committee
Community Assembly
Tuesday, March 3, 2015 – 5:30 to 7:00 p.m.
West Central Community Center – Newton Room


NEIGHBORHOODS PRESENT: Southgate, North Hill, West Central, East Central, Emerson Garfield, Riverside, Minnehaha, Nevada/Lidgerwood, Hillyard, Lincoln Heights, Browne’s Addition, Peaceful Valley, Northwest, Bemiss

NEIGHBORHOODS ABSENT: Balboa, Five Mile, North Indian Trail, Comstock/Manito, Rockwood, Grandview/Thorpe, West Hills, Cliff/Cannon, Latah/Hangman Valley, Comstock, Chief Garry Park, Logan

STAFF PRESENT: Jonathan Mallahan, George Dahl

WELCOME/INTRODUCTIONS: Roland called the meeting to order at 5:35 p.m.

NEIGHBORHOOD FORMULA ALLOCATION REVISITED: George provided a brief overview of the meeting held on February 24th. The meeting then went into the details of the formula allocation model. During the meeting on February 24th Committee members requested a points system be applied to the allocation model instead of a percentage of the total funds. George presented a three point system that was used to weight census block groups where the total populations exceeded 75% low and moderate income individuals (3 points assigned); census block groups where the percentage of low and moderate income individuals was between 60% and 74.9% (2 points assigned) and census block groups where the percentage of low and moderate income individuals was between 51% and 59.9% (1 point assigned).

The Committee was interested in seeing what the formula allocation might look like if the points per block group were adjusted. A recommendation was made to assign 4 points to block groups where the percent of low and moderate income individuals exceeded 75%, while maintaining the previously assigned 2 points for block groups between 60% and 74.9% and 1 point for block groups between 51% and 59.9% low and moderate income.

The Committee was further interested in reviewing another adjustment to the points by block group. A recommendation was made to use a points system where 2.5 points were assigned to the block groups where the percent of low and moderate income individuals exceeded 75%, while adjusting the block groups between 60% and 74.9% to 1.5 points and maintaining the 1 point for block groups between 51% and 59.9% low and moderate income.

The Committee discussed the pros and cons of all three models (Model A: 3, 2, 1; Model B: 4, 2, 1 and Model C: 2.5, 1.5, 1). The consensus favored the 4, 2, 1 model. A motion was made to accept the 4, 2, 1 allocation model and forward this
recommendation to the Community Assembly at their meeting scheduled for Friday, March 6th. The motion was seconded and passed by a majority vote.

The following tables represent each of the models discussed above and representative allocation by neighborhood.

**Model A: 4, 2, 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Model A: Allocation by Neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bemiss</td>
<td>$46,258.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne’s Addition</td>
<td>$2,933.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Garry Park</td>
<td>$49,304.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff/Cannon</td>
<td>$40,390.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
<td>$79,241.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmerson Garfield</td>
<td>$56,299.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillyard</td>
<td>$58,104.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latah/Hangman</td>
<td>$10,831.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>$27,077.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan</td>
<td>$38,472.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnehaha</td>
<td>$14,103.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Lidgerwood</td>
<td>$111,695.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hill</td>
<td>$32,154.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>$23,693.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful Valley</td>
<td>$10,154.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>$17,149.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwood</td>
<td>$12,410.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southgate</td>
<td>$1,692.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>$68,145.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hills</td>
<td>$10,154.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman</td>
<td>$13,538.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Model B: 2.5, 1.5, 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Model B: Allocation by Neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bemiss</td>
<td>$44,171.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne’s Addition</td>
<td>$3,357.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Garry Park</td>
<td>$49,208.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff/Cannon</td>
<td>$41,573.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
<td>$79,636.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmerson Garfield</td>
<td>$54,764.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillyard</td>
<td>$54,364.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latah/Hangman</td>
<td>$10,553.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>$28,781.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood</td>
<td>Model C: Allocation by Neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan</td>
<td>$39,014.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnehaha</td>
<td>$14,190.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Lidgerwood</td>
<td>$113,726.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hill</td>
<td>$34,577.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>$26,782.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful Valley</td>
<td>$9,953.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>$16,189.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwood</td>
<td>$11,592.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southgate</td>
<td>$2,398.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>$63,358.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hills</td>
<td>$10,793.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman</td>
<td>$15,589.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Model C: 3, 2, 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Model C: Allocation by Neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bemiss</td>
<td>$44,373.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browne's Addition</td>
<td>$3,393.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Garry Park</td>
<td>$49,854.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff/Cannon</td>
<td>$40,197.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
<td>$76,980.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmerson Garfield</td>
<td>$55,531.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillyard</td>
<td>$54,161.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latah/Hangman</td>
<td>$10,571.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>$27,407.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan</td>
<td>$38,500.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnehaha</td>
<td>$15,008.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Lidgerwood</td>
<td>$115,501.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hill</td>
<td>$35,237.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>$27,407.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful Valley</td>
<td>$9,984.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>$16,117.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwood</td>
<td>$11,745.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southgate</td>
<td>$1,957.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>$63,362.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hills</td>
<td>$11,745.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman</td>
<td>$15,661.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The meeting concluded with a discussion about placing a minimum of $10,000 on neighborhood allocations. A motion was made to delay this conversation until the next Committee meeting in April and proceed with the recommendation as outlined above (to the Community Assembly this Friday, March 6th).
Community Assembly/Community Development (CA/CD) Committee
Executive Team Meeting
March 25, 2015
12:15 – 1:15 p.m.
(location: off-site)

Present:
Roland Lamarche (North Hill), Chair
Luke Tolley (Hillyard), Vice Chair
Fran Papenleur (Northwest), Recorder

I. Topics of Discussion

A. Follow Up
Roland has met with George Dahl and Jonathan Mallahan to study variations to the new allocation model which would provide satisfactory options for those 3-4 smaller neighborhoods that will receive significantly less funding. He has also outreached to those affected neighborhoods to discuss, and keep them engaged.

