

## **Spokane Plan Commission Agenda**

February 8, 2017 2:00 PM to 5:00 PM City Council Briefing Center

### TIMES GIVEN ARE AN ESTIMATE AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## **Public Comment Period:**

3 minutes each Citizens are invited to address the Plan Commission on any topic not on the agenda

	<b>Commission Briefing Session:</b>	
	1) Approve January 25, 2017 meeting minutes	
	2) City Council Report	Lori Kinnear
2:00 - 2:15	3) Community Assembly Liaison Reports	Greg Francis
2:00 - 2:15	3) President Report	Dennis Dellwo
	4) Transportation Subcommittee Report	John Dietzman
	5) Secretary Report	Lisa Key
	Workshops:	
2:15 - 2:30	1) <u>6 Year Transportation Program Schedule, Updates, and</u>	Brandon Blankenagel
	New Elements	
2:30 - 3:15	2) Transportation Impact Fee System Update	Inga Note
3:15 - 3:30	3) New Center Designation by City Council	Lisa Key
3:30 - 4:30	4) Comprehensive Plan Update Workshop (Council	Jo Anne Wright
	<u>Revisions)</u>	

The password for City of Spokane Guest Wireless access has been changed:

**Adjournment:** 

**Username: COS Guest** 

Password:

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) INFORMATION: The City of Spokane is committed to providing equal access to its facilities, programs, and services for persons with disabilities. The Council Chambers and the Council Briefing Center in the lower level of Spokane City Hall, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd., are both wheelchair accessible. The Council Briefing Center is equipped with an audio loop system for persons with hearing loss. The Council Chambers currently has an infrared system and headsets may be checked out by contacting the meeting organizer. Individuals requesting reasonable accommodations or further information may call, write, or email Chris Cavanaugh at (509) 625-6383, 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane, WA, 99201; or <a href="mailto:cavanaugh@spokanecity.org">ccavanaugh@spokanecity.org</a>. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact Ms. Cavanaugh at (509) 625-6383 through the Washington Relay Service at 7-1-1. Please contact us forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting date.

Next Plan Commission meeting will be on February 22, 2017 at 2:00 pm

## **Spokane Plan Commission**

## January 25, 2017

Meeting Minutes: Meeting called to order at 2:00 pm

## Attendance:

- Board Members Present: John Dietzman, Christy Jeffers, Todd Beyreuther, Christopher Batten, Patricia Kienholz, Dennis Dellwo, Jacob Brooks, Greg Francis; Community Assembly Liaison, Lori Kinnear; Council Liaison
- Board Not Members Present: FJ Dullanty, Michael Baker
   Staff Members Present: Lisa Key, Amanda Winchell, Shauna Harshman, Jacqui Halvorson, Tirrell Black, James Richman, Jo Anne Wright, Amy Mullerleile, Kevin Freibott, Louis Meuler, Inga Note, Mike Tresidder

## **Briefing Session:**

January 11, 2017 meeting minutes approved unanimously.

- 1. City Council Liaison Report-Lori Kinnear
  - Took a tour of Lincoln Heights with Tirrell Black with the Planning Department.
  - Town Hall meeting was held on Monday night. Browns Addition Neighborhood expressed interested in being listed as a Historic District and are working closely with Megan Duvall in Historic Preservation to get this accomplished.
  - Friends of Centennial Trail would like rebuild the Don Cordon Bridge. They have offered \$75,000 to go towards the rebuild of the bridge.
- 2. Commission President Report-Dennis Dellwo
  - James Wilburn Jr. is the new Plan Commission member he was approved on Monday by the Mayor's office.
  - Looking for a volunteer for the Housing Quality Task Force. Patricia Kienholz volunteered to participate.
- 3. Community Assembly Liaison Report- Greg Francis
  - Community Assembly will be discussing the Comprehensive Plan Chapter Update review process at the next meeting.
- 4. Transportation Subcommittee Report John Dietzman
  - The Monroe Corridor project open house is on January 26, 2017 at the Knox Presbyterian Church.
- 5. Secretary Report-Lisa Key
  - Advised the commission that if they cannot attend the Monroe Corridor Open house that there will be a virtual open house option available to participate in.
  - · Welcomed new Commissioner, James Wilburn.
  - Started working with Council during study sessions regarding Comprehensive plan update. During these conversations Council has expressed interest in designating Northtown and Five Mile as District Centers on the land use map. Staff proposed that there be stipulations to the code that reads: No land use or zoning changes with an unplanned neighborhood center until subarea planning process has been completed.
  - Comprehensive Plan hearing has been tentatively scheduled for March 8, 2017.
  - No hearings scheduled for the next Plan Commission meeting on February 8, 2017.
  - Multi-Family tax exemption open house will be held on February 3, 2017 10am-noon at City Hall in the City Council Chambers.
- 6. Commissioner Updates-
  - Commissioner Batten requested adding a Commissioner Update section to the Briefing Session on the agenda.

## Workshops:

- 1. Potential Revisions to SMC 17G, process around Comprehensive Plan Amendments-Tirrell Black
  - Presentation and overview given
  - Questions asked and answered
  - Discussion ensued
- 2. Comprehensive Plan Update: Implementation Chapter-Jo Anne Wright & Shauna Harshman
  - Presentation and overview given
  - Questions asked and answered
  - Discussion ensued
- 3. Comprehensive Plan Update: Transportation Chapter-Louis Meuler
  - Presentation and overview given
  - · Questions asked and answered
  - Discussion ensued
- 4. APA Conference Highlights-Patricia Kienholz
  - Presentation and overview given
  - Questions asked and answered
  - Discussion ensued

## Meeting Adjourned at 4:35 P.M.

Next Plan Commission meeting is scheduled for February 8, 2017

## **BRIEFING PAPER**

## **Plan Commission**

## Integrated Capital Management February 8, 2017

## **Subject**

2018 - 2023 Six-year Comprehensive Street Program

## **Background**

In support of the State Growth Management Act and the City of Spokane's Comprehensive Plan, the City must maintain 6-year capital financing plans for certain providers of public facilities and services. Accordingly, the City must maintain a 6-year capital financing plan for its capital street program. Pursuant to RCW 35.77.010 the capital street program must be adopted before July 1 of each year, and filed with the Secretary of Transportation not later than 30 days after adoption. To determine the plan's consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, it is scrutinized by the City Plan Commission. The Commission then makes a recommendation to the City Council as to the plan's consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, and the City Council then accepts or modifies the plan accordingly.

