## URBAN EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA FOR

## February 11<sup>th</sup>, 2019 1:15 p.m. – City Council Briefing Center

The Spokane City Council's Urban Development Committee meeting will be held at 1:15 p.m. on February 11, 2019 in City Council Briefing Center –Lower Level City Hall, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, Washington.

The meeting will be conducted in a standing committee format. Because a quorum of the City Council may be present, the standing committee meeting will be conducted as a committee of the whole council.

The meeting will be open to the public, with the possibility of moving or reconvening into executive session only with the members of the City Council and the appropriate staff. No legislative action will be taken. No public testimony will be taken and discussion will be limited to appropriate officials and staff.

### **AGENDA**

- I. <u>Call to Order</u>
- II. Approval of Minutes
- III. Consent Items
  - Amending Ordinance C-26320 to release a portion of the easement- Eldon Brown
  - Contract for Chemical Management and Water Treatment at the WTE- David Paine
  - Mechanical Repairs at the Waste to Energy Facility- David Paine
  - Scaffolding Services at the Waste to Energy Facility- David Paine
  - Contract Extension with Cost for Off-Site Pump Repairs at the WTE- David Paine
  - Purchase of Miscellaneous Waterworks Products- Loren Searl

## IV. Discussion Items

- A. Council Request
  - Documents for 2 Housing Projects and Update on Other Projects- Melora Sharts
- B. Staff Requests
  - Bikeshare (Shared Mobility)- Policy and Permitting- Brandon Blankenagel (5 min)
  - Abandoned Property/Computer Software- Mike Ormsby (10 min)
  - Traffic Calming Program 2018 Application Approval- Katie Myers (5 min)
  - School Safety Program 2018 Application Approval- Katie Myers (5 min)
- C. Business Reports
  - Economic Update- Kris Becker and Gavin Cooley (10 minutes)

## V. Strategic Plan Session

- A. Umbrella Agreement for SPS/City/Library Partnership- Rick Romero and Andrew Chanse (5 minutes)
- B. Vision for Renewed Library System:
  - Library Bond Implementation Update- Andrew Chanse (10 minutes)
- C. Increase Recreation Opportunities:
  - Riverfront Park Bond Implementation Update- Garrett Jones (10 minutes)
- D. Increased Community Social Capital:
  - Social Capital Review & Discussion- Bob Lutz, Ashley Beck, SRHD (15 minutes)
  - Promise Zone: Data Supporting Outcomes- Amber Waldref (5 minutes)
- E. Advance Downtown as Region's Largest and Strongest Center:
  - Downtown Plan Update- Heather Trautman (10 minutes)
- F. Public Private Partnerships for Market Rate and Affordable Housing Density:
- G. Low Income Housing: Ridpath Update- Gavin Cooley (5minutes)

## VI. Adjournment:

Next Urban Development Committee meeting will be on Monday, March 11<sup>th</sup>, 2019.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) INFORMATION: The City of Spokane is committed to providing equal access to its facilities, programs and services for persons with disabilities. The Spokane City Council Chamber in the lower level of Spokane City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., is wheelchair accessible and also is equipped with an infrared assistive listening system for persons with hearing loss. Headsets may be checked out (upon presentation of picture I.D.) at the City Cable 5 Production Booth located on the First Floor of the Municipal Building, directly above the Chase Gallery or through the meeting organizer. Individuals requesting reasonable accommodations or further information may call, write, or email Human Resources at 509.625.6363, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane, WA, 99201; or <a href="mailto:msteinolfson@spokanecity.org">msteinolfson@spokanecity.org</a>. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact Human Resources through the Washington Relay Service at 7-1-1. Please contact us forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting date.

(Urban Experience Committee Meeting)

Division & Department:	Developer Services
Subject:	Amending Ordinance C-26320 to release a portion of the easement.
Date:	February 11, 2019
Contact (email & phone):	Eldon Brown (ebrown@spokanecity.org) 625-6305
City Council Sponsor:	
Executive Sponsor:	Theresa Sanders
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience Committee Meeting
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)	Section 17G.080.020 of the Spokane Municipal Code and Chapter 35.79 of RCW regarding street vacations.
Strategic Initiative:	
Deadline:	
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Precedes taking this before City Council to amend the original Ordinance
and reserved a full-width easer	o amend Ordinance C-26320 that vacated Howard between 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> ment. The school district would like to build an addition to Lewis & est 30 feet of the reserved easement.
<ul> <li>The easement holders with the easement red</li> <li>Map of the proposal ar</li> </ul>	-
Budget Impact: Approved in current year budge Annual/Reoccurring expenditur If new, specify funding source: Other budget impacts: (revenue Operations Impact: Consistent with current operati Requires change in current ope Specify changes required: Known challenges/barriers:	re? Yes No N/A e generating, match requirements, etc.) Revenue Generating ons/policy? Yes No N/A

i



Printed by: edjohnson Print date: 1/24/2019

## Briefing Paper Urban Experience

Division & Department:	Public Works Division; Solid Waste Disposal				
Subject:	Contract for Chemical Management and Water Treatment at the WTE				
Date:	February 11, 2019				
Contact (email & phone):	David Paine, dpaine@spokanecity.org, 625-6878				
City Council Sponsor:					
<b>Executive Sponsor:</b>					
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience/ Public Infrastructure, Environment and Sustainability Committee				
Type of Agenda item:	□ Consent □ Discussion □ Strategic Initiative				
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)					
Strategic Initiative:	Innovative Infrastructure: Managing our assets				
Deadline:					
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Council approval of the contract extension which will allow for uninterrupted chemical management in the boiler feed water and component cooling water at the WTE.				
for all water required to protect RFP #4302-17 was issued to so equipment as required to main received. Nalco was determine The initial contract was awarde extensions. This will be the first	licit a vendor to provide all testing, chemicals, tanks, feed pumps and stain reliable chemistry for boiler water. Four (4) responses were d to be the most qualified.				
<ul> <li>Extension #1 of 3 for chemical management and treatment of the boiler water at the WTE.</li> <li>Initial contract was for two (2) years for a total cost of \$52,313.80.</li> <li>Extension will run from February 1, 2019 through March 31, 2020 for a total additional cost of \$36,000.00, which includes additional funds to cover unanticipated fuel surcharge costs that were incurred in 2017 and 2018.</li> </ul>					
Budget Impact: Approved in current year budg Annual/Reoccurring expenditul If new, specify funding source: Other budget impacts: (revenu Operations Impact: Consistent with current operat	e generating, match requirements, etc.)				
Requires change in current ope					

,			
		e e	

Known challenges/barriers:

