

# WEST CENTRAL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSESSMENT REPORT

---

March 28, 2005

Prepared by  
Marla Bates, Neighborhood Planner  
Melissa Wittstruck, Neighborhood Planner  
Planning Services Department  
City of Spokane  
(509) 625-6060

This neighborhood assessment report was prepared as part of the City of Spokane's neighborhood planning process. In late 2003, 13 Spokane neighborhoods began planning, using the City's "Neighborhood Planning Guidebook" as a framework. A "Neighborhood Planning Assessment" is Step 3 of Phase II of the City's neighborhood planning process (see the "Guidebook"). This report documents the results of this Neighborhood Planning Assessment for the West Central neighborhood. More information about the City's planning activities, including the Neighborhood Planning Guidebook, can be found at the Planning Services Department's website: [www.spokaneplanning.org](http://www.spokaneplanning.org)

## **Planning Services Department Mission Statement**

*We work with citizens and leaders to achieve the community's desired future,  
measuring our progress by the vitality of Spokane's economy, the health of its physical environment, and  
opportunities for all citizens to improve their quality of life.*

## **Table of Contents**

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Neighborhood Facts .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Population .....	1
Housing .....	2
Economics .....	5
Education .....	7
Community Development Block Grant Neighborhood .....	8
Code Enforcement .....	9
Crime .....	11
Parks and Open Space .....	13
Traffic.....	14
<b>Assessment Review .....</b>	<b>15</b>
Appendix A Acknowledgements .....	19
Appendix B Neighborhood Assessment Matrix .....	20
Appendix C Neighborhood Council Map.....	28
Appendix D City of Spokane Department Contact Sheet .....	33
Appendix E Assessment Report Data .....	35
Appendix F Traffic Flow Maps .....	47
Appendix G Accident Reports .....	53

## Introduction

The City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan is a long-range (20 years) general policy document that provides overall guidance for the future planning and development of the City of Spokane. City departments use this document as a guide to ensure that their actions reinforce the long-term goals of the city. The Comprehensive Plan was developed under the requirements for the Growth Management Act (GMA) of 1990 and was adopted by the City Council on May 21, 2001.

Chapter 11 Neighborhoods of the Comprehensive Plan opens the door to the neighborhood planning process. The City's first Assessment Meetings were held throughout the month of March 2004. These neighborhood planning assessment activities implement the Comprehensive Plan through center planning, resolve joint planning issues in the city's unincorporated urban growth area, and identify and address neighborhood issues and opportunities.

## Assessment

The assessment process will help the neighborhoods identify issues that are not already addressed in the Comprehensive Plan—remaining neighborhood issues that may be addressed through further planning at the neighborhood level. In addition, the process will help to determine the type of plan or action needed to address the neighborhood issues.

The assessment meeting is a brainstorming exercise that is used for the neighborhood to identify as many issues as possible. The issues and needs will likely fall into general categories that differ neighborhood by neighborhood.

On March 4, 2004 the West Central Neighborhood, along with three other neighborhood groups, asked themselves what their issues and needs were. A complete list of issues identified at the Assessment Meeting is available in the Assessment Matrix, Appendix B.

Some basic information is provided in this report that was not available at the time of the Assessment Meeting. This information will help frame the current condition of the West Central Neighborhood and enable the neighborhood to better address its issues, preserve its assets, and prepare for a prosperous future.

## Neighborhood Facts

The data presented here is based on the 1990 and 2000 Census Data, the Police Department, Building and Code Enforcement, the Office of Neighborhood Services, the Engineering Services Department, and the Planning Services Department. Census information in this report is generalized because census blocks do not follow neighborhood council boundaries. Therefore a weighted average process was performed based on area. The result is a weighted average of non-summary data. This data is an advisory tool intended for general public inquiry only. Crime statistics apply only to neighborhood area within the limits of the City of Spokane.

### Population




Table P 1

The West Central Neighborhood has 4% of the City's population and comprises 3% of the land area out of a total of 26 different neighborhood areas.

Table P 2 shows the percent change in population from 1990 to 2000. While the City as a whole increased its population by 9%, West Central experienced a gain of 15%, or an increase of 1,227 residents since 1990.




Table P 2




Table P 3

Table P 3 shows 4% fewer adults over the age of 65 than citywide and about the same number of children under the age of 18.

The Racial Distribution for the West Central Neighborhood and citywide are very comparable. This information is available in the Appendix E West Central 2000 Census.

## Housing

A household includes all the people who occupy a housing unit. A housing unit is a house, an apartment, a mobile home or trailer, a group of rooms, or a single room that is occupied (or, if vacant, is intended for occupancy) as separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live separately from any other people in the building and which have direct access from the outside of the building or through a common hall. The occupants may be a single family, one person living alone, two or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated people who share living arrangements.




Table H 1

The West Central Neighborhood has a lower occupancy rate and a higher vacancy rate than citywide.

Table H 2 shows housing ownership in the West Central Neighborhood is 12% lower than citywide. West Central has that same difference (12%) as renter occupied housing.

Table H 3 shows the percent change in owner and renter occupied housing units between 1990 and 2000. The West Central Neighborhood has dramatically increased the number of renter occupied units compared to citywide. For owner occupied units West Central gained 13% and the city gained 19%. West Central increased its renter occupied units by 8% over the citywide percent change. Remember Table P 2 showed that there was a large increase in population change in the neighborhood. The owner and renter occupancies reflect that trend.

Owner Vs. Renter




Table H 2

% Change for Housing Units (1990-2000)




Table H 3

Average Household Size is a measure obtained by dividing the number of people in households by the number of households. The Average Family Size is a measure obtained by dividing the number of people in families by the total number of families (or family householders).

A family consists of a householder and one or more other people living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. A household can contain only one family for purposes of tabulations. Not all households contain families since a household may comprise a group of unrelated people or one person living alone.

Household Size




Table H 4

Table H 4 shows the average household size is 2.44 - a slightly larger number of people living in the same household than citywide (2.32).

Table H5 shows that the major demographic differences in West Central compared to citywide are lower percentages of family households (3%), married couple families (9%), and married couple households with children under 18 (3%). Much lower percentages of female householders, female householders with children under 18, and non-family households than citywide accompany these trends.

The building trends for the city have outpaced the West Central Neighborhood by almost 9% from 1990 to 2000. West Central is an inner-city neighborhood. Its southern and western border abuts the Spokane River, to the north Indiana Street and bordered on the east by Monroe Street. A steady decline in the building trend since the 1940's indicates that vacant developable land in the neighborhood is relatively unavailable as compared to some other areas of the city.

Table H 7 shows that the West Central Neighborhood had 10% fewer residents in the same house in 1995 than citywide. They also had 12% more residents in a different house in 1995. These numbers could give a general indication that the neighborhood has a higher number of people changing homes more often than citywide. The higher numbers of renter occupied homes as seen in Table H 2 and H 3 also support this.

## Households by Type




Table H 5




Table H 6




Table H 7

## Economics

The economic trends in neighborhoods and citywide can be initially discussed on a superficial level with information such as the number of families in poverty, income, and employment status. Other economic data is available from the U.S. Census Bureau at [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov), the City of Spokane Economic Development web site at <http://www.spokanecityed.org/links.asp> for Chamber of Commerce information, INTEC, SNEDA, AHANA, and the Downtown Spokane Partnership, for example. The following tables illustrate data available from the census and an initial look into the economic health of the neighborhood.

West Central has 41% of all parents in a household in the work force compared with 62% for citywide.

Table E 1 shows the number of families below the 1999 poverty level. Compared to a citywide 11% of families below the poverty level, West Central neighborhood reported 20% of its families below poverty level. Information on how poverty status is determined for census purposes can be found at the census link above.

Table E 2 shows the income for the neighborhood and citywide per capita for persons aged 15 and over.

Table E 3 shows the employment status of neighborhood residents compared to citywide.




Table E 1




Table E 2




Table E 3




Table E 4




Table E 5

Table E 4 shows that the travel time to work is longer for West Central residents compared to citywide. For analysis, transportation modes and travel destination could be obtained. The percentage of income assigned to transportation expenses would also be a useful piece of economic information.

Table E 5 shows the percentage of the City's average household income by Neighborhood for 1990 and 2000. The West Central average household income was 65% of the City's average household income in 1990; it increased

to 69% in 2000. The City of Spokane's average household income in 1990 was \$28,713; it was \$43,737 in 2000. A visual representation of this table is available in the Appendix.

Table E 6 shows large category occupation information for neighborhood residents. Compared to citywide, West Central residents have higher percentages of residents employed in service, construction, and production than citywide. A breakdown of wage information for the neighborhood would add depth to this information.




Table E 6



## Education




Table ED 1




Table ED 2

Table ED 1 and ED 2 show that school enrollment is very similar to that of the citywide school enrollment. West Central has 4% more high school student enrollment and 5% less college or graduate school enrollment than citywide.

Table P 3 (page 2) shows that there are similar numbers of children under the age of 18 in the neighborhood as citywide.

## Educational Attainment of Persons 25 yrs & Over




Table ED 3

Educational attainment for both the city and the neighborhood are close. West Central has 5% less people with a high school graduate degree or higher and 12% less people with a bachelor's degree or higher than citywide.

## Community Development Block Grant Neighborhood

The West Central Neighborhood, along with 13 other neighborhoods in the city, is given the status of a Community Development Block Grant Neighborhood. The City of Spokane receives Federal Funds to disburse as needed to low and moderate-income neighborhoods. Each neighborhood is allocated a certain amount of CDBG funds by the Community Development Board. The Community Development Board is an advisory body to the Spokane City Council and is comprised of citizen volunteers.

The National Community Development Block Grant Objectives are that the use of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds must meet one of the program's national objectives:

- Benefiting low and moderate income persons
- Addressing slums and blight
- Meeting a particularly urgent community development need

The City of Spokane's mission statement reflects only the first objective.

Table C 1 shows where the CDBG funds were spend for West Central by type. Table C 2 shows the expenditures for all 13 CDBG neighborhoods for Single Family Rehabilitation for 2003. Each neighborhood decides on the amount to allocate for single-family housing rehabilitation and the CD Board matches that allocation on a 3 to 1 ratio. Table C 2 shows that the larger the neighborhood, the larger the funds. Total single-family home rehabilitation expenditure for 2003 came to \$1,276,830.

West Central also has \$30,030 CDBG funds available for sidewalk improvements.