## **Impact**

In order to comply with the provisions of the Growth Management Act and RCW 35.77.010, and for the City of Spokane to qualify for grant and low interest loan funds, it is required that the City maintain a 6-Year Capital Improvement plan for its capital street program.

## Action

None, this is an information briefing only to advise the Plan Commission that the update to the 6-Year Capital Street Program is underway and attached reconciliation sheet indicates the changes that will come before Plan Commission for a consistency review. The consistency review workshop is scheduled for April 12, 2017.

### STREET PROGRAM RECONCILIATION SHEET ( Comparing 2018-23 against 2017-22 6yr. Program) New Projects Added to Six-Year Program (2018-2023) Section/ Funds/ CN Year **Project Name Project Description Purpose Statement** Cost Estimate Construct full depth roadway with sheet-flow drainage to bio-infiltration facilities on either side of the In preparation for industrial development in The Yard, this roadway will be Capital Improvements constructed for the purpose of carrying truck traffic. Further development of the Freya Street, Garland Ave to (Levy, Utility) Francis Ave concept street will allow for development to implement sidewalk and curbing as 2023 the large-scale economic development planning comes to fruition. Capital Improvements Full depth roadway, sidewalk repair, lighting upgrade, CCL incorporation. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) \$1,300,000 Wall St, 1st Ave to Main Ave updates, particularly in regar to accomodation of the CCL. 2023 Full depth roadway, sidewalk repair, lighting upgrade, CCL incorporation. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Capital Improvements Howard St, Sprague Ave to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) updates, particularly in regar to accomodation of the CCL. \$200,000 **Riverside Ave** 2022 Capital Improvements Full depth roadway, sidewalk repair, lighting upgrade, CCL incorporation. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) Pine St, MLK Jr Way to SFB updates, particularly in regar to accomodation of the CCL. \$1,000,000 2021 Capital Improvements Full depth roadway, sidewalk repair, lighting upgrade, CCL incorporation. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Main Ave, Wall St to Browne (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) updates, particularly in regar to accomodation of the CCL. \$2,000,000 2020 Division to Pine is NEW (minimal work in this section) This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Capital Improvements Riverside Ave, Browne St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) updates, particularly in regar to accomodation of the CCL. \$1,050,000 Pine St 2023 **Re-Programmed Projects Project Description** Section/ Funds/ CN Year **Project Name Purpose Statement** Cost Estimate Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, stripe bike lanes, upgrade lighting, and replace water This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Capital Improvements 1st Ave, Maple St to Monroe (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) distribution main from Madison to Howard Street repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$2,800,000 2022 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, stripe bike lanes, upgrade lighting, and replace water Capital Improvements This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of distribution main from Madison to Howard Streets. (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$1,400,000 1st Ave, Monroe St to Wall St 2023 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, upgrade lighting, replace water line, and there is CSO work This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Capital Improvements Riverside Ave, Maple St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) from Jefferson St to Monroe St. repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$600,000.00 Cedar St. 2020 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, and replace distribution main. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Capital Improvements Maple St, Riverside Ave to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$200,000 Pacific Ave. recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. 2020 Capital Improvements Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, upgrade lighting, replace water line, stormwater upgrades. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Riverside Ave, Howard St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$1,800,000 Browne St. 2022 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Capital Improvements Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, upgrade lighting, incorporate CCL facilities. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Sprague Ave, Cedar St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) \$1,500,000 repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as Madison St. recommended by the Trnasportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. 2020 Capital Improvements Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, upgrade lighting, incorporate CCL facilities. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Sprague Ave, Madison St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) \$2,300,000 repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as Howard St. recommended by the Trnasportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Capital Improvements Construct full depth roadway, repair sidewalk, upgrade lighting, incorporate CCL facilities. This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Main Ave, Browne St to Pine (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$1,200,000 2021 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Capital Improvements NOT PART OF CCL This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Riverside Ave. Cedar St to \$2,000,000 (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as Monroe St. 2025 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. Capital Improvements NOT PART OF CCL This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Sprague Ave, Howard St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) \$2,600,000.00 repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as Browne St. recommended by the Trnasportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. 2024 NOT PART OF CCL This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Capital Improvements (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$1,900,000 1st Ave, Wall St to Bernard St recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission. NOT PART OF CCL Capital Improvements This section of roadway and infrastructure is deteriorating and is in need of Riverside Ave, Monroe St to (Levy, Utility, Parking Funds) repair. This selection was prioritized via the evaluation matrix tool, as \$1,350,000 Howard St. 2025 recommended by the Transportation Subcommittee of the Plan Commission

		Projects Completed and Removed from Six-Year Program		
Section	Project Name	Project Description	Status	Cost Estimate
Capital Improvements	1st Ave, Erie to Altamont			\$759,390
Pedestrian and Bikeways	Addison and Standard Bicycle & Pedestrian Corridor			\$783,000
Pedestrian and Bikeways	Ben Burr Trail Connection to Centennial Trail			\$1,726,232
Safety	Brown St/Division Couplet, 3rd Ave to Spokane Falls Blvd			\$730,000
Capital Improvements	Indiana Ave, Division St to Perry St			\$4,436,921
Capital Improvements	Main Ave, Bernard St to Pine St			\$160,000
Capital Improvements	Havana St. from 57th Ave to 37th Ave; Street and Water			\$700,000
Capital Improvements	Rowan Ave, Driscoll Blvd to Monroe St.			\$6,966,214



February 2, 2017

Re: Information for February 8, 2017 Plan Commission Workshop on Comprehensive Plan Update

**Dear Plan Commission Members:** 

At your next Workshop on February 8 our team will be bringing you updates and changes to the Comprehensive Plan that have been requested by Council, as well as some changes made according to public comments we have received. We have included in this packet two matrices, one showing the comments we have received from City Council during our study sessions with them, and one showing the public comments we have received thus far. Included are our responses to those comments. Also identified are any comments that could result in changes to the text.

Lastly, we have included in this agenda packet three maps showing two new District Centers and a change in a previous Neighborhood Center, all of which has been requested by Council. We will be discussing these with you at the Workshop as well. We have provided the maps so that you may familiarize yourself with the locations before our workshop on Wednesday.

Thanks again for your continued support and for your attention and time with this process. Our team looks forward to seeing you again February 8.

