# State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

File No.	

#### PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE COMPLETING THE CHECKLIST!

### **Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

#### **Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

#### Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

### A. BACKGROUND

1.	Name of proposed project: McKinley Mixed-Use Project (Phase 2)
2.	Applicant: Board & Vellum
3.	Address: 115 15th Avenue East
	City/State/Zip: _Seattle, WA 98112 Phone: _(206) 876-8452
	Agent or Primary Contact: Christopher Palms @ Board & Vellum
	Address: 115 15th Avenue East
	City/State/Zip: <u>Seattle, WA 98112</u> Phone: <u>(206) 876-8452</u>
	Location of Project: Spokane, WA
	Address: 120 N. Magnolia Street, Spokane, WA 99202
	Section: 16 Quarter: SW Township: 25 Range: 43
	Tax Parcel Number(s) <u>35163.3001</u>
4.	Date checklist prepared: March 18th, 2022
5.	Agency requesting checklist: City of Spokane
6.	Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
	Project to begin construction in the Fall of 2022.
7.	a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected
	with this proposal? If yes, explain.
	Yes, Tenant Improvements to commercial areas in proposed buildings
	h. Da var ann an hana antiana an land maanhu an adiaaant ta thia maanaal? If was anniain
	b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain.
	Yes, the Owner owns parcels 35163.2604, 35163.3107 and 35163.3108
0	List any applicant and information you know shout that has been prepared or will be prepared
8.	List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared,
	directly related to this proposal.
	SEPA Checklist dated January 12, 2021; Trip Generation and Distribution Letter by WCE, dated January
	28, 2021; Trip Generation and Distribution Letter, by Storhaug, dated March 4, 2022; "Geotechnical
	Engineering Evaluation for Proposed I07c Control Facility" by GeoEngineers, dated March 21, 2017;

•	Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.		
•	rmits for Phase 1 of this project are currently under review with the City of Spokane		
•	government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.		
	, Grading, Water and Sewer, Stormwater, Electrical, Mechanical, and Road Work permits will be		
needed	for this proposal		
. Give bri	ef, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the		
project a	and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain		
aspects	of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.		
The con	struction of three buildings and associated site work. Building A is 5-stories, with apartments on all		
floors, a	nd possibly a bar on the ground floor. Bldg B is a 4-story apartment building. Building C is a 3-story		
building	with apartments on the 2nd and 3rd floors and two commercial spaces on the ground floor		
. Location	of the proposal: Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location		
of your	proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, i		
•	If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the		
	Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably		
` ,			
	e. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to		
•	e maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.		
120 N. I	Magnolia Street, Spokane WA, 99202. S 16, T 25 N., R 43 E.		
3. Does the	e proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service		
Area?	The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA		
Overlay	Zone Atlas for boundaries.) Yes, the project lies within the Critical Aquifer Recharge Area		
-	the Well Head Protection Area, and the Spokane-Rathdrum Aquifer		
<del></del>			

- 14. The following questions supplement Part A.
- a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

(1)	Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste installed for		
	the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for		
	the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the		
	amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be		
	disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a		
	result of firefighting activities).		
	The project will use stormwater disposal methods consistent with the Spokane Regional Stormwater		
	Manual (SRSM). These may include grassed percolation areas and gravel galleries.		
(2)	Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or		
	underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?		
	After the project is completed, there may be household chemicals stored above ground in small (less		
	than 5 gallons) containers. During construction, no chemicals will be stored on site.		
(3)	What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or		
	used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep		
	chemicals out of disposal systems.		
	Applicable BMPs will be used during construction. After construction, any household or restaurant / bar		
	related spills will be cleaned up by residents or staff prior to leaving any hard surfaces.		
(4)	Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak wil		
(4)			
	drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?		