B. New Allocation Model
The group discussed minor variations of the model, including a repeat of a minimum award to each neighborhood (with a higher amount - $10-15,000), and how to make collaboration between neighborhoods – combining funds for major, mutually beneficial projects a reality. It is essential that a “toolbox” of options (e.g., economic development, sidewalks, public facilities, housing rehab, gifting) be developed and offered with the application.

C. The Application
1. Needs to meet HUD requirements, be user-friendly, and succinct – can be completed in 30-60 minutes (not including “homework” = attachments)
2. Provide option to continue unfinished projects
3. Pull sidewalks out – make separate application
4. What projects are eligible? – “toolbox” of ideas
5. Individual consultation/technical assistance with CHHS, especially staff project manager [to provide information on cost estimates for materials/labor].

D. Education/Outreach
Presentations to neighborhood councils needing assistance. Suggestion - ONS liaison and volunteer board member.

II. Misc/Next Steps

A. CA Committee goals for 2015 reviewed and approved.
B. April 3 Community Assembly meeting - George Dahl has 15 minutes to present the allocation model for CA approval/recommendation to CHHS board.
C. Draft agenda for April 7th full committee meeting.
# Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Committee Agenda

**Community Assembly**

Tuesday, April 7, 2015 from 5:30 – 7:00pm

*West Central Community Center (1603 N Belt St.) – Newton Room*

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td><strong>Introductions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td><strong>Review and Approve March 6th Minutes/Agenda</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td><strong>Community Assembly Report</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td><strong>Minimum Neighborhood Allocations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td><strong>Project Selection Discussion</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td><strong>Conclusion/Next Steps</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attendees were briefed on the 2015 Consolidated Planning documents and provided an opportunity to discuss project outcomes and impacts.

EXERCISES:

Rob and George presented the concept of project selection based on how the community will measure outcomes/impacts. Attendees were provided a hard copy spreadsheet and asked to identify outcomes/impacts desired using funds represented in the Consolidated Plan. The following list summarizes the conversation among attendees...

- Job Creation
- Improved Lighting
- Job Training
- Address Underutilized/Vacant Buildings
- Access to Transportation
- Knowledge/Ability to Manage Personal Finances
- Social Connectedness to the Community
- Access to Affordable Quality Daycare and Early Childhood Learning
- Overcome Barriers to Employment
- Avoid Foreclosure/Loss of Home

Following the above exercise, staff then asked attendees to describe how the impacts/outcomes might apply to five separate projects. Attendees were grouped together (3 groups) and asked to provide examples and then report back to everyone in attendance. Results from this exercise varied, anyone interested in seeing the results may submit a request to the Community, Housing and Human Services Department.

DISCUSSION:

The meeting concluded with each group reporting their impressions of project impacts/outcomes and how they might be defined by individual project. The outcomes/intent of this workshop was to help community members begin thinking about how funds supported by the 2015 Consolidated Plan can be used to select projects that support community development, infrastructure and economic opportunities.
Expected 2015 Entitlement Funding Assistance

- $2,997,960 CDBG Entitlement
- $1,000,000 CDBG Prog. Income
- $888,961 HOME Entitlement
- $100,000 HOME Prog. Income
- $268,994 ESG Entitlement

$5,257,930 TOTAL EXPECTED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>CDBG Entitlement</th>
<th>CDBG Program Income</th>
<th>HOME Entitlement</th>
<th>HOME Program Income</th>
<th>ESG Entitlement</th>
<th>Program Income Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$5,976,588</td>
<td>$1,663,361</td>
<td>$7,639,949</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,663,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$5,191,192</td>
<td>$3,828,450</td>
<td>$9,019,642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,828,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$4,107,555</td>
<td>$1,604,549</td>
<td>$5,712,104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,604,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$4,236,945</td>
<td>$1,658,635</td>
<td>$5,895,580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,658,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$4,254,367</td>
<td>$1,241,738</td>
<td>$5,496,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,241,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$4,155,915</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td>$5,255,915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Purpose.
   It is the intent and purpose of the City to regulate exterior storage of materials on residential land in a manner to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the community including regulating the type and location of materials. The negative effects of unregulated exterior storage can endanger the health, safety and welfare of the community.

B. Regulated Materials.
   1. The following list of items shall not be stored outside of structures. Exterior storage means the physical presence of items not fully enclosed within a structure. Exterior storage means and includes, but shall not be limited to, the following:
      a. vehicle parts including but not limited to, alternators, engines, transmissions, wheels, tires, body panels, auto glass, interior panels, front and/or rear seats, taillights, head lights, and other vehicle parts thereof;
      b. household furniture including, but not limited to, mattresses, couches, recliners, tables, desks, bed frames, chairs, other furniture items, and parts thereof;
      c. appliances including but not limited to dishwashers, stoves, televisions, computers, kitchen accessories, electronic equipment and parts thereof;
      d. construction materials including but not limited to plaster, lumber, sheetrock, carpet, shelving, cement, bathtubs, toilets, pipe, and other such items that are not exempted under SMC 17C.110.270(B)(2);
      e. metal including but not limited to iron, steel, aluminum, and other such metals; and
      f. more than 4 motor vehicles (as defined in SMC 17C.020.220C), excluding vehicles legally parked in a driveway pursuant SMC 17C.230.145;
      g. Vehicles on block, jacks or otherwise elevated above the ground for more than 12 hours;
      h. any other items similar in nature.
   2. Materials that may be stored outside of structures include:
      a. construction materials that are maintained in a safe manner and in such a way that the materials do not create a hazard to the general public, or an attraction to children, and that are designated for projects on the parcel for which a building permit has been issued through the City of Spokane;
1. Construction materials used for a public works project may be temporarily stored on residential zones up to one year after construction begins.
   b. construction equipment including ladders, scaffolding, and other such items may be stored outside of structures as long as the equipment is maintained in a safe manner and in such a way that the materials do not create a hazard to the general public, or an attraction to children, and
   c. items that are manufactured for exterior usage and are being maintained including but not limited to: lawn/patio furniture and décor, benches, play equipment; sandboxes, barbecues, and bicycles.