## Briefing Paper Urban Experience

Division & Department: Public Works Division; Solid Waste Disposal				
Subject:	Mechanical Repairs at the Waste to Energy Facility			
Date:	February 11, 2019			
Contact (email & phone):	David Paine, dpaine@spokanecity.org, 625-6878			
City Council Sponsor:				
Executive Sponsor:				
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience/Public Infrastructure, Environment and Sustainability Committee			
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative			
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)				
Strategic Initiative:	Innovative Infrastructure-Managing our assets			
Deadline:				
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Council approval of the contract to allow for uninterrupted emergency and scheduled mechanical work at the WTE			
millwright skills. In response to contractors qualified to perform Knight Construction & Supply, I	mergency maintenance work at the WTE requires specialized RFB #4337-17, on March 13, 2017, the City received bids from these mechanical repairs to plant equipment and infrastructure. Inc., which is a local business, was the only bidder. This is the second of OPR 2017-0257 which runs from April 1, 2019 through March 31, 2020			
<ul> <li>The term of this extens</li> <li>Cost of the extension for</li> <li>Contractor to provide r</li> <li>17.</li> </ul>	cost to OPR 2017-0257. Sion shall run from April 1, 2019 through March 31, 2020. For 2019 is \$1,800,000 including taxes. Finechanical repairs at the City's Waste to Energy Facility per RFB #4337- Fine increased to reflect the increase in prevailing wage rates.			
Budget Impact: Approved in current year budge Annual/Reoccurring expenditure If new, specify funding source: Other budget impacts: (revenue Operations Impact: Consistent with current operations Requires change in current operations Specify changes required:	re?			
Known challenges/barriers:				

## **Urban Experience Committee**

Division & Department:	Public Works Division; Solid Waste Disposal			
Subject:	Scaffolding Services at the Waste to Energy Facility			
Date:	February 11, 2019			
Contact (email & phone):	David Paine, dpaine@spokanecity.org, 625-6878			
City Council Sponsor:				
Executive Sponsor:				
Committee(s) Impacted:	Public Infrastructure, Environment and Sustainability Committee			
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative			
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)				
Strategic Initiative:	Innovative Infrastructure-Managing our assets			
Deadline:				
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Council approval of extension to contract for scaffolding services at the WTE.			
Background/History:				
allow safe access for repairs. Bi 16. Safeway Services, LLC., of S April 1, 2017 through March 31 extensions. The contract was e extended from April 1, 2019 th	ncy outages at the WTEF, scaffolding must be placed in the boilers to ids for scaffolding services for the WTEF were solicited under RFB 4308-pokane Valley, was the only bidder and awarded the contract from 1, 2018 for \$300,000.00, with the option of four additional one year extended for another \$300,000.00 in 2018 and now needs to be rough March 31, 2020 for an additional \$350,000.00. These additional inspection of tanks and vessels within the plant.			
<ul> <li>required.</li> <li>Scaffolding is required</li> <li>This is extension #2 of 31, 2020.</li> <li>Annual cost of contract</li> </ul>	for safe access during repairs to the boilers.  4, as specified in the RFB, running from April 1, 2019 through March  is \$350,000.00.  rials contract with all labor being subject to WA State prevailing wage			
Budget Impact: Approved in current year budget Annual/Reoccurring expenditure If new, specify funding source: Other budget impacts: (revenue Operations Impact: Consistent with current operations Requires change in current operations Impact:	re?			

Chacify changes required:		
Specify changes required:		,
1 11 11 11 11		,
Known challenges/barriers:		
Known challenges/barriers:		

## **Urban Experience Committee**

Division & Department:	Public Works Division; Solid Waste Disposal			
Subject:	Contract Extension with Cost for Off-Site Pump Repairs at the WTE			
Date:	February 11, 2019			
Contact (email & phone):	David Paine, dpaine@spokanecity.org, 625-6878			
City Council Sponsor:				
Executive Sponsor:				
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience/Public Infrastructure, Environment and Sustainability Committee			
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative			
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)				
Strategic Initiative:	Sustainable Resources: Maintaining our assets – Innovative Infrastructure: Managing our assets			
Deadline:				
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Council approval of contract with Sulzer pumps in order to maintain operation of the Waste to Energy Facility's many pumps, without which the Facility would not be able to operate.			
Background/History				
were solicited for on and off-sit Services was awarded the one additional one-year periods. T again in 2017 for an additional require a complete overhaul, w to \$120,000.00. There are still \$ \$100,000.00 for 2019 to perfor	cilizes Sulzer pumps in its operation of the facility. In 2015, vendors the repairs of these pumps under IRFQ WTE-32 and Sulzer Pump (1) year contract for \$20,000.00, with the possibility of four (4) The contract was renewed in 2016 for an additional \$20,000.00 and \$48,000.00 and in 2018, it was realized that one of the pumps would which would result in the need for the contract amount to be increased some extensive repairs needed, requiring a contract in the amount of the minimum these services.			
Executive Summary:				
<ul> <li>Contract for off-site pump repairs and maintenance for the Waste to Energy Facility.</li> <li>Originally bid in 2015 under IRFQ WTE-32 and was issued as a one year minor contract with the possibility of four (4) one-year extensions/renewals.</li> <li>This will be extension #4 of 4 for an additional \$100,000.00.</li> </ul>				
Budget Impact:				
Approved in current year budge				
Annual/Reoccurring expenditured from the specify funding source:	re? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 N/A			
	e generating, match requirements, etc.)			
Operations Impact:	- Denie Land, materi regamentatio, etc.)			
Consistent with current operati	ions/policy? Xes No N/A			
Requires change in current ope				
Specify changes required:				
Known challenges/barriers:				

				9

## **Urban Experience Committee**

Division & Department:	Public Works, 4100 Water & Hydroelectric Services
Subject:	Purchase of Miscellaneous Waterworks Products
Date:	2/11/2019
Author (email & phone):	Loren Searl, Isearl@spokanecity.org, ext. 7851
City Council Sponsor:	
<b>Executive Sponsor:</b>	(Annual Control of Con
Committee(s) Impacted:	PIES
Type of Agenda item:	☑ Consent □ Discussion □ Strategic Initiative
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)	Funding for these purchases has been included in the Water & Hydroelectric Services department budget.
Strategic Initiative:	Innovative Infrastructure, Urban Experience
Deadline:	Products needed to support 2019 construction/repair season.
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	These orders support efficient and competitive procurement of the known products required to support this year's construction/repair projects for water service throughout the City of Spokane.
	ay, January 14, 2019, sealed bids were opened to provide the Water waterworks Products in support of the 2019 service season. Six (6)

<u>Background/History:</u> On Monday, January 14, 2019, sealed bids were opened to provide the Water Warehouse with Miscellaneous Waterworks Products in support of the 2019 service season. Six (6) bids were received itemizing costs across nineteen (19) item categories. Award of this business is recommended across five (5) bid respondents as detailed in the Executive Summary below. These recommendations are in accordance with the low bidder meeting specifications and delivery requirements for each of the nineteen (19) categories.