Sincerely,

Kevin Freibott, Assistant Planner Comprehensive Plan, Neighborhoods, and Codes Team

# SHAPING SPOKANE

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

**City Council Comments** 

				2017 Comprehensive Plan U	•		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of City Council Con Summary of Comment	mments Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	General		Understood we were going to revise again in 2020, not 2025.	Statutory requirements state we should revise again in 2025, however the City can choose to do an update earlier.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	General		Asked staff to include a process to formally adopt (via ordinance) the Neighborhood Plans and Neighborhood Profiles.	Neighborhood plans and profiles do not meet state requirements for adoption by Ordinance, including identification of funding, infrastructure vetting, SEPA analysis, and concurrency planning. However, both the plans and the profiles are appendices to the Comprehensive Plan and will be adopted as part of the plan, giving them greater official acknowledgement.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	General		Asked if Plan Commission agreed with removed discussions. Feels discussions can be useful for City Council when making decisions.	Yes, Plan Commission has reviewed all of the changes to the document, including the modification of discussions. Many discussions have been restored as a result of public comments and Plan Commission review. Any discussions that have been reduced or removed have simply restated the policy, are out of date, or have not added to the understanding or requirements of the policy. Any discussions that increase understanding of the policy or could inform decision-making have been retained or restored.	No	

				2017 Comprehensive Plan	•		
				Summary of City Council Co	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	L. Kinnear C. Mumm	General		Document is difficult to find online. Both requested an obvious link on the City's website to the Comp Plan Update.	Staff will request an updated link from IT/Public Affairs on the main page and will discuss other options to increase the visibility of the plan online.	No	Yes
1/12/2017	A. Waldref	General		"Shaping Spokane" as a name is not generally known and it isn't clear to the general public that it is the Comprehensive Plan.	Staff will increase the use and visibility of the word "Comprehensive Plan" in materials and marketing, as well as the document itself. However, significant capital and effort has been expended branding "Shaping Spokane", beginning 2013. Public Affairs have stated their desire to retain the name in some way.	No	-
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 1	Section 1.2	The addition of the word "seasonal" to discussion of the tribe's settlement is unnecessary.	Word will be removed.	Yes	Yes
1/12/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 1	Section 1.2	Asked if the temperature averages shown are tied to the horticulture zones.	Average temperatures shown are directly sourced from the National Weather Service.	No	-
1/12/2017	M. Fagan	Chapter 3	General	Asked if the Airport has had the chance to review the proposed changes.	Staff will forward the document to SIA administration. However, no change to the policy discussing impacts to the airport has been made and all other changes are minor and unlikely to effect the airport.	No	Yes

				2017 Comprehensive Plan	Update		
				<b>Summary of City Council Co</b>	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 1.16	Council is not supportive of the updated language - requests the original language in Ordinance C35310 be restored. Following further discussion, Council requested staff provide them with the original ordinance language to compare.	Council is reviewing this issue. This response will be updated at a later date.	Yes	•
1/12/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	Following discussion of the elimination of the words "neighborhood-based" from language, L. Kinnear asked if additional review of the Design Review process should be undertaken.	Further review of the process will be added to the implementation matrix in the near term. The Design Review Board's responsibilities and operation are a function of Code and practice, not the Comp Plan itself.	Yes (Matrices)	
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart C. Mumm All	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	After lengthy discussion, Council directed staff to include two new District Centers, one located at NorthTown Mall (Wellesley and Division) and one located at Five Mile (Francis and Ash). After a question by staff, Council also directed staff to move the designation of the West Hills Neighborhood Center to align with the needs of that neighborhood's Neighborhood Planning.	Staff will make the prescribed changes to Policy LU 3.2 and map LU-1 accordingly. Staff will also undertake a public outreach process to ensure the public is adequately notified of this change. Notice will be mailed to affected properties, staff will meet with neighborhood councils, and meetings with local agencies (SRTC, STA) will be conducted.	Yes	

				2017 Comprehensive Plan	•		
				Summary of City Council Co	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 3	LU 4.5	C. Mumm is supportive of limited block length, cited effects on emergency response and addressing. Council is supportive of specific reference to SMC being removed.	Comment noted. If any change to the code is required, it will be done as part of the next periodic code update process. The block length in the policy and the block length in the SMC are consistent (SMC 17H.010.030.N)	No	-
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 6.8	Concerned that removing the specific requirements of school siting might allow future decision makers to remove school siting standards in the SMC.	The School siting standards are more appropriately contained within the SMC than the Comprehensive Plan, which is by nature general in language. Regardless, the remaining policy language still requires that the City have a process. The actual requirements of the process are a regional program and required by State law, not only a city program.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 3	LU 8.2	Asked that language be strengthened to require the County match City standards in Joint Planning Areas.	Related policy LU 10.2 includes the specific language requiring City standards for utilities, services, and roads, having the effect sought by Councilmember Mumm.	No	-
1/12/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 3	LU 8.3	Wants the affordability of service extension to be considered as well.	Policy LU 9.1 includes provision for this consideration.	No	-
1/12/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 9.1	Requested the language include reference to the legal justification allowing Council to initiate and complete annexations.	Language will be added to Policy LU 9.1 to include a reference to the RCW section concerning annexations.	Yes	Yes

				2017 Comprehensive Plan I	Update		
				Summary of City Council Co	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	During discussion of potential effects of new center designations, staff proposed and Council requested additional policy language - "No LU or Zoning changes until subarea process occurs".	Staff will modify Policies LU 3.3 and LU 3.4 consistent with this request, to ensure that no land use or zoning changes occur in unplanned Centers or Corridors until the completion of a subarea planning process.	Yes	Yes
1/19/2017	B. Beggs	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	Does parking behind businesses in District Centers apply to Neighborhood Centers too?	Yes, the parking guidelines are the same for Neighborhood and District Centers.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	CFU 2.4	Impact Fees: Does the language change affect West Plains?	The changes to this policy were limited to streamlining of the discussion and did not result in a change in requirements. West Plains is not affected by the language change.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5		Softening the language is better because the City does not have authority over the County standards but rather works in collaboration with the County.	Comment noted.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	3.6	Staff suggested this language was too specific and is more appropriately included in the SMC. Council agreed as long as the goal or policy remains. Council President doesn't want to undermine what the City has accomplished in the Water Plan, by opening up the potential for future undermining.	Staff recommends taking a deeper look at this in the code clean-up process or next update of the Comprehensive Plan. An implementation item has been included in the Implementation Matrix to look closer at possible updates to the Land Use and Capital Facilities chapters, in consideration of this and other issues.	No	