DESCRIPTION	GRANT	EXPENDITURE
Neighborhood Entrance Improvements	\$10,000	\$0
Street Trees	\$8,656	(\$1,950)
Community Center Support	\$143,701	(\$143,199)
Neighborhood Communication		(\$65)
WCCC Capital Improvement	\$29,800	(\$13,843)
Neighborhood Plan Update		(\$5,000)
<b>WEST CENTRAL TOTAL</b>	<b>\$192,157</b>	<b>(\$164,057)</b>

Table C 1




Table C 2

## Code Enforcement

Code enforcement came up as an issue for all neighborhoods who went through the Assessment process. Code Enforcement is a collaborative effort between a multitude of different agencies that provide services in specific areas. The City of Spokane has a Code Enforcement Department that deals with the complaints regarding zoning, solid waste, cars on private property, buildings, and other miscellaneous complaints. Code Enforcement also funnels countless numbers of complaints to other agencies such as the Police Department for issues such as cars parked on public roads, and criminal activity, to Spokanimal for stray dogs and cats, barking dogs, bite cases, DOA pickups, investigations, rescues, inspections, and other related activity. Specific complaints for basketball hoops on public roads are directed to the City of Spokane Engineering Services Department.

Table CE 1 shows the number of new complaints the Code Enforcement Department received in 2004, breaks it down by type, and shows the complaints on a per capita basis or the number of complaints received per 1,000 people in each area. The Code Enforcement Department has labeled areas in the city by Area 1-5, which do not directly correspond with Neighborhood Council Boundaries. The West Central Neighborhood is located in Area 5 which is comprised of: Balboa/South Indian Trail, Emerson/Garfield, North Hill, North Indian Trail, Northwest, and West Central with a total population of 63,327.

Table CE 2 shows the number of complaints by type for Area 5 that were new, field inspected, enforcement ordered, and completed.

Table CE 3 shows the number of new complaints by month citywide. Solid waste is one of the largest code enforcement issues dealt with in the city and they are in highest number in March and April. The miscellaneous code enforcement issues are highest in June, July, and August.

## New Complaints by Type per 1,000 people for 2004: Area 5




Table: CE 1





Table: CE 2

### New Complaints by Type for 2004 Citywide



Vacant Bldgs.	13	14	16	16	22	30	12	17	13	18	14
Cars	14	23	38	66	64	53	31	42	30	20	23
Solid Waste	61	89	163	185	142	129	142	134	107	113	80
Zoning	25	22	29	47	38	54	59	33	28	55	26
Misc.	33	38	37	35	48	82	128	78	42	45	28

Table: CE 3

## Crime

Reports of criminal activity have been compiled by the Spokane Police Department for the year 2003. The 2004 data will not be complete until February 2005. Calls for service are recorded by the Police Department and are generally broken down into the following categories: broken windows, administrative details, alarms, arrest functions, civil, deprivation of liberty, domestic/family violence, emergency responders, informational reports, juvenile, mental/substance, missing/endangered person, noise disturbance, nuisance person, order disturbance, property damage, public decency, sex crimes, special response teams, suspicious circumstance, theft, traffic/parking, vice crimes, and violence. Table CR 1 depicts the calls for service per capita (for every 1,000 people) for the neighborhood compared to citywide. Further information is available in an appendix or with the Police Station (contact David Lund at 835-4513).

Table CR 1 provides a perspective for the Calls for Service information using a per capita number. The following table, for example, shows that there are 121 calls for service for Emergency Responders per thousand people in the neighborhood compared to the 74 calls for service per 1,000 people citywide for Emergency Response. A larger number of people have called for domestic/family violence, and the total number of calls for service is almost double that of citywide per capita calls for service.

The second set of data refers to the actual reports that document each crime investigation. The documented data, or UCR's are what the FBI publishes on an annual nationwide basis. There are sixty-two, very detailed categories for the UCR's. There are seven basic categories: assault, burglary, homicide, larceny, rape, robbery, and vehicle theft. Each category has many levels of detail, for example there are five categories for assault as follows: Assault, Aggravated; Assault, Firearm; Assault, Knife; Assault, Non-Aggravated; Assault, O/Dangerous Weapon.

Calls for Service by Type per 1,000 people (2003)		
	West Central	City of Spokane
Neighborhood Population	8,006	195,629
Broken Windows	3	2
Administrative Details	75	40
Alarms	31	32
Arrest Functions	32	11
Civil	1	1
Deprivation of Liberty	45	23
Domestic/Family Violence	104	42
Emergency Responders	121	74
Informational Reports	78	42
Juvenile	29	13
Mental/Substance	20	10
Missing/Endangered Persons	69	31
Noise Disturbance	55	30
Nuisance Person	31	14
Order Disturbance	121	54
Property Damage	49	30
Public Decency	3	2
Sex Crimes	6	2
Special Response Teams	1	0
Suspicious Circumstances	92	54
Theft	183	127
Traffic/Parking	92	83
Vice Crimes	21	10
Violence	57	24
Total Calls for Service	1,320	749

Table CR 1

The 62 categories have been boiled down to eight components. Reported crime by type per capita shows the type of crime committed per 1,000 people in the neighborhood and in the city. Table CR 2 shows the West Central Neighborhood has 24 more assaults per capita, 13 more burglaries per capita, and 43 more total crimes reported on a per capita basis than citywide.




Table CR 2

## Parks and Open Space

Every community needs areas and facilities for comprehensive recreational programs that would include physical education, organized athletics and leisure time pursuits. These programs, which are essential to the well being of the people, cannot be effective unless a wide variety of indoor and outdoor facilities are provided. These facilities also help enhance and maintain sound community values.

As the City's population grows over time and the land becomes more and more developed it becomes increasingly difficult to acquire lands and support existing and additional parks and open space with available funding. This is a challenge the Parks and Recreation Department is well aware.

Table P&O 2 shows the name, type, and size of parks in the neighborhood.

Table P&O 3 shows the types of parks available per capita in the West Central Neighborhood and citywide. It shows that there the neighborhood has every type of park within its boundaries.

The city measures Level of Service (LOS) by comparing the acres of parks per every thousand residents. The proposed (as of 2001) LOS for neighborhood parks is 1.17 acres per one thousand residents, 1.49 acres of community parks, 2.59 acres for major parks, and .03 acres for neighborhood mini-parks. The LOS for each park type is totaled to 5.28 parks per thousand residents. The National Recreation and Parks Association (NRPA) has a standard LOS of 11.25 acres per thousand residents. Table P&O 1 compares the LOS of the NRPA, the City of Spokane, and the West Central Neighborhood.

NRPA	City of Spokane	West Central Neighborhood
11.25	5.25	8.67

Table P&O 1

The LOS was obtained from the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan and is verified in the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces Plan adopted in April 28, 2000.

The West Central Neighborhood has a higher level of service in community parks, neighborhood mini-parks, neighborhood parks, and an overall higher level of service than citywide.

Park Name	Type of Park	Acres
West Central Community Center	Community & Senior Centers	1.53
Sinto Senior Center	Community & Senior Centers	0.13
A.M. Cannon Park	Community Parks	8.25
Downriver Park	Conservation Land	143.95
Herbert M. Hamblen Conservation Area	Conservation Land	11.63
High Bridge Park	Major Parks	44.21
Skeet-So-Mish Park	Neighborhood Mini-Parks	0.28
Dutch Jakes Park	Neighborhood Mini-Parks	0.45
Summit Boulevard Parkway	Parkways	24.28
North Maple Street Parkway	Parkways	0.42
A.M. Cannon Pool	Swimming Pools	0.21
	Total Acres	235.34

Table P&O 2




Table P&O 3

## Traffic

During the Assessment meeting issues were stated that directly related to traffic. Road design, too much on street parking, safety, and speed were all mentioned. Intersections identified during the Assessment Meeting include:

Intersection	Issue
Maple & Boone	Left turn arrow going onto Maple (off Boone) is not long enough
Boone/Maple-Ash intersections	Accidents
Maple /Ash	No ability to access Broadway from Maple/Ash
Boone	Traffic circulation around Boone with stop lights (one car turns per light)

Table TR 1

Further research has been completed to address the street intersection issues stated. Traffic counts (table TR 3) from 1992 to 2004 have been included as well as the number of accidents (table TR 4) at each intersection from 1998 to 2004. It is very important that the number of accidents be viewed wholistically, or analyzed with regard to, the traffic count, time of day, season, and other driving influences to better determine if specific intersections are truly an issue or are a perceived issue.

Table TR 2 shows the overall number of accidents by type per intersection. It shows that, of all the accidents, Boone and Maple had 33% rear end accidents and 29% right angle accidents and Ash and Boone had less of a difference between accident types.

Type of Accident	Rear End	Fixed Object/ Parked Vehicle	Right Angle	Pedestrian/ Cyclist Involved	Approach Turn	Sideswipe	Head On	Backing	Total Accidents
Ash @ Boone Ave	6	1	7	7	11	9	2	1	44
	14%	2%	16%	16%	25%	20%	5%	2%	100%
Boone Ave @ Maple St	16	5	14	7	4	3	0	0	49
	33%	10%	29%	14%	8%	6%	0	0	100%

Table TR 2

### Intersection Accidents




Table TR 3

### Intersection Accidents




Table TR 4



## Assessment Review

During the assessment meeting the participants were asked to list and discuss all of the issues they face in their neighborhood. Questions such as, “If all issues for your neighborhood were addressed, would this be the neighborhood you want?” This portion of the Assessment Report breaks the issues into three categories: Things WE can do by ourselves, Things we can do with a Partner, and Things the City can do.

All of the issues stated here are defined in more detail in the attached Assessment Matrix. The most important issues are listed first in each category.

### Things we can do **Ourselves**:

- Dilapidated housing (absentee landlords)
- No process to get issues resolved
- Stigma attached to NBHD because of low-income status
- Clean up properties & murals (“Pride”) (issue—no pride in neighborhood, no accountability for current conditions of properties)
- No campaign to clean city, citywide effort
- Neighborhood is dirty
- Lack of representation of low-income residents in neighborhood groups
- Slum Lords/Tenants—Out!
- More recreation opportunities need to be available
- Employment opportunities for disenfranchised youth
- Pedestrian/Bike friendly streets are not available, don’t exit
- Maple/Ash Unsightly to persons traveling through
- Alleys are ugly!
- No separation from sidewalks to fences, attacking dogs a safety issue
- Drug Deals out in open (slow/no response-no one comes)
- Need more “social” or “play” activities
- Preserve small business
- Lack of business interest
- Not enough owner-occupied housing (54.4% rental and 45.4% owner)
- Lack of pride in community-clean up properties
- Too many dirt alleys

- Dogs at large
- Need more play space
- Nothing for youth to do—need youth activities

