

**State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)  
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

File No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!**

**Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

**Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

**Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:**

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "*does not apply.*"

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

**A. BACKGROUND**

1. Name of proposed project: Avenue 29
2. Applicant: 29FTW, LLC
3. Address: 1837 S Rockwood Blvd  
City/State/Zip: Spokane, WA 99203 Phone: 509-499-2282  
Agent or Primary Contact: Erick Fitzpatrick  
Address: 601 W Main St. Suite 305  
City/State/Zip: Spokane, WA 99201 Phone: 509-252-5019  
Location of Project: 713 & 717 E 29th Avenue  
Address: 713 & 717 E 29th Avenue  
Section: 29 Quarter: \_\_\_\_\_ Township: 25 Range: 43  
Tax Parcel Number(s) 35294.1815 and 35294.1814
4. Date checklist prepared: 5/15/2023
5. Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_  
Permitting: 6/22/2023 Building: Completion Fall 2025  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. No  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain. \_\_\_\_\_  
No  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. \_\_\_\_\_  
A geotechnical report has been completed.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. \_\_\_\_\_  
Not to our knowledge

---

---

---

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. \_\_\_\_\_  
SEPA, Site Development permit, Building permits, Utility and ROW permits

---

---

---

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. \_\_\_\_\_  
The applicant is proposing the construction of a three story multifamily building with 21 units  
and with 15-25 parking stalls, utilities and stormwater facilities. The approximate site area is 14,200 sf.

---

---

12. Location of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist. \_\_\_\_\_  
The project site is located in Section 29, Township 25n Range 43 at 713 & 717 E 29th Avenue.  
Parcels 35294.1815 and 35294.1814.

---

---

---

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.) Yes, yes, yes, yes

---

---

---

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities). A bio-retention pond with a device to control the outflow is proposed for stormwater flow control and designed to meet the City of Spokane and Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual (SRSM) requirements. The stormwater treatment will be accomplished through the bio-retention pond. The treated stormwater runoff will discharge into the city's combined sewer stormwater main located in the alley.

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored? No

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems. N/a

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater? No

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)? \_\_\_\_\_  
No ground water was encountered on site. Per the geotechnical report, groundwater is expected to be  
encountered approximately 13' below the surface. Bedrock was encountered 2-5' below the surface.

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts. \_\_\_\_\_  
Due to the shallow bedrock, infiltration is not feasible. Therefore, we have applied for a variance to discharge  
the stormwater runoff into the City's sewer storm main in the alley.

**B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

**1. Earth**

a. General description of the site (check one):

- Flat  Rolling  Hilly  Steep slopes  Mountainous

Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
The existing site has been developed and has one single family home and one triplex with associated driveways and landscaping.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? \_\_\_\_\_  
The steepest slope on site is around 12%. The average slope is around 5-8%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If  
you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-  
term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. \_\_\_\_\_  
The site was previously fully developed. The soil on site is generally silty sand with gravel and shallow bedrock.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. \_\_\_\_\_  
Not to our knowledge

- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill: \_\_\_\_\_  
Grading will be required. The project proposes balanced earthwork as much as possible to a practical extent.  
Grading will be less than 500 CY of cut and less than 3,000 CY of fill. The fill will come from local gravel pits.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. \_\_\_\_\_  
Erosion could occur during site grading. A TESC plan meeting City of Spokane standards will be developed.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)? \_\_\_\_\_  
Of the 14,200 sf site, 11,715 sf (83%) of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces.  
\_\_\_\_\_
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
A TESC plan will be submitted for review, approval, and implementation during construction.  
\_\_\_\_\_

## 2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. Dust and exhaust will be emitted during construction.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. Not to our knowledge  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
Watering construction travel as necessary to decrease the amount of dust. A TESC plan will be submitted  
for review, approval, and implementation during construction. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**3. Water**

a. SURFACE WATER:

- (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. \_\_\_\_\_  
No \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

- (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. No \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

- (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. N/A \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. \_\_\_\_\_

No

---

---

---

---

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. \_\_\_\_\_

No

---

---

---

---

b. GROUNDWATER:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No

---

---

---

---

(2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. N/A

---

---

---

---



c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

(1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. Stormwater will be collected and treated on site per SRSM standards.

---

---

---

---

(2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. Not to our knowledge

---

---

---

---

(3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. No

---

---

---

---

d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage patten impacts, if any. A storm drainage management plan will be prepared in conformance with City of Spokane and SRSM storm drainage standards.

---

---

---

---

**4. Plants**

a. Check the type of vegetation found on the site:

Deciduous tree:  alder  maple  aspen

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Evergreen tree:  fir  cedar  pine

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Shrubs  Grass  Pasture  Crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops

Wet soil plants:  cattail  buttercup  bullrush  skunk cabbage

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Water plants:  water lily  eelgrass  milfoil

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Other types of vegetation: \_\_\_\_\_

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? \_\_\_\_\_

Existing landscaping on site will be removed during clearing.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. \_\_\_\_\_

None to our knowledge.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation

on the site, if any: Landscape areas will be improved with plantings, including drought resistant species, mulch, and rock that are consistent with the City of Spokane standards.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. \_\_\_\_\_  
None to our knowledge  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**5. Animals**

a. Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds:  hawk  heron  eagle  songbirds

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Mammals:  deer  bear  elk  beaver

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Fish:  bass  salmon  trout  herring  shellfish

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Other (not listed in above categories): \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

b. List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.