3. Any items that are considered to be “litter” as according to SMC 10.08.010 including refuse, rubbish, garbage, discarded items and all waste material of every kind and description shall be regulated under Chapter 10.08 Offense Against Public Health.

C. Location.

1. Exterior storage of any of the items listed in SMC 17C.110.270(B)(2)(a) and SMC 17C.110.270(B)(2)(b) shall take place from the rear of the main dwelling unit to the rear of the property line,
   a. except permitted construction materials which may be stored up to thirty days in either side or front yard areas and are exempt from the fencing and screening requirements designated in subsection (C)(2) below.

2. Exterior storage areas shall be screened from view of the public right-of-way as defined in SMC 17A.020.180(R) through the use of sight-obscuring fencing that meets height requirements set in SMC 17C.110.230 or through the use of screening pursuant to SMC 17C.200.070(A)(1)

D. Violation—Enforcement and Penalty
   Violation of SMC 17C.110.270 shall constitute a class 2 civil infraction per SMC 1.05.160.

Date Passed: Monday, September 23, 2013

Effective Date: Sunday, November 3, 2013

ORD C35025 Section 1
Proposal: 4 cars per parcel parked outside of 20ft. set back and driveway.

Key:
- - - - Parcel line
\[\text{First 20ft. from lot line}\]

Parking allowed in rear and side yards

Residential Structure

Front yard 20ft.
Front lot line

20 ft., required parking spaces allowed

driveway minimum of 9 ft. wide or 20% of lot width
1.0 General

1.1 The Community Development Block Grant Program is a federal funded program that provides community development funds for cities. The purpose of this policy and procedure is to provide a definition and process for blight declaration for property specific instances of blight. The declaration of a blighted property provides the opportunity to use Community Development Block Grant dollars to reduce or eliminate conditions of slum or blight. The development of this policy and procedure is intended to meet documentation requirements for the use of Community Development Block Grant program slum and blight reduction or elimination national objective.
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2.0 Departments/Departments Affected
2.1 This policy shall apply to any Department applying for or participating in the application or monitoring for Community Development Block Grant blight program.

3.0 References
3.1 Code of Federal Regulations CDBG: 24 CFR 570.201, 570.202 and 570.208(b)(2)
3.2 40 USC, Chapter 3, Section 276a-276a-5; and 29 CFR Parts 1, 3, 5, 6 and 7
3.3 HUD’s Lead Safe Housing Rule Procedures 24 CFR Part 35

4.0 Definitions
4.1 A structure is blighted when it exhibits objectively determinable signs of deterioration sufficient to constitute a threat to human health, safety, and public welfare.
4.2 “Blight” is a property that substantially impairs or arrests the sound growth of the city or retards the provision of housing accommodations or constitutes an economic or social liability, and/or is detrimental, or constitutes a menace, to the public health, safety and welfare.
4.3 Conditions that contribute to blight: The general state of deterioration of the property exhibits the following: physical deterioration of buildings/improvements; Abandonment of property; known or suspected environmental contamination. Additional factors in defining blighted properties or areas include: physical dilapidation, deterioration, unsanitary or unsafe conditions, dangerous or unhealthful conditions, or hazardous soils or substances.
4.4 Meets one or more: physical decay, or environmental contaminations that are not located in a slum or blighted area: acquisition; clearance; relocation; historic
preservation on state or local level; remediation of environmentally contaminated properties; or rehabilitation of buildings or improvements.

5.0 Policy and Scope

5.1 It is the policy of the City of Spokane to consider blight designations only on a property specific basis.

6.0 Determination may include:

6.1 The investment of blighted properties that will produce a significant return on investment examples such as improved safety, use of a formerly abandoned building, or remediation of hazardous soils.

6.2 The blighted property must not be located in a blighted area as defined in 24 CFR 570.483(c)(3).

6.3 A demonstrated extent of conditions that contribute to blight as referenced in 4.0.

6.4 A blight elimination project application includes a proposed demonstrated change in the physical environment per definition in 4.0.