### Things we can do with a **Partner**:

- ◆ Lack of jobs & businesses in neighborhood
- ◆ Long Term Boarded &/or abandoned properties
- ◆ Drug houses
- ◆ Quality, Accessible, Affordable Child Care is not available
- ◆ Crime Block Watch, need to implement and enhance
- ◆ Economic opportunities for disenfranchised youth
- ◆ Brown Field clean up (vacant/polluted land in neighborhood)
- ◆ Avista Utility Bills are too high
- ◆ No post office
- ◆ Loss of single-family housing
- ◆ Housing incentives for someone outside teachers/police is not being used
- ◆ Walkability of the neighborhood needs to be maintained or enhanced
- ◆ Centennial Trail not complete in W. Central
- ◆ Lack of fish in the river
- ◆ Need “Beat Cops” with dogs checking backpacks & persons throwing out probable cause
- ◆ Spokane River is dirty, not clean, both the water and the shoreline
- ◆ Maple/Ash Speedway
- ◆ Put Nat Park back
- ◆ Fish can’t get up the falls
- ◆ Drug use—Drug houses & in Alleys, high level of felons & sex offenders
- ◆ Too much crime, very visual, common place
- ◆ Boyfriends of welfare moms; causes violence, yelling
- ◆ Settling the Metropolitan Bankruptcy & develop the river bluff
- ◆ Wind power & solar power test site
- ◆ Relocation of Head Start Program (from WCCC)-need to relocate?
- ◆ Need a business voice (something to improve communication between business and politicians)

- ◆ Need to close meth houses
- ◆ No sidewalks on Maple Street Bridge
- ◆ Business loading-trucks need to find another route
- ◆ Efficient Public Transportation (isn't available)
- ◆ Cars are inoperable and parked for extended periods of time
- ◆ Centennial Trail is not complete
- ◆ Centennial Trail stops in middle of neighborhood
- ◆ (Not designated for) Centennial Trail Loop
- ◆ (Need) Two trails-upper/lower bluff
- ◆ Quality, Affordable housing is not available
- ◆ Off-street parking for residential areas (not enough available, people park on the street)
- ◆ Lots of places for rent—too many vacancies
- ◆ No lighting!
- ◆ Design controls or incentives to enhance architecture/character of housing & businesses in Neighborhood
- ◆ Graffiti-gangs
- ◆ Too many un-kept buildings (especially on Ash)
- ◆ No street/alley lighting
- ◆ Underdeveloped Park Property
- ◆ Incomplete Centennial Trail in W. Central
- ◆ There is no Street/Alley lighting
- ◆ Alleys; paved, cleaned up, move & bury utility poles
- ◆ Three Springs needs to be cleaned up
- ◆ Brown Field clean up (vacant/polluted land in neighborhood)
- ◆ Not environmentally friendly neighborhood. No green building standards, river quality accountability, alternative energy source options/incentives
- ◆ Spokanimal—no/slow response
- ◆ Public Safety
- ◆ Centennial Trail incomplete in W. Central
- ◆ Law enforcement accountability (slow/no response time)
- ◆ Boarded up buildings/drugs
- ◆ Transient camps & associated criminal activity
- ◆ Redevelopment/opportunity of Industrial & Commercial property

- ◆ Economic Development needs to be enhanced
- ◆ Closer services-Safeway or Target
- ◆ Boarded up commercial buildings
- ◆ Better use of industrial park
- ◆ No support for local business
- ◆ Need business enticing
- ◆ Lack of intellectual properties

### Things the **City** should do:

- Parks are too small, not enough parks
- Head Tax like Denver (lack of funding/No \$\$)
- Left turn arrow going onto Maple (off Boone) is not long enough
- Accidents at Boone/Maple-Ash intersections
- Lack of parks, usable open space
- Not enough money for streets (transportation infrastructure is inadequate for our neighborhood)
- No ability to access Broadway from Maple/Ash
- Over zoned area of the NW portion of the neighborhood
- Pot holes!
- Too many accidents!
- Speeding Traffic
- On ramp comes into traffic
- Traffic circulation around Boone with stop lights (one care turns per light)
- Over parking on street
- Not enough off-street parking
- Too much on-street parking
- Need more fire hydrants (underserved in area)
- Old water lines
- Old infrastructure built under old standards (smaller pipes, skinnier sidewalks)
- Street posts outdated

## Conclusions

The Assessment Report is delivered into the hands of the neighborhood as a tool for citizens to use as they begin to analyze and address neighborhood issues. The intent is that your neighborhood will determine for itself whether or not the previously described issues truly are issues for the neighborhood to address.

Your neighborhood may choose to prioritize issues based on the Assessment Matrix or on further investigation and research into the issue. A strategy should be developed for each issue, action should be taken, the issue resolved, and then the same process used to address the next issue in the neighborhood. It is important to remember that an assessment is just the first step to positive changes in a neighborhood.

The Neighborhood Planning Guidebook is available to help in the process of engaging all of your fellow neighbors for these very important projects for your neighborhood. The Guidebook also assists with further analysis of identified issues, and steps for addressing them. Your neighborhood is encouraged to take advantage of this resource and your resources at City Hall, including the Community Assembly, the Community Development Board, and others.

The City is currently in the process of addressing many of the issues stated in “Things the City should do”, for example, a Comprehensive Plan was adopted in 2001 that addresses the issues of police response, drug houses, increased single family home ownership, air and water pollution, and increased coordinated planning on large projects. Much work remains to be done, the City hopes that the Neighborhoods will work as a partner to address issues the City cannot directly address. Together it is hoped that we can make Spokane a place where people have the opportunity to work, play, and shop close to home, a true City of Neighborhoods.



## West Central Assessment Participants

Brenda Corbett  
George Craig  
Alen Cornell  
Bonnie McInnis  
Carl Shumaker  
Dennis Young  
Helen Stevenson  
Jonathan Brunt  
Rose Matisse  
Wayne Nelson  
Doris Branson  
Stan Glasgow  
Eileen Gowen

Bob Horner  
Kate Jones  
Terry Jordahl  
Gordon Lang  
Mike Maynard  
Erik Overland  
Jim Perry  
Joe Stassi  
Bill Tunurk  
Barbara Wigan

## City Planning Services Department

Steve Franks, *Interim Director Planning Services*

Marla Bates, Susanne Croft, Leroy Eadie, Melissa Wittstruck, Jo Anne Wright, and Kathrine Fossett, *Neighborhood Planning Team*

## City Support

Candace Mumm, *Plan Commission President*

Paul Crutchfield, *Parks and Recreation Department*

Kevin Brownlee and Dale Strom, *Community Development*

Val Melvin, *Engineering Services*

Christopher Cafaro, *Street Department*

Dan Eaton, *Engineering Services*

Marietta McKelvey and John Henry, *Code Enforcement*

Gail Mackie, *Spokanimal*

David Lund, *Spokane Police Department*

Wendy Hise, *MIS*



**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project	
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other - Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan						
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy								
Transportation																					
1	1	2	TR 1	Pedestrian/Bike friendly streets are not available, don't exit	TR, IN, E		X					X traffic		TR 1.1, 2.3, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13, 2.14, 2.15, 2.16, 2.17, 3.3, NE 13, N 4.6, 4.13, DP 3.2				SRTC updating Centennial Trail Group		Citywide strategy plan	
1		1	TR 2	Not enough money for streets (transportation infrastructure is inadequate for our neighborhood)	TR, IN		X					X									
	2	2	TR 3	Left turn arrow going onto Maple (off Boone) is not long enough	TR							X Traffic									
	2	2	TR 4	Accidents at Boone/Maple-Ash intersections	TR							X Traffic		TR 4.4				Mayor addressing with Street Utility Tax proposal			
	1	1	TR 5	No ability to access Broadway from Maple/Ash	TR							X Traffic									
			TR 6	Pot holes!	TR			X													
			TR 7	Too many accidents!	TR	X								DP 3.12							More info--location/type of accidents
			TR 8	Speeding Traffic	TR	X								TR 1.1, 4.4, 5.6							More info--Location, specific time, etc..
			TR 9	No sidewalks on Maple Street Bridge	TR			X				X									Sidewalk is available on one side of street, <b>access</b> to this sidewalk is the issue.
			TR 10	On ramp comes into traffic	TR							X	X traffic								
			TR 11	Traffic circulation around Boone with stop lights (one care turns per light)	TR, IN							X	X traffic								
			TR 12	Business loading-trucks need to find another route	TR, E							X	X traffic								
			TR 13	Over parking on street	IN							X	X traffic		TR 5.7						
			TR 14	Efficient Public Transportation (isn't available)	TR, S							X political		TR 3.1, DP 3.12				SRTC, others?	STA is under pressure to make budget cuts		
			TR 15	Cars are inoperable and parked for extended periods of time	S, E, P	X			X					TR 3.5, 4.17, 7.1, DP 1, SH 2.4, 6.1,							
			TR 16	Centennial Trail is not complete	S, E, IN, TR, P			X					X traffic		TR 10.3, CP 5.9,			Centennial Trail group Citywide/regional system			

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure

**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other – Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan					
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy							
			TR 17	Centennial Trail stops in middle of neighborhood	S, E, IN, TR		X					X traffic	TR 10.3, CP 5.9,			Centennial Trail group Citywide/regi onal system				
			TR 18	(Not designated for) Centennial Trail Loop	P							X parks				SRTC Regional Bike/Ped Plan				
			TR 19	(Need) Two trails-upper/lower bluff	TR, IN, E		X						CWPP's, LU 1.1, 6.2, NE 13, N 5, 5.3, PRS 2.1, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2				Partly covered by the Great Spokane River Gorge strategic master plan			
Total Housing		8																		
3	1	4	H 1	Long Term Boarded &/or abandoned properties	H, BE, S			X	X				ED 2.2, TR 7.1, SH 1.7							
3		3	H 2	Drug houses	H, BE, S	X			X				DP 1.6, 5.2, SH 4.1, 6.1,			Social Service Agencies	Meth Program Grant acquired by Spokane County			
2		2	H 3	Loss of single-family housing	H, BE, E, P?							LU 1.3, 1.5, 5.3,	LU 7.3, H 3.1, 3.3, DP 4.10							
1		1	H 4	Not enough owner-occupied housing (54.4% rental and 45.4% owner	H, BE, E, S				X?				H 2.3, 1.16			For-Profit and Non- Profit Agencies				
	5	5	H 5	Dilapidated housing (absentee landlords)	H, BE, S	X		X	X				1.13, 3.1, ED 7.5			X				
	2	2	H 6	Housing incentives for someone outside teachers/police is not being used	H, S, E, P						X CD		H 1.3, 1.8, 1.16			X				
			H 7	Quality, Affordable housing is not available	H, S, E				X		X CD		TR 3.5, LU 1.1, 3.11, 3.12, 8.1, CWPP's, H 1, 1.3, 1.8, 1.16, 2.4, DP 6.2, SH 2.2			County wide Planning Policies, regional agencies				
			H 8	Off-street parking for residential areas (not enough available, people park on the street)	H, S, E, P	X		X					LU 1.12, 5.3, 5.7							
			H 9	Lack of pride in community-clean up properties	H, S, E,			X			X CD		TR 4.4, 6.4, 7, 7.1, CFU 6.1, ED 7.5, DP 1, 6.2, 4.10			CDBG activities, other agencies				