b.	Stormwater			
	(1)	What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?  Per the Geotech Report dated March 21, 2017, groundwater was encountered roughly 22 to 23 below		
		site grade. Bedrock depth is not known.		
	(2)	Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts		
		Yes, stormwater will discharged into the ground as allowed per the SRSM. No potential impacts are anticipated.		
В.	EN	VIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS		
1.	Ear	th		
a.	Ger	neral description of the site (check one):		
	X	Flat ☐ Rolling ☐ Hilly ☐ Steep slopes ☐ Mountainous		
	Oth	er:		
b.	Wha	at is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Less than 1%		
C.	Wha	at general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? I		
		know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long		
		n commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soilsacial flood-channel deposits below 2-3 feet of medium dense silty fine to coarse gravel fill		
d.		there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describeere are no surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity.		

e.	Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any
	filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:
	Excavation will be done to construct footings and slabs (on grade - no basements) for the three
	proposed buildings, and for associated site design. The total affected area will be roughly 48,000 SF.
	Exact quantities are unknown at this time, we anticipate the movement of 10,000 to 16,000 cubic yards
	on site. Any required fill will from a preapproved source coordinated with the City of Spokane
f.	Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe
	Minimal localized erosion from wind or rain could occur during construction, but would be mitigated
	through the use of appropriate BMPs. No erosion would be expected from the proposed uses as
	surfaces will be stabilized by paving, building areas and landscaping.
g.	About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction
	(for example, asphalt, or buildings)?
	Approximately 46,000 SF for Phase 2.
h	Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:
١١.	Erosion will be controlled through the use of appropriate BMPs during construction. Soils will be
	stabilized by paving, building areas and landscaping.
	- Stabilized by paving, ballang areas and landscaping.
2.	Air
a.	What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation,
	and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate
	quantities if known.
	During construction dust may be generated, but reduced to the greatest extent possible through watering,
	hydroseeding and other BMPs. Exhaust fumes may be generated by construction equipment. Once the
	project is completed, emissions from residential units, such as dryers and range hoods, are expected.  Gas-fired how water heating systems will likely produce limited emissions. Exhaust from landscaping
	maintenance equipment, vehicles, and commercial kitchen exhaust fans if a restaurant leases commercial
	space.
b.	Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally
	describe. The site is near a railroad (about 300 feet away at its closest)

C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:  All site development will comply with Spokane Regional Clean Air Agency (SRCAA) construction related		
		equirements.	
	_		
3.	Wa	ter	
а.	SUI	RFACE WATER:	
	(1)	Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round	
		and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide	
		names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.  There is no surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site.	
		There is no surface water body on or in the infinediate vicinity of the site.	
	` ,	Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters?	
		If yes, please describe and attach available plans.  No. There are no surface water bodies within 200 feet of the site.	
		There are no surface water bodies within 200 feet of the site.	
	(3)	Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the	
		surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the	
		source of fill material. No fill or dredge material is proposed to be placed in or removed from any	
		surface water bodies.	
	(4)	Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general	
	` '	Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? If yes, give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	

(5)	Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.  No, the proposal does not lie within a 100-year floodplain.  Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.  No, the proposal does not involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters.		
(6)			
•	OUNDWATER:		
	Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.  No groundwater will be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or any other purposes. All potable water will be provided by the local purveyor. The project's stormwater will be discharged to the underlying soils as allowed per the Spokane Regional Stormwater Manual (SRSM). A project specific stormwater/drainage report will be provided.		
(2)	Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.  No wastewater will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other onsite systems. The site is served by the public sewer.		

b.

c.	WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):			
	(1)	Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.  The source of runoff once the project is complete will be building roofs, sidewalks and other paved areas.		
		on site. The intent is to convey stormwater to catchments to treat and discharge the treated stormwater		
		as required by the SRSM to the underlying soils. The treated stormwater is anticipated to eventually reach groundwater.		
	(2)	Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.  No. All stormwater is required to be treated per the SRSM. Buildings will be connected to the public sewer system. Any localized spills will/would be easily remediated prior to any discharge below grade.		
	(3)	Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so describe. The project will not alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site.		
d.		OPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage tter impacts, if any.		
	-	ne project will be developed per the requirements of the SRSM. Additional measures, if any, will be		
	ac	dded if required during the design and approval process with the City of Spokane and any other		
		opropriate agencies, such as the Department of Ecology.		