Total Business Awarded: \$914,879.99 including tax

## **Executive Summary:**

- Award of items competed on Bid #4505-19 Miscellaneous Waterworks Products is recommended as follows:
  - o Consolidated Supply (Spokane, WA) \$601,303.46 including tax
    - Item 1: Brass
    - Item 3: Compression Brass
    - Item 6: Megalug Field Lok Gaskets
    - Item 7: Copper Pipe
    - Item 8: Ductile Iron Pipe
    - Item 19: Coiled Pit Setter Meter Box (Value Blanket \$255,000.00)
  - o Core & Main (Spokane, WA) \$59,196.50 including tax
    - Item 2: Clamps
    - Item 4: Repair Couplings
    - Item 10: Service Saddles
    - Item 11: Tapping Sleeves
    - Item 17: Eye Bolts
  - o Fastenal Company (Winona, MN) \$526.59 including tax
    - Item 16: All Thread

0	Ferguson Waterworks (Spokane Valley, WA) - \$83,666.11 including tax
	■ Item 9: HDPE Poly Pipe
	Item 12: Gate Valves
	Item 15: Combination Vacuum Release Valves
0	HD Fowler Company (Spokane, WA) - \$170,187.33 including tax
	Item 5: Ductile Iron Fittings
	Item 13: Butterfly Valves
	Item 14: Butterfly Valves – Domestic
	Item 18: Storz Fittings
Budget Imp	pact:
	n current year budget? 🗹 Yes 🔲 No
	occurring expenditure?   Yes   No
	cify funding source:
	get impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)
Operations	
	with current operations/policy?
1	hange in current operations/policy?
	inges required:
	llenges/barriers:

## **Urban Experience Committee**

Division & Department:						
Subject:	Community, Housing, & Human Services (CHHS) Department  Documents for 2 housing projects and update on other projects					
	February 11, 2019					
Date:						
Author (email & phone):	Melora Sharts, msharts@spokanecity.org, 509.625.6840					
City Council Sponsor:						
Executive Sponsor:	Kelly Keenan					
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience					
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative					
Alignment:	City of Spokane HUD Consolidated Plan					
	City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan					
Strategic Initiative:	Safe and Affordable Housing Choice					
Deadline:						
Outcome:	Rehabilitation of senior housing project with 125 units and					
construction of 2 new 3-bedroom housing units.						
Background/History: The City of Spokane receives HUD HOME program funds exclusively for						
affordable housing. The allocation of HOME funds to these projects was affirmed by the CHHS Board						
on 11/11/18 and discussed with the UE Committee on 11/12/18. Two projects allocated funds need						
Council approval, are ready to proceed, and are moving toward loan closings within 2 months.						
Executive Summary:  Cantarhury Court is a 125-unit anartment building for seniors (62+) owned by St. John's						
Canterbury Court is a 125-unit apartment building for seniors (62+) owned by St. John's  Proportion It was constructed in 1973 under a ULID 236 loop guarante. 75 units have project						
Properties. It was constructed in 1973 under a HUD 236 loan guaranty. 75 units have project-						
	<ul> <li>based rent subsidies and 50 units are for households up to 80% AMI.</li> <li>Property is managed by Kiemle &amp; Hagood.</li> </ul>					
1.76	ration was funded by a 223(f) HUD-insured loan and included work on					
100	of the units. The City's \$735,000 HOME loan funds Phase 2, including					
A STATE OF THE STA	its and common areas. Units are occupied and residents will be					
ACCOUNTS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRE	hin the building as work is done on their units.					
7000	nts, 75 units will be affordable to households at or below 30% of area					
7000	until 2037. The 24 City HOME units will be affordable to households at					
The state of the s	3,700 for a 1-person household) for 20 years. The City loan is fully					
forgivable.						
_	ave 2 3-bedroom units and is owned by Dmytro & Marina Sirenkyi and					
	/asilenko. One unit will be affordable to households at or below 30%					
AMI and one for house	holds at or below 50% AMI.					
<ul> <li>The City's HOME loan or</li> </ul>	of \$175,000. Of this, \$137,500 forgivable and \$37,500 payable.					
Affordable for 30 years	. Units should be available by late 2019.					
<ul> <li>Documentation for bot</li> </ul>	h loans is expected to be completed within the next two months.					
Work can begin therea	fter.					
Budget Impact:						
Approved in current year budge						
Annual/Reoccurring expenditu	re? Yes No					
If new, specify funding source:						
	e generating, match requirements, etc.) None.					
Operations Impact:	ions/policy?					
Consistent with current operati Requires change in current ope						
neganes change in current ope						

Specify changes required: None. Known challenges/barriers: None.