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure



**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other - Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan					
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy							
			H 10	Lots of places for rent--too many vacancies	S, E			X ?										Not habitable?		
			H 11	No lighting!	S, ED, IN	X		X		X			LU 1.12, TR 2.1, 4.15, 4.7, DP 3.12, 3.18, N 4.13			Avista may assist with some needs				
Total		17																		
Built Environment																				
2		2	BE 1	Clean up properties & murals ("Pride") (issue -no pride in neighborhood, no accountability for current conditions of properties)	BE, S, E			X			X CD		SH 6.4, TR 2.1, 3.5, 4.15, 4.17, 7, CFU 6.1, H 3.1, ED 7.5, 8.6, DP 1, 6.2, 2.2, 4.10, SH 3.8, 6.4					Need better Identity issue, perhaps multiple subcategories for this topic?		
1		1	BE 2.1	Maple/Ash Speedway	BE, E	X				X			TR 3.1, 4.4, 5.6, 6.4, 7.1							
1		1	BE 2.2	Maple/Ash Unsightly to persons traveling through	BE, S, E		X	X		X	X CD		TR 3.1, 4.4, 6.4, 7.1, DP 2.2							
			BE 3	Design controls or incentives to enhance architecture/character of housing & businesses in Neighborhood	BE, H, S, E			X zoning			X DR Commit tee		LU 1.3, DP 6.2, 2.2, 3.12, 4.10, ED 8.1							
	2	2	BE 4	No campaign to clean city, citywide effort	BE, H, E	X		X					TR 4.17, 6.4, CFU 5.12 (Solid Waste), DP 1							
	2	2	BE 5	Neighborhood is dirty	BE, H, E			X			X CD		TR 4.17, 6.1, 6.4, 7.1, ED 8.6, DP 2.2, 4.10							
	1	1	BE 6	Over zoned area of the NW portion of the neighborhood	BE, H, IN, E			X zoning					DP 4.10							
			BE 7	Graffiti-gangs	BE, S	X							TR 7.1, CFU 1.10, DP 2.2, SH 6, 6.7			Block Watch, NC committee				
			BE 8	Too many un-kept buildings (especially on Ash)	BE, H, E			X					TR 2.1, 3.5, H 3.1, ED 7.5, 8.1, 8.6, DP 2.2							
			BE 9	Not enough off-street parking	BE, IN						X Design Standar ds		LU 1.12, 5.3, 5.7, DP 2.2					No access to alleys?		
			BE 10	Too much on-street parking (interferes	BE, IN, H		X	X					TR 5.7, 7.1, DP 2.2			Street Trolley study underway		Cars parked on street on permanent basis, perhaps an 8am-5pm parking limit?		
			BE 11	Too many dirt alleys	BE, IN, E, NE		X			X			TR 4.17, 6.4, CFU 3.2							
			BE 12	No street/alley lighting	BE, IN, E		X			X			TR 4.7, DP 2.2, 3.12, 3.18, CFU 3.2, SH 6.4							
Total		9																		

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure

**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other - Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan					
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy							
Infrastructure																				
4	1	5	IN 1	Parks are too small, not enough parks	BE, IN, E, NE		X					X P&R		LU 1.1, 1.12, 1.13, 6, CFU 1.1, 6.1, ED 6, 8.1, DP 3.7, 3.8, NE 11.1, H 1.13				CWPP 3.1		
2		2	IN 2	Walkability of the neighborhood needs to be maintained or enhanced	BE, IN, E, NE		X					X P&R traffic		LU 1.1, 1.12, 3.2, 4, TR 1.1, 2.1, 2.9, 2.17, 3.5, 4.17, 4.25, 6.4, 7.1, H 1.13, ED 2.4, DP 2.2, 3.12, 3.7, DP 3.2, 3.4, 4.10, NE 13, SH 6.1						
1		1	IN 3	Alleys are ugly!	IN, E		X							LU 1.1, 3.1, TR 3.1, 4.17, 6.1, 6.4, CFU 3.2, ED 6, SH 6.1						
			IN 4	Dirt Alleys	IN, NE		X							LU 3.1, TR 3.1, 4.17, 6.1, 6.4, CFU 3.2, ED 6						
			IN 5	Need more fire hydrants (underserved in area)	IN	X	X							LU 1.12, 3.1, CFU 5.13, 6.1, ED 2.2, H 1.13						
			IN 6	Old water lines	IN, E		X							LU 1.12, 3.1, CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, H 1.13						
			IN 7	Underdeveloped Park Property	IN, BE, E, NE		X					X P&R		LU 1.12, 1.13, CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, 6, 8.1, SH 3.8, H 1.13						
			IN 8	Incomplete Centennial Trail in W. Central	IN, BE, NE		X					X P&R		LU 1.13, CFU 1.8, ED 8.1, NE 13						
			IN 9	There is no Street/Alley lighting	IN, BE, E	X	X							LU 1.1, 3.1, TR 3.1, 4.15, 4.7, CFU 2.1, 3.2, 6.1, ED 2.2, 6, DP 2.2, 6.3, SH 6.1, 6.4, H 1.13						
			IN 10	Old infrastructure built under old standards (smaller pipes, skinnier sidewalks)	IN, E		X							LU 1.12, 3.1, TR 3.1, CFU 1.8, 6.1, ED 2.2, 6, H 1.13			CWPP 8.3			
			IN 11	Street posts outdated	IN		X							TR 3.1, H 1.13						
Total		8																		
Natural Environment																				
1	1	2	NE 1	Centennial Trail not complete in W. Central	IN, BE, E, NE		X					X P&R		LU 1.13, 4, ED 8.1, NE 13						
1	1	2	NE 2	Lack of fish in the river	NE									ED 8.1				Department of Ecology, Federal Agencies &		
1		1	NE 3	Spokane River is dirty, not clean, both the water and the shoreline	NE	X								ED 8.1, 8.6						
1		1	NE 4	Put Nat Park back	NE, E, IN		X					X P&R		ED 8.1						

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure

Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project		
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other – Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan							
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy									
1		1	NE 5	Fish can't get up the falls	NE											Dept. of Ecology, Fed. Agencies, & other enviro groups						
			NE 6	Unpaved alleys	NE, E, IN, BE		X				X			LU 3.1, TR 4.17, CFU 3.2, 6.1								
			NE 7	Alleys; paved, cleaned up, move & bury utility poles	NE, E, IN, BE		X				X			LU 1.1, 3.2, TR 4.17, 6.1, 6.4, CFU 3.2, 5.14, 6.1, ED 2.2, SH 3.8, 6.4, 6.1, H 1.13		Local LID						
			NE 8	Three Springs needs to be cleaned up	NE, E								ED 8.6			Department of Ecology & Federal Agencies, & other environmental groups						
			NE 9	Brown Field clean up (vacant/polluted land in neighborhood	NE, E, IN, P	X						X En.Dev		LU 3.1, CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, 8.1, 8.6								
			NE 10	Not environmentally friendly neighborhood. No green building standards, river quality accountability, alternative energy source options/incentives	NE, E, P							X En.Dev build. code		TR 1.1, 6.1, CFU 5.5, ED 8.1, 8.4, 8.6, NE 11.1, 13								
Total			7																			
Political																						
2		2	P 1	Lack of representation of low-income residents in neighborhood groups	P, E													City Council, Community Assembly, and other communitte groups are charged with representing people from a specific area.				
1		1	P 2	No separation from sidewalks to fences, attacking dogs a safety issue	P, BE							X zoning		LU 4								
1	1	2	P 3	Need "Beat Cops" with dogs checking backpacks & persons throwing out probable cause	P, S	X								DP 2.2, SH 6.1, 6.7								
			P 4	Head Tax like Denver (lack of funding/No \$\$)	P, E, BE, IN							X new ord.?										
			P 5	Dogs at large	P											dog catchers						

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure

**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other - Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan					
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy							
			P 6	Spokanimal--no/slow response	P													City to contract with agency to provide better service?		
			P 7	Public Safety	P, S, E, BE	X		X						CFU 1.10, DP 2.2, 3.12						
Total		3																		
Social																				
3		3	S 1	Quality, Accessible, Affordable Child Care is not available	E, S				X					SH 2.1			DHSH			
3		3	S 2	Crime Block Watch, need to implement and enhance	E, S, BE, IN	X		X						TR 7.1, DP 2.2, 3.12, SH 6.1, 6.7						
2		2	S 3	Slum Lords/Tenants--Out!	E, S, P			X						LU 7.1, H 3.1, ED 7.5, DP 1, SH 6.1						
2		2	S 4	Lack of parks, usable open space	NE, E, S		X				X P&R			LU 1.12, 1.13, CFU 6.1, ED 8.1, DP 3.7, H 1.13, NE 13						
2		2	S 5	More recreation opportunities need to be available	NE, E, BE		X				X P&R			LU 1.13, 3.2, 6, TR 7.1, 8.1, CFU 6.1, DP 3.7, NE 13						
2	1	3	S 6	Economic opportunities for disenfranchised youth	E, S				X					TR 3.1, SH 3.8						
1		1	S 7	Drug use--Drug houses & in Alleys, high level of felons & sex offenders	P, E, S, BE, E	X				X				TR 4.15, DP 2.2, SH 2.9, 6.1, 6.7						
1		1	S 8	Drug Deals out in open (slow/no response--no one comes)	P, E, S, BE, E	X								LU 7.1, TR 4.15, DP 2.2, 5.2, SH 6.1, 6.7						
	1	1	S 9	Too much crime, very visual, common place	P, E, S, BE, E	X								LU 3.2 TR, 4.15, DP 1, 2.2, 5.2, SH 6.1, 6.7						
	1	1	S 10	Boyfriends of welfare moms; causes violence, yelling	E, S	X			X					SH 6.7						
	1	1	S 11	Need more "social" or "play" activities	E, S, BE, IN				X					LU 3.2, NE 13			For profit & non profit agencies provide activities			
			S 12	Centennial Trail incomplete in W. Central	NE, S, BE, IN		X							CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, NE 13						
			S 13	Need more play space	E, BE, P		X							LU 1.12, 6, H 1.13, DP 1, 3.7, NE 13						
			S 14	Nothing for youth to do--need youth activities	E, BE,				X					LU 3.2, NE 13			For profit & non profit agencies provide activities			
			S 15	Law enforcement accountability (slow/no response time)	S, E, BE, P	X								CFU 1.10, 6.1, DP 2.2, SH 3.7H 1.13						
			S 16	Boarded up buildings/drugs	E, BE, S		X	X			X En.Dev			CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, 7.5, 8.1, 8.6, DP 1, 2.2						