None to our knowledge  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. \_\_\_\_\_

Site is within the mapped Pacific Flyway  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: None proposed  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. \_\_\_\_\_  
None to our knowledge

---

---

---

**6. Energy and natural resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. Energy efficient electric and natural gas heating, lighting, and cooling systems are proposed for the project.

---

---

---

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. Not to our knowledge

---

---

---

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
Energy efficient heating, lighting, and cooling systems are proposed for the project.

---

---

**7. Environmental health**

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. \_  
No

---

---

---

(1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses. \_\_\_\_\_  
None to our knowledge

---

---

---

(2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. There are existing gas lines throughout the site coming from E 29th Ave.

---

---

---

(3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. None

---

---

---

(4) Describe special emergency services that might be required. \_\_\_\_\_  
None

---

---

---

(5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:  
None proposed

---

---

---

b. NOISE:

(1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? \_\_\_\_\_

No noise sources will affect the project. Nearby noise sources include traffic.

---

---

---

(2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. \_\_\_\_\_

Short term, construction will be a contributor to the noise level; however, it will be restricted from 7AM to 10PM.

Long term noise would be the noise produced by the residents.

---

---

(3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

None proposed

---

---

**8. Land and shoreline use**

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. \_\_\_\_\_

The site is currently developed with apartments and single families homes. The adjacent properties north, east, and west of the site are also single family homes. The property south of the site is the Manito Shopping Center.

---

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? \_\_\_\_\_

Not to our knowledge

---

---

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: No  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

c. Describe any structures on the site. \_\_\_\_\_  
There is an existing single family home and apartment on the property as well as two detached garages.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? \_\_\_\_\_  
All structures on site will be demolished.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? CC4 -DC  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? Residential 4-10  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? N/A  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or the county? If so, specify. \_\_\_  
The site is located within the CARA high susceptibility zone.

---

---

---

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? \_\_\_\_\_  
Approximately 79 people would reside in the complex

---

---

---

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? \_\_\_\_\_  
The existing residents during construction would be displaced. New property owners have assisted residents in finding  
new housing options.

---

---

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
None proposed

---

---

---

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and  
plans, if any: None proposed

---

---

---

---

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands  
of long-term commercial significance, if any: None proposed

---

---

---

---



**9. Housing**

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. 21 units for middle income housing

---

---

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. Approximately 4 units would be eliminated.

---

---

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: None proposed

---

---

---

**10. Aesthetics**

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? 41'-0", Primary siding materials will consist of metal siding with black vinyl windows and sliders at patio locations.

---

---

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? None

---

---

---

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: Materials will be controlled with vertical and horizontal modulation to break up larger facades.

---

---

**11. Light and Glare**

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? \_\_\_\_\_  
There will be lighting produced from residents units and vehicles during typical residential hours.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? \_\_\_\_\_  
No  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? \_\_\_\_\_  
None  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
Cut out lights will be implemented  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**12. Recreation**

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? \_\_\_\_\_  
The Olmsted Triangle Park, Manito Park and Hart Field are all a short walk away.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. \_\_\_\_\_  
No  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: \_\_\_\_\_  
None proposed  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**13. Historic and cultural preservation**

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. Not to our knowledge

---

---

---

---

---

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. Not to our knowledge

---

---

---

---

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. \_\_\_\_\_  
The existing site is fully developed and disturbed.

---

---

---

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required \_\_\_\_\_  
None proposed

---

---

---

---

**14. Transportation**

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. \_\_\_\_\_

The proposed site is directly north of E 29th Ave. Access will be from the alley located West of Scott St and East of South Hatch St

b. Is site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop Yes, the nearest bus stop is

within 250' of the site

c. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). We are proposing to replace up to half the width of the existing public alley north of the site

depending on the condition of the pavement.

d. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? The existing site produces approximately 38 trips.

Per the ITE manual assuming a land use code of 220, assuming a net of 17 units, the project would generate 77 net trips.

*(Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and Weekday (24 hours).)*

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe. \_\_\_\_\_

No

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

None proposed

### 15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. \_\_\_\_\_

No

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

None proposed

**16. Utilities**

a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

- electricity
- natural gas
- water
- refuse service
- telephone
- sanitary sewer
- septic system

Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Domestic water line, fire water line, sewer, storm, gas, communications, and electrical lines are all needed.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**C. SIGNATURE**

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: 5/16/2023 Signature: 

**Please Print or Type:**  
Proponent: Jordan Piscopo Address: 1837 S Rockwood Blvd  
(509) 499-2282 Spokane WA 99203  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Person completing form (if different from proponent): \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**FOR STAFF USE ONLY**

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: \_\_\_\_\_

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.

**D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS**

*(Do not use this sheet for project actions)*

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

***Please Print or Type:***

Proponent: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Person completing form (if different from proponent): \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**FOR STAFF USE ONLY**

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: \_\_\_\_\_

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A.  there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B.  probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C.  there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.