### **CITY OF SPOKANE**



### **REGARDING CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS**

Notice is hereby given that City Council has resumed in-person meetings. City Council's standing committee meetings, Briefing Sessions, Legislative Sessions and study sessions are held in City Council Chambers – Lower Level of City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.

City Council Members, City staff, presenters and members of the public will still have the option to participate virtually via WebEx during all meetings, with the exception of Executive Sessions which are closed to the public. Call in information for the May 22, 2023, meetings is below. All meetings will continue to be streamed live on Channel 5 and online at <a href="https://my.spokanecity.org/citycable5/live">https://my.spokanecity.org/citycable5/live</a> and <a href="https://my.spokanecity.org/citycable5/live">https://my.spokanecitycable5/live</a> and <a href="https://my.spokaneci

### WebEx call in information for the week of May 22, 2023:

<u>3:30 p.m. Briefing Session</u>: 1-408-418-9388; access code: 2485 859 8861; password: 0320

<u>6:00 p.m. Legislative Session</u>: 1-408-418-9388; access code: 2490 613 5318; password: 0320

Thursday Study Session: 1-408-418-9388; access code: 2490 239 4174; password: 0320

### To participate in public comment (including Open Forum):

Testimony sign up is open from 5:00-6:00 p.m. on Monday, May 22, 2023. You must sign up by 6:00 p.m. to be called on to testify. Those wishing to give testimony virtually can sign up between 5:00-6:00 p.m. at <u>https://forms.gle/Vd7n381x3seaL1NW6</u>. (If you are unable to access the form by clicking the hyperlink, please copy and paste the link address into your browser window.) Instructions for participation are provided on the form when you sign up.

The Open Forum is a limited public forum; all matters discussed in the open forum shall relate to the affairs of the City and items of interest not relating to the Current or Advance Agendas, pending hearing items, or initiatives or referenda in a pending election. Individuals speaking during the open forum shall address their comments to the Council President and shall not use profanity, engage in obscene speech, or make personal comment or verbal insults about any individual.

### CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS RULES – PUBLIC DECORUM

Strict adherence to the following rules of decorum by the public will be observed and adhered to during City Council meetings, including open forum, public comment period on legislative items, and Council deliberations:

- 1. No Clapping!
- 2. No Cheering!
- 3. No Booing!
- 4. No public outbursts!
- 5. Three-minute time limit for comments made during public testimony on legislative items (two minutes for open forum)!

### In addition, please silence your cell phones when entering the Council Chambers!

Further, keep the following City Council Rules in mind:

### Rule 2.2OPEN FORUM

- A. At the 6:00 p.m. legislative session, prior to the consideration of consent or legislative items, the Council shall hold an open forum unless a majority of Council Members vote otherwise. The open forum shall have 15 (fifteen) spaces of two minutes each available and members of the public who have not spoken during open forum during that calendar month will be prioritized for spaces ahead of those who have spoken during that calendar month.
- B. Members of the public can sign up for open forum in the hour preceding the legislative session, or at the conclusion of the briefing session, whichever is later, via the virtual testimony form linked in the meeting packet or in person outside Council Chambers. Each speaker must sign themselves using their true first and last name. Members of the public who are unable to sign up during the sign up period or who attempt to sign up late will not be added to the list of speakers. The order of the speakers will be determined at the discretion of the chair. Each speaker shall be limited to no more than two minutes unless a majority of the Council Members in attendance vote on an alternate time limit.
- C. No action, other than a statement of Council Members' intent to address the matter in the future, points of order, or points of information will be taken by Council Members during an open forum.
- D. The open forum is a limited public forum and all matters discussed in the open forum shall relate to the affairs of the City. No person shall be permitted to speak in open forum regarding items on that week's current agenda or the next week's advanced agenda, pending hearing items, or initiatives or referenda in a pending election. Individuals speaking during open forum shall address their comments to the Council President and shall maintain decorum as laid out in Rule 2.15(E). Legal or personal matters between private parties that do not impact the governance of the City of Spokane are not a permissible topic of open forum testimony.

#### Rule 2.7 SERVICE ANIMALS AT CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS

- A. For purposes of these Rules, only dogs that are individually trained to do work or perform tasks for a person with a disability are recognized as service animals. Dogs or other animals whose sole function is to provide comfort or emotional support do not qualify as service animals under these Rules. Service animals are permitted to accompany people with disabilities in City Council meetings, as well as all areas where members of the public are allowed to go.
- B. Service animals must, at all times while present in a City Council meeting, be harnessed, leashed, or tethered, unless these devices interfere with the service animal's work or the individual's disability prevents using these devices, in which case, the individual must maintain control of the animal through voice, signal, or other effective controls.

### Rule 2.15PARTICIPATION OF MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN COUNCIL MEETINGS

- A. Members of the public may address the Council regarding the following items during the Council's legislative session: the consent agenda as a whole, all first reading ordinances together (with the exception of first reading ordinances associated with Hearings, which shall be taken separately), final readings of regular and special budget ordinances, emergency ordinances, special consideration items, hearing items, and other items before the City Council requiring Council action, except those that are adjudicatory or solely administrative in nature. This rule shall not limit the public's right to speak on issues that are not part of the current or advanced agendas during open forum.
- B. No member of the public may speak without first being recognized for that purpose by the chair. Except for named parties to an adjudicative hearing, a person may be required to sign a sign-up sheet and provide their city of residence as a condition of recognition. Council Members must be recognized by the chair for the purpose of obtaining the floor.

- C. Each person speaking in a public Council meeting shall verbally identify themselves by true first and last name, city of residence, and, if appropriate, representative capacity.
- D. Each speaker shall follow all written and verbal instructions so that verbal remarks are electronically recorded, and documents submitted for the record are identified and marked by the Clerk.
- E. In order that evidence and expressions of opinion be included in the record and that decorum befitting a deliberative process be maintained, no modes of expression not provided by these rules, including but not limited to demonstrations, banners, signs, applause, profanity, vulgar language or obscene speech, physically pounding the dais or other furniture, yelling, or personal comments or verbal insults about any individual will be permitted.
- F. A speaker asserting a statement of fact may be asked by a Council Member to document and identify the sources of the factual datum being asserted.
- G. When addressing the Council, members of the public shall direct all remarks to the Council President, shall refrain from remarks directed personally to any Council Member or any other individual, and shall confine remarks to the matters that are specifically before the Council at that time.
- H. City employees may participate in public comment, including open forum, providing they are in compliance with the City of Spokane Code of Ethics and they do the following:
  - 1. Announce at the beginning of their testimony that they are there in their personal capacity or their capacity as a member of a relevant board, commission, committee or community group;
  - 2. Protect confidential information, including, but not limited to, confidential financial information and attorney-client communications;
  - 3. Do not use, or be perceived to use, City funds, including giving testimony during paid work time or while in uniform; or City property, including using a City-issued computer or cell phone, in giving testimony.
- I. When any person, including members of the public, City staff, and others, are addressing the Council, Council Members shall observe the same decorum and process, as the rules require among the members *inter se*. That is, a Council Member shall not engage the person addressing the Council in colloquy but shall speak only when granted the floor by the Council President. All persons and/or Council Members shall not interrupt one another. The duty of mutual respect and avoiding unlawful harassment set forth in Rule 1.2 and the rules governing debate set forth in *Robert's Rules of Order, newly revised*, shall extend to all speakers before the City Council. The City Council's Director of Policy and Government Relations and/or City Attorney shall, with the assistance of Council staff, assist the Council President to ensure that all individuals desiring to speak shall be identified, appropriately recognized, and provided the opportunity to speak. All persons attending City Council Meetings or City Council sponsored meetings shall refrain from unlawfully harassing other attendees or risk being removed and/or prohibited from attending future meetings.

#### Rule 2.16 PUBLIC TESTIMONY REGARDING LEGISLATIVE AGENDA ITEMS – TIME LIMITS

- A. Members of the public can sign up to give testimony in the hour preceding the legislative session, or at the conclusion of the briefing session, whichever is later, via the virtual testimony form linked in the meeting packet or in person outside Council Chambers. Each speaker must sign themselves using their true first and last name. Members of the public who are unable to sign up during the sign up period or who attempt to sign up late will not be added to the list of speakers. The order of the speakers shall be determined at the discretion of the chair.
- B. The City Council shall take public testimony on all matters included on its legislative agenda as described at Rule 2.15(A), with those exceptions stated in Rule 2.16(B). Public testimony shall be limited to the final Council action, except that public testimony shall be allowed at the first reading of ordinances. Public testimony shall be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker unless the time limit is adjusted by a majority vote of the Council. The chair may allow additional time if the speaker is asked to respond to questions from the Council. Public testimony and consideration of an item may be extended to a subsequent meeting by a majority vote of the Council.
- C. No public testimony shall be taken on amendments to consent or legislative agenda items, votes to override a Mayoral veto, or solely procedural, parliamentary, or administrative matters of the Council.
- D. Public testimony will be taken on consent and legislative items that are moved to Council's regular briefing session or study session unless a majority of Council votes otherwise during the meeting in which the items are moved.
- E. For legislative or hearing items that may affect an identifiable individual, association, or group, the following procedure may be implemented at the discretion of the Council President:

- 1. Following an assessment by the chair of factors such as complexity of the issue(s), the apparent number of people indicating a desire to testify, representation by designated spokespersons, etc., the chair shall, in the absence of objection by the majority of the Council present, impose the following procedural time limitations for taking public testimony regarding legislative matters:
  - a. There shall be up to fifteen (15) minutes for staff, board, or commission presentation of background information, if any.
  - b. The designated representative of the proponents of the issue shall speak first and may include within their presentation the testimony of expert witnesses, visual displays, and any other reasonable methods of presenting the case. Up to thirty (30) minutes may be granted for the proponent's presentation. If there be more than one designated representative, they shall allocate the allotted time between or among themselves.
  - c. Following the presentation of the proponents of the issue, three (3) minutes shall be granted for any other person not associated with the designated representative of the proponents who wishes to speak on behalf of the proponent's position.
  - d. The designated representative, if any, of the opponents of the issue shall speak following the presentation of the testimony of expert witnesses, visual displays, and any other reasonable methods of presenting the case. The designated representative(s) of the opponents shall have the same amount of time which was allotted to the proponents.
  - e. Following the presentation by the opponents of the issue, three (3) minutes shall be granted for any other person not associated with the designated representative of the opponents who wishes to speak on behalf of the opponents' position.
  - f. Up to ten (10) minutes of rebuttal time may be granted to the designated representative for each side, the proponents speaking first, the opponents speaking second.
- 2. In the event the party or parties representing one side of an issue has a designated representative and the other side does not, the chair shall publicly ask the unrepresented side if they wish to designate one or more persons to utilize the time allotted for the designated representative. If no such designation is made, each person wishing to speak on behalf of the unrepresented side shall be granted three (3) minutes to present their position, and no additional compensating time shall be allowed due to the fact that the side has no designated representative.
- 3. In the event there appears to be more than two groups wishing to advocate their distinct positions on a specific issue, the chair may grant the same procedural and time allowances to each group or groups, as stated previously.
- 4. In the event that the side for which individuals wish to speak is not identified, those wishing to give testimony shall be granted three (3) minutes to present their position after all sides have made their initial presentations and before each side's rebuttal period.
- F. The time taken for staff or Council Member questions and responses thereto shall be in addition to the time allotted for any individual or designated representative's testimony.
- G. Testimony may also be submitted by mail to City Council Office, Spokane City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA, 99201, by email to all Council Members, or via the Contact form on the Council's website.

# THE CITY OF SPOKANE



## ADVANCE COUNCIL AGENDA

## MEETING OF MONDAY, MAY 22, 2023

## **MISSION STATEMENT**

TO DELIVER EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE SERVICES THAT FACILITATE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AND ENHANCE QUALITY OF LIFE.

> MAYOR NADINE WOODWARD COUNCIL PRESIDENT BREEAN BEGGS

Council Member Jonathan Bingle Council Member Lori Kinnear Council Member Betsy Wilkerson COUNCIL MEMBER MICHAEL CATHCART COUNCIL MEMBER KAREN STRATTON COUNCIL MEMBER ZACK ZAPPONE

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS CITY HALL 808 W. SPOKANE FALLS BLVD. SPOKANE, WA 99201

## LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge that we are on the unceded land of the Spokane people. And that these lands were once the major trading center for the Spokanes as they shared this place and welcomed other area tribes through their relations, history, trade, and ceremony. We also want to acknowledge that the land holds the spirit of the place, through its knowledge, culture, and all the original peoples Since Time Immemorial.

As we take a moment to consider the impacts of colonization may we also acknowledge the strengths and resiliency of the Spokanes and their relatives. As we work together making decisions that benefit all, may we do so as one heart, one mind, and one spirit.

We are grateful to be on the shared lands of the Spokane people and ask for the support of their ancestors and all relations. We ask that you recognize these injustices that forever changed the lives of the Spokane people and all their relatives.

We agree to work together to stop all acts of continued injustices towards Native Americans and all our relatives. It is time for reconciliation. We must act upon the truths and take actions that will create restorative justice for all people.

> Adopted by Spokane City Council on the 22nd day of March, 2021 *via Resolution 2021-0019*

## **BRIEFING AND LEGISLATIVE SESSIONS**

The Briefing Session is open to the public, but will be a workshop meeting. Discussion will be limited to Council Members and appropriate Staff and Counsel. Pursuant to Council Rule 2.16.C, public testimony will be taken on consent and legislative items that are moved to Council's regular Briefing Session unless a majority of Council votes otherwise during the meeting in which the items are moved. The Legislative Session is also open to the public and public comment will be taken on Legislative Session items, except those that are adjudicatory or solely administrative in nature. Following the conclusion of the Legislative Agenda, an Open Forum will be held unless a majority of Council Members vote otherwise. Please see additional Open Forum information that appears at the end of the City Council agenda.

SPOKANE CITY COUNCIL BRIEFING SESSIONS (BEGINNING AT 3:30 P.M. EACH MONDAY) AND LEGISLATIVE SESSIONS (BEGINNING AT 6:00 P.M. EACH MONDAY) ARE BROADCAST LIVE ON CITY CABLE CHANNEL FIVE AND STREAMED LIVE ON THE CHANNEL FIVE WEBSITE. THE SESSIONS ARE REPLAYED ON CHANNEL FIVE ON THURSDAYS AT 6:00 P.M. AND FRIDAYS AT 10:00 A.M.

### **ADDRESSING THE COUNCIL**

- No member of the public may speak without first being recognized for that purpose by the Chair. Except for named parties to an adjudicative hearing, a person may be required to sign a sign-up sheet and provide their city of residence as a condition of recognition. Council Members must be recognized by the chair for the purpose of obtaining the floor.
- Each person speaking at the public microphone shall verbally identify themselves by their true first and last name, city of residency and, if appropriate, representative capacity.
- Each speaker shall follow all written and verbal instructions so that verbal remarks are electronically recorded, and documents submitted for the record are identified and marked by the Clerk. (If you are submitting letters or documents to the Council Members, please provide a minimum of ten copies via the City Clerk. The City Clerk is responsible for officially filing and distributing your submittal.)
- In order that evidence and expressions of opinion be included in the record and that decorum befitting a deliberative process be maintained, no modes of expression including but not limited to demonstrations, banners, signs, applause, profanity, vulgar language, or personal insults will be permitted.
- A speaker asserting a statement of fact may be asked to document and identify the source of the factual datum being asserted.
- When addressing the Council, members of the public shall direct all remarks to the Council President, shall refrain from remarks directed personally to any Council Member or any other individual, and shall continue to the matters that are specifically before the Council at that time.
- City staff may participate in public comment, including open forum, providing they are in compliance with the City of Spokane Code of Ethics and they follow the steps outlined in the City Council Rules of Procedure.

**SPEAKING TIME LIMITS:** Unless the time limit is adjusted by a majority vote of the Council, each person addressing the Council shall be limited to a two-minute speaking time during Open Forum and a three-minute speaking time for other matters. The chair may allow additional time if the speaker is asked to respond to questions from the Council. Public testimony and consideration of an item may be extended to a subsequent meeting by a majority vote of the Council. Note: No public testimony shall be taken on amendments to consent or legislative agenda items, or solely procedural, parliamentary, or administrative matters of the Council, including veto overrides.

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA:** The City Council Advance and Current Agendas may be obtained prior to Council Meetings by accessing the City website at <u>https://my.spokanecity.org</u>.

## **BRIEFING SESSION**

(3:30 p.m.) (Council Chambers Lower Level of City Hall) (No Public Testimony Taken)

ROLL CALL OF COUNCIL

INTERVIEWS OF NOMINEES TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

COUNCIL OR STAFF REPORTS OF MATTERS OF INTEREST

ADVANCE AGENDA REVIEW (Staff or Council Member briefings and discussion)

APPROVAL BY MOTION OF THE ADVANCE AGENDA

CURRENT AGENDA REVIEW (Presentation of any new background information and discussion of any adjustments)

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

(Closed Session of Council)

(Executive Session may be held or reconvened during the 6:00 p.m. Legislative Session)

## **LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

(6:00 P.M.) (Council Reconvenes in Council Chamber)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

WORDS OF INSPIRATION AND SPECIAL INTRODUCTIONS

**ROLL CALL OF COUNCIL** 

COUNCIL AND COMMITTEE REPORTS (Committee Reports for City Council Standing Committees and other Boards and Commissions)

**PROCLAMATIONS AND SALUTATIONS** 

REPORTS FROM NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCILS AND/OR OTHER CITY-SPONSORED COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS

ANNOUNCEMENTS (Announcements regarding Changes to the City Council Agenda)

## **NO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS APPOINTMENTS**

## ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

## **OPEN FORUM**

At each meeting, before the consideration of the Consent Agenda, the Council shall hold an open public comment period for up to 15 (fifteen) speakers. Each speaker is limited to no more than two minutes. In order to participate in Open Forum, you must sign up by 6:00 p.m. If more than 15 (fifteen) speakers wish to participate in Open Forum, members of the public who have not spoken during that calendar month will be prioritized. A sign-up form will be available on the day of the meeting from 5:00-6:00 p.m. outside of Council Chambers for in-person attendees. Virtual sign up is open between 5:00-6:00 p.m. at <a href="https://forms.gle/Vd7n381x3seaL1NW6">https://forms.gle/Vd7n381x3seaL1NW6</a>. (If you are unable to access the form by clicking the hyperlink, please copy and paste the link address into your browser window.) Instructions for virtual participation are provided on the form when you sign up. The Open Forum is a limited public forum; all matters discussed in the open forum shall relate to the affairs of the City and items of interest not relating to the Current or Advance Agendas, pending hearing items, or initiatives or referenda in a pending election. Individuals speaking during the open forum shall address their comments to the Council President and shall not use profanity, engage in obscene speech, or make personal comment or verbal insults about any individual.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

### **REPORTS, CONTRACTS AND CLAIMS**

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

1.	Purchase from Contract Design Associates (Spokane) of desks and cubicle walls for the Community, Housing, and Human Services Department utilizing the Omnia Partners Contract No. 2020000622—\$113,856.83. (Council Sponsor: Council Member Kinnear) Jen Cerecedes	Approve	OPR 2023-0530
2.	2023 Annual Action Plan component of the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan for Community Development Program as required by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. (Council Sponsor: Council Member Stratton) Richard Culton	Approve	OPR 2023-0531
The	following item is tentatively placed on this Agenda pend	ing requested	lafarral from the
		ing requested t	
	15, 2023, Agenda (OPR 2023-0467):	A	
3.	Low Bid of (to be determined at bid opening to be held	Approve	OPR 2023-0467
	on May 1, 2023) (City, ST) for Garland Avenue Pathway,		ENG 2021084
	Shaw Middle School project-\$ An		
	administrative reserve of \$, which is 10% of the		
	contract price, will be set aside. (Hillyard		
	Neighborhood) (Council Sponsor: Council Member		
	Kinnear) <mark>Dan Buller</mark>		

4.	Low Bid of ( <u>to be determined at bid opening to be held</u> on 5/15/23) ( <u>City, ST</u> ) for 2023 Residential Grind and Overlay project—\$ An administrative reserve of \$, which is 10% of the contract price, will be set aside. (Council Sponsors: Council Members Kinnear and Bingle) Dan Buller	Approve	OPR 2023-0532 ENG 2023044
5.	Low Bid of (to be determined at bid opening to be held on 5/15/23) (City, ST) for 2023 Paving Unpaved Streets project- \$ An administrative reserve of \$, which is 10% of the contract price, will be set aside. (Various Neighborhoods) (Council Sponsors: Council Members Kinnear and Bingle) Dan Buller	Approve	OPR 2023-0533 ENG 2023049
6.	Multiple Family Housing Property Tax Exemption Conditional Agreements with:	Approve All	
	a. Washington Estate Services LLC for the future construction of approximately 52 units, at Parcel Number 36283.0406, commonly known as 1911 E. Lyons Avenue. (Council Sponsors: Council Members Cathcart and Bingle)		OPR 2023-0534
	b. Ryan Towner for the future construction of approximately 30 units, at Parcel Number 35194.2512 commonly known as 206 W. 8 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. (Council Sponsors: Council Members Kinnear and Wilkerson)		OPR 2023-0535
	The Conditional Agreements will ultimately result in the issuance of final certificates of tax exemption to be filed with the Spokane County Assessor's Office post construction. Teri Stripes		
7.	Report of the Mayor of pending:	Approve & Authorize	
	a. Claims and payments of previously approved obligations, including those of Parks and Library, through, 2023, total \$, with Parks and Library claims approved by their respective boards. Warrants excluding Parks and library total \$	Payments	CPR 2023-0002
	<ul> <li>b. Payroll claims of previously approved obligations through, 2023: \$</li> </ul>		CPR 2023-0003
8.	City Council Meeting Minutes:, 2023.	Approve All	CPR 2023-0013

## **LEGISLATIVE AGENDA**

## **NO SPECIAL BUDGET ORDINANCES**

## **NO EMERGENCY ORDINANCES**

## RESOLUTIONS

(Require Four Affirmative, Recorded Roll Call Votes)

RES 2023-0038 Appointing Jon Scott Jordan as Risk Manager for the City of Spokane. (Council Sponsors: Council President Beggs and Council Member Kinnear)

**Tonya Wallace** 

RES 2023-0039 Approving a second amendment of a development agreement regarding OPR 2018-0811 the preliminary plat and planned unit development referred to as Beacon Hill. (Council Sponsors: Council Members Bingle and Cathcart) Teri Stripes

## **NO FINAL READING ORDINANCES**

## FIRST READING ORDINANCES

ORD C36387 Relating to minimum parking spaces required and bicycle parking amending Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) Sections 17C.230.110 and 17C.230.200. (Council Sponsors: Council President Beggs and Council Member Zappone)

Tyler Kimbrell

FURTHER ACTION DEFERRED

## **NO SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

## **NO HEARINGS**

Motion to Approve Advance Agenda for May 22, 2023 (per Council Rule 2.1.2)

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The May 22, 2023, Regular Legislative Session of the City Council will be held and is adjourned to June 5, 2023.

<u>NOTE:</u> There is no meeting scheduled for May 29, 2023, as this day is designated Memorial Day Holiday. A regularly scheduled City Council meeting will not be held on Tuesday, May 30, 2023.

**AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) INFORMATION:** The City of Spokane is committed to providing equal access to its facilities, programs and services for persons with disabilities. The Spokane City Council Chamber in the lower level of Spokane City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., is wheelchair accessible and is equipped with an infrared assistive listening system for persons with hearing loss. Headsets may be checked out (upon presentation of picture I.D.) at the City Cable 5 Production Booth located on the First Floor of the Municipal Building, directly above the Chase Gallery or through the meeting organizer. Individuals requesting reasonable accommodations or further information may call, write, or email Human Resources at 509.625.6383, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane, WA, 99201; or dmoss@spokanecity.org. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact Human Resources through the Washington Relay Service at 7-1-1. Please contact us forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting date.

## NOTES

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	Date Rec'd	5/10/2023	
05/22/2023	Clerk's File #	OPR 2023-0530	
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	HOUSING & HUMAN SERVICES	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	JENN CERECEDES 6055	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	JCERECEDES@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Purchase w/o Contract	Requisition #	RE 20287
Agenda Item Name	E		

### **Agenda Wording**

Purchase from Contract Design Associates of desks and cubicle walls for the Community, Housing, and Human Services Department utilizing the Omnia Partners Contract No. 2020000622-\$113,856.83

### Summary (Background)

CHHS has been in the process of hiring staff to fill several vacant positions and the current configuration and available workstations do not meet the need. This purchase will allow the department to replace outdated and broken desks along with installing modular cubicle walls to create a re-design that will provide additional workspaces to accommodate the necessary staffing levels. This furniture will be purchased from Contract Design Associates accessing the Omnia Partners Contract#2020000622.

Lease?	NO G	irant related? NO	Public Works? NO			
Fiscal I	<u>mpact</u>		Budget Account			
Expense	<b>\$</b> 113,856.83		# XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			
Select	\$		#			
Select	\$		#			
Select	\$		#			
Approva	als		<b>Council Notifications</b>			
Dept Hea	ad	CERECEDES, JENNIFER	Study Session\Other	PIES 04/24/2023		
Division Director		FINCH, ERIC	Council Sponsor	CM Kinnear & CM Bingle		
Finance         MURRAY, MICHELLE			Distribution List			
<u>Legal</u>		HARRINGTON,	jcerecedes@spokanecity.org			
MARGARET           For the Mayor         PERKINS, JOHNNIE			chhsaccounting@spokanecity.org			
Additional Approvals			aduffey@spokanecity.org			
Purchas	ing		kmmcollim@spokanecity.org			
			rculton@spokanecity.org			
			kclifton@spokanecity.org			

## **Committee Agenda Sheet**

## Public Infrastructure, Environment & Sustainability Committee

Submitting Department	Community, Housing, and Human Services Department					
Contact Name	Jennifer Cerecedes					
Contact Email & Phone	jcerecedes@spokanecity.org 625-6055					
Council Sponsor(s)	CM Kinnear					
Select Agenda Item Type	⊠ Consent □ Discussion Time Requested:					
Agenda Item Name	0300 – CHHS Purchase of Furniture					
Summary (Background) *use the Fiscal Impact box below for relevant financial information The CHHS department has been in the process of hiring staff to fill several vacant positions and the current configuration and available work stations do not meet the need. This purchase will allow the department to replace outdated and broken desks along with installing modular cubicle walls to create a re-design that will provide additional workspaces to accommodate the necessary staffing levels. This furniture will be purchased from Contract Design Associates accessing the Omnia Partners Contract #202000622.						
Proposed Council Action	Approve Purchase					
Approved in current year budget? Image: Yes in No in N/A   Funding Source Image: One-time in Recurring   Specify funding source: CHHS BUDGET   Expense Occurrence Image: One-time in Recurring   Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)   Operations Impacts (If N/A, please give a brief description as to why)						
What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities? N/A – This will not have an effect as it is a furniture purchase.						
How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities? N/A - This will not have an effect as it is a furniture purchase.						
How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution? Working with the vendor, several design models were evaluated for space and cost efficiency.						
Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others? The design features are in line with similar products purchased for other City Departments.						



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

DATE:

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

INSTALL AT:

CUST	CUSTOMER P.O. PAYMENT TERMS QUOTE VALID SALESPERSON						
			NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, C	HLOE	
#	οτν	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION			SELL	EXTENDED

#	QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	SELL	EXTENDED
				101.11	
	20	E1109.3830N	+Frame,Npwr No Access 38H 30W	191.11	3,822.20
		HF	+inner tone light		
_		HF	+inner tone light		
2	204	E1420.1630F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 30W	44.37	9,051.48
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		19	+crossing shale		
3	20	E1251.16S	+Chg of Ht Fin End Std 16H	28.42	568.40
		HF	+inner tone light		
4	4	E1250.54S	+Fin End,Std 54H	39.15	156.60
		HF	+inner tone light		
5	20	E1250.38S	+Fin End,Std 38H	36.54	730.80
		HF	+inner tone light		
6	5	E1240.54FE	+Conn,4-Way 90,Pwr 54H	202.71	1,013.55
		HF	+inner tone light		,
7	2	E1230.54SN	+Conn,3-Way 90 Vinyl,Npwr 54H	166.46	332.92
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
8	5	E1230.54SE	+Conn,3-Way 90 Vinyl,Pwr 54H	183.28	916.40
0	ľ	HF	+inner tone light	100.20	010.10
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF HF	+inner tone light		
9	116	E1420.1636F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 36W	49.30	5,718.80
3		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1	+3.50	5,710.00
		19	+crossing shale		
10	24	E1220.54SRN	+Conn,2-Way 90 Vinyl,Npwr 54H	127.02	3,048.48
10	24	HF	+inner tone light	121.02	3,040.40
		HF HF	+inner tone light		
			+inner tone light		050 47
11	3	E1322.06E	+Power Entry,Ext. Dir Con 4-Circ,6Ft L	84.39	253.17



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

DATE:

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

INSTALL AT:

сиѕт	OMER P.O	D.	PAYMENT TERMS	PAYMENT TERMS QUOTE VALID SALESPERS		ON	
			NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, CHLOE		
#	QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION			SELL	EXTENDED

+		PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	3666	EXTENDED
12	24	E1420.1636P	+Tile,Face Pntd 16H 36W	30.45	730.80
12		HF	+inner tone light	00.10	100.00
13	8	E1420.1642F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 42W	52.20	417.60
10	Ŭ	8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1	02.20	111.00
		18	+crossing indigo		
14	56	E1420.1642F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 42W	52.20	2,923.20
	00	8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1	02.20	2,020.20
		19	+crossing shale		
15	10	E1420.1636F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 36W	49.30	493.00
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		18	+crossing indigo		
16	1	E1210.54	+Wall Start 54H	84.10	84.10
		HF	+inner tone light	••	
17	43	E1120.54	+Draw Rod 54H	13.63	586.09
18	13	E1109.5430G	+Frame,Pwr 4-Circ W/Com Pt Lc 54H 30W	294.06	3,822.78
	-	HF	+inner tone light		-,
		HF	+inner tone light		
19	24	E1109.5430N	+Frame,Npwr No Access 54H 30W	216.92	5,206.08
		HF	+inner tone light		,
		HF	+inner tone light		
20	1	E1109.5436G	+Frame,Pwr 4-Circ W/Com Pt Lc 54H 36W	312.91	312.91
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
21	24	E1109.5436N	+Frame,Npwr No Access 54H 36W	236.93	5,686.32
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
22	13	E1109.5442G	+Frame,Pwr 4-Circ W/Com Pt Lc 54H 42W	332.05	4,316.65
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
23	1	E1109.5442N	+Frame,Npwr No Access 54H 42W	254.91	254.91
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

DATE:

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

### INSTALL AT:

CUSTOMER P.O.	PAYMENT TERMS	QUOTE VALID	SALESPERSON
	NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, CHLOE

#	QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	SELL	EXTENDED
24	20	E1420.1642P	+Tile,Face Pntd 16H 42W	34.22	684.40
27		HF	+inner tone light	04.22	004.40
25	2	E1311.C	+15 Amp Receptacle 4 Circuit, Duplex,	95.41	190.82
			Circuit C 6/Pkg		
~~		HF	+inner tone light		
26	3	E1311.A	+15 Amp Receptacle 4 Circuit, Duplex,	95.41	286.23
		HF	Circuit A 6/Pkg +inner tone light		
27	1	E1212.70	+Wall Start Filler	68.44	68.44
28	2	E2290.24SL	+Work Surface Support	143.84	287.68
			Panel,End,Glides,Use with		
		HF	Sq-Edge,Lam,24d +inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
29	38	E1420.1630F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 30W	44.37	1,686.06
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		.,
		18	+crossing indigo		
30	20	E1120.38	+Draw Rod 38H	13.34	266.80
31	2	E1311.B	+15 Amp Receptacle 4 Circuit, Duplex,	95.41	190.82
01	-		Circuit B 6/Pkg	00.11	100.02
		HF	+inner tone light		
32	60	E1420.1630P	+Tile,Face Pntd 16H 30W	27.84	1,670.40
		HF	+inner tone light		FF 770 00
			Subtotal - Open Office:		55,778.89
33	3	E1420.1630F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 30W	44.37	133.11
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		18	+crossing indigo		
34	29	E1420.1636F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 36W	49.30	1,429.70
		8T 19	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		19	+crossing shale		



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

DATE:

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

INS	TALL	. AT:

CUSTOMER P.O.			PAYMENT TERMS	QUOTE VALID	SALESPERS	ON	
			NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, CHLOE		
#	οτγ	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION		I	SELL	EXTENDED

# QTY PRODUC		PRODUCT	CODUCT DESCRIPTION		EXTENDED
35	1	E1420 1626E	LTile Face Fabric 16H 26W/	49.30	49.30
35	1'	E1420.1636F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 36W	49.30	49.30
		8T 18	+crossing-Pr Cat 1 +crossing indigo		
36	18	E1420.1642F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 42W	52.20	939.60
30	10			52.20	939.00
		8T 19	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
07			+crossing shale	50.04	110.00
37	2	E1420.1648F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 48W	56.84	113.68
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
~~		18	+crossing indigo	50.04	0 700 00
38	48	E1420.1648F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 48W	56.84	2,728.32
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
	L	19	+crossing shale		
39	<b>1</b>	E1230.86SN	+Conn,3-Way 90 Vinyl,Npwr 86H	207.35	207.35
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
		HF	+inner tone light		
40	2	E1420.1642F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 42W	52.20	104.40
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		18	+crossing indigo		
41	1	FV689.P	+Support Leg,Post	64.96	64.96
		8Q	+folkstone grey		
42	47	E1420.1630F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 30W	44.37	2,085.39
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		19	+crossing shale		
43	10	E1420.1624F	+Tile,Face Fabric 16H 24W	42.34	423.40
		8T	+crossing-Pr Cat 1		
		19	+crossing shale		
44	1	E1294.	+Hrdwr Kit,Stkng Frm,Chg Ht,Frm to Spcr	8.12	8.12
			or 3-/4-Way 90-Dgr/2-Way 120-Dgr Conn		
45	24	E1415.1636M	+Tile,Window Mid/Bot 16H 36W	80.91	1,941.84
		HF	+inner tone light		
		TR	+clear		



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

DATE:

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

#### INSTALL AT:

CUSTOMER P.O.	PAYMENT TERMS	QUOTE VALID	SALESPERSON
	NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, CHLOE

#	QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	SELL	EXTENDED
46	3	E1112.30	+Frame,Stacking 30W	108.75	326.25
47	3	E1112.36	+Frame,Stacking 36W	112.81	338.43
48	1	E1112.42	+Frame,Stacking 42W	116.87	116.87
49	3	E1112.48	+Frame,Stacking 48W	119.77	359.31
50	2	E1119.42LNS RA HF HF	<ul> <li>@Door Frame With Door and Lever, Left-hand Swing, Passage Set, Standard</li> <li>Bevel 42W</li> <li>@light ash</li> <li>@inner tone light</li> <li>@inner tone light</li> </ul>	3,143.31	6,286.62
51	6	E1415.1636T HF TR	+Tile,Window Top 16H 36W +inner tone light +clear	83.81	502.86
52	1	E1120.70	+Draw Rod 70H	16.82	16.82
53	2	E1210.70 HF	+Wall Start 70H	95.41	190.82
54	2	E1210.86	+inner tone light +Wall Start 86H	104.40	208.80
55	1	E1219.70A	+inner tone light +Conn,Bare 2-Way 90-Deg w/Draw Rod 70H	94.83	94.83
56	1	E1119.42RNS RA HF HF	@Door Frame With Door and Lever, Right-hand Swing, Passage Set, Standard Bevel 42W @light ash @inner tone light @inner tone light	3,143.31	3,143.31



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

DATE:

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

#### INSTALL AT:

CUSTOMER P.O.		PAYMENT TERMS	QUOTE VALID	SALESPERSON			
			NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, C	HLOE	
#	QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION		SELL	EXTENDED	
57	1	E1220.16	+Conn,2-Way 90 Stacking			79.75	79.75
58	3	E1293.	+Hrdwr Kit,Stkng Frm,Ch or 2-Way 90-/135-Dgr Co	ng Ht,Frm to Frm Ionn		10.73	32.19

58	3	E1293.	+Hrdwr Kit,Stkng Frm,Chg Ht,Frm to Frm or 2-Way 90-/135-Dgr Conn	10.73	32.19
59	1	E1278.3 HF	+Conn Side Cover,3-Way 90 Deg Conn +inner tone light	12.76	12.76
60	1	E1278.2	+Conn Side Cover,2-Way 90 Deg Radius Conn	12.76	12.76
61	1	HF E1272.86S HF	+inner tone light +Conn Cover,3-Way 90 Deg Vinyl 86H +inner tone light	76.56	76.56
62	1	E1219.70C	+Conn,Bare 3-Way 90-Deg 70H	126.73	126.73
63	4	E1212.70	+Wall Start Filler	68.44	273.76
64	1	E1270.86SR	+Conn Cover,2-Way 90 Deg Vinyl,Radius 86H	87.58	87.58
65	1	HF E1261.3S HF	+inner tone light +Top Cap,Conn Standard 3-Way 90 Deg Conn +inner tone light	17.98	17.98
66	1	E1261.2S	+Top Cap,Conn Standard 2-Way 90 Deg Radius Conn	17.98	17.98
67	1	HF E1230.16	+inner tone light +Conn,3-Way 90 Stacking	84.10	84.10
			Subtotal - Open Office:		22,636.24
68	9	E2393.30L HF SM	@Support,Work Surf 30D (for 30" Deep surfaces) Left +inner tone light +on module	18.85	169.65
	-				



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 <u>Bozeman Showroom</u> 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

DATE:

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

### INSTALL AT:

CUSTOMER P.O.	PAYMENT TERMS	QUOTE VALID	SALESPERSON
	NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, CHLOE

QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION	SELL	EXTENDED
9	E2393.30R HF	@Support,Work Surf 30D (for 30" Deep surfaces) Right +inner tone light	18.85	169.65
9	EWS10.3066L F HF HF	+Rect Surf,Sq-Edge,Lam Top/TP Edge,Frame att 30D 66W +inner tone light +inner tone light	208.51	1,876.59 2,215.89
20	LW110.20BF SR SS HF KC NN HN	+Ped W-Pull,Mobile 20D B/F +3/4-extension roller slides on box drawer, full-e +smooth paint on smooth steel +inner tone light +keyed differently, chrome +none +no hand grip Subtotal - WS Ped:	220.37	4,407.40 4,407.40
20	E2393.24L HF	+Support,Work Surf 24D (for 20" or 24" Deep surfaces) Left +inner tone light	17.11	342.20
4	EWS10.2478L F HF	+Rect Surf,Sq-Edge,Lam Top/TP Edge,Frame att 24D 78W +inner tone light	204.74	818.96
20	E2393.24R HF SM	+Support,Work Surf 24D (for 20" or 24" Deep surfaces) Right +inner tone light +on module	17.11	342.20
	9 9 20 20 4	9       E2393.30R         9       F         9       F         9       EWS10.3066L         F       HF         4       EWS10.3066L         10       F         20       EWS10.3066L         10       EWS10.3066L         11       HF         20       EWS10.20BF         20       E2393.24L         4       F         4       F         20       E2393.24R         4       HF         20       E2393.24R         HF       HF         20       E2393.24R	9       E2393.30R       @Support,Work Surf 30D (for 30" Deep surfaces) Right +inner tone light +on module         9       EWS10.3066L       +F         F       SM       +Rect Surf,Sq-Edge,Lam Top/TP Edge,Frame att 30D 66W +inner tone light +inner tone light subtotal - WS Main:         20       LW110.20BF       +Ped W-Pull,Mobile 20D B/F +3/4-extension roller slides on box drawer, full-e +smooth paint on smooth steel +inner tone light +keyed differently, chrome +none +no hand grip Subtotal - WS Ped:         20       E2393.24L       +Support,Work Surf 24D (for 20" or 24" Deep surfaces) Left +inner tone light +on module +Rect Surf,Sq-Edge,Lam Top/TP Edge,Frame att 24D 78W +inner tone light +inner ton	9E2393.30R B@Support,Work Surf 30D (for 30" Deep surfaces) Right +inner tone light +on module18.859EWS10.3066L FHF HF HF+Rect Surf,Sq-Edge,Lam Top/TP Edge,Frame att 30D 66W +inner tone light +inner tone light subtotal - WS Main:208.5120LW110.20BF SR SS SS HF HF HF+Ped W-Pull,Mobile 20D B/F +3/4-extension roller slides on box drawer, full-e +inner tone light +inner tone light 



Missoula Showroom 1330 W Broadway St. Missoula, MT 59802 P/F: 406.926.3313 Bozeman Showroom 212 Shepherd Trail, Unit A Bozeman, MT 59718 P/F: 406.220.8200

## PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL #: 50801

DATE:

05/05/23

PROJECT #: 9-245

#### PROPOSAL FOR: 1746

CITY OF SPOKANE ATTN: ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

### INSTALL AT:

CITY OF SPOKANE 6TH FLOOR-CHHS 808 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD SPOKANE WA 99201

CUSTOMER P.O.			PAYMENT TERMS	QUOTE VALID	SALESPERS	ON	
			NET 10 DAYS	06/05/23	DEFELICE, C	HLOE	
#	QTY	PRODUCT	DESCRIPTION			SELL	EXTENDED

75	16	EWS10.24 F	442L HF HF	+Rect Surf,Sq-Edge,Lam Top/TP Edge,Frame att 24D 42W +inner tone light +inner tone light Subtotal - WS Return;	113.97	1,823.52 3,326.88
76	1	DESIGN	*	Design Services Create furniture layouts, renderings, revisions, specifications, and installation drawings.	1,024.00	1,024.00
77	1	LABOR		Delivery Installation Services	6,144.00	6,144.00
				Herman Miller Omnia Contract 2020000622		
			c	OMPLETE TERMS AND CONDITIONS AVAILABLE UPON RE	QUEST	
					SUBTOTAL	95,533.30
		YOUR BUS			SALES TAX	8,597.99
By acceptance of this proposal, I acknowledge and agree vith the seller's standard terms and conditions.			nowledge and agree			

DATE ACCEPTED

of 8

Page 8

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:		5/10/2023
05/22/2023	05/22/2023		OPR 2023-0531
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	HOUSING & HUMAN SERVICES	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	RICHARD CULTON 6009	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	RCULTON@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Report Item	Requisition #	
Agenda Item Name	1680 - 2023 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN COMPONENT OF 2020-24 CONSOLIDATED		
	PLAN		

### Agenda Wording

2023 Annual Action Plan component of the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan for Community Development Program as required by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

### Summary (Background)

HUD requires grantees (City of Spokane) to submit an Annual Action Plan (AAP) every year to receive CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds. The 2023 AAP provides an overview of the activities that the City will fund to achieve the goals and outcomes as outlined in the 2020-24 Consolidated Plan, and to meet the priority needs of the Spokane community. The Annual Action Plan includes sections that outline expected resources and the funding priorities for the program year 7/1/23 - 6/30/24. (See briefing paper.)