6.5 A proposed demonstrated ability to exhibit a physical change that is detrimental to public safety and health.

6.6 An applicant has demonstrated an exhaustion of all available funding resources or matching funds are available.

7.0 Procedure

7.1 The Community Housing and Human Services Departmental Staff shall follow procedures for blight declaration as follows:

   a. A property owner is required to submit a blight elimination project application form prepared by the Community Housing and Human Services department.

   b. The applicant shall prepare a blight elimination project application that includes information indicating how the property meets the definition of blight according to sections 4.0 Definitions and 6.0 Determination may include with photos demonstrating the specific conditions of blight. The application must also demonstrate how the proposed blight project seeks to correct life safety and public health concerns that contribute to blight.

   c. City staff and departments are able to assist the applicant to determine the feasibility and scope of a proposed blight reduction or elimination project.

   d. The Community Housing and Human Services Department shall review blight elimination project applications for eligibility with Code of Federal Regulations CDBG: 24 CFR 570.208(b)(2) prior to review of application by the Community Housing and Human Services Board.

   e. The Community Housing and Human Services Board has the authority to determine if the proposed blight elimination or reduction application meets the conditions that contribute to blight, criteria and the blight definition in sections 4.0 Definitions and 6.0 Determination may include.
f. The Community Housing and Human Services Board may consider a City of Spokane staff recommendation may be considered while determining the feasibility and effectiveness of a proposed blight elimination project.

g. The Community Housing and Human Services Board has the authority to allocate up to 30% of the annual allocation of Community Development Block Grant funds to address the specific conditions that contribute to blight to correct life safety and public health concerns of blighted properties.

h. Blight elimination project application forms will be accepted upon available funding for blight reduction or elimination and will be initiated by the Community Housing and Human Services Department.

i. After blight elimination project application forms have been submitted the Community Housing and Human Services Board may allocate funds.

j. If a blight elimination project application form is submitted out of cycle with the Action Plan the Community Housing and Human Services Board may consider a change to the Action Plan.

k. The blight conditions are considered satisfied once the specific life safety and public health conditions that contributed to blight are corrected and a physical change to the identified blighted conditions has occurred and is determined by the Community Housing and Human Services Department.

l. Applicant must submit and/or provide direct project documentation to substantiate allowability and reasonableness of expenditures requested in reimbursement as well as submission of project progress reports for monitoring project against intended outcomes to Grants Management or Community Housing and Human Services Department.

7.2

8.0 Responsibilities

8.1 The Community Housing and Human Services Department shall administer this policy and procedure.

8.2 It is the responsibility of the Community Housing and Human Services Department to verify Davis Bacon Compliance Consistency with 40 USC, Chapter 3, Section 276a-276a-5; and 29 CFR Parts 1, 3, 5, 6 and 7 and HUD’s Lead Safe Housing Rule Procedures 24 CFR Part 35.

8.3 Environmental reviews will be performed and completed by City of Spokane.

8.4 The project will be subject to monitoring to ensure compliance of Federal regulations, environmental and program requirements; work is performed in accordance with applicable codes and permits, and completion of project including outcomes by the Community Housing and Human Services Department and Grants Management and Financial Assistance.
Land Use Committee (LUC)  
Minutes for: March 19, 2015, 5-6:30 pm  
Facilitator: Margaret Jones  
Secretary: Teresa Kafentzis  
Executive Committee: Kelly Cruz, Patricia Hansen, Teresa Kafentzis, Margaret Jones  

Introductions  
- Kelly Cruz  
- Barbara Biles – Emerson Garfield  
- Margaret Jones  
- Karl Zacher – Browne’s Addition  
- David Burnett – Indian Trails, Neighborhood Liaison  
- Melissa Witt -- ONS  
- Louis Mueler – Planning Dept, Interim Director  
- Elaine Thorne – Comstock  
- Boris Borislov – Planning Dept  

Review and Approve Current Agenda  
Approved.  
Review and Approve Minutes for Previous Month  
Approved  

PRESENTATIONS:  
Louis Mueler, Interim Director - Planning Dept Updates - 30 min  
- STAFFING  
  o Planning Dept Program for Year  
  o Planning Director posting closes March 20  
  o March 31 potential first interviews  
  o Operating Manager – not posted yet  
  o Planning position open – not posted yet  
- Description of Typical Land Use Processes  
- Plan to verify that still current and post on website  
  o Graphic of written regulations  
  o Melissa gave Louis notes LUC made on flow charts during previous meetings  
- Looking into placing QR code on notification signs  
- Center and Corridors Guidelines – Lead by Amber Waldref
Webpage is set up under “Current Projects”
- Draft documents posted
- Encouraged more buildings up to the street with parking behind buildings
- Modernizing language from 12 years ago
- Plan Commission has had 3-4 meetings on subject
- March 25 – Plan Commission hearing
- City Council will hear about one month after PC hearing
- If big variations from standards, suggest going through Design Review process
- Landscaping guidelines to accommodate larger trees
- Buffering between commercial and residential

Transportation Chapter Update
- Draft should be available in a month for pedestrian updates, will have public meetings
- Subcommittee formed in PC – Transportation Plan Committee – to look at projects, similar to a stakeholders group. Several governmental entities and neighborhood members to provide guidance. Prioritize long-range transportation projects for 20-year plan.
- Transportation consultant will meet with plan staff to update street guidelines and designs, draft upcoming that will be available by summer.

Updating Comp Plans
- Goal to meet 2017 timeline

New Neighborhood Planning Projects
- Slots for 2 neighborhoods to move forward, one for NE and one from NW
- City Council will make selection with input from CA

Question: Any plans to expand Right of Way projects?
- Not at this time, very extensive projects
- Freya in Hillyard only have 30-foot ROW and will have to purchase additional
- Trying to use existing pavement as efficiently as possible
- Adding storm water facilities to existing ROW, example Monroe from 8th to 29th

Bike Lanes?
- Moving more toward buffered bike lanes
- Inga Note is working on overhaul of bike plan
- Some projects will use Green paint to designate bike lanes
- Looking at streets parallel to arterials for alternative bike lanes.