				2017 Comprehensive Plan	Update		
				<b>Summary of City Council Co</b>	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5		Ben suggested making sure the language in the Water Plan is consistent with the language in this section of the plan.	The Water Plan is consistent with the language in this section of the Comprehensive Plan. The Water Plan provides a reference document for consistency with the Comprehensive plan.	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5	3.6	Does this section reference the Water Map? It must be in place prior to UGA expansion.	The Water Service Areas Map is not referenced in this Policy. The Water Plan is adopted by reference in the CFP, and the Water Service Areas Map is CFU Map 12	No	
1/19/2017	B. Stuckart	Chapter 5		Water reduction: City is currently negotiating three interlocal agreements; it is important to make sure the conservation language is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.	Staff will consult with Scott Simmons or Dan Kegley to ensure consistent conservation language.		
1/19/2017	M. Fagan	CFP	Needs	Should we keep the ACA language, or broaden due to the uncertain future of the ACA?	Staff suggests updating the language. Change to federal health care legislation per Brian Schaeffer and Bobby Williams.	Yes	Yes
1/19/2017	B. Beggs	Chapter 6	1.9	Mixed income housing should be required in all/each neighborhood throughout the City.	Mixed income housing is already addressed in several sections of the Housing Chapter, including Policies H 1.5, H 1.6, H 1.7, H 1.8, H 1.9, H 1.10, H 1.13, H 1.17, H 1.18.	No	
1/19/2017	M. Fagan	Chapter 6	H 1.18 and H 1.9	(Same as above)	See above response. Perhaps a recommendation in H 2, Quality Housing.	No	

				2017 Comprehensive Plan	Update		
				Summary of City Council Co	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 7	General	Asked how the Chapter interfaces with the current Strategic Planning efforts.	Staff has included items in the Implementation Matrices related to future work on the Integrated Municipal Strategic Network.	No	
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	General	Where policies have been removed due to redundancies a cross-reference would be helpful.	In these cases Staff has included a cross reference in the explanation boxes pointing to the location of the similar policy(ies) that makes the removed policy redundant.	No	-
1/26/2017	M. Fagan	General	General	Asked if Risk Management department has been consulted on Policy changes.	Staff will perform outreach to Risk Management and ask for their input.	No	•
1/26/2017	A. Waldref	Chapter 7	ED 5.8	Concerned that changes to the policy were made according to the new Library Master Plan and that the Plan has not yet been adopted by the City.	Staff will contact the Library Board and ask for input on the Policy changes.		
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	ED 5.8	Changes to the policy should be approved by the Library board, not the Director of the Library.			
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	ED 8.3	Policy or discussion should mention that the River and the Spokane Falls is an important economic resource (e.g. tourism).	The discussion will be amended to include mention of the River and the Spokane Falls as an economic resource.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 7	ED 8.5	Doesn't agree with recent State desire to redevelop Brownfields for affordable housing uses.	The policy does not discuss affordable housing, rather it concerns clean-up of contaminated sites in general.	No	-

				2017 Comprehensive Plan I	Update		
				Summary of City Council Co	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/26/2017	C. Mumm All	Chapter 7	ED 8.5	Modifications to the policy have taken the focus from those sites that can be redeveloped. Following discussion the consensus was to restore the last sentence of the Discussion.	The last sentence will be restored to its original content.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm L. Kinnear	Chapter 8		Feels the original Goal was better than the revised Goal provided by the Focus Group.	Goals DP2 was modified according to the reorganization of the policies wherein historic preservation and urban design now fall under separate goals. The original language of Goal DP 2 has been incorporated into Goal DP 3, where historic preservation is now discussed.	No	-
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	DP 2.1	Strike "no eyesores" from final sentence of Discussion.	Staff will amend the discussion accordingly.	Yes	-
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	DP 2.2	Is there a better descriptor than "good" for urban design? Is there a better definition of "urban design?"	"Good" urban design is defined in the previous policy, DP 2.1, and further clarified in the discussion under Policy DP 2.2.	No	-
1/26/2017	All	Chapter 8	DP 2.1	Policy language needs further refinement.	Comment noted. Further clarification is requested from the commenter.	No	-
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8		Feels there are too many changes to this Chapter from the original. Further discussion indicated that a number of the changes concerned policies that were moved or combined rather than completely deleted.	Staff has recolored the chapter for Council review, showing those policies that were moved/combined in green.	Yes	Yes

				2017 Comprehensive Plan I	Update		
				Summary of City Council Co	mments		
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8		New policy focuses too much on the character of a building but not the preservation of the building itself.	The Councilmember's concern will be addressed in a new policy, DP 3.12, Reuse of Historic Materials and Features.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8		Policy should also address intensity of use and buffering/transition between uses.	The Policy and Discussion will be amended to identify those possible issues as well.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8		Remove "small" from the first sentence of the Discussion. Policy should address all off-premises signage.	Staff will make the change.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm A. Waldref	Chapter 8		The policy change made by the Focus group ignores the potential negative effects of lighting as well as the benefits.	Staff will modify the policy/discussion to maximize safety while minimizing negative effects of lighting.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 8	Goal 3	Requested new policy that favors deconstruction and reuse of historic building features and materials over destruction and disposal.	Staff will draft new language for consideration as Policy DP 3.12.	Yes	Yes
1/26/2017	C. Mumm	Chapter 8		Identification and preservation of cultural landmarks should also be a focus of policy. Following discussion with all, it was determined that restoration of the first sentence of the discussion should remain.	The first sentence will be restored.	Yes	Yes

				2017 Comprehensive Plan	Update						
	Summary of City Council Comments										
Study Session Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?				
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 10	Goal SH 4	Does the goal's consideration of "other classes" concern State or Federal designation of protected classes? Following discussion council felt no change was necessary.	Comment noted.	No					
2/2/2017	M. Fagan	Chapter 10	Goal SH 4	The Goal/Chapter should reference the SMC Title on Human Rights.	A reference to Title 18 will be added.	Yes					
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 10	General - Agriculture	Does the Comp Plan include provisions to protect the little remaining agricultural lands in the City?	Protection of agricultural lands is provided in the Land Use chapter, under policy LU 1.11.	No	-				
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	Chapter 12	General	Concerned that the chapter should include a definition of parks.  Specifically, she is concerned that parkways and greenways should not be considered "parks."	Parkways are identified in the Inventory of Parklands in the CFP and in map CFU5. Staff will forward this comment to Leroy Eadie at Spokane Parks and Recreation for input.	-	-				
2/2/2017	L. Kinnear	General	General	Asked about the ongoing Strategic Planning and how that will be addressed in the Comprehensive Plan.	Staff is working with the Strategic Planning group to ensure that the overall goals, visions, and policies of the Strategic Plan are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.	No	-				