### 4. Plants

a.	Check the type of vegetation found on the site:
	Deciduous tree: ☐ alder ☐ maple ☐ aspen
	Other: _(3) Kentucky Coffee Trees and (3) Black Locusts in the ROW
	Evergreen tree: X fir C cedar pine
	Other: (4) Douglas Fir trees in the ROW
	☐ Shrubs ☒ Grass ☐ Pasture ☐ Crop or grain
	☐ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
	Wet soil plants: ☐ cattail ☐ buttercup ☐ bullrush ☐ skunk cabbage
	Other: No wet soil plants on site
	Water plants: ☐ water lily ☐ eelgrass ☐ milfoil
	Other: No water plants on site
	Other types of vegetation: Various weeds
b.	What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
	All vegetation on site will be removed. The Douglas Fir trees along N. Magnolia St and the
	recently-planted Kentucky Coffee Trees will be protected and maintained. The (3) Black Locusts
	will be removed (per approval already granted by the City of Spokane's Urban Forestry department
C.	List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
	According to the Spokane County Rare Plant List, there are 4 plants classified as 'threatened" in
	Forests and Meadows, with only Austin's Knotweed and Spalding's Silene occurring in non-wet
	meadows. Neither has been observed on or near the site.
d.	Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation
	on the site, if any:
	The Landscape Design is in the process of being produced. Native and drought-tolerant plants will
	be used to the greatest extent.

e.	List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.  Himalayan Blackberry		
5.	Animals		
a.	Check and List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are		
	known to be on or near the site:		
	Birds: ☐ hawk ☐ heron ☐ eagle ☒ songbirds		
	Other:		
	Mammals: ☐ deer ☐ bear ☐ elk ☐ beaver		
	Other:		
	Fish: ☐ bass ☐ salmon ☐ trout ☐ herring ☐ shellfish		
	Other:		
	Other ( <i>not</i> listed in above categories):		
b.	List any threatened or endangered animal species known to be on or near the site.		
	According to the Data Basin WA, OR, CA Wildlife and Habitat map, there are no threatened or		
	endangered species known to be on or near the site.		
C.	Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.		
	No. The site is in an industrial urban area.		
	Spokane County is part of the Pacific Flyway migration route, which is primarily a route for waterfowl.		
d.	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:		
	New landscaping and site design will likely attract songbirds.		

e.	List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.  Per the Washington Invasive Species Council, the only invasive animals that are not aquatic are nutria and feral swine, neither of which are known to be in eastern Washington		
a.	What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the		
	completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.  Natural gas will likely be used for water heating. Electricity will likely be used for HVAC systems		
b.	Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.  No, the tallest proposed building (5 stories) is not tall enough to block sunlight on properties across the streets to the north, east or west.		
C.	What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other		
	proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:  The project will comply with the Washington State Energy Code		
7.	Environmental health		
a.	Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and		
u.	explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe There are no known environmental health hazards on the site. After construction, there will be a risk of fire		
	in the buildings. This risk will be mitigated by the installation of fire sprinklers, fire alarms, etc.		

Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses There is no documented history of contamination on the site. The existing school structure has been on			
the site s	since 1902, which makes contamination by typical businesses such as gas stations and dry		
cleaners	unlikely.		
	existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located		
	e project area and in the vicinity.		
	e no known existing hazardous chemicals or conditions that might affect project ment or design		
Describe	any toxic or hazardous chemicals/conditions that might be stored, used, or produced		
during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating project. No toxic or hazardous chemicals or conditions are anticipated to be stored, used or			
	during the project's development, construction or operating life.		
	special emergency services that might be required.		
No speci	al emergency services are expected to be required.		
•	d measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:		
	onmental health hazards, beyond standard operation of vehicles and equipment, are expected		
	aicu		
	cleaners  Cleaners  Describe  design.  within the There ar  developr  Describe  during th  project.  Describe  No speci		

b.	NOISE:					
	(1)	What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?  There is a railroad to the north of the project. N Napa Street, which borders the east side of the				
		property is fairly busy and the noisiest of the streets surrounding the site.				
	(2)	What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what				
		hours noise would come from the site.				
		Typical construction noise between the hours of 7AM and 10PM				
	(3)	Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:  Construction activities restricted to hours allowed by city ordinance. Also, the project is in an				
		industrial neighborhood with few residential uses.				
8.	Laı	nd and shoreline use				
a.	Wh	nat is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land				
		es on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. Currently a warehouse and a vacant historic				
		hool building are on the site. To the north is a single-family residence; to the east a muffler shop and a				
	car repair shop. To the south is a real estate agent and a landscaping business office. To the west is a					
	sir	ngle-family house and a coffee roaster. The proposal should have no affect on current land uses on				
	ne	earby properties.				
b.	Ha	s the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How				
	mu	ch agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses				
	as	a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in				
	farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?  No, it has not been used as a working farmland or forest land					

	1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling
	and harvesting? If so, how:
	No, there are no farm or forest lands hearby
C.	Describe any structures on the site.  There is a vacant 2-story, brick, 36,000 SF (12,164 SF footprint) school structure, constructed in 1902.
	There is also an existing concrete construction,1-story, 9,799 SF warehouse. On the SE corner of the site
	is an underground Combined Sewer Overflow tank owned by the city.
d.	Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?
	Yes. The concrete warehouse will be demolished.
_	Miles the suggest engine elementical and the element
e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site?  CC1-EC (Center and Corridor Type 1, Employment Center)
f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
	Centers and Corridors Core
g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
	Does not apply

_	lo. Per Spokane ArcGIS, no part of the site has been classified as a critical area.
•	proximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?  pproximately 225 - 250 people
•	proximately how many people would the completed project displace?
	oposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: he project will not create any displacement impacts
pla	oposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses ans, if any:
_	nat the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans
Pr	oposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest la

# 9. Housing

a.	Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-				
	income housing				
	Approximately 205 market-rate apartments will be provided.				
b.	Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-				
	income housing				
	Zero units will be eliminated.				
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:				
O.	The project is creating housing and eliminating zero units of existing housing.				
10.	Aesthetics				
a.	What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal				
	exterior building material(s) proposed?				
	Approximately 50 feet. The principal exterior building materials proposed are brick, fiber-cement board, with				
	wood or metal accents				
b.	What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?				
	Views of the historic McKinley School on the site itself will be partially obscured from the west				
c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:				
	The proposal is being designed to provide positive aesthetic experiences				

# 11. Light and Glare

a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?  Stray ambient light from unit and commercial space interiors. Site lighting at the center of the site. These			
	impacts would occur at night.			
b.	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?  No. The building materials are not reflective. All site lighting will be shielded.			
C.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?  None anticipated			
d.	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:  Selection of non-reflective building materials. Shielding all site lighting.			
<b>12</b> a.	. Recreation  What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?			
	The Spokane River is less than a mile away. Sprague Avenue, one block away, offers a variety of businesses including gyms.			
b.	Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.  No - it would not displace any existing recreational uses.			
C.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:  The project will include comprehensive site design which will include exterior amenity areas that can be			
	used for informal recreational activities			

# 13. Historic and cultural preservation

a.	Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the sited that are over 45 years old			
	listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the			
	site? If so, specifically describe.			
	Yes. The historic McKinley School on site was added to the Spokane Register of Historic Places in			
	September 2017			
b.	Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This			
	may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas			
	of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site			
	to identify such resources.			
	There are none known.			
c.	Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or			
	near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archaeology			
	and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.			
	We use online resources, such as WISAARD.			
d.	Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to			
	resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required			
	An inadvertent discovery plan will be prepared noting that during construction, if any artifact or apparent			
	human remains are discovered, the project will stop and the owner and City of Spokane notified.			

