

Shoreline Permit Application

Rev.20210817

Attach an additional sheet if needed

The	proposed action requires approval of:
	 ☐ Shoreline Substantial Development Permit (SSDP) ☐ Shoreline Conditional Use Permit (SCUP) ☐ Shoreline Variance (SV)
All	Shoreline Permits must provide the following information:
1.	Identify the name of the shoreline (water body) with which the site of the proposal is associated.
2.	Provide a general description of the proposed project, including the proposed use or uses and the activities necessary to accomplish the project.
3.	Provide a general description of the property and adjacent uses, including physical characteristics, intensity of development, improvements, and structures.
4.	What is the estimated total Fair Market project cost within the Shoreline Jurisdiction?
5.	Will the proposed development intrude waterward of the ordinary high water? \square YES \square NO If yes, describe the intrusion:
6.	Will the proposed use or development affect existing views of the shoreline or adjacent waters? $\ \square$ YES $\ \square$ NO If yes, describe:
7.	Explain how the proposed use will not unreasonably interfere with the normal public use of public shorelines.

8.	Please explain how the proposal is consistent with the map, goals, and policies of the Shoreline Master Program.
9.	A detailed narrative of how the impacts of the proposal have been analyzed to achieve no net loss of shoreline ecological functions, including each step of the mitigation sequencing process, as defined in Section 17E.060.220 SMC.
10.	List of permits required from other than City of Spokane agencies, include name of agency, date of application, and number of applications.
In a	ddition to Questions 1-10, all Shoreline Conditional Use Applications must ALSO provide the following information:
	List the provisions of the land use code that allows the proposal.
	Please explain how the proposal is consistent with the comprehensive plan designation and goals, objectives and policies for the property.
13.	Please explain how the proposal meets the concurrency requirements of SMC Chapter 17D.010.
	Please explain any significant adverse impact on the environment or the surrounding properties the proposal will have and any necessary conditions that can be placed on the proposal to avoid significant effects or interference with the use of neighboring property or the surrounding area, considering the design and intensity of the proposed use.

15. Please explain how the cumulative impact of several additional	al conditional use permits on the shoreline in the area will not
preclude achieving the goals of the shoreline master program	

In addition to Questions 1-15, all Shoreline Variance Applications must provide the following additional information:

16. Fill out the following information for the variance being requested:

	REQUIRED	PROPOSED
Front yard setback		
Rear yard setback		
Side yard setback		
Lot coverage percentage		
Lot size		
Lot width		
Height		
Other (specify):		

17. What physical	I characteristics of the	property	v interfere with	your ability	v to meet the re-	quired standards?

18.	How	does	this	property	physically	differ	from	other	similarly	zoned	properties	in	the	area	and	how	do	the	physical
	charac	cterist	ics of	the subje	ect property	preve	nt dev	elopin/	a to the s	same ex	tent?								

19. What hardship will result if the requested variance is not granted?

20. Does compliance with the requirement eliminate or substantially impair a natural, historic, or cultural feature of area-wide significance? If yes, please explain.

21.	Will	surrounding properties suffer significant adverse effects if this variance is granted? Please explain.
22.	Will expla	the appearance of the property be inconsistent with the development patterns of the surrounding property? Please ain.
23.	RCW	ance permits for development that will be located landward of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM), as defined in 90.58030(2)(b), and/or landward of any wetland as defined in RCW 90.58030(2)(h), may be authorized; provided, the icant can demonstrate all of the following:
	a.	That the strict application of the bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in the applicable master program precludes, or significantly interferes with, reasonable use of the property.
	b.	That the hardship described in (a) of this subsection is specifically related to the property, and is the result of unique conditions such as irregular lot shape, size, or natural features and the application of the master program, and not, for example, from deed restrictions or the applicant's own actions.
	C.	That the design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the comprehensive plan and shoreline master program and will not cause adverse impacts to the shoreline environment.
	d.	That the variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege not enjoyed by the other properties in the area;
	e.	That the variance requested is the minimum necessary to afford relief.
	f.	That the public interest will suffer no substantial detrimental effect.

- 24. Variance permits for development that will be located **waterward** of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM), as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(b), or within any wetland as defined in RCW 90.58.030(2)(h), may be authorized; provided, the applicant can demonstrate all of the following:
 - a. That the strict application of the bulk, dimensional or performance standards set forth in the applicable master program precludes all reasonable use of the property.

- b. That the proposal is consistent with the criteria established under WAC 173-27-170(2)(b) through (f).
- c. That the public ue of the shorelines will not be adversely affected.