# SHAPING SPOKANE

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

**Public Comments** 

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 9/13/2016 Two new goals/policies proposed. Avista Chapter 5 One recognizing maintenance needs, one on continued cooperative efforts between Avista and the City of Spokane. 5/25/2016 Replace the word "city's" with Paul Kropp Chapter 11 Goal 8 Staff agrees with this change. Yes "adjacent" in the N8 Goal. 8/23/2016 Avista Chapter 5 CFU 4.3 Suggested language "encouraged to Staff agrees and changes were made in Yes Yes convert existing overhead both instances. distribution lines<60kv to underground lines". Also"These potential benefits, therefore, should etc". Would be helpful to leave in to help the public understand that cost can be a factor. 9/30/2016 Add language "Revise building codes Building codes to support public safety **Food Policy** Chapter 10 SH 7.1 No Council to loosen permits on agricultural and health prevent loosening building structures". codes on agricultural structures. 9/30/2016 Add language "Establish a provision **Food Policy** Chapter 10 SH 7.2 Staff will add an implementation matrix No Yes for food landscaping on City land Council item to analyze the viability for food landscaping on City land. and develop infrastructure to support it". 9/30/2016 Add "Map the food deserts in the **Food Policy** Chapter 10 SH 7.3 Staff will add this comment to the No Council City". implementation matrix for the chapter.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Completed? Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Required? Section 9/30/2016 **Food Policy** Chapter 10 SH 7.3 Add "Lower water rates for the Staff will add researching the effects of No Yes Council different classifications of growing lowering the water rates for the food; include agricultural impacts in different classifications of growing food to the implementation matrix. The latter water use decisions & re-evaluate water use policies". part of the sentence regarding water use decisions and water use policies may better fit with the discussion in Natural Environment and will be added to the implementation matrix. 9/30/2016 **Food Policy** Chapter 10 SH 7.3 Add "Support opening grocery stores Added to implementation matrix. No Yes Council in food deserts (e.g. tax incentives, density bonuses, parking requirement reductions). 9/30/2016 **Food Policy** Chapter 10 Add " Develop a no net loss of Added to implementation matrix. SH 7.4 No Yes Council agricultural land policy". 10/12/16 Chapter 5 Park "Roadmap to the Future" Plan, Staff has reached out to Garrett Jones Paul Kropp Nο Yes with the Parks Department regarding not found on website. the availability of their document online (10/13/16) and he stated he would take care of it. This is now available online.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 10/12/16 Paul Kropp Chapter 5 Scrap completely all the discussion After reviewing the referenced No of the adoption and consequences language, staff feels that a detailed of the County's population explanation of past and current projections for the 2017 comp plan population projections is needed to adequately explain the City's capacity to review/update. Just state the facts. provide services and accommodate anticipated growth at the adopted level of service standards. 12/15/2016 **Greg Francis** Questions replacement of e.g. with Staff chose to limit abbreviations in the General No full, for example. text, as this is a formal document. Additionally, time prohibitive to go back through two entire documents. 12/15/2016 **Greg Francis** General Please reference SMC or WAC Staff has completed this in response to a Yes Yes numbers. similar Plan Commission comment. 12/15/2016 Concerned with limiting the Staff has considered this, and restored **Greg Francis** General No discussions, generally. certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 12/15/2016 **Greg Francis** Chapter 2 General concern regarding bulk of Consideration has been given to the No matrices within text of chapter two. length of the matrices. As a result, the Near and Mid-term and Ongoing matrices will be located in Chapter 2 and the Master and Future matrices will be located in Appendix 5.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Completed? Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Required? Section 12/15/2016 **Greg Francis** Chapter 2 Staff recommends limiting the use of No "From which" includes all the local posessive and personal pronouns in the laws that citizens and their city document and would like to maintain government must follow." to which" consistency. includes all the local laws that citizens and **the** city government must follow." I think that government is our government and that the use of "their" gives some ownership to it. 12/15/2016 Would like to see "The following The clean version already contains this **Greg Francis** Chapter 3 1.12 No facilities..." sentence remain. text. This is one of the instances of staff re-inserting discussion material where appropriate. 12/15/2016 A definition of nonconforming uses has **Greg Francis** Chapter 3 1.14 Would like to see a definition of No "nonconforming uses" within the been folded into the policy discussion. discussion of the policy. 12/15/2016 **Greg Francis** Chapter 3 1.15 I think there should be a comma A comma is appropriate and has been Yes Yes between "properties" and added. "industrial" in the sentence "Because of their low building occupancies and similar impacts on adjoining properties industrial uses are generally considered to be compatible with aviation facilities."

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change Summary of Comment** Completed? Date Name Chapter **Staff Discussion** Required? Section 12/15/2016 The addition of "approved" or **Greg Francis** Chapter 3 3.6 The removal of "neighborhood-No based" is ok but would it be possible "applicable" is implied. Adding it only to include something like "approved adds unnecessary specificity. design guidelines" or "applicable design guidelines" versus just "design guidelines" to strengthen the policy a little? 12/15/2016 **Greg Francis** Chapter 4 6.4 With regards to the text "If a school This policy lays the groundwork for the No district is to collect impact fees for school districts to make decisions new schools, the school facilities regarding whether or not to charge must be reflected in the city's impact fees in the future, per state law. Capital Facility Program (CFP)," I'm Staff suggests keeping this as is. wondering if this applies to Spokane or not. It seems like we should have the specific answer yes or no and that should be reflected in this text rather than being vague on whether it applies to Spokane or not.