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure

**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**

			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project
Weight (# of dots)			#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other - Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan					
Red	Blue	Total										Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy							
			S 17	Transient camps & associated criminal activity	E, S	X		X						CFU 1.10, DP 2.2, SH 6.1			Religious groups & non profits may assist			
Total		20																		
Economic																				
4	1	5	E 1	Lack of jobs & businesses in neighborhood	E, S, IN							X En.Dev		TR 3.1, 3.2, 3.5, CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, 3.1, 3.7, SH 2.4			Regional Chamber of Commerce			
4		4	E 2	Stigma attached to NBHD because of low-income status	E, S, P							X En.Dev		LU 1.3, TR 6.4, CFU 6.1, H 1.8, DP 2.2, 4.10, SH 6.1						
3.5		3.5	E 3	Head Tax like Denver (lack of funding/No \$\$)	P, E, BE, IN							X new ord.?								
2	1	3	E 4	Brown Field clean up (vacant/polluted land in neighborhood	NE, E, IN, P	X						X En.Dev		LU 3.1, TR 6.1, CFU 6.1, ED 2.2, 8.6			Brown Field's grant is currently being implemented			
2	1	3	E 5	Avista Utility Bills are too high	E					X										
2		2	E 6	Employment opportunities for disenfranchised youth	E, S					X		X En.Dev		TR 7.1, ED 3.7						
1		1	E 7	Preserve small business	E		X					X En.Dev		TR 3.2, 3.5, 7.1, ED 7.5, DP 1, 2.2, 3.4, 5.2, SH 2.4						
1		1	E 8	Lack of business interest	E, S, P		X					X En.Dev		TR 2.1, 3.2, 3.5, 6.4, CFU 3.2, 6.1, ED 2.2, 3.1, 8.1, DP 1, 2.2, 3.4, SH 2.4, 3.8						
1		1	E 9	Settling the Metropolitan Bankruptcy & develop the river bluff	E, P								LU 3.1, ED 2.2, 8.6, H 1.8							
1		1	E 10	Wind power & solar power test site	E, IN, NE, P							X En.Dev		ED 8.4						
0.5		0.5	E 11	Need to close meth houses	S, E	X								CFU						
	3	3	E 12	No post office	S, E, IN					X				H 1.13						
	1	1	E 13	Relocation of Head Start Program (from WCCC)-need to relocate?	E, IN															

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social

C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure


**Draft Neighborhood Planning  
West Central Assessment Matrix**


Weight (# of dots)			Issues			Operational/Service Delivery							Comprehensive Plan				Issues Addressed Outside City Hall	Current Community Issue	Staff Notes: More Info/ Issue ID	Action/ Project
Red	Blue	Total	#	Description	Category that Applies*	Police/ Fire/Safety	Infrastructure Maintenance	Code Enforcement	Human Services	Street Design	Other - Identify	Addressed by Comprehensive Plan		Inconsistent with Comp Plan	Not covered by Comp Plan					
												Implemented Policy	Not Implemented Policy							
	1	1	E 14	Need a business voice (something to improve communication between business and politicians)	S, P, E							x En.Dev		ED 3.1			W. Central Nghd Council & Business owners can do this!			
			E 15	Redevelopment/opportunity of Industrial & Commercial property	P, E, IN		X			X		x En.Dev		LU 1.3, 3.1, 6, TR 2.1, 3.5, CFU 3.2, 6.1, H 1.3, 1.8, ED 2.2, 6, 7.5, 8.1, 8.4, 8.6, DP 2.2, 3.4, SH 2.4						
			E 16	Economic Development needs to be enhanced	P, E, IN							x En.Dev		TR 2.1, 3.2, 3.5, 6.4, 7.1, CFU 3.2, ED 3.1, 7.5, 8.1, 8.4, 8.6, DP 2.2, 3.12, 3.4, SH 2.4						
			E 17	Closer services-Safeway or Target	E							x En.Dev		SH 2.4			Regional Chalmbler of Commerce			
			E 18	Boarded up commercial buildings	E, S, IN, BE	X		X						ED 2.2, 7.5, 8.6						
			E 19	Price of gasoline too high	E, S									LU 4, TR 1.1, 7.1, NE 13						
			E 20	Better use of industrial park	E, BE, IN							x plan		LU 3.1, TR 6.1, ED 2.2, 7.5, 8.4, 8.6, SH 2.4			Regional Chalmbler of Commerce			
			E 21	No support for local business	E, P, S, BE							x En.Dev		LU 3.2, H 1.16, ED 7.5, DP 1, 2.2, 3.12, 3.4, SH 2.4						
			E 22	Need business enticing	E, P, S, BE							x En.Dev		LU 3.2, TR 2.1, 4.17, 6.4, 7.1, CFU 6.1, H 1.16, ED 3.1, 3.7, 7.5, 8.1, 8.4, DP 2.2, 3.12, 3.6, SH 2.4, 3.8			Regional Chalmbler of Commerce			
			E 23	Lack of intellectual properties	S, BE							x En.Dev		H 1.16			Regional Chalmbler of Commerce			
Total		30																		

BE: Built Environment  
NE: Natural Environment  
S: Social


C: Communication  
TR: Transportation  
P: Political

E: Economic  
H: Housing  
IN: Infrastructure











**Arts**

Karen R. Mobley  
 Arts Director  
 Spokane Arts Commission  
 (509) 625-6079 office  
 (509) 625-6777 fax  
 E-mail: [kmobley@spokanecity.org](mailto:kmobley@spokanecity.org)

**Building Department**

Terry Danzer  
 City Hall  
 625-6140

**Capital Programs**

Dick Raymond 625-6398 or  
 Jerry Sinclair 625-6417

**Community Development**

Kevin Brownlee  
 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 625-6816

**Engineering Services, Transportation**

Val Melvin  
 625-6434

**Engineering Services, Street Operations**

Scott Egger  
 625-6421

**Fire**

Bobby Williams  
 Fire Chief  
 44 West Riverside Avenue Spokane, WA 99201  
 625-7030

**Historic Preservation**

Teresa L. Brum  
 Spokane City-County Historic Preservation Director  
 (509) 625-6983

**Human Services**

June B. Shapiro, Director  
 Tel: 509-625-6130  
 Fax: 509-625-6777  
 E-mail: [jshapiro@spokanecity.org](mailto:jshapiro@spokanecity.org)

**Libraries**

Jan Sanders, Director  
 Spokane Public Library  
 906 West Main Street  
 Spokane, WA 99201  
 509 444-5305  
 E-mail: [jsanders@spokanelibrary.org](mailto:jsanders@spokanelibrary.org)

**Neighborhood Services**

Gregory Hecht, Interim Director  
 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 625-6269 FAX: 625-6817  
 E-mail: [ghecht@spokanecity.org](mailto:ghecht@spokanecity.org)

**Parks and Recreation**

Paul Crutchfield  
 625-6455  
 E-mail: [pcrutchfield@spokanecity.org](mailto:pcrutchfield@spokanecity.org)

**Police**

Jim Nicks, Asst. Chief Spokane Police  
 1100 W. Mallon  
 Spokane, WA 99260  
 (509) 625-4026

### **Solid Waste Management**

Paul Staeheli  
625-7889

### **Spokane Transit Authority (STA)**

Ryan Stewart  
Transit Planner  
Spokane Transit Authority  
1230 W. Boone Avenue  
Spokane, WA 99201  
509-325-6059  
FAX: 509-325-6050  
E-mail: [RStewart@spokanetransit.com](mailto:RStewart@spokanetransit.com)

### **Wastewater**

Dale Arnold  
625-7901

### **Water**

Brad Blegen, Director  
914 East North Foothills Drive 99207  
625-7820  
E-mail: [bblegen@spokanecity.org](mailto:bblegen@spokanecity.org)

### **Youth**

Joanne Benham, Director  
Spokane City/County Youth Department  
(509) 625-6440  
(509) 625-6777 (Fax)  
E-mail: [jbenham@spokanecity.org](mailto:jbenham@spokanecity.org)

1990 Census

NAME	Total housing units	Owner occupied units	renter occupied	persons living in owner occupied units	persons living in renter occupied units	value of owner occupied housing units with a mortgage	value of owner occupied units with out a mortgage	aggregate household income in owner occupied with mortgage	aggregate household income in owner occupied without mortgage	aggregate household income in renter occupied	Total pop	total # families	total households
Balboa\South Indian Trail	1,973	1,700	234	4,702	461	\$97,334,926	\$34,938,263	\$61,584,008	\$20,573,336	\$7,435,411	5,236	1,621	1,963
Brownes Addition	1,463	80	1,238	134	1,746	\$1,088,486	\$2,538,069	\$2,053,130	\$875,384	\$20,661,475	1,935	297	1,290
Chief Garry Park	2,470	1,027	1,269	2,476	2,856	\$21,151,761	\$15,230,543	\$17,090,462	\$9,514,347	\$23,126,360	5,360	1,308	2,322
East Central	4,678	2,305	1,922	5,404	4,972	\$51,917,993	\$35,309,894	\$43,072,150	\$21,501,970	\$29,918,083	10,742	2,547	4,178
Five Mile\Prairie	368	330	27	942	63	\$19,661,041	\$10,868,531	\$13,578,850	\$5,189,448	\$732,340	1,029	303	332
Hillyard	3,557	2,035	1,274	5,293	2,910	\$42,463,831	\$32,024,430	\$34,191,286	\$18,245,159	\$19,492,187	8,275	2,098	3,315
Logan	4,099	1,413	2,368	3,734	4,211	\$26,840,537	\$31,154,166	\$22,476,816	\$15,249,802	\$35,754,253	9,090	1,606	3,787
Nevada\Lidgerwood	9,268	4,234	4,606	10,383	9,405	\$121,147,779	\$61,385,449	\$91,139,563	\$35,477,270	\$83,493,040	20,183	5,105	8,905
North Indian Trail	835	729	91	2,389	197	\$58,931,558	\$8,065,057	\$35,817,187	\$3,582,834	\$3,481,461	2,587	761	825
Northwest	8,871	6,878	1,749	17,039	4,214	\$233,970,076	\$122,386,232	\$159,517,240	\$66,765,935	\$42,618,813	21,573	6,202	8,664
West Central	3,068	1,267	1,457	2,756	3,511	\$17,725,073	\$20,223,624	\$16,550,500	\$14,820,100	\$19,698,404	6,779	1,521	2,757
Spokane City 1990	79,875	39,012	32,103								177,196	44,976	75,252

## West Central 2000 Census

West Central Neighborhood 2000 Census Summary Report <b>Detailed</b>				
	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
Population	8,006	100.0%	195,629	100.0%
Racial Distribution	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>White</i>	6,820	85%	175,018	90%
<i>Black/African American Alone</i>	215	3%	4,052	2%
<i>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone</i>	359	4%	3,444	2%
<i>Asian Alone</i>	144	2%	4,399	2%
<i>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone</i>	4	0%	372	0%
<i>Some other races alone</i>	95	1%	1,727	1%
<i>two or more races</i>	369	5%	6,617	3%
Age Distribution	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>under age 18</i>	2,196	27%	54,758	28%
<i>between 18 and 65</i>	5,034	63%	113,570	58%
<i>over age 65</i>	775	10%	27,301	14%
Age Distribution	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Under 5 years</i>	594	7%	13,676	7%
<i>5 to 9 years</i>	607	8%	13,571	7%
<i>10 to 14 years</i>	630	8%	13,196	7%
<i>15 to 19 years</i>	365	5%	14,315	7%
Housing Occupancy	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Total housing units</i>	3,523	100%	87,941	100%
<i>Occupied</i>	3,113	88%	81,512	93%
<i>Vacant</i>	411	12%	6,429	7%
Housing Tenure	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Occupied housing units</i>	3,113	100%	81,512	100%
<i>Owner Occupied</i>	1,463	47%	47,915	59%
<i>Renter Occupied</i>	1,650	53%	33,597	41%
Households	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Total Households</i>	3,113	100%	81,512	100%
<i>Avg. household size</i>	2.44		2.32	

