Spokane Plan Commission Agenda August 14, 2019 2:00 PM City Council Chambers 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., Spokane WA 99201 TIMES GIVEN ARE AN ESTIMATE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE						
	Public Comment Period:					
3 minutes each	utes each Citizens are invited to address the Plan Commission on any topic not on the agenda.					
	Commission Briefing Session:					
2:00 – 2:30	 Approve July 24, 2019 meeting minutes City Council Report Community Assembly Liaison Report President Report Transportation Sub-Committee Report Secretary Report 	All Kate Burke Vacant Todd Beyreuther John Dietzman Heather Trautman				
	Workshops:					
2:30 - 2:45 2:45 - 3:00 3:00 - 3:40	 <u>Street Tree/Landscape 17C.200 amendments</u>) <u>Update on Downtown Plan Update Process/Consultant</u> <u>North Bank</u> 	Melissa Owen Heather Trautman Melissa Wittstruck				
	Hearings:					
	4) Impact Fees	Inga Note				
	5) <u>Renaming of East Central Community Center</u>	Karin Janssen				
	Adjournment:					
	Next Plan Commission meeting will be on September 11, 2019 at 1:00 pm					

The password for City of Spokane Guest Wireless access has been changed: Username: COS Guest Password: WF64d8tb

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) INFORMATION: The City of Spokane is committed to providing equal access to its facilities, programs and services for persons with disabilities. The Spokane City Council Chamber and the City Council Briefing Center in the lower level of Spokane City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., is wheelchair accessible and also is equipped with an infrared assistive listening system for persons with hearing loss. Headsets may be checked out (upon presentation of picture I.D.) at the City Cable 5 Production Booth located on the First Floor of the Municipal Building, directly above the Chase Gallery or through the meeting organizer. Individuals requesting reasonable accommodations or further information may call, write, or email Human Resources at 509.625.6363, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane, WA, 99201; or <u>msteinolfson@spokanecity.org</u>. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact Human Resources through the Washington Relay Service at 7-1-1. Please contact us forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting date.

Spokane Plan Commission - Draft Minutes

July 24, 2019

Meeting Minutes: Meeting called to order at 1:03 PM

Attendance:

- Board Members Present: Michael Baker, Sylvia St. Clair, Greg Francis, Todd Beyreuther, Diana Painter, Carole Shook,
- Board Members Not Present: John Dietzman, Christopher Batten, Kate Burke (City Council Liaison),
- Staff Members Present: Tirrell Black, Nathan Gwinn, Christopher Green, Kevin Freibott, James Richman, Melissa Wittstruck, Inga Note, Stephanie Bishop

Public Comment:

None

Briefing Session:

- 1. City Council Liaison- Kate Burke (Absent)
 - None
- 2. Community Assembly Liaison Report Greg Francis
 - Patricia Hansen has resigned as the Community Assembly Liaison. The Community Assembly is working on finding a new liaison.
 - The Police Department provided an update on their new use of force policy.
 - CM Beggs briefed the assembly on the new homeless shelter at Havana and Sprague
 - Updated on the new Multi-Family Tax Exemption (MFTE) boundaries that are being proposed.
 - Land Use committee-South University District and the two text based changes to the Comprehensive Plan Amendments.
 - At the next CA meeting Inga will be briefing on the updates to the arterial maps.

3. Commission President Report - Todd Beyreuther

- Attending WSU/NSF sponsored global community and urbanization workshop in Seattle next week.
- 4. Transportation Subcommittee Report John Dietzman
 - None

5. Secretary Report- Tirrell Black

- Amanda Winchell has taken a new position at the Spokane Fire Department, so Planning Services will be getting a new Clerk III.
- Working on finalizing the North Bank Plan with the neighborhoods. Melissa Wittstruck will be presenting to the Plan Commission at the August 14th Plan Commission meeting.
- Christopher Green shared the community outreach update on the South University District.
- Heather Trautman is out this week.
- No decision has been made by City Council regarding the position vacancies. Todd suggests that the Commission discuss future recruitment.

Diana Painter made a motion to approve the July 10, 2019 meeting minutes with amendments to the Plan Commissions recommendation to the Overview of 2019 Legislation. Commission approved the minutes with amendments unanimously.

Workshops:

- 1. Comprehensive Plan Amendment Text Amendment (LU 4.6, Z18-958)
 - Presentation and overview provided by Kevin Freibott; City of Spokane Planning Services and Kathleen Weinand; Spokane Transit Authority
 - Questions asked and answered
 - Discussion ensued

Greg Francis moved that staff bring forth to Hearing the policy language as amended by staff for LU 4.6, Z18-958; Michael Baker seconded. Motion carried.

2. Renaming of the East Central Community Center

- Presentation provided by Karin Janssen, Community Engagement Programs Manager, City of Spokane
- Questions asked and answered
- Discussion ensued

Greg Francis moved that the name choices brought forward to the August 14th hearing be narrowed to the two that received the most votes in the poll (Martin Luther King Jr and East Central Community Center), John Dietzman seconded. Motion carried.

President Beyreuther called for a break at 2:25 PM. Meeting resumed at 2:27 PM.

- 3. Impact Fees
 - Presentation and overview provided by Inga Note
 - Questions asked and answered
 - Discussion ensued

4. Continuing E2SHB 1923 Urban Capacity Funding Opportunity

- Presentation and overview provided by Tirrell Black
- Questions asked and answered
- Discussion ensued

Greg Francis moved that Plan Commission recommends to City Council to apply for the \$100,000 grant for the Housing Action Plan, while also strongly considering internal options for the menu items in option one and rescinding the Commission's previous motion at the July 10th meeting; Michael Baker seconded. Motion carried.

Meeting Adjourned at 5:02 PM

Next Plan Commission Meeting is scheduled for August 14, 2019

BRIEFING PAPER Plan Commission

City Council; Business Development Services; Neighborhood and Planning Services; Parks and Recreation; Urban Forestry; and Utilities August 14, 2019

<u>Subject</u>

Protecting Leaves and Adding New Trees (PLANT) Proposed Ordinance

Background

In April 2019, the Spokane City Council passed a Title 12 Urban Forestry Ordinance that committed the City of Spokane to three new urban forestry aspirational goals:

- Increase the canopy coverage (percentage of land surface area covered under a tree canopy) of all land within the City limits to 30% by the year 2030 (current canopy coverage estimated to be ~23%)
- 2. Create new reforestation programs and maintain existing ones
- 3. Update the Urban Forestry Plan once at least every five years

The PLANT Ordinance builds off the Title 12 Urban Forestry Ordinance by helping the City achieve its goal of reaching 30% canopy by 2030.

Impact

Spokane's urban forest is a unique environmental asset to the City. Trees within the City produce oxygen and filter airborne pollutants, save energy costs, and reduce storm water runoff, among other benefits.

The PLANT Ordinance is the first step toward achieving the aspirational goals established in the Title 12 Urban Forestry Ordinance in order to help preserve these environmental assets.

<u>Action</u>

PLANT demonstrates the City's continued commitment to both preserve and enhance its urban canopy in order to reach 30% canopy coverage by 2030 by amending Title 17 of the Spokane Municipal Code to:

- 1. Remove exception for street tree planting requirements when construction new single family and duplex dwelling units
- 2. Allow the City to undertake street tree maintenance work and charge abutting property owners when an adjacent owner fails to maintain adjacent street trees and required landscaping.
- 3. Require the installation of auto-irrigation systems (if not installed already) in planting strips when a property undergoes new construction
- 4. Implement fees in lieu of planting street trees in limited circumstances

- 5. Emphasize and clarify regulations to protect trees during construction of new or renovated buildings
- 6. Create a new incentive-based tree retention City utility bill credit program

These Title 17 amendments will be presented to the Plan Commission in workshops on August 14 and September 25. The formal hearing will be held by Plan Commission on October 23, where the Plan Commission will make a final recommendation. The City Council will then take action based on that recommendation.

2020 Downtown Plan Update

CITY COUNCIL STUDY SESSION JULY 25, 2019

Presented by: Alex Mann, City of Spokane, Urban Designer

Andrew Rolwes, VP of Public Policy, DSP

Mark Richard, President & CEO, DSP





Purpose of Briefing

Share Information

- Re-cap Downtown Plan Update history
- Update on Downtown Plan "Phase II" contract, scope of work, and proposed schedule.
- Updates to Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

Gather Feedback

- Council feedback on proposed revisions to the MOU
- Council's preference for involvement and updates
- Any additional feedback

Re-cap of Downtown Plan History

- Original Plan: Downtown Central
 "Phase I"
 - Minor Plan Update only
 - City Led, DSP Supported
- Request by DSP for second
 "Phase II" Process
 - Major Update
 - Combined Funding
 - DSP Led, City Supported
- Memorandum of Understanding / Council 2019 Budget
 - MOU established between City and DSP (2018), contingent on 2019 budget approval



Where We Are Now

N

PHASE I -

City Led,

DSP Assistance

2019

2020

- Current discussions have resulted in consideration of a separate but consecutive process where the City and DSP each conduct their own process.
- Significant opportunity exists to address the needs of both the City and DSP while resulting in a potentially greater result.

The Opportunity Presented

The City found the following during its review of the project scope and schedule:

- While some items must be addressed immediately (e.g. the North Bank), flexibility may
 exist to extend the timeline of some topics without detrimental effects;
- The City has allocated \$75,000 for this year and \$50,000 has been requested in the budget for next year;
- DSP has indicated their willingness/interest to raise additional funds, as well as to expand the scope and potentially the timeline of the overall update;
- A longer timeline for those items that are less time-critical has the potential to increase the success of the plan update and its benefit to the downtown;
- With these in mind, a more integrated process is possible, allowing for a partnership approach for the preparation of the overall Downtown Plan update.

A New Proposal

The City proposes the following:

- Phase I: Separate the North Bank discussion from the overall Downtown Plan update. This
 item is time critical and can be addressed via a more typical sub-area planning process.
 - The City will use the \$75,000 allocated for this year to hire a consultant to complete this process.
 - A full suite of plan and code amendments can be completed by Q2 2019, two full quarters sooner than currently planned.
 - The topics under discussion there are easily encapsulated and are less likely to affect the overall Downtown Plan update.
- Phase II: Form a partnership between the DSP and City for a larger update to the Downtown
 Plan, with a consultant managed by DSP and support both financially and in-kind from the
 City.
 - The City would allocate the \$50,000 proposed for next year to the DSP to help fund the process.
 - City Staff would coordinate closely with the project to ensure consistency with GMA, State law requirements, and with City plans and policies.
 - DSP will lead the process and will be able to include more of the topics they seek to address.

Possible Benefits

- Time-critical items are addressed quickly.
- Scope increases.
- Benefit to both parties increases.
- General public outreach can begin now.
- Both projects result in GMA and state law compliant projects ready for adoption.

Urban Experience Briefing Paper, July 31, 2018

Benefits of New Approach

• Separate out time-critical items

- Interim Solution for "East West Main" (Central City Line)
- North Bank plan/policy/code update underway (Melissa W.)
- Extended timeline, additional funding and enhanced scope with, potentially, better end results
- Results of Phase I public outreach remain resources to the Phase II (e.g. Connect Downtown)
- End product is compliant with GMA, City policy, and state law—ready for adoption by City Council
- Mutual agreement on scope, consultant selection, and final project deliverables

Responsibilities / Roles / Resources

• DSP

- Contracting and managing consultant(s);
- Project oversight and coordination with the City and consultant team;
- Facilitating engagement including the DSP Board, the DSP general membership, the broader downtown community including non-traditional stakeholders, and the public at-large;
- Lead and execute a communications strategy;
- Provide technical expertise; and
- Additional administrative support.

Responsibilities / Roles / Resources

• City

- Staff project oversight and GMA compliance monitoring;
- Consultant coordination and access to City records and resources;
- Technical Expertise, Mapping and GIS Support;
- Administrative and Communications support for public outreach and notice requirements;
- Team of interdepartmental technical and professional staff/subject matter experts to participate via a project technical committee; and
- North Bank and South University District sub-area planning as separate yet coordinated processes.

What's Next

DSP Presentation of Downtown Plan Update (DSP)

- Consultant
- Scope of Work
- Schedule
- Project Budget

Revised Memorandum of Understanding

- Summary of substantial modifications
- Opportunity for Council Feedback

Downtown Plan Update Project Team

- DSP Lead Project Manager; Mark Richard, Andrew Rolwes, Kelly Blythe
- City Dedicated project and staff support; Alex Mann
- Framework Consultant firm; Jeff Arango and Leslie Bain

framework

- DSP selection process from among five competitive companies
- Seattle-based planning and architecture firm
- Extensive experience in the Seattle area and the Pacific NW
- Small scale design installations up to community plans





Jeff Arango, AICP Project Manager

Leslie Bain, Principal Urban Design Lead

Project Timeline



Priority focus areas, including:

- Sustain key arterials and exit/on-ramps needed to support appropriate traffic flow
- Implementation of comprehensive multi-modal transportation-mobility hubs
- Support implementation of Central City Line
- Ensure plan supports implementation and maintenance of wayfinding system
- Importance and value of investment in law enforcement
- Incorporate plans and funding scenarios for development of off-street parking facilities
- Support implementation of incentives for mixed-income housing units
- Include plan for riverbank expansion trail/shoreline access & river protection
- Update to support key downtown infrastructure investment mechanisms (i.e. PDAs, etc)

Project Area



Scope Tasks

Task 1 – Project Management

- Kick-off meeting with DSP and City staff
- On-going project management
- Regular staff meetings

Task 2 – Existing Conditions and Best Practices

- Review Existing Plans, Studies and Regulations
- Existing Conditions Report
- Best Practices Review

Task 3 – Public Engagement

- Public Engagement Plan
- Stakeholder Interviews & Focus Groups
- Public Meetings & Presentations

Task 4 – Downtown Plan

- Document Design
- Draft Vision, Goals and Guiding Principles
- Draft Downtown Plan Strategies and Recommendations
- Final Downtown Plan

Public Engagement Plan Outline

Framework will –

- Establish Goals and Phases for public engagement
- Build timeline of engagement evens and milestones
- Establish preliminary meeting design for public meetings
- Identify Targeted Stakeholder Groups

DSP will –

- Facilitate public outreach
- Promote and deliver (host) public events

Legislative process

- Final draft completed by end of January
- Presented at Plan Commission workshop mid-February
- Followed by recommendation of Plan Commission to Council
- Consultant update to Urban Development Committee, March
- Plan Commission hearing, April
- City Council legislative adoption Approximately May 18th

Memorandum of Understanding

Summary of substantial modifications

- Timeline
- Financial Contributions
- Acceptance of Deliverables and Payment
- Ownership of Documents
- Public Records Requests

Item 3: Financial Contributions

- The Parties agree to the following financial contributions:
- A. <u>CITY CONTRIBUTION</u>. To pay for consultant services for the update of the Downtown Plan and such direct costs as may be related thereto, the City agrees to contribute \$50,000, to be paid to DSP according to Part 9 of this MOU.
- B. <u>DSP CONTRIBUTION.</u> To pay for consultant services for the update of the Downtown Plan and such direct costs as may be related thereto, the DSP agrees to contribute \$39,000. The DSP also agrees to contribute \$10,000 for expenses related to public engagement, including but not limited to public notices, mailers, print and/or social media advertisements, informational materials, room reservations, and data collection.
- The Parties agree that these funds are sufficient to cover the full cost to complete the final scope of work for the update.

framework	Framework			Nelson/Nygaard			
framework 2019 Hourly Rate	Jeff Arango, AICP Project Manager \$175	Lesley Bain, Urban Design Lead \$175	Daniel Harris, Planner/Designer \$125	Project Planner/Designer \$85	Stephanie Wright, Principal \$180	Lauren Squires, Associate \$130	Total Hours and Estimated Cost by Task
Task 1: Project Management 1.1 Kick-off Meeting 1.2 Project Management (Ongoing) 1.3 Staff Meetings Subtotal	6 6 20 32	6 0 12 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 0 6 10	4 0 6 10	20 6 44 70 \$11,850
Task 2: Existing Conditions and Best Practices 21 Review Existing Plans and Studies 22 Existing Conditions Report 23 Best Practices Review Subtotal	8 4 10 22	6 4 4 14	12 8 6 26	0 0 0 0	4 4 2 10	6 8 4 14	36 28 26 86 \$13,170
Task 3: Public Engagement 3.1 Public and Stakeholder Engagement Plan 3.2 Stakeholder Interviews + Focus Groups 3.3 Engagement Materials Support 3.4 Public Meetings and Presentations Subtotal	2 6 4 54 66	0 12 0 11 23	0 0 14 0 14	0 14 10 0 24	0 0 0 0	0 0 8 0 8	2 32 36 65 135 \$20,405
Task 4: Downtown Plan Update Task 4.1 Document Design Task 4.2 Draft Vision, Goals and Guiding Principles Task 4.3 Draft Downtown Plan Strategies and Recommendations Task 4.4 Final Downtown Plan Subtotal	8 30 12 10 60	6 8 8 8 30	24 30 10 14 78	10 40 10 8 68	0 0 12 4 16	0 0 14 4 18	48 108 66 48 270 \$36,500
Total Estimated Hours Cost (Hours*Rate)	180 \$31,500	85 \$14,875	118 \$14,750	92 \$7,820	36 \$6,480	50 \$6,500	561 \$81,925
Project Cost Subtotal Expenses (4% of Project Cost) Estimated Total Costs	\$81,925 \$3,277 \$85,202						

Combined project budget - \$85,202

Item 9: Payment

- Upon the Parties mutual acceptance of each project deliverable, DSP shall submit applications for payment to the City. Payment to DSP will be made within 30 days of receipt of each application for payment.
- Proportionate to the percentage project completion of each deliverable, as represented in Attachment C: "Scope of Work, Cost Proposal", the City shall provide payment for project deliverables in the following amounts, not to exceed the maximum City contribution in Part 3 of this MOU, until the Project is complete:
 - 1. EXISTING CONDITIONS REPORT (30%): \$15,000;
 - 2. DRAFT PLAN (45%): \$22,500;
 - 3. FINAL PLAN (25%): \$12,500

Invoices shall be submitted to "ATTN: 2020 Downtown Plan Update, Planning and Neighborhood Services, Sixth Floor, City Hall, 808 W Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, Washington, 99201" with an itemized list of tasks performed to date, as furnished by the Consultant, and a copy of the deliverable for which payment has been submitted.

