Post St. Bridge Replacement RFQ #4370-17

ADDENDUM #1

- 1. SECTION 3.1 PROCESS OVERVIEW/Projects of Similar Scope and Complexity/Page 4 Line 17, Revise: ...five (5) years... to ...ten (10) years...
- 2. Line 18, Add: Preference will be given to projects completed within five years.
- 3. Line 19-21, Replace with: Projects of a similar size and budget that include design, demolition and construction of a bridge in an urban environment with numerous stakeholders near commercial and over environmentally sensitive rivers.
- SECTION 4.3 CITY OF SPOKANE'S PROJECT GOALS/Page 8
 Lines 21 23 Forward Compatibility, revise to read: Design and construct with the intent to meet anticipate future needs.
- SECTION 5.1 SOQ SUBMITTAL QUANTITIES/Page 14
 Line 28-30, revise to read: Two (2) USB flash drives, each containing an electric copy of the SOQ in PDF format with this Sections and Subsections bookmarked. Provide a single copy of the Proposer's accident prevention program on one of the two flash drives.
- 6. SECTION 6.4.3 EVALUATION CRITERIA /Pages 21 Line 3, Revise: ...five (5) years... to ...ten (10) years...
- 7. SECTION 6.5 TEAM ORGANIZATION (SECTION 3) (40 POINTS)/Page 21 Line 28 and 29: Delete
- SECTION 6.5 TEAM ORGANIZATION (SECTION 3) (40 POINTS)/Page 21 Line 25, revise to read: Team members' (Key Personnel and Key Staff) current...
- 9. SECTION 6.7 LEGAL (APPENDIX A) (PASS/FAIL)/Page 24 Line 14, revise to read: If the Proposer is a joint venture, partnership, or other...
- 10. SECTION 7.2 WEIGHT OF SCORING CRITERIA/Page 26 Line 11, delete: Table 7.2 Scoring Criteria & Weights (Complete Table is shown on Page 27)

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

Question 1a: Given that many bridge replacement projects over rivers require work around in-water work windows and therefore span multiple years, can the period of acceptable projects be expanded to ten (10) years? Answer: See Addendum item #1

Question 1b: Please consider increasing the duration of project experience that will carry more importance from 5 years to 10 years. Answer: See Addendum item #6

Question 2A: In 6.6.2 Evaluation Criteria for Major Participants #5, the term environmentally sensitive **<u>rivers</u>** is used (versus areas). Given that the bridge spans a river should 3.1 bullet align with 6.6.2 and state: "...near commercial areas **<u>and over</u>** environmentally sensitive **<u>rivers</u>**." Answer: See Addendum item #3

Question 2B: Is experience <u>demolishing</u> bridges over environmentally sensitive rivers a factor in determining if a project is of similar scope and complexity? Answer: See Addendum item #3

Question 3: Do you require the work load to be listed by firm, by Key Personnel, or other Key Staff? Answer: See Addendum item #8

Question 4: Should the Accident Prevention Program be delivered only on the USB drive, or written up in Section 6.5? Answer: See Addendum item #5

Question 5: Please clarify what is meant by forward compatibility with the existing Post Street Bridge. Answer: See Addendum item #4

Question 6: Will the RFP elaborate on 7.3 and provide the points attributed to the price components in the best value determination?

Answer: There are no points associated with the price components. The RFP will elaborate on how the Selection Committee will evaluate the price components.

Question 7: Will the SOQ scores be announced to the finalists when the RFP is issued? Answer: No; at the request of a proposer not selected as a finalist the City will provide the requesting proposer with a scoring summary of the evaluation factors for its SOQ.

Question 8: Will the City also be asking for a Validation Period NTE as part of the RFP price proposal? Answer: Yes, additional information will be included in the RFP. Question 9: Section 6.7 states the if a Proposer is a joint venture, limited liability company, partnership or other association, they need to fill out form 410-009 (attached form). This form is specifically for Joint Ventures. If the proposer is not submitting the SOQ and/or bidding as a Joint Venture the required form is illogical to fill out.

Answer: See Addendum item #9