	2017 Comprehensive Plan Update								
			Goal/Policy	Summary of Public Comm	nents	Text Change			
Date	Name	Chapter	Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Required?	Completed?		
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 11	N2	When text is removed because it's located in multiple locations, it would be nice if the places where it is deleted could reference the other location so people could get that additional descriptive text since they may not realize that it's covered in more detail in another chapter. Perhaps something like "See the Land Use chapter for more details on Neighborhood Centers" would help the reader.	This speaks to the larger cross- referencing issue previously identified. The workload required to find and catch all of these instances would likely exceed our remaining time/resources for limited utility. Additionally, the Comprehensive Plan is to be considered as a whole, not it's individual pieces. The document is term searchable online as well. For review purposes a note will be added referencing the chapter where additional information may be found.	No	-		
12/15/2016	Greg Francis	Chapter 11	N2.6		This was a focus group recommendation to remove, as it was redundant on two levels. Restoring redundancies could potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.	No	-		
1/3/2017	Fire Chief Bobby Williams (and Ben Stuckart)	CFP	5.6 (old) Section II	Additional language provided re: EMS and Fire Levels of Service	Text was inserted. Sets the stage for future discussions of LOS but does not have immediate functional change to stated LOS.	Yes	Yes		

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Neighborhoods seem to be removed Neighborhoods and references to Kathryn General No Alexander throughout the plan, including neighborhood planning remain references to neighborhood prominent throughout the plan. The neighborhood planning process was planning. removed because it is not considered a policy but rather an implementation measure. The process has also changed numerous times, rendering the Comprehensive Plan language outdated. The current neighborhood planning process is formally documented and adopted under two City Council Resolutions, RES 2008-0100 and 2011-0100. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Change of the document from a The discussions have been simplified No General Alexander visioning document to a planning and shortened in places to make the document may decrease reader document easier to read and involvement and make it more understand. The document was and difficult to access for residents and remains both a visioning and planning neighborhood councils. document. 1/3/2017 Specific instances of this were related to Kathryn General The language changing citizens to No Alexander customers does a disservice to policy discussions of citizens as customers. Many references to citizens residents. remain throughout the document. 1/3/2017 Kathryn General The removal of redundancy and The discussions have been simplified Nο examples reduce clarity and in many and shortened in places to make the Alexander cases remove the visionary aspect of document easier to read and the plan. understand. 1/3/2017 Keep the GMA goals. GMA goals have been retained in the Kathryn General No Alexander appendix in their entirety.

#### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 5 6.2 CFU 6.2 provide both clarity and Staff agrees that the discussion informs Yes Kathryn Yes Alexander context so I recommend they be the policy and can remain. retained. 1/3/2017 I suggest keeping the last sentence Kathryn Chapter 7 8.1 Staff agrees this sentence informs the Yes Yes Alexander of the first paragraph in the policy and can remain. discussion section, 'These benefits...to...potential businesses and residents.' As it supports the vision. Add the words, 'and abilities.' after 1/3/2017 Chapter 12 PRS 5 Staff agrees that the language would Yes Kathryn Yes Alexander 'all ages.' strengthen the policy. Page 6 – The second paragraph is 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 13 Vision and values were not amended in No Alexander not even a sentence. this update. 1/3/2017 2.2 Chapter 13 Should have neighborhood dialogue Consider for inclusion in implementation No Kathryn Alexander added as an avenue for civics matrices. education. 1/3/2017 Keep the paragraph "Spokane's The discussions have been simplified Kathryn pg. 7 No Intro natural setting". Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 GMA goals have been retained in the Kathryn Intro pg. 9 Keep GMA goals in text. No Alexander appendix in their entirety. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Keep NE 5.2, 5.12, and 18.1 as Restoring redundancies could Intro pg. 13 No Alexander reference to Transportation. potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 1 In the chapter titled "Spokane's The discussions have been simplified No Kathryn pg. 5 ambitions for the Future" please Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and keep the portion of the first paragraph that contains, "the understand. Staff has considered this, comprehensive Plan attempts two and restored certain discussions in key achievements..." To the end of consultation with Plan Commission. the last paragraph in that section, it creates context. 1/3/2017 Keep the section that starts "Sadly, The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 1 pg. 8 No much of the area's Alexander and shortened in places to make the sanitary...." As it shapes a real document easier to read and problem that must be recognized understand. Staff has considered this. andaddressed at some point. and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Mixed feelings about moving the Kathryn Chapter 1 This information is located in the No Horizons process to the appendix. Alexander appendix, in its entirety. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 1 Keep AHWAHNEE principles in text. The discussion of Ahwahnee Principles No will remain a part of the document but Alexander will be included in an Appendix. 1/3/2017 Grammatical suggestion "on" Kathryn Chapter 2 pg. 3 Comment noted. No instead of "to". Keep "example of Alexander zoning consistency". 1/3/2017 Add "and must be reflected through Comment noted. Kathryn Chapter 2 No Alexander local administration of the Building Code" at the end of the edited first paragraph on that page.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 3 Growth of the City: keep or rewrite Consider for inclusion in next update. No Kathryn Alexander to show how the city has grown in the past, the issues that has caused and what future growth needs to consider. 1/3/2017 Keep the reference to neighborhood Chapter 3 Kathryn The discussions have been simplified No pg. 6 Alexander planning in the second paragraph. and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. The planning process steps in N.8 were eliminated as discussed in line 28 above, so this reference was also eliminated. However, the remaining N.8 neighborhood planning section remains with numerous references to neighborhood planning. Keep land use planning goals and 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 This information is located in the No Alexander Countywide Planning Policies. appendix. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 Keep the mention of Horizon This information is located in the No Alexander Process. appendix. Keep the first paragraph, 'Mini-The current neighborhood planning 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 L.U. 1.7 No centers established...' and change Alexander process doesn't guarantee or require 'should' to 'can', if you must. that this will happen. This issue can be discussed during the larger mini-center discussion itemized in the Chapter 3, Policy 1.7, Land Use Implementation Matrix.

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update										
	Summary of Public Comments									
Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?			
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 1.9	the direct	The Focus Group modified this policy to strengthen the language and provide greater emphasis on the importance of downtown.	No	-			
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 3.2	Keep the phrase, 'of the comprehensive Plan or a neighborhood plan.'	This reference to Neighborhood Plans was removed because neighborhoods may not have design guidelines or the opportunity to develop them. The Spokane Municipal Code, however, contains all of the City design guidelines currently in place.	No	-			
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 22, LU 3.2	Keep the reference to the neighborhood planning process. Perhaps by adding the words. 'in conjunction with the neighborhoods' in the first paragraph. In the second paragraph add, 'or a neighborhood planning process' after 'approved sub area,'.	Changes to this policy were made to clarify that approval responsibility for any new center designation rests with the City. A designation can be initiated through the City or private interest, so the wording used here helps to guarantee an inclusive process.	No	-			
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 24, LU 3.6	Keep the words 'neighborhood based' in the first paragraph.	Neighborhoods may not have design guidelines or the opportunity to develop them. However, should they ever be adopted, the words "design guidelines" means all design guidelines, including neighborhood based design guidelines.	No	-			