# HOME-FUNDED RENTAL PROJECTS PROPOSED AND UNDERWAY

Ownership type	City HOME funds	Total cost	everage 1 to X	Total no, of units		Cost/ unit	Cost/ sq ft	Tenant income level	AH Committee Allocation	CHHS Board approval	
Von-profit	\$735,000	\$735,000	0.0	125	09 1bd/605 sf 5 2bd/796 sf 1bd/706 sf mngr	\$5,880	6\$	11, VLI-60	10/24/18	11/11/18	
For-profit	\$175,000	\$264,234	0.5	2 2		\$132,117	\$92	:LI, VLI-50	10/24/18	11/11/18	
Lotal	\$910,000	\$999,234	0.1	127			П				
ship type	Siy HOME funds \$735,000 \$175,000		Total cost \$735,000 \$264,234 \$999,234	Total cost 1 to 1 to \$735,000 \$264,234 \$	Total cost 1 to 1 to \$735,000 \$264,234 \$	Total cost 1 to X units approx sq ft approx sq ft 1 to X units approx sq ft 1 to X units approx sq ft 15 264,234 0.5 2 2 3bd/1437 sf \$5999,234 0.1 127	Total cost 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit 1 5 2bd/796 sf 1 5 2bd/796 sf 1 1 5 2bd/796 sf 1 1 1 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Total cost 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq 2735,000 0.0 1.25 1 1bd/706 sf mngr \$5,880 \$264,234 0.5 2 23bd/1437 sf \$132,117 \$3 \$3999,234 0.1 127	Total cost 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq 2735,000 0.0 1.25 1 1bd/706 sf mngr \$5,880 \$264,234 0.5 2 23bd/1437 sf \$132,117 \$3 \$399,234 0.1 127	Total cost 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit income 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit income 2735,000 0.0 1.25 1 tod/706 sf mngr \$5,880 \$9 ELI, VLI-60 \$264,234 0.5 2 2 3bd/1437 sf \$132,117 \$92 ELI, VLI-50 \$1	Total cost 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq ft level Committee B Allocation and 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq ft level Allocation and 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq ft level Allocation and 1 to X units approx sq ft Cost/ unit sq ft level Allocation and 1 to X units approx sq ft S 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Previously Approved by City Council (Underway)	y Council (Underway)											
						Total			Γ	Tenant		
Project name	Owner/Sponsor	Ownership type	City HOME	Total cost	Leverage 1 to X	no. of	Unit type/	Coet/ unit	Cost/	income	Allocation	Council
Jayne Auld Manor	Auld Ante II C/Snokane	For-profit owner/Non-					200		3		200	BAO Idda
2830 E Francis, 6205-11 N Regal (Hillyard) Bus: 27 ("5 mi)	Housing Ventures	profit sponsor, LIHIC, bonds	\$320,000	\$9,900,600	29.9	48	2 bd/846 sf 3bd/1098-1231 sf	\$206,263	\$201	\$201 ELI, LI-60	11/1/17	2018-0737
Resident Court	Location Marie Management						25 stu/273-421 sf 11 1bd/480 sf					
1203 W 5th (Downtown) Bus: 42 (0 ft.)	Action Partners	Non-profit CHDO	\$250,000	\$365,450	0.5	43	6 2bd/804-818 sf 1 2bd mngr/818sf	\$8,499	\$13	\$13 ELI, VLI-50	n.a.	2018-0347 6/4/18
1808 E 1st												
1808 E First (East Central) Bus: 90 (1-2 blocks)	Basalt Rock, LLC/Vasilenko	For profit	\$180.000	\$320.215	00	4	3 2bd1000 sf 1 3bd/1824 sf	\$80.054	\$66	\$66 ELI. VLI-50	5/3/16	2016-0821
East First Ave Fourplex	-					Γ						
2418 E 1st Ave (East Central)							2 2bd/1120 sf					2018-0158
Bus: 90 (2 blocks)	Basalt Rock, LLC/Vasilenko	For-profit	\$245,000	\$312,313	0.3	4	2 3bd/1380 sf	\$78,078	\$62	\$62 ELI, VLI-50	10/31/17	11/1/2017
Special Skills Duplex	ioisopioo Granama backal											
2418 E 4th (East Central) Buses: 94 (blocks), 90 (5 blocks)	Resources	Non-profit CHDO	\$325,500	\$327,000	0.0	7	1 2bd/1248 sf 1 3bd/1248 sf	\$163.500	\$131 ELI	Ē	5/3/16	2016-0488 6/20/16
East 7th Avenue Duplex						Ī		1				
2413 E 7th Ave (East Central)												2018-0157
Bus: 94 (.3 mi)	Basalt Rock, LLC/Vasilenko	For-profit	\$175,000	\$325,420	0.0	2	2 3bd/1380 sf	\$162,710	\$118	\$118 ELI, VLI-50	10/31/17	11/1/2017
Transitions Permanent												
Supportive Housing	Transitions LIHTC LLC/						5 stu/416 st 4 1bd/540 sf					
N Hemlock (Audubon-Downriver)	Transitional Programs for	For-profit owner/Non-	000	000			12 2bd/650 sf		I			2017-0492
Buses: 33 (.2 mi), 23 (.3 mi)	women	profit sponsor, LIHTC	000,038	\$6,702,068	9.3	24	3 3bd/950 sf	\$279,253	\$376	\$376 ELI, VLI-50	10/13/15	7/24/17
		10+01	40 445 500	440 050 000	,	Ę			Ī			
		LOIGI	\$4,145,500	\$10,233,050	0.7	121						

Income levels relate to Spokane area median income (AMI):
Extremely low-income (ELI)
Very low-income (VII-50)
Low-income (LI-60)
Low-income (LI-60)

Household income at or below 30% AMI.
Household income at or below 50% AMI.
Household income at or below 60% AMI.
Household income at or below 80% AMI.

Info as of 1/28/19 Community, Housing, Human Services Dept, City of Spokane Contact: M Sharts, 509.625.6840, msharts@spokanecity.org

## Urban Experience Committee

<b>Division &amp; Department:</b> Public Works Division / Integrated Capital Management				
Subject:	Bikeshare (Shared Mobility) – Policy and Permitting			
Date:	2-11-19			
Contact (email & phone):	bblankenagel@spokanecity.org			
City Council Sponsor:				
Executive Sponsor:				
Committee(s) Impacted:				
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative			
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)				
Strategic Initiative:				
Deadline:				
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)  2019 Ordinance Amendments and Shared Mobility Permit Code				
City staff have engaged a stake discussed many aspects of the state validate helmet safety discussion Ordinance amendments are red With a goal of rebooting in spring Executive Summary:  O The "Bikeshare base ordinance and	ckly became a very popular transportation option in the community. The cholder group to advise policy updates. The stakeholders have met and subject ordinances. A "Policy Partner" tool was used to record and fons.  Quired before Shared Mobility vendors can legally operate in Spokane. In 2019, these amendments must be accomplished in short time.  The program – to be known as "Shared Mobility Program" requires some amendments to be able to reboot in 2019  The motorized Personal Transportation Devices amendments to be reviewed the genesis of a Shared Mobility Permit Code for Shared Mobility permitting agreement afts of the permit that pertain to system operations			
Budget Impact: Approved in current year budge Annual/Reoccurring expenditur If new, specify funding source: Other budget impacts: n/a				
Operations Impact: Consistent with current operati Requires change in current ope Specify changes required: Known challenges/barriers:				

	÷

## Briefing Paper URBAN EXPERIENCE COMMITTEE

Division & Department:	Legal
Subject:	Abandoned Property/Computer Software
Date:	1-31-19
Author (email & phone):	Mike Ormsby <u>mormsby@spokanecity.org</u> 625-6287
City Council Sponsor:	Council Woman Karen Stratton
Executive Sponsor:	Mike Ormsby
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Development/Public Safety
Type of Agenda item:	☐ Consent ☑ Discussion ☐ Strategic Initiative
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget , Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)	The Strategic Plan targets the need to identify "abandoned and nuisance properties" and repair/renovate them for more optimal use.
Strategic Initiative:	Safe and Healthy Communities
Deadline:	
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Install a software system that can be available to and assessed by multiple departments and Police
Background/History: The City has been working on the issues associate a least the last four years through the efforts of Business & Neighborhood Services and Legal).	
address properties in this category;	latabases necessary to effectively identify and ation between the City departments working
Budget Impact:  Approved in current year budget?   Annual/Reoccurring expenditure?   Yes   If new, specify funding source:  Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match	No
Operations Impact: Consistent with current operations/policy? Requires change in current operations/policy? Specify changes required: Known challenges/barrie	

The CoS has an opportunity to put a dent in the homelessness epidemic, improve the future of our children, build community efficacy, and make our neighborhoods healthier and happier by implementing a strategic policy to deal with abandoned blight.

## Cycle of Abandonment.

There are many reasons why a property may become abandoned.

Foreclosure. First, we need to distinguish foreclosure from abandonment. Foreclosure is a legal process in which a lender attempts to recover their loan by forcing the sale of the property. Abandonment is where a property owner has deserted their property; the property no longer has a responsible caretaker. Foreclosures are not the problem, abandoned properties are the problem. However, sometimes the foreclosure process creates an abandoned property. This is most common when the lender sends a Notice of Default to the property owner, the owner vacates, and the lender fails to prosecute their foreclosure. Another mechanism of foreclosure that creates abandoned blight is the length of time a foreclosure takes. On average, it takes 540 days from default to foreclosure in Washington, and this does not take into account the period of redemption that can add another 180 days to the process. If the owner vacates and the lien holder fails to secure and maintain, then these properties become abandoned.