Item 16: Ownership and Use of Records and Documents

 Original documents, drawings, designs, reports, or any other records developed or created under this memorandum or the consultant agreement shall belong to and become the property of the City and the DSP. All records submitted by the City or the DSP to the Consultant shall be safeguarded by the Consultant. The consultant shall make such date, documents and files available to the City or the DSP upon the City or DSP's request.

Item 17: Audit / Records

 Under Washington State Law, RCW Chapter 42.56, all materials received or created by the City of Spokane are public records which are subject to review and copying pursuant to a public records request. These records include but are not limited to bid or proposal submittals, agreement documents, contract work product, and other bid material. Some records or portions of records may be legally exempt from disclosure and can be redacted or withheld. RCW Ch. 42.56 describes those exemptions. For additional information regarding redactions, see Attachment B, Contract Item 21, "Confidentiality".

Conclusion

- Final Comments
- Council Comments & Feedback

BRIEFING PAPER City of Spokane Plan Commission Workshop August 14, 2019

Subject

North Bank Plan 2019. Update on planning process, first draft of subarea plan and next step (ftp site to review documents to follow).

https://my.spokanecity.org/projects/north-bank-plan/

Background

The North River Bank sub-area planning process began in early 2019 and was anticipated to be completed late summer 2019. This timeline has been adjusted to reflect needed study for several new concepts intended to achieve stated community goals and reflect stakeholder input. The North Bank subarea plan may amend Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) development standards for the sub-area.

North Bank Plan and additional central Spokane sub-area planning efforts (Central Downtown and South University District) are intended to yield coordinated land use and regulatory structures, while at the same time drawing on the unique character of each for future growth and development. Community Attributes, Inc, and Makers Architecture and Urban Design are the consultants for North Bank planning.

<u>History</u>

- 1982 Adopted Riverbank Design Plan and development standards in the North Riverbank Overlay (included here). The overlay standards were intended to advance public objectives in maintaining public access to the River and supporting view corridors into Downtown by discouraging a "wall" of buildings along the North Bank of the Spokane River.
- The 1982 Design Plan was rescinded; overlay development standards were carried forward (SMC 17C.160) in city zoning during 2005 Unified Development Code adoption.
- 2008 Downtown Plan Update contained echoes of the 1982 vision. Shoreline controls have contributed to carrying out these objectives, but over the years other objectives, including view corridor protections, land use and circulation patterns, were not achieved, are now outdated, or are conflicting.

2019 Work to Date

North Bank Plan deliverables include a vision, guiding policy document, and development standards to implement the sub-area plan and have gone through several revisions from the initial documents produced after the March 2019 charrette and survey. West Central, Logan, Emerson-Garfield, and Riverside neighborhood councils were updated, along with Council and Plan Commission

1 For more information please contact Melissa Wittstruck, Assistant Planner II, <u>mwittstruck@spokanecity.org</u> 509-625-6087

by April. Staff began working with the stakeholder and technical teams in July as final draft deliverables became available and have been providing comment. Public participation remains a major piece in this process, although the gap of time between initial and working drafts limited outreach opportunities.

First draft of the subarea plan includes: Background, existing conditions and process recap Community Vision Design and Development Policy Framework and Action Plan Appendices

Staff presentation will address the new policy concepts and accompanying development standards such as mid-block connections, supporting public infrastructure improvement recommendations, and strategy recommendations for future Downtown core and South University District subarea planning. Each of these areas has brought substantial feedback from stakeholder, technical team, and neighborhood meetings that Plan Commission will be considering within the draft plan document.

Next steps

- The stakeholder group and technical team will meet later this month after receiving input from City Council, Plan Commission, and continuing public input.
 - Highlighted issues include policy support and implementation plans for affordable and low-income housing, improved pedestrian and bicycle circulation and safe connections to Downtown and adjacent neighborhoods.

<u>Action</u>

Consultant and staff will prepare final draft subarea plan documents, along with any SMC code recommendations for potential Plan Commission hearing in September 2019.

Action required – recommendation for North Bank Subarea Plan to move forward to hearing.

(current SMC 17C.160 North River Overlay retrieved 20190808)

Home	Title 17C	<u>Chapter 17C.160</u>	Sections 17C.160.010	
	Highlight V	/ord		
<u>Title 17C</u>	Land Use Stand	lards		
Chapter 1	<u>7C.160</u> North R	iver Overlay District		
Section 1	<u>7C.160.010</u> Nor	th River Overlay (NRO)		
Tl	d the public inv	estment in the Spokane R	value of the views and access to liver Gorge should be widely sha objects do not create barriers tha	red, not limited to thos
	orth River Over ne North River (•	dards are the same as the underly	ving zone except for the
			allowed in the North River Overl ying zone.	ay is 4.5. If the underly
		e e	and East-West Building Width. re feet in size or with a width gre	ater than one hundred t
	a. Th	e maximum building site	coverage of all structures is seve	nty-five percent.
	b. Th	e maximum east-west din	nension of all structures is eighty	percent of the east-wes
Date Pass	ed: Tuesday, M	ay 31, 2005		
Effective	Date: Wednesda	ay, July 6, 2005		
ORD C33	3636 Section 2			
Section 1	<u>7C.160.020</u> Vie	ws, Vistas and Site Cover	rage Design	
To			level to the Spokane River Gorge ws from the public street system	-
TI	ne open area tha	Site Coverage Design In t results from all structure t runs from north to south	es covering not more than eighty	percent of the east-wes
F	·	aa aantaat Maliasa Witta	terra la Anni da ed Diane en II	

3 For more information please contact Melissa Wittstruck, Assistant Planner II, <u>mwittstruck@spokanecity.org</u> 509-625-6087

See chapter 17C.120 SMC, Commercial, for the design standards administration process.

Date Passed: Tuesday, May 31, 2005

Effective Date: Wednesday, July 6, 2005

ORD C33636 Section 2

Section 17C.160.030 Pedestrian Views and Access Design

- A. Purpose.
 To maintain pedestrian access and views for pedestrians of the River Gorge and the Downtown Corport 2015
- B. Pedestrian Views and Access Implementation. At the maximum interval of three hundred feet of structure in an east-west direction there shall be a less than twelve feet wide and may be landscaped open space, courts, paths, foyers of buildings, pa marked or distinguishable path for pedestrians. (P)

See chapter 17C.120 SMC, Commercial, for the design standards administration process.

Date Passed: Tuesday, May 31, 2005

Effective Date: Wednesday, July 6, 2005

ORD C33636 Section 2

Section 17C.160.040 Design Departures

Design departures are allowed from the design guidelines found in <u>SMC 17C.160.020</u> and <u>17C.160.030</u>. T

Date Passed: Tuesday, May 31, 2005

Effective Date: Wednesday, July 6, 2005

ORD C33636 Section 2

5 For more information please contact Melissa Wittstruck, Assistant Planner II, <u>mwittstruck@spokanecity.org</u> 509-625-6087

Transportation Impact Fee Update Plan Commission Hearing August 14, 2019



Transportation Impact Fees Enacted in 2011



Service Area	\$ / PM trip*
Downtown	\$90
Northwest	\$634
South	\$587
Northeast	\$850

*Base rate is adjusted for passby trips and trip length to arrive at fee per unit.

Why Adopted?

- Predictability for developers
- Faster permitting
- Funding source for grant match
Projects partially funded with Impact Fees

District	Project
Northeast	Havana Street Railroad Overpass
	Hamilton Corridor Signals
Northwest	Cedar/Country Homes signal
	Indian Trail paving and restriping
South	44 th Avenue – Regal to Freya construction
	37 th Avenue reconstruction
	37 th / Grand Signal
	Palouse / Regal signal (west leg park access)
Downtown	Washington / N River Drive signal

Scope of the Update

CODE REFERENCE

<u>Chapter 17D.075</u> Transportation Impact Fees <u>Section 17D.075.140</u> Review

The fee schedules set forth in this chapter shall be reviewed by the city council as it may deem necessary and appropriate every two years in conjunction with the annual update of the capital facilities plan element of the City's comprehensive plan.

A **transportation impact fee advisory board** consisting of individuals representing the building, real estate, and property development industries, the broader business community, community leaders, community assembly, and citizens shall be appointed by the mayor to review proposed changes to the fee schedules set forth in this chapter prior to their review and adoption by the city council. This review shall occur when the city council may deem it necessary and appropriate every two years in conjunction with the annual update of the capital facilities plan element of the City's comprehensive plan. Provided, this section shall not be interpreted as requiring review by an advisory board or city council prior to the automatic fee adjustments contemplated in SMIC 17D.075.040(A).

Transportation Impact Fee Advisory Committee

Name	Representing
Alan Springer / John Fisher	Inland Construction (developer)
Andrew Rolwes	Downtown Spokane Partnership
Arthur Whitten	Spokane Home Builders Association
Bill White	Traffic Engineer (development)
Craig Soehren	Kiemle & Hagood (real estate)
John Dietzman	Plan Commission / PCTS Chair
E.J. lannelli	Citizen / neighborhood
Jim Bakke	Citizen / neighborhood
Joe Tortorelli	NE Public Development Authority
Kerry Brooks	Citizen / neighborhood
Sabrina Minshall	SRTC Director
Ben Stuckart	City Council President
Amber Waldref	City Council

Project Timeline

Impact Fee Committee Mtg #1 – July 26th 2017 Impact Fee Committee Mtg #2 – Sept 6th 2017 Impact Fee Committee Mtg #3 – Oct 4th 2017 West Plains Open House – Oct 24th 2017 Impact Fee Committee Mtg #4 – Nov 1st 2017 PCTS Meeting #1 - Nov 7th 2017 Impact Fee Committee Mtg #5 – late Nov 2017 PCTS Meeting #2 - Dec 5th 2017 Plan Commission Workshop – Dec 13th 2017 Plan Commission Workshop – Jan 10th 2018

Project Timeline

Plan Commission Workshop – Jan 10th 2018 Plan Commission Workshop – Sep 12th 2018

******* ON HOLD ******

PIES Committee – June 24th 2019
Plan Commission Workshop – July 24th 2019
Plan Commission Hearing – Aug 14th 2019
City Council adoption – Sept-Dec 2019

Existing Code Incentives 17D.075.070

- 10% development within CC zones
- 10% for mixed use development incorporating an "active" first floor (e.g. office, retail) and residential above
- 10% for the development of complete streets that provide pedestrian connections to surrounding neighborhoods and districts
- Total credit may not exceed 20%

Revised Code Incentives 17D.075.070

- 10% development within CC zones
- 10% for mixed use development incorporating an "active" first floor
- 20% for bicycle & ped connections
- \$1000 per space for covered bicycle parking
- 10% for certain transit stop improvements
- Total credit may not exceed 30%

Construction Cost Indices

WSDOT CCI

- Based on historical cost of 7 bid items
 - Roadway excavation, crushed surfacing, hot mix asphalt, concrete pavement, structural concrete, steel reinforcing bar, structural steel
- No longer being updated!

National Highway Construction Cost Index (NHCCI)

- Based on historical cost of the 6 bid items
 - Common excavation, PCC surface, asphalt concrete surface, structural concrete, reinforcing steel, structural steel

Recommendation

Cost Index

Update to code to specify that the rate increase happens January 1st.

FHWA NHCCI Rolling 5-year Average %



Time Limit on Use of Fees RCW.82.02.070(3)(a)

In 2011 state law allowed required expenditure of impact fees within 6 years.

This was revised to 10 years, but our city code still says 6 years.

Need to update city code to match state law.

Frequency to review fees SMC 17D.075.140

Says "shall be reviewed by the city council as it may deem necessary and appropriate every two years"

Recommend changing this to a longer time frame, approximately 4-6 years.

Housing Recommended Rates

Туре	PM Trips per unit	Comments
Single Family & duplex	1.0	No change
Multi-Family 1-2 level	0.67	Includes apartments, townhouses and condos located in a building with 3 or more units.*
Multi-Family 3-10 levels	0.44	Includes apartments, townhouses and condos located in a building with 3 or more units.*
ADU	0.44	Accessory dwelling unit
Low-income Multi-Family	0.40	Average from PSRC and Los Angeles studies

*Staff has changed this since 2018 due to an update of the ITE Trip Generation Manual which eliminated separate rates for owned vs. rented units. Accessory dwelling units were also added.

Low-income Housing Reduced Rate

- WA State's Low Income Housing Tax Credit
- City of Spokane's HOME program
- WA State Housing Trust Fund
- HUD Project-Based Voucher Program
- *similar programs per staff review*





Land Use Revisions

Additions –

- Fast Casual restaurant
- Mini-Storage
- Veterinary Clinic





Land Use Revisions

Deleted –

- Video Rental store
- Updated -
- School rates now use GFA instead of students
- Revised all rates per 9th Edition of ITE Manual







new West Plains District



All Airport Property is excluded from the district.

Project List Downtown

5th Ave / Sherman St	Intersection - Install new traffic signal	
Washington / N River Drive	Signal lane and phasing improvements	
Ash Street 2-way from Broadway to Dean	Convert Ash Street to a 2-way street to allow access to Maple Street Bridge SB.	
D Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials	
D Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	

Project List Northwest

Assembly St / Francis Ave (SR291)	Intersection - Construct Roundabout	
Indian Trail Rd - Kathleen to Barnes	Widening - Construct to 5-lane section	
Wellesley / Driscoll	WB right turn lane	
Wellesley / Assembly	Signal	
Wellesley / Maple	WB thru pocket, NB right turn lane	
Francis/Alberta	modify NB and SB lanes to allow protected phasing	
Francis/Maple	add WBR lane	
NW Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials	
NW Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	

Project List - South

29th Ave / Freya St

29th / Regal

Ray-Freya Alternative

44th Ave from Crestline to Altamont

44th/Regal

Freya / Palouse Hwy

Sunset Hwy / Assembly

57th /Hatch Road

US 195 Frontage from 16th to Thorpe

US 195 / Meadowlane

S Bicycle Improvements

S Pedestrian Improvements

Stripe EBL and WBL turn lanes, and widen for NB and SB left turn lane. Keep 4-way stop.

Intersection improvements, EBR turn lane, maybe left turns

Placeholder for after alternatives analysis, may include intersection improvements at 37th/Freya and 37th/Ray

new collector road section

Widen northbound approach to 2 lanes

roundabout or turn lanes

signal

Intersection improvements

2-3 lane frontage road

Interim intersection improvements

stripe bike facilities on arterials

install pedestrian facilities on arterials

Project List Northeast

Lincoln Rd / Nevada St	Intersection Improvements - Construct separate eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes; include west leg widening and construction of 5-lane east of Nevada 1000'		
Hamilton St Corridor - Desmet Ave to Foothills Ave	Segment Improvements - Construct traffic signal modifications to accommodate protected or protected/permitted signal phasing. New signal or HAWK at Desmet.		
Haven/Wellesley improvements	Add WBL turn lane, rebuild signal to allow protected WB lefts		
Mission/Havana	signal		
Crestline / Magnesium	add EBR turn lane, two lanes for NB, all-way stop.		
Nevada / Magnesium	left turn protected-permitted phasing, restripe for WBL and EBL turn lanes, add WBR, one through lane east-west, maybe ROW on NE corner		
NE Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials		
NE Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials		

Project List West Plains

21st Avenue: Deer Heights to Flint/Granite and Deer Heights Road: south end to 18 th /21st	segment - construct new 3-lane arterial	
12th Avenue: Deer Heights to Flint/Granite	segment - construct new 2-lane arterial	
W Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials, US 2 bike path	
W Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials, US 2 bike path	

Proposed Rates

Service Area	Existing \$ / PM trip	Proposed \$ / PM trip
Downtown	\$90	\$85
Northwest	\$634	\$699
South	\$587	\$992
Northeast	\$850	\$584
West Plains	n/a	\$1,076

*Base rate is adjusted for pass-by trips and trip length to arrive at fee per unit.

PDA Investment

- Additional city funding to subsidize impact fees in Northeast and Airport Public Development Authorities.
- \$1,000,000 set aside for PDAs
- Will reduce or eliminate fees for certain development projects within those PDAs.
- Applies to <u>manufacturing and production</u> <u>facilities, industrial service, warehouse and</u> <u>freight, hotels, offices and residential</u> type development.

NEPDA Investment



West Plains / Airport Area PDA Investment



Example Exemptions

Example Development	Size	District	Exemption Impact Fee
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	63,000 sq ft	WP	\$74,340
Industrial Warehouse	150,000 sq ft	WP	\$78,000
Apartments	120 units	WP	\$69,879
Hotel	100 rooms	WP	\$84,400
Industrial Warehouse	200,000 sq ft	NE	\$56,000
Industrial Park	500,000 sq ft	NE	\$370,000

Currently \$1,000,000 available for impact fee exemptions

Project Images

Downtown 5th/Sherman



Downtown Ash Street 2-Way



Northwest Francis/9 Mile/Assembly



Northwest Indian Trail



Northwest Wellesley / Driscoll



Northwest Wellesley/Assembly



Northwest Wellesley/Maple



Northwest Francis/Alberta



Northwest Francis/Maple


South 29th /Freya



South 44th / Regal





Ray-Freya Alternative



ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS to look at the crossover and other options to improve traffic circulation in this area.