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update								
Summary of Public Comments								
Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?	
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 25, LU 4.4	In LU 4-4 add, 'with the intention of creating a more walkable city.' at the end of the last sentence.	This policy addresses connectivity, but comment could be considered for inclusion in next update. The policy directives throughout the comprehensive plan already strongly support a more walkable city.	No	-	
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	pg. 26, LU 5.1	Changes to LU 5.1 more confusing.	The focus group modified the language for clarity and to read better.	No	-	
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 3	LU 5.4	Keep the vision and values sentence.	The "Visions and Values document" referenced here is not the same as the Visions and Values statements in the Comprehensive Plan. It was a separate document developed prior to development of the Comprehensive Plan and varies slightly from the adopted Visions and Values statements in the Comprehensive Plan. Staff believes this was inadvertently left in the document in 2001. The Visions and Values remain for all chapters in the plan.	No		

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 3 LU 6.4 Change word 'continue' to 'expand'. The focus group made this change based Kathryn No Alexander on the process that is in place today. The School District meets regularly with the City on planning issues. A representative of the School District was a member of the focus group and provided valuable input and information on thei subject. However, this could be revisited in the next review of the land use chapter. 1/3/2017 Kathryn I recommend keeping the original The discussions have been simplified Chapter 3 pg. 29/31, No Alexander LU 6.8 wording with the addition of the and shortened in places to make the new as this creates context and does document easier to read and not force the reader to go look up understand. The siting process itself is the Spokane Municipal Code. an implementation item that implements the policy, rather than being a policy unto itself. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 LU 6.9 Reads as though the cost is more This is not what the policy implies. It No Alexander important ensuring new buildings fit does present a balance between design their existing surroundings. Keep and cost, which is always a necessary original wording. consideration. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 LU 6.13 Keep Restoring redundancies could No Alexander potentially invalidate other 1/3/2017 LU 8.1 Kathryn Chapter 3 redundancies already reviewed and No Alexander removed. 1/3/2017 The policy was re-written for clarity and Kathryn Chapter 3 LU 8.2 Keep old and new wording. No Alexander to read better.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 LU 8.3 I would add 'every five years' after GMA requirements have changed over No Alexander the years, but now require a UGA review '...an inventory of the buildable land...'. every 8 years, in conjuction with updates to jurisdictions' comprehensive plan updates. 1/3/2017 The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 3 LU 9.4 Keep the list of services. No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chatper 3 I commend this section for its clarity Comment noted. No pg. 36 Alexander in dealing with a thorny issue! 1/3/2017 Chapter 5 Keep GMA goals in text. Kathryn This information is located in the No Alexander appendix. 1/3/2017 Did not see a second version of the This information is located in the Kathryn Chapter 5 pg. 11 Nο Alexander chart. Should stay. appendix. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 5 **CFU 1.4** Should keep old and new parts. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the 1/3/2017 **CFU 1.5** Should stay Kathryn Chapter 5 document easier to read and Nο understand. Staff has considered this, Alexander 1/3/2017 Should stay for clarity although the Kathryn Chapter 5 **CFU 1.7** and restored certain discussions in No removal of, 'storm drainage' does consultation with Plan Commission. Alexander not sacrifice clarity.

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 5 Leave the first paragraph that starts, Kathryn pg. 16 No Alexander 'Public facilities for which impact fees can be applied....' Provides clarity unless this list has changed, then the changed list should be made available here. 1/3/2017 Restoring redundancies could Chapter 5 CFU 2.7 Keep No Kathryn Alexander potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 5 Not sure why specifying where Comment noted. pg. 20 No Alexander cables can be run is too specific. CFU 5.2 1/3/2017 The discussions have been simplified Chapter 5 Keep the discussion. Kathryn No Alexander and shortened in places to make the 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 5 **CFU 5.3** document easier to read and No Alexander understand. Staff has considered this. and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. Keep the discussion. 1/3/2017 Staff recommends removing this policy Kathryn Chapter 5 CFU 5.8 No Alexander because it is a verbatim discussion from the Countywide Planning Policies and therefore redundant. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 5 CFU 6.2 The strikeouts at the top of the page The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and discussions should be kept. and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this,

Kathryn

Alexander

Chapter 6

1/3/2017

Introduction Keep

No

and restored certain discussions in

consultation with Plan Commission.

## 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments

Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6		Keep the GMA goals.	This information is located in the appendix.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1	Change 'is' to 'are'.	Comment noted.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.3	Keep the discussion.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.3	Add that 'one of the benefits is maintaining walkability in the city.'	Consider for inclusion in next update. The policy directives throughout the comprehensive plan already strongly support a more walkable city.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.12	Keep the permitting process section.	Some policies were removed because they are redundant with policies in other chapters. This was done for the purposes of condensing the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.12	Add 'the permitting process should be consistent across all departments.'	Some policies were removed because they are redundant with policies in other chapters. This was done for the purposes of condensing the document.	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.18	Keep original and revisions.	The discussions have been simplified and shortened in places to make the	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	pg. 13	Keep ADU examples.	document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this,	No	-
1/3/2017	Kathryn Alexander	Chapter 6	H1.22	Keep the discussion.	and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.	No	-

#### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 6 H2.1 Keep Kathryn No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 6 H 2.3 Keep discussion, relevant to District Not relevant to District model work. Nο Alexander Model Experiment. 1/3/2017 This information is located in the Kathryn Chapter 7 No gma Keep Alexander appendix. 1/3/2017 The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 1.1 Keep the discussion. No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Include some discussion of the need Chapter 7 ED 1.4 The Transportation Chapter 4 includes No Kathryn to enhance transit service and Alexander goals that discuss this. improve walkability. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 2.1 Keep the discussion. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 2.2 document easier to read and Nο Alexander understand. Staff has considered this, 1/3/2017 Chapter 7 ED 2.3 and restored certain discussions in Kathryn No Alexander consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 FD 2.4 Nο Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 3.1 No Alexander 1/3/2017 Chapter 7 Kathryn No pg. 16 Alexander In the discussion section the portion, 'Determining the best balance of

industry...to...economy and provide

long-term economic benefits.', should be kept.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Completed? Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Required? Section 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 3.3 Keep the discussion. No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 3.5 Keep the stricken. Nο Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Keep the stricken. Chapter 7 pg. 17 No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 4.2 Keep the discussion. No Alexander Add benchmarking educational 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 4.2 Outside the scope of this update No needs and the use of a 'vibrant Alexander process. community' survey to get resident input and engagement. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 4.3 Keep the discussion. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 5.3 Keep examples. No understand. Staff has considered this, Alexander and restored certain discussions in 1/3/2017 Chapter 7 ED 5.4 consultation with Plan Commission. No Kathryn Alexander 1/3/2017 Add benchmarking as additional Outside the scope of this update Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 5.4 No Alexander example. process. Agree with the purpose of edits, but 1/3/2017 Please refer to Transportation Chapter Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 5.7 Yes 4. This policy was removed because it is Alexander want to see reference to addressed in Transportation. Staff will transportation. include a reference in Chapter 7 to direct the reader to Chapter 4.