Hedge Fund Pools and REO's. An R.E.O. property is a property owned by the lender. These are post foreclosure properties that reverted to the creditor at the foreclosure sale. A hedge fund is an aggregation of capital for investment purposes. Sometimes this investment is in a pool of real property notes or deeds. In both of these scenarios, a servicer is often managing a pool of thousands properties. These servicers have a fiduciary duty to either maximize proceeds or minimize losses. They have no vested interest in the community or any single individual property. This is one reason why properties in hedge fund pools and REO properties can fall in the *zombie property* morass. The responsible party simply cannot be bothered to return the property to productive use. A more cynical view is that the insurance (or federal guarantee) is worth more to the lender than the actual value of the property, so the lien holder is incentivized to do nothing.

<u>Deceased Owners</u>. Properties often become abandoned when the owner dies and there are no heirs (or no willing heirs). Heirs of the deceased may not have the capital to put the property in probate and may have no interest in the property. With no responsible party these properties become abandoned blight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CEU witnessed a sad example of this when investigating an abandoned property. A senior citizen on a fixed income had lived in their property for decades when she received a Notice of Default and promptly vacated her home. The bank never prosecuted the foreclosure and the property became abandoned. By the time we intervened, this property owner had been living across town for years, paying rent she could not afford, all while her home sat empty. This is an example of why prevention and education is the first and best option in stemming abandoned blight.

Code Enforcement. Currently, the CoS's Building Official process inadvertently creates blight. First, a "Do Not Occupy" order gets issued, ensuring that the property remains vacant. Second, our process attaches administrative costs and fees to the property's title. Third, there is no end point to the process (unless the owner pays the fines, fees and rehabilitates the property). The properties without a responsible owner get broken into, damaged, police/fire/medics/CE get called and respond. The Building Official orders only cover the building, so we are unable deal with squatters on the land. Unfortunately, these properties deteriorate rapidly and our neighborhoods are often left with vacant, boarded structures that now have an encumbered title.

## **Existing Efforts**

Departments and units across the City are working hard to deal with abandoned blight, but we are going about it in a scattered and disorganized manner.

- Spokane Matters Projects
  - 0 1.0 (GIS Target Map for Abandoned Properties) this 'abandoned property map' project digressed into an 'REO / foreclosure map' which did not adequately address abandoned properties and has not been maintained. This was a good pilot project for collaborative effort across multiple departments: SPD; Business Analytics; Community Frameworks; Code; CHHS; GIS, and is a model we should replicate.
  - 2.0 (<u>Derelict Housing Acquisition and Home Ownership Pilot Program</u>) utilizing CDBG funds to facilitate Habitat for Humanity's acquisition of derelict properties for the purpose of renovation and creation of affordable housing. Utilizing public grants and loans in collaboration with Community Development Corporations or private developers is the single most effective way to turn abandoned blight into affordable housing.
  - 2.0 (<u>Zombie Zap Web Posting</u>) create a landing page with information and resources related to foreclosure; provide links to WA State resources for owners and renters.
- <u>CHHS</u> CHHS makes meaningful contributions to neighborhoods by prompting new
  housing projects. They are often the catalyst that make affordable housing projects possible.
  Abandoned properties are the ideal target for their acquisition and reuse programs.
  - O Home Rehabilitation Loans loans of \$10,000 \$30,000 to low-income (<80% AMI) homeowners for needed home repairs.
  - o <u>Essential Repair Program</u> grants of \$50-\$4,000 for small but critical repairs that allow homeowners to safely remain in their home.
  - Multifamily Housing Program HOME Investment Partnership provides loans and forgivable loans of \$75,000 or more to help for-profit and nonprofit housing developers purchase, repair, or construct affordable rental housing.
- o Education & Prevention SNAP receives money from the CoS for foreclosure counseling.
- o <u>Infill Development</u> the Infill Development Steering Committee rated making zombie properties available for re-use and development as high impact.

- Spokane Police Department's Civil Enforcement Unit SPD's CEU litigates abandoned and nuisance properties; in the past 15 months CEU has placed more than a dozen abandoned properties into receivership and returned them to productive use.
- Code Enforcement Code Enforcement utilizes the Substandard Building code to respond to complaints regarding unsafe structures; such as: substandard buildings, unfit buildings, boarded-up buildings, and abandoned buildings.
  - Probate Code has hired outside counsel to assist with probate matters on properties with deceased owners. Counsel gets appointed as a personal representative with authority to sell the property.
  - HB 2057 Code utilizes a "City Affidavit of Abandonment" that allows lien holders to execute property preservation efforts on "pre-foreclosure" properties.
- O Property Maintenance Code the proposed Property Maintenance Code focuses on educating the community on home safety requirements and protecting the exterior of structures from the elements. This program may go into effect in 2019 and is currently complaint driven and designed to get voluntary compliance.
- O Vacant Lots Initiative the Vacant Lots initiative is aimed at boosting quality of life by increasing density. The City Council is considering code changes that would allow developers to go three stories high on some projects and allow new buildings closer to property lines.
- Ending Homelessness Initiative objectives include: (1) preserving and expanding quality, safe, affordable housing choices; (2) prevent and reduce homelessness; and (3) expand community development and economic opportunities.
- Mayor's Quality Housing Task Force final recommendation for year 0-1 included partnering with local real estate organizations to identify vacant, abandoned and substandard homes. Final recommendation for years 1-2 included pursuing legislative action to expedite foreclosures.<sup>2</sup>

## Legal Tools

- Property Tax Foreclosure the sale of a property, by the county, to pay delinquent property taxes; transfers clear title to a new owner. (RCW 84.64, RCW 84.56.020).
- Executing a Judgment (Municipal Foreclosure) the City imposes costs and penalties on properties for a variety of reasons. Once those costs are awarded as judgments and filed with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A CoS 'foreclosure reform group' has worked for the past three years to enact legislation aimed at fixing *Jordan v. Nationstar* and streamlining the foreclosure process. The end result of this work has been HB 2057. While this law is now something we have to work with, in my opinion, it: conflicts with current state law on abatement; shifts liability and responsibility from lien holders to municipalities; provides yet another smoke screen for lien holders to hide behind; creates an unfunded municipal responsibility; fails to address the slow foreclosure process; and fails to provide a mechanism to return abandoned properties to productive use. However, CE has implemented a process around this new law and has used it to successfully obtain lien holder property preservation on preforeclosure homes.