44th Ave Connection



Palouse/Freya Roundabout

South



South Sunset/Assembly





US 195 Frontage: 16th to Thorpe







US 195 / Meadowlane



South 57th / Hatch



Northeast Nevada/Lincoln



Northeast Market/Haven/Wellesley



Northeast Mission/Havana



Northeast Crestline/Magnesium



Northeast Nevada/Magnesium



Northeast Sprague/Freya



West Plains 18th-21st Avenue



West Plains 12th Avenue







ORDINANCE NO. C??????

An ordinance relating to transportation impact fees and amending SMC 17D.075.020 Definitions, 17D.075.040 Assessment of Impact Fees, 17D.075.070 Credits, 17D.075.100 Establishment of Impact Fee Account, 17D.075.110 Refunds, 17D.075.140 Review, 17D.075.180 Impact Fee Schedule, 17D.075.190 Service Area Map, 17D.075.200 Trip Rates, Pass-By Trips, and Trip Length Adjustment Factors, and 17D.075.210 Impact Fee Project list.

WHEREAS, the City Council previously adopted Ordinance No. C34673, implementing the transportation impact fees authorized by Chapter 82.02 RCW, establishing transportation impact fee service areas, project lists, and adopting transportation impact fee schedules, all of which is codified in Chapter 17D.075 of the Spokane Municipal Code (SMC); and

WHEREAS, since the adoption of Ordinance No. C34673, the City has annexed a large portion of an area commonly referred to as the West Plains; and

WHEREAS, a large portion of the West Plains annexation area is comprised of land that is owned jointly by the City and County of Spokane, but under the management and control of the Spokane Airport Board pursuant to interlocal agreement and Chapter 14.08 RCW;

WHEREAS Chapter 14.08 RCW anticipates that jointly controlled and operated airports will be under the exclusive jurisdiction and control of the municipalities operating the airports and will enjoy a certain level of autonomy, and for this reason the City Council finds that land under the management and control of the Spokane Airport Board shall not be included within West Plains Service Area as established by this Ordinance;

WHEREAS, the West Plains (minus land that is under the control of the Spokane Airport Board) is a geographic are defined by the City on the basis of sound planning and engineering principles in which a defined set of public facilities are needed to provide service to development within the area; and

WHEREAS, annexation of the West Plains created the need to establish a new West Plains impact fee service area to ensure that the impact fees assessed on new growth and development in that area are proportionate to and reasonably related to the service area-wide need for new transportation improvements created by the development; and

WHEREAS, SMC 17D.075.140 anticipates periodic review and updates to the project lists and fee schedules, and further anticipates the formation of an impact fee advisory board consisting of various community representatives; and

WHEREAS, consistent with SMC 17D.075.140, the City established an impact fee advisory board consisting of various community representatives; and

WHEREAS, the impact fee advisory board informally agreed on a set of recommended updates to Chapter 17D.075 SMC relating particularly to the addition of the West Plains impact fee service area, and updated project lists and fee schedules; and

WHEREAS, the updated impact fee schedules have been prepared to reflect the estimated cost of the projects included in the updated Impact Fee Project List (the "Updated Impact Fee Rate Schedule"); and

WHEREAS, on or about February 14, 2018, following a public process involving a number of public workshops and a public hearing, a majority of the City of Spokane Plan Commission voted to recommend approval of an ordinance amending Chapter 17D.075 SMC (Transportation Impact Fees) with the amendments relating to (i) the updated Impact Fee Project List; (ii) the Updated Impact Fee Rate Schedule; and (iii) adding the West Plains service district; and

WHEREAS, in making its recommendation, the Plan Commission found that, pursuant to the Amended Transportation Impact Fee Ordinance, the impact fee(s) assessed a specific development will be proportionate to and reasonably related to the service area-wide need for new transportation improvements created by the development; and

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission further found that every land use benefits from a smoothly functioning transportation system with adequate capacity; and

WHEREAS, in connection with the original Impact Fee Ordinance, the responsible official issued a Determination of Nonsignificance, dated March 27, 2008 ("DNS"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to WAC 197-11-800, this update to Chapter 17D.075 SMC is categorically exempt from the threshold determination and environmental impact statement requirements under Chapter 43.21C RCW (SEPA); and

WHEREAS, Chapter 17D.075 SMC, as amended by this Ordinance, is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan which, in CFU 2.4, recognizes impact fees as a possible mechanism to fund capital improvements so new growth and development activity that has an impact upon public facilities pays a proportionate share of the cost of facilities that reasonably benefit the development; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan and the entire record relative to the adoption of Chapter 17D.075 SMC and this update are incorporated into this Ordinance by reference; and

WHEREAS, the City has complied with RCW 36.70A.370 in adopting this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopts the foregoing as its findings of fact justifying its adoption of this Ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE,

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC Section 17D.075.020 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.020 Definitions

As used in this chapter, the following words and terms shall have the following meanings unless the context clearly requires otherwise. Terms otherwise not defined herein shall be defined pursuant to RCW 82.02.090, or given their usual and customary meaning.

- A. "Accessory dwelling unit" means a dwelling unit that has been added onto, created within, or separated from a single-family detached dwelling for use as a complete independent living unit with provisions for cooking, eating, sanitation, and sleeping.
- B. "Act" means the Growth Management Act, as codified in chapter 36.70A RCW, as now in existence or as hereafter amended.
- C. "Applicant" means the owner of real property according to the records of the Spokane County, or the applicant's authorized agent.
- D. "Baseline study" means the 2008 transportation baseline study that has been developed by HDR Engineering and Planning, City Project No. 2005155.
- E. "Building permit" means the official document or certification that is issued by the building department and that authorizes the construction, alteration, enlargement, conversion, reconstruction, remodeling, rehabilitation, erection, tenant improvement, demolition, moving or repair of a building or structure.
- F. "Capital facilities" means the facilities or improvements included in the capital facilities plan.
- G. "Capital facilities plan" means the capital facilities plan element of the City's comprehensive plan adopted pursuant to chapter 36.70A RCW, as amended from time to time.
- H. "Certificate of occupancy" means the term as defined in the International Building Code. In the case of a change in use or occupancy of an existing building or structure which may not require a building permit, the term shall specifically include certificate of occupancy and for residential development the final inspection, as those permits are defined or required by this code.
- I. "City" means the City of Spokane.

- J. "City council" means the city council of the City of Spokane.
- K. "Comprehensive plan" means the City of Spokane comprehensive plan adopted pursuant to chapter 46.70A RCW, as amended from time to time.
- L. "Complete street" means a landscaped, tree-lined street corridor designed for multiple modes of transportation, consistent with SMC 17C.124.035. Complete streets balance the various needs of pedestrian and vehicular use. Some include bicycle and transit improvements as well. Pedestrian amenities on Complete streets may include street furniture, decorative lighting, wide sidewalks with curb extensions (bulb-outs) at street corners, decorative crosswalks, public art, outdoor restaurants, plazas, and improved sidewalk-building interfaces (e.g., awnings, street-oriented retail activity).
- M. "Concurrent" or "concurrency" means that the public facilities are in place at the time the impacts of development occur, or that the necessary financial commitments are in place, which shall include the impacts fees anticipated to be generated by the development, to complete the public facilities necessary to meet the specified standards of service defined in the comprehensive plan within six years of the time the impacts of development occur.
- N. "Department" means the department of engineering services.
- O. "Development activity" means any construction or expansion of a building, structure, or use, or any change in use of a building or structure, or any changes in the use of land, that creates additional demand and need for public facilities.
- P. "Development approval" means any written authorization from the City that authorizes the commencement of development activity.
- Q. "Director" means the director of engineering services, or the director's designee.
- R. "Dwelling unit" means a single unit providing complete and independent living facilities for one or more persons, including permanent facilities for living, sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation needs.
- S. "Encumbered" means to have reserved, set aside or otherwise earmarked the impact fees in order to pay for commitments, contractual obligations, or other liabilities incurred for public facilities.
- T. "Feepayer" is a person, corporation, partnership, an incorporated association, or any other similar entity, or department or bureau of any governmental entity or municipal corporation commencing a land development activity that creates the demand for additional public facilities, and which requires the issuance of a building permit. "Feepayer" includes an applicant for an impact fee credit.

- U. "Gross floor area" is the total square footage of all floors in a structure as defined in chapter 17A.020 SMC.
- V. "Hearing examiner" means the person who exercises the authority of chapter 17G.050 SMC.
- W. "Impact fee" means a payment of money imposed upon development as a condition of development approval to pay for public facilities needed to serve new growth and development, and that is reasonably related to the new development that creates additional demand and need for public facilities, that is a proportionate share of the cost of the public facilities, and that is used for facilities that reasonably benefit the new development. "Impact fee" does not include a reasonable permit fee, an application fee, or the cost for reviewing independent fee calculations.
- X. "Impact fee account" or "account" means the account(s) established for each service area for the system improvements for which impact fees are collected. The accounts shall be established pursuant to this chapter, and shall comply with the requirements of RCW 82.02.070.
- Y. "Independent fee calculation" means the impact fee calculation and or economic documentation prepared by a feepayer to support the assessment of an impact fee other than by the use of schedule set forth in SMC 17D.075.180, or the calculations prepared by the Director where none of the fee categories or fee amounts in the schedules in this chapter accurately describe or capture the impacts of the new development on public facilities.
- Z. "Interest" means the interest rate earned by local jurisdictions in the State of Washington local government investment pool, if not otherwise defined.
- AA. "Interlocal agreement" or "agreement" means a transportation interlocal agreement, authorized in this chapter, by and between the City and other government agencies concerning the collection and expenditure of impact fees, or any other interlocal agreement entered by and between the City and another municipality, public agency or governmental body to implement the provisions of this chapter.
- AB. "ITE manual" means Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (7th Edition), as amended from time to time.
- AC. "Owner" means the owner of real property according to the records of the Spokane County department of records and elections, provided that if the real property is being purchased under a recorded real estate contract, the purchaser shall be considered the owner of the real property.

- AD. "Pass-by trip rates" means those rate study pass-by rates set forth in SMC 17D.075.200.
- AE. "Proportionate share" means that portion of the cost of public facility improvements that are reasonably related to the service demands and needs of new development.
- AF. "Project improvements" means site improvements and facilities that are planned and designed to provide service for a particular development and that are necessary for the use and convenience of the occupants or users of the project, and are not system improvements. No improvement or facility included in the City's capital facilities plan shall be considered a project improvement.
- AG. "Public facilities" means publicly owned streets and roads, including related sidewalk and streetscape improvements required by the City's comprehensive plan and related development regulations.
- AH. "Rate study" means the 2007 transportation impact fee rate study, dated October 26, 2007, as updated and amended from time to time.
- AI. "Residential" means housing, such as single-family dwellings, accessory dwelling units, apartments, condominiums, mobile homes, and/or manufactured homes, intended for occupancy by one or more persons and not offering other services.
- AJ. "Square footage" means the square footage of the gross floor area of the development as defined chapter 17A.020 SMC.
- AK. "Service area" means one of the ((four)) five geographic areas defined by the City in which a defined set of public facilities provide service to development within each of the identified areas. The City has identified the service areas, based on sound planning and engineering principles. These service areas are generally referred to as the downtown service area, the northwest service area, the northeast service area, and the south service area. Maps depicting the service areas are set forth in SMC 17D.075.190 and shall also be maintained by the director in the offices of the engineering services department and shall be available for public inspection during regular business hours.
- AL. "System improvements" means public facilities included in the capital facilities plan and are designed to provide service to service areas within the community at large, in contrast to project improvements.
- AM. "Trip length adjustment factor" means the trip length adjustment factors identified in SMC 17D.075.200.

Section 2. That SMC Section 17D.075.040 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.040 Assessment of Impact Fees

- A. The City shall collect impact fees, based on the schedules in SMC 17D.075.180, or an independent fee calculation as provided for in SMC 17D.075.050, from any applicant seeking development approval from the City. The impact fees in SMC 17D.075.180 are generated from the formula for calculating impact fees set forth in the rate study, one copy of which shall be kept on file with the office of the city clerk and which is adopted and incorporated herein by reference. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, all new development approval in the City will be charged the transportation impact fees in SMC 17D.075.180. Subject to the review provisions set forth in SMC 17D.075.140 below, the transportation impact fees in SMC 17D.075.180 will ((be adjusted)) increase annually in the amount of 1.96% starting January 1st, 2019. ((in accordance with the Washington State department of transportation construction cost index ("CCI"), with the first such increase taking effect within two years of adoption of this chapter and with subsequent increases to coincide with the City's annual adoption of its six-year street plan, provided the impact fees shall never be reduced solely because of a decline in the CCI)) This annual increase is based on the average of the Federal Highway Administration's National Highway Construction Cost Index for the years 2012 through 2016, and shall remain in effect until the transportation impact fee advisory board meets again. Provided further, for purposes of this chapter only, the following shall not constitute development activity:
 - 1. Replacement of a commercial structure with a new structure of the same size and use or a residential structure with the same number of residential units, both at the same site or lot, where demolition of the prior commercial or residential structure occurred after May 2001. Replacement of a commercial structure with a new commercial structure of the same size shall be interpreted to include any structure for which the gross square footage of the building will not be increased by more than one hundred twenty square feet. It shall be the feepayer's responsibility to establish the existence of a qualifying prior use to the director's reasonable satisfaction.
 - 2. Expansions of existing residential structures that do not add residential dwelling units.
 - 3. Alteration of an existing nonresidential structure that does not expand the usable space, add any residential units, or result in a change in use.
 - Miscellaneous improvements that do not create additional demand and need for public facilities, including, but not limited to, fences, walls, swimming pools, and signs.
 - 5. Demolition or moving of a structure.
 - 6. Re-use or change in use of existing structure.
 - a. Re-use or change in use of an existing structure that does not create additional demand and need for public facilities (*i.e.*, where the trip generation

of the re-use is equal to or less than trip generation of prior use) shall not constitute development activity for purposes of this chapter.

- b. It shall be the feepayer's responsibility to establish the existence of a qualifying prior use to the Director's reasonable satisfaction.
- c. For a change in use of an existing structure that does create additional demand and need for public facilities (*i.e.*, where the trip generation of the reuse is greater than the trip generation of the prior use), the City shall collect impact fees for the new use based on the schedules in SMC 17D.075.180, less the fees that would have been payable as a result of the prior use.
- B. The director shall be authorized to determine whether a particular development activity constitutes development activity subject to the payment of impact fees under this chapter. Determinations of the Director shall be in writing issued within fourteen days of submitting a complete application and shall be subject to the appeals procedures set forth in SMC 17D.075.090.
- C. Impact fees shall be assessed prior to the issuance of a building permit for each unit in a development, using either the impact fee schedules then in effect or an independent fee calculation, at the election of the applicant and pursuant to the requirements set forth in SMC 17D.075.050. The impact fees shall be paid at the issuance of a building permit or at the completion of construction. To defer the payment of the impact fee to the end of construction, the developer shall provide prior to issuance of a building permit a recorded "certificate of title notice" evidencing an encumbrance on the title for each parcel of land, on forms provided by the city attorney's office, recorded with the Spokane County auditor's office which requires that the impact fee be paid as part of the closing of the construction financing, transfer of title to another party or issuance of a certificate of occupancy, whichever shall first occur. For commercial development involving multiple users, impact fees shall be assessed and collected prior to issuance of building permits that authorize completion of tenant improvements for each use. Furthermore, the City shall not accept an application for a building permit unless, prior to submittal or concurrent with submittal, the feepayer submits complete applications for all other discretionary reviews needed, including, but not limited to, design review, the environmental determination, and the accompanying checklist.
- D. Applicants that have been awarded credits prior to the submittal of the complete building permit application pursuant to SMC 17D.075.070, shall submit, along with the complete building permit application, a copy of the letter or certificate prepared by the director pursuant to SMC 17D.075.070 setting forth the dollar amount of the credit awarded. Impact fees, as determined after the application of appropriate credits, shall be collected from the feepayer at the time the building permit is issued for each unit in the development.
- E. For mixed use buildings or development, impact fees shall be imposed for the proportionate share of each land use based on the applicable unit of measurement found on the schedule in SMC 17D.075.180.

F. The department shall place a hold on permits for development approval unless and until the impact fees required by this chapter, less any permitted exemptions, credits or deductions, have been paid.

Section 3. That SMC Section 17D.075.060 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.060 Exemptions (([RESERVED]))

- A. <u>The City Council finds that development of (i) manufacturing and production facilities</u> (see SMC 17C.190.320), (ii) industrial service (see SMC 17C.190.310), (iii) warehouse and freight movement (see SMC 17C.190.340), (iv) hotels and motels, (v) office uses (see SMC 17C.190.250), and (vi) residential household living uses (see SMC 17C.190.110) within the boundaries of the Northeast Public Development Authority and the West Plains/Airport Area Public Development Authority has broad public purposes and may be exempted from the payment of impact fees.
- B. <u>Requests for the exemptions set forth in subsection A of this Section 17D.075.060</u> shall be submitted to the Department on such forms as the Director may provide. The Director is authorized to determine whether a particular development activity falls within the exemption identified in this Section and funds are available. In addition, the Director shall notify the City Council when such applications are granted or denied. The Director's determination shall be in writing and shall be subject to the appeals procedures set forth in Section 17D.075.090.</u>
- C. <u>The impact fee for an exempt development shall be calculated as provided for in this</u> <u>Chapter and paid with public funds other than the impact fee account. Such payment</u> <u>may be made by including such amount(s) in the public share of system</u> <u>improvements undertaken within the applicable service area.</u>
- D. On an annual basis, simultaneous with the report required under Section 17D.075.100, the Director shall provide a report to the council regarding the exemptions approved under this Section and the status of public funds available to pay the impact fees that would have otherwise been paid by the exempted development activity.