Lease?	NO G	rant related? YES	s	Public Works?	NO	
Fiscal I			•	Budget Acc		
					ount	
Select	\$			#		
Select	\$			#		
Select	\$			#		
Select	\$			#		
Approva	als			<b>Council Noti</b>	ification	<u>S</u>
Dept Hea	<u>id</u>	MCCOLLIM, KIMI	BERLEY	Study Session	n\Other	5/8 Urban Experience
<b>Division</b>	Director	MCCOLLIM, KIMI	BERLEY	Council Spon	sor	CM Stratton
<b>Finance</b>		MURRAY, MICHE	ELLE	Distribution	List	
<u>Legal</u>		PICCOLO, MIKE		rculton@spokar	ecity.org	
For the N	layor	PERKINS, JOHNN	IIE	hpage@spokanecity.org		
<b>Addition</b>	nal Approval	5		jcerecedes@spokanecity.org		rg
Purchasi	ng			kmccolim@spokanecity.org		
ACCOUN	ITING -	MURRAY, MICHE	ELLE	chhsgrants@spokanecity.org		
<b>GRANTS</b>						
				chhsaccounting@spokanecity.org		
				kclifton@spokanecity.org		

## Committee Agenda Sheet Urban Experience Committee

Submitting Department	Community, Housing and Human Services	
Contact Name	Richard Culton	
Contact Email & Phone	rculton@spokanecity.org; 625-6009	
Council Sponsor(s)		
Select Agenda Item Type	Consent Discussion Time Requested:	
Agenda Item Name	2023 Annual Action Plan component of the 2020-2024 Consolidated	
	Plan for Community Development Program, HUD	
Summary (Background) *use the Fiscal Impact box below for relevant financial information	The Department of Housing and Urban Development requires grantees (City of Spokane) to submit an Annual Action Plan every year to receive CDBG, HOME and ESG funds. The 2023 Annual Action Plan provides an overview of the activities that the City of Spokane will fund to achieve the goals and outcomes as outlined in the 2020-2024 Consolidated plan, and to meet the priority needs of the Spokane community. The Annual Action Plan includes sections that outline expected resources, and the funding priorities for the program year $(7/1/23 - 6/30/24)$ .	
	For more information, please visit the CHHS webpage for a copy of the Draft 2023 Annual Action Plan and Public Hearing Presentation ( <u>https://my.spokanecity.org/chhs/documents/</u> ).	
	<ul> <li>The City of Spokane identified three goals for funding priority in the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan:</li> <li><b>Housing Stability</b> – Expand the number of affordable housing options for low- and moderate-income individuals and households. The City of Spokane will fund proposals that address the needs of target populations including, but not limited to homeownership, rental housing, communal living, etc. Additionally, the City of Spokane will prioritize proposals that integrate service that help stabilize permanent housing for high barrier populations. The goal of housing stability is to prevent and divert individuals and families from entering the homeless response system.</li> <li><b>Public Health and Safety</b> – Adaptive response to changing community needs related to sheltering homeless populations, natural disasters, and public health pandemics.</li> <li><b>Community Based Social Services</b> – Community based services that address the following areas: Food Security, Workforce Development, Housing Stability, Childcare, Transportation, behavior, and victim services.</li> </ul>	
	These three goals best encompassed the priority needs of the City of Spokane identified through the consolidated planning process. During the 2023 program year, the City of Spokane will continue to focus on meeting the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan goals.	

Fiscal Impact Total Cost:_Click or tap here to enter text.			
Approved in current year budget? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A			
Funding Source 🛛 One-time 🖾 Recurring			
Specify funding source: Yearly formula allocation to fund CDBG, HOME and ESG from HUD.			
Expense Occurrence 🛛 One-time 🗌 Recurring			
Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)			
The City of Spokane PY 2023 formula allocation for CDBG, ESG, and HOME are as follows:			
CDBG: \$3,126,340			
ESG: \$277,996			
HOME: \$1,409,280			
CHHS will fund projects that meet the regulations and requirements of CDBG, ESG and HOME			
respectively.			
Operations Impracts (If N/A, places give a brief description as to why)			
<b>Operations Impacts</b> (If N/A, please give a brief description as to why)			
What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities?			
CDBG, ESG and HOME funded projects provide services to underserved communities through the			
creation and retention of affordable housing, housing services and a variety of human services for			
low- to moderate-income households.			
How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by			
racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other			
existing disparities?			
CHHS will collect and report basic demographic data on recipients of these funding sources as			
outlined in their contractual agreements.			
How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it			
is the right solution?			
CHHS utilizes performance-based contracting to ensure the objectives of each proposal are being met			
in accordance with performance measures as outlined in each contractual agreement. Additionally,			
CDBG, ESG and HOME operate under HUD's oversight and performance metrics are reported yearly through the CAPER.			

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:		4/25/2023
05/08/2023	05/08/2023		OPR 2023-0467
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	ENGINEERING SERVICES	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	DAN BULLER 6391	Project #	2021084
Contact E-Mail	DBULLER@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Contract Item	Requisition #	
Agenda Item Name	0370 – LOW BID AWARD – GARLAND AVENUE PATHWAY (2021084) – TO BE DETERMINED		

### Agenda Wording

Low Bid of (to be determined at bid opening to be held on May 1, 2023) (City, ST) for Garland Avenue Pathway, Shaw Middle School - \$\_\_\_\_\_. An administrative reserve of \$\_\_\_\_\_, which is 10% of the contract price, will be set aside. (Hillyard)

### Summary (Background)

On May 1, 2023, bids were opened for the above project. The low bid was from (to be determined at bid opening) in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_, which is \$\_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_% (above/below) the Engineer's Estimate of \$1,510,986.00; \_\_\_\_\_ other bids were received as follows: (to be determined). All information will be provided prior to the 5/8/2023 council meeting.

Lease? NO	Grant related? NO	Public Works? YES	
<b>Fiscal Impact</b>		<b>Budget Account</b>	
Expense \$ 0		# ####	
Select \$		#	
Select \$		#	
Select \$		#	
<b>Approvals</b>		<b>Council Notification</b>	<u>15</u>
Dept Head	BULLER, DAN	Study Session\Other	PIES 3/27/23
<b>Division Director</b>	FEIST, MARLENE	Council Sponsor	Kinnear
<u>Finance</u>	ORLOB, KIMBERLY	<b>Distribution List</b>	
Legal	HARRINGTON, MARGARET	eraea@spokanecity.org	
For the Mayor	SMITHSON, LYNDEN	publicworksaccounting@spokanecity.org	
<b>Additional Appro</b>	vals	kgoodman@spokanecity.c	org
Purchasing		ddaniels@spokanecity.org	
		jgraff@spokanecity.org	
		pyoung@spokanecity.org	
		{Contractor/Signee email}	

## Committee Agenda Sheet PIES

PIEJ		
Submitting Department	Public Works, Engineering	
Contact Name	Dan Buller	
Contact Email & Phone	dbuller@spokanecity.org 625-6391	
Council Sponsor(s)	Lori Kinnear	
Select Agenda Item Type	X Consent Discussion Time Requested:	
Agenda Item Name	2023 Pedestrian Focused Projects	
Summary (Background)	<ul> <li>This briefing paper is an update to a briefing paper submitted for the August 2022 PIES meeting. Updated information from that briefing paper is shown in red below.</li> <li>The City through its Integrated Capital Management Dept has secured state and federal grant funds for six pedestrian focused projects. These grant funds are sufficient to pay the large majority of the costs for each project. The projects are generally described as follows.</li> <li>Division St. Crossings – install pedestrian signals at three locations on Division St. – see attached exhibit. This project has an estimated cost of approximately \$1M is planned to advertise in May, 2023 and will be constructed fall 2023.</li> <li>Garland Pathway – install 10' shared use path connecting Shaw Middle School, Hillyard Library, NE Community Center, NewTech Skill Center and the future Children of the Sun trail – see attached exhibit. This project has an estimated cost of approximately \$1.5M and planned to advertise in April, 2023 and will be constructed summer/fall 2023.</li> <li>Driscoll-Alberta-Cochran – install sidewalk in the vicinity of Finch Elementary and pedestrian signal near Audubon Park – see attached exhibit. This project has an estimated cost of approximately \$1.5M and is planned to advertise in April, 2023 and will be constructed summer/fall 2023.</li> <li>Liberty-Bemiss – install pedestrian signal at Crestline/Courtland &amp; sidewalk on Liberty between Crestline &amp; Altamont, all near Bemiss Elementary and Andrew Rypien field – see attached exhibit. This project advertised and was awarded earlier this year and will be constructed summer/fall 2023.</li> <li>Nevada-Joseph – install pedestrian signal at Nevada/Joseph which is near Nevada Park and Garry Middle School – see attached exhibit. This project advertised and was awarded earlier this year and will be constructed summer/fall 2023.</li> <li>Greene-Carlisle – install pedestrian signal at Greene/Carlisle at what is expected to become a key crossing location following construction o</li></ul>	
Proposed Council Action &	None at this time. Following bid opening, we will bring a construction	
Date:	contract to Council for approval. See above red text for project schedules.	
Fiscal Impact:	- SUICUUICS.	
Total Cost: <u>See above red text</u>	for project by project cost	
Total Cost. See above red lext		

Approved in current year budget? X Yes No N/A
Funding Source X One-time 🔲 Recurring
Specify funding source: Varies by project. Costs incurred under the proposed contracts will be paid as
part of each public works project for which the consultant is used.
Expense Occurrence X One-time 🔲 Recurring
Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)
Operations Impacts
What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities?
Public Works services and projects are designed to serve all citizens and businesses. We strive to offer a consistent level of service to all, to distribute public investment throughout the community, and to respond to gaps in services identified in various City plans. We recognize the need to maintain affordability and predictability for utility customers. And we are committed to delivering work that is both financially and environmentally responsible. This item supports the operations of Public Works.
How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities?
N/A – This contract supports multiple public works projects and should not impact racial, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation or other existing disparity factors.
How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?
Public Works follows the City's established procurement and public works bidding regulations and policies to bring items forward, and then uses contract management best practices to ensure desired outcomes and regulatory compliance.
Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?
The projects is consistent with our adopted six year programs as well as the annual budget and strategic initiative to advance street improvement activities.













SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:		5/10/2023
05/22/2023	05/22/2023		OPR 2023-0532
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	ENGINEERING SERVICES	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	DAN BULLER 6391	Project #	2023044
Contact E-Mail	DBULLER@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Contract Item Requisition #		
Agenda Item Name	0370 – LOW BID AWARD – 2023 RESIDENTIAL GRIND AND OVERLAY (2023044)		
	– ТО В		

## Agenda Wording

Low Bid of (to be deterr	nined at bid opening to be held on 5/1	15/23) (City, ST) for 2023 Residential Grind and
Overlay \$	. An administrative reserve of \$	_, which is 10% of the contract price, will be set
aside.		

### Summary (Background)

On May 15, 2023 bids were opened for the above project. The low bid was from (to be determined at bid opening) in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_\_, which is \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_\_% (above/below) the Engineer's Estimate of \$; \$1,048,217.50. \_\_\_\_\_\_ other bids were received as follows: (to be determined). All information will be provided prior to the 5/22/2023 council meeting. (Various Neighborhood Councils)

Crapt related 2 NO	Dublic Morke? VEC	
Grant related? NO		
	<u>Budget Account</u>	
	<b>#</b> n/a	
	#	
	#	
	#	
	<b>Council Notification</b>	<u>15</u>
BULLER, DAN	Study Session\Other	PIES 4/24/23
FEIST, MARLENE	Council Sponsor	Kinnear & Bingle
ORLOB, KIMBERLY	<b>Distribution List</b>	
HARRINGTON,	eraea@spokanecity.org	
MARGARET		
PERKINS, JOHNNIE	publicworksaccounting@spokanecity.org	
vals	kgoodman@spokanecity.c	org
	ddaniels@spokanecity.org	
	jgraff@spokanecity.org	
	pyoung@spokanecity.org	
	FEIST, MARLENE ORLOB, KIMBERLY HARRINGTON, MARGARET	Budget Account         # n/a         #         #         #         #         BULLER, DAN         BULLER, DAN         Study Session\Other         FEIST, MARLENE         ORLOB, KIMBERLY         HARRINGTON,         PERKINS, JOHNNIE         PERKINS, JOHNNIE         publicworksaccounting@s         vals         kgoodman@spokanecity.org         jgraff@spokanecity.org

## Briefing Paper PIES

Submitting Department:	Public Works, Engineering	
Contact Name	Dan Buller 625-6391	
Contact Email & Phone	dbuller@spokanecity.org	
Council Sponsor(s)	Lori Kinnear	
Select Agenda Item Type	X Consent Discussion Time Requested:	
Agenda Item Name	2023 Residential Grind & Overlay	
Summary (Background)	<ul> <li>The project shown on the attached pages is the annual residential grind and overlay project funded by the transportation benefit district.</li> <li>Curb ramps will also be upgraded.</li> <li>Total length of street between both projects is approx. 1 mile.</li> <li>Residents will have access to their homes during construction which will occur in the 2023 construction season.</li> </ul>	
Proposed Council Action & Date:	None at this time. Following bid opening, we will bring a construction contract to Council for approval. This project is planned to advertise in April or May, 2023, start construction in about June or July 2023 and finish this fall.	
Fiscal Impact:		
Total Cost: The G&O project	is expected to cost about \$1M.	
Approved in current year budg	et? X Yes 🔲 No 🔤 N/A	
Funding Source X One-time Recurring Specify funding source: project funds (generally street or utility funds)		
Expense Occurrence X One-time 🔲 Recurring		
Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)		
<b>Operations Impacts</b>		
What impacts would the propo	sal have on historically excluded communities?	
Public Works services and projects are designed to serve all citizens and businesses. We strive to offer a consistent level of service to all, to distribute public investment throughout the community, and to respond to gaps in services identified in various City plans. We recognize the need to maintain affordability and predictability for utility customers. And we are committed to delivering work that is both financially and environmentally responsible. This item supports the operations of Public Works.		
How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities?		
N/A – This contract supports multiple public works projects and should not impact racial, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation or other existing disparity factors.		

How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?

Public Works follows the City's established procurement and public works bidding regulations and policies to bring items forward, and then uses contract management best practices to ensure desired outcomes and regulatory compliance.

Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?

The projects which will use this on-call contract are consistent with our adopted six year programs as well as the annual budget and strategic initiative to advance street maintenance activities.






POKANE Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:			Date Rec'd	5/10/2023
05/22/2023			Clerk's File #	OPR 2023-0533
			Renews #	
Submitting Dept	ENGINEERING SERV	ICES	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	DAN BULLER	625-6391	Project #	2023049
Contact E-Mail	DBULLER@SPOKAN	ECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Contract Item		Requisition #	
Agenda Item Name	0370 – LOW BID AWARD – 2023 PAVING UNPAVED STREETS (2023049) – TBD			
Agenda Wording				
Low Bid of (to be determined a				• .

- \$	An administrative reserve of \$	, which is 10% of the contract price, will be set aside.	
(Various Neig	hborhood Councils		

## Summary (Background)

On May 15, 2023 bids were opened for the above project. The low bid was from (to be determined at bid opening) in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_, which is \$\_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_% (above/below) the Engineer's Estimate of \$622,869.98.; \_\_\_\_\_ other bids were received as follows: (to be determined). All information will be provided prior to the 5/22/2023 council meeting.

Lease? NO	Grant related? NO	Public Works? YES			
Fiscal Impact		<b>Budget Account</b>	Budget Account		
Expense \$ 0		<b>#</b> 1100 21700 42300 5420	01 23013		
Expense \$ 0		<b>#</b> 1100 21700 42300 5420	)1 23015		
Expense \$ 0		<b>#</b> 1100 21700 42300 5420	)1 23014		
Select <b>\$</b>		#			
Approvals		<b>Council Notification</b>	IS		
Dept Head	BULLER, DAN	Study Session\Other	PIES 4/24/23		
<b>Division Director</b>	FEIST, MARLENE	Council Sponsor	Kinnear & Bingle		
<b>Finance</b>	ORLOB, KIMBERLY	<b>Distribution List</b>			
<u>Legal</u>	HARRINGTON, MARGARET	eraea@spokanecity.org			
For the Mayor	PERKINS, JOHNNIE	publicworksaccounting@s	pokanecity.org		
Additional Approv	/als	kgoodman@spokanecity.c	org		
Purchasing					
		jgraff@spokanecity.org			
		pyoung@spokanecity.org			

# Briefing Paper PIES

Submitting Department:	Public Works, Engineering	
Contact Name	Dan Buller 625-6391	
Contact Email & Phone	dbuller@spokanecity.org	
Council Sponsor(s)	Lori Kinnear	
Select Agenda Item Type	X Consent Discussion Time Requested:	
Agenda Item Name	2023 Paving Unpaved Streets	
Summary (Background)	<ul> <li>This project paves the various currently unpaved streets shown in the attached exhibits which span all three council districts</li> <li>The paving to be done is strip paving – no curbing, no sidewalk, no street trees, etc. per city council established program guidelines.</li> <li>District 3 has additional street length as compared to the other districts due to a block having to be deleted from last year's project.</li> <li>This project is funded with TBD and local impact fees.</li> </ul>	
Proposed Council Action & Date:	None at this time. Following bid opening, we will bring a construction contract to Council for approval. This project is planned to advertise in April, 2023, start construction in about June or July 2023 and finish this fall.	
Fiscal Impact:		
Total Cost: This project is ex	pected to cost about \$0.7M.	
Approved in current year budg	et? X Yes 🔲 No 🔤 N/A	
-	time 🔲 Recurring funds (generally street or utility funds)	
Expense Occurrence X One-time 🔲 Recurring		
Other budget impacts: (revenu	e generating, match requirements, etc.)	
<b>Operations Impacts</b>		
What impacts would the propo	sal have on historically excluded communities?	
a consistent level of service to respond to gaps in services ide affordability and predictability	ects are designed to serve all citizens and businesses. We strive to offer all, to distribute public investment throughout the community, and to ntified in various City plans. We recognize the need to maintain for utility customers. And we are committed to delivering work that is ntally responsible. This item supports the operations of Public Works.	
	lyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other	
	ultiple public works projects and should not impact racial, gender e level, disability, sexual orientation or other existing disparity factors.	

How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?

Public Works follows the City's established procurement and public works bidding regulations and policies to bring items forward, and then uses contract management best practices to ensure desired outcomes and regulatory compliance.

Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?

The projects which will use this on-call contract are consistent with our adopted six year programs as well as the annual budget and strategic initiative to advance street maintenance activities.

## **District 1**



## District 2



**District 3** 



## **District 3 (continued)**



POKANE Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:		Date Rec'd	5/10/2023
05/22/2023		Clerk's File #	OPR 2023-0534
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	PLANNING & ECONOMIC	Cross Ref #	
Contact Name/Phone	TERI STRIPES X6597	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	TSTRIPES@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Contract Item	<b>Requisition #</b>	
Agenda Item Name	0650 - MFTE CONDITIONAL AGREEMENT - LYONS FOURPLEX		
Agenda Wording			

## <u>Agenda wording</u>

Multiple Family Housing Property Tax Exemption Conditional Agreement with WASHINGTON ESTATE SERVICES LLC for the future construction of approximately 52 units, at Parcel Number(s) 36283.0406, commonly known as 1911 E LYONS

## **Summary (Background)**

Chapter 84.14 RCW authorizes the City to create a multiple family housing property tax exemption program and to certify qualified property owners for that property tax exemption. SMC 08.15 Multiple-family Housing Property Tax Exemption outlines the City of Spokane MFTE Program and project eligibility.

	Grant related? NO	Public Works? NO	
	Frant related? NO		
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>		Budget Account	
Neutral <b>\$</b>		#	
Select \$		#	
Select \$		#	
Select \$		#	
<u>Approvals</u>		<b>Council Notification</b>	IS
Dept Head	GARDNER, SPENCER	Study Session\Other	UE 5/8/23
<b>Division Director</b>	MACDONALD, STEVEN	Council Sponsor	CMs Cathcart & Bingle
<b>Finance</b>	ORLOB, KIMBERLY	<b>Distribution List</b>	
Legal	HARRINGTON,	tstripes@spokanecity.org	
For the Mayor	PERKINS, JOHNNIE	jchurchill@spokanecity.or	g
Additional Approva	S	smacdonald@spokanecity	.org
Purchasing			5
	rbenzie@spokanecity.org		
		mpiccolo@spokanecity.org	
		Gabe Taylor - copperriver15@gmail.com (Signer)	



## Continuation of Wording, Summary, Budget, and Distribution

## **Agenda Wording**

This Conditional Agreement will ultimately result in the issuance of a final certificate of tax exemption to be filed with the Spokane County Assessor's Office post construction.

## Summary (Background)

Staff has determined that the Conditional application meets the Project Eligibility defined in SMC 08.15.040 and is located in a previously adopted Residential Target Areas identified in SMC 08.15.030.

Fiscal Impact	Budget Account
Select \$	#
Select <b>\$</b>	#
<b>Distribution List</b>	



# PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MFTE Committee Briefing Paper Urban Experience

Submitting Department	Planning and Economic Development		
Contact Name & Phone	Teri Stripes, 509-625-6597		
Contact Email	tstripes@spokanecity.org		
Council Sponsor(s)	Jonathan Bingle, Michael Cathcart		
Select Agenda Item Type	Consent Discussion Time Requested:		
Agenda Item Name	Multi-Family Tax Exemption (MFTE) Conditional Agreement		
Summary (Background)	<ul> <li>Chapter 84.14 RCW authorizes the City to create a multiple family housing property tax exemption program and to certify qualified property owners for that property tax exemption. SMC <u>08.15</u> Multiple-family Housing Property Tax Exemption outlines the City of Spokane MFTE Program and project eligibility.</li> <li>Staff has determined that the <u>MFTE - Lyons Fourplexes</u> Conditional application meets the Project Eligibility defined in SMC <u>08.15.040</u> and is located in a previously adopted Residential Target Areas identified in SMC <u>08.15.030</u>.</li> <li>Once the project is constructed, the applicant intends to finalize as a <u>8-year Market Rate Exemption</u>.</li> <li>This Conditional Agreement authorizes the appropriate city official to enter into the</li> </ul>		
	Multiple Family Housing Property Tax Exemption Conditional Agreement, which will ultimately result in the issuance of a final certificate of tax exemption to be filed with the Spokane County Assessor's Office post construction.		
Proposed Council Action & Date:	<ul> <li>Approve the MFTE Conditional Agreement for the MFTE - Lyons Fourplexes at the May 22, 2023 City Council Meeting.</li> <li>Project Details: The applicant applied for a Conditional MFTE Agreement for <u>52</u> units, at <u>1911 &amp; 2003 E LYONS AVE SPOKANE, WA</u></li> <li>Property is zoned <u>RSF</u> and the proposed use is allowed.</li> <li>Estimated Construction Costs: <u>8450000</u></li> <li>Located in the <u>Shiloh Hills</u> neighborhood.</li> </ul>		
Fiscal Impact:			
Total Cost: <u><b>\$0</b></u>			
Approved in current year budget?	Yes No X N/A		
Funding Source	One-time Recurring		
Specify funding source:			
Expense Occurrence	One-time Recurring		
Other budget impacts: (revenue genera	ting, match requirements, etc.)		

#### **Operation Impacts**

What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities?

#### SMC 08.15 Multi- Family Housing Property Tax Exemption

A. The purposes of this chapter are

to:

1. encourage more multi-family housing opportunities, including affordable housing opportunities, within the City;

2. stimulate the construction of new multifamily housing and the rehabilitation of existing vacant and underutilized buildings for multi-family housing;

3. increase the supply of mixed-income multifamily housing opportunities within the City;

4. accomplish the planning goals required under the Growth Management Act, chapter 36.70A RCW, as implemented from time to time by the City's current and future comprehensive plans;

5. promote community development, neighborhood revitalization, and availability of affordable housing;

6. preserve and protect buildings, objects, sites and neighborhoods with historic, cultural, architectural, engineering or geographic significance located within the City; and

7. encourage additional housing in areas that are consistent with planning for public transit systems.

How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities?

#### RCW 84.14.100

Report—Filing—Department of commerce audit or review—Guidance to cities and counties. (Expires January 1, 2058.)

(1) Thirty days after the anniversary of the date of the certificate of tax exemption and each year for the tax exemption period, the owner of the rehabilitated or newly constructed property, or the qualified nonprofit or local government that will assure permanent affordable homeownership for at least 25 percent of the units for properties receiving an exemption under RCW <u>84.14.021</u>, must file with a designated authorized representative of the city or county an annual report indicating the following:

(a) A statement of occupancy and vacancy of the rehabilitated or newly constructed property during the twelve months ending with the anniversary date;

(b) A certification by the owner that the property has not changed use and, if applicable, that the property has been in compliance with the affordable housing requirements as described in RCW <u>84.14.020</u> since the date of the certificate approved by the city or county;

(c) A description of changes or improvements constructed after issuance of the certificate of tax exemption; and

(d) Any additional information requested by the city or county in regards to the units receiving a tax exemption.

(2) All cities or counties, which issue certificates of tax exemption for multiunit housing that conform to the requirements of this chapter, must report annually by April 1st of each year, beginning in 2007, to the department of commerce. A city or county must be in compliance with the reporting requirements of this section to offer certificates of tax exemption for multiunit housing authorized in this chapter. The report must include the following information:

(a) The number of tax exemption certificates granted;

(b) The total number and type of units produced or to be produced;

(c) The number, size, and type of units produced or to be produced meeting affordable housing requirements;

(d) The actual development cost of each unit produced;

(e) The total monthly rent or total sale amount of each unit produced;

(f) The annual household income and household size for each of the affordable units receiving a tax exemption and a summary of these figures for the city or county; and

# (g) The value of the tax exemption for each project receiving a tax exemption and the total value of tax exemptions granted.

(3)(a) The department of commerce must adopt and implement a program to effectively audit or review that the owner or operator of each property for which a certificate of tax exemption has been issued, except for those properties receiving an exemption that are owned or operated by a nonprofit or for those properties receiving an exemption from a city or county that operates an independent audit or review program, is offering the number of units at rents as committed to in the approved application for an exemption and that the tenants are being properly screened to be qualified for an income-restricted unit. The audit or review program must be adopted in consultation with local governments and other stakeholders and may be based on auditing a percentage of income-restricted units or properties annually. A private owner or operator of a property for which a certificate of tax exemption has been issued under this chapter, must be audited at least once every five years.

(b) If the review or audit required under (a) of this subsection for a given property finds that the owner or operator is not offering the number of units at rents as committed to in the approved application or is not properly screening tenants for income-restricted units, the department of commerce must notify the city or county and the city or county must impose and collect a sliding scale penalty not to exceed an amount calculated by subtracting the amount of rents that would have been collected had the owner or operator complied with their commitment from the amount of rents collected by the owner or operator for the income-restricted units, with consideration of the severity of the noncompliance. If a subsequent review or audit required under (a) of this subsection for a given property finds continued substantial noncompliance with the program requirements, the exemption certificate must be canceled pursuant to <u>RCW 84.14.110</u>.

(c) The department of commerce may impose and collect a fee, not to exceed the costs of the audit or review, from the owner or operator of any property subject to an audit or review required under (a) of this subsection.

(4) The department of commerce must provide guidance to cities and counties, which issue certificates of tax exemption for multiunit housing that conform to the requirements of this chapter, on best practices in managing and reporting for the exemption programs authorized under this chapter, including guidance for cities and counties to collect and report demographic information for tenants of units receiving a tax exemption under this chapter.

(5) This section expires January 1, 2058.

#### [2021 c 187 § 5; 2012 c 194 § 9; 2007 c 430 § 10; 1995 c 375 § 13.]

How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?

#### Title 08 Taxation and Revenue

Chapter 08.15 Multiple-family Housing Property Tax Exemption

#### Section 08.15.100 Annual Certification and Affordability Certification

Within thirty days of the anniversary of the date the final certificate of tax exemption was recorded at the County and each year thereafter, for the tax exemption period, the property owner shall file a certification with the director, verified upon oath or affirmation, which shall contain such information as the director may deem necessary or useful, and shall include the following information:

1. A statement of occupancy and vacancy of the multi-family units during the previous year.

2. A certification that the property has not changed use and, if applicable, that the property has been in compliance with the affordable housing requirements as described in <u>SMC 8.15.090</u> since the date of filing of the final certificate of tax exemption, and continues to be in compliance with the contract with the City and the requirements of this chapter; and

3. If the property owner rents the affordable multi-family housing units, the property owner shall file with the City a report indicating the household income of each initial tenant qualifying as low and moderate-income in order to comply with the twenty percent requirement of **SMC 8.15.090(A)(2)(b)** and RCW 84.14.020(1)(ii)(B).

a. The reports shall be on a form provided by the City and shall be signed by the tenants.

b. Information on the incomes of occupants of affordable units shall be included with the application for the final certificate of tax exemption, and shall continue to be included with the annual report for each property during the exemption period.

4. A description of any improvements or changes to the property made after the filing of the final certificate or last declaration, as applicable.

B. Failure to submit the annual declaration may result in cancellation of the tax exemption.

Date Passed: Monday, August 21, 2017 Effective Date: Saturday, October 7, 2017 ORD C35524 Section 8

Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?

#### **Comprehensive Plan Land Use Policies:**

LU 1.4 Higher Density Residential Uses LU 3.5 Mix of Uses in Centers LU 4.2 Land Uses That Support Travel Options and Active Transportation LU 4.6 Transit-Supported Development

#### Comprehensive Plan Housing Policies:

H 1.9 Mixed-Income Housing

H 1.4 Use of Existing Infrastructure

H 1.10 Lower-Income Housing Development Incentives

H 1.11 Access to Transportation

H 1.18 Distribution of Housing Options

#### Comprehensive Plan Economic Development Policies:

ED 2.4 Mixed-Use

ED 7.4 Tax Incentives for Land Improvement



# PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MULTIPLE FAMILY HOUSING PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION AGREEMENT

THIS CONDITIONAL AGREEMENT is between the City of Spokane, a Washington State municipal corporation, as "City", and WASHINGTON ESTATE SERVICES, LLC, as "Owner/Taxpayer" whose business address is PO BOX 142112 SPOKANE VALLEY, WA 99214-2000.

### WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the City has, pursuant to the authority granted to it by Chapter 84.14 RCW, designated various residential targeted areas for the provision of a limited property tax exemption for new and rehabilitated multiple family residential housing; and

WHEREAS, the City has, through Chapter 8.15 SMC, enacted a program whereby property owner/taxpayers may qualify for a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption which certifies to the Spokane County Assessor that the Owner/Taxpayer is eligible to receive the multiple family housing property tax exemption; and

WHEREAS, the Owner/Taxpayer is interested in receiving the multiple family property tax exemption for new multiple family residential housing units in a residential targeted area; and

WHEREAS, the Owner/Taxpayer has submitted to the City a complete conditional application form for no fewer than a total of four new multiple family permanent residential housing units to be constructed on property legally described as:

## MARTINDALE ADD LTS 15 THRU 21; EXC N 20FT THEREOF BLK 16 & E 1/2 OF VACATED NAPA ST LYG WLY OF & ADJ

&

## MARTINDALE ADD EXC N20 FT L22TO25 B16

Assessor's Parcel Number(s) <u>36283.0406</u>, <u>36283.0408</u>

## commonly known as 1911 & 2003 E LYONS AVE SPOKANE, WA.

WHEREAS, this property is located in the <u>Spokane Targeted Investment Area</u>. and is eligible to seek a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption post construction under the <u>8-year</u> <u>Market Rate Exemption</u>. as defined in SMC 08.15.090.

WHEREAS, the City has determined that the improvements will, if completed as proposed, satisfy the requirements for a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption; -- NOW, THEREFORE,

The City and the Owner/Taxpayer do mutually agree as follows:

1. The City agrees to issue the Owner/Taxpayer a Conditional Agreement subsequent to the City Council's approval of this agreement.

2. The project must comply with all applicable zoning requirements, land use requirements, design review recommendations and all building, fire, and housing code requirements contained in the Spokane Municipal Code at the time a complete application for a building permit is received. However, if the proposal includes rehabilitation or demolition in preparation for new construction, the residential portion of the building shall fail to comply with one or more standards of applicable building or housing codes, and the rehabilitation improvements shall achieve compliance with the applicable building and construction codes.

3. If the property proposed to be rehabilitated is not vacant, the Owner/Taxpayer shall provide each existing tenant with housing of comparable size, quality and price and a reasonable opportunity to relocate. At the time of an application for a Conditional Agreement, the applicant provided a letter attesting and documenting how the existing tenant(s) were/will be provided comparable housing and opportunities to relocate.

(a). The existing residential tenant(s) are to be provided housing of a comparable size and quality at a rent level meeting the Washington State definition of affordable to their income level. Specifically, RCW 84.14.010 defines "affordable housing" as residential housing that is rented by a person or household whose monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, do not exceed thirty (30) percent of the household's monthly income. The duration of this requirement will be the length of the tenant's current lease plus one year.

4. The Owner/Taxpayer intends to construct on the site, approximately <u>52</u> new multiple family residential housing units substantially as described in their application filed with and approved by the City. In no event shall such construction provide fewer than a total of four multiple family permanent residential housing units.

5. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees to complete construction of the agreed-upon improvements within three years from the date the City issues this Conditional Agreement or within any extension granted by the City.

6. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees, upon completion of the improvements and upon issuance by the City of a temporary or permanent certificate of occupancy, to file an application for a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption with the City's Planning and Economic Development Department, which will require the following:

(a) a statement of the actual development cost of each multiple family housing unit, and the total expenditures made in the rehabilitation or construction of the entire property;

(b) a description of the completed work and a statement that the rehabilitation improvements or new construction of the Owner/Taxpayer's property qualifies the property for the exemption;

(c) a statement that the project meets the affordable housing requirements, if

### applicable; and

(d) a statement that the work was completed within the required three-year period or any authorized extension of the issuance of the conditional certificate of tax exemption.

7. The City agrees, conditioned on the Owner/Taxpayer's successful completion of the improvements in accordance with the terms of this Conditional Agreement and on the Owner/Taxpayer's filing of application for the Final Certificate of Exemption with the materials described in Paragraph 6 above, to file a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption with the Spokane County Assessor indicating that the Owner/Taxpayer is qualified for the limited tax exemption under Chapter 84.14 RCW.

8. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees, that once a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption is issued, to comply with all Annual Reporting requirements set forth in SMC 8.15.100 and contained in the annual report form provided by the City. Thirteen (13) months following the first year of the exemption beginning and every year thereafter, the Owner/Taxpayer will complete and file the appropriate Annual Report required by the terms of their Final Certificate of Tax Exemption with the City's Planning and Economic Development Department. The Annual Report is a declaration verifying upon oath and indicating the following:

(a) a statement of occupancy, use of the property/unit, income and rents for qualifying 12-year and 20-year and vacancy of the multi-family units during the previous year;

(b) a certification that the property has not changed to a commercial use or been used as a transient (short-term rental) basis and, if applicable, that the property has been in compliance with the affordable housing income and rent requirements as described in SMC 8.15.090 since the date of the filing of the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption, and continues to be in compliance with this Agreement and the requirements of SMC Chapter 8.15;

(c) for affordable multi-family housing units, information providing the household income, rent and utility cost, of each qualifying as low and moderate-income, which shall be reported on a form provided by the City and signed by the tenants; and

(d) a description of any improvements or changes to the property made after the filing of the final certificate or last declaration.

9. The parties acknowledge that the units, including any owner-occupied units are to be used and occupied for multifamily permanent residential occupancy and use. The parties further acknowledge that the certificate of occupancy issued by the City is for multifamily residential units. The Owner/Taxpayer acknowledges and agrees that the units shall be used primarily for multi-family housing for permanent residential occupancy as defined in SMC 8.15.020 and RCW 84.14.010 and any business activities shall only be incidental and ancillary to the residential occupancy. Any units that are converted from multi-family housing for permanent residential occupancy of Spokane's Planning and Economic Development Department and the Spokane County Assessor's Office and removed from eligibility for the tax exemption within 60 days. If the removal of the ineligible unit or units causes the number of units to drop below the number of units required for tax exemption eligibility, the remaining units shall be removed from eligibility pursuant to state law.

10. To qualify for the twelve-year tax exemption, the Owner/Taxpayer will be required to rent or sell at least <u>30%</u>. of the multiple family housing units as affordable housing units to low and moderate-income households and will ensure that the units within the 12-yr program are dispersed throughout the building and distributed proportionally among the buildings; not be clustered in certain sections of the building or stacked; comparable to market-rate units in terms of unit size and leasing terms; and are comparable to market-rate units in terms of functionality and building amenities and access in addition to the other requirements set forth in the Agreement. The Owner/Taxpayer is further required to comply with the rental relocation assistance requirements set forth in RCW 84.14.020 (7) and (8) and in SMC 8.15.090 (D).

11. The Owner/Taxpayer will have the right to assign its rights under this Agreement. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees to notify the City promptly of any transfer of Owner/Taxpayer's ownership interest in the Site or in the improvements made to the Site under this Agreement.

12. The City reserves the right to cancel the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption should the Owner/Taxpayer, its successors and assigns, fail to comply with any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement or of SMC Chapter 8.15.

13. No modifications of this Conditional Agreement shall be made unless mutually agreed upon by the parties in writing.

14. The Owner/Taxpayer acknowledges its awareness of the potential tax liability involved if and when the property ceases to be eligible for the incentive provided pursuant to this agreement. Such liability may include additional real property tax, penalties and interest imposed pursuant to RCW 84.14.110. The Owner/Taxpayer further acknowledges its awareness and understanding of the process implemented by the Spokane County Assessor's Office for the appraisal and assessment of property taxes. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees that the City is not responsible for the property value assessment imposed by Spokane County at any time during the exemption period.

15. In the event that any term or clause of this Conditional Agreement conflicts with applicable law, such conflict shall not affect other terms of this Agreement, which can be given effect without the conflicting term or clause, and to this end, the terms of this Conditional Agreement are declared to be severable.