- the city treasurer as liens on the property, the city can have an execution issued for the collection of the judgment. Essentially forcing a foreclosure. (RCW 84.64, RCW 6.17).
- o Probate the City can initiate a probate action on behalf of the deceased. (RCW 11).
- <u>Building Official Process</u> this process is discussed above, but with a few minor code amendments and process shifts the building official process could be used effectively to return abandoned property to productive use through receivership. (SMC 17F.070).
- o Receivership court appoints a receiver (a neutral third party) to take possession, manage and dispose of real property; the receiver can sell the property free and clear of liens and rights of redemption. SPD CEU is having success clearing encumbered titles and returning blight to productive use through this method. (RCW 7.60).
- o <u>Chronic Nuisance Abatement</u> the City can abate an abandoned property nuisance by appointing a receiver to sell the property. (SMC 10.08A and RCW 7.43).
- o <u>Drug Forfeiture</u> a law enforcement agency may seize and forfeit real property that is used for the delivery of controlled substances. "Forfeited property and net proceeds shall be retained by the seizing law enforcement agency exclusively for the expansion and improvement of controlled substances related law enforcement activity." RCW 69.50.505.
- Eminent Domain & Condemnation the City may acquire blighted property (i.e., property that has not been lawfully occupied for a period of one or more years and is either a threat to public safety or associated with drug activity). RCW 35.80A. RCW 8. City of Tacoma v. Zimmerman, 119 Wn. App. 738, review denied, 152 Wn.2d 1028 (2004).

## **Abandoned Property Data**

There is no single identifier for an abandoned property, rather there are numerous characteristics that need to be looked at together. Currently our real property data is fragmented across multiple silos: notices of default; foreclosure registry; liens; probate; tax delinquency; utility information; calls for service; code violations; property value; tax payer; and ownership.

There is a critical need for this information to be unified, in real-time, and parcel based. Without reliable, up-to-date, data we cannot begin to make informed, evidence based, policies.

The City has completed an RFP for software that aggregates these fragmented data sources into a single, spatially-enabled web application. This integration is automated at regular intervals, can run advanced spatial queries, allows users to generate reports, performance metrics, scoring models, incorporates machine learning and predictive modeling, and standardizes data. The selected provider is Tolemi's BuildingBlocks application. Louisville was the pilot City for this application. Just in the last fiscal year Louisville has saved over \$400,000 in demolition, sold 60 rehabbed houses, and leveraged \$4,000,000 in private investment into rehabilitated housing.

## Optimizing our Impact

Only by unifying our current efforts under a single strategic approach can we fully realize the power of our efforts.

The first step is data integration and spatial analytics with reliable, up-to-date, parcel based, data. With Tolemi's BuildingBlocks application, the CoS, third party investors and

CEU | CEA December 12, 2018

community-based organizations can begin to evaluate abandoned properties in parcel level detail and make impactful decisions on how and where to intervene and invest.

The second step is to unify and coordinate our efforts under a common strategic plan. This plan should focus on (1) preventing the next abandoned property (outreach, education, systemic reform); and (2) returning the current backlog of abandoned blight to productive use (receivership and probate litigation, negotiation with lien holders, catalyzing private enterprise).

Abandoned properties are an opportunity for the City of Spokane. By addressing abandoned blight we can rebuild public trust, improve economic vitality and make our neighborhoods healthier and safer.



Project Title	Maximizing Property Utilization
Co-Project Sponsor	Capt. Brad Arleth
Div/Dept	Spokane Police Department
Co-Project Sponsors	Kris Becker / Kelly Keenan
Div/Dept	Neighborhood and Business Services
Project Manager	Brandon Meiers, Project Management Office
Date Prepared	1/14/2019

#### **Project Description:**

The City of Spokane has a multi-department opportunity to improve the health, safety and quality of life for all its citizens by returning abandoned and distressed properties back to productive use through purposeful rehabilitation. This project includes a cross-department life-cycle process approach and includes implementation of Tolemi BuildingBlocks, a geographical real property data analysis and case management information system that allows sharing of information across City departments.

#### **Project Purpose:**

Abandoned properties are a threat to public health and safety and present a daunting challenge with an estimated 1,500 abandoned properties citywide. The average abandoned property generates \$1,472 in direct municipal costs per year. This is a \$2.2 million burden to Spokane tax payers every single year. Abandoned properties decrease the value of nearby homes, decrease municipal revenue, and increase crime.

Mining the fragmented foreclosure, lien, probate, tax, utility, and ownership records from the various incompatible departmental data systems is arduous and costly. There is a critical need for this information to be unified, in real-time, and parcel based. A geographical real property information system enables the City of Spokane, third party investors, and community-based organizations to evaluate the scope of the abandoned property mortgage crises in parcel level detail and make insightful and impactful decisions on where and how to intervene and invest. It better integrates data on properties from multiple sources and would allow a public-facing portal of approved information to be available to the public. This open public portal is not a requirement, but is available within the selected system if the City chooses to make some or all of that information available in that way.

The problem statement has been defined to ensure a life-cycle approach to managing these properties and owners. This has the potential to support earlier identification and support of owners of properties that may have indicators of distressed ownership that may benefit from earlier City or other agency resources. These indicators come from the same data from utilities and is currently a separate project under review by the City Administrator.

#### **Business Case Justification:**

Strategic Objective



• Safe and Healthy- Safer Community

Abandoned properties as a threat to public health and safety

- There is a direct correlation between crime and abandoned properties
- A one percentage point increase in foreclosure rate relates to an estimated increase in violent crime by
   6.68 percent
- The rate of violent crime within 250 feet of an abandoned property typically increases by 15 percent
- A block with an abandoned property can expect 3.2 times the drug calls, 1.8 time the theft calls, and double the calls for violent behavior

Abandoned properties directly and indirectly cost taxpayers millions of dollars per year

- Average abandoned property generates \$1,472 in direct municipal cost (~\$2.2 mil)
- A single abandoned property reduces the aggregate value of nearby homes an estimated \$63,000
  (~\$94.5 mil)
- Abandoned properties decrease municipal revenue through uncollected taxes and reduced tax rolls (\$1.9 mil)

#### **Project Goal**

A 1% conversion (15 properties) of abandoned or distressed properties back to useful life with taxes,
fees, and liens paid over 18 months from start of project. Once the initial year is underway and on path
to success a broader non-government partnership model of how to scale and increase the number of
properties in transition each year would require review and a separate project charter to develop and
implement an expanded program.

#### **Cost Estimate**

Low \$40,000 - High \$40,000

#### **Benefit Estimate**

Low 0 - High \$41,000 (15 properties generating property tax and reduced City costs); .5 FTE estimated staff capacity gain by reduced staff input and documentation in separate tracking methods

#### **High-Level Requirements:**

- Datasets we have access to today
  - o Foreclosure from assessor data, not the foreclosure registry from Code Enforcement
  - o County Assessor/Treasurer Data
  - o Avista data no power available at property
  - o City data no water available at property
- Datasets to be included in this process on initial implementation
  - o Properties identified as abandoned chronic nuisance by SPD analysts
  - o Calls for service
    - 911

Commented [EF1]: I believe we need to set a goal to help harness the benefit,

We need city numbers, as 1% of the 1500 countywide may not be the right goal. The various agencies still seem to be in the single digit per year in terms of rehabbing houses so a realistic goal and timoframo may be needed.