Section 4. That SMC Section 17D.075.070 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.070 Credits

A. A feepayer can request a credit for the total value of dedicated land or public facilities provided by the feepayer if the land and public facilities are identified as system improvements or in cases where the director, in the director's discretion, determines that such dedication of land or public facilities would serve the goals and objectives of the capital facilities plan.

- B. The city council finds that certain types of development activity (((including development with the City's center and corridor zones) is)) are likely to generate fewer p.m. peak hour vehicle trips than other development activity. Consistent with this finding, a feepayer may request a partial credit for the following:
 - 1. Development within center and corridor zones shall qualify for a partial credit of ten percent of the impact fees otherwise payable as a result of the development activity.
 - 2. Mixed use development incorporating an "active" first floor (e.g. office, retail) and residential shall qualify for a partial credit of ten percent of the impact fees otherwise payable as a result of the development activity.
 - 3. Development of ((complete streets that provide)) <u>bicycle and pedestrian</u> connections <u>through their site</u> to ((surrounding neighborhoods and districts)) <u>a</u> <u>public park or school, or that expand the connectivity of the trail network</u> shall entitle a feepayer to a partial credit of ten percent of the impact fees otherwise payable as a result of the development activity. ((The credit provided for in this section shall only apply to the extent a feepayer is developing a complete street on the entire length of the block on which the development activity is occurring.)) The credit provided for in this section shall be limited to the cost incurred by the feepayer in developing the ((complete street)) <u>connection</u>.
 - 4. Development projects that incorporate covered and lockable bicycle storage for at least fifty percent of their required bicycle parking shall qualify for a credit of \$1,000 per bike space, subject to the limitation in subsection (B)(6) below. The bicycle storage area must be dedicated for that use only. See SMC 17C.230.200 for space requirements.
 - 5. Development projects located on a transit corridor may make improvements in coordination with Spokane Transit Authority (STA) and will qualify for a partial credit of up to ten percent of the impact fees otherwise payable as a result of the development activity. The credit provided for in this section shall be limited to the cost incurred by the feepayer in developing the improvements. Eligible improvements include the installation of weather cover, lighting, HPTN stop infrastructure or the dedication of right-of-way for transit stop improvements, as warranted by current or reasonably anticipated future usage of a transit stop, consistent with STA's established policies and design standards. The credit provided for in this section shall be limited to the cost of the right-of-way or the expense incurred by the feepayer in developing the transit stop.
 - ((4)) <u>6</u>. The cumulative credits granted in subsections (B)(1) through (B)(<u>35</u>) above ((for center and corridor development, mixed use development incorporating active first floors, and development of complete streets,)) shall not exceed ((twenty)) thirty percent of the impact fees otherwise payable as a result of the development activity.
 - ((5)) <u>7</u>. The director shall be authorized to determine whether a particular development activity falls within a credit identified in this Section B, in any other section, or under other applicable law. Determinations of the director shall be in

writing issued within fourteen days of a complete application and shall be subject to the appeals procedures set forth in SMC 17D.075.090.

- C. For each request for a credit, under subsection (A) above, if appropriate, the director shall select an appraiser or the feepayer may select an independent appraiser acceptable to the director. The appraiser must be a Washington State certified appraiser or must possess other equivalent certification and shall not have a fiduciary or personal interest in the property being appraised. A description of the appraiser's certification shall be included with the appraisal, and the appraiser shall certify that he/she does not have a fiduciary or personal interest in the property being appraised.
- D. The appraiser shall be directed to determine the total value of the dedicated land and/or public facilities provided by the feepayer on a case-by-case basis.
- E. The feepayer shall pay for the cost of the appraisal. The feepayer may request that the cost of the appraisal be deducted from the credit which the director may be providing to the feepayer, in the event that a credit is awarded.
- F. After receiving the appraisal, and where consistent with the requirements of this section, the director shall provide the applicant with a letter or certificate setting forth the dollar amount of the credit, the reason for the credit, the legal description of the site donated where applicable, and the legal description or other adequate description of the project or development to which the credit may be applied. The applicant must sign and date a duplicate copy of such letter or certificate indicating his/her agreement to the terms of the letter or certificate, and return such signed document to the director before the impact fee credit will be awarded. The failure of the applicant to sign, date, and return such document within sixty calendar days shall nullify the credit. The credit must be used within seventy-two months of the award of the credit.
- G. Any claim for credit must be made prior to issuance of a building permit, provided any claim for credit submitted later than twenty calendar days after the submission of an application for a building permit shall constitute a waiver and suspension of timelines established by state and/or local law for processing of permit applications.
- H. In no event shall the credit exceed the amount of the impact fees that would have been due for the proposed development activity.
- I. No credit shall be given for project improvements.
- J. Determinations made by the director pursuant to this section shall be subject to the appeals procedures set forth in SMC 17D.075.090.

Section 5. That SMC Section 17D.075.100 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.100 Establishment of Impact Fee Account

- A. Impact fee receipts shall be earmarked specifically and deposited in special interestbearing accounts for each service area. The fees received shall be prudently invested in a manner consistent with the investment policies of the City.
- B. There is hereby established an impact fee account for the fees collected pursuant to this chapter known as the transportation impact account. Except as provided in SMC 17D.075.080, funds withdrawn from this account must be used in accordance with the provisions of SMC 17D.075.120. Interest earned on the fees shall be retained in each of the accounts and expended for the purposes for which the impact fees were collected.
- C. On an annual basis, the chief financial officer and director shall provide a report to the council on the account showing the source and amount of all moneys collected, earned, or received, and system improvements that were financed in whole or in part by impact fees and contributions towards meeting concurrency goals and requirements.
- D. Impact fees shall be expended or encumbered within ((six)) ten years of receipt, unless the council identifies in written findings an extraordinary and compelling reason or reasons for the City to hold the fees beyond the ((six-year)) ten-year period. Under such circumstances, the council shall establish the period of time within which the impact fees shall be expended or encumbered.

Section 6. That SMC Section 17D.075.110 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.110 Refunds

- A. If the City fails to expend or encumber the impact fees within ((six)) ten years of when the fees were paid, the current owner of the property for which impact fees have been paid may receive a refund of such fees, provided a refund is not required where extraordinary or compelling reasons exist for holding the fees longer than ((six)) ten years, as identified in written findings by the city council. In determining whether impact fees have been expended or encumbered, impact fees shall be considered expended or encumbered on a first in, first out basis.
- B. The City shall notify potential claimants by first class mail deposited with the United States postal service at the last known address of the claimants.
- C. Property owners seeking a refund of impact fees must submit a written request for a refund of the fees to the director within one year of the date the right to claim the refund arises or the date that notice is given, whichever is later.
- D. Any impact fees for which no application for a refund has been made within the oneyear period shall be retained by the City and expended on the appropriate public facilities.

- E. Refunds of impact fees under this chapter shall include any interest earned on the impact fees by the City.
- F. A feepayer may request and shall receive a refund, including interest earned on the impact fees, when the feepayer and/or the feepayer's successors and assigns do not proceed with the development activity and there has been no impact to the City's transportation system. A request for a refund pursuant to this section must be accompanied by an acknowledgement that the feepayer's underlying development approval, including any associated permits, has expired and that any application to reinstate the development approval shall be subject to the payment of impact fees pursuant to this chapter.

Section 7. That SMC Section 17D.075.140 is amended to read as follows:

17D.075.140 Review

- A. The fee schedules set forth in this chapter shall be reviewed by the city council as it may deem necessary and appropriate ((every two years in conjunction with the annual update of the capital facilities plan element of the City's comprehensive plan)), typically every four to six years, considering significant changes to the regional travel demand model, the impact fee projects in the City's comprehensive plan, and area growth.
- B. A transportation impact fee advisory board consisting of individuals representing the building, real estate, and property development industries, the broader business community, community leaders, community assembly, and citizens shall be appointed by the mayor to review proposed changes to the fee schedules set forth in this chapter prior to their review and adoption by the city council. This review shall occur ((when the city council may deem it necessary and appropriate every two years in conjunction with the annual update of the capital facilities plan element of the City's comprehensive plan)) in accordance with 17D.075.140(A). Provided, this section shall not be interpreted as requiring review by an advisory board or city council prior to the automatic fee adjustments contemplated in SMC 17D.075.040(A).
 - **Section 8.** That SMC Section 17D.075.180 is amended as follows:

17D.075.180 Appendix A – Impact Fee Schedule

Section 9. That SMC Section 17D.075.190 is amended as follows:

17D.075.190 Appendix B – Service Area Map

Section 10. That SMC Section 17D.075.200 is amended as follows:

17D.075.200 Appendix C – Trip Rates, Pass-By Trips, and Trip Length Adjustment Factors

Section 11. That SMC Section 17D.075.210 is amended as follows:

17D.075.210 Appendix D – Impact Fee Project List

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON			
(Delivered to the	Mayor on the day of	_	
	Council President		
Attest:	Approved as to form:		
City Clerk	Assistant City Attorney		
Mayor	Date		

Effective Date

Section 17D.075.210

Appendix A Impact Fee Schedule



Downtown District Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

Land Use COST PER TRIP	Use Code		
		Measure	Fee per Unit
		_	
Residential			
Single Family & Duplex	210	dwelling	\$99.45
Multi-Family 1-2 level	220	dwelling	\$70.05
Multi-Family 3-10 level	221	dwelling	\$46.00
ADU	-	dwelling	\$46.00
Multi Family Low-Income	-	dwelling	\$41.82
Nursing Home	254	bed	\$22.92
Continuing Care Retirement Com	m 255	dwelling	\$12.65
Assisted Living	620	bed	\$17.39
Commercial - Services		-	
Hotel (3 Levels or More)	310	room	\$66.70
Hotel/Motel	320	room	\$53.13
Movie Theater	444	sq ft/GFA	\$0.19
Health Club	492	sq ft/GFA	\$0.23
Day Care	565	sq ft/GFA	\$0.47
Bank	912	sq ft/GFA	\$0.52
Commercial - Institutional			
Elementary School	520	sq ft/GFA	\$0.05
Middle School	522	sq ft/GFA	\$0.04
High School	530	sq ft/GFA	\$0.04
University/College	550	ASF	\$0.06
Religious Institute	560	sq ft/GFA	\$0.05
Library	590	sq ft/GFA	\$0.31
Hospital	610	sq ft/GFA	\$0.11
Commercial - Administrative	Office		
Veterinary Clinic	640	sq ft/GFA	\$0.43
General Office	710	sq ft/GFA	\$0.15
Medical Office / Clinic	720	sq ft/GFA	\$0.33
Office Park	750	sq ft/GFA	\$0.15

BASE RATE PER PM TRIP	\$85		
	ITE Land	Unit of	
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit
COST PER TRIP			
Commercial - Retail		[]	
Free-Standing Discount Superstore	813	sq ft/GFA	\$0.16
Specialty Retail Center	826	sq ft/GLA	\$0.09
Hardware/Paint Store	816	sq ft/GFA	\$0.16
Nursery/Garden Center	817	sq ft/GFA	\$0.29
Shopping Center	820	sq ft/GLA	\$0.13
Car Sales - New/Used	841	sq ft/GFA	\$0.20
Tire Store	848	Service bay	\$173.32
Supermarket	850	sq ft/GFA	\$0.34
Convenience Market	851	sq ft/GFA	\$0.77
Pharmacy	881	sq ft/GFA	\$0.24
Furniture Store	890	sq ft/GFA	\$0.01
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Service Bay	\$282.34
Auto Parts & Service Center	943	sq ft/GFA	\$0.21
Service Station/Minimart/Carwash	853	VFP	\$291.77
Industrial			
Light Industry/High Technology	110	sq ft/GFA	\$0.12
Heavy Industrial	120	sq ft/GFA	\$0.09
Industrial Park	132	sq ft/GFA	\$0.11
Manufacturing	140	sq ft/GFA	\$0.09
Warehousing	150	sq ft/GFA	\$0.04
Mini-Storage	151	sq ft/GFA	\$0.02
Commercial - Restaurant	•		
Drinking Establishment	925	sq ft/GFA	\$0.39
Quality Restaurant	931	sq ft/GFA	\$0.37
High Turnover Restaurant	932	sq ft/GFA	\$0.38
Fast Casual	-	sq ft/GFA	\$0.63
Fast Food Restaurant	934	sq ft/GFA	\$0.93
Coffee Shop with Drive-Thru	937	sq ft/GFA	\$0.36

Notes:

Updated Dec 2016 using the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition Fees are reduced, where applicable, to account for "pass-by" trips

Definitions:

VFP- Vehicle Fueling Positions (Maximum number of vehicles that can be fueled simultaneously)

GFA= Gross Floor Area

ASF= Assignable Square Feet (aka Net Assignable Area): the sum of all areas on all floors of a building assigned to, or available for assignment to, an occupant or specific use. It can be subdivided into Classroom, labs, offices, study facilities, special use, general use, support, health care, residential and unclassified. Areas defined as building service, circulation, mechanical and structural should not be included.

ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers

Multi-Family = 3 or more dwelling units with a common wall (SMC 17A.020.130). Cottage housing (SMC 17C.110.350) may also be treated as multi-family 1-2 level.

Drinking Establishment = contains a bar, serves alcohol and food, may have TV screens, pool tables, and other entertainment. Restaurants that specialize in food but also have a bar are considered High-Turnover Restaurants.

Quality Restaurant = duration of stay > 1 hour, not a chain, serves dinner and sometimes lunch, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu, pay after (Ex. Milford's, Clinkerdagger, Anthony's, Luna)

High-Turnover Restaurant = duration of stay approx. 1 hour, often a chain restaurant, may be open 24 hours, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu (Ex. Applebee's, Denny's, Buffalo Wild Wings, The Onion, Twigs)

Fast Casual Restaurant = duration of stay < 1 hour, patrons order at counter and eat in the restaurant. Food is typically made to order. Most do not have a drive-through. (Ex. Chipotle, Panera Bread, Five Guys, Qdoba, Mod Pizza).

Fast Food Restaurant = with drive-thru and indoor seating, open breakfast-lunch-dinner, order at register and pay before eating (Ex. McDonalds, Zips, Taco Bell)



Northwest District Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

	ITE Land	Unit of		
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit	
COST PER TRIP				
Residential				
Single Family & Duplex	210	dwelling	\$817.83	
Multi-Family 1-2 level	220	dwelling	\$576.05	
Multi-Family 3-10 level	221	dwelling	\$378.30	
ADU	-	dwelling	\$378.30	
Multi Family Low-Income	-	dwelling	\$343.91	
Nursing Home	254	bed	\$188.52	
Continuing Care Retirement Comm	255	dwelling	\$104.01	
Assisted Living	620	bed	\$143.02	
Commercial - Services			-	
Hotel (3 Levels or More)	310	room	\$548.51	
Hotel/Motel	320	room	\$436.94	
Movie Theater	444	sq ft/GFA	\$1.58	
Health Club	492	sq ft/GFA	\$1.91	
Day Care	565	sq ft/GFA	\$3.88	
Bank	912	sq ft/GFA	\$4.25	
Commercial - Institutional				
Elementary School	520	sq ft/GFA	\$0.43	
Middle School	522	sq ft/GFA	\$0.30	
High School	530	sq ft/GFA	\$0.35	
University/College	550	ASF	\$0.47	
Religious Institute	560	sq ft/GFA	\$0.38	
Library	590	sq ft/GFA	\$2.56	
Hospital	610	sq ft/GFA	\$0.87	
Commercial - Administrative	Office			
Veterinary Clinic	640	sq ft/GFA	\$3.56	
General Office	710	sq ft/GFA	\$1.22	
Medical Office / Clinic	720	sq ft/GFA	\$2.70	
Office Park	750	sq ft/GFA	\$1.21	

BASE RATE PER PM TRIP	\$699		
	ITE Land	Unit of	
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit
COST PER TRIP			
Commercial - Retail			
Free-Standing Discount Superstore	813	sq ft/GFA	\$1.28
Specialty Retail Center	826	sq ft/GLA	\$0.73
Hardware/Paint Store	816	sq ft/GFA	\$1.30
Nursery/Garden Center	817	sq ft/GFA	\$2.38
Shopping Center	820	sq ft/GLA	\$1.09
Car Sales - New/Used	841	sq ft/GFA	\$1.61
Tire Store	848	Service bay	\$1,425.29
Supermarket	850	sq ft/GFA	\$2.78
Convenience Market	851	sq ft/GFA	\$6.30
Pharmacy	881	sq ft/GFA	\$1.97
Furniture Store	890	sq ft/GFA	\$0.11
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Service Bay	\$2,321.80
Auto Parts & Service Center	943	sq ft/GFA	\$1.75
Service Station/Minimart/Carwash	853	VFP	\$2,399.39
Industrial			
Light Industry/High Technology	110	sq ft/GFA	\$1.02
Heavy Industrial	120	sq ft/GFA	\$0.71
Industrial Park	132	sq ft/GFA	\$0.89
Manufacturing	140	sq ft/GFA	\$0.77
Warehousing	150	sq ft/GFA	\$0.34
Mini-Storage	151	sq ft/GFA	\$0.17
Commercial - Restaurant			
Drinking Establishment	925	sq ft/GFA	\$3.19
Quality Restaurant	931	sq ft/GFA	\$3.06
High Turnover Restaurant	932	sq ft/GFA	\$3.10
Fast Casual	-	sq ft/GFA	\$5.16
Fast Food Restaurant	934	sq ft/GFA	\$7.65
Coffee Shop with Drive-Thru	937	sq ft/GFA	\$2.99

Notes:

Updated Dec 2016 using the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition Fees are reduced, where applicable, to account for "pass-by" trips

Definitions:

VFP- Vehicle Fueling Positions (Maximum number of vehicles that can be fueled simultaneously)

GFA= Gross Floor Area

ASF= Assignable Square Feet (aka Net Assignable Area): the sum of all areas on all floors of a building assigned to, or available for assignment to, an occupant or specific use. It can be subdivided into Classroom, labs, offices, study facilities, special use, general use, support, health care, residential and unclassified. Areas defined as building service, circulation, mechanical and structural should not be included.

ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers

Multi-Family = 3 or more dwelling units with a common wall (SMC 17A.020.130). Cottage housing (SMC 17C.110.350) may also be treated as multi-family 1-2 level.

Drinking Establishment = contains a bar, serves alcohol and food, may have TV screens, pool tables, and other entertainment. Restaurants that specialize in food but also have a bar are considered High-Turnover Restaurants.

Quality Restaurant = duration of stay > 1 hour, not a chain, serves dinner and sometimes lunch, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu, pay after (Ex. Milford's, Clinkerdagger, Anthony's, Luna)

High-Turnover Restaurant = duration of stay approx. 1 hour, often a chain restaurant, may be open 24 hours, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu (Ex. Applebee's, Denny's, Buffalo Wild Wings, The Onion, Twigs)

Fast Casual Restaurant = duration of stay < 1 hour, patrons order at counter and eat in the restaurant. Food is typically made to order. Most do not have a drive-through. (Ex. Chipotle, Panera Bread, Five Guys, Qdoba, Mod Pizza).

Fast Food Restaurant = with drive-thru and indoor seating, open breakfast-lunch-dinner, order at register and pay before eating (Ex. McDonalds, Zips, Taco Bell)


South District Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

<i>1</i>)) ; ; ; ;)) 1	ITE Land	Unit of				
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit			
COST PER TRIP						
Residential						
Single Family & Duplex	210	dwelling	\$1,160.64			
Multi-Family 1-2 level	220	dwelling	\$817.51			
Multi-Family 3-10 level	221	dwelling	\$536.87			
ADU	-	dwelling	\$536.87			
Multi Family Low-Income	-	dwelling	\$488.06			
Nursing Home	254	bed	\$267.54			
Continuing Care Retirement Comm	255	dwelling	\$147.61			
Assisted Living	620	bed	\$202.96			
Commercial - Services						
Hotel (3 Levels or More)	310	room	\$778.42			
Hotel/Motel	320	room	\$620.10			
Movie Theater	444	sq ft/GFA	\$2.25			
Health Club	492	sq ft/GFA	\$2.71			
Day Care	565	sq ft/GFA	\$5.51			
Bank	912	sq ft/GFA	\$6.03			
Commercial - Institutional						
Elementary School	520	sq ft/GFA	\$0.60			
Middle School	522	sq ft/GFA	\$0.42			
High School	530	sq ft/GFA	\$0.50			
University/College	550	ASF	\$0.66			
Religious Institute	560	sq ft/GFA	\$0.55			
Library	590	sq ft/GFA	\$3.64			
Hospital	610	sq ft/GFA	\$1.23			
Commercial - Administrative	Office					
Veterinary Clinic	640	sq ft/GFA	\$5.06			
General Office	710	sq ft/GFA	\$1.73			
Medical Office / Clinic	720	sq ft/GFA	\$3.82			
Office Park	750	sq ft/GFA	\$1.72			

BASE RATE PER PM TRIP	\$992						
	ITE Land	Unit of	_				
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit				
COST PER TRIP							
Commercial - Retail							
Free-Standing Discount Superstore	813	sq ft/GFA	\$1.81				
Specialty Retail Center	826	sq ft/GLA	\$1.04				
Hardware/Paint Store	816	sq ft/GFA	\$1.85				
Nursery/Garden Center	817	sq ft/GFA	\$3.37				
Shopping Center	820	sq ft/GLA	\$1.55				
Car Sales - New/Used	841	sq ft/GFA	\$2.29				
Tire Store	848	Service bay	\$2,022.73				
Supermarket	850	sq ft/GFA	\$3.95				
Convenience Market	851	sq ft/GFA	\$8.94				
Pharmacy	881	sq ft/GFA	\$2.80				
Furniture Store	890	sq ft/GFA	\$0.15				
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Service Bay	\$3,295.03				
Auto Parts & Service Center	943	sq ft/GFA	\$2.48				
Service Station/Minimart/Carwash	853	VFP	\$3,405.14				
Industrial							
Light Industry/High Technology	110	sq ft/GFA	\$1.44				
Heavy Industrial	120	sq ft/GFA	\$1.01				
Industrial Park	132	sq ft/GFA	\$1.26				
Manufacturing	140	sq ft/GFA	\$1.09				
Warehousing	150	sq ft/GFA	\$0.48				
Mini-Storage	151	sq ft/GFA	\$0.25				
Commercial - Restaurant							
Drinking Establishment	925	sq ft/GFA	\$4.52				
Quality Restaurant	931	sq ft/GFA	\$4.35				
High Turnover Restaurant	932	sq ft/GFA	\$4.40				
Fast Casual	-	sq ft/GFA	\$7.32				
Fast Food Restaurant	934	sq ft/GFA	\$10.85				
Coffee Shop with Drive-Thru	937	sq ft/GFA	\$4.25				

Notes:

Updated Dec 2016 using the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition Fees are reduced, where applicable, to account for "pass-by" trips

Definitions:

VFP- Vehicle Fueling Positions (Maximum number of vehicles that can be fueled simultaneously)

GFA= Gross Floor Area

ASF= Assignable Square Feet (aka Net Assignable Area): the sum of all areas on all floors of a building assigned to, or available for assignment to, an occupant or specific use. It can be subdivided into Classroom, labs, offices, study facilities, special use, general use, support, health care, residential and unclassified. Areas defined ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers

Multi-Family = 3 or more dwelling units with a common wall (SMC 17A.020.130). Cottage housing (SMC 17C.110.350) may also be treated as multi-family 1-2 level.

Drinking Establishment = contains a bar, serves alcohol and food, may have TV screens, pool tables, and other entertainment. Restaurants that specialize in food but also have a bar are considered High-Turnover Restaurants.

Quality Restaurant = duration of stay > 1 hour, not a chain, serves dinner and sometimes lunch, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu, pay after (Ex. Milford's, Clinkerdagger, Anthony's, Luna)

High-Turnover Restaurant = duration of stay approx. 1 hour, often a chain restaurant, may be open 24 hours, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu (Ex. Applebee's, Denny's, Buffalo Wild Wings, The Onion, Twigs)

Fast Casual Restaurant = duration of stay < 1 hour, patrons order at counter and eat in the restaurant. Food is typically made to order. Most do not have a drive-through. (Ex. Chipotle, Panera Bread, Five Guys, Qdoba, Mod Pizza).

Fast Food Restaurant = with drive-thru and indoor seating, open breakfast-lunch-dinner, order at register and pay before eating (Ex. McDonalds, Zips, Taco Bell)



Northeast District Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

Land Use	ITE Land Use Code	Unit of Measure	Fee per Unit
COST PER TRIP	036 0006	Weasure	i ee per onit
Residential			
Single Family & Duplex	210	dwelling	\$683.28
Multi-Family 1-2 level	220	dwelling	\$481.27
Multi-Family 3-10 level	221	dwelling	\$316.06
ADU	-	dwelling	\$316.06
Multi Family Low-Income	-	dwelling	\$287.33
Nursing Home	254	bed	\$157.50
Continuing Care Retirement Comm	255	dwelling	\$86.90
Assisted Living	620	bed	\$119.49
Commercial - Services			
Hotel (3 Levels or More)	310	room	\$458.26
Hotel/Motel	320	room	\$365.06
Movie Theater	444	sq ft/GFA	\$1.32
Health Club	492	sq ft/GFA	\$1.59
Day Care	565	sq ft/GFA	\$3.24
Bank	912	sq ft/GFA	\$3.55
Commercial - Institutional			
Elementary School	520	sq ft/GFA	\$0.36
Middle School	522	sq ft/GFA	\$0.25
High School	530	sq ft/GFA	\$0.29
University/College	550	ASF	\$0.39
Religious Institute	560	sq ft/GFA	\$0.32
Library	590	sq ft/GFA	\$2.14
Hospital	610	sq ft/GFA	\$0.73
Commercial - Administrative	Office		
Veterinary Clinic	640	sq ft/GFA	\$2.98
General Office	710	sq ft/GFA	\$1.02
Medical Office / Clinic	720	sq ft/GFA	\$2.25
Office Park	750	sq ft/GFA	\$1.01

BASE RATE PER PM TRIP	\$584		
	ITE Land	Unit of	
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit
COST PER TRIP			
Commercial - Retail			
Free-Standing Discount Superstore	813	sq ft/GFA	\$1.07
Specialty Retail Center	826	sq ft/GLA	\$0.61
Hardware/Paint Store	816	sq ft/GFA	\$1.09
Nursery/Garden Center	817	sq ft/GFA	\$1.99
Shopping Center	820	sq ft/GLA	\$0.91
Car Sales - New/Used	841	sq ft/GFA	\$1.35
Tire Store	848	Service bay	\$1,190.80
Supermarket	850	sq ft/GFA	\$2.33
Convenience Market	851	sq ft/GFA	\$5.26
Pharmacy	881	sq ft/GFA	\$1.65
Furniture Store	890	sq ft/GFA	\$0.09
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Service Bay	\$1,939.81
Auto Parts & Service Center	943	sq ft/GFA	\$1.46
Service Station/Minimart/Carwash	853	VFP	\$2,004.64
Industrial			
Light Industry/High Technology	110	sq ft/GFA	\$0.85
Heavy Industrial	120	sq ft/GFA	\$0.60
Industrial Park	132	sq ft/GFA	\$0.74
Manufacturing	140	sq ft/GFA	\$0.64
Warehousing	150	sq ft/GFA	\$0.28
Mini-Storage	151	sq ft/GFA	\$0.14
Commercial - Restaurant			
Drinking Establishment	925	sq ft/GFA	\$2.66
Quality Restaurant	931	sq ft/GFA	\$2.56
High Turnover Restaurant	932	sq ft/GFA	\$2.59
Fast Casual	-	sq ft/GFA	\$4.31
Fast Food Restaurant	934	sq ft/GFA	\$6.39
Coffee Shop with Drive-Thru	937	sq ft/GFA	\$2.50

Notes:

Updated Dec 2016 using the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition Fees are reduced, where applicable, to account for "pass-by" trips

Definitions:

VFP- Vehicle Fueling Positions (Maximum number of vehicles that can be fueled simultaneously)

GFA= Gross Floor Area

ASF= Assignable Square Feet (aka Net Assignable Area): the sum of all areas on all floors of a building assigned to, or available for assignment to, an occupant or specific use. It can be subdivided into Classroom, labs, offices, study facilities, special use, general use, support, health care, residential and unclassified. Areas defined as building service, circulation, mechanical and structural should not be included.

ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers

Multi-Family = 3 or more dwelling units with a common wall (SMC 17A.020.130). Cottage housing (SMC 17C.110.350) may also be treated as multi-family 1-2 level. Drinking Establishment = contains a bar, serves alcohol and food, may have TV screens, pool tables, and other entertainment. Restaurants that specialize in food but also have a bar are considered High-Turnover Restaurants.

Quality Restaurant = duration of stay > 1 hour, not a chain, serves dinner and sometimes lunch, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu, pay after (Ex. Milford's, Clinkerdagger, Anthony's, Luna)

High-Turnover Restaurant = duration of stay approx. 1 hour, often a chain restaurant, may be open 24 hours, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu (Ex. Applebee's, Denny's, Buffalo Wild Wings, The Onion, Twigs)

Fast Casual Restaurant = duration of stay < 1 hour, patrons order at counter and eat in the restaurant. Food is typically made to order. Most do not have a drive-through. (Ex. Chipotle, Panera Bread, Five Guys, Qdoba, Mod Pizza).

Fast Food Restaurant = with drive-thru and indoor seating, open breakfast-lunch-dinner, order at register and pay before eating (Ex. McDonalds, Zips, Taco Bell)



West Plains District Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

	ITE Land Use Code	Unit of Measure	Fee per Unit
COST PER TRIP	Use code	Weasure	ree per Unit
Residential			
Single Family & Duplex	210	ducelling	¢1 259 02
· · ·		dwelling	\$1,258.92
Multi-Family 1-2 level	220	dwelling	\$886.73
Multi-Family 3-10 level	221	dwelling	\$582.33
ADU	-	dwelling	\$582.33
Multi Family Low-Income	-	dwelling	\$529.39
Nursing Home	254	bed	\$290.20
Continuing Care Retirement Comm	255	dwelling	\$160.11
Assisted Living	620	bed	\$220.15
Commercial - Services			
Hotel (3 Levels or More)	310	room	\$844.34
Hotel/Motel	320	room	\$672.61
Movie Theater	444	sq ft/GFA	\$2.44
Health Club	492	sq ft/GFA	\$2.93
Day Care	565	sq ft/GFA	\$5.98
Bank	912	sq ft/GFA	\$6.54
Commercial - Institutional			
Elementary School	520	sq ft/GFA	\$0.66
Middle School	522	sq ft/GFA	\$0.45
High School	530	sq ft/GFA	\$0.54
University/College	550	ASF	\$0.72
Religious Institute	560	sq ft/GFA	\$0.59
Library	590	sq ft/GFA	\$3.95
Hospital	610	sq ft/GFA	\$1.34
Commercial - Administrative	Office		
Veterinary Clinic	640	sq ft/GFA	\$5.49
General Office	710	sq ft/GFA	\$1.88
Medical Office / Clinic	720	sq ft/GFA	\$4.15
Office Park	750	sq ft/GFA	\$1.86

BASE RATE PER PM TRIP	\$1,076		
	ITE Land	Unit of	
Land Use	Use Code	Measure	Fee per Unit
COST PER TRIP			
Commercial - Retail			
Free-Standing Discount Superstore	813	sq ft/GFA	\$1.97
Specialty Retail Center	826	sq ft/GLA	\$1.12
Hardware/Paint Store	816	sq ft/GFA	\$2.01
Nursery/Garden Center	817	sq ft/GFA	\$3.66
Shopping Center	820	sq ft/GLA	\$1.68
Car Sales - New/Used	841	sq ft/GFA	\$2.48
Tire Store	848	Service bay	\$2,194.01
Supermarket	850	sq ft/GFA	\$4.28
Convenience Market	851	sq ft/GFA	\$9.70
Pharmacy	881	sq ft/GFA	\$3.04
Furniture Store	890	sq ft/GFA	\$0.17
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Service Bay	\$3,574.04
Auto Parts & Service Center	943	sq ft/GFA	\$2.69
Service Station/Minimart/Carwash	853	VFP	\$3,693.48
Industrial			
Light Industry/High Technology	110	sq ft/GFA	\$1.57
Heavy Industrial	120	sq ft/GFA	\$1.10
Industrial Park	132	sq ft/GFA	\$1.37
Manufacturing	140	sq ft/GFA	\$1.18
Warehousing	150	sq ft/GFA	\$0.52
Mini-Storage	151	sq ft/GFA	\$0.27
Commercial - Restaurant			
Drinking Establishment	925	sq ft/GFA	\$4.91
Quality Restaurant	931	sq ft/GFA	\$4.71
High Turnover Restaurant	932	sq ft/GFA	\$4.77
Fast Casual	-	sq ft/GFA	\$7.94
Fast Food Restaurant	934	sq ft/GFA	\$11.77
Coffee Shop with Drive-Thru	937	sq ft/GFA	\$4.61

Notes:

Updated Dec 2016 using the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition Fees are reduced, where applicable, to account for "pass-by" trips

Definitions:

VFP- Vehicle Fueling Positions (Maximum number of vehicles that can be fueled simultaneously)

GFA= Gross Floor Area

ASF= Assignable Square Feet (aka Net Assignable Area): the sum of all areas on all floors of a building assigned to, or available for assignment to, an occupant or specific use. It can be subdivided into Classroom, labs, offices, study facilities, special use, general use, support, health care, residential and unclassified. Areas defined as building service, circulation, mechanical and structural should not be included.

ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers

Multi-Family = 3 or more dwelling units with a common wall (SMC 17A.020.130). Cottage housing (SMC 17C.110.350) may also be treated as multi-family 1-2 level.

Drinking Establishment = contains a bar, serves alcohol and food, may have TV screens, pool tables, and other entertainment. Restaurants that specialize in food but also have a bar are considered High-Turnover Restaurants.

Quality Restaurant = duration of stay > 1 hour, not a chain, serves dinner and sometimes lunch, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu, pay after (Ex. Milford's, Clinkerdagger, Anthony's, Luna)

High-Turnover Restaurant = duration of stay approx. 1 hour, often a chain restaurant, may be open 24 hours, patrons wait to be seated, order from menu (Ex. Applebee's, Denny's, Buffalo Wild Wings, The Onion, Twigs)

Fast Casual Restaurant = duration of stay < 1 hour, patrons order at counter and eat in the restaurant. Food is typically made to order. Most do not have a drive-through. (Ex. Chipotle, Panera Bread, Five Guys, Qdoba, Mod Pizza).