16. The parties agree that this Conditional Agreement, requires the applicant to file an application for the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption post the construction of the multiple family residential housing units referenced above and that the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption shall be subject to the applicable provisions of Chapter 84.14 RCW and Chapter 8.15 SMC that exist at the time this agreement is signed by the parties. The parties may agree to amend this Conditional Agreement requirements as set forth when the applicant applies for the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption based upon applicable amendments and additions to Chapter 84.14 RCW or Chapter 8.15 SMC if the requirements change between the issuance of the Conditional Agreement and the Application for Final Tax Exemption has been submitted.

17. Nothing in this Agreement shall permit or be interpreted to permit either party to violate any provision of Chapter 84.14 RCW or Chapter 8.15 SMC

18 This Agreement is subject to approval by the City Council.

DATED this day of	20
CITY OF SPOKANE	WASHINGTON ESTATE SERVICES, LLC
By:	By:
Mayor, Nadine Woodward	lts:
Attest:	Approved as to form:
City Clerk	Assistant City Attorney

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	for City Council Meeting of:	Date Rec'd	5/10/2023
05/22/2023		Clerk's File #	OPR 2023-0535
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	PLANNING & ECONOMIC	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	TERI STRIPES X6597	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	TSTRIPES@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Contract Item	<b>Requisition #</b>	
Agenda Item Name	0650 - MFTE CONDITIONAL AGREEMENT - CAMBRIDGE APARTMENTS		
Agenda Wording			

Multiple Family Housing Property Tax Exemption Conditional Agreement with Ryan Towner for the future construction of approximately 30 units, at Parcel Number(s) 35194.2512, commonly known as 206 W 8th Ave.

## Summary (Background)

Chapter 84.14 RCW authorizes the City to create a multiple family housing property tax exemption program and to certify qualified property owners for that property tax exemption. SMC 08.15 Multiple-family Housing Property Tax Exemption outlines the City of Spokane MFTE Program and project eligibility.

Lease? NO	Grant related? NO	Public Works? NO		
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>		Budget Account		
Neutral <b>\$</b>		#		
Select \$		#		
Select \$		#		
Select \$		#		
<u>Approvals</u>		<b>Council Notification</b>	<u>15</u>	
Dept Head	GARDNER, SPENCER	Study Session\Other	UE 5/8/23	
<b>Division Director</b>	MACDONALD, STEVEN	Council Sponsor	CMs Kinnear and	
<b>Finance</b>	ORLOB, KIMBERLY	<b>Distribution List</b>		
Legal	gal HARRINGTON,		tstripes@spokanecity.org	
For the Mayor	PERKINS, JOHNNIE	jchurchill@spokanecity.org		
<b>Additional Approv</b>	als	smacdonald@spokanecity	.org	
Purchasing sgardner@spokanecity.org		5		
	rbenzie@spokanecity.org			
		mpiccolo@spokanecity.org		
		Ryan Towner - ryan@ltrealestateco.com (Signer)		



## Continuation of Wording, Summary, Budget, and Distribution

## **Agenda Wording**

This Conditional Agreement will ultimately result in the issuance of a final certificate of tax exemption to be filed with the Spokane County Assessor's Office post construction.

## Summary (Background)

Staff has determined that the Conditional application meets the Project Eligibility defined in SMC 08.15.040 and is located in a previously adopted Residential Target Areas identified in SMC 08.15.030.

Fiscal Impact	Budget Account
Select \$	#
Select <b>\$</b>	#
<b>Distribution List</b>	



# PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MFTE Committee Briefing Paper Urban Experience

Submitting Department	Planning and Economic Development		
Contact Name & Phone	Teri Stripes, 509-625-6597		
Contact Email	tstripes@spokanecity.org		
Council Sponsor(s)	Lori Kinnear, Betsy Wilkerson		
Select Agenda Item Type	Consent Discussion Time Requested:		
Agenda Item Name	Multi-Family Tax Exemption (MFTE) Conditional Agreement		
Summary (Background) Proposed Council Action & Date:	<ul> <li>Chapter 84.14 RCW authorizes the City to create a multiple family housing property tax exemption program and to certify qualified property owners for that property tax exemption. SMC <u>08.15</u> Multiple-family Housing Property Tax Exemption outlines the City of Spokane MFTE Program and project eligibility.</li> <li>Staff has determined that the <u>MFTE - Cambridge Apartments</u> Conditional application meets the Project Eligibility defined in SMC <u>08.15.040</u> and is located in a previously adopted Residential Target Areas identified in SMC <u>08.15.030</u>.</li> <li>Once the project is constructed, the applicant intends to finalize as a <u>8-year Market Rate Exemption</u>.</li> <li>This Conditional Agreement authorizes the appropriate city official to enter into the Multiple Family Housing Property Tax Exemption Conditional Agreement, which will ultimately result in the issuance of a final certificate of tax exemption to be filed with the Spokane County Assessor's Office post construction.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Approve the MFTE Conditional Agreement for the MFTE - Cambridge Apartments at City Council Meeting.</li> <li>Project Details: The applicant applied for a Conditional MFTE Agreement for <u>30</u> units, at <u>206 W 8TH AVE SPOKANE, WA</u></li> <li>Property is zoned <u>OR-150</u> and the proposed use is allowed.</li> <li>Estimated Construction Costs: <u>2500000</u></li> <li>Located in the <u>Cliff-Cannon</u> neighborhood.</li> </ul>		
Fiscal Impact:			
Fotal Cost: <u>\$0</u>			
Approved in current year budget?	Yes No X N/A		
Funding Source	One-time Recurring		
Specify funding source:			
Expense Occurrence	One-time Recurring		
Other budget impacts: (revenue genera	ating, match requirements, etc.)		

#### **Operation Impacts**

What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities?

#### SMC 08.15 Multi- Family Housing Property Tax Exemption

A. The purposes of this chapter are

to:

1. encourage more multi-family housing opportunities, including affordable housing opportunities, within the City;

2. stimulate the construction of new multifamily housing and the rehabilitation of existing vacant and underutilized buildings for multi-family housing;

3. increase the supply of mixed-income multifamily housing opportunities within the City;

4. accomplish the planning goals required under the Growth Management Act, chapter 36.70A RCW, as implemented from time to time by the City's current and future comprehensive plans;

5. promote community development, neighborhood revitalization, and availability of affordable housing;

6. preserve and protect buildings, objects, sites and neighborhoods with historic, cultural, architectural, engineering or geographic significance located within the City; and

7. encourage additional housing in areas that are consistent with planning for public transit systems.

How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities?

#### RCW 84.14.100

# Report—Filing—Department of commerce audit or review—Guidance to cities and counties. (Expires January 1, 2058.)

(1) Thirty days after the anniversary of the date of the certificate of tax exemption and each year for the tax exemption period, the owner of the rehabilitated or newly constructed property, or the qualified nonprofit or local government that will assure permanent affordable homeownership for at least 25 percent of the units for properties receiving an exemption under RCW **<u>84.14.021</u>**, must file with a designated authorized representative of the city or county an annual report indicating the following:

(a) A statement of occupancy and vacancy of the rehabilitated or newly constructed property during the twelve months ending with the anniversary date;

(b) A certification by the owner that the property has not changed use and, if applicable, that the property has been in compliance with the affordable housing requirements as described in RCW <u>84.14.020</u> since the date of the certificate approved by the city or county;

(c) A description of changes or improvements constructed after issuance of the certificate of tax exemption; and

(d) Any additional information requested by the city or county in regards to the units receiving a tax exemption.

(2) All cities or counties, which issue certificates of tax exemption for multiunit housing that conform to the requirements of this chapter, must report annually by April 1st of each year, beginning in 2007, to the department of commerce. A city or county must be in compliance with the reporting requirements of this section to offer certificates of tax exemption for multiunit housing authorized in this chapter. The report must include the following information:

(a) The number of tax exemption certificates granted;

(b) The total number and type of units produced or to be produced;

(c) The number, size, and type of units produced or to be produced meeting affordable housing requirements;

(d) The actual development cost of each unit produced;

(e) The total monthly rent or total sale amount of each unit produced;

(f) The annual household income and household size for each of the affordable units receiving a tax exemption and a summary of these figures for the city or county; and

# (g) The value of the tax exemption for each project receiving a tax exemption and the total value of tax exemptions granted.

(3)(a) The department of commerce must adopt and implement a program to effectively audit or review that the owner or operator of each property for which a certificate of tax exemption has been issued, except for those properties receiving an exemption that are owned or operated by a nonprofit or for those properties receiving an exemption from a city or county that operates an independent audit or review program, is offering the number of units at rents as committed to in the approved application for an exemption and that the tenants are being properly screened to be qualified for an income-restricted unit. The audit or review program must be adopted in consultation with local governments and other stakeholders and may be based on auditing a percentage of income-restricted units or properties annually. A private owner or operator of a property for which a certificate of tax exemption has been issued under this chapter, must be audited at least once every five years.

(b) If the review or audit required under (a) of this subsection for a given property finds that the owner or operator is not offering the number of units at rents as committed to in the approved application or is not properly screening tenants for income-restricted units, the department of commerce must notify the city or county and the city or county must impose and collect a sliding scale penalty not to exceed an amount calculated by subtracting the amount of rents that would have been collected had the owner or operator complied with their commitment from the amount of rents collected by the owner or operator for the income-restricted units, with consideration of the severity of the noncompliance. If a subsequent review or audit required under (a) of this subsection for a given property finds continued substantial noncompliance with the program requirements, the exemption certificate must be canceled pursuant to **RCW 84.14.110**.

(c) The department of commerce may impose and collect a fee, not to exceed the costs of the audit or review, from the owner or operator of any property subject to an audit or review required under (a) of this subsection.

(4) The department of commerce must provide guidance to cities and counties, which issue certificates of tax exemption for multiunit housing that conform to the requirements of this chapter, on best practices in managing and reporting for the exemption programs authorized under this chapter, including guidance for cities and counties to collect and report demographic information for tenants of units receiving a tax exemption under this chapter.

(5) This section expires January 1, 2058.

#### [2021 c 187 § 5; 2012 c 194 § 9; 2007 c 430 § 10; 1995 c 375 § 13.]

How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?

#### Title 08 Taxation and Revenue

#### Chapter 08.15 Multiple-family Housing Property Tax Exemption

#### Section 08.15.100 Annual Certification and Affordability Certification

Within thirty days of the anniversary of the date the final certificate of tax exemption was recorded at the County and each year thereafter, for the tax exemption period, the property owner shall file a certification with the director, verified upon oath or affirmation, which shall contain such information as the director may deem necessary or useful, and shall include the following information:

1. A statement of occupancy and vacancy of the multi-family units during the previous year.

2. A certification that the property has not changed use and, if applicable, that the property has been in compliance with the affordable housing requirements as described in <u>SMC 8.15.090</u> since the date of filing of the final certificate of tax exemption, and continues to be in compliance with the contract with the City and the requirements of this chapter; and

3. If the property owner rents the affordable multi-family housing units, the property owner shall file with the City a report indicating the household income of each initial tenant qualifying as low and moderate-income in order to comply with the twenty percent requirement of **SMC 8.15.090(A)(2)(b)** and RCW 84.14.020(1)(ii)(B).

a. The reports shall be on a form provided by the City and shall be signed by the tenants.

b. Information on the incomes of occupants of affordable units shall be included with the application for the final certificate of tax exemption, and shall continue to be included with the annual report for each property during the exemption period.

4. A description of any improvements or changes to the property made after the filing of the final certificate or last declaration, as applicable.

B. Failure to submit the annual declaration may result in cancellation of the tax exemption.

Date Passed: Monday, August 21, 2017 Effective Date: Saturday, October 7, 2017 ORD C35524 Section 8

Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?

#### **Comprehensive Plan Land Use Policies:**

LU 1.4 Higher Density Residential Uses LU 3.5 Mix of Uses in Centers LU 4.2 Land Uses That Support Travel Options and Active Transportation LU 4.6 Transit-Supported Development

#### Comprehensive Plan Housing Policies:

H 1.9 Mixed-Income Housing

H 1.4 Use of Existing Infrastructure

H 1.10 Lower-Income Housing Development Incentives

H 1.11 Access to Transportation

H 1.18 Distribution of Housing Options

#### Comprehensive Plan Economic Development Policies:

ED 2.4 Mixed-Use

ED 7.4 Tax Incentives for Land Improvement



# PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MULTIPLE FAMILY HOUSING PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION AGREEMENT

THIS CONDITIONAL AGREEMENT is between the City of Spokane, a Washington State municipal corporation, as "City", and Ryan Towner, as "Owner/Taxpayer" whose business address is 902 W GARLAND AVE SPOKANE, 99205.

#### WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the City has, pursuant to the authority granted to it by Chapter 84.14 RCW, designated various residential targeted areas for the provision of a limited property tax exemption for new and rehabilitated multiple family residential housing; and

WHEREAS, the City has, through Chapter 8.15 SMC, enacted a program whereby property owner/taxpayers may qualify for a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption which certifies to the Spokane County Assessor that the Owner/Taxpayer is eligible to receive the multiple family housing property tax exemption; and

WHEREAS, the Owner/Taxpayer is interested in receiving the multiple family property tax exemption for new multiple family residential housing units in a residential targeted area; and

WHEREAS, the Owner/Taxpayer has submitted to the City a complete conditional application form for no fewer than a total of four new multiple family permanent residential housing units to be constructed on property legally described as:

19-25-43: A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF LOTS 7, 8, 9, AND 10, BLOCK 98, OF SECOND ADDITION TO RAILROAD ADDITION, LYING THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 19, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 7, BLOCK 98 OF SAID SECOND ADDITION TO RAILROAD ADDITION; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY OF 8TH AVENUE, NORTH 89\*53'08" WEST A DISTANCE OF 199.91 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 11, BLOCK 98 OF SAID SECOND ADDITION TO RAILROAD ADDITION; THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 11, BLOCK 98, NORTH 00\*07'02" EAST A DISTANCE OF 111.00 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTH 38 FEET OF SAID LOT 10, BLOCK 98, BEING A 1/2 INCH REBAR WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP MARKED "LS 33141"; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTH 38 OF SAID LOT 10, BLOCK 98, SOUTH 89\*53'08" EAST A DISTANCE OF 24.99 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF SAID LOT 10, BLOCK 98, BEING A 1/2 INCH REBAR WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP MARKED "LS 33141"; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE EXTENSION OF SAID NORTH 38 FEET OF SAID LOT 10, BLOCK 98, SOUTH 89\*53'08" EAST A DISTANCE OF 51.03 FEET TO THE EASTERLY FACE OF CURB; THENCE ALONG THE EASTERLY FACE OF CURB, NORTH 00\*10'28" EAST. A DISTANCE 26.39 FEET TO INTERSECTION OF SAID EASTERLY FACE OF CURB AND THE EXTENSION OF THE NORTHERLY BACK OF CURB; THENCE ALONG THE NORTHERLY BACK OF CURB AND THE EXTENSION THEREOF, SOUTH 89\*50'00" EAST A DISTANCE OF 123.86 FEET TO THE WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY OF MCCLELLAN

## STREET; THENCE ALONG THE WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY OF SAID MCCLELLAN STREET, SOUTH 00\*07'11" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 137.27 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 35194.2512,

#### commonly known as 206 W 8TH AVE SPOKANE, WA

WHEREAS, this property is located in the <u>Spokane Targeted Investment Area</u>. and is eligible to seek a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption post construction under the <u>8-year</u> <u>Market Rate Exemption</u>. as defined in SMC 08.15.090.

WHEREAS, the City has determined that the improvements will, if completed as proposed, satisfy the requirements for a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption; -- NOW, THEREFORE,

The City and the Owner/Taxpayer do mutually agree as follows:

1. The City agrees to issue the Owner/Taxpayer a Conditional Agreement subsequent to the City Council's approval of this agreement.

2. The project must comply with all applicable zoning requirements, land use requirements, design review recommendations and all building, fire, and housing code requirements contained in the Spokane Municipal Code at the time a complete application for a building permit is received. However, if the proposal includes rehabilitation or demolition in preparation for new construction, the residential portion of the building shall fail to comply with one or more standards of applicable building or housing codes, and the rehabilitation improvements shall achieve compliance with the applicable building and construction codes.

3. If the property proposed to be rehabilitated is not vacant, the Owner/Taxpayer shall provide each existing tenant with housing of comparable size, quality and price and a reasonable opportunity to relocate. At the time of an application for a Conditional Agreement, the applicant provided a letter attesting and documenting how the existing tenant(s) were/will be provided comparable housing and opportunities to relocate.

(a). The existing residential tenant(s) are to be provided housing of a comparable size and quality at a rent level meeting the Washington State definition of affordable to their income level. Specifically, RCW 84.14.010 defines "affordable housing" as residential housing that is rented by a person or household whose monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, do not exceed thirty (30) percent of the household's monthly income. The duration of this requirement will be the length of the tenant's current lease plus one year.

4. The Owner/Taxpayer intends to construct on the site, approximately <u>30</u> new multiple family residential housing units substantially as described in their application filed with and approved by the City. In no event shall such construction provide fewer than a total of four multiple family permanent residential housing units.

5. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees to complete construction of the agreed-upon

improvements within three years from the date the City issues this Conditional Agreement or within any extension granted by the City.

6. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees, upon completion of the improvements and upon issuance by the City of a temporary or permanent certificate of occupancy, to file an application for a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption with the City's Planning and Economic Development Department, which will require the following:

(a) a statement of the actual development cost of each multiple family housing unit, and the total expenditures made in the rehabilitation or construction of the entire property;

(b) a description of the completed work and a statement that the rehabilitation improvements or new construction of the Owner/Taxpayer's property qualifies the property for the exemption;

(c) a statement that the project meets the affordable housing requirements, if applicable; and

(d) a statement that the work was completed within the required three-year period or any authorized extension of the issuance of the conditional certificate of tax exemption.

7. The City agrees, conditioned on the Owner/Taxpayer's successful completion of the improvements in accordance with the terms of this Conditional Agreement and on the Owner/Taxpayer's filing of application for the Final Certificate of Exemption with the materials described in Paragraph 6 above, to file a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption with the Spokane County Assessor indicating that the Owner/Taxpayer is qualified for the limited tax exemption under Chapter 84.14 RCW.

8. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees, that once a Final Certificate of Tax Exemption is issued, to comply with all Annual Reporting requirements set forth in SMC 8.15.100 and contained in the annual report form provided by the City. Thirteen (13) months following the first year of the exemption beginning and every year thereafter, the Owner/Taxpayer will complete and file the appropriate Annual Report required by the terms of their Final Certificate of Tax Exemption with the City's Planning and Economic Development Department. The Annual Report is a declaration verifying upon oath and indicating the following:

(a) a statement of occupancy, use of the property/unit, income and rents for qualifying 12-year and 20-year and vacancy of the multi-family units during the previous year;

(b) a certification that the property has not changed to a commercial use or been used as a transient (short-term rental) basis and, if applicable, that the property has been in compliance with the affordable housing income and rent requirements as described in SMC 8.15.090 since the date of the filing of the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption, and continues to be in compliance with this Agreement and the requirements of SMC Chapter 8.15;

(c) for affordable multi-family housing units, information providing the household income, rent and utility cost, of each qualifying as low and moderate-income, which shall be reported on a form provided by the City and signed by the tenants; and

(d) a description of any improvements or changes to the property made after the filing of the final certificate or last declaration.

9. The parties acknowledge that the units, including any owner-occupied units are to be used and occupied for multifamily permanent residential occupancy and use. The parties further acknowledge that the certificate of occupancy issued by the City is for multifamily residential units. The Owner/Taxpayer acknowledges and agrees that the units shall be used primarily for multi-family housing for permanent residential occupancy as defined in SMC 8.15.020 and RCW 84.14.010 and any business activities shall only be incidental and ancillary to the residential occupancy. Any units that are converted from multi-family housing for permanent residential occupancy as defined in SMC 6.15.020 and RCW 84.14.010 and any business activities shall only be incidental and ancillary to the residential occupancy shall be reported to the City of Spokane's Planning and Economic Development Department and the Spokane County Assessor's Office and removed from eligibility for the tax exemption within 60 days. If the removal of the ineligible unit or units causes the number of units to drop below the number of units required for tax exemption eligibility, the remaining units shall be removed from eligibility pursuant to state law.

10. To qualify for the twelve-year tax exemption, the Owner/Taxpayer will be required to rent or sell at least <u>30%</u>. of the multiple family housing units as affordable housing units to low and moderate-income households and will ensure that the units within the 12-yr program are dispersed throughout the building and distributed proportionally among the buildings; not be clustered in certain sections of the building or stacked; comparable to market-rate units in terms of unit size and leasing terms; and are comparable to market-rate units in terms of functionality and building amenities and access in addition to the other requirements set forth in the Agreement. The Owner/Taxpayer is further required to comply with the rental relocation assistance requirements set forth in RCW 84.14.020 (7) and (8) and in SMC 8.15.090 (D).

11. The Owner/Taxpayer will have the right to assign its rights under this Agreement. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees to notify the City promptly of any transfer of Owner/Taxpayer's ownership interest in the Site or in the improvements made to the Site under this Agreement.

12. The City reserves the right to cancel the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption should the Owner/Taxpayer, its successors and assigns, fail to comply with any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement or of SMC Chapter 8.15.

13. No modifications of this Conditional Agreement shall be made unless mutually agreed upon by the parties in writing.

14. The Owner/Taxpayer acknowledges its awareness of the potential tax liability involved if and when the property ceases to be eligible for the incentive provided pursuant to this agreement. Such liability may include additional real property tax, penalties and interest imposed pursuant to RCW 84.14.110. The Owner/Taxpayer further acknowledges its awareness and understanding of the process implemented by the Spokane County Assessor's Office for the appraisal and assessment of property taxes. The Owner/Taxpayer agrees that the City is not responsible for the property value assessment imposed by Spokane County at any time during the exemption period.

15. In the event that any term or clause of this Conditional Agreement conflicts with

City of Spokane \* Planning & Economic Development \* (509) 625-6500 \* incentives@spokanecity.org my.spokanecity.org/economicdevelopment/incentives/multi-family-tax-exemption

applicable law, such conflict shall not affect other terms of this Agreement, which can be given effect without the conflicting term or clause, and to this end, the terms of this Conditional Agreement are declared to be severable.

16. The parties agree that this Conditional Agreement, requires the applicant to file an application for the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption post the construction of the multiple family residential housing units referenced above and that the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption shall be subject to the applicable provisions of Chapter 84.14 RCW and Chapter 8.15 SMC that exist at the time this agreement is signed by the parties. The parties may agree to amend this Conditional Agreement requirements as set forth when the applicant applies for the Final Certificate of Tax Exemption based upon applicable amendments and additions to Chapter 84.14 RCW or Chapter 8.15 SMC if the requirements change between the issuance of the Conditional Agreement and the Application for Final Tax Exemption has been submitted.

17. Nothing in this Agreement shall permit or be interpreted to permit either party to violate any provision of Chapter 84.14 RCW or Chapter 8.15 SMC

18 This Agreement is subject to approval by the City Council.

DATED this	day of	 20	
CITY OF SPOKANE		Ryan Towner	
By:		By:	
Mayor, Nadine Woodward	d	lts:	
Attest:		Approved as to form:	
City Clerk		Assistant City Attorney	

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:		Date Rec'd	5/10/2023
05/22/2023		Clerk's File #	RES 2023-0038
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	HUMAN RESOURCES	Cross Ref #	
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	TONYA WALLACE 625-6845	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	TWALLACE@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Resolutions	Requisition #	
Agenda Item Name	COUNCIL CONFIRMATION OF MAYORAL APPOINTEE - RISK MANGER		
A 1 147 II			

## Agenda Wording

Confirm the Appointment of Jon "Scott" Jordan as the Risk Manager

## Summary (Background)

Jon "Scott" Jordan was selected for appointment to the position by Mayor Woodward and is being presented for confirmation to Risk Manager.

Lease?	NO	Grant related? NO	Public Works? NO		
<b>Fiscal</b>	Impact		<b>Budget Account</b>		
Select	\$		#		
Select	\$		#		
Select	\$		#		
Select	\$		#		
Approvals		Council Notifications			
Dept He	Dept Head MOSS, DAVID		Study Session\Other	Public Safety 5-1-2023	
<u>Divisio</u>	Division Director MOSS, DAVID		Council Sponsor	CP Beggs / CM Kinnear	
Finance BUSTOS, KIM		<b>Distribution List</b>	Distribution List		
<u>Legal</u>		PICCOLO, MIKE	twallace@spokanecity.org		
For the	Mayor	PERKINS, JOHNNIE	ehaugen@spokanecity.org		
Additional Approvals		jlargent@spokanecity.org			
Purcha	sing				

# Committee Agenda Sheet Public Safety

Submitting Department	Human Resources		
Contact Name & Phone	Tonya Wallace, CFO		
Contact Email	twallace@spokanecity.org 509-625-6845		
Council Sponsor(s)	Council President Beggs / CM Kinnear		
Select Agenda Item Type	□Consent ⊠ Discussion Time Requested: <u>5 min.</u>		
Agenda Item Name	Council Confirmation of Mayoral Appointee – Risk Manager		
Summary (Background)	Appointment of Jon "Scott" Jordan as Risk Manager.		
	Jon "Scott" Jordan was selected for appointment to the position by Mayor Woodward and is being presented for confirmation to Risk Manager.		
Proposed Council Action & Confirm the Appointment of Jon "Scott" Jordan as the Risk Man			
Date:			
Fiscal Impact:			
Total Cost:			
Approved in current year budg	dget? ⊠Yes □No □N/A		
Funding Source □One-time ⊠Recurring Specify funding source:			
Expense Occurrence   One-time   Recurring			
Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)			
Operations Impacts			
What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities? N/A			
How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by			
racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other			
existing disparities? N/A			
How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it			
is the right solution? N/A			
Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan,			
	pital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council		
Resolutions, and others? N/A			

### **RESOLUTION 2023 - 0038**

A resolution approving the appointment of Jon Scott Jordan as the Risk Manager for the City of Spokane.

WHEREAS, Section 24 of the City Charter provides that the Mayor shall have the power to appoint department heads subject to the approval of the City Council; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.14 F of the City Council Rules of Procedures states that approval of appointment of department heads shall be by resolution during the City Council's legislative session; and

WHEREAS, after full consideration, Mayor Nadine Woodward has appointed Jon Scott Jordan as the Risk Manager for the City of Spokane.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council for the City of Spokane that it hereby approves the appointment of Jon Scott Jordan as the Risk Manager for the City of Spokane.

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

City Clerk

Approved as to form:

Assistant City Attorney

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	for City Council Meeting of:	Date Rec'd	5/10/2023
05/22/2023		Clerk's File #	RES 2023-0039
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	PLANNING & ECONOMIC	Cross Ref #	OPR 2018-0811
	DEVELOPMENT		
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	TERI STRIPES 6597	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	TSTRIPES@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	Resolutions	Requisition #	
Agenda Item Name	BEACON HILL DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AMENDMENT #2		
Agenda Wording	•		

## ua woruing

Seeking approval of the 2nd Amendment of the Development Agreement, with Beacon Hill Opportunity Zone, LLC., Howard Capital Group, LLC., and Beacon Hill Spokane extending the term to September 13, 2026

## Summary (Background)

At the December 12, 2016, pursuant to Resolution 2018-0097 Council approved Amendment #1 to the Beacon Hill Development Agreement, which extended the current term to September 13, 2023. As that date is approaching the developer has proposed a three-year time extension. Amendment #2 will extend the term to September 13, 2026.

Lease? NO	Grant related? NO	Public Works? NO			
	Grant related: NO				
Fiscal Impact		<u>Budget Account</u>			
Neutral <b>\$</b>		#			
Select \$		#			
Select \$		#			
Select \$		#			
<b>Approvals</b>		<b>Council Notification</b>	<u>15</u>		
Dept Head	BLACK, TIRRELL	Study Session\Other	Urban Experience 5/8/23		
<b>Division Director</b>	MACDONALD, STEVEN	Council Sponsor	Cms Bingle and Cathcart		
<b>Finance</b>	ORLOB, KIMBERLY	Distribution List			
Legal	HARRINGTON,	tstripes@spokanecity.org			
	MARGARET				
For the Mayor	PERKINS, JOHNNIE	sgardner@spokanecity.org			
Additional Appr	Additional Approvals		jrichman@spokanecity.org		
Purchasing		smacdonald@spokanecity.org			
		jchurchill@spokanecity.org			
		rbenzie@spokanecity.org			
		publicworksaccounting@spokanecity.org			
# Committee Agenda Sheet

# Urban Experience – May 8, 2023

Submitting Department	Planning and Economic Development		
Contact Name & Phone	Teri Stripes		
Contact Email	tstripes@spokanecity.org		
Council Sponsor(s)	District CMs Cathcart & Bingle		
Select Agenda Item Type	Consent Discussion Time Requested:		
Agenda Item Name	Beacon Hill Development Agreement Amendment #2		
Summary (Background)	At the December 12, 2016, pursuant to Resolution 2018-0097 Council approved Amendment #1 to the Beacon Hill Development Agreement, which extended the current term to September 13, 2023. As that date is approaching the developer has proposed a three-year time extension. Amendment #2 will extend the term to September 13, 2026.		
Proposed Council Action & Date	Approval of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Amendment of the Development Agreement, with Beacon Hill Opportunity Zone, LLC., Howard Capital Group, LLC., and Beacon Hill Spokane extending the term to September 13, 2026.		
Fiscal Impact: Total Cost: Approved in current year budg	et? Yes 🔲 No 📕 N/A		
Funding Source One-tine Specify funding source:	me 🔲 Recurring		
Expense Occurrence 🔲 One-ti	me 🔲 Recurring		
Other budget impacts: (revenu	e generating, match requirements, etc.)		
<b>Operations Impacts</b>			
What impacts would the proposal have on historically excluded communities?			
	of Spokane includes 12,599 of Spokane's most economically distressed ne of the highest rates of poverty.		
This Neighborhood and resider	nts have been negatively impacted by the current Housing Crisis.		
The Beacon Hill Development once completed, will add a significant number of housing units affordable to many different income levels.			
How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities?			
Not Applicable			
How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?			

### Not Applicable

Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?

**Comprehensive Plan Land Use Policies:** LU 1.4 Higher Density Residential Uses **Comprehensive Plan Housing Policies:** H 1.9 Mixed-Income Housing H 1.4 Use of Existing Infrastructure H 1.18 Distribution of Housing Options **Comprehensive Plan Transportation Policies:** TR 9: Promote Economic Opportunity TR 17: Paving Existing Unpaved Streets **Comprehensive Plan Economic Development Policies:** ED 7.4 Tax Incentives for Land Improvement **Comprehensive Plan Capital Facilities Policies:** CFU 1.1 Level of Service CFU 2.1 Available Public Facilities CFU 4.1 Compact Development CFU 6.1 Community Revitalization CFU 6.2 Economic Development **Comprehensive Plan Natural Environment Policies:** NE 13.1 Walkway and Bicycle Path System City of Spokane Housing Action Plan (2021) The Yard Redevelopment Master Plan (2017) Greater Hillyard North-East Planning Alliance (GHNEPA) Neighborhood Plan (2010)

### RESOLUTION NO. 2023-0039

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SECOND AMENDMENT OF A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT REGARDING THE PRELIMINARY PLAT AND PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT REFERRED TO AS BEACON HILL

A. WHEREAS, Beacon Hill Opportunity Zone, LLC owns Spokane County Parcel No. 35022.0019;

B. WHEREAS, Howard Capital Group, LLC owns Spokane County Parcel No. 35025.9036 and 35022.0012;

C. WHEREAS, Beacon Hill Spokane, Inc. owns Spokane County Parcel Nos. 35022.0050 and 35022.0040;

D. WHEREAS, collectively the Owners own that certain real property which is located in the Beacon Hill area of Spokane, which property is further described in Exhibit "A," attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein (hereafter the "Property");

E. WHEREAS, pursuant to Findings, Conclusions, and Decision dated February 8, 2011, File No. Z1000033PPUD, the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner granted preliminary approval of a plat and planned unit development (PUD) in order to allow subdivision of the Property into 141 residential lots (the "Project" or "Preliminary Approval"). The Project is known as Beacon Hill;

F. WHEREAS, on or about November 26, 2018, pursuant to Resolution 2018-0097, the City Council approved a Development Agreement extending the expiration of the preliminary approval until September 13, 2023 (the "Development Agreement");

G. WHEREAS, the Owners have requested an additional three-year extension of the Development Agreement in order to extend the time to file a final plat as set forth herein;

H. WHEREAS, the City is a Washington Municipal Corporation with land use planning and permitting authority over all land within its corporate limits and has the authority to enter into Development Agreements pursuant to RCW 36.70B.170(1);

I. WHEREAS, The City has promulgated regulations for Development Agreements in Section 17A.060 of the Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) and the Development Agreement was prepared in accordance with those provisions; and

I. Pursuant to RCW 36.70B.200, the City held a public hearing with respect to consideration and approval of this Extension of the Development Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE - - it is hereby resolved by the Spokane City Council;

- 1. The foregoing recitals and the contents of the attached Second Amendment of Development Agreement are hereby adopted as the Council's findings in support of this Resolution.
- 2. The Second Amendment of Development Agreement, which provides for an additional 3-year extension of the preliminary approval, is hereby approved and the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute it on behalf of the City.

ADOPTED by the Spokane City Council this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_.

City Clerk

Approved as to form:

Assistant City Attorney

# Exhibit 1

# Development Agreement

When recorded return to: City of Spokane Attn: City Clerk 808 W Spokane Falls Blvd Spokane, WA 99201

### SECOND AMENDMENT OF DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

This Second Amendment of Development Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2023 (the "Effective Date") by and among City of Spokane, a municipal corporation of the State of Washington (the "City"), Beacon Hill Opportunity Zone, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, Howard Capital Group, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, and Beacon Hill Spokane, Inc., a Washington corporation, (collectively the "Owner"), collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Parties."

### RECITALS

A. WHEREAS, Beacon Hill Spokane, Inc., David L Baker, a single man, Peter D. and M. Linda Rayner, husband and wife, the original owners of the real property subject to that certain Development Agreement dated December 11, 2018, as amended on March 17, 2022, transferred interests in portions of the real property subject to the Development Agreements and the current Owners of the property subject to the Development Agreement, as amended, are as follows:

- a. Beacon Hill Opportunity Zone, LLC (as to APN: 35022.0019)
- b. Howard Capital Group, LLC (as to APNs 35025.9036 and 35022.0112)
- c. Beacon Hill Spokane, Inc. (as to APNs: 35022.0050 and 35022.0040)
- d. City of Spokane (as to APN: 35022.0041)

B. WHEREAS, Owner owns that certain real property located in the Beacon Hill area of Spokane, which property is further described in Exhibit A (the "**Property**"); and

C. WHEREAS, pursuant to Findings, Conclusions, and Decision dated February 8, 2011, File No. Z1000033-PPUD, the City of Spokane Hearing Examiner granted preliminary approval of a plat and planned unit development (PUD) in order to allow subdivision of the property into 141 residential lots (the "**Project**" or "**Preliminary Approval**"). The Preliminary Approval was originally set to expire on September 13, 2018, but was granted a one-year extension by the Director of Planning and Developer Services; and

D. WHEREAS, on or about November 26, 2018, pursuant to Resolution 2018-0097, the City Council approved a Development Agreement extending the expiration of preliminary approval until September 13, 2023 (the "Development Agreement"); and

E. WHEREAS, Owner has requested an additional three-year extension of the Development Agreement in order to extend the time to file a final plat as set forth here; and

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the foregoing Recitals, the Parties agree as follows:

## TERMS

- 1. <u>Development Agreement</u>. The Development Agreement dated December 11, 2018 and filed for record on January 18, 2019 under recording number 6776491, records of Spokane County (the "Development Agreement"), and the First Amendment to Development Agreement dated March 17, 2022 are incorporated by reference into this document as though written in full and shall remain in full force and effect except as provided herein.
- 2. <u>Extension of Time</u>. Unless terminated earlier as provided herein, the duration of the Development Agreement shall be extended and shall remain in effect until amended in writing by the parties or until September 13, 2026 (hereinafter, "Term"). Unless the Development Agreement is terminated earlier as provided therein, the expiration date (or the time by which the Owner must submit a final plat and PUD meeting all of the requirements of the Preliminary Approval) of the Project is extended until September 13, 2026. Provided, pursuant to RCW 36.70B.170, the city reserves the right to impose new or different regulations to the extent required by a serious threat to public health and safety.
- 3. <u>Recordation of Agreement</u>. The Owner shall cause this Agreement and any amendment(s) or termination to it to be recorded with the Spokane County Auditor.
- 4. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original and shall constitute one and the same instrument. All Exhibits hereto are hereby incorporated by specific reference into this Agreement, and their terms are made a part of this Agreement as though fully recited herein.
- 5. <u>Termination</u>. This Agreement shall be deemed terminated and of no further effect upon the entry of a final judgment (and the exhaustion of all appeals setting aside such final judgment), voiding this Agreement. Upon the termination of this Agreement, no party shall have any further right or obligation hereunder.
- 6. <u>Appeal</u>. Without limiting any appeal rights, and subject to SMC 17G.060.210, a person with standing, as defined in Washington land use case law, may appeal to the hearing examiner a project permit or project permits issued pursuant to this Agreement by filing with the permit application department a written appeal within fourteen days of the date of the written decision on the project permit.

## CITY OF SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

By: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to form:

Clerk

Attest:

Assistant City Attorney

BEACON HILL SPOKANE, INC.

B

Peter D. Rayner Its: President

BEACON HILL OPPORTUNITY ZONE, LLC

By: Peter D. Rayner

Its: Manager

HOWARD CAPITAL GROUP, LLC

By: Ryan Both

Its: Manager

STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ) ss. County of Spokane )

I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that \_\_\_\_\_\_ and Terri L. Pfister, are the persons who appeared before me and said persons acknowledged that they signed this document, on oath stated that they were authorized to sign it and acknowledged it as the Mayor and the City Clerk, respectively, of the City of Spokane, a municipal corporation, to be the free and voluntary act of such party for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public in and for Washington State, residing at

My appointment expires \_\_\_\_\_

### STATE OF WASHINGTON

County of Spokane

I hereby certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that, on this  $\frac{30}{100}$  day of  $\frac{100}{1000}$ , 2023, Peter D. Rayner signed this instrument, on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the instrument as the President of Beacon Hill Spokane, Inc., and acknowledged it to be his free and voluntary act of such party for uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal the day and year first above written.

Notary Public State of Washington Edward H Turner Commission No. 109816 Commission Expires 06-10-25

: ss.

:

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at Spokane My commission expires: 0 - 10 - 25

STATE OF WASHINGTON

: ss. County of Spokane :

:

I hereby certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that, on this <u>30</u> day of <u>MALCH</u>, 2023, Peter D. Rayner signed this instrument, on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the instrument as the Manager of Beacon Hill Opportunity Zone, LLC and acknowledged it to be his free and voluntary act of such party for uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal the day and year first above written.

Notary Public State of Washington Edward H Turner Commission No. 109816 Commission Expires 06-10-25

> : : ss.

:

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at Spokane My commission expires: 6 - 10 - 25

STATE OF WASHINGTON

County of Spokane

I hereby certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that, on this 13 day of execute the instrument as the Manager of Howard Capital Group, LLC, and acknowledged it to be his free and voluntary act of such party for uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal the day and year first above written.

Notary Public State of Washington Edward H Turner Commission No. 109816 Commission Expires 06-10-25

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at Spokane My commission expires: 6 - 16 - 25

SPOKANE Agenda Sheet	POKANE Agenda Sheet for City Council Meeting of:		5/10/2023
05/22/2023		Clerk's File #	ORD C36387
		Renews #	
Submitting Dept	PLANNING & ECONOMIC	Cross Ref #	
	DEVELOPMENT		
<b>Contact Name/Phone</b>	TYLER KIMBRELL 6733	Project #	
Contact E-Mail	TKIMBRELL@SPOKANECITY.ORG	Bid #	
Agenda Item Type	First Reading Ordinance <b>Requisition #</b>		
Agenda Item Name	BICYCLE PARKING CODE UPDATE		

# Agenda Wording

Proposed update to SMC 17C.230.110 Minimum Required Parking Spaces and SMC 17C 230.200 Bicycle Parking to align with the goals and policies of the Bicycle Master Plan and the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan.