- Crime Check
- Fire
- Police
- o Code enforcement cases, including active cases preferably
- o State LLCs owned by the same entity
- o Death certificate data
- o Houses in probate deceased individuals
- o Addresses where CHHS has provided loans
- Datasets to be included in future updates
  - o Community Champions data (First Year)
  - o Building Official cases, including active cases preferably (First Year)
  - o USPS need to define (First Year)
  - o Demographics / Census data (First Year)
  - Data on corporate landlords (TBD)
  - Avista late pay or payment arrangement indicators (TBD) \*
  - o City Utility late pay or payment arrangement indicators (TBD) \*
  - \*-To facilitate earlier identification and support to home owners before a property becomes distressed or abandoned

#### **Project Assumptions and Risks:**

- Assumptions
- Risks

#### **Project Constraints:**

- Cost
  - o Software budget limited to \$40,000
- Scope
  - O Data sets that will be included in the initial implementation are limited to those that are available when the project kicks off. Additional datasets can be included in the product as they become available after project closure.
- Schedule
  - o TBD 2Q 2019 this will be determined once the contract is completed

#### Project Budget:

Budget Years - 2019

#### **Project Timeline:**

 This will be determined once the contract is completed. It is estimated as a 90 day implementation and data conversion which would indicate a mid to end of 2Q 2019 implementation.

#### Project Sponsorship & Team:



Add the following to the table as appropriate:

- Team Member: Individuals that work toward the deliverables of the project.
  - Responsibilities include: understand the work to be completed; complete research, data gathering, analysis, and documentation as outlined in the project plan; inform the project manager of issues, scope changes, and risk and quality concerns; proactively communicate status; and manage expectations.
- Stakeholders: Individuals affected by the activities or outcome of a project.
  - Responsibilities may include: Provide input into the project charter. Participate in testing and training. Sign off on project deliverables. Stay informed of project status/progress and raise risks and issues.
- Subject Matter Experts (SMEs): Experts on specific topics that pertain to the scope of the project.
  - Responsibilities Include: Providing the project Team Members with expert recommendations and/or guidance. SMEs typically are not responsible for completing project deliverables.

Project Team Members	Title/Department	
Bischoff, Kate	Customer Success Dept. Head/Tolemi	
Melers, Brandon	Senior Project Manager/PMO	

Title/Department
City Administrator / Interim for NBS Director
Captian/SPD
Director/DSC, Parking, Code Enforcement
CITO/ITSD
Asst. City Attorney/Legal
Director/CHHS
City Attorney/Legal

Subject Matter Experts	Title/Department
Ruffing, Jason	/Code Enforcement
Myers, Bill	GIS Manager/ITSD
Obbie, Dave	DBA/ITSD
Trautman, Paul	Program Manager/CHHS
West, Jacque	Program Manager/BDS
Wittstruck, Melissa	

Approval	S
----------	---

Co-Sponsor Signatu	re:	
Co-Sponsor Name:	Brad Arleth - Captain/SPD	



Date:	
Co-Sponsor Signature:	
Co-Sponsor Name: Kris, Becker - Director/DSC, Parking, Code	Enforcement
Date:	
Co-Sponsor Signature:	
Co-Sponsor Name: Kelly, Keenan – Director/CHHS	
Date:	
Project Manager Signature:	A 1
Project Manager Name: Melers, Brandon – Sr. Project Manage	er/PMO
Date:	

		ĸ

Differing Luper		
Urban Experience Committee		
Division & Department:	Business & Development Services, Neighborhood & Planning Services	
Subject:	Traffic Calming Program 2018 Application Approval	
Date:	1/31/2019	
Author (email & phone):	kmyers@spokanecity.org, 509-625-6733	
City Council Sponsor:	Beggs, Mumm, Burke	
Executive Sponsor:	Beggs	
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience & PIES	
Type of Agenda item:	Consent X Discussion Strategic Initiative	
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)		
Strategic Initiative:	Infrastructure	
Deadline:	NA	
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Approve cycle 8 (2018) neighborhood council traffic calming applications for future construction/implementation.	
applications each year for traffi from the Photo Red program. T	fic Calming Program allows neighborhood councils to submit up to two ic calming features within their neighborhood with funding coming the intent of this briefing paper is to brief the full Council on ons received in 2018 and then take the list of projects to a formal of approval.	
	ng applications that have been preliminarily approved by the Council for design, engineering and construction using funds from the Traffic	

## District 1

- Chief Garry Park: Wandering sidewalk in the west end of Chief Garry Park, from Mission to Sinto, connecting to the proposed new STA City Central Line \$160,000.
- Chief Garry Park: Sidewalks on Cook St., from Nora to Mission, \$175,000.
- Nevada Heights: Interactive speed feedback signs at Nevada & Everett, \$30,000.

Total for District 1: \$365,000.

#### District 2

- Browne's Addition: 2nd & Cannon enhanced pedestrian crossing at Oak, \$20,000.
- Cliff/Cannon: Cedar & 14<sup>th</sup> pedestrian refuge island, \$60,000.
- Grandview/Thorpe: Infill sidewalk on Milton St. from 14<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> Ave. \$190,000.
- Manito/Cannon Hill: Bernard & 25<sup>th</sup> bump out and marked crosswalk, \$55,000.

Total for District 2: \$325,000.

## District 3

- Emerson/Garfield: Post St. Waverly Pl. to Cleveland Ave. install a marked crosswalk and Rectangular Rapid Flash Pedestrian Crossing Beacon (RRFB), \$50,000.
- Five Mile: Install infill sidewalk on Strong Road, from the proposed new Roundabout to existing pedestrian pathway that leads to Sky Prairie Park., \$100,000.
- North Hill: Post & Gordon intersection Infill sidewalk and ADA ramps, \$80,000.
- Northwest: Interactive speed feedback signs, on Assembly approximately in front of Dwight Merkel Sports Complex, \$30,000.
- Northwest: Install infill sidewalk on the south end of Loma Vista Park, \$100,000.

Total for District 3: \$360,000.