## West Central 2000 Census

<i>Avg. family size</i>	3.12		2.98	
Households By Type	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Family households</i>	1,720	55%	47,256	58%
<i>Married-couple family</i>	944	32%	33,704	41%
<i>with children under 18</i>	468	15%	14,987	18%
<i>Female householder</i>	543	6%	10,134	12%
<i>with children under 18</i>	375	4%	6,806	8%
<i>Nonfamily households</i>	543	17%	34,256	42%
West Central Neighborhood 2000 Census Summary Report <b>Housing</b>				
Median Value of Owner-Occupied Homes	<b>West Central</b>		<b>City of Spokane</b>	
<i>Median Value of Owner-Occupied Homes</i>	\$79,800		\$97,000	
Age of Housing Units	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>built before 1940</i>	2,167	62%	25,540	29%
<i>between 1940 and 1990</i>	1250	36%	53392	61%
<i>built bewteen 1990-2000</i>	84	2%	9,162	11%
	<b>West Central</b>		<b>City of Spokane</b>	
Median Household Income			\$32,270	
Per Capita Income	\$11,650		\$18,450	
West Central Neighborhood 2000 Census Summary Report <b>Social</b>				
School Enrollment	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<b>Population 3 yrs and over enrolled in school</b>	7,569	100%	53,367	100%
<i>Nursery school, preschool</i>	102	5%	3,187	6%
<i>Kindergarten</i>	133	6%	2,951	6%
<i>Elementary school (grades 1-8)</i>	893	41%	20,887	39%
<i>High school (grades 9-12)</i>	544	25%	11,122	21%
<i>College or graduate school</i>	530	24%	15,220	29%

## West Central 2000 Census

Educational Attainment	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<b>Population 25 yrs and over</b>	4,785	100%	126,106	100%
<i>Less than 9th grade</i>	251	2%	3,946	3%
<i>9th grade to 12th grade, no diploma</i>	571	7%	11,106	9%
<i>High school graduate (includes equivalency)</i>	1,447	30%	33,177	26%
<i>Some college, no degree</i>	1,398	30%	33,666	27%
<i>Associate degree</i>	504	11%	12,219	10%
<i>Bachelor's degree</i>	385	15%	20,392	16%
<i>Graduate or professional degree</i>	230	7%	11,600	9%
<i>Percent high school graduate or higher</i>	83%			88%
<i>Percent bachelor's degree or higher</i>	13%			25%
Marital Status	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<b>Population 15 yrs and over</b>	6,186	100%	155,970	100%
<i>Never married</i>	1,986	32%	43,725	28%
<i>Now married, except separated</i>	3,121	51%	75,355	48%
<i>Separated</i>	214	4%	2,978	2%
<i>Widowed</i>	383	6%	11,654	8%
<i>female</i>	270	4%	9,572	6%
<i>Divorced</i>	1,021	17%	22,258	14%
<i>female</i>	614	10%	13,410	9%
Residence in 1995	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<b>Population 5 yrs and over</b>	7,326	100%	182,420	100%
<i>Same house in 1995</i>	2,773	38%	87,518	48%
<i>Different house in the U.S. in 1995</i>	4,553	62%	90,277	50%
<i>Same county</i>	2,679	37%	58,605	32%
<i>Different county</i>	1,651	23%	31,672	17%
<i>Same state</i>	794	11%	13,263	7%
<i>Different state</i>	857	12%	18,409	10%
<i>Elsewhere in 1995</i>	223	3%	4,625	3%



## West Central 2000 Census

Language Spoken at Home	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<b>Population 5 yrs and over</b>	7,326	100%	182,420	100%
<i>English only</i>	6,545	89%	168,044	92%
<i>Language other than English</i>	781	11%	14,376	8%
<i>Speak English less than "very well"</i>	413	6%	6,497	4%
<i>Spanish</i>	122	2%	3,542	2%
<i>Speak English less than "very well"</i>	45	1%	1,053	1%
<i>Other Indo-European languages</i>	518	7%	7,087	4%
<i>Speak English less than "very well"</i>	302	4%	3,599	2%
<i>Asian and Pacific Island languages</i>	124	2%	3,215	2%
<i>Speak English less than "very well"</i>	65	1%	1,635	1%
West Central Neighborhood 2000 Census Summary Report <b>Economic</b>				
Employment Status	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<b>Population 16 yrs and over</b>	6,025	100%	153,402	100%
<i>In labor force</i>	3,670	61%	98,512	64%
<i>Civilian labor force</i>	3,652	61%	97,811	64%
<i>Employed</i>	3,065	51%	89,043	58%
<i>Unemployed</i>	587	10%	8,768	6%
<i>Armed Forces</i>	18	0%	701	1%
<i>Not in labor force</i>	2,354	39%	54,890	36%
<i>All parents in family in labor force</i>	709	41%	9,726	62%
<b>Occupation</b>	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Management, professional, and related occupations</i>	789	26%	28,838	32%
<i>Service</i>	663	22%	16,653	19%
<i>Sales and office</i>	797	26%	25,358	29%
<i>Farming, fishing, and forestry</i>	25	0%	318	0%
<i>Construction, extration, and maintenance</i>	352	12%	7,011	8%
<i>Production, transportation, and material moving</i>	438	14%	10,865	12%
Commuting to Work	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC %</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City %</b>
<i>Mean travel time to work (minutes)</i>	21		19.5	
Income 1999				

## West Central 2000 Census

<i>Per capita income (dollars)</i>	\$11,653		\$18,451	2.73%
Poverty Status in 1999				
<i>Families</i>	351	20%	5,342	11%
<b>Park/Open Space</b>	<b>West Central</b>	<b>WC LOS</b>	<b>City of Spokane</b>	<b>City LOS</b>
Arboretum		0.00	64.89	0.33
Art Center		0.00	0.14	0.00
Community And Senior Centers	1.66	0.21	8.10	0.04
Community Parks	8.25	1.03	334.68	1.71
Conservation Land	155.58	19.43	828.05	4.23
Golf Courses	140.5	17.55	690.27	3.53
Major Parks	44.21	5.52	332.02	1.70
Mini-Park		0.00	0.87	0.00
Neighborhood Mini-Parks	0.73	0.09	3.77	0.02
Neighborhood Parks	16.29	2.03	275.24	1.41
Parkways	24.7	3.09	88.67	0.45
Sports Complexes	31.72	3.96	64.92	0.33
Swimming Pools	0.21	0.03	2.10	0.01
Trails		0.00	51.16	0.26
Total Acres	424			2,744.88

West Central CDBG Sidewalk Funds

<b>2004 Community Development Sidewalk Contract</b>									
(2003 Sidewalk Funds)									
		<b>2003</b>				<b>Admin</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>Total</b>
<b>Sidewalks</b>		<b>Allocation</b>	<b>Match</b>	<b>Transfers</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Costs (10%)</b>	<b>Available</b>	<b>Adjustments*</b>	<b>Available</b>
Browne's Addition		17,500.00	17,500.00		35,000.00	3,500.00	31,500.00	3,535.42	35,035.42
Cannon's Addition		14,906.00	14,906.00		29,812.00	2,981.20	26,830.80	2,922.12	29,752.92
Chief Garry Park		500.00	500.00	6,670.78 1)	7,670.78	767.08	6,903.70		6,903.70
East Central		10,000.00	10,000.00	1,551.23 2)	21,551.23	2,155.12	19,396.11	4,004.41	23,400.52
Emerson-Garfield		20,000.00	20,000.00		40,000.00	4,000.00	36,000.00	4,004.41	40,004.41
Hillyard		20,000.00	10,000.00		30,000.00	3,000.00	27,000.00	6,024.65	33,024.65
Latah Creek		10,000.00	10,000.00		20,000.00	2,000.00	18,000.00	1,984.17	19,984.17
Logan		10,000.00	10,000.00		20,000.00	2,000.00	18,000.00	1,984.17	19,984.17
Nevada Lidgerwood		43,000.00	20,000.00	19,000.00 3)	82,000.00	8,200.00	73,800.00	8,586.03	82,386.03
Peaceful Valley		225.00	225.00		450.00	45.00	405.00		405.00
<b>West Central</b>		<b>15,000.00</b>	<b>15,000.00</b>		<b>30,000.00</b>	<b>3,000.00</b>	<b>27,000.00</b>	<b>3,030.36</b>	<b>30,030.36</b>
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>161,131.00</b>	<b>128,131.00</b>	<b>27,222.01</b>	<b>316,484.01</b>	<b>31,648.40</b>	<b>284,835.61</b>	<b>36,075.74</b>	<b>320,911.35</b>
<b>Wheelchair ramps</b>									
East Central		10,000.00	10,000.00		20,000.00	2,000.00	18,000.00		18,000.00
Hillyard		10,000.00	10,000.00		20,000.00	2,000.00	18,000.00		18,000.00
CORD								3,595.65	3,595.65
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>20,000.00</b>	<b>20,000.00</b>		<b>40,000.00</b>	<b>4,000.00</b>	<b>36,000.00</b>	<b>3,595.65</b>	<b>39,595.65</b>
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>181,131.00</b>	<b>148,131.00</b>		<b>356,484.01</b>	<b>35,648.40</b>	<b>320,835.61</b>	<b>39,671.39</b>	<b>360,507.00</b>
* "Adjustments" proportional neighborhood unused balances from 2003 CD Sidewalk Contract, unused Engineering Services Admin. Funds and tree removal account.									
1) Transfer from Tuffy's Trail and public service remainder									
2) Transfer from CDNC and public service remainder									
3) Transfer from Zion paving project									