Boris Borisov, Planning Department – Neighborhood Retail Parking Standards
- Review information sent out ahead of meeting – 10 minutes
- 72 areas zones neighborhood retail, small to medium sizes of commercial areas; 313 parcels; 78% are along arterials
- How do we balance need for parking with increasing development and investment in neighborhood zones
- Some lots are small and current parking regulations can be financially restrictive
- Open house last week, adding in public input
- Proposal could lead to parking spillover into surrounding neighborhoods and businesses

PROPOSAL Overview:
- Draft was sent out with agenda
- Exempt parking requirements if building <3000 square feet
- Decrease stall size
- First 3,000 sf subtracted from buildings <5000 sf (no changes if >5000 sf)
- Doesn’t apply to outdoor eating spaces because they are seasonal.
- Next step is to take to the CA in April
- Send input to Boris at bborislov@spokanecity.org
- To Plan Commission for hearing in May
- City Council in June to finalize project
  - LUC recommendation is to send neighborhood councils before CA to allow adequate time for feedback

OLD BUSINESS
- Land Use Guidelines Project
  - Defer until Planning Department completes update of draft flowcharts

Reports:
- Plan Commission Update – Liaison, Dave Burnett (North Indian Trail)
  - April 1 at 6pm open house on short-term rentals in Council Chambers
- PeTT Committee Update – Paul Kropp (Southgate) – not present
- Planning & Development Quarterly Updates -- Louis Mueler, see above
- Building Stronger Neighborhoods (invite when needed)
- Community Development (invite when needed)

Good of the Order
- Next Meeting Items:
  - April 16 at 5:00 pm at West Central CC
    - Cell Tower Moratorium
    - Planning Director hiring follow-up
  - May meeting: Follow up on Plan Department flow-charts, Plan Department should have updates by then.

Adjourned at 6:15
New Planning Director Selection Process: Of the 14 applicants for the position, five met the basic criteria and were interviewed by a screening panel on March 31. Of those five, two were deemed to merit follow-up interviews. The position remains open for additional applications. I represented the CA on the screening panel.

Comprehensive Plan Amendments: The Plan Commission continues to have workshops and discussions on requested amendments to the CompPlan. Requested amendments are summarized at: https://beta.spokanecity.org/projects/compplanamendments2015/.

Centers & Corridors Design Standards Update: Staff and Council Member Waldref have made a number of revisions to the draft design standards, taking into account comments of the stakeholders group and the Plan Commission. The Commission suggested a few additional changes on March 25 and asked to take another look at the proposal in April.

David R. Burnett
dburnett@spokanecity.org
Below are the recommendations from Feb 25th as put forth by the DRB

MONROE/LINCOLN INTERCHANGE

At the February 25, 2015 Recommendation Meeting, a quorum of the Design Review Board unanimously passed the following motion.

The Design Review Board recommends the applicant consider the following:

• The DRB Recommends the proposal be approved as presented with the condition that additional boulders be added along the east edge of Lincoln at 7th to discourage pedestrian use.

KENDALL YARD 5TH PLAT

At the February 25, 2015 Recommendation Meeting, a quorum of the Design Review Board passed the following motion.

Based on review of the materials submitted by the applicant and discussion during the February 25, 2015 public workshop, the DRB recommends the following:

• The DRB recommends approval of the 5th Addition as presented tonight, including Tract A, assuming it remains similar to the Chestnut Tract

I was not able to attend on the review on March 25th the motions from that review will be presented in my report for May.

Any questions please let me know
PeTT Meeting of March 24, 2015

Agenda

Presentations
Safe Routes to School and Walking School Bus - Mariah Mackay - Regional Health District (handouts)

Presentation of the program including pilot schools under a three year Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) grant to encourage walking and biking to school. This is an opportunity to engage neighborhood councils to help with the program including Chief Gary, West Central, Logan and Southgate neighborhood councils based on the schools located in these neighborhoods that are participating in this pilot. Ms. Mackay can come out to neighborhood councils meetings to present the program. There is a staggered deployment of start dates at schools under this pilot for this Spring. The Health District is still looking for volunteers to be parent or adult to walk or bike with the group.

The Health District polled parents to see why they do not allow children to bike or walk to school:
1. Safety of intersections
2. Speed of traffic
3. Violence and crime

Looking for volunteers:
1 hour a week with varying duration based on schools

Reports
Neighborhood Services - Heather Trautman Cycle 5 Traffic Calming Project Summary Review of applications and timelines for project review (handout)

Plan commission Transportation Subcommittee - Kathy Miotke
The first two years of capital street project under the levy are under final review. The committee was encouraged to start looking at 2017-2022 plans for capital projects and should start looking at by June of this year. A matrix was proposed to the committee for use in the review of projects (criteria) for evaluating. The outcome intended for the Matrix is a scoring system for projects.

2015 Traffic Awareness and Safety Week/Westview Elementary - Nancy MacKerrow (not present) Paul Kropp presented (handout)
He proposed a Westview Elementary School Event (school located at 3520 W Bismark Avenue) to encourage students participating in the walk/bike program to vote for two tree plantings on May 6th. This is a kickoff event for the Traffic Awareness Week sponsored by PeTT, COPS Northwest and Washington Trust Bank.

Citizens Transportation Advisory Board (Transportation Benefit District) - Jim Bakke Committee
Jim reported that there is not an update as the committee has not met.

Old Business
Selection for 2015 Secretary - (deferred to next month)
Reconsider meeting day of the month - suggest third Wednesday (deferred to next month)

Meeting Adjourned
The Committee was asked by chair Brian McClatchey to approve appointing a Vice Chair for future sessions. He proposed either John Dietzman or Gail Prosser who are current members of the Plan Commission.