Total Cost for all recommended	project	s to be charg	ed to Photo	Red: \$1	.050.000
--------------------------------	---------	---------------	-------------	----------	----------

Budget Impact:
Approved in current year budget?  Yes X No
Annual/Reoccurring expenditure? Yes X No
If new, specify funding source:
Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)
Operations Impact:
Consistent with current operations/policy?
Requires change in current operations/policy?
Specify changes required: NA
Known challenges/barriers: NA

×	rban Experience committee
Division & Department:	Business & Development Services, Neighborhood & Planning Services
Subject:	School Safety Program 2018 Application Approval
Date:	1/31/2019
Author (email & phone):	kmyers@spokanecity.org, 509-625-6733
City Council Sponsor:	Beggs, Mumm, Burke
Executive Sponsor:	Beggs
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience & PIES
Type of Agenda item:	Consent X Discussion Strategic Initiative
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)	
Strategic Initiative:	Infrastructure
Deadline:	NA
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	Approve 2018 School Safety Program applications for future construction/implementation.
schools throughout the City of	nunity wide applications for safety improvements at, near and around Spokane. The intent of this briefing paper is to brief the full Council on ed in 2018 and then take the list of projects to a formal Council royal.
	m applications that have been preliminarily approved by the Council for design, engineering and construction using funds from the School
<ul> <li>Spokane Public Schools: City wide - store and distribute multi-sport certified helmets for biking and skateboarding, \$5,000.</li> <li>GIS Mapping by City forces to add preferred routes to school to the City GIS map, \$50,000.</li> </ul>	
Total for City Wide Pro	jects: 55,000
<ul> <li><u>District 1 Projects</u></li> <li>Nevada Heights: Rogers High School - Perry St - Infill sidewalks from Empire to Wellesley, \$220,000.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Whitman Neighborhood Council: Whitman Elementary, Pittsburg - Infill sidewalks from Everett to Queen, \$230,000.</li> </ul>	

Integrated Capital Management (ICM): Pride Prep – Install a Rectangular Rapid Flash Pedestrian Crossing Beacon (RRFB) across Sprague just east of Scott Street at the existing pedestrian crossing, \$60,000.

- Spokane Public Schools: Arlington Elementary Replace existing overhead school crossing lights and existing school 20 MPH speed zone on Francis with a 20 MPH When Flashing device, \$24,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Arlington Elementary Install separated sidewalks and street trees on Weile - Pittsburg to Crestline, \$225,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Arlington Elementary Install sidewalks and pave Vanetta Napa to Crestline \$300,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Rogers High School Replace existing 20MPH school zone on Wellesley with a 20 MPH When Flashing device, \$22,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Rogers High School Install a new RRFB on west leg of Helena & Wellesley, \$60,000.

Total for District 1 Projects: \$1,141,000.

#### **District 2 Projects**

- Rockwood Neighborhood Council: Hutton Elementary install a test speed hump at Garfield & 24<sup>th</sup> and perform effectiveness study, \$110,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Lincoln Heights Elementary, move crosswalk to south leg of Freya and 22<sup>nd</sup>, \$5,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Lincoln Heights Elementary Install sidewalks on east side of Thor -21st to 22nd adjust crosswalks, \$70,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Lincoln Heights Elementary Install sidewalks on north side of 23rd -Thor to Freya, \$145,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Hamblen Elementary Install sidewalks on one side of Crestline 34<sup>th</sup>
   -37<sup>th</sup>, \$200,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Sheridan Elementary Install standard City of Spokane crosswalk, school crossing, at Hartson and Freya \$5,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Ferris High School Replace existing 20MPH school zone on 37<sup>th</sup> and on Regal, with a 20 MPH When Flashing device, \$44,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Lewis & Clark High School move the existing crosswalk from the south to the north leg of 5th & Washington, Install school signs, and a 20 MPH When Flashing device, \$35,000.

Total for District 2 Projects: \$614,000.

## **District 3 Projects**

- North Hill Neighborhood Council: Madison Elementary Install sidewalk on north side of Rowan - Howard to Stevens, \$50,000.
- North Hill Neighborhood Council: Madison Elementary Phase 1 Install wandering sidewalk in Franklin Park (Phase 1 on the west side of the park and move current crosswalk at Whitehouse and Queen from the west leg to the east leg. Phase 2 will be wandering sidewalk in the south side of the park and will be implemented by the Parks Department, \$195,000.
- Balboa/SIT Neighborhood Council: Assumption School change the existing overhead school crossing and existing school signing to a pedestrian RRFB, \$50,000.
- Spokane Public Schools: Salk Middle School Install a 20 MPH When Flashing device on Alberta, north of Francis for the school, \$22,000.

•	Spokane Public Schools: Shadle High School – Replace existing 20MPH school zone on Ash with a 20 MPH When Flashing device, \$22,000.
•	Spokane Public Schools: North Central High School – Replace existing 20MPH school zone on
	Maxwell and on Howard with a 20 MPH When Flashing device, \$44,000.
	Total for District 3 Projects: \$383,000.
Total C	Cost for all recommended projects to be charged to school radar fund: \$2,193,000.00
Budget	t Impact:
Approv	ved in current year budget? Yea X No
Annual	I/Reoccurring expenditure? Yes X No
f new,	specify funding source:
Other I	budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)
<u>Operat</u>	ions Impact:
Consist	tent with current operations/policy? X 🖳 Yes 🔲 No
Require	es change in current operations/policy?
Specify	changes required: NA
. ,	challenges/barriers: NA

16	a.		N

## Briefing Paper Urban Experience Committee

Division & Department:	Library	
Subject:	Library Bond Implementation Update	
Date:	2/4/19	
Author (email & phone):	Andrew Chanse, achanse@spokanelibrary.org, 444-5305	
City Council Sponsor:	Burke	
<b>Executive Sponsor:</b>	Andrew Chanse	
Committee(s) Impacted:	Urban Experience	
Type of Agenda item:	Consent Discussion Strategic Initiative	
Alignment: (link agenda item to guiding document – i.e., Master Plan, Budget, Comp Plan, Policy, Charter, Strategic Plan)	http://future.spokanelibrary.org/SPL6%20report%202018-07- 10%20DRAFT[4].pdf	
Strategic Initiative:	Vision for Renewed Library System (Implementation)	
Deadline:	December 2023	
Outcome: (deliverables, delivery duties, milestones to meet)	SPL Bond Projects implemented by end of 2023	
	on voter approved bond for SPL capital projects	

#### **Executive Summary:**

- Scope and contract with Group 4 and Integrus for the next phase of design work and planning has been completed
- Interviews to select a GC/CM for Downtown and Shadle occurred the first week of February.
- Second round of internal programming and concept refinement workshops for the facilities were held in late January. Umbrella agreement between city/schools/libraries is nearly complete. Anticipated adoption in mid-February.
- We will begin design work for Downtown, Shadle, the East Side relocation, and the new facility next to Libby Center this quarter. Meetings are being coordinated with Parks and Schools on joint projects.
- We have been actively participating in the Shaw Campus conceptual work with Spokane Public Schools since last October.
- Umbrella agreement between city/schools/libraries is nearly complete. Anticipated adoption in mid-February.
- Design work for South Hill and Indian Trail will resume mid-2020.
- We anticipate all projects to be complete by the end of 2023..

<ul> <li>Public opportunities for updates and feedback will happen throughout the project.</li> <li>Updates will be shared on http://future.spokanelibrary.org/</li> </ul>
Budget Impact: Approved in current year budget? Yes No Annual/Reoccurring expenditure? Yes No If new, specify funding source: Voter-approved bond fund
Other budget impacts: (revenue generating, match requirements, etc.)
Operations Impact:  Consistent with current operations/policy?  Requires change in current operations/policy?  Specify changes required: Staffing levels adjustment
Known challenges/barriers: Staffing for new/expanded facilities will need to be addressed. We are currently working on drafts of different tiers of staffing for later discussion with Library Board of Trustees, City Council, and Administration.

**(3)**