# Summary (Background)

Current code language was adopted on January 12, 2015 (ORD C35212), two years prior to the 2017 Bicycle Master Plan and Comprehensive Plan update. On March 22 and April 12, 2023, the City of Spokane Plan Commission held a public hearing and recommended approval of the proposed code changes with two amendments as described in the attached packet. On January 25 and February 22, 2023, the Plan Commission held workshops to discuss the proposed code updates.

Lease? NO	Grant related? NO	Public Works? NO	
<b>Fiscal Impact</b>		<b>Budget Account</b>	
Neutral \$		#	
Select \$		#	
Select \$		#	
Select \$		#	
<b>Approvals</b>		<b>Council Notification</b>	<u>IS</u>
Dept Head	BLACK, TIRRELL	Study Session\Other	Urban Experience
			12/12/22, UE 5/8/23
<b>Division Director</b>	BLACK, TIRRELL	Council Sponsor	CP Beggs and CM
			Zappone
<u>Finance</u>	ORLOB, KIMBERLY	<b>Distribution List</b>	
Legal	PICCOLO, MIKE	tkimbrell@spokanecity.or	g
For the Mayor	PERKINS, JOHNNIE	tblack@spokanecity.org	
Additional Approv	vals	cquinnhurst@spokanecity	.org
Purchasing		sgardner@spokanecity.or	5
		smacdonald@spokanecity	.org
		rbenzie@spokanecity.org	
		jchurchill@spokanecity.or	g

# Committee Agenda Sheet

# **Urban Experience**

Submitting Department	Planning Services	
Contact Name & Phone	Tyler Kimbrell X6733	
Contact Email	tkimbrell@spokanecity.org	
Council Sponsor(s)	Council President Beggs & Councilmember Zappone	
Select Agenda Item Type	□ Consent	
Agenda Item Name	Bicycle Parking Code Update	
Summary (Background)	<ul> <li>Bicycle Parking code Opdate</li> <li>Proposed update to SMC 17C.230.110 Minimum Required Parking</li> <li>Spaces and SMC 17C 230.200 Bicycle Parking to align with the goals</li> <li>and policies of the Bicycle Master Plan and the City of Spokane</li> <li>Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>Current code language was adopted on January 12, 2015 (ORD</li> <li>C35212), two years prior to the 2017 Bicycle Master Plan and</li> <li>Comprehensive Plan update.</li> <li>On March 22 and April 12, 2023, the City of Spokane Plan Commission</li> <li>held a public hearing and recommended approval of the proposed</li> <li>code changes with two amendments as described in the attached</li> <li>packet.</li> <li>On January 25 and February 22, 2023, the Plan Commission held</li> <li>workshops to discuss the proposed code updates.</li> <li>On December 12, 2022, staff provided a general overview of the</li> </ul>	
	Committee.	
Proposed Council Action & Date:	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading and public hearing to consider adoption of the proposed text amendments June 5, 2023	
Fiscal Impact: Total Cost: Approved in current year budg Funding Source One	et? □ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A e-time □ Recurring	
Specify funding source:		
Expense Occurrence 🗆 One-time 🗆 Recurring		
	e generating, match requirements, etc.)	
Operations Impacts		
What impacts would the prope	osal have on historically excluded communities?	
Ensuring sufficient and accessil opportunities.	ble bicycle parking supports more equitable active transportation	

How will data be collected, analyzed, and reported concerning the effect of the program/policy by racial, ethnic, gender identity, national origin, income level, disability, sexual orientation, or other existing disparities?

Shared mobility trip origin and destination data can be reviewed to assess whether the presence of new and upgraded bicycle parking facilities are associated with changes in shared scooter and bicycle trip patterns.

How will data be collected regarding the effectiveness of this program, policy or product to ensure it is the right solution?

N/A

Describe how this proposal aligns with current City Policies, including the Comprehensive Plan, Sustainability Action Plan, Capital Improvement Program, Neighborhood Master Plans, Council Resolutions, and others?

Improving the currently adopted bicycle parking requirements will bring the SMC into alignment with the goals and policies in the City of Spokane's Bicycle Master Plan and Comprehensive Plan.

Improved bicycle parking standards promote active transportation ridership, leading to less reliance on personal motor vehicles which can relieve congestion on roadways, decrease greenhouse gas emissions, and promote a more active pedestrian street network.

Bicycle parking requirements also increase the viability of compact, walkable neighborhoods which promote higher density housing that can assist in combatting the current housing shortage.

An ORDINANCE relating to minimum parking spaces required and bicycle parking amending Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) Sections 17C.230.110 and 17C.230.200.

WHEREAS, the City of Spokane adopted a Comprehensive Plan in May of 2001 that complies with the requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) as set forth in RCW 36.70A. including a transportation element meeting the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070(6); and,

WHEREAS, the transportation element of the City's Comprehensive Plan includes a Bicycle Master Plan (BMP) which has a stated goal of tripling the bicycle commute mode share with a further stated goal of increasing the commute mode share to five percent over a twenty-year period; and,

WHEREAS, The Association of Pedestrian Bicycle Professionals released *Bicycle Parking Guidelines* 2<sup>nd</sup> *Edition* which is an industry-standard guidance document for updating bicycle parking standards within municipal code and includes bicycle parking rates for reaching a five percent commute mode share; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed actions are consistent with and supported by the Spokane Comprehensive Plan and BMP, as outlined in the Plan Commission Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Recommendations (Exhibit A); and,

WHEREAS, by virtue of the public process outlined in Exhibits A and B, interested agencies and the public have had opportunities to participate throughout the process and all persons wishing to comment on the amendment were given opportunity to be heard; and,

WHEREAS, the City has complied with RCW 36.70A.370 in the adoption of this Ordinance; and,

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2023, the Washington State Department of Commerce and appropriate state agencies were given the required 60-day notice before adoption of proposed changes to the Unified Development Code; and,

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2023, a notice of intent to adopt and request for State Environment Protection Act (SEPA) agency comments was issued for the draft code pertaining to Minimum Required Parking Spaces and Bicycle Parking. The comment period ended on February 16,2023. Two comments were received, one from the Spokane Tribe of Indians and the other from the City of Spokane Streets Department; and,

WHEREAS, A SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance and Checklist were issued by Planning Services on March 2, 2023. The comment period ended on March 22, 2023. No comments were received; and,

WHEREAS, prior to the Plan Commission public hearing, a legal notice was published in the *Spokesman-Review* on March 8 and March 15, 2023; and,

WHEREAS, on March 22, 2023, the Plan Commission held a public hearing on the proposed amendment. No public testimony was given. Plan Commission deferred deliberation to April 12, 2023; and,

WHEREAS, following the deferred deliberation on April 12, 2023, Plan Commission voted to recommend the City Council adopt, with modification, the proposed amendments (see Exhibit A); and,

WHEREAS, the City Council adopts the recitals set forth herein as its findings and conclusions in support of tis adoption of this ordinance and further adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations from the Planning Services Staff Report (Exhibit B) and the City of Spokane Plan Commission (Exhibit A) for the same purposes; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, the City of Spokane Does ordain:

Section 1. That Section 17C.230.110 SMC is amended to read as follows

Section 17C.230.110 Minimum Required Parking Spaces

A. Purpose.

The purpose of required parking spaces is to provide enough parking to accommodate the majority of traffic generated by the range of uses, which might locate at the site over time. As provided in subsection (B)(3) of this section, bicycle parking may be substituted for some required parking on a site to encourage transit use and bicycling by employees and visitors to the site. The required parking numbers correspond to broad use categories, not specific uses, in response to this long-term emphasis. Provision of carpool parking, and locating it close to the building entrance, will encourage carpool use.

- B. Minimum Number of Parking Spaces Required.
  - 1. The minimum number of parking spaces for all zones is stated in Table 17C.230-1. Table 17C.230-2 states the required number of spaces for use categories. The standards of Table 17C.230-1 and Table 17C.230-2 apply unless specifically superseded by other portions of the city code.
  - 2. Joint Use Parking.

Joint use of required parking spaces may occur where two or more uses on the same or separate sites are able to share the same parking spaces because their parking demands occur at different times. Joint use of required nonresidential parking spaces is allowed if the following documentation is submitted in writing to the planning and economic development services director as part of a building or zoning permit application or land use review:

- a. The names and addresses of the uses and of the owners or tenants that are sharing the parking.
- b. The location and number of parking spaces that are being shared.
- c. An analysis showing that the peak parking times of the uses occur at different times and that the parking area will be large enough for the anticipated demands of both uses; and
- d. A legal instrument such as an easement or deed restriction that guarantees access to the parking for both uses.
- 3. ((Bicycle parking may substitute for up to ten percent of required parking. For every five nonrequired bicycle parking spaces that meet the short or long-term bicycle parking standards, the motor vehicle parking requirement is reduced by one space. Existing parking may be converted to take advantage of this provision.)) Bicycle parking may substitute for up to twenty-five (25) percent of required vehicle parking. For every four (4) short-term bicycle parking spaces, the motor vehicle parking requirement is reduced by one space. For every one (1) long-term bicycle parking space, the motor vehicle parking required is reduced by one space. Vehicle parking associated with residential uses may only be substituted by long-term bicycle parking. Existing parking may be converted to take advantage of this provision. Required bicycle parking spaces may be used to substitute for vehicle parking.
- 4. Existing Uses.

The off-street parking and loading requirements of this chapter do not apply retroactively to

established uses; however:

- a. the site to which a building is relocated must provide the required spaces; and
- b. a person increasing the floor area, or other measure of off-street parking and loading requirements, by addition or alteration, must provide spaces as required for the increase, unless the requirement under this subsection is five spaces or fewer.
- 5. Change of Use.

When the use of an existing building changes, additional off-street parking and loading facilities must be provided only when the number of parking or loading spaces required for the new use(s) exceeds the number of spaces required for the use that most recently occupied the building. A "credit" is given for the most recent use of the property for the number of parking spaces that would be required by the current parking standards. The new use is not required to compensate for any existing deficit.

- a. If the proposed use does not generate the requirement for greater than five additional parking spaces more than the most recent use then no additional parking spaces must be added.
- b. For example, a non-conforming building with no off-street parking spaces most recently contained an office use that if built today would require three off-street parking spaces. The use of the building is proposed to be changed to a restaurant that would normally require six spaces. The three spaces that would be required of the existing office use are subtracted from the required number of parking spaces for the proposed restaurant use. The remainder is three spaces. Since the three new spaces is less than five spaces no off-street parking spaces would be required to be installed in order to change the use of the building from an office use to a restaurant use.
- 6. Uses Not Mentioned.

In the case of a use not specifically mentioned in Table 17C.230-2, the requirements for offstreet parking shall be determined by the planning and economic development services director. If there is/are comparable uses, the planning and economic development services director's determination shall be based on the requirements for the most comparable use(s). Where, in the judgment of the planning and economic development services director, none of the uses in Table 17C.230-2 are comparable, the planning and economic development services director may base his or her determination as to the amount of parking required for the proposed use on detailed information provided by the applicant. The information required may include, but not be limited to, a description of the physical structure(s), identification of potential users, and analysis of likely parking demand.

C. Carpool Parking.

For office, industrial, and institutional uses where there are more than twenty parking spaces on the site, the following standards must be met:

- 1. Five spaces or five percent of the parking spaces on site, whichever is less, must be reserved for carpool use before nine a.m. on weekdays. More spaces may be reserved, but they are not required.
- 2. The spaces will be those closest to the building entrance or elevator, but not closer than the spaces for disabled parking and those signed for exclusive customer use.
- 3. Signs must be posted indicating these spaces are reserved for carpool use before nine

a.m. on weekdays.

Section 2. That Section 17C.230.200 SMC is amended to read as follows

### Section 17C.230.200 Bicycle Parking

### A. Purpose.

Bicycle parking is required to encourage the use of bicycles by providing safe and convenient places to park bicycles.

- ((1. Bicycle parking facilities, either off-street or in the street right-of-way, shall be provided in RMF, RHD, CC1, CC2, CC3, CC4, O, OR, NR, NMU, CB, GC, and industrial zones for any new use which requires twenty or more automobile parking spaces according to Table 17C.230-1 or Table 17C.230-2. All bicycle parking facilities in the street right-of-way shall conform to City engineering services department standards.
  - a. The number of required bicycle parking spaces shall be five percent of the number of required off-street auto parking spaces.
  - b. When any covered automobile parking is provided, all bicycle parking shall be covered.
- 2. Within downtown and FBC CA1, CA2, CA3, zones bicycle parking facilities, either off-street or in the street right-of-way, shall be provided. The number of spaces shall be the largest amount based on either subsections (a) or (b) below.
  - a. The number of required bicycle parking spaces shall be five percent of the number of off-street auto parking spaces being provided, whether the auto parking spaces are required by code or not.
  - b. A minimum of one bicycle parking space shall be provided for every ten thousand square feet of building area. When a building is less than ten thousand square feet in building area at least one bicycle parking space shall be provided.
  - c. When any covered automobile parking is provided, all bicycle parking shall be covered.
  - d. All bicycle parking facilities in the street right-of-way shall conform to City engineering services department standards.
- 3. Bicycle parking facilities accessory to nonresidential uses shall be located on the lot orwithin eight hundred feet of the lot. Bicycle parking accessory to residential uses shall belocated on-site. Bicycle parking facilities shared by more than one use are encouraged. Bicycle and automobile parking areas shall be separated by a barrier or painted lines.))

### B. Applicability.

- 1. The required number of bicycle parking spaces in all zones except Centers and Corridors for uses in SMC 17C.190 is identified in Table 17C.230-5. If the calculated number of required bicycle parking spaces results in a decimal the required number of spaces is rounded up.
  - <u>a.</u> No short-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. All uses under "Residential categories"
    - ii. Commercial parking
    - iii. Drive-through facilities
    - iv. All uses under "Other Categories"
    - v. All uses under "Industrial Categories"
  - b. No long-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. Commercial parking

- ii. Drive-through facilities
- iii. Mini-storage facilities
- iv. Park and open areas
- v. Schools
- vi. All uses under "Other Categories"
- 2. <u>The required number of bicycle parking spaces for allowed uses in Center and Corridor Zones is</u> <u>identified in Table 17C.230-6. If the calculated number of required bicycle parking spaces results</u> <u>in a decimal the required number of spaces is rounded up.</u>
  - a. No short-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. Residential
    - ii. Public parking lot
    - iii. Drive-through business on pedestrian streets
    - iv. Mobile food vending
    - v. Limited industrial
    - vi. Heavy Industrial
    - vii. Motor vehicle sales, rental, repair, or washing
    - viii. Automotive parts and tires (with exterior storage or display)
  - b. No long-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. Park and open areas
    - ii. Structured parking
    - iii. Public parking lot
    - iv. Drive-through business on pedestrian streets
    - v. Self-storage or warehouse
    - vi. Mobile food vending
- 3. Change of Use.
  - a. When the use of an existing building changes, bicycle parking shall be provided to meet the standards set forth in this section, except where the new number of required spaces is within ten percent of the existing number of spaces.
- 4. <u>The bicycle parking requirements of this section do not retroactively apply to established uses;</u> <u>however:</u>
  - a. When increasing the floor area or other measures of bicycle parking requirements by addition or alteration, spaces, as required for the increase, shall be provided; and
  - b. The site to which a building is relocated must provide the required spaces.
- 5. Uses Not Mentioned.
  - <u>a.</u> In the case of a use not specifically mentioned in Table 17C.230-5 or Table 17C.230-6, the requirements for bicycle parking shall be determined by the Planning Director.

### C. Short-term bicycle parking standards

Short-term bicycle parking encourages shoppers, customers, and other visitors to use bicycles by providing a convenient and readily accessible place to park bicycles.

- 1. Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.
- 2. <u>Short-term bicycle parking shall be placed on stable, firm, and slip-resistant surfaces consistent</u> with ADA requirements.

3. A bicycle rack must allow for the locking of the bicycle frame and one (1) wheel to the rack and shall support a bicycle in a stable position with a minimum of two points of contact to the bicycle frame and without damage to the wheels, frame, or components (see below for examples that meet and do not meet this requirement).

Examples of allowed and not allowed bike racks

Examples of bicycle racks that **do not** meet the design requirements:



### 4. Short-term bicycle parking must be located:

- a. Within 50 feet of a main entrance; and
- b. On-site or within the adjacent public right-of-way.
  - i. If within the public right-of-way, bicycle racks must be entirely within the pedestrian buffer strip.
- c. Outside of a building or enclosure.
- d. As to not conflict with the opening of vehicle doors.
- e. At the same grade as the sidewalk or at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.
- <u>f.</u> Short-term bicycle parking where the number of required spaces is based on the provided vehicle parking (see Basic Utilities and Parks and Open Space in Table 17C.230-5) shall be grouped and located within or adjacent to the vehicle parking area.
  - i. If located within the vehicle parking area the bicycle racks shall be protected from vehicle interference such as the opening of car doors and potential collision by ensuring adequate space between vehicle parking stalls and bicycle parking.
- 5. Property owners and businesses located on the same side of the street and on the same block may establish a grouped bicycle parking area where short-term bicycle parking solutions may be

implemented.

- a. These areas shall be located no further than 200 feet from the main entrance of each business or property they intend to serve.
- b. The racks shall be at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.
- c. Bicycle parking shall meet all other relevant standards of this section.
- 6. If the development is unable to provide short-term bicycle parking as described, the developer may explore options such as:
  - a. On-site short-term bicycle parking beyond fifty (50) from the main entrance.
  - b. Bicycle parking located at the rear of the building.
  - c. Bicycle parking located within the building.
  - d. As agreed between the applicant and the Planning Director.

### D. Long-term bicycle parking standards

Long-term bicycle parking provides employees, students, residents, commuters, and others who generally stay at a site for several hours with a secure and weather-protected place to park bicycles.

- 1. Long-term bicycle parking must be located:
  - a. Within a building.
  - b. On-site, including parking structures and garages; or
  - c. Within three hundred (300) feet of the site.
- 2. <u>A garage dedicated exclusively to a residential unit may fulfill the requirements for one (1) long-term bicycle parking space.</u>
- 3. Long-term bicycle parking must be provided in racks or lockers.
  - a. At least twenty-five percent (25%) of the racks must be horizontal to accommodate:
    - i. Those who cannot lift a bicycle into a vertical position; and
    - ii. Cargo, tandem, long-tailed, or similar bicycles that do not fit into vertical bicycle racks.
- 4. Long-term bicycle parking spacing requirements.
  - a. For horizontal racks such as the inverted-u rack:
    - i. <u>A minimum of three (3) feet parallel spacing between each rack; and</u>
    - ii. A minimum of five (5) feet perpendicular access aisle between rows of bicycle parking; and
    - iii. A minimum of two (2) feet six (6) inches of perpendicular spacing between bicycle racks and walls or obstructions; and
    - iv. A minimum of two (2) feet for user access between a wall or other obstruction and the side of the nearest parked bicycle.



# Spacing requirements for horizontal long-term bicycle racks

b. For vertical wall-mounted racks:

- i. A minimum of three (3) feet parallel spacing between each rack; or, A minimum of one (1) foot six (6) inches parallel spacing combined with a minimum of an eight (8) inch vertical off-set between each rack; and
- ii. A minimum of five (5) feet perpendicular access aisle between rows of bicycle parking; and
- iii. A minimum of one (1) foot six (6) inches for user access between a wall or other obstruction and the side of the nearest parked bicycle.

Wall-mounted bicycle rack without vertical off-sets



Wall-mounted bicycle racks with vertical off-sets



# Wall-mounted vertical bike rack access aisle



- 5. Long-term bicycle parking must be covered. The cover must be,
  - a. Permanent; and
  - b. Impervious.
- 6. Long-term bicycle parking shall be placed on floor and ground surfaces that are stable, firm, and slip resistant consistent with ADA requirements.
- 7. Long-term bike storage design details must be provided with site layouts to determine the number of bicycle parking spaces.
- 8. To provide security the bicycle parking must be,
  - a. In a locked room; or
  - b. In an enclosure with a locked gate or door, the walls of the enclosure are to be at least eight (8) feet tall or be floor-to-ceiling; or
  - c. In a permanently anchored, enclosed, and secured bike locker.
- 9. Property owners and businesses located on the same block may establish a grouped bicycle parking area where long-term bicycle parking solutions may be implemented.
  - a. These areas shall be located no further than 300 feet from each site they intend to serve.
  - b. Bicycle parking shall be at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.
  - c. Bicycle parking shall meet all other relevant standards of this section.
- 10. Residential in-unit long-term bicycle parking does not count towards fulfilling the requirements of long-term bicycle parking.
- <u>11. If a development is unable to meet the standards of this section, the applicant may seek relief</u> <u>subject to the Planning Director's discretion.</u>
  - <u>a.</u> The applicant shall provide reasonable evidence as to why they are unable to fulfill the requirements of this section.
  - b. The applicant and the Planning Director should first explore alternatives for locating bicycle parking prior to agreeing to full relief from the requirements of this section.

TABLE 17C.230-5				
		CYCLE PARKING BY		
		SIDENTIAL CATEGO		
<u>USE</u> CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	<u>REQUIRED</u> LONG-TERM <u>BICYCLE</u> PARKING
Group Living		None	<u>0</u>	1 per 10 residents
Residential Household Living	<u>Multifamily</u> dwellings of ten or more units	None	<u>0</u>	<u>0.5 per unit</u>
	<u></u>	MMERCIAL CATEGO	RIES	
<u>USE</u> CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	<u>REQUIRED</u> LONG-TERM <u>BICYCLE</u> PARKING
Adult Business		<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	None below <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
<u>Commercial</u> Outdoor Recreation		<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
<u>Commercial</u> Parking		None	<u>0</u>	None
Drive-through Facility		None	<u>0</u>	None
<u>Major Event</u> Entertainment		<u>1 per 60 seats</u>	2	None below 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Office	<u>General Office</u>	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	None below <u>10,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 10,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
	<u>Medical/Dental</u> <u>Office</u>	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> of floor area	2	None below 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area

				None below
Quick Vehicle Servicing		<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	<u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
	<u>Retail,</u> <u>Personal Service,</u> <u>Repair-oriented</u>	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
	<u>Restaurants and</u> <u>Bars</u>	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> of floor area	2	None below <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
<u>Retail Sales</u> and Service	Health Clubs, Gyms, Lodges, Meeting Rooms and similar continuous entertainment, such as Arcades and Bowling Alleys	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
	Temporary Lodging	<u>1 per 30 rentable</u> <u>rooms</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 30 rentable rooms; and then 1 per 30 rentable rooms
	<u>Theaters</u>	<u>1 per 30 seats</u>	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft.</u>
	Retail sales and services of large items, such as appliances, furniture and equipment	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> of floor area	2	None below <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Mini-storage Facilities		<u>2 per development</u>	2	None
Vehicle Repair		<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
	i -			PEOLUPED
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	<u>REQUIRED</u> <u>SHORT-TERM</u> <u>BICYCLE</u> PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	<u>REQUIRED</u> LONG-TERM <u>BICYCLE</u> PARKING

Industrial Services, Railroad Yards, Wholesale Sales		None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Manufacturing and Production		None	<u>0</u>	<u>None below</u> <u>20,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 20,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
<u>Warehouse</u> and Freight <u>Movement</u>		<u>None</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>None below</u> <u>20,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 20,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
Waste-related		<u>None</u>	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area
	INS	TITUTIONAL CATEGO	ORIES	
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Basic Utilities		<u>1 per 20 vehicle</u> <u>spaces (whether</u> <u>vehicle parking is</u> <u>required by code or</u> <u>not)</u>	2	None below 20 vehicle spaces; and then 1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)
Colleges		<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u> <u>associated with</u> <u>each building</u>	2	None below 30 staff/faculty; and then 1 per 30 staff/faculty
<u>Community</u> <u>Service</u>		<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> of floor area	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
Daycare		<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft.</u> of floor area	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> then 1 per 12,000

	0			
<u>Medical</u> <u>Centers</u>		<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	<u>None below</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area; and</u> <u>then 1 per 12,000</u> <u>sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>
Parks and Open Areas[1] [2]		<u>1-3 amenities= 4</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>4-7 amenities= 8</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>7-12 amenities= 16</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>12+ amenities= 24</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>Additionally:</u> <u>1 per 10 vehicle</u> <u>spaces (whether</u> <u>vehicle parking is</u> <u>required by code or</u> <u>not)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>None</u>
Religious Institutions		<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft.</u> <u>of floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Schools	<u>Grade, Elementary,</u> Junior High	<u>1 per classroom</u>	<u>2</u>	None
	High School	1 per classroom	2	None
	<u> </u>	OTHER CATEGORIE	S	<u> </u>
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Agriculture		None	None	None
Aviation and Surface Passenger Terminals		None	None	None
Detention Facilities		None	None	None
Essential Public Facilities		None	None	None
Wireless Communication Facilities		None	None	None
Rail Lines and Utility Corridors		None	None	None

[1] Parks and Open Space amenities, for the purpose of this section, are defined as park facilities such as playgrounds, ball fields, and splash pads. These do not include any natural area amenities such as habitat viewing station.

[2] Bicycle rack requirements based on amenities should be located near the amenities the racks are intended to serve.

[3] SMC 17C.230.200(C)(1) Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.

TABLE 17C.230-6 CENTER AND CORRIDOR ZONE REQUIRED BICYCLE PARKING SPACE FOR ALLOWED USES			
CENTERS AND CORRIDORS USE CATEGORIES	REQUIRED SHORT- TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	<u>BASELINE</u> <u>SHORT-TERM</u> <u>BICYCLE</u> <u>PARKING [3]</u>	REQUIRED LONG- TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Residential	None	<u>0</u>	0.5 per unit
Hotels, including Bed and Breakfast Inns	<u>1 per 30 rentable rooms</u>	2	None below 30 rentable rooms; and then 1 per <u>30 rentable rooms</u>
<u>Commercial, Financial,</u> <u>Retail, Personal Services</u>	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 12,000 sq. <u>ft. of floor area; and then</u> <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>
Eating and Drinking Establishments	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Restaurants without Cocktail Lounges	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Professional and Medical Offices	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Entertainment, Museum and Cultural	<u>1 per 60 seats, or 1 per</u> <u>12,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area; whichever is</u> <u>lesser</u>	2	None below 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 24,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Government, Public Service or Utility Structures, Social Services and Education	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Religious Institutions	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area

	4.0		
Parks and Open Space [1]	<u>1-3 amenities= 4</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>4-7 amenities= 8</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>7-12 amenities= 16</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>12+ amenities= 24</u> <u>spaces</u> <u>Additionally:</u> <u>1 per 10 vehicle spaces</u> (whether vehicle <u>parking is required by</u> code or not)	2	<u>None</u>
Structured Parking [2]	<u>1 per 10 vehicle parking</u> spaces	2	None
Public Parking Lot	None	0	None
Limited Industrial (if entirely within a building)	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Heavy Industrial	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. <u>ft. of floor area; and then</u> <u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>
Drive-through Businesses on Pedestrian Streets	None	<u>0</u>	None
<u>Motor Vehicles Sales,</u> <u>Rental, Repair or Washing</u>	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Automotive Parts and Tires (with exterior storage or display)	None	<u>0</u>	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Gasoline Sales (serving more than six vehicles)	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Gasoline Sale (serving six vehicles or less)	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then ft. of floor area
Self-storage or Warehouse	<u>None</u>	<u>0</u>	None
Adult Business (subject to chapter 17C.305 SMC special provisions)	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Winery and Microbreweries	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of</u> <u>floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then <u>1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of</u> floor area
Mobile Food Vending	None	<u>0</u>	None

[1] Bicycle rack requirements based on amenities should be located near the amenities the racks are intended to serve.

[2] Short-term parking within structured vehicle parking facilities must be on the ground floor and within the structure. There is no requirement for the parking to be in a secured enclosure.

[3] SMC 17C.230.200(C)(1) Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.

Passed the City Council	
	Council President
Attest:	
City Clerk	
Approved as to form:	
Assistant Cit	Attorney
Mayor	Date

Effective Date

### CITY OF SPOKANE PLAN COMMISSION FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING BICYCLE PARKING CODE UPDATE

A recommendation of the City of Spokane Plan Commission to the City Council to approve amendments to the Spokane Municipal Code proposed by Bicycle Parking Code Update text amendments. The proposal amends the Unified Development Code (UDC) Section 17C.230.110, Minimum Required Parking Spaces, and 17C.230.200, Bicycle Parking.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

- A. The City of Spokane adopted a Comprehensive Plan in May of 2001 that complies with the requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) as set forth in RCW 36.70A, including a transportation element meeting the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070(6).
- B. The 2017 periodic update to the Comprehensive Plan included an update to the Bicycle Master Plan, as an appendix to Chapter 4 – Transportation, establishing various goals and policies including "Provide convenient and secure short-term and long-term bike parking to connect people to popular destinations and transit throughout Spokane and encourage employers to provide shower and locker facilities."
- C. The Bicycle Master Plan includes the goal of tripling the bicycle commute mode share with a further stated goal of increasing the commute mode share to five percent over a twenty-year period.
- D. The Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals released *Bicycle Parking Guidelines 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition* which is an industry-standard guidance document for updating municipal code related to bicycle parking and includes bicycle parking rates for reaching a five percent commute mode share.
- E. Outreach and public communication began in December 2022 and included the following among others:
  - 1. Presentations at the Urban Experience committee on December 12, 2022;
  - Public Advisory Committee stakeholder meetings on January 9, 2023 and February 15, 2023;
  - 3. Plan Commission Workshops on January 25, 2023, and February 22, 2023;
  - 4. Bicycle Advisory Board workshop on January 17, 2023;
  - 5. Plan Commission Transportation Subcommittee workshops on December 6, 2022, and February 7, 2023;
  - 6. Pedestrian Transportation and Traffic Committee presentation on February 28, 2023;
  - 7. A virtual open house on February 15, 2023;
  - 8. A Bike Parking Survey open from February 15, 2023, to March 15, 2023, where 260 responses were received.

- F. Public comment, as well as agency and department comments, received prior to the March 22, 2023, Plan Commission public hearing were included in the staff report as Exhibits D and E.
- G. On January 25, 2023, and February 22, 2023, the Spokane Plan Commission held workshops to discuss draft language, receive updates on public feedback as well as city department and agency comments, and review and evaluate with city staff alternatives to proposed text changes.
- H. On February 06, 2023, the Washington State Department of Commerce and appropriate state agencies were given the required 60-day notice before adoption of proposed changes to the Unified Development Code pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106.
- I. On February 1, 2023, a notice of intent to adopt and request for SEPA agency comments was issued for the draft code pertaining to Minimum Required Parking Spaces and Bicycle Parking. The comment period ended on February 16, 2023. Two comments were received, one from the Spokane Tribe of Indians and the other from the City of Spokane Streets Department.
- J. A State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) Determination of Nonsignificance and Checklist were issued by Planning Services on March 2, 2023. The comment period ended on March 22, 2023. No comments were received.
- K. A legal notice of public hearing was published in the *Spokesman-Review* on March 8 and March 15, 2023.
- L. The proposed text amendments were drafted and reviewed consistent with the requirements of RCW 36.70A.370 to assure protection of private property rights.
- M. Amendments to Title 17 are subject to review and recommendation by the Plan Commission.
- N. The Plan Commission held a public hearing on March 22, 2023, to obtain public comments on the proposed amendments. At the March 22, 2023, Public Hearing verbal testimony was closed, and Plan Commission deferred deliberation to April 12, 2023. No further written comment was received between March 22, 2023, and April 12, 2023.
- O. During deliberations held on April 12, 2023, the Plan Commission discussed concern regarding the storage of e-bikes in dedicated long-term bicycle storage facilities with the presence of potentially combustible lithium-ion batteries that may have health and safety implications. Plan Commission asked for further investigation into the issue by appropriate City departments.
- P. During deliberations held on April 12, 2023, the Plan Commission discussed a motion to modify the proposed text concerning vehicle parking substitutions allowing required bicycle parking to count towards reducing minimum vehicle parking requirements, the motion passed with ten aye votes, zero nay votes, and zero Commissioner(s) abstaining.
- Q. During deliberations held on April 12, 2023, the Plan Commission discussed a motion to modify the proposed text concerning change of use to provide an exception to requiring

additional bicycle parking where the change of use would cause a less than ten percent increase in bicycle parking, the motion passed with six aye votes, four nay votes, and zero Commissioner(s) abstaining.

- R. During deliberations held on April 12, 2023, the Plan Commission discussed a motion to modify the proposed text concerning residential in-unit long-term storage of bicycle parking to allow in-unit long-term bicycle parking to count towards the long-term bicycle parking requirements, the motion failed with five aye votes, five nay votes, and zero Commissioner(s) abstaining.
- S. Except as otherwise indicated in the above findings, the Spokane Plan Commission adopts the findings and analysis set forth in the staff report prepared for the proposal.
- T. The Spokane Plan Commission finds that the proposed text amendments meet the decision criteria established in SMC 17G.025.010(G).

## CONCLUSIONS:

Based upon the draft text amendments, staff report and analysis (which is hereby incorporated into these findings, conclusions, and recommendations), SEPA review, agency and public comments received, and public testimony presented, the Spokane Plan Commission makes the following conclusions with respect to the text amendments to Minimum Required Parking Spaces, and Bicycle Parking:

- 1. The Plan Commission finds that the proposed amendments bear a substantial relation to the public health, safety, welfare, and protection of the environment pursuant to the requirements outlined in SMC 17G.025.010(G).
- 2. The proposed text amendments will implement the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan and the Bicycle Master Plan.
- 3. Interested agencies and the public have had extensive opportunities to participate throughout the process and persons desiring to comment were given an opportunity to comment.
- 4. SEPA review was completed for the proposal, and pursuant to SEPA, any adverse environmental impacts associated with the draft regulations will be mitigated by enforcement of the City's development regulations.
- 5. The Plan Commission finds that the proposed amendments are consistent with the applicable provisions of the Comprehensive Plan, particularly the following adopted <u>Shaping Spokane</u> goals and policies:
  - a. Chapter 3: Land Use Goal 4 Transportation, Policy LU 4.6 Transit-Supported Development
  - b. Chapter 4: Transportation Goal TR B Provide Transportation Choices
  - c. Chapter 4: Transportation Goal TR C Accommodate Access to Daily Needs and Priority Destinations
  - d. Chapter 4: Transportation Goal TR F Enhance Public Health and Safety
  - e. Chapter 4: Transportation Policy TR 1 Transportation Network for All Users
  - f. Chapter 4: Transportation Policy TR 5 Active Transportation
  - g. Chapter 4: Transportation Policy TR 6 Commercial Center Access
  - h. Chapter 4: Transportation Policy TR 9 Promote Economic Opportunity
  - i. Chapter 4: Transportation Policy TR 20 Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordination
  - j. Bicycle Master Plan Policy BMP 1: Continually increase the bicycle mode share for all trips
  - k. Bicycle Master Plan Policy BMP 3: Provide convenient and secure short-term and long-term bike parking to connect people to popular destinations and transit throughout Spokane and encourage employers to provide shower and locker facilities
#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

In the matter of the ordinances pertaining to Minimum Required Parking Spaces, and Bicycle Parking, amending the Unified Development Code of the City of Spokane;

As based on the above listed findings and conclusions, the Spokane Plan Commission takes the following actions:

1. By a vote of ten to zero, recommends to the Spokane City Council the **APPROVAL WITH MODIFICATION** of the proposed amendments to Section 17C.230.110, Minimum Required Parking Spaces.

Amend 17C.230.110(B)(3), from the draft text for vehicle parking substitutions to allow for required bicycle parking to count towards the substitution of minimum required vehicle parking.

 By a vote of ten to zero, recommends to the Spokane City Council the APPROVAL WITH MODIFICATION of the proposed amendments to Chapter 17C.230.200, Bicycle Parking, as amended during the deliberations to include the following modification:

Amend 17C.230.200(B)(3)(a), Change of Use, from the draft text for bicycle parking to reflect that if a change in use results in a less than ten percent increase in the number of required bicycle parking spaces, the development is not required to implement more bicycle parking.

3. Authorizes the President to prepare and sign on the Commission's behalf a written decision setting forth the Plan Commission's findings, conclusions, and recommendations on the proposed amendments.

Greg Francis Greg Francis (Apr 17, 2023 10:38 PDT)

Greg Francis, President Spokane Plan Commission

Apr 17, 2023

## PC Findings and Conclusions Bike Parking Code Update - FINAL

Final Audit Report

2023-04-17

Created:	2023-04-17
Ву:	Jackie Churchill (jchurchill@spokanecity.org)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAApf1v48BtB6az3AG1tafDu3rFts2leBFg

# "PC Findings and Conclusions Bike Parking Code Update - FIN AL" History

- Document created by Jackie Churchill (jchurchill@spokanecity.org) 2023-04-17 2:49:59 PM GMT- IP address: 73.83.121.207
- Document emailed to gfrancis@spokanecity.org for signature 2023-04-17 - 2:50:24 PM GMT
- Email viewed by gfrancis@spokanecity.org 2023-04-17 - 5:37:00 PM GMT- IP address: 65.133.88.36
- Signer gfrancis@spokanecity.org entered name at signing as Greg Francis 2023-04-17 - 5:38:29 PM GMT- IP address: 65.133.88.36
- Document e-signed by Greg Francis (gfrancis@spokanecity.org) Signature Date: 2023-04-17 - 5:38:31 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 65.133.88.36
- Agreement completed. 2023-04-17 - 5:38:31 PM GMT

Names and email addresses are entered into the Acrobat Sign service by Acrobat Sign users and are unverified unless otherwise noted.

👃 Adobe Acrobat Sign



## **STAFF REPORT**

PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

То:	City Plan Commission		
Subject:	Bicycle Parking Requirements		
	Tyler Kimbrell Colin Quinn-Hurst		
Staff Contact:	Planner II  Associate Planner    tkimbrell@spokanecity.org  cquinnhurst@spokanecity.org		
Report Date:	March 15, 2023		
Hearing Date:	March 22, 2023		
Recommendation:	Approval		

#### I. SUMMARY

These City-initiated text amendments are proposed to update the Spokane Municipal Code according to strategies outlined in the adopted Bicycle Master Plan and Comprehensive Plan. The proposed draft code would revise Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) Chapter 17C.230.110 Minimum Required Parking Spaces and Chapter 17C.230.200 Bicycle Parking. The proposed draft code has been developed by City staff, with the input of various committees and public feedback. The full-text amendments can be found attached as **Exhibit A**.

#### II. BACKGROUND

The City of Spokane's Comprehensive Plan and Bicycle Master Plan both highlight the need for accessible, well-designed, and readily available bicycle parking throughout the City. Adopted city goals include reaching 5% commute mode share by bicycle by 2037 and quadrupling bicycle ridership in that timeframe to achieve community goals centered on health, livability, economic development and accommodating safe and convenient transportation options for all modes and all users. Reaching these goals requires high-quality end-of-trip bicycle parking facilities in quantities that both encourage bicycle ridership and accommodate the gradually increasing numbers of people bicycling to destinations throughout the city. Meeting this increasing demand requires both short-term bike parking facilities, generally for stays under 2 hours, and long-term bike parking infrastructure for stays beyond 2 hours at places of work and residence. Achieving these goals also requires placement and spacing short-term bicycle parking facilities in convenient locations that do not impede building access, pedestrian right-of-way, or vehicle access. Similarly, accommodating higher levels of bicycle ridership requires long-term bicycle parking facilities with sufficient security, weather protection, and ease of access. The proposed bicycle parking code updates address each of these characteristics, setting standards in terms of quantity and quality for both short-term and long-term bicycle parking.

#### III. PROCESS

#### DEVELOPMENT CODE AMENDMENT PROCEDURE

Article III Section 21, Amendments and Repeals, of the City of Spokane Charter provides for the ability of amendments of the Charter and Spokane Municipal Code through ordinances. Title 17 is known as the Unified Development Code (UDC) and is incorporated into the Spokane Municipal Code to implement the City's Comprehensive Plan, and by reference, the requirements of the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA). Section <u>17G.025.010</u> establishes the procedure and decision criteria that the City uses to review and amend the UDC. The City may approve amendments to the UDC if it is found that a proposed amendment is consistent with the provisions of the Comprehensive Plan, and bears a substantial relation to public health, safety, welfare, and protection of the environment.

#### ROLE OF THE CITY PLAN COMMISSION

The proposed text amendments require a review process set forth in Section 17G.025.010(F) SMC. The Plan Commission is responsible for holding a public hearing and forwarding its findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the City Council. Utilizing the decision criteria in 17G.025 SMC, the Plan Commission may recommend approval, modification, or denial of the proposal.

The Plan Commission may incorporate the facts and findings of the staff report as the basis for its recommendation to the City Council or may modify the findings as necessary to support their final recommendation.