Brian also suggested changing locations from the briefing room in City Hall to Spokane Library and Community Centers. This may be a better way to include citizen participation.

We had a six year street program update from Brian Blankenagel. The first two years of the levy program projects are going through a final evaluation and this committee should consider working on the projects for the 2017-2022 which will come our way in June of this year. To that end, we had a long presentation and discussion on integrated streets, funding mechanisms & evaluation goals.

Kathryn Miller described how streets are first chosen which includes but limited to: funding available, utility match, condition of street, use of the street now and future use of the street.
Does it fit our goals for an integrated project, does it meet our goals within the Comprehensive Plan which calls for bike and pedestrian access and safety.

The last presentation was on the Evaluation Matrix which is a tool that each street project will go through to be evaluated and prioritized. It starts with Categories

Provide Transportation Choices
Accommodate Access to Daily Needs and Regional Destinations
Promote Economic Opportunity
Respect Natural and Neighborhood Assets
Enhance Public Health and Safety
Maximize Public Benefits and Fiscal Responsibility with Integrated Public Investments

For each category, there is a list of criteria. This evaluation matrix seemed a little too "simple" and there were a lot of questions. A workshop was held on March 17th that I could not attend but your chair Paul Kropp was there and reported to me that we will have the results from the questions asked during the workshop at our next meeting.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate on this subcommittee.
DISTRICT 1

Bemiss
Project Location: Intersection of East Empire & North Cook
Project Type: Arterial
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Safety
Suggested Solution: Solar lighting from solar roads or whatever engineering suggests to fix the intersection.

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School, Park, Library, Community Center, Business Center, Senior Living, low income housing, medical, dental
Comprehensive Plan:
Unique Roadway Geometry: Sight restrictions, offset intersection, when an east bound car is stopped to make a left turn onto Cook cars behind will go past, not being able to see pedestrians crossing or left turning cars going west making turn south onto Cook.
Transit Information: At bus stop, on Route # 27

Bemiss
Project Location: Regal and Grace
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 2
Perceived Problem: Safety
Suggested Solution: Infill sidewalk

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School, Park, Other (Church & Various Businesses)
Unusable Sidewalk Sections: Lack of ADA accessibility
Transit Information: Near Bus Stop-2 to 3 blocks
Necessary Signatures Needed: No

Chief Garry Park
Project Location: Intersection of Nora and E. South Crescent
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speeding & Safety
Suggested Solution: Traffic Island or Median

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School, walking route for students to Stevens
Unique Roadway Geometry: Sight restrictions, offset intersection
Signatures Necessary: Yes
**Nevada Lidgerwood**  
Project Location: Intersection of E. Magnesium Rd & N. Antietam Dr.  
Project Type: Arterial  
Project Rank: 1  
Perceived Problem: Safety  
Suggested Solution: Crosswalk with 2 solar-powered “20 mph when flashing” signs each side of intersection.

**Additional Information:**  
Pedestrian Generators: School-Shiloh Hills Elementary School  
Comprehensive Plan: Bike Master Plan-Bike Lane  
Unique Roadway Geometry: Sight Restrictions  
Transit Information: Near Bus Stop-one block, On Route #26

**Whitman**  
Project Location: Rowan & Magnolia  
Project Type: Arterial  
Project Rank: 1  
Perceived Problem: Safety  
Suggested Solution: 2 Textured and colored pavement with painted parallel bar crosswalks 1.) Crossing Rowan at the corner of 1700 E. Rowan and N. 5500 Magnolia 2.) Mid-block crossing Magnolia St. at 5400 N. Magnolia & 1800 E. Rowan.

**Additional Information:**  
Pedestrian Generators: Schools, Park, Community Center, Magnolia Care Facilities, Rogers High School  
Comprehensive Plan: Bike Master Plan-Marked/Shared Roadway  
Unusable Sidewalk Section: Lack of ADA Ramps  
Unique Roadway Geometry: Offset intersection  
Transit Information: On Route 27

**Whitman**  
Project Location: East Side of Pittsburgh St. from Rowan Ave. to Everett St., either side of North Ave. and Sanson Ave. between Pittsburgh St. and Magnolia St.  
Project Type: Residential  
Project Rank: 2  
Perceived Problem: Safety  
Suggested Solution: Infill Sidewalks on East Side of Pittsburgh St. from Rowan Ave. to Everett St. and either side of North Ave. and Sanson Ave. between Pittsburgh St. and Magnolia St.

**Additional Information:**  
Pedestrian Generators: Schools (Whitman Elementary), Park (Rochester Heights)  
Comprehensive Plan: Bike Master Plan-Marked/Shared Roadway,
Unique Roadway Geometry: Broken up/heaved sidewalk, Lack of ADA ramps, blocked sections
Transit Information: On Route 27, approx.. 1500 ft from bus stop
Signatures Necessary: Need 3 more signatures
DISTRICT 2

Browne's Addition
Project Location: Intersection of Coeur d’ Alene St./Spruce St. and 4th Ave.
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed & Safety
Suggested Solution: Traffic Island, landscaping, signage stamped concrete walkways linking each aspect of the intersection to visually alert vehicles and pedestrians.