Code Enforcement

Yearly New Complaints by Month																	
	1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		2001		2002		2003		2004
Jan	323	Jan	210	Jan	195	Jan	116	Jan	131	Jan	139	Jan	224	Jan	164	Jan	146
Feb	212	Feb	247	Feb	188	Feb	174	Feb	208	Feb	221	Feb	140	Feb	290	Feb	186
Mar	208	Mar	237	Mar	311	Mar	231	Mar	272	Mar	199	Mar	212	Mar	255	Mar	283
Apr	197	Apr	303	Apr	258	Apr	226	Apr	288	Apr	321	Apr	304	Apr	345	Apr	349
May	260	May	348	May	315	May	211	May	282	May	305	May	310	May	294	May	314
Jun	356	Jun	285	Jun	300	Jun	215	Jun	206	Jun	341	Jun	328	Jun	330	Jun	348
Jul	231	Jul	353	Jul	391	Jul	270	Jul	279	Jul	284	Jul	346	Jul	394	Jul	372
Aug	258	Aug	253	Aug	279	Aug	344	Aug	323	Aug	330	Aug	398	Aug	286	Aug	304
Sep	291	Sep	289	Sep	263	Sep	190	Sep	219	Sep	303	Sep	319	Sep	257	Sep	220
Oct	352	Oct	245	Oct	266	Oct	166	Oct	197	Oct	326	Oct	305	Oct	242	Oct	251
Nov	251	Nov	167	Nov	198	Nov	153	Nov	228	Nov	165	Nov	209	Nov	201	Nov	171
Dec	210	Dec	70	Dec	170	Dec	176	Dec	181	Dec	155	Dec	160	Dec	233	Dec	
New Complaints 2004																	
	Vacant Bldgs.	Cars	Solid Waste	Zoning	Misc.												
JAN	13	14	61	25	33	146											
FEB	14	23	89	22	38	186											
MAR	16	38	163	29	37	283											
APR	16	66	185	47	35	349											
MAY	22	64	142	38	48	314											
JUNE	30	53	129	54	82	348											
JULY	12	31	142	59	128	372											
AUG	17	42	134	33	78	304											
SEPT	13	30	107	28	42	220											
OCT	18	20	113	55	45	251											
NOV	14	23	80	26	28	171											
TOTAL	185	404	1345	416	594	2944											
Area 5-Deborah Logan																	
	New	Fld. Insp.	Enf. Ord.	Completed													
Buildings	8	35	9	8													
Cars-Prop	44	45	44	44													
Solid Waste	199	472	227	205													
Zoning	73	209	51	73													
Misc	100	204	92	91													
Number of New Complaints per Area 2004																	
	Area	# Complaints															
	Area 1	506															
	Area 2	581															
	Area 3	379															
	Area 4	376															
	Area 5	424															
NEW COMPLAINTS 2004																	
	Area 5	City-Wide	Area 5	CityWide	Per Capita												
				Area 5	CityWide												
Building	47	158			1	1											
Cars-Private	59	351			1	2											
Solid Waste	201	1112			3	6											
Zoning	84	355			1	2											
Misc.	190	543			3	3											
Totals	581	2519			9	13											
Population			63327	195,629													

**ANIMAL CONTROL FIELD REPORT**  
**SPOKANIMAL C.A.R.E.**  
**2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2004**

<b>ANIMALS HANDLED</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>DOGS2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>CATS2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>OTHER 2003</b>
OWNED PICKED UP	31	69	22	39	0	0
STRAY PICKED UP	524	46	295	237	48	61
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>61</b>
DOA PICKED UP	26	23	116	105	64	73

<b>FIELD REPORT</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
CALLS RESPONDED TO	3886	4007
SERVICE CALL	31	21
RESCUE	2	8
INVESTIGATIONS	389	292
INSPECTIONS	1	6
OTHER	52	69
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4361</b>	<b>4403</b>

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

NOTICES OF VIOLATION	148	160
LICENSES SOLD	872	855
CITATIONS ISSUED	36	46
BARKING COMPLAINTS	128	90
ZONING COMPLAINTS	9	16
INTERVIEWS	114	4926
BITE CASE	114	82
AFTER HOURS CALLS	35	37

DOGS RETURNED TO OWNER	114	93
CATS RETURNED TO OWNER	0	0

## Calls for Service 2003

Calls for Service - Spokane PD - 2003																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												</
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

UCR's

## 2003 UCR Breakdown by Neighborhood


Spokane, WA


Count of FID_1	CRIMEDESC																																
NAME																																	
	Additional Info.	Assault, Aggravated	Assault, Firearm	Assault, Knife	Assault, Non-Aggrvtd	Assault, O/Dang. Wpn	Burg, Attm, Com, Dy	Burg, Attm, Com, Nt	Burg, Attm, Com, Unk	Burg, Attm, Res, Dy	Burg, Attm, Res, Nt	Burg, Attm, Res, Unk	Burg, Force, Com, Dy	Burg, Force, Com, Nt	Burg, Force, Com, Un	Burg, Force, Res, Dy	Burg, Force, Res, Nt	Burg, Force, Res, Un	Burg, Ulwfl, Com, Dy	Burg, Ulwfl, Com, Nt	Burg, Ulwfl, Com, Un	Burg, Ulwfl, Res, Dy	Burg, Ulwfl, Res, Nt	Burg, Ulwfl, Res, Un	Homicide, Non-Nlgnt	Larceny, Bicycles	Larceny, Fr/Coin Op	Larceny, From Bldng	Larceny, From Motor	Larceny, Other	Larceny, Pocket Pick	Larceny, Prs Snatch	Larceny, Shoplifting
Balboa\South Indian Trail		2		2	53	8	1	2		1	1	1	4	2	1	2	1	4		1	1	11	3	7		2	2	11	22	26			21
Bemiss	9	4		6	158	19				1	6	3		1	6	13	11	26	1		1	14	15	30		32	2	26	126	42		2	25
Brownes Addition	3	1			66	4			2	4	3	1		4		3	5	9	1		1	5	5	17	1	2	2	17	45	12			23
Chief Garry Park	8	4	1	7	169	9		2		1	3	3	1	7	9	14	5	24		1	1	13	6	24		23	2	31	119	85	1	1	5
Cliff\Cannon	22	19	9	17	235	21		2		5	5	1		1	6	17	11	20	1	1	4	16	21	32	1	21	1	66	232	62		1	21
Comstock			2	2	39	4		1		1		1		2	3	3	4	11	1			4	6	14	1	8		7	77	26			21
East Central	25	18	10	26	345	25		3	4	5	6	6	4	15	35	21	16	50	3	4	7	18	23	47	1	57	4	74	285	157	1	2	120
Emerson\Garfield	6	11	6	13	260	19	1	5	8	2	7	8	3	19	18	27	29	57	1	2	6	22	32	51	2	59	3	81	283	131		3	150
Five Mile\Prairie	1				2												1	1					1	2					7	2			
Hillyard	9	1	7	9	149	15			1	3	3	4		4	9	12	11	30	1		3	15	10	18		27	2	37	79	111			56
Latah Valley	2	1	1		19	2		1		1			1			2	3	6				2	4	8				7	5	19			6
Lincoln Heights	7	3	1	1	113	4		2		2	3	3	1	2	2	9	10	17			1	11	13	30		11	1	35	209	61			30
Logan	10	4	4	6	170	26		2	1	2	4	1		10	7	13	15	26	2	3	4	16	26	38	1	62	1	63	214	105			215
Manito\Cannon Hill		1			12							2	1		1	2	2	4			2	9	4	7		4		4	61	14			1
Moran Prairie	1	1	1	1	29	3										2	2	6	1			3	11	24		1		4	52	18			1
Nevada\Lidgerwood	28	23	18	21	478	44	1	5	8	9	11	11	3	13	17	45	21	83	6	7	6	26	39	86	1	86	2	109	585	156	1	2	416
North Hill	3	1	4	5	152	8		1	3	1	5	3	3	12	14	15	12	43	1		3	19	14	50		38		36	232	83	1		41
North Indian Trail	1		1		17	3		1			1	2					1	3				4	4	9		1		3	30	8			1
Northwest	11	4	7	3	165	14			1	6	4	5	2	4	6	13	18	48	1	2		24	20	44		37	3	33	240	148	1	2	161
Peaceful Valley					6													1			1			1		2		2	2				
Riverside	12	12	9	13	242	21		3	1	1		1	1	14	21	1	2	6	4	11	12	6	6	17	2	43	7	104	326	73	6	5	151
Rockwood	2				18	1				1	1	1			4	3	1	2			1	1	9	15		6		11	66	10		1	9
Thorpe\Westwood					1												1	1				1				1		1	2	2			
West Central	19	8	10	14	311	23		3	3	7	6	1		15	19	20	18	64	2	1	4	15	15	43	6	51	1	53	129	67			32
West Hills	1	1			34	4			1					1	3		1	2		2	1	2	3	7		3	1	23	33	10			1
Whitman	1	1	1	4	69	8				3	2	3	1		4	6	3	16			1	5	11	23		18		9	48	20		1	1
(blank)	35	10	9	7	306	32		1		3	3	4	3	10	7	12	2	8	4	1	6	6	13	36		16	3	47	139	54	1	1	54
Grand Total	216	130	101	157	3,618	317	3	34	33	59	76	65	27	136	192	255	206	568	30	36	66	268	314	680	16	611	37	894	3,648	1,502	12	21	1,562

UCR's

[illegible]











# CITY OF SPOKANE TRAFFIC FLOW MAP


1997-1998 AVERAGE WEEKDAY TRAFFIC

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT  
W. 800 SPOKANE FALLS BLVD.  
SPOKANE, WA 99201 (509) 625-6480



PUBLISHED JAN 1999  
F-10







## Intersection Report City of Spokane

Tuesday, December 07, 2004

**Intersection:** Ash St at Boone Av

**Report Period:** Tuesday, January 06, 1998 to Thursday, November 11, 2004

### YEAR: 2004

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
04007568	Thu - 01/08/2004	04:41 PM	Head On	NB Thru / SB Thru			X	4
04023755	Fri - 01/23/2004	04:59 PM	Sideswipe	EB Right / EB Right			X	2
04116366	Mon - 04/12/2004	04:59 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru		1		2
04153859	Thu - 05/13/2004	10:23 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru		1		2
04269617	Thu - 08/12/2004	11:00 AM	Rear End	SB Thru / SB Thru			X	2
04311915	Tue - 09/14/2004	04:49 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru			X	2
04319485	Mon - 09/20/2004	06:00 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Left / EB Thru		1		2
04369281	Fri - 10/29/2004	10:35 AM	Sideswipe	SB Thru / SB Right			X	2
04383191	Tue - 11/09/2004	04:17 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru			X	2
04385818	Thu - 11/11/2004	03:12 PM	Rear End	SB Thru / SB Thru		1		2
<b>Total Crashes: 10</b>				<b>Totals:</b>		4	6	10

### YEAR: 2003

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
03046600	Wed - 02/12/2003	06:16 AM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	EB Right / EB Thru		1		1
03090246	Fri - 03/21/2003	06:15 PM	Backing	Bckng / SB Thru			X	2
03209138	Sun - 06/29/2003	04:22 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru		1		2
03306276	Wed - 09/17/2003	04:30 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Right / NB Thru		1		1
03344938	Tue - 10/21/2003	07:22 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru			X	2
03358553	Mon - 11/03/2003	12:36 PM	Head On	EB Thru / WB Thru		1		2
03370428	Fri - 11/14/2003	01:59 PM	Rear End	SB Thru / Prk Veh			X	2
03408238	Fri - 12/19/2003	10:09 AM	Sideswipe	SB Left / SB Thru			X	2
<b>Total Crashes: 8</b>				<b>Totals:</b>		4	4	8