#### ROLE OF CITY COUNCIL

The City Council will also conduct a review process considering the proposed text amendment, public comments and testimony, the staff report, and the Plan Commission's recommendation. The final decision to approve, modify, or deny the proposed amendment rests with the City Council. Proposals adopted by ordinance after public hearings are official amendments to the Spokane Municipal Code.

#### COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Engagement establishing the foundation for the text amendments was a part of the great effort taken by the Bicycle Master Plan (BMP) and the Comprehensive Plan update process in the years leading up to the 2017 update. The public process for the Bicycle Parking Code Update Project was designed around the refinement of the draft code and ensuring that the new requirements met the intent of the BMP and the Comprehensive Plan's vision and goals for bicycle parking. Taking into consideration many viewpoints obtained from a wide range of stakeholders was critical in developing the draft code, these viewpoints were obtained from various meetings, surveys, and comment periods. Below is a list summarizing the bulk of the process.

City Council- Urban Experience	December 12, 2022
Plan Commission Workshops	January 25, 2023
	February 22, 2023
Technical Advisory Committee:	October 25, 2023
Subject matter experts from affected City departments and divisions.	November 22, 2022
	February 28, 2023
Public Advisory Committee:	January 9, 2023
Representatives from affected stakeholder groups.	February 15, 2023
Bicycle Advisory Board	January 17, 2023
Plan Commission Transportation Subcommittee	December 6, 2022
	February 7, 2023
Pedestrian Transportation and Traffic Committee	February 28, 2023
Virtual Open House	February 15, 2023
Bike Parking Survey (see <b>Exhibit B</b> <sup>1</sup> )	February 15, 2023 – March 15, 2023

#### SEPA REVIEW

As outlined in Section 17G.025.010 SMC, notices of proposals to amend the UDC are distributed and interested parties should be made aware of such proposals during the Plan Commission review, including the SEPA checklist and determination. Similarly, a public notice published in the *Spokesman-Review* fourteen days prior to the Plan Commission public hearing is required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Survey results may also be found at the following link <u>https://arcg.is/19iuyy0</u>

This proposal was properly noticed pursuant to Section 17G.025.010(E). See **Exhibit C** for the SEPA Determination of Non-significance issued on March 2, 2023.

#### COMMENTS RECEIVED

Written comments received prior to March 15 were provided to the Plan Commission attached to the agenda packet for the scheduled March 22, 2023 public hearing as **Exhibit D**. All written public comments received by the planning department between March 15 to March 22 by 4:00 p.m. will be circulated to the Plan Commission prior to the public hearing scheduled at 4:00 p.m. March 22, 2023.

Notice of this proposal was sent to City departments and outside agencies for their review. Department and outside agency comments are included in this report as **Exhibit E**. Agency/City department comment was received regarding this application:

- Spokane Tribe of Indians
- City of Spokane Street Department

#### IV. ANALYSIS

#### PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Following the adoption of the Bicycle Master Plan and the 2017 Update to the Comprehensive Plan the City of Spokane Planning Services and Economic Development is recommending amending the bicycle parking code. The recommended text amendments seek to align development regulations with the vision and goals of the community in creating a more bicycle friendly city.

This proposal will amend Spokane Municipal Code: Section 17C.230.110 Minimum Required Parking Spaces, and 17C.230.200 Bicycle Parking.

#### VEHICLE PARKING SUBSTITUTION

Vehicle parking substitutions are a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategy that seeks to gain efficiencies in the transportation system to reduce demand for auto travel. One TDM strategy specifically related to the goal of improving cycling infrastructure is allowing the replacement of vehicle parking with bicycle parking. Under the current development regulations in SMC 17C.230.110, as of February 2023, applicants can replace up to ten percent of the vehicle parking by replacing one vehicle parking space with five bicycle parking spaces. This provision does not differentiate between long-term and short-term parking. This provision only applies to bicycle parking beyond the minimum bicycle parking required in SMC 17C.230.200.

When considering the space requirements for vehicle parking versus bicycle parking it should be noted that the space requirement for a single bicycle is approximately 16 square feet<sup>2</sup> whereas a vehicle parking space is approximately 153 square feet.<sup>3</sup> This does not include additional spacing requirements such as driveways, aisles, landscaping, pedestrian access, street furniture, walls, etc. These spacing requirements show that it is possible to fit approximately 8 to 10 bicycle parking spaces in one vehicle parking space.

To align with the goals and policies of the comprehensive plan and the bicycle master plan, and to improve upon existing TDM strategies, the proposed text amendments:

- Increase the percentage of allowed vehicle substitution from ten percent to twenty-five percent.
- Differentiate the substitution rate for long-term and short-term bicycle parking.
- Maintain that the vehicle substitution provision only applies to bicycle parking spaces beyond the minimum requirement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Typical bike dimensions are 6 feet in length, 3.5 feet in height, and 2 feet in width. *Bicycle Parking Guidelines, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition*. Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals (APBP).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Minimum Parking Space and Aisle Dimensions. SMC 17C.230.140.

#### THE RATE OF BICYCLE PARKING & LAND USE VS ZONING

The proposed text amendments recommend changing the way in which the bicycle parking requirements are calculated. The changes are two-fold: the first is a change that decouples bicycle parking requirements from vehicle parking requirements, and the second is a transition from requirements based on zoning to requirements based on use type.

In SMC17C.230.200 as of February 2023, bicycle parking is a function of vehicle parking. In most cases, the number of required bicycle parking spaces will be five percent of the required vehicle parking. To ensure that bicycle parking is not unwittingly affected by changes and possible reductions in the vehicle parking requirements going forward, the proposed amendments to the bicycle parking code include a land use table with variable rates of bicycle parking based on the square footage of a building. The recommended rates and options provided were determined based on several factors including best practices from the Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals (APBP), stakeholder discussions, and analysis of best-in-class cities for cycling. A comparison spreadsheet provided by Bicycle Security Advisors<sup>4</sup> with best practices and best-in-class cities is attached as **Exhibit F**.

Additionally, the proposed amendments text amendments change the bicycle parking requirements to be based on the use type of the development rather than the zone in which the development is being built. Various use types have different cycling and bike storage demands and therefore different needs in terms of bicycle parking. The proposed text amendments alter this by implementing a land use table for bicycle parking requirements with use types as established in other areas of the Unified Development Code (UDC), allowing easy integration and familiarity for Current Planning staff.

#### SHORT-TERM & LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING

Short-term bicycle parking is typically found in front of retail and institutional buildings within the public right-of-way on the sidewalk next to parking meters, street trees, and street furniture. The proposed text amendments ensure the implementation of well-designed bike racks to protect the bicycle from damage and theft. Standards for short-term bicycle parking are referenced from the APBP's *Essentials of Bike Parking* attached as **Exhibit G**.

Long-term bicycle parking is intended for those who keep their bike locked for extended periods of time, typically residents and employees. Most commonly, long-term storage takes the form of secured enclosures (external to the principal structure or within the parking garage), bike lockers, and/ or bike storage rooms located within the principal structure. As of February 2023, the adopted bicycle parking code does not differentiate between short-term and long-term parking. The differentiation of short-term and long-term parking is a key element in the proposed text amendments. Standards for long-term bicycle parking in the proposed text amendments were developed in combination with stakeholder feedback, public feedback, and guidance from the APBP.

#### IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOALS AND POLICIES

Section <u>17G.025.010</u> SMC establishes the review criteria for text amendments to the Unified Development Code. In order to approve a text amendment, City Council shall consider the findings and recommendations of the Plan Commission along with the approval criteria outlined in the Code. The applicable criteria are shown below in *bold and italic* with staff analysis following the complete list. Review of the Comprehensive Plan goals and policies indicates that the proposal meets the approval criteria for internal consistency set forth in SMC 17G.025.010(G). Excerpts of the applicable goals and policies, and their Comprehensive Plan discussion points, are contained in **Exhibit H**.

#### 17G.025.010(G) APPROVAL CRITERIA

1. The proposed amendment is consistent with the applicable provisions of the comprehensive plan.

<sup>4</sup> https://bicyclesecurityadvisors.com/best-practice-guides/parking/zoning-building-codes/

Chapter 3: Land Use - Goal 4 – Transportation, Policy LU 4.6 Transit-Supported Development Chapter 4: Transportation - Goal TR B – Provide Transportation Choices Chapter 4: Transportation - Goal TR C – Accommodate Access to Daily Needs and Priority Destinations Chapter 4: Transportation - Goal TR F – Enhance Public Health and Safety Chapter 4: Transportation – Policy TR 1 – Transportation Network for All Users Chapter 4: Transportation - Policy TR 1 – Transportation Network for All Users Chapter 4: Transportation - Policy TR 5 – Active Transportation Chapter 4: Transportation - Policy TR 6 – Commercial Center Access Chapter 4: Transportation – Policy TR 9 – Promote Economic Opportunity Chapter 4: Transportation - Policy TR 20 – Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordination Bicycle Master Plan Policy BMP 1: Continually increase the bicycle mode share for all trips Bicycle Master Plan Policy BMP 3: Provide convenient and secure short-term and long-term bike parking

to connect people to popular destinations and transit throughout Spokane and encourage employers to provide shower and locker facilities

2. The proposed amendment bears a substantial relation to public health, safety, welfare, and protection of the environment.

**Staff Analysis:** The proposed amendments bear a substantial relation to public health, safety, welfare, and the protection of the environment. By updating requirements for both short-term and long-term bicycle parking, in terms of both quantity and quality, the proposed amendments comply with State Law and carry out the recommendations adopted in the City's Bicycle Master Plan and the City's Comprehensive Plan. The proposed updates to the bicycle parking sections of the Spokane Municipal Code support these comprehensive plan goals and policies by requiring the provision of safe, secure and accessible short-term and long-term bicycle parking, in sufficient quantities and of a quality to promote bicycle ridership as a safe, healthy, low-cost and low-emission transportation option. By requiring end-of-trip bicycle facilities according to land use type and increasing incentives for replacing vehicle parking with bicycle parking, the proposed updates support opportunities for the use of active forms of transportation. In their application to new developments and major redevelopments, the proposed updates to the bicycle parking in sufficient quantities to support an increase in bicycle ridership. This enacts one of the recommended strategies to achieve the City's adopted goal to quadruple bicycle ridership and achieve 5% bicycle commute mode share by 2037, as stated in the Bicycle Master Plan, an adopted appendix of the City's Comprehensive Plan.

#### V. DISCUSSION

The proposed text amendments are intended to provide a base for future revisions in the bicycle parking code. As cycling becomes a more popular choice for commuting, bicycle parking requirements can be analyzed under the structure of the proposed text amendments. The bicycle parking code, as of February 2023, does not consider use types that may have various demands for cyclists and bicycle parking. Furthermore, the current bicycle parking code's reliance on vehicle parking inhibits the consideration of bicycles in more dense areas that have lower vehicle parking requirements.

The proposed bicycle parking use tables (draft table 17C.230.200-3 and draft table 17C.230.200-4) were developed starting with the recommended five percent commute mode share recommendations from the Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals (APBP). The rates of bicycle parking were refined through discussion with the Public Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, and various groups such as the Bicycle Advisory Board and Plan Commission Transportation Subcommittee, followed by feedback from the general public through an online public workshop and survey. Recommended rates of bicycle parking in the draft use tables are not aligned with the Bicycle Master Plan's goal of a five percent commute mode share for cycling based on the APBP's recommendation, falling below the recommended quantities to achieve that level of ridership. However, as previously mentioned, the structure of the proposed text amendments allows simpler revision of the bicycle parking code moving forward as bicycle ridership increases.

At the February 22, 2023 Plan Commission workshop, commissioners requested alternatives to the use table that align with the APBP's recommended bicycle parking rates for reaching a five percent commute mode share consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's adopted goal for bicycle ridership. This is attached as **Exhibit I**.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the facts and findings presented herein, staff concludes that the requested text amendments to the Unified Development Code satisfy the applicable criteria for approval as set forth in SMC Section 17G.025.010. To comply with RCW 36.70A.370 the proposed text amendments have been evaluated to ensure proposed changes do not result in unconstitutional takings of private property.

#### VII. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Following the close of public testimony and deliberation regarding conclusions with respect to the review criteria and decision criteria detailed in SMC 17G.025.010, Plan Commission will need to make a recommendation to City Council for approval or denial of the requested code amendments to the Unified Development Code.

Staff **recommends approval** of the requested minimum required parking spaces and bicycle parking amendments and recommends that the Plan Commission adopt the facts and findings of the staff report.

#### VIII. LIST OF EXHIBITS

- A. Proposed Draft Text Amendments
- B. Survey Results
- C. SEPA Determination of Non-Significance
- D. Public Comments
- E. Agency Comment
- F. Best Practices Spreadsheet
- G. APBP Essentials of Bike Parking
- H. Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies
- I. 5% Mode Share Use Table

## EXHIBIT A

Section \_\_\_. That SMC section 17C.230.110 is amended to read as follows:

Section 17C.230.110 Minimum Required Parking Spaces

A. Purpose.

The purpose of required parking spaces is to provide enough parking to accommodate the majority of traffic generated by the range of uses, which might locate at the site over time. As provided in subsection (B)(3) of this section, bicycle parking may be substituted for some required parking on a site to encourage transit use and bicycling by employees and visitors to the site. The required parking numbers correspond to broad use categories, not specific uses, in response to this long-term emphasis. Provision of carpool parking, and locating it close to the building entrance, will encourage carpool use.

- B. Minimum Number of Parking Spaces Required.
  - 1. The minimum number of parking spaces for all zones is stated in Table 17C.230-1. Table 17C.230-2 states the required number of spaces for use categories. The standards of Table 17C.230-1 and Table 17C.230-2 apply unless specifically superseded by other portions of the city code.
  - 2. Joint Use Parking.

Joint use of required parking spaces may occur where two or more uses on the same or separate sites are able to share the same parking spaces because their parking demands occur at different times. Joint use of required nonresidential parking spaces is allowed if the following documentation is submitted in writing to the planning and economic development services director as part of a building or zoning permit application or land use review:

- a. The names and addresses of the uses and of the owners or tenants that are sharing the parking.
- b. The location and number of parking spaces that are being shared.
- c. An analysis showing that the peak parking times of the uses occur at different times and that the parking area will be large enough for the anticipated demands of both uses; and
- d. A legal instrument such as an easement or deed restriction that guarantees access to the parking for both uses.
- 3. ((Bicycle parking may substitute for up to ten percent of required parking. For every five nonrequired bicycle parking spaces that meet the short or longterm bicycle parking standards, the motor vehicle parking requirement is

reduced by one space. Existing parking may be converted to take advantage of this provision.)) Bicycle parking may substitute for up to twenty-five (25)

percent of required vehicle parking. For every four (4) nonrequired short-term bicycle parking spaces, the motor vehicle parking requirement is reduced by one space. For every one (1) nonrequired long-term bicycle parking space, the motor vehicle parking required is reduced by one space. Vehicle parking associated with residential uses may only be substituted by long-term bicycle parking. Existing parking may be converted to take advantage of this provision.

At the PC workshop on 2/22/2023 there was discussion regarding the 25% vehicle substitution rate, and how long-term bike parking and short-term bike parking could have separate applicability.

4. Existing Uses.

The off-street parking and loading requirements of this chapter do not apply retroactively to established uses; however:

- a. the site to which a building is relocated must provide the required spaces; and
- b. a person increasing the floor area, or other measure of off-street parking and loading requirements, by addition or alteration, must provide spaces as required for the increase, unless the requirement under this subsection is five spaces or fewer.
- 5. Change of Use.

When the use of an existing building changes, additional off-street parking and loading facilities must be provided only when the number of parking or loading spaces required for the new use(s) exceeds the number of spaces required for the use that most recently occupied the building. A "credit" is given for the most recent use of the property for the number of parking spaces that would be required by the current parking standards. The new use is not required to compensate for any existing deficit.

- a. If the proposed use does not generate the requirement for greater than five additional parking spaces more than the most recent use then no additional parking spaces must be added.
- b. For example, a non-conforming building with no off-street parking spaces most recently contained an office use that if built today would require three off-street parking spaces. The use of the building is proposed to be changed to a restaurant that would normally require six spaces. The three spaces that would be required of the existing office use are subtracted from the required number of parking spaces for the proposed restaurant use. The remainder is three spaces. Since the three new spaces is less than five spaces no off-street parking spaces

would be required to be installed in order to change the use of the building from an office use to a restaurant use.

6. Uses Not Mentioned.

In the case of a use not specifically mentioned in Table 17C.230-2, the requirements for off-street parking shall be determined by the planning and economic development services director. If there is/are comparable uses, the planning and economic development services director's determination shall be based on the requirements for the most comparable use(s). Where, in the judgment of the planning and economic development services director, none of the uses in Table 17C.230-2 are comparable, the planning and economic development services director, none of parking required for the proposed use on detailed information provided by the applicant. The information required may include, but not be limited to, a description of the physical structure(s), identification of potential users, and analysis of likely parking demand.

C. Carpool Parking.

For office, industrial, and institutional uses where there are more than twenty parking spaces on the site, the following standards must be met:

- 1. Five spaces or five percent of the parking spaces on site, whichever is less, must be reserved for carpool use before nine a.m. on weekdays. More spaces may be reserved, but they are not required.
- 2. The spaces will be those closest to the building entrance or elevator, but not closer than the spaces for disabled parking and those signed for exclusive customer use.
- 3. Signs must be posted indicating these spaces are reserved for carpool use before nine a.m. on weekdays.

Section \_\_\_. That SMC section 17C.230.200 is amended to read as follows.

#### Section 17C.230.200 Bicycle Parking

A. Purpose.

Bicycle parking is required to encourage the use of bicycles by providing safe and convenient places to park bicycles.

- ((1. Bicycle parking facilities, either off-street or in the street right-of-way, shall be provided in RMF, RHD, CC1, CC2, CC3, CC4, O, OR, NR, NMU, CB, GC, and industrial zones for any new use which requires twenty or more automobile parking spaces according to Table 17C.230-1 or Table 17C.230-2. All bicycle parking facilities in the street right-of-way shall conform to City engineering services department standards.
  - a. The number of required bicycle parking spaces shall be five percent of the number of required off-street auto parking spaces.
  - b. When any covered automobile parking is provided, all bicycle parking shall be covered.
- 2. Within downtown and FBC CA1, CA2, CA3, zones bicycle parking facilities, either off-street or in the street right-of-way, shall be provided. The number of spaces shall be the largest amount based on either subsections (a) or (b) below.
  - a. The number of required bicycle parking spaces shall be five percent of the number of off-street auto parking spaces being provided, whether the auto parking spaces are required by code or not.
  - b. A minimum of one bicycle parking space shall be provided for every ten thousand square feet of building area. When a building is less than ten thousand square feet in building area at least one bicycle parking space shall be provided.
  - c. When any covered automobile parking is provided, all bicycle parking shall be covered.
  - d. All bicycle parking facilities in the street right-of-way shall conform to City engineering services department standards.
- 3. Bicycle parking facilities accessory to nonresidential uses shall be located on the lot or within eight hundred feet of the lot. Bicycle parking accessory to residential uses shall be located on-site. Bicycle parking facilities shared by more than one use are encouraged. Bicycle and automobile parking areas shall be separated by a barrier or painted lines.))

B. Applicability.

- 1. The required number of bicycle parking spaces in all zones except Centers and Corridors for uses in SMC 17C.190 is identified in Table 17C.230-3. If the calculated number of required bicycle parking spaces results in a decimal the required number of spaces is rounded up.
  - a. No short-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. All uses under "Residential categories"
    - ii. Commercial parking
    - iii. Drive-through facilities
    - iv. All uses under "Other Categories"
    - v. All uses under "Industrial Categories"
  - b. No long-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. Commercial parking
    - ii. Drive-through facilities
    - iii. Mini-storage facilities
    - iv. Park and open areas
    - v. <u>Schools</u>
    - vi. All uses under "Other Categories"
- 2. <u>The required number of bicycle parking spaces for allowed uses in Center and</u> <u>Corridor Zones is identified in Table 17C.230-4. If the calculated number of</u> <u>required bicycle parking spaces results in a decimal the required number of spaces</u> <u>is rounded up.</u>
  - a. No short-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. <u>Residential</u>
    - ii. Public parking lot
    - iii. Drive-through business on pedestrian streets
    - iv. Mobile food vending
    - v. Limited industrial
    - vi. <u>Heavy Industrial</u>
    - vii. Motor vehicle sales, rental, repair, or washing
    - viii. Automotive parts and tires (with exterior storage or display)
  - b. No long-term bicycle parking is required for the following uses.
    - i. Park and open areas
    - ii. Structured parking
    - iii. Public parking lot
    - iv. Drive-through business on pedestrian streets

- v. Self-storage or warehouse
- vi. Mobile food vending
- 3. Change of Use.
  - a. When the use of an existing building changes, bicycle parking shall be provided to meet the standards set forth in this section.
- 4. <u>The bicycle parking requirements of this section do not retroactively apply to</u> <u>established uses; however:</u>
  - a. When increasing the floor area or other measures of bicycle parking requirements by addition or alteration, spaces, as required for the increase, shall be provided; and
  - b. The site to which a building is relocated must provide the required spaces.
- 5. Uses Not Mentioned.
  - a. In the case of a use not specifically mentioned in Table 17C.230-3 or Table 17C.230-4, the requirements for bicycle parking shall be determined by the Planning Director.

C. Short-term bicycle parking standards

Short-term bicycle parking encourages shoppers, customers, and other visitors to use bicycles by providing a convenient and readily accessible place to park bicycles.

- 1. <u>Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.</u>
- 2. <u>Short-term bicycle parking shall be placed on stable, firm, and slip-resistant</u> <u>surfaces consistent with ADA requirements.</u>
- 3. <u>A bicycle rack must allow for the locking of the bicycle frame and one (1) wheel to</u> the rack and shall support a bicycle in a stable position with a minimum of two points of contact to the bicycle frame and without damage to the wheels, frame, or components (see figure 1 below for examples that meet and do not meet this requirement).

Figure 1 Short-term bicycle racks that meet and do not meet the design requirements

Examples of bicycle racks that **do not** meet the design requirements:



- 4. Short-term bicycle parking must be located:
  - a. Within 50 feet of a main entrance; and
  - b. On-site or within the adjacent public right-of-way.
    - i. <u>If within the public right-of-way, bicycle racks must be entirely within</u> <u>the pedestrian buffer strip.</u>
  - c. Outside of a building or enclosure.
  - d. As to not conflict with the opening of vehicle doors.
  - e. At the same grade as the sidewalk or at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.
  - f. <u>Short-term bicycle parking where the number of required spaces is based on</u> <u>the provided vehicle parking (see Basic Utilities and Parks and Open Space</u> <u>in Table 17C.230-3) shall be grouped and located within or adjacent to the</u> <u>vehicle parking area.</u>
    - i. <u>If located within the vehicle parking area the bicycle racks shall be</u> protected from vehicle interference such as the opening of car doors and potential collision by ensuring adequate space between vehicle parking stalls and bicycle parking.

- 5. Property owners and businesses located on the same side of the street and on the same block may establish a grouped bicycle parking area where short-term bicycle parking solutions may be implemented.
  - a. <u>These areas shall be located no further than 200 feet from the main entrance</u> <u>of each business or property they intend to serve.</u>
  - b. The racks shall be at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.
  - c. Bicycle parking shall meet all other relevant standards of this section.
- 6. <u>If the development is unable to provide short-term bicycle parking as described,</u> <u>the developer may explore options such as:</u>
  - a. On-site short-term bicycle parking beyond fifty (50) from the main entrance.
  - b. Bicycle parking located at the rear of the building.
  - c. Bicycle parking located within the building.
  - d. As agreed between the applicant and the Planning Director.

#### D. Long-term bicycle parking standards

Long-term bicycle parking provides employees, students, residents, commuters, and others who generally stay at a site for several hours with a secure and weather-protected place to park bicycles.

- 1. Long-term bicycle parking must be located:
  - a. Within a building.
  - b. On-site, including parking structures and garages; or
  - c. Within three hundred (300) feet of the site.
- 2. <u>A garage dedicated exclusively to a residential unit</u> may fulfill the requirements for one (1) long-term bicycle parking space.
- 3. Long-term bicycle parking must be provided in racks or lockers.

Staff comment: garage provision amended for clarity- at the PC workshop on 2/22/2023 it was noted that original language may cause confusion.

- a.<u>At least twenty-five percent (25%) of the racks</u> <u>must be horizontal to accommodate:</u>
  - i. Those who cannot lift a bicycle into a vertical position; and
  - ii. <u>Cargo, tandem, long-tailed, or similar bicycles that do not fit into</u> <u>vertical bicycle racks.</u>
- 4. Long-term bicycle parking spacing requirements.
  - a. For horizontal racks such as the inverted-u rack (see figure 2):
    - i. A minimum of three (3) feet parallel spacing between each rack; and
    - ii. <u>A minimum of five (5) feet perpendicular access aisle between rows</u> of bicycle parking; and

- iii. <u>A minimum of two (2) feet six (6) inches of perpendicular spacing</u> between bicycle racks and walls or obstructions; and
- iv. <u>A minimum of two (2) feet for user access between a wall or other</u> obstruction and the side of the nearest parked bicycle.

Figure 2 Spacing requirements for horizontal long-term bicycle racks



wall or obstruction

- b. For vertical wall-mounted racks (see figures 3 and 4):
  - i. <u>A minimum of three (3) feet parallel spacing between each rack; or,</u> <u>A minimum of one (1) foot six (6) inches parallel spacing combined</u> <u>with a minimum of an eight (8) inch vertical off-set between each</u> <u>rack; and</u>

- ii. <u>A minimum of five (5) feet perpendicular access aisle between rows</u> of bicycle parking; and
- iii. <u>A minimum of one (1) foot six (6) inches for user access between a</u> wall or other obstruction and the side of the nearest parked bicycle.

Figure 3 Wall-mounted bicycle rack without vertical off-sets



Figure 4 Wall-mounted bicycle racks with vertical off-sets





- 5. Long-term bicycle parking must be covered. The cover must be,
  - a. Permanent; and
  - b.Impervious.
- 6. <u>Long-term bicycle parking shall be placed on floor and ground surfaces that are</u> <u>stable, firm, and slip resistant consistent with ADA requirements.</u>
- 7. Long-term bike storage design details must be provided with site layouts to determine the number of bicycle parking spaces.
- 8. <u>To provide security the bicycle parking must be,</u>
  - a. In a locked room; or
  - b. In an enclosure with a locked gate or door, the walls of the enclosure are to be at least eight (8) feet tall or be floor-to-ceiling; or
  - c. In a permanently anchored, enclosed, and secured bike locker.
- 9. <u>Property owners and businesses located on the same block may establish a</u> <u>grouped bicycle parking area where long-term bicycle parking solutions may be</u> <u>implemented.</u>
  - a. These areas shall be located no further than 300 feet from each site they intend to serve.
  - b.<u>Bicycle parking shall be at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.</u>
  - c. Bicycle parking shall meet all other relevant standards of this section.

- 10. <u>Residential in-unit long-term bicycle parking does not count towards fulfilling the</u> requirements of long-term bicycle parking.
- 11. If a development is unable to meet the standards of this section, the applicant may seek relief subject to the Planning Director's discretion.
  - a. <u>The applicant shall provide reasonable</u> evidence as to why they are unable to fulfill the requirements of this section.
  - b.<u>The applicant and the Planning Director</u> <u>should first explore alternatives for locating</u> <u>bicycle parking prior to agreeing to full</u> <u>relief from the requirements of this section.</u>

At the February 22, 2023 workshop Plan Commission discussed the removal of in-unit parking counting towards the long-term parking requirements.

Staff note: this would not restrict any person from storing their bicycle in their residence should they choose to do so.

#### Plan Commission Hearing March 22,2023 Draft Version – updated March 14, 2023

		TABLE 17C.230-3		
		BICYCLE PARKING BY US	<u>SE</u>	
		<b>RESIDENTIAL CATEGORI</b>		
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM	BASELINE SHORT-TERM	REQUIRED LONG-TERM
		BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BICYCLE PARKING
Group Living		None	<u>0</u>	<u>1 per 10 residents</u>
<u>Residential</u> <u>Household Living</u>	Multifamily dwellings of ten or more units	None	<u>0</u>	<u>0.5 per unit</u>
		COMMERCIAL CATEGORI		
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Adult Business	-	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Commercial Outdoor Recreation	-	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Commercial Parking	_	None	<u>0</u>	None
<u>Drive-through</u> Facility	-	None	<u>0</u>	None
<u>Major Event</u> Entertainment	-	<u>1 per 60 seats</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Office	<u>General Office</u>	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Office	Medical/Dental Office	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Quick Vehicle Servicing	-	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area

#### Plan Commission Hearing March 22,2023 Draft Version – updated March 14, 2023

	<u>Retail.</u> Personal Service, <u>Repair-oriented</u>	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
	Restaurants and Bars	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
<u>Retail Sales and</u> <u>Service</u>	Health Clubs, Gyms, Lodges, Meeting Rooms and similar continuous entertainment, such as Arcades and Bowling Alleys	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor <u>area</u>
	Temporary Lodging	<u>1 per 30 rentable rooms</u>	2	None below 30 rentable rooms; and then 1 per 30 rentable rooms
	<u>Theaters</u>	<u>1 per 30 seats</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft.
	Retail sales and services of large items, such as appliances, furniture and equipment	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
<u>Mini-storage</u> Facilities	_	2 per development	2	None
Vehicle Repair	-	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
		INDUSTRIAL CATEGORIE	<u>S</u>	
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Industrial Services, Railroad Yards, Wholesale Sales	-	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Manufacturing and Production	-	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area

<u>Warehouse and</u> Freight Movement <u>Waste-related</u>	-	<u>None</u> <u>None</u>	<u>0</u> <u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor
		INSTITUTIONAL CATEGOR		area
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM	BASELINE SHORT-TERM	REQUIRED LONG-TERM
		BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BICYCLE PARKING
Basic Utilities	-	<u>1 per 20 vehicle spaces</u> (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	None below 20 vehicle spaces; and then 1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)
Colleges	-	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> area associated with each building	2	None below 30 staff/faculty; and then 1 per 30 staff/faculty
Community Service	-	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
<u>Daycare</u>	-	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	<u>2</u>	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Medical Centers	-	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Parks and Open Areas[1] [2]		<u>1-3 amenities= 4 spaces</u> <u>4-7 amenities= 8 spaces</u> <u>7-12 amenities= 16 spaces</u> <u>12+ amenities= 24 spaces</u> <u>Additionally:</u> <u>1 per 10 vehicle spaces</u> (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	<u>None</u>

<u>Religious</u> Institutions	-	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor</u> <u>area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
<u>Schools</u>	<u>Grade, Elementary, Junior</u> <u>High</u>	<u>1 per classroom</u>	<u>2</u>	None
	High School	<u>1 per classroom</u>	2	None
		OTHER CATEGORIES		
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Agriculture		None	None	None
Aviation and Surface Passenger Terminals	-	None	None	None
<b>Detention Facilities</b>		None	None	None
Essential Public Facilities	-	None	None	None
Wireless Communication Facilities	-	None	None	None
Rail Lines and Utility Corridors	-	None	None	None
[1] Parks and Open Space amenities, for the purpose of this section, are defined as park facilities such as playgrounds, ball fields, and splash pads. These do not include any natural area amenities such as habitat viewing station.				

[2] Bicycle rack requirements based on amenities should be located near the amenities the racks are intended to serve.

[3] SMC 17C.230.200(C)(1) Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.

	TABLE 17C.2	230-4	
CENTER AND CORR	IDOR ZONE REQUIRED BICYC	LE PARKING SPACE FOR	ALLOWED USES
CENTERS AND CORRIDORS USE CATEGORIES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT- TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Residential	<u>None</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.5 per unit</u>

#### Plan Commission Hearing March 22,2023 Draft Version – updated March 14, 2023

Hotels, including Bed and Breakfast Inns	<u>1 per 30 rentable rooms</u>	2	None below 30 rentable rooms; and then 1 per 30 rentable rooms
<u>Commercial, Financial, Retail,</u> <u>Personal Services</u>	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Eating and Drinking Establishments	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Restaurants without Cocktail Lounges	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Professional and Medical Offices	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	2	None below 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Entertainment, Museum and Cultural	<u>1 per 60 seats, or 1 per 12,000 sq.</u> <u>ft. of floor area; whichever is lesser</u>	2	None below 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 24,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Government, Public Service or Utility Structures, Social Services and Education	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Religious Institutions	<u>1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area
Parks and Open Space [1]	<u>1-3 amenities= 4 spaces</u> <u>4-7 amenities= 8 spaces</u> <u>7-12 amenities= 16 spaces</u> <u>12+ amenities= 24 spaces</u> <u>Additionally:</u> <u>1 per 10 vehicle spaces (whether</u> <u>vehicle parking is required by code</u> <u>or not)</u>	<u>2</u>	None
Structured Parking [2]	<u>1 per 10 vehicle parking spaces</u>	2	None
Public Parking Lot	None	<u>0</u>	None
Limited Industrial (if entirely within a building)	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area
<u>Heavy Industrial</u>	None	<u>0</u>	None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area; and then 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area

#### Plan Commission Hearing March 22,2023 Draft Version – updated March 14, 2023

Drive-through Businesses on	None	<u>0</u>	None	
Pedestrian Streets	<u></u>	<u>×</u>		
Motor Vehicles Sales, Rental, Repair			None below 20,000 sq. ft. of floor	
or Washing	None	<u>0</u>	area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of	
<u>or Washing</u>			floor area	
Automotive Parts and Tires (with			None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor	
exterior storage or display)	None	<u>0</u>	area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of	
exterior storage of display)			<u>floor area</u>	
Gasoline Sales (serving more than six			None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor	
	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of	
<u>vehicles)</u>			floor area	
Gasoline Sale (serving six vehicles or	1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor	
less)	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. It. or noor area</u>	2	area; and then ft. of floor area	
Self-storage or Warehouse	<u>None</u>	<u>0</u>	None	
Adult Business (subject to chapter			None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor	
17C.305 SMC special provisions)	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of	
<u>17C.303 SINC special provisions)</u>			floor area	
			None below 12,000 sq. ft. of floor	
Winery and Microbreweries	<u>1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area</u>	<u>2</u>	area; and then 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of	
			floor area	
Mobile Food Vending	None	<u>0</u>	None	
[1] Bicycle rack requirements based on amenities should be located near the amenities the racks are intended to serve.				

[2] Short-term parking within structured vehicle parking facilities must be on the ground floor and within the structure. There is no requirement for the parking to be in a secured enclosure.

[3] SMC 17C.230.200(C)(1) Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.

## EXHIBIT B

## Employee and Apartment Bike Parking Survey

## Tell us about your building's bike parking

The City of Spokane is working to triple the percent of people commuting to work by bicycle and quadruple the percent of daily trips by bike, as established in the City's Comprehensive Plan.

#### But where will all those bikes park?

The City's Planning Services Department is in the middle of reviewing and updating the Bicycle Parking code requirements to ensure the availability of safe, comfortable, adequate and secure bicycle parking for new buildings and major redevelopments throughout the City, as the existing code doesn't meet the community goals and standards identified in the City's Bicycle Master Plan and Comprehensive Plan. For more information about the project in general, the <u>project website</u> provides additional background.

While the City has convened a Public Advisory Committee made up of developers, agency staff and institutional representatives, at this time we haven't received broad-based user input from the people who would use these bike parking facilities.

Please take this questionnaire to let us know about YOUR experience as someone living, traveling and storing your bicycle in Spokane.

Next

Page 1 of 6

Powered by Survey123 for ArcGIS

### Employee and Apartment Bike Parking Survey

### Bicycle parking at work

### Where would you prefer to park your bicycle at your workplace?\*

**Secure bike room** refers to a dedicated fully-enclosed space with vertical and horizontal racks, accessible only by building tenants through a secured door.



## What is the most challenging part of parking your bicycle at your workplace?

Note: you may select multiple answers

Parking a wet, muddy bike in my workspace is causing damage
My place of work does not allow me to store my bike in my workspace
The bike parking room is too full

It is difficult to get my bicycle on an elevator or stairs
My bike does not fit in any of the designated bicycle parking spaces or on any of the racks
I'm concerned about the safety/security of my bicycle at the available bike room
I'm concerned about the safety/security of my bicycle at the available outdoor bike racks
There are no bike parking facilities at my building
I do not commute via bicycle
Other
Back Next Page 2 of 6

Powered by Survey123 for ArcGIS

## Employee and Apartment Bike Parking Survey

## Bicycle parking at home

## Where would you prefer to park your bicycle at your residence? (Please rank the following options from 1 (your top choice) to 5)\*

**\*Secure bike room** refers to a fully-enclosed, dedicated room with horizontal and vertical bike racks, accessible only by tenants via a secured door.

$\square$	n a secure bike room located on my individual floor
$\bigcirc$	n a secure bike room or cage in the automobile parking area (including garage)
	n a secure and covered bike cage located outside my building
()	n a dedicated rack that has been placed in my residential (apartment, condo) Init
0	n a ground floor, secure bike room accessible by a door from the sidewalk or street
Re	et

## What is the most challenging part of parking your bicycle at your apartment building?

Note: you may select multiple answers

Parking a wet, muddy bike in my unit is causing damage
 My building manager does not allow me to store my bike in my room and/or balcony

I he bicycle rack in my unit is not usable or is in an inconvenient location	
It is difficult to get my bicycle on the elevator	
My bike does not fit in any of the designated bicycle parking spaces or on any of the racks	
I'm concerned about the safety/security of my bicycle in the bike room	
I'm concerned about the safety/security of my bicycle on the available outdoor bike racks	
The bike room is too full	
The outdoor bike racks are too full	
There are no bike parking facilities at my building	
I do not ride a bicycle	
Other	
Back Next Page 3 of 6	

Powered by Survey123 for ArcGIS

## Employee and Apartment Bike Parking Survey

## Proposed long-term bicycle parking

Below is an excerpt from the proposed DRAFT Bike Parking code updates. This section applies specifically to long-term bicycle parking in new buildings or major redevelopments. <u>Here is a link to a full version of the draft code update</u>.

#### Long-term bicycle parking standards

Long-term bicycle parking provides employees, students, residents, commuters, and others who generally stay at a site for several hours with a secure and weatherprotected place to park bicycles.

- 1. Long-term bicycle parking must be located:
  - a. Within a building.
  - b. On-site, including parking structures and garages; or
  - c. Within three hundred (300) feet of the site.

2. A garage dedicated exclusively to one or two housing units shall meet all long-term bicycling parking requirements for the associated units.

- Long-term bicycle parking must be provided in racks or lockers.
  a. At least twenty-five percent (25%) of the racks must be horizontal to accommodate:
  - i. Those who cannot lift a bicycle into a vertical position; and

ii. Cargo, tandem, long-tailed or similar bicycles that do not fit into vertical bicycle racks.

4. Long-term bicycle parking spacing requirements.

a. For horizontal racks such as the inverted-u rack:

i. A minimum of three (3) feet parallel spacing between each rack; and

ii. A minimum of five (5) feet perpendicular access aisle between rows of bicycle parking; and

iii. A minimum of two (2) feet six (6) inches of perpendicular spacing between bicycle racks and walls or obstructions; and

iv. A minimum of two (2) feet for user access between a wall or other obstruction and the side of the nearest parked bicycle.

b. For vertical wall-mounted racks:

i. A minimum of three (3) feet parallel spacing between each rack; or, A minimum of one (1) foot six (6) inches parallel spacing combined with a minimum of an eight (8) inch vertical off-set between each rack; and

iii. A minimum of one (1) foot six (6) inches for user access between a wall or other obstruction and the side of the nearest parked bicycle.

## 5. Long-term bicycle parking must be covered. The cover must be,

a. Permanent; and

b. Impervious.

6. Long-term bicycle parking shall be placed on floor and ground surfaces that are stable, firm, and slip resistant consistent with ADA requirements.

7. Long-term bike rack or bike locker design details must be provided with site layouts in order to determine the number of bicycle parking spaces accommodated by each rack.

- 8. To provide security the bicycle parking must be,
  - a. In a locked room; or

b. In an enclosure with a locked gate or door, the walls of the enclosure are to be at least eight (8) feet tall or be floor-to-ceiling; or

c. In a permanently anchored, enclosed, and secured bike locker.

9. Property owners and businesses located on the same block may establish a grouped bicycle parking area where long-term bicycle parking solutions may be implemented.

a. These areas shall be located no further than 300 feet from each site they intend to serve.

b. Bicycle parking shall be at a location that can be reached by an accessible route.

c. Bicycle parking shall meet all other relevant standards of this section.