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: Park (Coeur d’ Alene), Community Center (SW Spokane CC), Lutheran Church and Community Garden, Isabella House for Women and Children, Yasodhara Yoga Studio
Unique Roadway Geometry: Offset intersection
Transit Information: On Route #60/61, 2 Bus stops within ½ block each direction

Cliff Cannon
Project Location: South Maple Boulevard between 16th Ave. and left turn onto Maple Blvd from Cedar St.
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed
Suggested Solution: Chicanes, 2 sets (4 total), will be looking at Greening Grants for trees in chicanes

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School bus pickup point at NE Corner of intersection of Maple Boulevard and 17th Ave.
Unique Roadway Geometry: Sharp curve from 17th avenue northward toward 16th Ave. steep hill between 17th Ave. and left turn (traveling NW) onto Maple Blvd from Cedar St.
Necessary Signatures: Yes

Comstock
Project Location: Manito Blvd and Tekoa
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Safety
Suggested Solution: In-fill Sidewalk north on Tekoa and Piano Style Crosswalk on the west side of the Manito Blvd & Tekoa intersection

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School, Park (Hart Field)
Unusable Sidewalk Sections: Lack of ADA ramps
Unique Roadway Geometry: A lot of movement and access for vehicles makes for unsafe crossing.
Transit Information: Near Bus Stop-about 100ft.
Necessary Signatures: Yes
Comstock

Project Location: Grand Ave. between 29th and 32nd Ave.
Project Type: Arterial
Project Rank: 2

Perceived Problem: Speed, Safety, Volume

Suggested Solution: Conduct a traffic and access study in order to analyze the reconfiguration of grand Boulevard between 29th Ave. and 32nd Ave. The results of the traffic and access study may lead to a re-striping pilot project similar to the re-striping project on East Sprague. The traffic and access study would consider reducing the number of traffic lanes from 5-lane street section to a 3 lane street section beginning at 30th Ave. and continuing south. The study would consider: 1) Marked crosswalks with pedestrian refuge island at 30th, 31st and 32nd Ave. 2) On-street parking on Grand Blvd. between curb cuts 3) Potential relocation of curb cuts to accommodate crosswalks/pedestrian refuge medians and on-street parking 4) Consultation and coordination with businesses, property owners and Spokane Public Schools in order to maintain and improve access to their properties within the Grand District Center.

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School (Sacajawea), Business Center (Grand District Center), Church, Hart Field (athletic fields)
Comprehensive Plan: Bike Master Plan-Shared Roadway, On Draft Pedestrian Priority Map as Priority Area
Unique Roadway Geometry: 5 lanes merge to 3 lanes between 31st and 32nd Ave.

Transit Information: On Route #44

Estimated Cost: East Central

Project Location: 5th Ave & Altamont St.
Project Type: Arterial
Project Rank: 1

Perceived Problem: Speeding, Safety & Speed

Suggested Solution: 1.) Crosswalk with signage on all 4 legs of the intersection 2.) If warranted by traffic counts, a traffic light.

Additional Information
Comprehensive Plan: Bike Master Plan-Marked/Shared Roadway
Transit Information: Near bus stop, on Route #94

Grandview Thorpe

Project Location: East side of Lindeke from 13th to 14th Ave.
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1

Perceived Problem: Safety

Suggested Solution: In-fill Sidewalks and ramps on eastside of Lindeke

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: One of the only walking routes out of the neighborhood also has school bus stops within this block and connects to
the Fish Lake Trail

**Unusable Sidewalk Sections:** Broken up/heaved sidewalk, Lack of ADA ramps, narrow sidewalk, gaps

**Comprehensive Plan:** Bike Master Plan-Shared Roadway

**Transit Information:** Near Bus Stop-1/3 of a mile to Sunset Hwy.

**Necessary Signatures:** minimal

**Estimated Cost:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>E. 2200 block of Altamont Blvd., corner of 9th and one block east along North Altamont Blvd.</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Speed, Safety</td>
<td>Narrowed lanes, reduced corner radii, bulb out, landscaping or street trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Heights</td>
<td>27th Ave at Fiske &amp; Mt. Vernon</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Speed, Safety</td>
<td>2 Traffic Circles 1.) 27th and Fiske and 27th and Mt. Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manito Cannon Hill</td>
<td>29th and Lincoln</td>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Speed, Safety</td>
<td>Flashing light on top of STOP sign with 18month Review, if Concrete Island is a suggested solution the neighborhood wants to have crosswalk redirected to a block down to create a safer option for pedestrians.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Peaceful Valley

Project Location: West Clarke Ave. between Elm and Ash St.
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed, Safety
Suggested Solution: Chicanes (one set)

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: Frequent daily use of roadway by runners from Spokane Club, both daytime and after dark, and proposed loop trail to extend west on Clarke to “People’s Park”
Transit Information: Near Bus Stop-about 100 ft., Route #20
Necessary Signatures: Yes

Rockwood

Project Location: Rockwood Blvd. between Arthur & Southeast Blvd.
Project Type: Arterial
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed, Safety
Suggested Solution: Speed Feedback Sign (permanent but mobile)

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School (students attending Hutton Elementary need to cross Rockwood and there are no crosswalks
Unusable Sidewalk Sections: Lack of ADA accessibility and there are no sidewalks from Perry to Southeast Blvd.
Unique Roadway Geometry: Sight Restrictions
District 3

Balboa/SIT
Project Location: Woodside Ave. on F St., Dell St., & Alberta St.
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed, Volume
Suggested Solution: Traffic Circles

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School, Balboa Elementary & Salk Middle School
Unique Roadway Geometry: large roadway for a residential street.
Transit Information: Near Bus Stop-about 1 block away
Necessary Signatures: Yes

Emerson Garfield
Project Location: Northwest Blvd. and N. Adams
Project Type: Arterial
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed, Safety
Suggested Solution: Crosswalk, textured colored pavement, traffic island medians, landscaping or street trees, speed feedback sign, signage (warning of school and children crossing), better lighting