### 14. Transportation

Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe		
if any		
N Napa St to the east, East		
posed during Phase 1 of this		
it? If so, generally describe.		
a and Sprague Ave		
How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have?		
. Parking requirements for future		
ng Phase 1.		
s, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or rally describe (indicate whether		
in Ave. Bike perking and interior		
in Ave Bike parking and interior		
vicinity of) water, rail or a		
_		

f.	How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If			
	known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be			
	trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were			
	used to make these estimates?			
	Per the TGDL prepared by Storhaug, the total Trip Generation summary for the overall proposed project			
	(Phase 1 & 2) = 1,754 ADT; A.M Peak Total: 94, 28 enter, 66 exit; P.M. Peak Total: 144, 80 enter, 64 exit			
	(Phase 1 = 598 ADT, 17 AM, 42 PM).			
	(Note: to assist in review and if known, indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak, and			
	Weekday (24 hours).)			
g.	Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest			
	products on roads or streets in the area? If so, general describe.			
	No, it will not interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on			
	roads or streets in the area.			
h.				
11.	Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:  There are no measures proposed to reduce or control transportation impacts			
15	. Public services			
a.	Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection,			
	police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.			
	Yes, the project may result in increases in fire protection, public transit, and health care. It is unknown at			
	this point what impact there would be on schools.			
b.	Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:			
	There are no measures proposed to reduce or control direct impacts on public services			

### 16. Utilities

a.	Check utilities currently available at the site:		
	⊠ electricity		
	□ natural gas		
	⊠ water		
	☐ septic system		
	Other:		
b.	Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the		
	general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:		
	Electricity provided by Avista Utilities		
	Gas provided by Avista Utilities		
	Water provided by City of Spokane		
	Sewer provided by City of Spokane		
	Refuse pick-up provided by City of Spokane		
	Phone provided by CenturyLink		
	Cable provided by Comcast or CenturyLink		

# C. SIGNATURE

i, the und	iersigned, swear under penalty (	or perjury that t	ne above responses are made truthfully and to	
the best	the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or			
willful lac	k of full disclosure on my part, th	ne <i>agency</i> must	withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance	
that it mig	ght issue in reliance upon this ch		O(1)O(1)	
Date: _	March 18, 2022 Signa	ature:	en la	
Please P	Print or Type:			
Proponer	nt: Christopher Palms	Address:	115 15th Ave East, Seattle, WA 98112	
Phone:	(206) 876-8452			
_		a)		
Person co	ompleting form (if different from	proponent): _		
Phone: _		Address: _		
FOR S	TAFF USE ONLY			
Staff me	ember(s) reviewing checklist: _			
Based or conclude:		onmental checl	klist and other pertinent information, the staff	
□ A.	there are no probable signifi Nonsignificance.	cant adverse i	mpacts and recommends a Determination of	
□ в.	probable significant adverse recommends a Mitigated Dete		impacts do exist for the current proposal and ensignificance with conditions.	
□ c.	. there are probable signification.  Determination of Significance.		environmental impacts and recommends a	

### D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1.	How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?
	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:
2.	How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are:
3.	How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

4.	How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?
	Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:
5.	How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?
	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:
6.	How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?
	Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:
7.	Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

#### C. SIGNATURE

the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency may withdraw any Determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist. Signature: Please Print or Type: Proponent: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_ Person completing form (if different from proponent): Phone: \_\_\_\_\_Address: \_\_\_\_ FOR STAFF USE ONLY Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that: A. 

There are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance. B. D probable significant adverse impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to

C. 

there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a

Determination of Significance.