Fast Food Restaurant = with drive-thru and indoor seating, open breakfast-lunch-dinner, order at register and pay before eating (Ex. McDonalds, Zips, Taco Bell)

Section 17D.075.210

Appendix B Service Area Map Section 17D.075.210

Appendix C

rmulas, Trip Rates, Pass-By, Trip Length Adjustment Factors used in Rate Schedule

17D.075.200 Appendix C Trip Rates, Pass-By, Trip Length Adjustment Factors used in Rate Schedule

	1				Trip
			ITE Avg.	Pass-by	Length Adj.
Land Use Category	Land Use		Trip Rate	Rate	Factor*
Residential	Code	Unit			
Single Family & Duplex	210	dwelling	1	0%	1.17
Multi-Family 1-2 level	220	dwelling	0.67	0%	1.23
Multi-Family 3-10 level	221	dwelling	0.44	0%	1.23
ADU	-	dwelling	0.44	0%	1.23
Multi Family Low-Income	-	dwelling	0.4	0%	1.23
Assisted Living	254	bed	0.29	0%	0.93
Continuing Care Retirement Communit	255	dwelling	0.16	0%	0.93
Nursing Home	620	beds	0.22	0%	0.93
Commercial Services					
Hotel (3 Levels or More)	310	room	0.59	0%	1.33
Hotel/Motel	320	room	0.47	0%	1.33
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	sq ft/GFA	0.00294	0%	0.77
Health Club	492	sq ft/GFA	0.00353	25%	1.03
Day Care	565	sq ft/GFA	0.01234	25%	0.60
Bank	912	sq ft/GFA	0.0243	50%	0.50
Commercial Institutional					
Elementary School	520	sq ft/GFA	0.0013	30%	0.67
Middle School	522	sq ft/GFA	0.0009	30%	0.67
High School	530	sq ft/GFA	0.0007	20%	0.90
University/College	550	ASF *	0.000445	0%	1.50
Religious Institute	560	sq ft/GFA	0.00055	0%	1.00
Library	590	sq ft/GFA	0.0073	25%	0.67
Hospital	610	sq ft/GFA	0.00093	20%	1.67
Commercial - Restaurant					
Drinking Establishment	925	sq ft/GFA	0.01134	40%	0.67
Quality Restaurant	931	sq ft/GFA	0.00749	35%	0.90
High Turnover Restaurant	932	sq ft/GFA	0.00985	40%	0.75
Fast Casual Restaurant		sq ft/GFA	0.01835	40%	0.67
Fast Food Restaurant (with drive-thru)	934	sq ft/GFA	0.03265	50%	0.67
Coffee Shop with Drive-Thru	937	sq ft/GFA	0.0428	80%	0.50
Commercial - Retail					
Free-Standing Discount Superstore	813	sq ft/GLA	0.00435	40%	0.70
Specialty Retail Center	826	sq ft/GLA	0.00271	45%	0.70
Hardware/Paint Store	816	sq ft/GFA	0.00484	45%	0.70
Nursery/Garden Center	817	sq ft/GFA	0.00694	30%	0.70
Shopping Center	820	sq ft/GLA	0.00371	40%	0.70
Automobile Sales - Used/New	841	sq ft/GFA	0.00262	20%	1.10
Tire Store	848	Service bay	3.54	28%	0.80
Supermarket	850	sq ft/GFA	0.00948	40%	0.80
Convenience Market	850	sq ft/GFA	0.00948	60%	0.43
Pharmacy	881		0.00241	50%	
		sq ft/GFA			0.57
Furniture Store	890 941	sq ft/GFA	0.00045	40%	0.57
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop		Service Bay	5.19	20%	0.80
Auto Parts & Service Center	943	sq ft/GFA	0.00446	30%	0.80
Service Station/Minimart/Carwash	853	VFP	19.07	70%	0.60
ndustrial	110		0.00000		4 = 2
ight Industry/High Technology	110	sq ft/GFA	0.00097	0%	1.50
Heavy Industrial	120	sq ft/GFA	0.00068	0%	1.50
ndustrial Park	130	sq ft/GFA	0.00085	0%	1.50
Manufacturing	140	sq ft/GFA	0.00073	0%	1.50
Warehousing	150	sq ft/GFA	0.00032	0%	1.50
Mini-Storage	151	sq ft/GFA	0.00019	0%	1.30
Commercial - Administrative Office					
Veterinary Clinic	640	sq ft/GFA	0.00472	10%	1.20
General Office	710	sq ft/GFA	0.00149	10%	1.30
Medical Office / Clinic	720	sq ft/GFA	0.00357	10%	1.20
Office Park	750	sq ft/GFA	0.00148	10%	1.30

17D.075.200 Appendix C

Formula for Base Fee by District

Base Fee = (Project Costs) / (Trip Ends) * Developer %

Project Costs = Total cost of capacity improvement projects for each district Trip Ends = growth in PM peak trips over 20 years from SRTC travel demand model. Developer % = Share of costs assigned to developers vs. city or grant funds. (currently 50%)

Formula for Land Use Rates

Rate = [(Base Fee * ITE Trip Rate) - (Base Fee * ITE Trip Rate * Pass-by %)] * Trip Length Factor

ITE Trip Rate = Trip generation rate from ITE Manual, varies by land use

Pass-by % = Trips that are already using the adjacent arterial and stop at the land use. Does not add new trips to the network. Trip Length Factor = adjusts the rate to account for trip length. People drive farther to unique destinations such as Costco or the hospital, but often go to the closest grocery store or gas station. Section 17D.075.210

Appendix D Impact Fee Project List

Appendix D - Impact Fee Project List

Approx. Build Timeline

Project	Description	Need for Project	Estimated Cost (in 2019 dollars)	District		20-yr
5th Ave / Sherman St	Intersection - Install new traffic signal	LOS F, expected to meet signal warrants	\$670,000	D		Х
Ash Street 2-way from Broadway to Dean	Convert Ash Street to a 2-way street to allow access to Maple Street Bridge SB.	better traffic dispersion through network	\$216,000	D	х	
Washington / North River Drive	Add NB left turn lane, rebuild signal to add west approach	North Bank redevelopment, improves capacity by adding left turn pocket	\$238,000	D	х	
D Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials, bike share parking, crossing improvements	reduce vehicle trips	\$200,000	D		х
D Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips, transit access	\$200,000	D		х
Assembly St / Francis Ave (SR291)	Intersection - Construct Roundabout	LOS F in future	\$3,090,000	NW		х
Indian Trail Rd - Kathleen to Barnes	Widening - Construct to 5-lane section	LOS F at Indian Trail / Pacific Park, lanes must continue southward	\$4,100,000	NW	X *	х
Wellesley / Driscoll	WB right turn lane	LOS F in future	\$31,000	NW		х
Wellesley / Assembly	signal	arterial intersection expected to meet signal warrants (with school development)	\$1,030,000	NW	х	
Wellesley / Maple	WB thru pocket, NB right turn lane	LOS F in future	\$1,145,000	NW		х
Francis/Alberta	modify NB and SB lanes to allow protected phasing	LOS F in future	\$824,000	NW		х
Francis/Maple	add WBR lane	LOS F in future	\$824,000	NW		х
NW Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips	\$100,000	NW		х
NW Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips, transit access	\$100,000	NW		Х
29th Ave / Freya St	Stripe EBL and WBL turn lanes, and widen for NB and SB left turn lane. Keep 4-way stop.	LOS F in future	\$244,000	S	х	
29th/ Regal	intersection improvements - EBR turn lane, possibly others	STA HPTN route	\$515,000	S	x	
Ray-Freya Alternative	Placeholder for after analysis is complete. May include improvements at 37th/Freya and 37th/Ray	preserve Regal capacity, better traffic dispersion through network	\$4,120,000	S		x
57th/Hatch	Intersection capacity improvements - needs further analysis	Long queues on Hatch, LOS F	\$750,000	S		x
44th Ave from Crestline to Altamont	new collector road section	better traffic dispersion through network	\$1,007,000	S		х
44th/Regal	Widen northbound approach to 2 lanes	better utilization of existing infrastructure, shorter queues, minor capacity increase	\$484,000	S		х
Freya / Palouse Hwy	roundabout (or turn lanes)	LOS F in future	\$1,545,000	S	х	
Sunset Highway/Assembly	signal	growth on Assembly	\$515,000	S	x	
US 195 Frontage from 16th to Thorpe	2-3 lane frontage road, with bridge for trail	LOS F in future at Thorpe, 16th	\$7,210,000	S		х
US 195 / Meadowlane Intersection	interim improvements to expand capacity	LOS F in future	\$2,060,000	S		х
S Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips	\$100,000	S		х
S Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips, transit access	\$100,000	S		Х
Lincoln Rd / Nevada St	Intersection Improvements - Construct separate eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes; include west leg widening and construction of 5-lane east of Nevada 1000'	LOS F in future	\$1,545,000	NE		x
Hamilton St Corridor - Desmet Ave to Foothills Ave	Segment Improvements - Construct traffic signal modifications to accommodate protected or protected/permitted signal phasing. New signal at Desmet.	LOS F in future	\$4,580,000	NE	х	
Haven/Wellesley improvements	Add WBL turn lane, rebuild signal to allow protected lefts on Wellesley	LOS E-F in future and to improve interchange access	\$500,000	NE		х
Mission/Havana	signal	arterial intersection expected to meet signal warrants	\$824,000	NE		х
Crestline / Magnesium	add EBR turn lane, two lanes for NB, all-way stop.	LOS F in future	\$670,000	NE		х
Nevada / Magnesium	left turn protected-permitted phasing, restripe for WBL and EBL turn lanes, add WBR, one through lane east-west, maybe ROW on NE corner	LOS E-F in future	\$1,030,000	NE		x
Sprague/Freya	Add NBR turn lane	LOS E-F in future	\$503,000	NE		х
NE Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips	\$100,000	NE		х
NE Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips, transit access	\$100,000	NE		х
21st Avenue: Deer Heights to Flint/Granite & Deer Heights: extend to 21st	segment - construct new 3-lane arterial	better traffic dispersion through network, preserve Highway 2 capacity	\$2,472,000	WP		х
12th Avenue: Deer Heights to Flint	segment - construct new 2-lane arterial	better traffic dispersion through network, preserve Highway 2 capacity	\$1,921,000	WP	х	
W Bicycle Improvements	stripe bike facilities on arterials or US 2 Bike Path	reduce vehicle trips	\$50,000	WP		х
W Pedestrian Improvements	install pedestrian facilities on arterials	reduce vehicle trips, transit access	\$50,000	WP		x

Total Downtown =	\$1,524,000
Total Northwest =	\$11,244,000
Total South =	\$18,650,000
Total Northeast =	\$9,852,000
Total West Plains =	\$4,493,000
Grand Total =	\$45,763,000

BRIEFING PAPER Plan Commission Neighborhood and Business Services August 14, 2019



<u>Subject</u>

East Central Community Center Renaming

Background

Council President Ben Stuckart made a proposal to the Mayor's Office to rename the East Central Community Center as the Martin Luther King Jr. Community Center.

The Mayor's Office then sent a request to the Plan Commission asking for initiation of the renaming process pursuant to City Administrative Policy 0325-14-05 and the Plan Commission Resolution of Rules and Procedures on Names for Public Plazas, Squares, and Places.

In accordance with policy, the City of Spokane also established a list of other names for consideration that recognized other individuals or places with geographic or historical significance. A public poll was conducted in June and the results were presented to the Plan Commission on July 24th during a special meeting.

During the July 24th special meeting, the Plan Commission requested more information on the methodology of the poll. That information will be presented at the August 14th Plan Commission hearing.

Impact

Renaming of the East Central Community Center may result in a greater sense of ownership by the neighboring community. It may also increase the brand recognition of the organization that is currently managing the Center. Some citizens are concerned that the renaming may result in a center that appears to target certain population group instead of the community as a whole.

<u>Action</u>

The Plan Commission will review this information and make the final recommendation to the City Council.

Public Survey Outreach Methods



 SURVEY WAS PUBLICLY POSTED JUNE 11TH.
 SURVEY CLOSED JUNE 21ST.
 SURVEY WAS CONFIGURED TO ACCEPT ONLY ONE VOTE PER IP ADDRESS.
 TOTAL VOTES CAST: 738
 ACCESS THE BLOG POST: HTTPS://MY.SPOKANECITY.ORG/NEWS/STORIES/2 019/06/11/HELP-US-NAMETHECENTER/
 ACCESS THE CHANNEL 5 VIDEO:

HTTPS://VIMEO.COM/341419030





SPOKANE CITY | COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

Providing historic property protection and development services to the City of Spokane and Spokane County.

July 22, 2019

To Whom It May Concern:

I was asked to provide information about the potential listing of the East Central Community Center to the Spokane Register of Historic Places. The Spokane Register generally only lists buildings that are at least 50 years old, but there is a special provision within the Historic Preservation Ordinance (SMC 17D.100.020) for exceptionally significant buildings that may be listed before 50 years has passed:

Generally a building, structure, object, site or district which is more than fifty (50) years old or determined to be exceptionally significant in an architectural, historical or a cultural manner may be designated an historic landmark or historic district if it has significant character, interest, or value as a part of the development, heritage or cultural characteristics of the city, county, state or nation. The property must also possess integrity of location, design, materials, workmanship and association and must fall into one or more of the following categories:

- 1. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history of the city, county, state or nation; or
- 2. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in the history of the city, county, state or nation; or
- 3. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
- 4. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history; or
- 5. A property that represents the culture and heritage of the city of Spokane in ways not adequately addressed in the other criteria, as in its visual prominence, reference to intangible heritage, or any range of cultural practices.

Exceptional significance is a high bar to achieve. The East Central Community Center was constructed in 1978, 1981 and 1990. A property that has achieved significance within the past fifty years can be evaluated only when sufficient historical perspective exists to determine that the property is exceptionally important. The necessary perspective can be provided by scholarly research and evaluation, and must consider both the historic context and the specific property's role in that context. At this point, it is difficult for the Historic Preservation Office to make a determination of the exceptional significance of the East Central Community Center because no scholarly research on community centers in Spokane exists at this point in time.

In justifying exceptional importance, it is necessary to identify other properties within the geographical area that reflect the same significance or historic associations and to determine which properties best represent the historic context in question. Several properties in the area could become eligible with the passage of time, but few will qualify now as exceptionally important.

To provide a few examples of exceptionally significant properties that have been listed on the National Register of Historic Places: the launch pad at Cape Canaveral from which men first traveled to the moon, the home of nationally prominent playwright Eugene O'Neill, and the Chrysler Building (New York) significant as the epitome of the "Style Moderne" architecture. Spokane's Historic Preservation Program has not listed any properties less than 50 years old as exceptionally significant at this time.

Finally, 2018 changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance removed the ability for anyone except the owner of a property to submit a nomination. The City of Spokane owns the property and would be the only agency that could submit a nomination for consideration by the Spokane Historic Landmarks Commission. It is possible for the City to hire a historic preservation consultant to prepare a nomination for the building, but the nomination must be submitted by the City.

I hope this answers the underlying questions about exceptional significance in general and how that relates to the Spokane Register of Historic Places. I'm afraid that we do not have enough scholarly research on these property types in Spokane or those with this particular use to make a judgement specifically on the exceptional significance of the East Central Community Center at this time.

Sincerely,

Myga MKDell

Megan Duvall Historic Preservation Officer, City | County of Spokane



SPOKANE CITY COUNCIL 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane, WA 99201-3335 (509) 625-6255

Ben Stuckart Council President

April 10, 2019

Mayor David Condon 808 W. Spokane Falls Boulevard 7th Floor Spokane, Washington 99201

Dear Mayor Condon,

Per Administrative Policy 0325-14-05, I am proposing the East Central Community Center at 500 South Stone Street be renamed the Martin Luther King Jr. Community Center to honor the late civil rights icon who made significant and permanent contributions to the lives of all Americans and all residents of Spokane.

The East Central Neighborhood is one of the city's most diverse neighborhoods, home to current and historical community institutions like Bethel AME Church, Calvary Baptist Church, and the First German Baptist Church. Likewise, the management of the East Central Community Center by the Martin Luther King Jr. Family Outreach Center makes this proposed name even more timely and proper. The Martin Luther King Jr. Family Outreach Center's mission is to promote the values of Dr. King by "building a culturally diverse community with services and programs free of discrimination and accessible to all". This mission is consistent with the role of the City's East Central Community Center.

I support seeking further public input on this suggested name change for the East Central Community Center. Please let me know how I may help you in this process.

Sincerely

Ben Stuekart President, Spokane City Council

cc Heather Trautman, Director of Planning

As you are aware, there has been discussion concerning the rename of the <u>EAST</u> <u>CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER</u>. We as board members of the League of Women for Community Action, dba, Southeast Day Care Center, would like to reiterate that the name remains the... 1-3

EAST CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER

as well as to be placed on the SPOKANE REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.

According to the Spokane Register Nomination Guide: Page 2 of 17(1)

The nominee must meet both of the following criteria:

<u>1. Generally, a property must be 50 years of age or older. However, a property</u> less than 50 years of age may be eligible (if) of it is of exceptional importance or if it is an integral part of a district that is eligible for listing on the register.

2. The property is located in the City of Spokane, or in the unincorporated area of Spokane County.

The East Central Community Center has met both momentous, historic conditions.