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: Montessori School
Comprehensive Plan: Bike Master Plan-Bike Lane
Unusable Sidewalk Sections: Lack of ADA ramps
Unique Roadway Geometry: Offset Intersection
Transit Information: Near a Bus Stop-4 blocks
Necessary Signatures: Yes

Emerson Garfield
Project Location: Alice Ave. and Cedar St.
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 2
Perceived Problem: Speed
Suggested Solution: Traffic Circle

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: Emerson Park
Unusable Sidewalk Sections: Broken up/heaved sidewalk, Lack of ADA ramps
**Northwest**

**Project Location:** Greenwood Blvd between Olympic & Litchfield  
**Project Type:** Residential  
**Project Rank:** 1  
**Perceived Problem:** Speed  
**Suggested Solution:** Traffic Circle

**Additional Information:**  
**Pedestrian Generators:** School  
**Unusable Sidewalk Sections:** Lack of ADA accessibility, Blocked Sections  
**Unique Roadway Geometry:** Sight restrictions, Offset intersection  
**Necessary Signatures:** Yes

---

**North Hill**

**Project Location:** Garland Ave. & Stevens Street  
**Project Type:** Arterial  
**Project Rank:** 1  
**Perceived Problem:** Speed  
**Suggested Solution:** Bump outs with remark existing crosswalk and reposition crosswalk sign as needed.

**Additional Information:**  
**Pedestrian Generators:** School, Garland Business District  
**Comprehensive Plan:** Bike Master Plan-Marked/Shared Roadway, Located as Pedestrian Priority Area  
**Unusable Sidewalk Sections:** Lack of ADA accessibility  
**Unique Roadway Geometry:** Offset intersection  
**Transit Information:** Near Bus Route-#24 Route, 1/3 mile

---

**North Indian Trail**

**Project Location:** Intersection of North Indian Trail & West Francis  
**Project Type:** Arterial  
**Project Rank:** 1  
**Perceived Problem:** Safety  
**Suggested Solution:** Crosswalk with HAWK or RRFB light

**Additional Information:**  
**Pedestrian Generators:** School, Park, Business Center  
**Comprehensive Plan:** Designated as Pedestrian Priority Area  
**Unusable Sidewalk Sections:** Lack of ADA accessibility  
**Unique Roadway Geometry:** Sight Restrictions, Offset intersection  
**Transit Information:** At a Bus Stop, On Route-#23
West Central
Project Location: Northside of Maple St. Bridge Area
Project Type: Arterial
Project Rank: 1
Perceived Problem: Speed
Suggested Solution: Permanent Solar Speed Feedback Sign as cars exit off of the Maple St. Bridge

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: Retirement Home

---

West Central
Project Location: Maxwell Ave/Mission Ave. & Cedar
Project Type: Residential
Project Rank: 2
Perceived Problem: Speed, Safety, Volume
Suggested Solution: Realignment of traffic islands at Maxwell Ave/Mission Ave. & Cedar St. with addition of stormwater mitigation such as native plants or trees

Additional Information:
Pedestrian Generators: School, Park, Community Center
Unusable Sidewalk Sections: Lack of ADA accessibility, blocked sections
Transit Information: Near Bus Stop & Bus Route-2 blocks

---
Neighborhood Retail Parking Standards

Proposal Overview
April 2015

Boris Borisov, Assistant Planner
Planning & Development Services
Purpose of Change

Neighborhood Retail (NR) zones are typically small scale commercial areas many of which are historically located adjacent to or surrounded by Residential Single Family
Purpose of Change

The goal is to balance the need for parking while encouraging revitalization of small scale, neighborhood serving businesses.
Purpose of Change

Small neighborhood serving business centers are desired by the community. If parking standards are reduced, a hurdle to development is removed or lessened.
Neighborhood Retail Zones

By the Numbers

72 Neighborhood Retail Zoned Areas

313 Neighborhood Retail Zoned Parcels

78 of 313 Neighborhood Retail parcels are adjacent to Multiple Zones
226 of 313 Neighborhood Retail parcels are adjacent to Residential Zones

78% Of these are along Arterials

22% Of these are on Residential Streets
Proposal

Retain current parking standards (rates) in Neighborhood Retail Zones
Proposed Changes

Adopt exemption for existing building, new building, or building addition with 3,000 sq. ft. or less
Proposed Changes

Adopt smaller parking stall size to match standards of Centers & Corridors
Proposed Changes

For building floor area is less than 5,000 sq. ft. the parking requirement will be credited with a 3,000 sq. ft. exemption. For example, the parking requirement for a 4,000 sq. ft. building is calculated by subtracting 3000 from 4000; this would leave 1000 sq. ft. that parking calculations would be made upon.
What This Proposal Means for a 5,000 sq. ft. Building with 3,000 sq. ft. credit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Current # of parking spaces required</th>
<th># of parking spaces required under proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate: 1/500 sq ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate: 1/330 sq ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurants</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate: 1/250 sq ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate: 1/500 sq ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate: 1/500 sq ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daycare</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate: 1/500 sq ft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example: Nebraska & Wall

Current total sf: 4,142*
Current min. parking requirement: 17**
Parking min. requirement under proposal: 5

*Estimate based on County Assessor info, actual floor area may be smaller
**Estimate for illustration purposes only
Example: Indian Trail Road & Holyoke

Current total sf: 37,930*
Current min. parking requirement: 115**
Parking min. requirement under proposal: 115

*Estimate based on County Assessor info, actual floor area may be smaller
**Estimate for illustration purposes only
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