10. Up to fifty percent (50%) of long-term bicycle parking for residential uses may be located within a dwelling unit. Long-term bicycle parking in a residential dwelling unit shall be:

a. A designated bicycle storage closet; or

b. A private outdoor area where the bicycle can be secured to a vertical or horizontal rack.

i. The rack must be permanently affixed to the ground or wall; and

ii. The outdoor area must be covered to protect the bicycle from weather events.

11. If a development is unable to meet the standards of this section, the applicant may seek relief subject to the Planning Director's discretion.

a. The applicant shall provide reasonable evidence as to why they are unable to fulfill the requirements of this section.

b. The applicant and the Planning Director should first explore alternatives for locating bicycle parking prior to agreeing to full relief from the requirements of this section.

## standards for long-term bicycle parking location?\*

Please see the details provided above.



### Individual Standard Ratings 🗸

Please let us know what you think of each standard (1 thru 11 above) by rating them 1 to 3 (1 being "dislike" 2 being "neutral" and 3 being "like")

### Standard 1

### Standard 2

Standard 3



Standard 4

### Standard 5

### Standard 6


#### Januaru /

### Standard 8

Standard 9

Standard 10

Standard 11

Please leave any other comments regarding the long-term bicycle parking standards here.

Back Next

Page 4 of 6

Powered by Survey123 for ArcGIS

# Employee and Apartment Bike Parking Survey

# **Background Information**

Just a couple more questions! If you could please provide us with some more information about your bicycle use and interest, this will help us better understand the results of this survey.

### How would you describe your interest in long-term bicycle parking?\*

I currently park my bicycle at my place of work during my shift
I currently park my bicycle at my apartment or condo
With better long-term parking, I would consider parking my bicycle at my place of work during my shift
With better long-term parking, I would consider parking my bicycle at my apartment or condo
Other

### If other, please describe

### How many bicycles does your household own?\*

Question optional

0 1-bicycle
O 2-bicycles
O 3-bicycles
0 4-bicycles
5-bicycles
O More than 5 bicycles

# What types of bicycle(s) do you own?\*

None
Traditional 2-wheeled bike
Recumbent bike
3-wheel tricycle
Cargo bike
Long-tailed bike

Trailer	
Other	

# How would you describe your current bicycle use?\*

Back

Next

Strong and Fearless - I ride in any condition
Enthused and Confident - I enjoy riding in good weather, on low-speed streets
Interested but Concerned - I would consider riding if there were safe places to ride
No way, no how - Bicycling is not an option I would ever consider

Powered by Survey123 for ArcGIS

Page 5 of 6

### Employee and Apartment Bike Parking Survey

# Thank you!

We very much appreciate your participation in this survey, if you would like additional information please visit <u>our webpage</u>.

# Before you go, if there is anything else you'd like to tell us please comment below.



Powered by Survey123 for ArcGIS

#### 🕅 City of Spokane Bicycle Parking Survey 🧳 Overview Design Collaborate Analyze Settings < Data This questionnaire seeks feedback from current and potential users of apartment and workplace long-term bike parking. Owner: cquinnhurst\_spokane, created: Feb 2, 2023, updated: Feb 15, 2023 This survey is shared with Everyone (public) and City of Spokane Feb 16 Mar 14 244 2023 2023 Total records Total participants First submitted On Last submitted On 🏾 🕕 Surveys count: 244 (total: 244) 2/16/23 - 3/14/23 40 30 20 10 480 680 1, DO Mar2,2023 Mar 1,2023 Mar 22023 40025,2023 +ex22,20,2023 < 28 28 2023 Mar3,2023 Mar9,2023 Mar 1,2023 Mar 3,2023 War 14, 2023 < 2021, 2023 Nara. 2023 Marb, 2023 Mar1,2023 Mars, 2023 War 0,2023

#### B-17





Rank	Answers	1	2	3	4	5	Average score
1	In a ground floor, secure bike room accessible by a door from the sidewalk or street	41.39% 101	17.21% 42	21.31% 52	11.48% 28	8.61% 21	3.71
2	In a secure bike room or cage in the automobile parking area	19.26% 47	22.54% 55	20.08% 49	22.95% 56	15.16% 37	3.08
3	In a secure and covered bike cage located outside my building	17.21% 42	22.54% 55	20.08% 49	20.49% 50	19.67% 48	2.97
4	In a secure bike room located on my individual floor	11.89% 29	23.36% 57	17.62% 43	25.82% 63	21.31% 52	2.79
5	In a dedicated rack that has been placed in my office space	10.25% 25	14.34% 35	20.9% 51	19.26% 47	35.25% 86	2.45

#### 🕐 Column Bar 🔅



Rank	Answers	1	2	3	4	5	Average score
1	In a ground floor, secure bike room accessible by a door from the sidewalk or street	31.97% 78	19.26% 47	24.18% 59	16.39% 40	8.2% 20	3.50
2	In a secure bike room or cage in the automobile parking area (including garage)	21.72% 53	19.67% 48	20.9% 51	22.54% 55	15.16% 37	3.10
3	In a secure bike room located on my individual floor	15.16% 37	27.05% 66	18.85% 46	22.54% 55	16.39% 40	3.02
4	In a dedicated rack that has been placed in my residential (apartment,	20.08% 49	20.08% 49	14.75% 36	16.39% 40	28.69% 70	2.86
5	In a secure and covered bike cage located outside my building	11.07% 27	13.93% 34	21.31% 52	22.13% 54	31.56% 77	2.51

Generally, what is your level of agreement with these proposed standards for long-term...
 \*Column Bar Pie Map
 40
 100
 80
 60
 40
 20
 5trongly disag...
 Disagree
 Neutral
 Agree
 Strongly agree

#### <u>Hide table</u>

Empty categories 1 Sort

Answers	Count	Percentage
Strongly disagree	20	8.2%
Disagree	9	3.69%
Neutral	39	15.98%
Agree	129	52.87%
Strongly agree	47	19.26%

• • Standard 1		Column Bar Pie Map
Average 2.6		• 3 • 2 • 1
<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
Answers	Count	Percentage
3	143	58.61%
2	41	16.8%
1	22	9.02%
• • Standard 2		Column Bar Pie Map
Average 2.4		• 3 • 2 • 1
<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
Answers	Count	Percentage
3	114	46.72%
2	64	26.23%
1	26	10.66%



B-21

Average 2.7 2.7 2.7 Average 2.7 Average 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7	• • Standard 5		Column Bar Pie Map
Answers       Count       Percentage         3       159       65.16%         2       33       13.52%         1       13       5.33%         • Standard 6       Column Bar Pie Map         Average	2.7		• 2
3       159       65.16%         2       33       13.52%         1       13       5.33%         Column Bar Pie Map         Average         2.7       -3         -1       -3         -1       -3	<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
2 33 13.52% 1 13 5.33% • Standard 6 Column Bar Pie Map Average 2.7 • 3 • 2 • 1	Answers	Count	Percentage
1 13 5.33% • Standard 6 Colume Bar Pie Mape Average 2.7 • 1	3	159	65.16%
e Standard 6 Average 2.7 Colume Bar Pie Map	2	33	13.52%
Average 0.3 0.2 0.1	1	13	5.33%
2.7	<ul> <li>Standard 6</li> </ul>		Column Bar Pie Map
	2.7		• 2

#### <u>Hide table</u>

Empty categories 11 Sort

Answers	Count	Percentage
3	151	61.89%
2	35	14.34%
1	17	6.97%

• • Standard 7		Column Bar Pie Map
Average 2.5		• 3 • 2 • 1
<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
Answers	Count	Percentage
3	126	51.64%
2	55	22.54%
1	22	9.02%
• • Standard 8		Column Bar Pie Map
Average 2.6		• 3 • 2 • 1
<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
Hide table Answers	Count	<ul> <li>✓ Empty categories 1↓ Sort</li> <li>Percentage</li> </ul>
	<b>Count</b> 147	
Answers		Percentage

• • Standard 9		Column Bar Pie Map
Average 2.4		• 3 • 2 • 1
<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
Answers	Count	Percentage
3	119	48.77%
2	49	20.08%
1	32	13.11%
• • Standard 10		Column Bar Pie Map
Average 2.3		• 3 • 2 • 1
<u>Hide table</u>		Empty categories 1 Sort
Answers	Count	Percentage
3	102	41.8%

Answers	Count	Percentage
3	102	41.8%
2	61	25%
1	37	15.16%

• • Standard 11	Column	Bar	Pie	Мар
Average 2.3	• 3 • 2 • 1			

#### <u>Hide table</u>

Empty categories 1 Sort

Answers	Count	Percentage
3	94	38.52%
2	65	26.64%
1	42	17.21%

• Please leave any other comments regarding the long-term bicycle parking standards here.

safely expensive 4, 50% located change, love vehicle effort <sup>4</sup> B		inst are	all Access me. can't a secure commute	long-term <sup>2</sup> storage. Shared
prevent require businesses standa <sup>40</sup> standards electric racks fee theit. lockers term	PEOPLE DOWNTON	NN <sup>city.</sup> Cİ 9 bikec <sup>8</sup> lt's	ing rack developed 10 give securi 3. lanes n ty long 9. sto bigh make sto	rs E-Bike block Due but veather core time rage issue problem #9 blen preview
<sup>fine</sup> enclosure biking put busines: resident similar & secured SDAG	s theft e building owners places sidewalks.	Work S <sup>I'm</sup> lock traffic <sup>1</sup> _ protected and/or live	town public etc. (ed safety facilities bikes. va	requirement PROGRAM. making MONEY. 300 physical View 20 infrastructure restaurants rider facilities: requirements.

#### Response

You should be addressing the bicycle on the roadway laws. It's too dangerous for bicyclists to legally commute, especially downtown, because the way the law is written. If people can't commute in safety, bike parking at the workplace is a waste of time and money.

You have got to make a policy about electric bikes, especially in bike lanes and sidewalks. These bikes can go up to 20 miles per hour. In my opinion they should not be allowed in bike lanes and sidewalks over a certain speed.

Yay! Safe spaces when visiting locations and dwellings! I am a bike rider!

Why no bicycle parking requirement for passenger airline terminals? Bike lanes lead to the airport... who lobbied against that requirement? E-bikes need covered parking in more locations downtown, including Riverfront Park. People are replacing their sole mode of transportation (cars) with e-bikes and want to be able to take them downtown and not worry about theft or damage. Bike lockers are needed in various locations around town for more expensive and/or e bikes that must stay out of the elements. Please conduct a survey locally on e-bike usage and trailor bicycle facilities to this currently overlooked user group.

What will this actually change. The downtown core is very unsafe and the bike infrastructure is a joke. The centennial trail is the only bike highway the city has and bike traffic is not even a priority. Develop a trail network so people can commute safely. It does no good to install storage if the rider can't safely make the trip.

WHAT IS THE PLAN FOR STORING/PARKING THREE-WHEELED OR TRICYCLE BIKES FOR THOSE WHOSE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS RESTRICT THEM FROM A TWO-WHEELED / BICYCLE TYPE BIKE?

We need more protected bike lanes and all parks need secured bike garages.

We need long term bicycle parking at public facilities: What do I do if I want to go to the Arena, Riverfront Park, the Podium or Downtown Stadium for an event with my \$4000 pedal-assist e-bike? Leave it for 4 hours unattended with just a lock?? These locations need weather protected and sight obscured bike lockers for the public's more expensive and generally larger e-bikes or cargo bikes.

Very well though out . Excellent standards to help promote safe storage of bicycles. I have had multiple bikes stolen in a different city. Standards like this would have prevented both

This is great for new development, but there needs to be a concerted effort to provide safe parking on the city streets for businesses, restaurants, grocery stores etc as well as for historic areas. So much of the city is over a hundred years old and it can take decades for some places to implement a change that would trigger these requirements.

This is dumb. Focus on things that make an impact on at least 10% of the population. This is a great example of focusing on the small things and not the big issues. Clean up the homeless mess before making rules about bicycle parking!

This is all fine and good, but who will maintain the spaces? Where can the biker go to get help when things go wrong? Who will verify if the space is actually being used, or has the user vacated the apartment etc.? Should there be a fee for using the space, so that unused bikes are not just sitting there taking up space?

These bike parking standards are excessive. The population of the City of Spokane largely uses vehicle parking, which is limited enough. The bicycle code for the City of Spokane has created a significant negative impacts on motor vehicle traffic and parking. Bike lanes in the City of Spokane are underutilized because they're not needed. With only a few good months of bicycle riding weather for the majority of the public, biking is not a viable means of regular transportation. Stop spending millions of dollars and impacting developers with these requirements. Make Spokane a city that attracts business and residents by having smooth flowing traffic and plenty of parking options. Parking downtown is already a nightmare for customers and employees.

These all make good sense to me.

The issue with biking in Spokane is not so much access to long term parking as it is the need for safe bike lanes/routes to be more thoroughly dispersed throughout the city. If you live and work along the river, on the periphery of the downtown area, or in the university district, you can get to work by bike reasonably safely, but if you live even just a few blocks outside of these regions, it can be incredibly dangerous to bike to work/school. We need more bike lanes/routes connecting to highly used areas, such as Sacred Heart Medical Center, Northtown/Garland District, whitworth, SCC, etc.

The biggest problem with bike storage (short or long term) is vandalism. If the bike is visible to nonowner it is subjected to vandalism (cables cut, wheels damaged or removed, painted, seats cut, etc). None of the solutions addresses vandalism. Theft occurs even if the bike is locked securely. If they are not locked in a location that limits access to owners, the bike is high risk for being stolen.

Strong consideration must be given to addressing the storage of e-bikes and in particular, prohibiting storage and/or charging of L-lon batteries in those areas not predictably within view of the owner.

Instead, while the bicycle can be stored in a dedicated area, the battery must be stored in the owners residence or workstation.

Standard 8: worried if the enclosure doesnt have a ceiling and is made of chain link, bikes will still get stolen— they are often light enough to lift in one hand. Standard 11 makes me worried that developers will create reasonable-sounding excuses for why they cannot provide bike parking. Similar to how some corporations consider legal fines as the cost of doing business, it seems to create a loophole which any developer could squeeze through. I don't know how to make it more binding, but I am concerned.

Some kind of language that ensures long-term bicycle parking cages located in or near parking structures are placed a safe distance from car traffic would be helpful.

Responsibility is on me. I really don't like where this is going. I also have a car that I use all year long. Personally, my bike is for recreation most of the few months I can use it in Spokane.

Requiring parking 300 ft from the business seems to far, I would like to be able to see my bike while I'm at the business. 150 ft?

Regarding standard 9, it would be best if all of the businesses within the shared parking zone are in the same block, avoiding crossing streets when going from bike parking to final destination.

Re: #10: It is extremely control-freak to make any law that "Up to fifty percent (50%) of long-term bicycle parking for residential uses may be located within a dwelling unit." .. SERIOUSLY Spokane? What about the other 50%? Are you purposely creating reasons for domestic issues amongst neighbors who have to decide which 50% of the residents are the lucky ones? Where's the \*equality\* when only 50% of residents will have the right to have a bike in their apartment. .. If I were the Governor: I'd make a law that forbids any from making laws denying a person the right to park their bicycle in their apartment. Who are the people that decided that only 50% of an apartments' residents should continue to have the right to park their bicycle in their apartment? Shame on them for being control-freakers. .. #9 grants people rights that they already have, and then clause #9a limits those rights = you're attempting to take away rights you have no valid reason to take.

Property theft is a serious concern in this city. Residential parking requirements will need to require a cage, locker, or secure room if you want people to feel comfortable leaving their bikes there. A covered bike rack won't cut it.

Please keep the sidewalks clear for pedestrians. Park bikes off the sidewalks.

People who ride bikes should rent places that have facilities for bikes There should be "NO" laws requiring landlords to make facilities bike friendly. This would increase rents on building where people who don't bike. Keep laws out of this!!!

Outdoor parking is the most vulnerable to theft, so it should be the least encouraged option for long-term storage.

Number 10 - I'm ok with up to 80% being in the unit, but the rack language needs to be cleaned up. Is a rack actually required? 10.b.i "a rack shall be provided and permanently affixed to..."

None of this will matter. The shit humans these political clowns love so much will destroy whatever is put in place. The fucking bums and tweakers will steal, shit on, piss all over, and destroy whatever. AND THE IDIOTS ON CITY COUNCIL WILL JUST ALLOT MORE MONEY FOR THEM

None

Nobody wants to park their bikes on outside racks anymore. There's just too many bike thieves and the police are too busy or just don't care about bike theft.

No bicycle racks/parking on sidewalks

Most riders main concern with long term bike storage is security, the racks are always outside and out of the way which means no one sees if people mess with them. I use Lime more than my own bike when available largely in part due to the ability to park them basically anywhere.

It is most important to consider while offering various levels of bike storage, protection against theft in bulk storage containers/garages with security cameras as well as a security roster electronically or otherwise for access. Individual bike lockers have been used over the years by default as homeless housing, and or suffered vandalism and or theft - offering bike racks at area businesses it ideal alongside educational tips to prevent theft and or potential damage to personal property.

If we were in a more temperate climate a bike program could work. Not here.

If we used STA buses similar to how the Dutch use there Trains, most bicycles for commuters would remain down town for long term storage and be parked at STA facilities: Downtown and/or satellite Park and Rides.. We need a paradigm shift in use between auto, public transportation for bicycle users. A simple rail that you can lock to is an alternative to a bicycle rack and allows for a better use of facilities having narrow & physical constraints, also true of sidewalks. Shared garages are difficult for multiple users/owners to manage. In addition the City should consider giving up one potential parking space in each block of the city core for on street covered bicycle storage.

I'm very happy the city is working on this issue. There is a lack of secured (and even non secured) bike parking in Spokane.

I'm glad to see you all working through this because it's very needed. I hope that building owners are willing to collaborate with the city on creating better bike parking especially for e-bikes.

I would like the city to dedicate 1 or 2 parking garage floors to bike and scooter parking.

I would be very skeptical about allowing landlords out of this obligation—it's not that difficult to meet.

I think the maximum distance from the bicycle enclosure to its business location ought to be 100' instead of 300'.

I think the city should consider the impact of e-bikes on bike commuting and the needs of those bikers. Electric bikes are larger than traditional bikes and take up considerably more room. Also, the ability to charge an e-bike within the bike cage should also be considered.

I think that roads without pot holes is a more basic necessity prior to bicycle parking. I understand that bicycle traffic could reduce road wear, however, due to Spokane's winter weather, bicycling is often seasonal. Our busses require adequate roads. Let's stick to the basics before spending money on bike storage.

I think bicycle parking locations in Dwelling Units could cause disruption and force tenants to shun others for muddying up the dwelling units with their bikes. Maybe lower it to 25% and then I would be fine with the change. But 10 could cause some day-to-day problems. Also, I do not know enough about bicycles to talk on Standard 4. Other then this, I think this is a great idea! Especially with the rise of free, electric bicycles, this will allow electric bicycles off the streets and into nice parking spaces.

I think as long as what you have is secure, any effort is better than none. I've had 5 bikes stolen since moving back to Spokane- all were locked and within view of many windows and open businesses. Spokane needs to keep up with how quickly thieves are moving to break locks and follow-up on the obvious chop shops going on around downtown. I can't tell you how many times I've seen someone selling bikes on the corner that they clearly didn't obtain by honest means. I love that Spokane is trying so hard to make the city more pedestrian/biker friendly but even having pretty racks or outdoor rooms won't help if they aren't truly secure. Maybe a coded entry? Badge entry for businesses (so that even former employees can't give codes out)?

I strongly support these recommendations regarding long-term bicycle parking. I do think, though, that business owners and landlords should be required to consistently communicate what the bike parking options are. In other words, there should never be an employee or resident who doesn't know what bike parking is available to them. I've seen employees choose not to ride their bike to work because they are unaware that secure bike parking exists - this is a barrier that cannot exist in a bike friendly city.

I might be misreading the standards, but it looks like they are proposing the outdoor bike racks would be sufficient? Thats a problem, given the prevalence of bike theft in this town. "Property owners and businesses located on the same block may establish a grouped bicycle parking area..." Meaning install a standard bike rack? We need a couple of secure downtown bicycle parking garages for cyclists going downtown not to work but to dine of shop.

I love cycling in Spokane and often commute, taking my kids to school. I really appreciate the strides the bicycle planning commission is taking to improve the cycling infrastructure in our city. Thank you.

I like the requirements for horizontal parking - as someone who has a heavy bike and does not want to dead lift it to a rack. Controlled security is also a must - including places to lock your bike WITHIN the locker. This is from someone who had a bike stolen out of a locked storage area because another person let the thief into the cage.

I have an adult tricycle- hard to place and secure, At this point I work from home and use my bike for grocery shopping and close errands. My medical facility has no parking for me.

I greatly appreciate any effort to make the city more cycle friendly. Bike lanes, traffic safety and driver education/tolerance also need to be addressed at some point. Thank you again

I don't have much to say about most of these. To me, the most important is that the bike storage is covered. I think that requiring a separate/locked enclosure could unintentionally make things more complicated because you would have to carry an extra key/card to access storage, which could be a problem for guests, etc

Guidance is great; regulations that impede property development are undesirable.

Good work, keep it up!

For standard 11, possible alternative solutions should be provided

For standard 11, impose a fee for places that do not instal bike racks to penalize loop holes be taken advantage of by businesses not wanting to pay to install bike racks. Encouraging more biking by having protected bike storage is so important to the city of Spokane because it takes cars off the road minimizing traffic collisions and road wear/tear

Finally, now lets do this right for all citizens.

Due to Spokane's weather, you can only bike limited days. We need to address year around solutions.

Developers need to be held responsible for adequate bike AND auto parking. It's out of control.

Covered individual bike parking out of sight is the most desirable

commuter and e-bikes have accessories like lights, panniers, etc. that require more security than locking to a bike rack can provide, which is why the provision of "long term parking" even for relatively short trips is important.

Bikes will get stolen and moved to athol

Bikes can still be stolen from racks or rooms in which any resident has access. Additional security measures which can be applied to individual bikes such as bars should also be made available/usable to prevent this kind of theft.

Bicycles need to be treated like any other vehicle. Licensed. Total lighting. Either a car parking spot with lock up capabilities or an area similar for bikes and charged loads ke a car. We are in an E-Bike era.

Bicycle parking should be encouraged in areas near shopping centers and restaurants to make food more accessible to cyclists.

As a bicycle commuter to work, storing my bike inside the building is a must. It provides the greatest protection from it being stolen.

Are you familiar with the state CTR program. It would answer many of your questions. Check with the county engineer department

All of these requirements are insane. Most people don't even commute by bike accept in the milder months in Spring and Fall. Too snowy in the winter, too hot in the summer. Are you going to require

showers? this is a massive overreach. Have you even studied if more people will actually ride bikes if parking is available? It doesn't seem that parking is the issue keeping people from riding bikes.

AGAIN I CALL BS. YOU ARE OVER REACHING THE NEED FOR COMPELING OTHERS TO CONFORM TO A VERY MINOR PERCENT OF THE POPULATION USING BIKES. IT IS A DOWNTOWN ISSUE AND SHOULD NOT CONCERN THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE COUNTY. ONLY THOSE THAT OWN THE PROPERTIES SHOULD HAVE A SAY IN ANY SUCH PROGRAM. IF YOU ARE A RENTER YOU HAVE NO RIGHTS IN THIS TYPE OF ISSUE. IF YOU DISAGREE THEN YOU SHOULD USE YOUR OWN FUNDING NOT TAX PAYER FUNDS TO ROLL OUT A PROGRAM. STOP WASTING OUR TIME AND MONEY. NEITHER ARE YOURS.

Adding this requirement to building codes or city projects only adds cost to buildings. The city shouldn't be in the business of adding cost to buildings unless it impacts the safety of said buildings. This is an inefficient and ineffective way to encourage bike use.

Add more protected bike lanes but get rid of the death by ride turn where you make a bike rider to dart out into traffic while avoiding a car from hitting them while making a right turn.

Access to bike parking at Sacred Heart requires coming up over a curb, the racks are so close together it can be difficult to get in and out, and in the summer it is hard to find an opening to put the bike.

A locked space is the key. Bicycle lockers are best.

3. Planning for an increased number of e-bikes with horizontal parking would be very wise. Is 25% enough? 8. This applies to 8 or any other mention of a 'secure room', doors should have something like a Interlocking Astragal Offset Bar in place to prevent theft. I had my bike stolen from a 'secure room' in my former building, that was locked and FOB secured, it however did not have an Interlocking Astragal Offset Bar. My current building has one installed on the bike room (and all exterior doors) and there haven't been any bike thefts in my current building. 9. I understand the need to be reasonable but 300ft is quite far and my present safety and accessibility concerns. 10. I think that developers could slap a bike hanger in you closet and satisfy this requirement and then you wouldn't be able to use the closet as a pantry, etc. It should be dedicated. And not more expensive. 11. The keyword is reasonable, it must be enforced and we should not cave to cheap developers.

3. Expecting developers to accommodate every type of bike (section 2) is unreasonable. 9. Collaboration in some cases might work, but who pays for it, maintains it, and pays taxes on the new structure? This seems like a headache. 11. Why give selective developers option for out. Either go all in or none.

25% of total parking space is insufficient for people who can't lift their bike into a vertical space or have cargo/tandem/long-tailed bikes

20 and 40 foot shipping containers should not be entertained. They are dark, moldy, and lack necessary visibility. 1 three wheeler, a quad pedaled ADA vehicle, or a new human resident can ruin shipping container bike parking for a whole building of cyclists.

You need to stop bike thieves from stealing bikes. No one will want to leave their bike if it's not there when they get back. I have had bikes stolen when locked to a secure rack, under a security camera, had clear footage of the thief, and was told by officers that it would not be investigated. Leaving a

bike unattended for even a few minutes is a flip of a coin if it will be there, in one piece, when you get back. I've been commuting by bicycle every day for over 30yrs and it's not as safe as it was in years past. There are other issues that need addressed before you worry about parking.





#### Response

Won't ride my bike downtown because there is no secured parking structure

With the best parking in the world I wouldn't ride my bike. Spokane has too much violent crime and bikes are always in the way.

See previous comment

See below

Road money before bike money. Finish the North/South Freeway first.

Retired but thru the CTR program businesses had to provide cages for their employees bikes

Quit focusing on a small fraction of people! Fix the big issues first

NOT INTERESTED AT ALL. A SIMILAR THOUGHT FOR THE VAST MAJORITY. RIDE YOUR BIKES ON THE TRAIL SYSTEM NOT ON THE STREETS.

No interest

little accommodation for adult tricycle style.

Keep laws out of this!!!

It's my responsibility to find my own "safe parking."

It is dwindling. After having 4 bikes stolen in 1.5 years by the sub par citizens that the liberal pukes in this state love, I am considering buying a 3rd car instead.

If I didn't have room for my bike, I wouldn't own one. I am 57, female and love riding my bike. But I do not expect to put my bike problem on someone else. No no no!

I would ride my bike more often if it were more accessible to park at work

I used to park my bike at my place of work during my shift, but stopped due to lifestyle changes. I would like to do so again.

I remote work now so my home/work bike parking are the same, in my secure garage. When I worked in office, options were a) a closet, b) an empty cubicle, and c)eventually a dedicated, secured bike storage area.

I park my bike in my house

I park at home. I'm retired, so my bicycle parking mostly is at public places downtown.

I have kids, so improved bike paths and bicycle infrastructure would encourage me to ride my bike more since I need to consider transportation with my kids schedule as well.

I have a garage. Dont commute by bike.

I don't commute via bike

I don't ride a bike for transportation

I do not utilize a bicycle

I do not ride my bike to work

I DO NOT RIDE A BIKE

I currently park my bike on my balcony (within my unit).

I am homeowner. I need better, more secure parking at businesses that I frequent.

I am a retired home-owner now, but while I was working, availability of long-term parking at work was the primary consideration for riding to work, rather than driving. the deciding

Don't bike need better public transportation.

Currently park my bike behind my place of employment (elementary school) because no rack on grounds that fit my bike. Park it behind school by businesses on a bike/art structure on Perry street. Exposed to elements,...

Currently locked inside residence or locked garage

Concerned citizen

You did not really give enough space above for a complete answer.

With better parking options I would choose to ride to work more often

We currently bring our bikes up through the elevator and into our office space. We do not really have space and would really benefit from alternative bike parking.

The emphasis on bicycle commuting in this survey is driving me nuts. I want to ride downtown to shop or dine, but I don't because there is no where safe to park my bike.

See previous comment

See above?

Our building has no bicycle parking.

In my garage

If parking was better I would bring my bike down town more.

If I want to ride, it's my responsibility to keep bikes safe, not some other person, entity or state.

I'm retired and live at residential home.

I'm disappointed that so far no mention has been made of bicycle parking in public areas.

I store my bike in a garage at my residence and in my office space at work.

I like to shop by bike and go out by bike, but often can't do so because there's no parking I trust.

I keep my bicycle inside at my residence (house)

I don't use a bicycle

I am not currently in a position to bike to bike to work, nor store my bike at an apartment. I do support interests in making biking more accessible and safer.

I am a retired home-owner now, but while I was working, availability of long-term parking at work was the primary consideration for riding to work, rather than driving.

Don't bike need better public transportation.

Described above

Concerns while visiting downtown and leaving the bike unattended

Cannot afford to park car downtown so I have to commute

Biking is recreational and an individuals issue to figure out storage!

As I look for apartments and houses, I do not see options for bikes outside of a garage. It would be nice to have options to securly store bikes.

How many bicycles does your household own? \*

Column Bar Pie Map



<u>Hide table</u>

Empty categories 1 Sort

Answers	Count	Percentage
I do not own a bicycle	11	4.51%
1-bicycle	34	13.93%
2-bicycles	46	18.85%
3-bicycles	41	16.8%
4-bicycles	40	16.39%
5-bicycles	23	9.43%
More than 5 bicycles	49	20.08%

### • What types of bicycle(s) do you own? \*



#### <u>Hide table</u>

Empty categories 1 Sort

Answers	Count	Percentage
None	11	4.51%
Traditional 2-wheeled bike	218	89.34%
Recumbent bike	6	2.46%
3-wheel tricycle	6	2.46%
Cargo bike	19	7.79%
Long-tailed bike	7	2.87%
e-bike	64	26.23%
Trailer	37	15.16%
Other	15	6.15%

How would you describe your current bicycle use? *	Column Bar Pie Map
	<ul> <li>Strong and Fearless - I ride in any condition</li> <li>Enthused and Confident - I enjoy riding in good weather, on low-speed streets</li> <li>Interested but Concerned - I would consider riding if there were safe places to ride</li> <li>No way, no how - Bicycling</li> <li>is not an option I would ever consider</li> </ul>

#### <u>Hide table</u>

	Empty	categories	1↓ Sort
--	-------	------------	---------

Answers	Count	Percentage
Strong and Fearless - I ride in any condition	61	25%
Enthused and Confident - I enjoy riding in good weather, on low-spee d streets	110	45.08%
Interested but Concerned - I would consider riding if there were safe places to ride	60	24.59%
No way, no how - Bicycling is not an option I would ever consider	13	5.33%







#### Response

Your proposed rule #11 was wrong too .. No person (the bicyclist) should have to submit a request seeking permission from another person (the Planning Director) with the hopes that they may be granted special permission to continue parking their bicycle in their apartment without a fixed bicycle rack or designated bike storage closet. Spokane's control-freak law-makers are abusing their authority to even suggest an SMC that declares that only 50% of an apartment building's residents can continue to park their bicycle in their unit. Most restaurants in Spokane don't have bike racks or even a designated place to lock a bike to. A bicycling customer has to find a large tree to lock their bike to. That's where the bicycle problem is in Spokane. Now the City wants to update the 'codes' to mandate that only 50% of the residents in my apartment building get to be the lucky ones to continue to park their bicycle in their unit? ... \*Lose the bike or be evicted to homeless\* ??

YOUR BIKERS DO NOT SHARE THE ROAD AND ARE A HASZARD TO THE PUBLIC TRAFFIC FLOW ALMOST EVERY DAY. WITH PUBLIC TRANSPERTATION THERE IS NO NEED FOR BIKES. THAT IS HOW YOUR BUS SYSTEM IS PUSHING THEIR EXPANSION.

Would these parking requirements also apply to a place like an STA Park and Ride?

Why don't all neighborhoods have a bike path

WHNC and residents of West Hills have been very excited about the Susie Stephens trail that has been proposed and funded back in 2022. I'm most pleased to see here in Spokane the various levels of paths, lanes, and trails for cyclists over the years, as I've enjoyed the safety aspects of both Urban Commuting throughout various bike lanes, in addition to cycling greater distances for overall mental health and fitness. The level of property crimes, theft, and vandalism has grown dramatically, particularly for bicycles, so the more security in bike storage, the better, including security measures such as cameras are most important, even with placement for bike racks in front of business or condos. Best Regards William Hagy WHNC•Chair

WHAT IS THE PLAN FOR RECUMBANT BICYCLES AND TRICYCLES? WILL THERE BE CONSIDERATION FOR CHARGING STATIONS FOR E-BIKES? SHOULD THE CHARGING STATIONS BE LOCATED IN A SECURE OUTDOORS OR MECHANICALLY VENTILATED LOCATION DUE TO POSSIBLE FIRE AND ASSOCIATED TOXIC GASES HAZARD? FIRE SPRINKLERS, DETECTORS, SECURITY SYSTEMS?

We sold our bikes because we no longer feel safe in Spokane . The Centennial Trail and downtown Spokane are danger zones.

We need more basic bicycle racks all around the city. There is often no bike rack anywhere near where you need to go, so you end up locking your bike to a street sign.

This is great! Thanks for doing the work to bring these guidelines into being.

This is great you are looking at bike parking. We ride around 1,000 miles per year. We have had issues with homelesss people downtown especially at riverfront park, trying to steal our bikes.

This is also important in the lower density areas as well. I'd highly consider developing similar standards around schools as well.

This city is a complete joke. You don't care about our input here.

There's too much gravel in the bike lanes. It's a slip hazard. I don't worry about falling as much as I worry about falling a foot away from traffic. Also a truck switched from one side of the street to the other to play "chicken" with me two weeks ago. Not a first.

There are some nice bike riding options in town. however, many parts of town do not have good or safe bike riding conditions on the streets. Many streets in town are narrow and do not provide room for a bike. We need more bike lanes or paths to make a bicycle an option for the city.

The largest deterrent to bicycling in Spokane is theft. Dedicated, separated bike lanes are great but right now there is nowhere safe to lock up my bike in all of downtown. We need bike cages or secured garages.

The city should work on making protected bike lanes in more heavily trafficked areas. Adding more bike parking will only be utilized if there's safe bicycle infrastructure for cyclists to commute with.

The City of Spokane has neglected a core ingredient in encouraging bicycle commuting: how to get across the Spokane River in the vicinity of downtown. Think about it, what are the options? The Post Street bridge is closed and there are no bike lanes on Monroe, Maple, or Washington/Stevens bridges. Don't tell me 'just ride on the sidewalk,' that's not safe for actual pedestrians. Don't tell me 'just ride through Riverfront Park,' it's often mobbed with pedestrians in full-random mode. Also, the ridiculous interruption of the bike lane with a 1-foot-high concrete barrier in front of the Chase building on Main Ave is idiotic. It routes bike traffic right up onto the sidewalk, where it's not even legal to ride a bike due to the hazard of colliding with a pedestrian in downtown. Are you trying to get people hurt? The straight-through bike lane that used to be there was fine.

The bike infrastructure here is terribly designed and terribly maintained. Motorists also are free to harass and endanger cyclists with no repercussions. Until this is addressed, cycling will not be popular here.

Thanks for working on this project

Thanks for looking for input on this matter!

Thank you so much for working on parking in Spokane. Fear of my bike getting stolen or tampered with prevents me from spending much time downtown

Thank you for working to integrate bikes into our community better. Spokane is so small, that if we had good bike infrastructure and good incentives, we could possibly drastically reduce the amount of cars on the road, pollution in the air, traffic, and danger for pedestrians. It just makes sense here. Thanks so much. I'm very interested in the future of bike infrastructure here, and would like to help make it an accessible reality. Thank you for trying to do this as well!

Thank you for improving bicycle riding in Spokane!

Thank you for doing this.

Thank you for codifying rack type and spacing! There are some terrible racks out there.

Stop adding building costs. Building codes need to be curtailed and cut back to the strictly essential to increase availability and affordability of housing.

Standards? It seems the horse is behind the cart concerning standards. A - 2" rail spaced 12" from wall and about 36" from ground to secure bicycles to, in addition to rack standard. Add racks as demand increases by allowing for sufficient sq footage in design. Request feedback from cyclists to when more parking is required.

Spokane streets are terrible. Potholes and uneven surfaces discourage me from commuting by bike.

Spokane needs secure public bike parking downtown! Development standards won't help all the existing buildings that have no secure bike parking

Spokane needs more protected bike lanes and secured bike parking garages. Every paid car parking lot downtown needs to have a secured covered bike parking structure.

Spokane needs dedicated bike lanes. It's the wild, wild west out there. Some traffic law enforcement (speeding, turn signals, dangerous driving, etc.) AND ticketing for violations of bike lane areas (it's hard to bike a block or two without running into a vehicle parked or waiting there) might go a long way to helping make biking a safer, viable method of transportation. Dedicated multi-use trails connecting major hub areas of town would be a wonderful option!

Put the survey out again after all the talking is done. Show a working model for cyclists to try for themselves. Good Luck.

Prosecute bike thieves! Bikes have gotten incredibly expensive, and losing one to theft can be as financially damaging as losing a car to theft, especially since insurance often denies coverage for theft in high crime areas like Spokane, even if it was locked.

Prior to living in Spokane I biked 75% of my work commutes. Since moving to Spokane I bike 0%. The bike lanes are not adequate nor are they protective of bikers. Many times new bike lanes have been

added right into existing car lanes which seems more of a 'gesture' than an actually usable lane. I attempted to bike to work and around town when I first moved here but found the conditions/environment too dangerous. Having adequate places to part bikes is important but these spaces will go unused if the actual conditions of biking in Spokane are not improved.

Please study barriers to riding. I would commute more, for example if there was a bike lane continuously from Liberty Lake to Downtown on Sprague. I hate that the Centennial Trail takes you on busy roads out in the Argonne District. You all are completely missing the mark. The massive bike lane on Riverside is completely unnecessary as it doesn't connect to anything and is completely worthless for 6 months out of the year. Plans like these should be in areas of the country with more seasonal weather that would actually allow year round cycling. Not here.

Please make cycle tracks, not bike lanes. Cars park in the bike lanes and render them useless

Please don't make a law that increases rent or costs on people who don't bicycle!!!!

Please continue your work.

Please complete trail networks so we can use bike parking. I would love to discuss the values of installing bike pullouts.

Please advocate for secure long term bike parking in apartments!!

Nothing I would like to say.

Not sure how you expect landlords/businesses to pay for this. Also, a very small portion of the population bikes. I'm not sure all this bike friendly stuff (like restricting vehicle lanes to accommodate bike lanes) is really worth it for the number who ride. Mind you, I ride to work around 3x per week in decent weather.

Not at this time

No bicycle parking/racks on sidewalk

My work offered an outdoor vertical caged in storage for bicycles in the parking lot. In the 5 years I worked at the County Public Works Buidling, no one ever used it. There is too great of a concern for bikes to get stolen. There is no cover on the existing cage. I chose to store my bike in my work space instead. I prefer commuting on the Centennial Trail or Kendall Yards whenever possible, even if it means I have to bike further. If I am on the trail and away from car traffic, I feel safer. The addition of bike lanes running N-S and connecting to the Centennial Trail would increase the safety of bicycle commuters.

More protected bike lanes! This is all worthless if the best bike lanes we have it just a stripe of white paint.

More incentives should be provided to builders and property owners at construction phase to reduce vehicle parking spaces with bicycle parking spaces; but employers with long term bicycle parking spaces should also have on-site shower, lockers, or changing rooms for employees who bike to work.

More Dedicated Bike Lanes and bike infrastructure! Traffic has continued to get so much worse, and area drivers are angry and some dangerous. The more bikes being used and safer the bike commute can be, the less traffic.

More bike racks outside businesses in Spokane, please!

Longer term bike storage or lockers needed in downtown leisure and park locations.

It would be great to have a safe place to park bicycles in downtown Spokane. It would be much more convenient if you didn't have to worry about your bike while enjoying many of the great activities downtown has to offer.

It would be great to have a map or app of where to lock up a bike downtown. Sometimes it is hard to find places to lock a bike and if you are crunched for time it makes things worse. Some areas are very visible like the racks in Perry but other can be hard to find like Lincoln Heights shopping map only had them in front if Trader joes.