In 1974, The East Central Community Center project, was initiated by a member of its community, Mrs. Lee Wade, who was serving as a volunteer on one of the citizen volunteer boards for the City of Spokane. At that time, she became aware that federal funds were becoming available to build neighborhood community centers. She shared the information with her fellow board members League of Women for Community Action. They decided to learn more about this opportunity that could provide so many possibilities for the neighbors in the East Central Community. 'The Ladies', as they were originally known throughout the neighborhood, because of the help they would provide to neighbors in desperate situations, began researching the steps necessary to develop such a center. They rallied the neighborhood residents as well; the community became excited about the possibilities that could bring a positive change to their neighborhood. "Residents of the East Central Neighborhood-considered a low-to-moderate income area-have long pursued the goal of a community center as a social refuge for their elderly and young people, a dispensing point for many human services." Is one of the statements that was quoted about them, in the Spokesman Review. (2)

9-3

Over the next five years, there was much collaboration among the neighborhood residents, the Spokane Planning Department, and design teams to building a large new facility, with federal funds, which eventually totaled \$1.5 million. Partners from all over the City were on board to bring about a new Community Center of this magnitude that would provide services for many families of the East Central Community, services that would cater to the seniors, services for children's education, health and play, a full size gymnasium with stage and professional lighting, and will seat 300 – 600 was salvaged from the demolition of the former Edison Elementary school that was originally on the site, and numerous services that would be inclusive for all neighborhood families. (2)

According to newspaper articles, upon opening its doors to the public in 1979, as many as 17 services were scheduled to participate; including the Senior Center, East Side Library, who were the first two facilities to move-in. (2)

At that time, Mayor Bair was quoted by the Spokesman Review to say, "A lot of us thought this day would never come. As a result of this accomplishment of such a building as this and other neighborhood community projects, Bair said Spokane has become a model used by the rest of the United States." Bair not only presented a proclamation, but he also signed it on the spot. "We're not stopping Mrs. Wade said after describing the patience and hard work" involved in the neighborhood effort which began in 1974. The proclamation made "East Central Neighborhood Community Awareness Month" in Spokane, culminating with a community lawn party on September 29, 1979. (3)

The Spokesman Review was quoted as saying, "the East Central Neighborhood has provided an excellent example. It's determined, hard-working residents could be considered inspiring pathfinders for those in other parts of the city." (3)

In 1979, West Central, and 1980, the Northeast Communities were planning to file applications for Community Centers for their neighborhoods, however the city would need to way until the City gained experience in operating a center of this magnitude, by placing a moratorium on new community centers. Again, ECCC lead the way in how and who would manage the community center. (3)(4)

Today, EAST CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER, continues to make an impact on its East Central Community residents. As more marketing and public outreach becomes activated, it can again thrive as in the past.

3-3

Again, it is recommended that <u>the EAST CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER</u> take its place in history, by being placed on the <u>Spokane Register of Historic Places</u>, for being the first large scale Neighborhood Community Center, created in the City of Spokane, leading the way, to make an historical difference in the City of Spokane WA.

I ask you to please join me in this recommendation and request. By doing so you will *Give honor where honor is due. (6)

- (1) www.historicspokane.org
- (2) Spokesman Review, Feb 7, 1978
- (3) Spokesman Review, Jim Smith, Staff Writer, Aug1-Sept 30, 1979
- (4) Spokesman Review
- (5) West Central Community Center: Spokane County Assessor's Office: Picture states 1980. Form states 1953; Northeast Community Center Flyer Northeast Community Center: Spokane County Assessor's Office, 1982; Northeast Community Center Flyer
- (6) Taken from, Romans 13:7, KJV

Carole Shook, May 14, 2019

bids sought by city of the provised a cell for the formany futures and the provised a cell for bids to conternet the provised a cell for bids to conternet the provised a cell for bids to conternet the provised at the file of the provised at the file of the provised at the center, while how the provised at the file of the provised at the center, while how the provised at the file of the provised at the center, while how the provised at the file of the provised at the center, while how the provised at the center, while how the provised at the file of the provised at the neighborhood. Call said the City Engineer will call for bids, adding plane call for a spring start, with the hope than building can be completed by the end of this year. Two other community centers are Community center planned. The West Central Neighbor-hood is expected to make application. Another is being considered by a Hill. yard neighborhood group. Residents of the East Central of Neighborhoed – considered a low to modestue income area – fave long p pursued the goal of a community cent. In cr. They see it as a social retuge for their elderly and young people, a disfederal funds, the city will be required to set avide \$70,000 annually of its own money (by 1977 defint standards) to operate and maintain the facility. 94<u>3</u>

3

NINE

Proclamation

But Dates : Aug-sept 1979 East Central Community Center opens

By JIM SMITH

-X-

Spokesman-Review sigH writer

Seven years ago lamentations were heard as Edison.Elementary School, S515 Lee, closed its doors forever after 81 years of classroom

forever after 81 years of classroom activity. On Wednesday cheerful sounds were heard on the same 3,4-acre tract, as East Central Community Center opened its facilities to the scrutiny of the press, local citizens and civic dignitaries. "A lot of us thought this day might never come." Mayor Ron Bair said in a brief program preced-ing tours of the facilities:

As a result of the accomplishment of "such a building as this" and other heighborhood community projects. Bair said Spokane has "become a model used by the rest of the United States." Bair not only presented a procla-mation to Mrs. Lee A. Wade, chair-man of the center's steering com-mittee, but he also signed if on the

mittee, but he also signed it on the

spot. "We're not stopping. We still have things to do," Mrs. Wade said after describing the "patience and hard work" involved in the neighborhood effort begun in 1974.

Bair's proclamation made the 32day period ending Sept. 29 "East Courtal Neighborhood Community Mareness Month" in Spokane. Notually the center opened its poirs to the center opened its poirs to the center opened its poirs to the spoke the East Side Branch of the Spo-the Public Library moved in and suthasium activity began. Note to f Edison Elementary School was demolished in 1977 to prepare the way for the center. Edison's former multipurpose un-taria s 1000,000 project in the late. 1990's ---- is incorporated into the denter.

denter. Leon F. "Skip" Rowland, the cen-

for's manager-director, said the former multipurpose unit will be-tome a'senjor citizens' center.

Facilities for seniors will be com-

(#3

pleted by November, he said. The gymhasium can seat 500 to 600 persons for a stage show or about 250 for an athletic contest,

Rowland said. The stage, with a "professional lighting system," adjoins the baş-ketball floor, he added.

The month-long celebration will end with an outdoor party Sept. 29 on the new-grass, planted near the center.

If the first 31 days' detivity. doesn't make the community aware of the center, Rowland said the par-ty "will wake up some people,"

icially opened

ceremonies r

N133

Stone,

17.70 astraide Youth Cen

Church-Sponsored Aid

Ω.

Church opened al 8323 Pitts Store residents generally." The benter, located to a nearly." The benter, located to a nearly the located on the located to nearly."

ADVERTISEMENT

11:30 a.m. this morning. According to Withiam A. Herr linger chairman of the Spokane Liour. Club, committee that has The center will be open from noon to 9 p.m. Tuesday through Saturday under the direction of Mrs. Karen Kochmann, Classes and sports will be held at the ory house. the festivities. odgers are Club commun-arised on renovating the threeshon-cutting ceremony as part will participate in

on Se C./? Toda

30 Spokane Deily Chronicie, Monday, Oct. 2, 1978.

Federal Dollars May Aid Center

The Spokane City Council, hard-pressed to balance the 1979 city budget, may get some unexpected help from the federal government.

Under rosier financial conditions, the council agreed to use federal community development funds to construct, a \$1-million East Central Community Center at the site of the old Ediaen School, S515 Lee.

The council understood that the city would have to foot the bill for operating the center, but, as general fund revenues dwindled and estimates of the operating cost continued to rise, the council became alarmed.

Now, however, the city has received a ruling from the Scattla regional office of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development that community development funds may be used to operate neighborhood centers as well as build them.

The catch is that the federal funds may be used for operating expanses for just three years after construction is completed.

Nevertheless, said Roger D. Crum, city administration manager, "This is a major change in thinking that we didn't think was available to us."

Crum said city staff members are recommending that this year's community development grant be amended to allow the city to use momey from the community center construction reserve fund to operate the East Contral center during the first half of 1979.

the first half of 1979. He said a 'Trough estimate" is that the center will cost \$120,000 to operate next year. He said it has been estimated \$60,000 may be needed to operate the center through June 30, even though the center isn't to open until March. He said a director will have to be hired before that time, perhaps late this year.

"I think we can do it cheaper than that," Crym said of bath estimates, noting lack of experience with such centers makes estimates difficult.

The community center staff will consist of a director, a secretary (or some form of secretarial service) and an utknown number of building insintemance persomel.

Crum stid city staff mem-

bers are recommending that more operating funds for the center be included in the 1979 community development grant application. The application, being prepared now, will provide funds by the middle of 1979. Louise Steele, the Scattle HUD community planning and development representative who issued the operating cost ruling, noted that operating costs must be limited to "public service support programs." She also said each Item in HH

She also said each item in ah operating budget to be paid with community development funds must be demonstrated to have a direct relationship to the eligible service.

Acknowledging a certain ambiguity in the ruling, Crum said he believes the East Central Community Center operating budget would be entirely eligible. Meanwhile, the City Coun-

Meanwhile, the City Conncil finance committee is recommending a moratorium on a proposed West Central center until the city gains experience with the East Central facility.

The finance committee recommends design of the West Central center be allowed, but not construction.

Group urged to involve neighborhoods

By Rick Bonino Staff writer

1

FutureSpokane should work at the neighborhood level to help people become more informed about and involved in civic issues, its new president said Tucsday.

"We need to develop an inspiration, an excitement, a feeling that this is a viable, growing community that's going to be here a long time," Jack Geraghty said at the volumteer civic progress group's annual luncheon.

Geraghty, a public relations consultant and former county commissioner, replaces Elinor Magnuson as board president of the non-profit organization.

He said Spokane needs to break down cultural and geographic barriers — "the age old North Side versus South Side, the Valley versus the city, the West Plains versus the city."

And local leaders need to start addressing concerns volced at the neighborhood level, Geraghty said, from people who complain they don't hear enough about major projects and don't feel they have a voice in decisions.

"Maybe a role for FutureSpokane is to begin to take important immes in this community and bring them out to the neighborhoods sopeople can understand, can make practical decisions," he said.

Geraghty said later one way to do that might be several neighborhood-level forums on a given issue, instead of the large downtown foroms FutureSpokane has hosted in the past.

the past. Conferences have been a focus of FutureSpokane since its formation in 1962. "Some people say FutureSpokane just sits around and talks, but there's a value to that," Geragity said. "Out of that will come the actual decisions, the physical elements that go to make a viable community." Agreed Magnusan, "Spokane citi-

Agreed Magnuson, "Spokane citizens are best at decision-making when they're sitting around a table, working on common goais — not at hearings, or in the media, when they're haranguing each other with their differences."

Along with conferences, FutureSpokane has worked on such specific "action items" as the Spokane Public Library's ACCIESS computer information bank. Priority areas for 1985-87 inchade:

Bookane River. Protecting the river and creating a recreational strip along it from Riverside State Park to the Idaho border is Futurespokane's top goal for the coming year. Efforts will be made to work with government agencies, citizen groups and private landowners and a conference is expected.
Downtown. Revitalizing down-

Downtown. Revitalizing downtown, extending Riverfront Park and improving transportation and housing are among possible topics, with the river again a chief concern.

cern. M Quality of life. A forum planned for this fall will examine health care and the moral and ethical decisions involved in modern medical technology.



ŝĩ.

100

SPOKANE'S COMMUNITY CENTIERS	East Central Community Center Established 1979 S. 500 Stone Spokane, WA 99202	West Central Community Center Established 1980 N. 1603 Belt Spokane, WA 99205 326-9540	Northeast Community Center Established 1982 N. 4001 Cook Spokane, WA 99207 487,3603				
Here Is How You Can Help!	Donations to the Spokane Community Centers Foundation are placed in an endow- ment fund. The income generated from your gift will help to 'support the critical services provided by East Central, West Central and Northeast Community Centers. Gifts can be	The Foundation is the proud publisher of The Foundation is the proud publisher of SPOKANE COOKS! cookbook. Since November, 1986 over 6,000 copies have been sold. Proceeds from the sale of the cookbook go into the endowment fund. Volunteers are the strength of our organi-	Love to Hear From You	Please accept my tax deductable donation for the Endowment Fund to support East Central, West Cen- tral and Northeast Community Centers.	Yes! I would like to volunteer my time to support Spokane's Commu- nity Centers.	Address Zip	Spokane Community Centers Foundation W. 1717 Broadway, Suite #1 Spokane, WA 99201 (509) 325-6123

H V

COMMUNITY CENTERS SPOKANE'S

vices in the wake of projected cuts in the The Spokane Community Centers 1986. Our purpose is to insure the conederal grants that support approximately Foundation was formed in February, inuation of important community ser-50% of the Centers' combined budgets.

independent and private contributions will ensure program continuity, community awareness and the long-term finan-We believe a combination of public cial stability of

East Central, West Central and Northeast Community Centers.

non-profit poration. It is governed by a 17-The Spokane Community Centers Foundation is Washington Cor-

tors with nine representmember Board of Direcing the three Centers.





Historical Background

East Central was built in 1979 with federal Community Development funds. The care and planning that went into its building came from the con-

cerned citizens in the East Central neighborhood.

Since then West Central opened in 1980 and Northeast in 1982.

ning model. Each These centers were also built using the same citizen participation plan-

port itself. During the mid-1980's, it became apparent that Federal ment funds were being munity Development Community Develop-Center relied on Comfunds to build and supgradually phased out

their community leadership the Centers food and energy Through role and their cooperation with borhood setting for emergency provide a neighother agencies,

assistance, family counseling, job training, libraries, senior centers, recreation, child-care and much more.

Unique Programs

This program was initiated by East Cen-



tral staff and volunteers to ployment rate in the East gram offers employment Central area. The protraining and job placement for qualified adults Morning/Afternoon Recreation Proaddress the high unemand youth. For further information call 456-2655.

gram - A service provided to ambulatory Located at West Central, the program is intended to assist in developing community developmentally disabled adults who are developing vocational and leisure skills. survival, leisure, physical capabilities and intellectual/emotional function-

On the average day, over 1000 people

utilize the multitude of services provided by

Spokane's three Community Centers.

Leadership Community Cen-

Impacting the Community

and more. For information, 456-3860.

activities, crafts

health and social needs,

> Pre-Training for Employable Developmentally Disabled Adults (PEDDA) — This program offers job training and placement for developmentally disabled adults in the food industry. For more information call 326-9540. ing skills.

tion (SCAN) - Provides trained volunteers Spokane Child Abuse/Neglect Preven-

ing this supportive to work on a one-toservice. Located at East Central, SCAN one basis with troubled parents request-



vides information about child abuse and neglect. For more information call

also offers a Speaker's Bureau which pro-

Hillyard Community Concerns - A variety of programs and services for elderly

458-7445.

citizens of the

Northeast area. Nutritionally planned meals,

Job Training Partnership ACT (JTPA)



ters offer support to who, together with the staff, assess neighborhood leaders, professional problems and work toward emerging social



special needs of their low-income to improve upon the quality of life neighborhoods the centers continue enjoyed by all in Spokane.









June 17, 2019

Spokane Plan Commission City of Spokane 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd Spokane, WA 99201

Dear Spokane Plan Commission:

The East Spokane Business Association (ESBA) supports the League of Women for Community Action's (dba Southeast Day Care Center) request that the East Central Community Center's name remain as it currently stands. We believe that the East Central Community Center building with its existing East Central Community Center name should be put onto the Historic Spokane Register.

This historic distinction would recognize the historic standing of those who had the foresight and vision in providing positive changes to all the residents of the East Central Neighborhood. This historic distinction would outline that the East Central Community Center was the first Community Center to be built in 1979 with vast community input. The East Central Community Center was built as a holistic approach to elevate the area's youth, elderly, ethnic, and refugee populations. The East Central Community Center sits at the very heart of the East Central District and should retain the historical commitment to the variety of people it serves. In addition, the ESBA Board feels that the proposed MLK Family Outreach Center name is a noble one. We also believe that MLK should adapt their name to reflect their new location.

Please retain the East Central Community Center's name, distinction, and commitment for our community. Sincerely,

WARTS aVerne Biel

ESBA President le

Doug Trudeau ESBA Vice President

Larry Stone ESBA Treasurer

Jim Hanley ESBA Secretary James Honly



RECENTES

JUL D82

July 1, 2019

Dear Mayor Condonyor's Othe Spokane City Council Members, Plan Commissioners Subject:

- 1. Retain the East Central Community Center's name
- 2. Place the East Central Community Center on the Spokane Register of Historic Places
- 3. Additional letter and Historical documents included (11 pages)

Though we appreciate the contracting team of the Martin Luther King Family Outreach Center and its Management Team of the East Central Community Center, the League of Women for Community Action, dba, Southeast Day Care Center, would like to request that you vote to retain the name of the East Central Community Center.

East Central Community Center is in the heart of the East Central neighborhood, and represents a specific geographical area of Spokane, of which we are very proud.

ECCC was originally designed and proclaimed a thriving, multipurposed center for the young and old; always available to the community at large.

The paperwork included with this cover letter indicates the hard work, and the love, the neighbors of the East Central Community invested into their neighborhood center.

- 1974-1979, East Central Community Center was the first major center built in the City of Spokane.
- Mr. Vaughn P. Call, Assistant City Manager in charge of planning, told the council at a briefing session that considerable work has gone into the project by people living in the neighborhood
- During Mayor Bair's opening speech and proclamation signing, he said Spokane had become a model used by the rest of the United States
- Mayor Bair's proclamation made September 1979, East Central Neighborhood Community Awareness Month, culminating with an outdoor lawn party, at ECCC, on September 29
- Inspired other neighborhoods to desire a major neighborhood community center in area
- 1980, West Central Community Center
- 1982, Northeast Community Center

Because of East Central Community Center's historic leadership role in the City of Spokane, it is requested that the East Central Community Center be placed on the Spokane Register of Historic Places, as well as a Commemorative plaque, placed at its main entrance. The center meets the Register's qualifications.