**Intersection:** Ash St at Boone Av (Cont.)**YEAR: 2002**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction		Severity			Tot Veh
				Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2		Fat	Inj	PDO	
02394288	Thu - 12/26/2002	09:49 PM	Sideswipe	SB Left / SB Thru				X	2
<b>Total Crashes: 1</b>				<b>Totals:</b>				1	1

**YEAR: 2001**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction		Severity			Tot Veh
				Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2		Fat	Inj	PDO	
01212653	Thu - 07/19/2001	01:30 PM	Rear End	SB Thru / SB Thru				X	2
01224809	Mon - 07/30/2001	08:16 AM	Rear End	SB Thru / SB Thru				X	3
01274317	Mon - 09/10/2001	02:50 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	SB Thru / WB Thru			1		2
01283997	Tue - 09/18/2001	03:41 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	SB Right / EB Thru			1		1
<b>Total Crashes: 4</b>				<b>Totals:</b>			2	2	4

**YEAR: 2000**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction		Severity			Tot Veh
				Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2		Fat	Inj	PDO	
00058467	Tue - 02/29/2000	10:12 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru				X	2
00134680	Sat - 05/13/2000	05:59 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Left / EB Thru			1		2
00151826	Mon - 05/29/2000	12:22 PM	Right Angle	SB Thru / EB Thru			1		2
00167117	Mon - 06/12/2000	10:32 AM	Right Angle	SB Thru / WB Thru			1	X	3
00179106	Thu - 06/22/2000	04:43 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru			2		2
00263518	Tue - 09/05/2000	10:11 AM	Right Angle	SB Thru / EB Thru			2		2
00346697	Sun - 11/26/2000	02:52 PM	Sideswipe	WB Left / WB Thru				X	2
<b>Total Crashes: 7</b>				<b>Totals:</b>			5	3	7

**YEAR: 1999**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction		Severity			Tot Veh
				Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2		Fat	Inj	PDO	
99013718	Fri - 01/15/1999	07:20 PM	Approach Turn	EB Left / WB Thru				X	2
99121104	Sat - 05/08/1999	09:50 PM	Approach Turn	WB Left / EB Thru			2		2
99158970	Wed - 06/16/1999	06:47 AM	Sideswipe	EB Left / EB Thru				X	2
99165404	Tue - 06/22/1999	02:49 PM	Right Angle	EB Thru / SB Thru			2		3



**Intersection:** Ash St at Boone Av (Cont.)**YEAR: 1999 (Cont.)**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction	Severity			Tot Veh
				Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Fat	Inj	PDO	
99174548	Thu - 07/01/1999	01:43 PM	Right Angle	EB Thru / SB Thru		1		2
99348825	Thu - 12/23/1999	12:42 PM	Right Angle	EB Thru / SB Thru		1		3
<b>Total Crashes: 6</b>				<b>Totals:</b>		4	2	6

**YEAR: 1998**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction	Severity			Tot Veh
				Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Fat	Inj	PDO	
98005038	Tue - 01/06/1998	05:15 PM	Sideswipe	SB Right / SB Right			X	2
98049434	Sun - 02/22/1998	06:10 PM	Rear End	EB Thru / EB Thru		2		2
98054680	Fri - 02/27/1998	11:13 PM	Approach Turn	EB Thru / WB Left			X	2
98113222	Wed - 04/29/1998	06:32 PM	Fixed Object/Parked Vehicle	NB Right /			X	1
98116488	Sat - 05/02/1998	07:09 PM	Sideswipe	SB Thru / SB Right			X	2
98182501	Mon - 07/06/1998	10:55 AM	Sideswipe	SB Left / SB Left		3		2
98320618	Sun - 11/15/1998	02:00 PM	Right Angle	SB Thru / WB Thru		2		2
98351088	Wed - 12/16/1998	09:55 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	SB Thru / EB Thru		1		1
<b>Total Crashes: 8</b>				<b>Totals:</b>		4	4	8

**REPORT TOTALS:**

<b>Number of Crashes:</b>	44	
<b>Number of Fatalities:</b>	0	(0.00%)
<b>Number of Injuries:</b>	23	(52.27%)
<b>Number of PDOs:</b>	22	(50.00%)
<b>Number of Vehicles Involved:</b>	89	
<b>Number of People Killed:</b>	0	(0.00%)
<b>Number of People Injured:</b>	31	(70.45%)
<b>Crash Rate:</b>	.00	

## Intersection Report City of Spokane

Tuesday, December 07, 2004

**Intersection:** Boone Av at Maple St

**Report Period:** Tuesday, March 17, 1998 to Tuesday, November 02, 2004

### YEAR: 2004

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity Fat Inj PDO	Tot Veh
04005935	Wed - 01/07/2004	09:00 AM	Rear End	NB Thru / Prk Veh	X	2
04009350	Sat - 01/10/2004	07:02 AM	Fixed Object/Parked Vehicle	NB Thru / Bckng	X	1
04052153	Wed - 02/18/2004	11:53 AM	Rear End	EB Thru / EB Left	X	2
04069648	Thu - 03/04/2004	12:40 PM	Right Angle	NB Thru / WB Thru	X	2
04129461	Fri - 04/23/2004	03:37 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru	X	4
04213414	Tue - 06/29/2004	11:55 PM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Thru	1	2
04374234	Tue - 11/02/2004	12:31 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	NB Right / WB Thru	1	1
<b>Total Crashes: 7</b>				<b>Totals:</b>	2 5	7

### YEAR: 2003

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity Fat Inj PDO	Tot Veh
03058773	Sat - 02/22/2003	11:11 AM	Right Angle	NB Thru / EB Thru	1	2
03066927	Sat - 03/01/2003	06:43 PM	Rear End	Prk Veh / NB Thru	X	2
03103219	Wed - 04/02/2003	11:06 AM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru	1	2
03132245	Sun - 04/27/2003	09:22 PM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Thru	2	2
03211178	Tue - 07/01/2003	09:02 AM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru	1	2
03302094	Sun - 09/14/2003	12:05 AM	Right Angle	EB Right / NB Thru	X	2
03369912	Fri - 11/14/2003	01:52 AM	Fixed Object/Parked Vehicle	NB Thru /	X	1
03375144	Tue - 11/18/2003	06:52 PM	Right Angle	NB Thru / EB Thru	1	2
03393937	Sat - 12/06/2003	08:07 AM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Thru	X	3
<b>Total Crashes: 9</b>				<b>Totals:</b>	5 4	9

### YEAR: 2002

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity Fat Inj PDO	Tot Veh
02064416	Mon - 03/04/2002	08:30 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Right / WB Thru	1	1

**Intersection:** Boone Av at Maple St (Cont.)**YEAR: 2002 (Cont.)**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
02065838	Wed - 03/06/2002	07:52 AM	Right Angle	NB Thru / WB Thru		1		2
02164787	Wed - 06/05/2002	05:15 PM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Thru			X	2
02203552	Mon - 07/08/2002	02:31 PM	Fixed Object/Parked Vehicle	NB Thru /		1		1
02278537	Sun - 09/08/2002	12:10 PM	Approach Turn	EB Left / WB Thru		2		2
02289494	Wed - 09/18/2002	11:25 AM	Approach Turn	EB Left / WB Thru		1		4
<b>Total Crashes: 6</b>					<b>Totals:</b>			6
						5	1	

**YEAR: 2001**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
01098156	Sat - 04/07/2001	01:02 AM	Fixed Object/Parked Vehicle	NB Thru / Bckng		1		2
01111804	Fri - 04/20/2001	01:17 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru			X	2
01157250	Fri - 06/01/2001	12:41 AM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Left		1	X	2
01182284	Sat - 06/23/2001	10:33 AM	Right Angle	NB Thru / WB Thru		1		2
01261588	Thu - 08/30/2001	08:34 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Thru / Bckng		1		1
01287780	Fri - 09/21/2001	10:09 PM	Rear End	EB Thru / EB Thru		1		2
01321227	Wed - 10/17/2001	06:00 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
<b>Total Crashes: 7</b>					<b>Totals:</b>			7
						6	2	

**YEAR: 2000**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
00080607	Wed - 03/22/2000	02:30 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Thru / Bckng		1		1
00130593	Tue - 05/09/2000	06:09 PM	Approach Turn	EB Left / WB Thru			X	2
00158365	Sun - 06/04/2000	07:26 AM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Left			X	2
00252015	Fri - 08/25/2000	05:27 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	WB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
00325019	Fri - 11/03/2000	09:01 PM	Approach Turn	EB Left / WB Thru			X	2
00336443	Thu - 11/16/2000	06:00 PM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	SB Thru / EB Left		1		1
00337565	Thu - 11/16/2000	11:57 PM	Fixed Object/Parked Vehicle	NB Thru / Prk Veh		1		2
<b>Total Crashes: 7</b>					<b>Totals:</b>			7
						4	3	

**Intersection:** Boone Av at Maple St (Cont.)

**YEAR: 1999**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
99150200	Mon - 06/07/1999	11:46 AM	Right Angle	EB Thru / NB Thru			X	2
99307783	Mon - 11/01/1999	11:02 AM	Pedestrian/Cyclist Involved	EB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
99327765	Wed - 12/01/1999	04:22 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
99348852	Thu - 12/23/1999	01:07 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru			X	4
<b>Total Crashes: 4</b>					<b>Totals:</b>			2 2 4

**YEAR: 1998**

Case Number	Crash Date	Crash Time	Type of Crash	Direction Vehicle 1/Vehicle 2	Severity			Tot Veh
					Fat	Inj	PDO	
98071746	Tue - 03/17/1998	07:15 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
98122491	Wed - 04/29/1998	04:00 PM	Sideswipe	WB Left / WB Left			X	2
98176409	Wed - 07/01/1998	09:55 AM	Right Angle	NB Thru / WB Thru			X	2
98212925	Sun - 08/02/1998	03:10 PM	Sideswipe	NB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
98246537	Wed - 09/02/1998	07:25 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru			X	2
98281924	Wed - 10/07/1998	02:45 PM	Sideswipe	NB Left / NB Thru			X	2
98284043	Fri - 10/09/1998	03:52 PM	Rear End	WB Thru / WB Thru		2		2
98304996	Fri - 10/30/1998	10:16 PM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru		6		3
98333623	Sun - 11/29/1998	12:10 AM	Rear End	NB Thru / NB Thru		1		2
<b>Total Crashes: 9</b>					<b>Totals:</b>			5 4 9

**REPORT TOTALS:**

<b>Number of Crashes:</b>	49	
<b>Number of Fatalities:</b>	0	(0.00%)
<b>Number of Injuries:</b>	29	(59.18%)
<b>Number of PDOs:</b>	21	(42.86%)
<b>Number of Vehicles Involved:</b>	98	
<b>Number of People Killed:</b>	0	(0.00%)
<b>Number of People Injured:</b>	37	(75.51%)
<b>Crash Rate:</b>	.00	