It is fantastic that you are hoping to encourage biking! Thank you for your efforts.

If you want to improve bicycle commuting rates, you should also have new office buildings include shower and changing facilities. A lot of people don't ride to work because they'll get sweaty and stinky and they can't stay that way and maintain a professional standard. You also need more dedicated bike infrastructure on the roads, and more law enforcement taking care of wreckless drivers. I have been hit while legally riding my bike to work, and the drivers have only gotten (markedly) worse since then. You also need to keep bike lanes clear, including of snow, road debris, and construction signs.

If you can't go the speed limit, you shouldn't be in the road.

If the city of Spokane really wants to encourage bicycle commuting, there needs to be special attention made to road conditions and maintenance of bike lanes. Bike lanes are consistently covered in gravel and other debris, making accelerating and stopping more hazardous. Bikes lanes are never plowed and are consistently covered in snow and ice in the winter. There also needs to be a way to trigger a green light for a bicyclist to more easily navigate intersections.

I'm glad to see the city doing more for people on bikes. More must be done! Safe and secure parking is a great step, but to get more people commuting and recreating by the healthiest, safest and most efficient mode of transportation we need protected bike lanes, e-bike incentives and strong support from elected officials. Keep it up!

I'd love to see more parking spaces in Spokane be dedicated to bike parking - potentially even bike lockers in parking spaces. It would be great to see how many people might start biking if there were secure areas to park bikes!

I would recommend regular spot awareness tutorials on the local TV or social media news throughout the better/bike riding weather explaining TO CAR DRIVERS (1)that bike riders cannot ride in the basically POORLY-maintained-by-the-city street GUTTERS, that bike riders need at least 1/2 to 2/3 of a driving lane to be able to ride safely, (2) that honking at a biker could actually cause an accident, (3) when entering an arterial from a side street, a driver should check carefully for bikers approaching in a straight line, who are hard to see coming toward the driver, approaching in a straight line mostly
from the left of the driver. I usually wave to draw attention to my approach, (4) and not to underestimate the speed of a biker. Thank you.

I think the 300 feet rule should include not having to cross the street. It should have to be on the same block

I really appreciate the city's interest in making Spokane more bike friendly. I think this is a very good first step and I hope you consider our feedback. Especially related to security. Interlocking Astragal Offset Bars are essential. If you develop these regulations and incentivize biking and make it a more practical method of transportation people will use it and we can lead the region in safe, bike and pedestrian friendly streets. Thank you!

I LOVE biking as a way to stay fit and positively impact the environment. HOWEVER, my main concerns with biking (in place of driving) are the fear or being hit by a vehicle while in the bike lane, and the fear of having my bike stolen. TWICE I have had my bike stolen, when locked up on a bike rack within feet of my apartment/home door. (One bike was stolen from an apartment complex, the other from a rental home). Both times I was using a designated bike rack and it was locked. At work, if I can't store it somewhere where I can see it, I don't feel safe parking it there.

I know this is about bike parking but protected bike lanes would really improve and encourage more people to commute by bike.

I just want to re-emphasize that increasing the amount of safe bike lanes and routes that can efficiently connect housing areas to centers of employment for bikers should be a higher concern that raising the standards of bike parking.

I have some concern about requiring bike storage on residential units (esp. rentals, apartments, new construction). Most rentals can accommodate a bicycle within the living space and requirements will only drive up the cost to develop/remodel and that will increase costs/rents.

I have been waiting for this conversation! I am fortunate to have a great bike parking situation at work with secured, covered parking in a locked room in the parking garage but using my bike for errands on a regular basis is challenging because of the lack of secure parking to go to dine out or for shopping. These parking solutions would greatly help in being able to put the car away for most of the bike riding season (late March- mid October, for me).

I have been a bike commuter for 30+years. I've seen Spokane gain more acceptance of bike commuting and enjoy using bike lanes. However, now the issue has changed. I've had bikes stolen from outdoor racks(even with high quality locks) and I've been accosted by homeless people when riding through downtown on me way to work. I actually carry pepper spray on my bike now because of several frightening episodes with homeless people.

I am more concerned about parking my bike at locations I visit (Gym, stores, etc) and parking it on the street. I have had my bike stolen from downtown and it had a ulock.

I am just so thrilled this is being talked about and planned. I know more residents in my neighborhood of West Central specifically that bike over driving. A community bike shop where there are tools and

manuals for doing maintenance would be huge for our community; bike part swap meets, free workshops on maintenance, helmet-fitting, etc.

I am encouraged to see this survey. If you build it, they will come. Spokane and Spokane Valley is desperately in need of infrastructure for alternative forms of transportation besides your standard automobile based system. Along with better public transit like buses, and hopefully some day rail or streetcars, supporting a growing population of biking commuters is a great step and I thoroughly encourage more work to be done to achieve these goals. Thanks!

God Heavens what's next. Showers? I do have to clean up some when I get to work but I can handle that myself too... I don't work down town. Minihaha area is where I live. Commute to the old playfair area 2.5 miles. I don't even use the bike lanes that are already in place.

Go communism.

expand parking racks In downtown with high visibility to deter bike thieves; 2-3 basic racks per city blocks especially from Sprague down to Spokane Falls Blvd, Monroe to Division st.

E-trike is my choice of transportation or the bus when daylight hours are short. I choose not to own a vehicle.

Especially for apartment storage, consider larger/heavier mountain bikes in addition to road-going bicycles.

E-bikes are a hugely growing form of active transportation. Please conduct a survey to obtain insight on local usage and if necessary begin tailoring bicycle facilities for e-bike usage also. Previous considerations for bike routes, such as hills become no problem on a commute with an e-bike.

easy money for drugs for the homeless

Country homes is terrible to bike on. There should be a separate bike road that runs along it but is physically separated from the car road.

City streets are suitable for bicycle riding. Creating burdensome laws for business/building owners in a city where residents also need a vehicle for transportation due to inclement weather is counter productive. Any measures like this should go to a vote of the public before implementation.

Both safety on streets through downtown and safe parking at work/school are concerns limiting my family from riding daily. With solutions to those issues, we'd be more frequent cyclists. I used to be, but long term parking at my place of work and safety taking a child on the back of my bike deterred interest.

bike parking is great , but safe separate bicycle lanes would be most important to me. Much like Vancouver BC has for bikes.

Bike parking is critical, not just as condos/apartments and corporate offices but also at retail shops including restaurants, grocery stores, clothing stores, etc. It's frustrating to ride my bike to places downtown but then have no secure space to park/lock them. Also, we need more protected bike

infrastructure to get from point A to point B. Our car centric planning is bad for our city and citizens. Thanks for all you do to push Spokane forward to becoming a better bike city.

Bicycle planning in Spokane is frustrating. We are finally getting some bike lanes but they are dangerous ones. We want to encourage bicycle use but there is nowhere safe to park your bike and the police have zero interest in preventing or prosecuting bicycle theft. Now we have a survey that assumes every respondent is a bicycle commuter. I want to ride to Aunties and buy a book and find my bicycle still there when I come out.

Better bike routes connecting Spokane to the valley would be great! If the centennial trail pathway could be connected that would be super helpful, or if the apple way trail could connect to ben burr? It's the treacherous car filled patches between that discourage my biking commute.

Am very much looking forward to being able to get more cars off the roads.

Also need individual bike Parking at events such as Gonzaga since you cannot bring backpacks into the venue you need to park your bike and leave your helmet and gear outside in a protected covered and hopefully unseen situation as to not have it stolen

# EXHIBIT C



## NONPROJECT DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

FILE NO(s): Bicycle Parking Code Update (non-project)

**PROPONENT:** City of Spokane

**DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL:** This proposal will amend Spokane Municipal Code (SMC): Sections 17C.230.110 and 17C.230.200. The proposed update to section 17C.230.110 revises the vehicle substitution provisions to allow up to twenty-five percent of vehicle parking to be substituted by bicycle parking. The proposed update to section 17C.230.200 revises the bicycle parking code to require short-term and long-term bicycle parking throughout the city. The exact amendments to the code will be available online at the following address: <u>https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/bicycle-parking-code-update/</u>.

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL, INCLUDING STREET ADDRESS, IF ANY: This proposal has a City-wide impact

LEAD AGENCY: City of Spokane

## **DETERMINATION:**

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public upon request.

- [ ] There is no comment period for this DNS.
- [ ] This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- [X] This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for at least 14 days from the date of issuance (below). Comments regarding this DNS must be submitted no later than 4:00 p.m. on March 22, 2023 if they are intended to alter the DNS.

***************************************	*******							
Responsible Official: Spencer Gardner Position/Title: Director, Planning Services								
Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201	Phone: 509-625-6097							
Date Issued: March 2, 2023 Signature:								
<b>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</b>	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							

## APPEAL OF THIS DETERMINATION

After a determination has become final, appeal may be made to:

**Responsible Official:** City of Spokane Hearing Examiner

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane, WA 99201

Email: <u>hearingexaminer@spokanecity.org</u>



## Deadline: 21 days from the date of the signed DNS 12:00 p.m. on March 23, 2023

The appeal must be on forms provided by the Responsible Official and make specific factual objections. Appeals must be accompanied by the appeal fee. Contact the Responsible Official for assistance with the specifics of a SEPA appeal.

## SEPA City Nonproject DNS Bicycle Parking Code Update

Final Audit Report

2023-03-02

Created:	2023-03-01
Ву:	Jackie Churchill (jchurchill@spokanecity.org)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAA8dbFBGmH9O-kAiqjkw2QHR1W8sva_uxy

## "SEPA City Nonproject DNS Bicycle Parking Code Update" Hist ory

- Document created by Jackie Churchill (jchurchill@spokanecity.org) 2023-03-01 10:30:05 PM GMT- IP address: 198.1.39.252
- Document emailed to sgardner@spokanecity.org for signature 2023-03-01 - 10:30:58 PM GMT
- Email viewed by sgardner@spokanecity.org 2023-03-02 - 1:42:33 AM GMT- IP address: 198.1.39.252
- Signer sgardner@spokanecity.org entered name at signing as Spencer Gardner 2023-03-02 - 1:42:53 AM GMT- IP address: 198.1.39.252
- Document e-signed by Spencer Gardner (sgardner@spokanecity.org) Signature Date: 2023-03-02 - 1:42:55 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.1.39.252
- Agreement completed. 2023-03-02 - 1:42:55 AM GMT



# EXHIBIT D

## [CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

What good is putting up bike locks if no one will pursue bike thieves and put them in jail? My friends bike was stolen right outside of a city council meeting is that okay with you guys?

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From:	Jim Frank
То:	<u>Kimbrell, Tyler</u>
Subject:	Re: City of Spokane- Bicycle Parking Code Update Public Advisory Committee Meeting #1
Date:	Tuesday, January 10, 2023 12:14:34 PM
Attachments:	image002.png image003.png image004.png

#### [CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Thanks for the opportunity to participate in the bicycle parking advisory committee meeting. I'm a big supporter of increased pedestrian and bicycle mobility. We have tried very hard to build pedestrian and bike infrastructure into our projects and we have seen the benefits that come from providing good sidewalks, bike lanes and separated pedestrian/bike trails. What your code classifies as "short term" bike parking is very important. Far and away more important than what the proposed code terms "long term" bike parking.

The short term parking that has been outlined in the tables seem reasonable to me, The real problem is that the sidewalks in the city are so deficient in most places that placing the parking in the public ROW (which is where it is best located) will be very challenging. The city needs to take more responsibility in the design and reconstruction of roads to be sure that not only is there space for bike racks but that they are installed as part of the road construction, particularly in center and corridor locations and business districts. Bike parking should be as much a part of the road design as are street trees and sidewalks. It is essentially part of a "complete street" design. The code places a lot of responsibility on private property owners with little attention to the responsibility of the city. When we design roads, Summit Parkway for example, bike and pedestrian infrastructure (including bike parking) are built into the road design.

I would like to comment further about "long term" parking. In my experience, long term bike parking is by far the least important factor in developing a vibrant bike culture in a community. I think the requirement for a minimum of one covered long term bike parking space for every building, business or land use over 1000 square feet is a very burdensome requirement that provides little if any benefit. The vast majority of homeowners and renters, those that are potentially bike commuters, store their bike in their homes or garages. Requiring group storage of bikes in rental communities, businesses or institutions has generally failed everywhere it has been attempted. Our own experience in building such facilities in both Kendall yards and Liberty Lake is that they are almost never used. The usage has been so low we have converted those spaces to other uses. The value of the bikes is too high and very few will risk leaving bikes where others have access. I strongly recommend that the long term parking requirement be removed from the code. It places a very disportionate responsibility on small business owners and will provide very little if any benefit. The way the code is currently drafted 100 small retail businesses would be required to have one covered long term space each while a large 100,000 square foot office building would only require 5 spaces. In Washington less than 1% of commuters use a bike. <u>bike-</u> commuting-united-states Long term bike storage is not a significant barrier to bike commuting, the lack of safe bike routes and infrastructure is.

On residential use the long term bike parking standards are equally problematic. Over 70 percent of households live in a house that they either own or rent. The large majority of the balance live in larger apartment complexes that nearly all have a significant number of units

with garages. Apartment residents will only store the bikes in either the garage or in their unit if they don't have a garage.

Thanks again for the opportunity to participate and comment.

Jim

On Sat, Jan 7, 2023 at 6:39 AM Kimbrell, Tyler <<u>tkimbrell@spokanecity.org</u>> wrote:

Hi All,

Please find the updated agenda with the Teams meeting link for next week's Bicycle Parking Code Update meeting.

See you next week,



Tyler Kimbrell | City of Spokane | Planner II | Planning Services

509.625-6377 | tkimbrell@spokanecity.org |spokanecity.org

🛱 FIND US 🛉 LIKE US 🍤 FOLLOW US

This email is subject to Washington State Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW, and may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From:	<u>Kimbrell, Tyler</u>
To:	MELVIN NEIL
Cc:	<u>Quinn-Hurst, Colin</u>
Subject:	RE: code
Date:	Monday, February 6, 2023 7:11:00 AM
Attachments:	image002.png
	image003.png image004.png
	IIIIdycoot.pily

Hi Melvin,

Thanks for your feedback! We currently allow 10% of vehicle parking to be substituted with bike parking. Of course, the substitution is optional and is meant to provide flexibility for developments that just can't quite squeeze in more vehicle parking spaces.

If you have other suggestions/ comments please don't hesitate to reach out.

Kind regards,



 Tyler Kimbrell | City of Spokane | Planner II | Planning Services

 509.625-6377 | tkimbrell@spokanecity.org

 Spokanecity.org

 FIND US

This email is subject to Washington State Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW, and may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: MELVIN NEIL <mkneil@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, February 5, 2023 11:30 AM
To: Kimbrell, Tyler <tkimbrell@spokanecity.org>
Subject: code

## [CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I feel that 25% is way to much space for bike parking. I think you should start with maybe 10% and see if that is to little, and if so then expand to more. Mel Neil

From:	<u>Kimbrell, Tyler</u>
То:	Comstock NHC Chair
Cc:	<u>Quinn-Hurst, Colin</u>
Subject:	RE: SEPA Request for Comment for proposed amendment to the Bicycle Parking Code
Date:	Monday, February 6, 2023 7:15:00 AM
Attachments:	image002.png image003.png image004.png

Hi John,

Thanks for your feedback. Is there anything, in particular, you're concerned about? Happy to discuss.

Kind regards,



 Tyler Kimbrell | City of Spokane | Planner II | Planning Services

 509.625-6377 | tkimbrell@spokanecity.org | spokanecity.org

 Image: Spokanecity of the spokanecit

This email is subject to Washington State Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW, and may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: Comstock NHC Chair <comstockneighborhoodcouncil@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2023 3:44 PM
To: Churchill, Jackie <jchurchill@spokanecity.org>; Kimbrell, Tyler <tkimbrell@spokanecity.org>
Subject: Re: SEPA Request for Comment for proposed amendment to the Bicycle Parking Code

## [CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

This is a joke right?

If not, the City has gone truly insane.

John Schram, Comstock

On Fri, Feb 3, 2023 at 3:27 PM Churchill, Jackie <<u>ichurchill@spokanecity.org</u>> wrote:

## Good Afternoon,

The City of Spokane is proposing an update to the Bicycle Parking Code amending Spokane Municipal Code (SMC): Sections 17C.230.110 and 17C.230.200. The proposed update to section 17C.230.110 revises the vehicle substitution provisions to allow up to twenty-five percent of vehicle parking to be substituted by bicycle parking. The proposed update to

section 17C.230.200 revises the bicycle parking code to require short-term and long-term bicycle parking throughout the city. The exact amendments to the code will be available online at the following address: <u>https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/bicycle-parking-code-update/</u>.

Please direct any questions or comments to Tyler Kimbrell, at <u>tkimbrell@spokanecity.org</u>

Thank you, Jackie



# EXHIBIT E



February 13th, 2023 DATE:

TO: Tyler Kimbrell, Planning & Development

Bobby Halbig, Street Department FROM:

SUBJECT: **Plan Review** 

#### **PROJECT #:** SEPA request for comment for proposed amendment to the Bicycle Parking Code

We have reviewed the design plans and have the following comment(s).

## General

- Planning needs to re-review the history of Palouse Trails Apartments. 1
- 2 Parked bicycles shall not block a pedestrian access route and maintain a minimum 4-foot accessible path.

## 17C.230.110(B)3

Parking is already an issue within the public ROW, reducing requirements within the code will 3 exacerbate an already volatile issue.

## 17C.230.200(B)5

1,000 sq ft needs to be increased to at least 5,000 sq ft, preferably 10,000 sq ft. 4

Gerald Okihara, P.E.

Marcus Eveland

From:	Kimbrell, Tyler
То:	Halbig, Bobby
Cc:	Okihara, Gerald; Eveland, Marcus; Kells, Patty; Black, Tirrell; Quinn-Hurst, Colin; Gardner, Spencer
Subject:	RE: SEPA Request for Comment for proposed amendment to the Bicycle Parking Code
Date:	Friday, February 17, 2023 8:37:00 AM
Attachments:	image002.png
	image003.png
	image004.png

Dear Bobby,

Thank you for the comments you submitted to the "SEPA request for comment for proposed amendment to the Bicycle Parking Code." Below is a response to your comments in the order in which they were submitted.

1. Planning needs to re-review the history of Palouse Trail Apartments.

Thank you for the comment. We have reviewed previous emails regarding the issues of car parking on the northern shoulder of the Palouse Highway.

2. Parked bicycles shall not block a pedestrian access route and maintain a minimum 4-foot accessible path.

The City Design Standards require a 7-foot sidewalk zone in Commercial and Downtown zones and a 5-foot sidewalk zone in Residential and Industrial zones, this code amendment does not interfere with that standard. Standard plans proposed in tandem with this update show this clear zone requirement.

3. Parking is already an issue within the public ROW, reducing requirements within the code will exacerbate an already volatile issue.

The bicycle parking substitution provision is meant to provide an optional means for development to increase the efficiency of developed space for environmental and financial benefits. This provision does not require the reduction of vehicle parking spaces.

4. 1,000 sq ft needs to be increased to at least 5,000 sq ft, preferably 10,000 sq ft.

Based on discussions with developers as part of a public advisory committee, this provision has been adjusted and increased for most land uses.

Regards,



 Tyler Kimbrell | City of Spokane | Planner II | Planning Services

 509.625-6377 | tkimbrell@spokanecity.org | spokanecity.org

 Image: Spokanecity.org

 <t

This email is subject to Washington State Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW, and may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: Halbig, Bobby <bhalbig@spokanecity.org>
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 7:43 AM
To: Kimbrell, Tyler <tkimbrell@spokanecity.org>
Cc: Okihara, Gerald <gokihara@spokanecity.org>; Eveland, Marcus <meveland@spokanecity.org>; Kells, Patty <pkells@spokanecity.org>
Subject: SEPA Request for Comment for proposed amendment to the Bicycle Parking Code

Good morning Tyler,

The Street Department has reviewed the document(s), please find our comments attached. Best regards,



**Bobby Halbig** | City of Spokane | Traffic Engineering Specialist I, Traffic Operations 509.232-8846 | *fax* 509.232.8830 | <u>bhalbig@spokanecity.org</u> | <u>spokanecity.org</u>

🛱 FIND US 🕂 LIKE US 🏷 FOLLOW US



Spokane Tribe of Indians Tribal Historic Preservation Office

P.O. Box 100 Wellpinit WA 99040

February 2, 2023

To: Jackie Churchill, Planner

## **RE: Bicycle Parking Code**

Ms. Churchill,

Thank you for contacting the Tribe's Historic Preservation Office. We appreciate the opportunity to provide a cultural consult for your project, the intent of this process is to preserve and protect all cultural resources whenever protection is feasible.

In response we concur with recommendations made that the city is requesting a bicycle parking code, at this time I have no concern on code change, however if any ground disturbing activity there will be more consultation needed to complete this project.

However, if any artifacts or human remains are found upon inadvertent discovery, this office should be immediately notified and the work in the immediate area cease.

Should additional information become available or scope of work change our assessment may be revised.

Our tribe considers this a positive action that will assist us in protecting our shared heritage.

If question arise, contact my office at (509) 258 – 4222.

Sincerely,

Randy Abrahamson Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. Spokane Tribe of Indians

# EXHIBIT F

Land Use	BSA Guide - 5% Mode Share Goal in 10 years	BSA Guide - 10% Mode Share Goal in 10 years	BSA Guide - 20% Mode Share Goal in 15 years	APBP Guide - Urban For 5% Mode Share	Seattle Urban Centers & Station-Area Overlay Zones		Cambridge	Los Angeles	Pittsburgh	Oakland	Portland OR	San Francisco	Vancouver BC	Victoria BC
Residential	10 Jouro	10 900.0	10 900.0		201100	-								
Single-Family Dwellings Two-Family Dwellings Rectories, parsonages	LT 0 LT	1 LT	2 LT	No LT req.			No LT req. for single- family dwellings, but for townhouses: 1.00 LT / unit for the first 20 units in a building, 1.05 LT / unit for additional units.			No LT req.		Dwelling Units (on lots with 3 units or less): Provide secure, weather protected space meeting dimensions set in Zoning Administrator	For a principal dwelling unit with lock-off unit, depending on the neighborhood, a minimum of 1.25 or spaces for each principal dwelling unit	
													and a minimum of 0.75 spaces for each lock- off unit.	
	ST No ST req.	No ST req.	No ST req.	No ST req.			No ST req. for single- family dwllings, but for townhouses: 0.10 ST / unit on a lot (for lots			No ST req.		No ST req.	No ST req.	
Multi-Unit Residential	LT 1.0 LT / unit	1.25 LT / unit	1.5 LT / unit	0.50 LT / bedroom	0.25 LT / unit	0.50 LT / unit	with 4 or more units). 1.0 LT / unit for the	1.0 LT / unit & guest	0.33 LT / unit for 12 or	0.25 LT / unit	15LT/unit in Centra	1.0 LT / unit up to 100	Ranges from 0.75 to	1.0 LT / unit
(Cambridge: Townhouse & Multifamily Dwellings)		1.20 E 17 drift			0.20 21 / 0.11		first 20 units in a building, 1.05 LT / unit for additional units.	room	more units	0.20 21 / drik	City 1.0 LT / unit outside CC		2.25 / unit, depending on housing size and	
	ST 0.20 ST / unit	0.25 ST / unit	0.30 ST / unit	2 ST or 0.10 ST / bedroom	No ST req.		0.10 ST / unit on a lot (for lots with 4 or more units).	2 ST or 0.10 ST / unit		0.05 ST / unit		0.05 ST / unit. For student housing, 0.10 / unit.	Generally, 6 ST for any development with more than 20 units, and in some situations 0.20 ST / unit for smaller developments	6 ST at every entranc
Elderly oriented congregate housing	LT 0.50 LT / bed	0.75 LT / bed	1.0 LT / bed	0.50 LT / bedroom	0.50 LT / bed		0.50 LT / unit					0.10 ST / bed or unit	2 ST + 0.04 ST / bed	
	ST 0.20 ST / bed	0.25 ST / bed	0.30 ST / bed	2 ST or 0.10 ST / bedroom	No ST req. No ST req.		0.05 ST / unit					0.04 ST / unit	or unit No ST req.	
Group Living	LT 0.50 LT / bed	0.75 LT / bed	1.0 LT / bed		0.50 LT / bed						2 LT or 0.05 LT / bed	beds, afterwhich 0.20	)	
	ST 0.20 ST / bed	0.25 ST / bed	0.30 ST / bed		No ST req.						No ST reg.	LT / bed 0.04 ST / unit.		
Lodging houses, convents, monasteries, dormitories, fraternities, sororities	LT 0.50 LT / bed	0.75 LT / bed	1.0 LT / bed		0.50 LT / bed		0.50 LT / bed				0.125 LT / bed	0.25 LT / bed up to 100 beds, afterwhich 0.20 LT / bed. For student housing, 1.5 LT / bed up to 100 beds, afterwhich 0.75 LT /		
	ST 0.20 ST / bed	0.25 ST / bed	0.30 ST / bed		No ST req.		0.05 ST/ bed				No ST req.	unit. 0.04 ST / bed. For student housing, 0.08 /	,	
Hotels, motels, Tourist houses	LT 0.05 LT / rentable room	0.075 LT / rentable room	0.10 LT / rentable room		0.05 LT / rentable room	0.05 LT / hotel room	0.02 LT / sleeping room	2 LT or 0.05 LT / guest room	0-5 employees: 0 6-20 employees: 1 21-80 employees: 2 Over 80: 0.05/employee		2 LT or 0.05 LT / rentable room 2 ST or 0.05 ST / rentable room	o.0333 LT / rentable room	0.0333 LT / dwelling, housekeeping & sleeping unit; No req. for a bed & breakfast.	
	ST 0.05 ST / rentable room , PLUS 0.20 ST 1,000 sf for conference/meeting rooms	0.10 ST / rentable / room , PLUS 0.35 ST 1,000 sf for conference/meeting rooms	0.20 ST / rentable / room , PLUS 0.50 ST / 1,000 sf for conference/meeting rooms		2 ST		0.05 ST / sleeping room	2 ST or 0.05 ST / guest room	U.U.J.CIIIDIOYCE			2 ST or 0.0333 ST / rentable room, PLUS 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf for conference & meeting space.	6 ST for any development containing a minimum of 75 dwelling, housekeeping or sleeping units, or any combination thereof.	
Vancouver: Communal Care Facilities: Group Residence and Detoxification	LT Treated as medical center or congregate housing ST	Treated as medical center or congregate housing	Treated as medical center or congregate housing										0.01 LT / bed No ST req.	
Vancouver-only: Live-Work Units	LT 1 LT / unit	1.5 LT / unit	2 LT / unit										1.25 LT / unit	
Vanouver-only. Live-work Utills														
	ST 0.50 ST / unit	0.75 ST / unit	1.0 ST / unit										6 ST for any development containing 20 or more units	

General or professional offices	LT 0.50 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	1.0 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	2.0 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	2 LT or 0.15 LT / 1.000	0.50 I T / 1.000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.30 LT / 1,000 sf	2   T or 0 10   T / 1 000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000	0.20 LT / 1.000 sf For	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	0 20   T / 1 000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf
General of professional offices	ET 0.50 ET / 1,000 Sq.n	1.0 E1 / 1,000 Sq.it	2.0 21 / 1,000 34.10	sf	0.30 E1 / 1,000 SI	0.20 ET / 1,000 SI	0.06 ST / 1,000 sf	sf	sf	professional offices,	sf	0.20 ET / 1,000 SI	0.100 ET / 1,000 SI	0.100 E1 / 1,000 SI
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	0.125 LT / 1,000 sf in				
									>20,000 sf	downtown; 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf everywhere				
										else.				
	ST 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.05 ST /	0.083 ST / 1,000 sf			2 ST or 0.20 ST /		For professional	2 ST or 0.025 ST /	2 ST for offices over	6 ST for any	0.186 ST / 1,000 sf
				1,000 sf				1,000 sf		offices, 0.0667 ST / 1,000 sf in downtown;	1,000 sf	5,000 sf + 1 additonal	development containing > 64,582 sf	
										0.05 ST / 1,000 sf				
A de la station		0.5017/4.000#	4.017/4.000 #		0.5017/4.000-6	0.0017/4.000-6	0.30 LT / 1.000 sf		4 LT fax 0.004.00.000	everywehere else.			No. LT. as a	
Arts/crafts studios	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	0.50 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	1.0 LT / 1,000 sq.ft		0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.30 LT / 1,000 SI		1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf		2 LT 01 0.10 LT / 1,000	2 LT or 0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	NOLT req.	
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf					
	ST 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf		0.083 ST / 1,000 sf		0.06 ST / 1,000 sf		>20,000 sf		2 ST or 0.025 ST /	2 ST or 0.40 ST /	No ST req.	
	01 0.10 01 / 1,000 31	0.20 01 / 1,000 31	0.00 01 / 1,000 31		0.003 01 / 1,000 31		0.00 01 / 1,000 31				1,000 sf	1,000 sf	No o'r reg.	
Technical offices, research labs	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	0.50 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	1.0 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	2 LT or 0.15 LT / 1,000	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	2 LT for > 5,000 sf, or	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf
				sf				sf	sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf		sf	0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf		
									>20,000 sf					
	ST 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.05 ST /	0.025 ST / 1,000 sf		0.06 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.10 LT / 1,000		0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.025 ST /	2 ST; 4 ST for >	6 ST for > 64,582 sf	0.186 ST / 1,000 sf
Banks, financial offices (ground floor)	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	0.50 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	1.0 LT / 1,000 sq.ft	1,000 sf 2 LT or 0.15 LT / 1,000	0.50 I T / 1.000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.30 LT / 1,000 sf	sf 2   T or 0 10   T / 1 000	) 1 LT for 6,001-20,000	0.125 LT / 1,000 sf in	1,000 sf 2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	50,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf
Danks, inancial chices (ground hoor)	E1 0.20 E1 / 1,000 3q.n	0.00 ET / 1,000 Sq.it	1.0 21 / 1,000 34.10	sf	0.00 ET / 1,000 SI	0.20 ET / 1,000 SI	0.00 ET / 1,000 ST	sf	sf	downtown; 0.10 LT /	sf	0.100 E1 / 1,000 Si	0.100 ET / 1,000 SI	0.100 E1 / 1,000 31
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf everywhere				
	ST 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.05 ST /	0.025 ST / 1,000 sf		0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.10 LT / 1,000	>20,000 sf	else. 0.0667 ST / 1.000 sf in	2 ST or 0 025 ST /	2.0 ST + 1.333 ST /	6 ST for any	0.186 ST / 1,000 sf
	01 0.10 017 1,000 31	0.20 01 / 1,000 31	0.00 01 / 1,000 31	1,000 sf	0.020 01 / 1,000 31		0.00 01 / 1,000 31	sf		,	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	development	0.100 01 / 1,000 31
										1,000 sf everywehere			containing > 64,582 sf	
Sales & services, heavy	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.75 LT / 1,000 sf		0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1.000 sf for		2   T or 0 10   T / 1 000	) 1 LT for 6.001-20.000	else 2 LT or 0.083 LT /		2 LT or 0.0667 LT /		
calor a connece, neavy	21 0.20 21 / 1,000 01	0.00 ET / 1,000 01			0.20 21 / 1,000 01	retail over 10,000 sf)		sf	sf	1,000 sf		1,000 sf		
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf					
	ST 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf		0.025 ST / 1,000 sf			2 ST or 0.10 LT / 1,000	>20,000 sf	2 ST or 0.05 ST /		2 ST or 0.20 ST /		
		,	,		,			sf		1,000 sf		1,000 sf		
Retail stores, consumer service	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	1.0 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf (for	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.50 LT / 1,000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000		2 LT or 0.083 LT /	0.133 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	For shopping centre:
				st		retail over 10,000 sf)		st	st 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf in downtown; 0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf			0.111 LT / 1,000 sf for first 53,820 sf,
									>20,000 sf	everywhere else.				thereafter 0.056 LT /
	OT 0.05 OT / 1.000 of	0.50.0T / 1.000 of	1.0.0T / 1.000 of	2 J T == 0.20 ST / 1.000	0.50.0T / 1.000 of		0.60.CT / 1.000 of	2 CT -= 0 50 LT / 1 000		0.22 er 0.20 CT / 1.000		2 ST or 0.40 ST /	0.557.0T / 1.000 of	1,000 sf
	ST 0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 51 / 1,000 SI		0.60 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.50 LT / 1,000 sf		0.33 or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf, depending on	1,000 sf	1,000 sf up to 50,000	0.557 ST / 1,000 sf	For shopping centre: 0.260 ST / 1,000 sf for
										business type.	,	sf, afterwhich 0.10 ST	/	first 53,820 sf,
												1,000 sf		thereafter 0.130 ST / 1,000 sf
												(consumer/personal service is treated the		1,000 SI
												same as financial		
												services and		
Food & convenience stores	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	1.0 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf (for	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	0 1 LT for 6,001-20,000	2 LT or: 0.125 LT /	2 LT or 0.083 LT /	restaurants & bars). 0.133 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	
				sf		retail over 10,000 sf)		sf	sf	1,000 sf in downtown;	1,000 sf			
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf everywhere else.				
	ST 0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.50 ST /	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf		1.00 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.10 LT / 1,000			2 ST or 0.20 ST /	2 ST or 0.40 ST /	0.557 ST / 1,000 sf	
				1,000 sf				sf			1,000 sf	1,000 sf up to 50,000		
												sf, afterwhich 0.10 ST 1.000 sf	/	
Restaurants, bars	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	1.5 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf		0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.50 LT / 1,000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000	2 LT or: 0.125 LT /		0.133 LT / 1,000 sf		
				sf				sf	sf	1,000 sf in downtown;				
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf everywhere else.				
	ST 0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.50 ST /	0.50 ST / 1,000 sq.ft ir	ו	1.00 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.50 LT / 1,000		0.50 ST / 1,000 sf (for		2.0 ST + 1.333 ST /		
				1,000 sf	UC/SAO			sf		some businesses it's		1,000 sf		
										0.33 or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf)				
Industrial														
Manufacturing & Production	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.5 LT / 1,000 sf	1.0 LT / 1,000 sf		0.25 LT / 1,000 sf		0.08 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000		2 LT or 0.067 LT /		0.093 LT / 1,000 sf or	0.0782 LT / 1,000 sf
				1,000 sf				51	st 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf	0.059 / employee, whichever is greater	
									>20,000 sf				Ţ	
	ST 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST at each entrance;	No ST req.		0.06 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf		No ST req.	No ST req.	2 ST; 4 ST for > 50,000 sf	No ST req.	0.0196 ST / 1,000 sf
				or as prescribed by agency director				1,000 SI				30,000 SI		
				3,										
Warehouse & Freight Movement	LT 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.75 LT / 1,000 sf		0.25 LT / 1,000 sf		0.08 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000		2 LT or 0.025 LT / 1,000 sf	0.025 LT / 1,000 sf	0.093 LT / 1,000 sf or 0.059 / employee,	0.0782 LT / 1,000 sf
								51	st 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 SI		0.059 / employee, whichever is greater	
									>20,000 sf					
	ST 0.05 ST / 1,000 sf	0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.20 ST / 1,000 sf		No ST req.		0.06 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf		No ST req.	No ST req.	No ST req.	No ST req.	0.0196 ST / 1,000 sf
	I		1	1	1			1,000 SI		1	1			1

Auto repair, auto sales	LT	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.75 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf		0.08 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf; for auto repair, 0.05 LT /		2 LT or 0.0667 LT / 1,000 sf		0.0782 LT / 1,000 sf
	ST	0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	0.40 ST / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.05 ST / 1,000 sf		0.06 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	>20,000 sf	emplovee 2 ST or 0.05 ST / 1,000 sf; no req. for auto repair		2 ST or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf		0.0196 ST / 1,000 sf
Events, Gathering, & Recreation														
Entertainment / Major Event Entertainment		0.075 LT / employee for stadiums/areas with capacity > 2,000 attendees.		0.30 LT / employee for stadiums/areas with capacity > 2,000 attendees.	2 LT or 0.075 LT / employee	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf		1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf		10 LT or 0.025 LT / seat	0.05 LT / employee for stadiums/areas with capacity > 2,000 attendees.	No LT req.	
		0.05 ST / attendee for stadiums/arenas with capacity > 2,000 attendees, 50% of which must have valet		0.20 ST / attendee for stadiums/arenas with capacity > 2,000 attendees, 75% of which must have valet	5% of max daily attendance	0.05 ST / seat & 1 ST / 1,000 non-seat sq.ft	1.00 ST / 1,000 sf				No ST req.	0.05 ST / attendee for stadiums/arenas with capacity > 2,000 attendees, a portion of which must have valet (an attendant watching		
Theaters, gathering halls	LT	0.075 LT / employee	0.15 LT / employee	0.30 LT / employee	2 LT or 0.075 LT / employee	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.08 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT; 2.857 LT / 1,000 sf; or 0.02 LT / seat	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf			5 LT for venues with < 500 capacity; 10 LT for venues with > 500 capacity.	No LT req.	
	ST	0.05 ST / seat + 5.0 ST / 1,000 non-seat sf		0.20 ST / seat + 20.0 ST / 1,000 non-seat sf		0.05 ST / seat & 1.0 ST / 1,000 non-seat sf	1.00 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST; 1.429 ST / 1,000 sf; or 0.01 ST / seat				0.02 ST / seat	0.02 ST / seat	
Parks & Commercial Outdoor Recreation	LT	0.10 LT / employee	0.25 LT / employee	0.50 LT / employee	2 LT or 0.075 LT / employee		0.10 LT / 1,000 sf		1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf		10 LT or 0.05 LT / car			
									0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf					
	ST	/ car (including adjacent on-street car	attendance; or 1.0 ST / car (including adjacent	whichever is greatest. 100% of the bike parking must be covered unless the			1.00 ST / 1,000 sf	5 ST or 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf			No ST req.			
Fitness Centers & Indoor Sports Centers	LT	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf				2 LT or 0.50 LT / 1,000	1 LT for 6,001-20,000				0.372 LT / 1,000 sf	
	ST	0.75 ST / 1,000 sf	1.5 ST / 1,000 sf	3.0 ST / 1.000 sf				sf 2 ST or 0.50 ST /	sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf				1.115 ST / 1,000 sf	
	51	0.75 51 / 1,000 51	1.5 ST / 1,000 SI	5.0 51 / 1,000 Si				1,000 sf					1.113 ST / 1,000 SI	
Bowling Alleys, Billiard Hall, Arcade, Curling	LT	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.75 LT / 1,000 sf	1.5 LT / 1,000 sf					1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf			5 LT for venues with < 500 capacity; 10 LT for venues with > 500 capacity.		
	ST	0.75 ST / 1,000 sf	1.5 ST / 1,000 sf	3.0 ST / 1,000 sf								1 ST for every 500	6 ST / each 40 tables, games, alleys or ice sheets.	
Civic & Cultural		0.05 LT / employee		0.25 LT / employee	2 LT or 0.15 LT /				4 LT fam 0.004.00.000	2 LT or 0.05 LT / 1.000		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Community Service & Civic Centers Not Described Below		0.05 LT / employee	0.15 LT / employee	0.25 L1 / employee	employee				sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	sf	sf			
	ST	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.125 ST /						2 ST or 0.10 ST /			
Community Club/Center	LT	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	1.0 LT / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf 2 LT or 0.075 LT / employee	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf			1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	dally attendance	1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	
	ST	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	5% of max daily	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf			>20,000 sf				0.372 ST / 1,000 sf	
Libraries	LT	0.05 LT / employee	0.15 LT / employee	0.25 LT / employee	attendance 2 LT or 0.15 LT / employee	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf			1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	2 LT or 0.05 LT / 1,000 sf		1,000 sf 2 LT or 0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	
	ST	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.125 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf			-20,000 SI	2% of max expected daily attendance		2 ST or 0.40 ST / 1.000 sf	0.372 ST / 1,000 sf	
Museums	LT	0.05 LT / employee	0.15 LT / employee	0.25 LT / employee	2 LT or 0.15 LT / employee	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf			sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.05 LT / 1,000 sf		2 LT or 0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	0.186 LT / 1,000 sf	
	ST	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.125 ST / 1,000 sf	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf			>20,000 sf	2% of max expected daily attendance		2 ST or 0.40 ST / 1,000 sf	0.372 ST / 1,000 sf	