It was quite interesting and exciting, to research the history of East Central Community Center through the Northwest Room at the Spokane Library, the Spokesman Review Files, from our own Historian, Lee Wade, who was the original person that initiated the project, along with a multitude of neighborhood residents, the Spokane Planning Department, and design teams. Please take a few minutes to read the attached information.

Sincerely, Carole Shook League of Women for Community Action, VP 509-879-0962 <u>nshirapa@hotnail.com</u> As you are aware, there has been discussion concerning the rename of the <u>EAST</u> <u>CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER</u>. We as board members of the League of Women for Community Action, dba, Southeast Day Care Center, would like to reiterate that the name remains the... 18

1-3

EAST CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER

as well as to be placed on the SPOKANE REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.

According to the Spokane Register Nomination Guide: Page 2 of 17(1)

The nominee must meet both of the following criteria:

1. Generally, a property must be 50 years of age or older. However, a property less than 50 years of age may be eligible (if) of it is of exceptional importance or if it is an integral part of a district that is eligible for listing on the register.

2. The property is located in the City of Spokane, or in the unincorporated area of Spokane County.

The East Central Community Center has met both momentous, historic conditions.

In 1974, The East Central Community Center project, was initiated by a member of its community, Mrs. Lee Wade, who was serving as a volunteer on one of the citizen volunteer boards for the City of Spokane. At that time, she became aware that federal funds were becoming available to build neighborhood community centers. She shared the information with her fellow board members League of Women for Community Action. They decided to learn more about this opportunity that could provide so many possibilities for the neighbors in the East Central Community. 'The Ladies', as they were originally known throughout the neighborhood, because of the help they would provide to neighbors in desperate situations, began researching the steps necessary to develop such a center. They rallied the neighborhood residents as well; the community became excited about the possibilities that could bring a positive change to their neighborhood. "Residents of the East Central Neighborhood-considered a low-to-moderate income area-have long pursued the goal of a community center as a social refuge for their elderly and young people, a dispensing point for many human services." Over the next five years, there was much collaboration among the neighborhood residents, the Spokane Planning Department, and design teams to build a new large facility, with federal funds, which eventually totaled \$1.5 million. Partners from all over the City were on board to bring about a new Community Center of this magnitude that would provide services for many families of the East Central Community, services that would cater to the seniors, services for children's education, health and play, a full size gymnasium with stage and professional lighting, and will seat 500 - 600 was salvaged from the demolition of the former Edison Elementary school that was originally on the site, and numerous services that would be inclusive for all neighborhood families. (2, 2-b)

According to newspaper articles, upon opening its doors to the public in 1979, as many as 17 services were scheduled to participate; including the Senior Center, East Side Library, who were the first two facilities to move-in. (2)

At that time, Mayor Bair was quoted by the Spokesman Review to say, "A lot of us thought this day would never come. As a result of this accomplishment of such a building as this and other neighborhood community projects, Bair said Spokane has become a model used by the rest of the United States." Bair not only presented a proclamation, but he also signed it on the spot. "We're not stopping Mrs. Wade said after describing the patience and hard work" involved in the neighborhood effort which began in 1974. The proclamation made "East Central Neighborhood Community Awareness Month" in Spokane, culminating with a community lawn party on September 29, 1979. (3)

The Spokesman Review was quoted as saying, "the East Central Neighborhood has provided an excellent example. It's determined, hard-working residents could be considered inspiring pathfinders for those in other parts of the city." (3)

In 1979, West Central, and 1980, the Northeast Communities were planning to file applications for Community Centers for their neighborhoods, however the city would need to way until the City gained experience in operating a center of this magnitude, by placing a moratorium on new community centers. Again, ECCC lead the way in how and who would manage the community center. (3)(4)

West Central Neighborhood Community opened, 1980(5)

Northeast Community Center opened, 1982(5)

Today, EAST CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER, continues to make an impact on its East Central Community residents. As more marketing and public outreach becomes activated, it can again thrive as in the past.

3-3

Again, it is recommended that <u>the EAST CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER</u> take its place in history, by being placed on the <u>Spokane Register of Historic Places</u>, for being the first large scale Neighborhood Community Center, created in the City of Spokane, leading the way, to make an historical difference in the City of Spokane WA.

I ask you to please join me in this recommendation and request. By doing so you will *Give honor where honor is due. (6)

- (1) www.historicspokane.org
- (2) Spokesman Review, Feb 7, 1978
- (3) Spokesman Review, Jim Smith, Staff Writer, Aug1-Sept 30, 1979
- (4) Spokesman Review
- (5) West Central Community Center: Spokane County Assessor's Office: Picture states 1980. Form states 1953; Northeast Community Center Flyer Northeast Community Center: Spokane County Assessor's Office, 1982; Northeast Community Center Flyer
- (6) Taken from, Romans 13:7, KJV

Carole Shook, May 14, 2019

I these tenants would pay argumant the proposed services and alcohol posed services are drug and alcohol counsting and treatment, sorial services, reducetion, constraint, child care, housing information and matritum. Vaughn P. Call, assistant city manager in charge of planing, told "the constiderable work had game into the project by poople living, in the neighborhood. neighborthood. Call said the City Engineer will call for bids, adding plans call for a spring start, with the hope the building can be completed by the end of this year. Two other community centers are planned. The West Central Neighborbids sought by city By JACK ROBERTS By JACK ROBERTS By JACK ROBERTS By JACK ROBERTS Stranger A and for an any high for the many human ser-ters of a call for bids to consistent the veloped at one time. It is proposed Community center hood is expected to make application. Another is being considered by a Hill yard neighborhood group. Renidents of the East Central of Neighborhood - considered a low to moderate income area - have long p pursuage the goal of a community cent, in et. They see it as a social retinge for A their elderly and young people, a dis- y The center, which now has st mil-ion in Community Development funds set stude for its erection, will decorpy the static of the former Editan School, which served East Neighborhood youngsters 8, years before it was de-Spokane City' Council Monday au-thorized a call for bids to consist the new federally financed East Central Neighberhood Community Center at Spin jee. While the center will be built with federal lunds, the city will be required to set aside 570,000 humbly of its own money. (by 1977, doilar staindards) to operate and maintain the facility. L, ÷.

20 200

2 T-

NINR



Community Center Progresses

The shell has been completed for a new community center to open in April at S515 Lee. Construction cost of about \$1.5 million is being paid by a federal community development grant. The estimated \$120,000 annual operat-

Ing cost eventually will be a city general fund obligation, but rent from tenant social service agencies may offset cost. A branch library and gymnasium also will be offered at the center. 26

Proclamation

By JIM SMITH Spokesman-Review staff writer

-X-

Seven years ago lamentations were heard as Edison Elementary School, S515 Lee, closed its doors forever after 81 years of classroom

on Wednesday cheerful sounds were heard on the same 3,4-acre tract, as East Central Community Center opened its facilities to the sounding of the mess local little

scrutiny of the press, local citizens and eivic dignitaries. "A lot of us thought this day might never come," Mayor Ron Bair said in a brief program preced," ing tours of the facilities.

- 20

As a result of the accomplishment As a result of the accomplishment of "such a building as this" and other heighborhood community projects, Bair said Spokane has "become a model used by the rest of the United States." Bair not only presented a procla-mationito Mrs. Lee A. Wade, chair-man of the center's steering commi-mittee but he also cimetal the return.

Ster Dates : Aug-sept 1979 East Central Community Center opens

mittee, but he also signed it on the

mittee, out he also signed it on the spot. "We're not stopping. We still have things to do," Mrs. Wade said after-describing the "patience and hard work" involved in the neighborhood 'effort begun in 1974. Bair's proclamation made the 32-day period ending Sept. 29 "East

80 D

Autral Neighborhood Community Anteness Month" in Spokane. Notually the center opened its duits to the community June 18. The East Side Branch of the Spo-ting Public Library moved in and Shinasium activity began. Not of Edison Elementary Shool was demolished in 1977 to prepare the way for the center. Edison's former multipurpose up-

prepare the way for the center. Edison's former multipurpose un-time a \$100,000 project in the late. 1960's -- is incorporated into the denter. Leon F. "Skfp" Rowland, the cen-fer's manager-director, said the former multipurpose unit will be-some a senior citizens' center.

Facilities for seniors will be com-pleted by November, he said.

(#3)

The gymhasium can seat 500 to 600 persons for a stage show or about 250 for an athletic contest, Rowland said.

The stage, with a "professional lighting system," adjoins the baş-ketball Roor, he added.

The month-long celebration will end with an outdoor party Sept. 29 on the new grass, planted near the center.

If the first 31 days' activity. of the center, Rowland said the par-ty "will wake up some people,"

Church-Sponsored Aid Center Opens Its Doors SPOKANA Community Central Service agencies in Spo-cored by Christ Holy Sanctified service agencies in Spo-cored by Christ Holy Sanctified want to aid senior citizens. Mrs. Cassis McCain, vice president of the center is designed to aid the city's East Side residents generally." The bener, located to a noathy remodeled older house, with serve as a social, educational religious and recreational "for cus" for the Liberty Park dis-trict. "We are working with all the Pittbourg. ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

According to Withiam A. Herr Huger, chairman of the Spokane Huger, Chab committee that has workled on renovating the three-story house. Mayor David H. Rodgers will participate in 4 ribbon-cutching creenaasy as part of the festivities. The cessite will be open from noon to 9 p.m. Fuesday through Saturday under the direction of Max. Karen Koschmann, Classes and sports will be held at the context ficially opened :30 a.m. e new pens this morning. Stone, in ceremonies r staide Loday 20 e Youth Cen Will

ommenty centers SPOKANE -Spokane Daily Chronicle, Monday, Oct. 2, 1978.

Federal Dollars May Aid Center

The Spokane City Counteil, hard-pressed to balance the 1979 city budget, may get some unexpected help from the federal government.

30

Under rosier financial conditions, the council agreed to use federal community development funds to construct a \$1-million East Central Community Center at the site of the old Edison School, S515 Lee.

The council understood that the city would have to foot the bill for operating the center, but, as general fund revenues dwindled and estimates of the operating cost continued to rise, the council became alarmed.

Now, however, the city has received a ruling from the Scattle regional office of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Devel-opment that community development funds may be used to operate neighborhood centers as well as build them.

The catch is that the federst funds may be used for operating expenses for just three years after construction is completed.

Nevertheless, said Roger D. Crum, city administra-tion manager, "This is a major change in thinking that we didn't think was available to us."

Crum said city staff members that this year's community development grant, be amended to allow the city to use money from the com-munity center construction reserve fund to operate the East Contral center during

East Contrat center during the first half of 1979. He said a 'rough esti-mate' is that the center will cost \$120,000 to operate next year. He said, it has been estimated \$60,000 may be needed to operate the center through June 30, even through June 30, even though the center isn't to open until March. He said a director will have to be hired before that time, perhaps late this year.

"I think we can do it cheaper than that," Crum said of bath estimates, noting lack of experience with such centers makes estimates difficult.

The community center staff will consist of a director, a secretary (or some form of secretarial service) and an unknown number of building maintenance personnel.

Crum said city staff mem-

bers are recommending that more operating funds for the center be included in the 1979 community devel-opment grant application. The application, being pre-pared now, will provide funds by the middle of 1979. HH.

Louise Steele, the Senttle HUD community planning and development representative who issued the operating cost ruling, noted that operating costs must be limited to "public service support programs."

She also said each item in ah operating budget to be paid with community development funds must demonstrated to have a direct relationship to the eligible service.

Acknowledging a certain ambiguity in the ruling, Crum said he believes the East Central Community Center operating budget would be entirely eligible. Meanwhile, the City Coun-

cil finance committee is reccultinance committee is rec-ommending a moratorium on a proposed West Central center until the city gains experience, with the East Central facility.

The finance committee recommends design of the West Central center be allowed, but not construction.

Group urged to involve neighborhoods

By Rick Bonino

1

FutureSpokane should work at the neighborhood level to help peo-ple become more informed about and involved in civic issues, its new president said Tuesday.

"We need to develop an inspira-"We need to develop an inspira-tion, an excitement, a feeling that this is a viable, growing community that's going to be here a long time," Jack Geraghty said at the volus-teer civic morress group's anguel teer civic progress group's annual luscheon.

lusicheon. Gereghty, a public relations con-sultant and former county commis-sioner, replaces Elinor Magnuson as board president of the non-profit organization. He said Spokane needs to break down cultural and geographic bar-riers — "the age old North Side versus South Side, the Valley versus the city, the West Plains versus the city."

And local leaders need to start addressing concerns volced at the neighborhood level, Geraghty said, from people who complain they don't hear enough about mejor projects and don't feel they have a oice in decisions.

"Maybe a role for FutureSpo-kane is to begin to take important issues in this community and bring them out to the neighborhoods sopeople can understand; can make practical decisions," he said.

Geraghty said later one way to do that might be several neighbor-hood-level forums on a given issue, instead of the large downtown forums FutureSpokane has hosted in the past.

Conferences have been a focus of FutureSpokane since its formation in 1982. "Some people say Fu-tureSpokane just sits around and tureSpokane just sits around and talks, but there's a value to that," Geraghty said. "Out of that will come the actual decisions, the phys-ical elements that go to make a via-ble community." Agreed Magnuson, "Spokane citi-zens are best at decision-making when they're sitting around a table, working on common goals — not at

hearings, or in the media, when they're haranguing each other with their differences."

Along with conferences, Fu-tureSpokane has worked on such specific "action items" as the Spo-kane Public Library's ACCESS computer information bank. Priori-ty access for 1098 or include ty areas for 1986-87 include:

Spokane River. Protecting the river and creating a recreational There and creating a recreational strip along it from Riverside State Park to the Idaho border is Fu-tureSpokane's top goal for the com-ling year. Efforts will be made to work with government agencies, chizen groups and private landown-ers and a conformation is armosted ers and a conference is expected.

W Downtown, Revitalizing down-town, extending Riverfront Park and improving transportation and housing are among possible topics, with the river again a chief con-

Quality of life. A forum planned for this fail will examine health care and the moral and ethical decisions involved in modern medical technology.



Spokane Community Centers Foundation W. 1717 Broadway, Suite #1 Spokane, WA 99201



N H

28	5 F	5 F	5 F
also offers a Speaker's Bureau which pro- vides information about child abuse and neglect. For more information call	458-7445. Hillyard Community Concerns – A variety of programs and services for eldedy citizens of the Northeast area Nutritionally planned meals, he alth and social needs, activities, readfs activities, cradfs activities, cradfs activities, readfs on more. For information, 456-3860. and more. For information, 456-3860. On the average day, over 1000 people	Spokane's three Community Centers. Icadership Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Community Centers. Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional Professional	
Unique Programs and Services	Job Training Partnership ACT (JTPA) — This program was initiated by East Cen- tral staff and volunteers to address the high unem- ployment rate in the East Central area. The pro- gram offers employment training and job place- ment for qualified adults and youth. For further in- formation call 456-8655. Morning/Afternoon Recreation Pro- gram — A service provided to ambulatory developmentally disabled adults who are	ucveuopuig vocauona and testue suma. Located at West Central, the program is initended to assist in developing community survival, leisure, physical capabilities and intellectual/emotional function- ing skills. Pre-Training for Employable Developmentally Disabled Adults (PEDDA) — This program offers job training and placement for develop- mentally disabled adults in the food industry. For more information call 326-9540. Spokane Child Abuse/Neglect Preven- tion (SCAN) — Provides trained volunteers to work on a one-to- one basis with trou- bled parents request- ing this supportive service. Located at East Central, SCAN	. 2
Historical Background	East Central was built in 1979 with federal Community Development funds. The care and planning that went into its building came from the con- cerned citizens in the East Central neighborhood. Since then West Central opened in 1980 and Northeast in 1982. These centers were also built using the same citizen participation plan- ming model. Each munity Development funds to build and sup-	port nseu. Juung une mid-1980's, it became apparent that Federal Community Develop- ment funds were being gradually phased out Through their commu- nity leadership tole and their cooperation with other agencies, the C enters provide a neigh- borhod setting for emergency for anergency for emergency for and energy- borhod setting for emergency for emer	

SPOKANE'S COMMUNITY CENTERS

The Spokane Community Centers vices in the wake of projected cuts in the federal grants that support approximately 1986. Our purpose is to insure the con-Foundation was formed in February, tinuation of important community ser-We believe a combination of public, 50% of the Centers' combined budgets.

independent and private contributions will ensure program continuity, community awareness and the long-term finan-

cial stability of East Central, West Central and Northeast Com-The Spokane munity Centers.

member Board of Direcporation. It is gov-erned by a 17a non-profit ters Foundation is Community Cen-Washington Cor-

tors with nine represent-

ing the three Centers.

ing, librarie child-care a assistance



PRIMARY CENTER SERVICES Child Development/Day Care **Emergency Social Services** Developmentally Disabled Child/Family Counseling Adult Basic Education General Information Library Services Facilities Rental Senior Center Youth Center Health Clinic

CALL

Center facilities are available for your next meeting or fund-raiser at reasonable prices. CALL 487-1603 overhead and fewer tax dollars.

development, library services, and leisure programs. THE ASSOCIATION is currently 60 percent self-Spokane residents. We administer a facility which includes eight full-time and a number of part-time multitude of needed human services to Northeast senior citizens, health screening, adult education, funded with a goal of 100 percent independence agencies specializing in nutrition programs for organization founded in 1980, to provide a NORTHEAST COMMUNITY CENTER ASSOCIATION - a private, non-profit emergency services for families, child





