From:	Amanda Mansfield
To:	Gwinn, Nathan
Cc:	Eve Nelson
Subject:	SRTC Comments on Proposed Update to City of Spokane Master Bike Plan
Date:	Friday, January 23, 2015 4:07:21 PM
Attachments:	SRTC Comments - Master Bike Plan.pdf

Hello Nathan,

Please see attached SRTC's comments as requested and feel free to contact me with questions/comments.

Thank you.

Amanda Mansfield Associate Transportation Planner Spokane Regional Transportation Council (SRTC) 221 W. First Ave., Suite 310 Spokane, WA 99201 509-343-5251 amansfield@srtc.org



MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 23, 2015

TO: Nathan Gwinn, City Planner, City of Spokane

FROM: Amanda Mansfield, Associate Transportation Planner, SRTC

SUBJECT: Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment to Chapter 4 Transportation and Amendment to Map TR2 Planned Bikeway Network to Revise the City of Spokane Master Bike Plan

In response to the Request for Comments released on January 9, 2015 by the City of Spokane regarding proposed text amendments to *Chapter 4.9 Spokane Master Bike Plan* of the *City Comprehensive Plan*, SRTC makes the following comments:

Under 'Goals and Polices' (p. 1) add the following text:

- ["Educate bicyclists, motorists, and the general public about bicycle safety and the benefits of bicycling and increase bicyclist safety through effective law enforcement and detailed crash analysis.] Emphasize the economic benefits drawing from the growing pool of data demonstrating the benefits to property values, employee attraction and retention, tourism, job creation and main street and downtown revitalization etc."
- "Shift the focus from bicycling as a recreational and commuting option to bicycling as a commuting option to improve its credibility, create a more singular and thus stronger message, and allow for partnerships to be formed with key active transportation commuter organizations."
- "Increase focus on the inherent interdependency and complementary nature of bicycling, walking, and transit and where possible plan and pursue funding for all three modes in coordination."

Under 'Introduction' (pp. 1-2) add the following text:

"The Spokane Master Bike Plan is consistent with the Seven Guiding Principles of Horizon 2040, SRTC's Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), SRTC's Spokane County Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan, and SRTC's 2008 Spokane Regional Bike Plan. The Plan is also in line with two significant SRTC policies that are intended to reduce single occupant vehicle trips through demand reductions: the Safe and Complete Streets Policy and Checklist and the Congestion Management Process (CMP)."

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this proposal.

Sincerely,

Amanda Mansfield Associate Transportation Planner SRTC

From:	Dean Lynch	
To:	Gwinn, Nathan; Sally Phillips	
Cc:	Dean Lynch; Deb Barnes; Jon Galow; Judy Gardner; Marilyn Lloyd; Ted Teske; "Teresa Kafentzis"	
Subject:	City of Spokane Master Bike Plan Revision	
Date:	Monday, January 19, 2015 5:30:24 PM	

Nathan,

I was reviewing the Planned Bikeway Network Map (TR2): Exhibit C. As far as I could tell, it did not include the work of the South Hill Coalition Connectivity and Livability Strategic Plan. Do you have the completed plan that was adopted by the Spokane City Council. If not it can be viewed at www.SouthHillCoalition.org.

Dean Lynch, Chair Rockwood Neighborhood Council (509) 838-6743

Be kinder than necessary, because everyone you meet is fighting some kind of battle. author unknown

From:	Chief Garry Park Neighborhood Council
То:	Gwinn, Nathan
Subject:	Fwd:
Date:	Tuesday, January 13, 2015 4:47:55 PM

Nathan

Don't know if you got this seems I had an issue with getting the address right?

Colleen ------ Forwarded message ------From: **Chief Garry Park Neighborhood Council** <<u>chiefgarryparknc@gmail.com</u>> Date: Mon, Jan 12, 2015 at 12:08 PM Subject: To: <u>ngwinn@spokane.city.org</u>

Where does the money come from?

Are we going to license bikes with new tabs every year to maintain this infrastructure? or as usual spend first then worry about who pays.

Shouldn't we have some indication of the # of riders that use Bikes as a primary mode of transportation versus drivers/user of public transportation?

Are bike users going to provide proof of insurance?

Some of the questions I have been asked.

Colleen

Colleen Gardner Co-Chair Neighborhhod Council CA representative Chief Garry Park chiefgarryparknc@gmail.com FB-chiefgarry park website chiefgarrypark.spokanneighborhoods.org

Colleen Gardner Co-Chair Neighborhhod Council CA representative Chief Garry Park chiefgarryparknc@gmail.com FB-chiefgarry park website chiefgarrypark.spokanneighborhoods.org

Nathan,

We have reviewed you bike plan Revisions and find no general objections.

Avista's main concern is any construction which may cause us move facilities and the detail enclosed was not adequate to determine that.

If you find in your design, utility structures in your path that may need relocation, please contact us with more detailed information.

Thank You.

Dave Chambers Real Estate Representative

work phone: 509.495.4419
cell phone: 509.981.4979

e-<u>-</u><u>david.chambers@avistacorp.com</u>

PO Box 3727 MSC-25 Spokane WA 99220-3727 Nathan,

Sorry to offer comments late in the game, but we'd love to see some east—west bike routes through the Emerson-Garfield neighborhood. This was highlighted as a deficiency in our neighborhood plan, and the bike map as it stands is fairly short on those.

W Montgomery might be a good east—west candidate because it has a traffic light, but there are several arguments in favor of finding a street north of that (e.g., W Cleveland) that will run almost contiguously from Division to, say, Audubon Park.

As for north—south routes, Cedar (north of Cora) and Madison (south of Cora) is a de facto bike lane that should probably become official. Through E-G, Madison is wide enough to handle a whole fleet of bikes, and it runs one street over and parallel to Monroe, which is nice from a cyclist's perspective.

Again, I'm sorry to chime in so late. I've been meaning to attend the relevant meetings; one of me just isn't enough to make the sixty meetings a month that are requesting involvement and input.

Best,

— E.J.