Churches & Places of Worship	LT	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.75 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.075 LT / employee	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.08 LT / 1,000 sf		1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT; or 0.025 LT / seat; or 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	1 LT or 0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	5 LT for venues with < 500 capacity; 10 LT for venues with > 500		
	ST	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	5% of max daily attendance	0.083 ST / seat + 1 ST / 1,000 non-seat sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf		>20,000 sf	2 LT; 0.025 ST / seat; or 0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	capacity. 0.02 ST / seat	6 ST	
Medical														
Medical Offices	LT	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	2 ST; 0.075 LT / employee; or 0.02 LT / 1,000 sf	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.30 LT / 1,000 sf		1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	2 LT, 0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.014 LT / 1,000 sf			
		0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf			2 ST or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.025 ST / 1,000 sf			
Medical Clinics	LT	0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	2 ST; 0.075 LT / employee; or 0.02 LT / 1,000 sf	0.083 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf		1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	2 LT, 0.0833 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.014 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf		
	ST	0.25 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.125 ST / 1,000 sf	0.50 ST / 1,000	0.50 ST / 1,000 sf			2 ST or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.025 ST / 1,000 sf	4 ST at every entrance or 0.0667 ST / 1,000 st		
Hospitals	LT	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 SF	1.0 LT / 1,000 SF	2 ST; 0.075 LT / employee; or 0.02 LT / 1,000 sf	0.50 LT / 1,000 sf	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf	2 LT, 0.05 LT / employee, or 0.014 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT or 0.014 LT / 1,000 sf	0.0667 LT / 1,000 sf	0.059 LT / employee on a maximum work shift. (this might work- out to 0.20 LT / 1,000	
		4 ST at every entrance; 0.05 ST / 1,000 sf	6 ST at every entrance; 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	8 ST at every entrance; 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.05 ST / 1,000 sf	0.025 ST / 1,000 sf	0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf		2 ST or 0.025 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.025 ST / 1,000 sf	4 ST at every entrance or 0.0333 ST / 1,000 st		
Education														
College or university academic or administrative facilities		employees/students,	max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding	max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students,	whichever is greater	0.10 LT / student + 0.05 LT / employee	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT; 2.0 LT / 1,000 sf; or 0.02 LT / seat	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf		2 LT or 0.05 LT / 1,000 sf	0.05 LT / 1,000 sf	0.059 LT / employee plus 0.04 LT / student during maximum attendance period.	
		0.10 ST / max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students, then 0.25 ST / 1,000 sf.	0.20 ST / max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students, then 0.50 ST / 1,000 sf.	0.30 ST / max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students, then 1.0 ST / 1,000 sf.	2 ST or 0.10 ST / student	No ST req.	0.40 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST; 1.0 ST / 1,000 sf; or 0.01 ST / seat			2 ST or 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	0.06 ST / student on a maximum attendance period.	
College or university student activity facilities		employees/students,	0.25 LT / employee & max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students, then 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf.	max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students,	whichever is greater	0.10 LT / student + 0.05 LT / employee	0.20 LT / 1,000 sf	2 LT; 2.0 LT / 1,000 sf; or 0.02 LT / seat	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf		2 LT or 0.05 LT / 1,000 sf	0.05 LT / 1,000 sf	0.059 LT / employee plus 0.04 LT / student during maximum attendance period.	
		student capacity. If		0.30 ST / max planned student capacity. If building new building on campus without adding employees/students, then 1.0 ST / 1,000 sf.	2 ST or 0.10 ST / student	No ST req.	1.0 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST; 1.0 ST / 1,000 sf; or 0.01 ST / seat			2 ST or 0.10 ST / 1,000 sf	2 ST or 0.20 ST / 1,000 sf	0.06 ST / student during maximum attendance period.	
K-12 Schools: Grades: 9-12	LT	2.5 LT / classroom	5 LT / classroom	10 LT / classroom	2 LT or 0.15 LT / employee PLUS 0.075 LT / student	2.0 LT / classroom	See zoning	2 LT or 4.0 LT / classroom	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf		4.0 LT / classroom	4.0 LT / classroom	0.059 LT / employee plus 0.04 LT / student during maximum attendance period.	0.10 LT / employee
	ST	0.075 ST / student	0.15 ST / student	0.30 ST / student	2 ST or 0.075 ST / student	No ST req.		2 ST or 1.0 ST / classroom	>20,000 sf		No ST req.	1.0 ST / classroom	0.06 ST / student during maximum	0.20 ST / student
K-12 Schools: Grades: 6-8	LT	2.5 LT / classroom	5 LT / classroom	10 LT / classroom	2 LT or 0.15 LT / employee PLUS 0.075 LT / student	2.0 LT / classroom	See zoning	2 LT or 4.0 LT / classroom	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf		4.0 LT / classroom	4.0 LT / classroom	attendance period. 0.059 LT / employee	0.10 LT / employee
	ST	0.075 ST / student	0.15 ST / student	0.30 ST / student	2 ST or 0.075 ST / student	No ST req.		2 ST or 1.0 ST / classroom			No ST req.	1.0 ST / classroom	0.05 ST / student during maximum attendance period.	0.20 ST / student

K-12 Schools: Grades: K-5	LT	2.5 LT / classroom	5 LT / classroom	10 LT / classroom	2 LT or 0.15 LT / employee; for grades 4- 6, add 0.075 LT /	1.0 LT / classroom	See	zoning	2 LT or 4.0 LT / classroom	1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf 0.10 LT / 1,000 sf		2.0 LT / classroom	2.0 LT / classroom	0.059 LT / employee	0.10 LT / employee
					student.					>20,000 sf					
	ST	0.075 ST / student	0.15 ST / student	0.30 ST / student	2 ST or 0.075 ST /	No ST req.			2 ST or 1.0 ST /	>20,000 Si		No ST req.	1.0 ST / classroom		0.10 / student
					student				classroom					during maximum	
Development		0.075   T /		0.001 T /		0.0517/4.000-4				1 L T fair 0 001 00 000		0 LT == 0.05 / 4.000 =f		attendance period.	
Daycare	LI	0.075 LT / employee	0.15 LT / employee	0.30 LT / employee	2 LT or 0.075 LT / employee	0.25 LT / 1,000 sf				1 LT for 6,001-20,000 sf		2 L1 of 0.25 / 1,000 st	2 LT or 0.05 ST / child	NO LI req.	
										0.10 LT / 1,000 sf >20,000 sf					
	ST	0.05 ST / child	0.10 ST / child	0.20 ST / child	2 ST or 0.075 ST /	0.025 ST / 1.000 sf				-20,000 SI		No ST reg.	0.05 ST / child	No ST reg.	
	• •		0.10 01 / 0.114	0.20 01 / 01.114	child	0.020 01 / 1,000 0.									
Transportation															
Light Rail Stations, Transit Centers, Park &	LT	7% of AM Peak	10% of AM Peak	15% of AM Peak	7% of projected AM	20 LT				1 LT for 6,001-20,000		8 LT			
Ride lots, & Ferry Terminals		ridership. Light rail	ridership. Light rail	ridership. Light rail	peak period daily					sf					
· · ·		stations within a mile o	f stations within a mile of	stations within a mile of	ridership.					0.10 LT / 1,000 sf					
		each other in dense	each other in dense	each other in dense						>20,000 sf					
		urban environments	urban environments	urban environments											
		may combine their long	may combine their long	may combine their long											
		term parking	term parking	term parking											
		requirement at a single	requirement at a single	requirement at a single											
		station.	station.	station.											
	ST	6 ST or 2% of AM peak		10 ST or 5% of AM	2% of AM peak period	No ST req.						No ST req.			
	_	daily ridership.		peak daily ridership.	daily ridership.										
Commercial Parking & Parking Structures				0.20 LT / car parking	,	0.05 LT / car				0.10 LT / car, triggered	2 LT or 0.05 LT / car	10 LT or 0.05 LT / car	No LT req.	As determined by the	
		spot. Unlike the other	spot. Unlike the other		surface-only lots					at 5, 21, & 41 car stalls				Director of Planning in	
		long-term parking		long-term parking	excepted					(no rounding up until		No bicycle parking is		consultation with the	
			requirements for other							41)		required for a		City Engineer.	
			land uses, commercial									Commercial Parking			
			parking structures may									facility on a surface			
		charge for secured		charge for secured								parking lot in the			
		long-term parking.	long-term parking.	long-term parking.								Central City plan district.			
	ST	0.05 ST / car parking	0.05 ST / car parking		6 ST or 0.10 ST / car;	No ST req.					6 LT or 0.05 ST / car		6 ST or 0.05 ST / car		
		spot	spot	spot	surface-only lots									Director of Planning in	
					excepted									consultation with the	
														City Engineer.	

## EXHIBIT G

# ESSENTIALS OF BIKE BIKE BARKING

Selecting and installing bicycle parking that works





Association of redestrian and Bicycle Professionals Expertise for Active Transportation

#### **Essentials of Bike Parking** Revision 1.0, September 2015

© 2015 by Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals (APBP).



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. You may freely share, reproduce, excerpt, and build upon this work—provided that your work is not commercial and that you acknowledge the source.

#### Acknowledgments

Lead author - Nathan Broom

**Contributors -** Eric Anderson, Vince Caristo, Ryan Dodge, Jennifer Donlon-Wyant, Sarah Figliozzi, Elco Gauw, Dan Jatres, David Loutzenheiser, Heath Maddox, Brian Patterson, Cara Seiderman



Alta Planning + Design donated their expertise in the design and illustration of this guide. Cat Cheng, lead designer, Jillian Portelance, production designer.

**Cover image:** Sign D4-3 from Standard Highway Signs, 2004 Edition, http://mutcd. fhwa.dot.gov/ser-shs\_millennium\_eng.htm

Bicycle parking manufacturers and distributors shall not use APBP's logo or imply product endorsement by APBP without express written permission from APBP.

APBP is an association of professionals who plan, implement and advocate for walkable and bicycle-friendly places.

Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals

bikeparking@apbp.org www.apbp.org





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **01** INTRODUCTION

**02** SHORT-TERM PARKING

Site planning Bike corrals

**03** LONG-TERM PARKING

Site planning Special considerations for long-term parking

## 04 INSTALLATION

Installation surface Installation fasteners Installation techniques

## **05** BICYCLE RACK SELECTION

Performance criteria for bike parking racks Rack styles Rack materials and coatings

## **10** PLACEMENT

## INTRODUCTION

Among the necessary supports for bicycle transportation, bike parking stands out for being both vital and easy. Still, it requires some attention to get it right. Bike parking may go unused if it's not more appealing to users than the nearest sign post. A minor mistake in installation can make a quality rack unusable. The variety of bicycle sizes, shapes, and attachments continues to increase, and good bike parking should accommodate all types.

The Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals (APBP) prepared this guide for people planning to purchase or install bike parking fixtures on a limited scale. It is a brief overview of APBP's comprehensive *Bicycle Parking Guidelines* handbook, available at www.apbp.org.

This guide divides bike parking into short-term and long-term installations. These two kinds of parking serve different needs, and the starting point for most bike parking projects is recognizing whether the installation should serve short-term users, long-term users, or both. If users will typically be parking for two hours or longer, they are likely to value security and shelter above the convenience and ease that should characterize short-term parking.

## **Parking Time**

## Short-Term Parking

Convenient Easy to use

## Parking Secure

Long-Term

Sheltered

## SHORT-TERM PARKING

Effective bike parking for short-term users depends on two main factors: 1) proximity to the destination and 2) ease of use.

Short-term parking is designed to meet the needs of people visiting businesses and institutions, and others with similar needs—typically lasting up to two hours. Short-term users may be infrequent visitors to a location, so the parking installation needs to be readily visible and self-explanatory.





## SITE PLANNING

#### Location

Short-term bike parking should be visible from and close to the entrance it serves—50' or less is a good benchmark. Weather-protected parking makes bicycle transportation more viable for daily and year-round use, and it can reduce the motivation for users to bring wet bicycles into buildings. Area lighting is important for any location likely to see use outside of daylight hours.

## Security

All racks must be sturdy and well-anchored, but location determines the security of short-term parking as much as any other factor. Users seek out parking that is visible to the public, and they particularly value racks that can be seen from within the destination. Areas with high incidence of bicycle theft may justify specific security features such as specialty racks, tamper-proof mounting techniques, or active surveillance.

## Quantity

Many jurisdictions have ordinances governing bike parking quantity. APBP's full *Bicycle Parking Guidelines* offers complete recommendations for the amount and type of parking required in various contexts. In the absence of requirements, it's okay to start small—but bear in mind that perceived demand may be lower than the demand that develops once quality parking appears.

## **BIKE CORRALS**

Some cities with limited sidewalk space and strong bicycle activity place bike parking in on-street "bike corrals" located in the street area adjacent to the curb. Bike corrals can sometimes make use of on-street areas that are unsuitable for auto parking. When replacing a single auto parking space, a corral can generally fit 8 to 12 bicycles. APBP's full *Bicycle Parking Guidelines* provides details about designing and siting bike corrals. Appl.org

## LONG-TERM PARKING

Users of long-term parking generally place high value on security and weather protection. Long-term parking is designed to meet the needs of employees, residents, public transit users, and others with similar needs. These users typically park either at home or at a routine destination such as a workplace. They often leave their bicycles unmonitored for a period of several hours or longer, so they require security and weather protection that let them park without unreasonable concern for loss or damage.

Long-term parking can take a variety of forms, including a room within a residential building or workplace, a secure enclosure within a parking garage, or a cluster of bike lockers at a transit center. Some long-term parking is open to the public—such as a staffed secure enclosure at a transit hub—and some of it is on private property with access limited to employees, residents, or other defined user groups.



**BIKE LOCKERS** 



SHELTERED SECURE ENCLOSURE

## SITE PLANNING

## Location

Appropriate locations for long-term parking vary with context. Long-term parking users are typically willing to trade a degree of convenience for weather protection and increased security. Long-term installations emphasize physical security above public visibility. Signage may be needed for first-time users.

#### Security

Security is paramount for quality long-term parking. Access to parked bicycles can be limited individually (as with lockers) or in groups (as with locked bike rooms or other secure enclosures). Options for access control include user-supplied locks, keys, smart cards, and other technologies.

#### Quantity

Refer to local ordinances or the comprehensive APBP *Bicycle Parking Guidelines* to determine the amount and type of parking required for various contexts.

## SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR LONG-TERM PARKING

In many ways, short-term and long-term parking function similarly and are served by the same guidelines. Some exceptions are noted below.

## Density

The competition of uses for high-security and sheltered locations creates particular pressure on long-term parking to fit more bicycles in less space. When parking needs cannot be met with standard racks and spacing recommended in this guide, consider rack systems designed to increase parking density. See the high-density racks table on page 7. Note that increasing density without careful attention to user needs can create parking that excludes people because of age, ability, or bicycle type. This may result in people parking bicycles in other less desirable places or choosing not to bike at all.

## **Bicycle design variety**

Long-term parking facilities should anticipate the presence of a variety of bicycles and accessories, including—depending on context—recumbents, trailers, children's bikes, long-tails, and others. To accommodate trailers and long bikes, a portion of the racks should be on the ground and should have an additional 36" of in-line clearance.

## Performance criteria

The bike rack criteria in the next section apply to racks used in any installation, regardless of its purpose. Long-term installations often use lockers and group enclosures not discussed in this guide. Such equipment raises additional considerations that are discussed in detail in APBP's full *Bicycle Parking Guidelines.* App.org

## INSTALLATION

Selecting an appropriate installation surface and technique is key to creating bicycle parking that remains secure and attractive over time.

## **INSTALLATION SURFACE**

## **INSTALLATION FASTENERS**

When installing racks on existing concrete, consider the location and select appropriate fasteners. Drill any holes at least three inches from concrete edges or joints. Some locations benefit from security fasteners such as concrete spikes or tamper-resistant nuts on wedge anchors. Asphalt is too soft to hold wedge and spike anchors designed for use in concrete. Installing bike parking on asphalt typically requires freestanding racks and anchor techniques specific to asphalt.



## **INSTALLATION TECHNIQUES**

When installing racks on existing concrete, choose those with a surfacemount flange and install with a hammer drill according to the specifications of the mounting hardware selected. When pouring a new concrete pad, consider bike parking fixtures designed to be embedded in the concrete. Because replacing or modifying an embedded rack is complicated and costly, this installation technique requires particular attention to location, spacing, rack quantity, and material.



# BICYCLE RACK SELECTION

## PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR BIKE PARKING RACKS

These criteria apply to any rack for short- or long-term use.

CRITERIA	DETAILS
Supports bike upright without putting stress on wheels	The rack should provide two points of contact with the frame—at least 6" apart horizontally. Or, if a rack cradles a bicycle's wheel, it must also support the frame securely at one point or more. The rack's high point should be at least 32".
Accommodates a variety of bicycles and attachments	The racks recommended on page 6 ("racks for all applications") serve nearly all common bike styles and attachments—if installed with proper clearances (see placement section). Avoid designs and spacing that restrict the length, height, or width of bicycles, attachments, or wheels.
Allows locking of frame and at least one wheel with a U-lock	A closed loop of the rack should allow a single U-lock to capture one wheel and a closed section of the bike frame. Rack tubes with a cross section larger than 2" can complicate the use of smaller U-locks.
Provides security and longevity features appropriate for the intended location	Steel and stainless steel are common and appropriate materials for most general- use racks. Use tamper-resistant mounting hardware in vulnerable locations. Rack finish must be appropriate to the location (see materials and coatings section).
Rack use is intuitive	First-time users should recognize the rack as bicycle parking and should be able to use it as intended without the need for written instructions.

## **RACK STYLES**

The majority of manufactured bike racks fall into one of the categories on pages 6-8. Within a given style, there is wide variation among specific racks, resulting in inconsistent usability and durability. APBP recommends testing a rack before committing broadly to it.

## RACKS FOR ALL APPLICATIONS

When properly designed and installed, these rack styles typically meet all performance criteria and are appropriate for use in nearly any application.

## INVERTED U also called staple, loop

Common style appropriate for many uses; two points of ground contact. Can be installed in series on rails to create a free-standing parking area in variable quantities. Available in many variations.

POST & RING

Common style appropriate for many uses; one point of ground contact. Compared to inverted-U racks, these are less prone to unintended perpendicular parking. Products exist for converting unused parking meter posts.

WHEELWELL-SECURE



Includes an element that cradles one wheel. Design and performance vary by manufacturer; typically contains bikes well, which is desirable for long-term parking and in large-scale installations (e.g. campus); accommodates fewer bicycle types and attachments than the two styles above. This guide analyzes the most common styles of bike racks, but it is not exhaustive. Use the performance criteria on page 5 to evaluate rack styles not mentioned. Custom and artistic racks can contribute to site identity and appearance, but take care that such racks don't emphasize appearance over function or durability.

## **HIGH-DENSITY RACKS**

These rack styles do not meet all performance criteria but may be appropriate in certain constrained situations.

High-density rack systems can maximize the use of limited parking space, but they don't work for all users or bicycles. If installing these racks, reserve additional parking that accommodates bicycles with both wheels on the ground for users who are not able to lift a bicycle or operate a two-tier rack, or for bikes that are not compatible with two-tier or vertical racks.

STAGGERED WHEELWELL-SECURE



Variation of the wheelwell-secure rack designed to stagger handlebars vertically or horizontally to increase parking density. Reduces usability and limits kinds of bikes accommodated, but contains bikes well and aids in fitting more parking in constrained spaces.

## VERTICAL



Typically used for high-density indoor parking. Not accessible to all users or all bikes, but can be used in combination with on-ground parking to increase overall parking density. Creates safety concerns not inherent to on-ground parking.

TWO-TIER



Typically used for high-density indoor parking. Performance varies widely. Models for public use include lift assist for upper-tier parking. Recommend testing before purchasing. Creates safety concerns not inherent to on-ground parking, and requires maintenance for moving parts.

## **RACKS TO AVOID**

Because of performance concerns, APBP recommends selecting other racks instead of these.

<b>WAVE</b> also called undulating or serpentine	M	Not intuitive or user-friendly; real-world use of this style often falls short of expectations; supports bike frame at only one location when used as intended.
SCHOOLYARD also called comb, grid		Does not allow locking of frame and can lead to wheel damage. Inappropriate for most public uses, but useful for temporary attended bike storage at events and in locations with no theft concerns. Sometimes preferred by recreational riders, who may travel without locks and tend to monitor their bikes while parked.
COATHANGER		This style has a top bar that limits the types of bikes it can accommodate.
WHEELWELL	36	Racks that cradle bicycles with only a wheelwell do not provide suitable security, pose a tripping hazard, and can lead to wheel damage.
BOLLARD	¢	This style typically does not appropriately support a bike's frame at two separate locations.
SPIRAL		Despite possible aesthetic appeal, spiral racks have functional downsides related to access, real-world use, and the need to lift a wheel to park.
SWING ARM SECURED		These racks are intended to capture a bike's frame and both wheels with a pivoting arm. In practice, they accommodate only limited bike types and have moving parts that create unneeded complications.
### RACK MATERIALS & COATINGS

Most bicycle parking racks are made of carbon steel or stainless steel. Carbon steel requires a surface coating to resist rust while appropriate grades of stainless steel need no coating. Not all materials and coatings with the same name perform equally. Square tubing provides a security advantage as round tubing can be cut quietly with a hand-held pipe cutter. Before purchasing racks, talk to suppliers about your particular conditions and choose a material and coating that suit your needs. The following are common choices, depending on local considerations and preferences.

RACK MATERIAL - COATING	RELATIVE PURCHASE COST	DURABILITY	CAUTIONS
Carbon steel - galvanized	Usually lowest	Highly durable and low-maintenance; touch-up, if required, is easy and blends seamlessly	Utilitarian appearance; can be slightly rough to the touch
Carbon steel - powder coat* (TGIC or similar)	Generally marginally higher than galvanized	Poor durability	Requires ongoing maintenance; generally not durable enough for long service exposed to weather; not durable enough for large- scale public installations
Carbon steel - thermoplastic	Intermediate	Good durability	Appearance degrades over time with scratches and wear; not as durable as galvanized or stainless
Stainless steel - no coating needed, but may be machined for appearance	Highest	Low-maintenance and highest durability; most resistant to cutting	Can be a target for theft because of salvage value; maintaining appearance can be difficult in some locations

\* When applied to carbon steel, TGIC powder coat should be applied over a zinc-rich primer or galvanization to prevent the spread of rust beneath the surface or at nicks in the finish.

## PLACEMENT

The following minimum spacing requirements apply to some common installations of fixtures like inverted-U or post-and-ring racks that park one bicycle roughly centered on each side of the rack. Recommended clearances are given first, with minimums in parentheses where appropriate. In areas with tight clearances, consider wheelwell-secure racks (page 6), which can be placed closer to walls and constrain the bicycle footprint more reliably than inverted-U and post-and-ring racks. The footprint of a typical bicycle is approximately 6' x 2'. Cargo bikes and bikes with trailers can extend to 10' or longer.



## EXHIBIT H



The following policies of the Comprehensive Plan relate to the proposed updates to the bicycle parking sections of the Spokane Municipal Code. The full text of the Comprehensive Plan can be found at <a href="http://www.shapingspokane.org">www.shapingspokane.org</a>.

#### Chapter 3—Land Use

#### LU 4 – Transportation:

*Goal:* Promote a network of safe and cost-effective transportation alternatives, including transit, carpooling, bicycling, pedestrian-oriented environments, and more efficient use of the automobile, to recognize the relationship between land use and transportation.

#### LU 4.6 – Transit-Supported Development

Encourage transit-supported development, including a mix of employment, residential, and commercial uses, adjacent to high-performance transit stops.

*Discussion:* People are more likely to take transit to meet their everyday travel needs when transit service is frequent, at least every 15 minutes. Mixed-use development in these areas will enable less reliance on automobiles for travel, reduce parking needs, and support robust transit ridership. Land use regulations and incentives will encourage this type of development along high-performance transit corridors.

Transit-supported development should be encouraged through the application of development incentives, enhanced design measures, streetscape standards, parking standards, and potential changes in density and use. Each of these measures should be developed through a sub-area planning (or similar) process as each high-performance transit line is planned and developed. These sub-area planning processes should include neighborhood and stakeholder involvement and public participation processes to ensure that site-specific and neighborhood-context issues are addressed and benefits are maximized

#### **Chapter 4—Transportation**

#### TR Goal B: Provide Transportation Choices

Meet mobility needs by providing facilities for transportation options – including walking, bicycling, public transportation, private vehicles, and other choices.

**INTENT** The objective is to support the desires of the community to have transportation options by providing options for commuting, recreation and short trips using transit and active modes like walking and biking, as well as other choices such as rideshare, carpooling, taxi/for hire services, and private vehicles. Traditional transportation activities focus on the design and construction of facilities– yet travel behavior and mode choice are determined by a broader set of factors. The city shall continue to create new, and improve the existing multi-modal system, in order to accommodate the safe and efficient movement of all people. Effective transportation system management measures should be utilized to support safe and efficient travel for all users.

#### TR Goal C: Accommodate Access to Daily Needs and Priority Destinations

Promote land use patterns and construct transportation facilities and other urban features that advance Spokane's quality of life.

**INTENT** Land use type, mix, intensity, and distribution - as a result of on-going development of the city - greatly influences travel choices and decisions on connectivity, placement and investments of transportation facilities. Harmonize the key relationship between the places where people live, work, learn, access essential services, play, and shop and their need to have access to these places. Transportation investments should help drive economic development, energize activity centers, provide greater food security for residents, and produce quality places/neighborhoods/communities that retain value through time. Creating prosperous and walkable neighborhoods that offer opportunities for people to meet and connect means thinking of streets as people places as much as vehicle spaces. Spokane recognizes that transportation needs and travel choices may change over time as new alternatives become available. Other modes become viable when land uses are planned in a way that connects to multiple travel options and the distance between daily needs are closer. Coordinating appropriate transportation options and land uses is important. Transportation facilities should be maintained and improved in a manner that equitably serves Spokane.

#### TR Goal F: Enhance Public Health & Safety

Promote healthy communities by providing and maintaining a safe transportation system with viable active mode options that provides for the needs of all travelers, particularly the most vulnerable users.

**INTENT** Promote healthy communities in Spokane by implementing a transportation system that provides for the ability to reduce auto mode share, increases the number of active travelers and transit riders of all ages and abilities, and improves safety in all neighborhoods. Work with the Spokane Regional Health District and other agencies to promote active lifestyles through educational and encouragement programs and safe and accessible routes for active travelers of all ages and abilities in all neighborhoods. Consider the needs of all roadway users when applying traffic calming measures. Implementing safety efforts should be done in a comprehensive manner to safeguard against shifting traffic problems from one neighborhood to another. Spokane will seek to improve safety through the use of supporting federal and state programs, documents, and policies such as: FHWA Towards Zero Deaths (TZD), the FHWA Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), and Washington State Department of Transportation's (WSDOT) Target Zero: Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Spokane recognizes the importance of evaluating transportation projects using objective criteria to reflect community standards. An environmental justice approach strives to avoid decisions that can have a disproportionate adverse effect on the environmental and human health of traditionally underserved neighborhoods and vulnerable populations compared to the population as a whole.

#### TR 1 – Transportation Network For All Users

Design the transportation system to provide a complete transportation network for all users, maximizing innovation, access, choice, and options throughout the four seasons. Users include pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and persons of all abilities, as well as freight, emergency vehicles, and motor vehicle drivers. Guidelines identified in the Complete Streets Ordinance and other adopted plans and ordinances direct that roads and pathways will be designed, operated, and maintained to accommodate and promote safe and convenient travel for all users while acknowledging that not all streets must provide the same type of travel experience. All streets must meet mandated accessibility standards. The network for each mode

is outlined in the Master Bike Plan, Pedestrian Master Plan, Spokane Transit's Comprehensive Plan, and the Arterial Street map.

#### Key Actions

a. Make transportation decisions based upon the adopted policies, plans, design standards and guidelines, taking into consideration seasonal needs of users, system wide integration, and impacts on the relevant transportation planning decisions of neighboring jurisdictions.

b. Utilize relevant performance measures and adopted level of service standards to track the city's progress in developing the transportation network for all users.

c. Recognize and accommodate the special transportation needs of the elderly, children, and persons with disabilities in all aspects of, transportation planning, programming, and implementation.

i. Address the community's desire for a high level of accommodation for persons with disabilities by using the applicable and context sensitive local, state, or federal design standards in all projects within the city's right-of-way. City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan 4-20

ii. Implement the city's ADA Transition Plan, Pedestrian Plan and Bicycle Plan with a new focus on broader user groups

#### TR 5 – Active Transportation

Identify high-priority active transportation projects to carry on completion/ upgrades to the active transportation network.

#### Key Actions

- a. Ensure that the pedestrian and bicycle networks provide direct connections between major activity centers and transit stops and stations.
- b. The planning, design and construction of transportation projects should maintain or improve the accessibility and quality of existing and planned pedestrian and bicycle facilities.
- c. Implement a network of low vehicle volume, bike-friendly routes throughout the city.
- d. Support the development of a bike-share program within the city core.
- e. Seek grant funding for projects and programs such as Safe Routes to School, Transportation Alternatives, and other active transportation initiatives.
- f. Utilize the Bicycle Plan and the Pedestrian Plan to guide the location and type of bicycle and pedestrian facilities developed in Spokane to:
  - i. Provide safe, attractive, convenient and quality pedestrian and bicycle linkages to transit stops and stations.
  - ii. Provide safe, attractive, convenient and quality pedestrian and bicycle linkages between major activity areas where features that act as barriers prevent safe and convenient access.
  - iii. Provide safe, attractive, convenient and quality pedestrian and bicycle facilities and an aesthetically pleasing environment on bridges.

- iv. Enhance the pedestrian and bicycle environment along routes to schools to provide a safe walking and riding environment for children. Means of accomplishing this include:
  - encouraging school routes not to cross arterials;
  - having user-activated signals at arterial intersections;
  - implementing safety patrols with traffic-control signs at busy intersections;
  - working with schools to promote walking groups; and
  - strengthening and enforcing pedestrian right-of-way laws.
- v. Enhance the pedestrian, bicycle and transit environment along routes to desirable destinations for seniors.
- vi. Enhance the pedestrian, bicycle and transit environment along routes in communities with a high percentage of underserved populations.
- vii. Provide safe bicycle and pedestrian access to city parks from surrounding neighborhoods.
- g. Provide viable facilities for active transportation modes as alternatives to driving.
  - i. Ensure gaps in the bicycle network are identified and prioritized to complete and expand the connected bicycle network.
  - ii. Ensure sidewalk gaps are not present and provide for safe pedestrian circulation within the city. Wherever possible, this should be in the form of sidewalks with a pedestrian buffer strip or other separation from the street.
  - iii. Use pedestrian safety strategies on high bicycle and pedestrian traffic corridors.
  - iv. Establish and maintain crosswalks at key locations where active transportation facilities cross collector and arterial roadways.
- h. Provide secure parking for bicyclists at key destinations (i.e. Downtown, identified Centers and Corridors, schools and universities, community centers, key transit locations) and ensure future developments include bicycle parking on site that adheres to city-established design and siting standards.
- i. Work with local and regional partners to implement the "Spokane County Wayfinding and Gateway Feature Placement & Design Plan".
- j. Coordinate with other departments and partner agencies to combine related projects for the purpose of cost-sharing.

#### TR 6 – Commercial Center Access

Improve multi-modal transportation options to and within designated district centers, neighborhood centers, employment centers, corridors, and downtown as the regional center.

#### Key Actions

a. Maintain Street Design Standards and Guidelines to support pedestrian activity and pedestrian-supportive amenities such as shade trees, multimodal design, street furniture, and other similar amenities.

- b. Maintain street design guidelines reflecting best practices to implement designs that effectively manage traffic flow within designated Centers and Corridors while ensuring designs correspond to and support local context.
- c. Designate and develop neighborhood greenways and low vehicle volume bicycle routes that parallel major arterials through designated Centers and Corridors.
- d. Establish and maintain bicycle parking guidelines and standards for Centers and Corridors to provide sufficient and appropriate short- and long-term bicycle parking.
- e. Provide transit supportive features (e.g. sidewalks, curb ramps, transit benches, etc.) in support with STA

#### TR 9 – Promote Economic Opportunity

Focus on providing efficient and affordable multi-modal access to jobs, education, and workforce training to promote economic opportunity in the city's designated growth areas, develop "Great Streets" that enhance commerce and attract jobs.

#### Key Actions

- a. Ensure street designs support business activity-and thus jobs creation-to ensure that travelers feel comfortable to stop and shop.
- b. Coordinate closely with STA and area colleges and universities to provide convenient, cost-efficient transit service for students.
- c. Use new technology when feasible to increase efficiency in all transportation modes, such as:
  - i. Intelligent feedback to users;
  - ii. Dynamic traffic signals;
  - iii. Priority transit routes and signaling; and,
  - iv. Information sharing about capacity.
- d. Coordinate closely with STA to identify opportunities for service improvements in designated land use areas.
- e. Coordinate with Visit Spokane and other relevant groups to support and promote bicycle tourism in the city and region.
- f. Partner with business entities and organizations to educate them and their members on the economic benefits of transit and active transportation oriented development.
- g. Implement the city's bicycle master plan for improved city-wide mobility.

#### TR 20 – Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordination

Coordinate bicycle and pedestrian planning to ensure that projects are developed to meet the safety and access needs of all users.

#### Key Actions

- a. Coordinate City of Spokane departments and other agencies to efficiently provide transportation alternatives and facilitate the accomplishment of the city's transportation priorities.
- b. Incorporate bicycle/pedestrian facilities as early as possible into development and roadway plans to reduce costs and take advantage of cooperative opportunities.
- c. Seek funding sources for active transportation projects.
- d. Maintain Street Design Standards and Guidelines to ensure that public and private developments meet a variety of transportation needs. Refer to national references (such as NACTO) for facilities design when updating the standards and guidelines.
- e. Develop transportation-related educational programs for both nonmotorized and motorized transportation users.
- f. Consistently update and implement the pedestrian and bicycle master plans for active transportation users.

# EXHIBIT I

# EXHIBIT I: ALTERNATIVE USE TABLE, USING 5% MODE SHARE GOAL<sup>1</sup>

		TABLE 17C.230-3 BICYCLE PARKING BY L			
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	RESIDENTIAL CATEGOR REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG- TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Group Living		1 per 5 residents	2	1 per 2 residents	1
Residential Household Living	Multifamily dwellings of five or more units	1 per 5 units	2	0.5 per unit	1
		COMMERCIAL CATEGOR	RIES		
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG- TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Adult Business		1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Commercial Outdoor Recreation		1 per 2 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	1 per 15 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	1
Commercial Parking [4]		1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	1
Drive-through Facility		None	0	None	0
Major Event Entertainment		1 per 20 seats	2	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adapted from the Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals *Bicycle Parking Guidelines 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition*.

	General Office	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 6,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Office	Medical/Dental Office	1 per 8,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Quick Vehicle Servicing		1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	Retail, Personal Service, Repair-oriented	1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	Restaurants and Bars	1 per 2,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Retail Sales and	Health Clubs, Gyms, Lodges, Meeting Rooms and similar continuous entertainment, such as Arcades and Bowling Alleys	1 per 2,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Service	Temporary Lodging	1 per 20 rentable rooms Additionally: 1 per 4,000 sq. ft. of conference/meeting rooms	2	1 per 20 rentable rooms	1
	Theaters	1 per 20 seats	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft.	1
	Retail sales and services of large items, such as appliances, furniture and equipment	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Mini-storage Facilities		2 per development	2	None	0
Vehicle Repair		1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
		INDUSTRIAL CATEGOR			
USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG- TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Industrial Services, Railroad Yards, Wholesale Sales		4 per development	2	1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1

	4 per development	2	1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	4 per development	2	1 per 12,000 sq. ft.	1
	4 per development	2	1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	INSTITUTIONAL CATEGO	RIES		
SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG- TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	1
	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	1 per 8,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 6,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
	1-3 amenities= 4 spaces 4-7 amenities= 8 spaces 7-12 amenities= 16 spaces 12+ amenities= 24 spaces Additionally: 1 per 10 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	None	0
	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is	2	1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Grade, Elementary, Junior High	2 per classroom	2	1 per classroom	1
		2	1 per classroom	
	SPECIFIC USES	4 per development     4 per development     4 per development     INSTITUTIONAL CATEGO     SPECIFIC USES     REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]     1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)     1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area     1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area     1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area     1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor	4 per development 2   4 per development 2   4 per development 2   1 4 per development 2   INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORIES   SPECIFIC USES REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3] BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]   1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not) 2   1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2   1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2   1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2   2 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2   2 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2   2 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2   2 Additionally: 1 per 10 vehicle spaces 2   1 per 10 vehicle spaces 2   1 per 10 vehicle parking is required by code or not) 2   1 per 20 vehicle parking is required by code or not) 2	4 per development 2 of floor area of floor area   4 per development 2 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area 1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area   INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORIES   SPECIFIC USES   REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]   BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]   1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)   1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not) 1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)   1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2 1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2 1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 10 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2 1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area 2 1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 10 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not) 1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 10 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not) 2 1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area   1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether

USE CATEGORIES	SPECIFIC USES	REQUIRED SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	BASELINE SHORT-TERM BICYCLE PARKING [3]	REQUIRED LONG- TERM BICYCLE PARKING	BASELINE LONG-TERM BICYCLE PARKING
Agriculture		None	None	None	None
Aviation and Surface Passenger Terminals		None	None	None	None
<b>Detention Facilities</b>		None	None	None	None
Essential Public Facilities		None	None	None	None
Wireless Communication Facilities		None	None	None	None
Rail Lines and Utility Corridors		None	None	None	None

[1] Parks and Open Space amenities, for the purpose of this section, are defined as park facilities such as playgrounds, ball fields, and splash pads. These do not include any natural area amenities such as a habitat viewing station.

[2] Bicycle rack requirements based on amenities should be located near the amenities the racks are intended to serve.

[3] SMC 17C.230.200(C)(1) Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.

[4] Commercial parking may only charge for the use of the long-term bicycle storage.

TABLE 17C.230-4 CENTER AND CORRIDOR ZONE REQUIRED BICYCLE PARKING SPACE FOR ALLOWED USES						
CENTERS AND CORRIDORS     REQUIRED SHORT-TERM     BASELINE SHORT-     REQUIRED LONG-TERM     BASELINE LON       USE CATEGORIES     BICYCLE PARKING     TERM BICYCLE     BICYCLE PARKING     TERM BICYCLE     TERM BICYCLE PARKING     TERM BICYCLE     PARKING [3]     PARKING						
Residential	1 per 5 units	2	0.5 per unit	1		
Hotels, including Bed and Breakfast Inns	1 per 20 rentable rooms Additionally: 1 per 4,000 sq. ft. of conference/meeting rooms	2	1 per 20 rentable rooms	1		

Commercial, Financial, Retail, Personal Services	1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Eating and Drinking Establishments	1 per 2,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Restaurants without Cocktail Lounges	1 per 2,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Professional and Medical Offices	1 per 8,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Entertainment, Museum and Cultural	1 per 20 seats or 1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area, whichever is greater	2	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Government, Public Service or Utility Structures, Social Services and Education	1 per 8,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 6,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Religious Institutions	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	1 per 13,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Parks and Open Space [1]	1-3 amenities= 4 spaces 4-7 amenities= 8 spaces 7-12 amenities= 16 spaces 12+ amenities= 24 spaces Additionally: 1 per 10 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	None	0
Structured Parking [2]	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	1
Public Parking Lot	1 per 20 vehicle spaces (whether vehicle parking is required by code or not)	2	None	0
Limited Industrial (if entirely within a building)	4 per development	2	1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Heavy Industrial	4 per development	2	1 per 12,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Drive-through Businesses on Pedestrian Streets	2 per development	2	None	0
Motor Vehicles Sales, Rental, Repair or Washing	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 4,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1

Automotive Parts and Tires (with exterior storage or display)	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Gasoline Sales (serving more than six vehicles)	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Gasoline Sale (serving six vehicles or less)	1 per 20,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Self-storage or Warehouse	2 per development	2	None	0
Adult Business (subject to chapter 17C.305 SMC special provisions)	1 per 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Winery and Microbreweries	1 per 2,000 sq. ft. of floor area	2	1 per 10,000 sq. ft. of floor area	1
Mobile Food Vending	None	0	None	0

[1] Bicycle rack requirements based on amenities should be located near the amenities the racks are intended to serve.

[2] Short-term parking within structured vehicle parking facilities must be on the ground floor and within the structure. There is no requirement for the parking to be in a secured enclosure.

[3] SMC 17C.230.200(C)(1) Bicycle racks designed to accommodate two bicycles, such as an inverted-u rack, are considered two (2) bicycle parking spaces.