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 6.3 Add reference to the importance of The policy refers to advanced No enabling citizen access and use of Alexander technology for business purposes in advanced technology be included. order to keep them up to date and competitive. Citizen access to technology is address in other policies in this Chapter, including multiple policies in ED 5, Education and Workforce Development. 1/3/2017 Chapter 7 Keep examples. The discussions have been simplified Kathryn ED 7.4 No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 7.6 No and restored certain discussions in Alexander consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 8.1 Keep stricken. Comment noted. No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 ED 8.3 Keep the discussion. The discussions have been simplified No and shortened in places to make the Alexander 1/3/2017 ED 8.4 Kathryn Chapter 7 Keep original. document easier to read and No understand. Staff has considered this, Alexander 1/3/2017 Keep the wording from the last Kathrvn Chapter 8 pg 5/6 and restored certain discussions in No Alexander paragraph starting with, 'The consultation with Plan Commission. essence of the features that make... to ...for the particular development.' 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 **GMA** Keep This information is located in the No Alexander appendix. 1/3/2017 May be redundant, but I'd like to see Restoring redundancies could Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 1.1 No Alexander it stay. potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Completed? Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 8 DP 2 Keep stricken. Comment noted. Kathryn No Alexander 1/3/2017 Outside the scope of this update process Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 2 Add walkability as well as livability. No and walkability is addressed in several Alexander places within the Comprehensive Plan, in particular Transportation and Land Use. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 Keep the description of the Design The Design Review Process was moved pg. 11 No Alexander to DP 2.8 and is also discussed in the Review Process. introduction to Chapter 2, Implementation. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 2.6 Keep original and revisions. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Restoring redundancies could Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 3.2 Redundant, but keep. No Alexander potentially invalidate other 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 3.3 redundancies already reviewed and No Alexander removed. 1/3/2017 Chapter 8 DP 3.4 Kathryn No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 3.5 No Alexander

# 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 8 DP 2.12 Keep original and revisions. The discussions have been simplified No Kathryn Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 2.21 Keep examples. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this. and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 3.8 New language weeker than original. Tax programs are only one form of No support for historic preservation, so the Alexander focus group changed this policy to broaden the scope. 1/3/2017 DP 3.12 The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 8 Keep original and revisions. Nο Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. Kathryn 1/3/2017 Chapter 8 DP 6.1 Redundant, but keep. Restoring redundancies could No Alexander potentially invalidate other 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 6.2 redundancies already reviewed and No Alexander removed. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 6.3 No Alexander

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 8 DP 6.4 Kathryn No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 6.5 No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn DP 6.7 Keep discussion. The discussions have been simplified Chapter 8 No Alexander and shortened in places to make the 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 DP 6.8 Keep document easier to read and No Alexander understand. Staff has considered this, 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 9 Add the fifth paragraph, 'Spokane's and restored certain discussions in No pg. 7 natural setting is stunning... to consultation with Plan Commission. Alexander ...paths, will be included in conservation lands.' As it speaks to the vision. 1/3/2017 Chapter 9 GMA Keep This information is located in the No Kathryn Alexander appendix. 1/3/2017 Restoring redundancies could Kathryn Chapter 9 NE 5.2 Redundant, but keep. No Alexander potentially invalidate other redundancies already reviewed and removed. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 9 NE 5.2 Add walkability. Outside the scope of this update No Alexander process. 1/3/2017 Keep The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 9 NE 5.6 No and shortened in places to make the Alexander 1/3/2017 Chapter 9 document easier to read and Kathryn NE 18.1 No Alexander understand. Staff has considered this, 1/3/2017 Kathryn NE 18.1 Add walkability. Outside the scope of this update Chapter 9 No Alexander process.

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments Text Change** Goal/Policy Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 10 Keep The discussions have been simplified No Kathryn Intro Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 Intro Add that asset mapping should Outside the scope of this update No Alexander become a city-wide strategy utilizing process. neighborhood involvement. 1/3/2017 Chapter 10 **GMA** This information is located in the Kathryn Keep No Alexander appendix. 1/3/2017 Keep third paragraph. The discussions have been simplified Chapter 10 No Kathryn pg. 6 Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 1.5 Keep the discussion. No and restored certain discussions in Alexander consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Chapter 10 Outside the scope of this update SH 1.6 Keep and redo to include Amber Kathryn No Alexander Waldref's work. process. 1/3/2017 The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 10 Keep the last paragraph's last No pg. 8 Alexander sentence. and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 10 SH 1.8 Keep discussion. Focus group change and the discussion No Kathryn Alexander was eliminated for the purpose of condensing the document. 1/3/2017 SH 2.2 Keep examples. The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 10 No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 2.4 Definition should be rewritten to The Focus Group modified this policy to No Alexander include both original and new make it clearer and removed the language. discussion because it was unnecessary. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 3.5 Keep the second paragraph The wording was eliminated as it is out No Alexander discussion. of date. 1/3/2017 Chapter 10 Keep discussion, except last two The Focus Group rewrote this policy for SH 3.6 No Kathryn Alexander sentences. clarity. The discussion was also reduced for streamlining purposes. 1/3/2017 Chapter 10 SH 3.8 Keep the word 'will'. staff/focus group change for clarity Kathryn No Alexander 1/3/2017 SH 4 Re-write and shorten. Kathryn Chapter 10 Focus group change to improve Nο Alexander readability. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 4.1 No Alexander 1/3/2017 SH 4.2 Kathryn Chapter 10 No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 5.3 Keep last sentence. Focus group change to improve No Alexander readability.

Kathryn

Alexander

Chapter 10

SH 6.7

implementation.

1/3/2017

Move second paragraph to chapter 2 Comment noted.

No

# 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 11 Neighborhood planning process The neighborhood planning process is No Kathryn intro Alexander should stay. ever evolving is not best placed in this document. The process is adopted by City Council Resolutions 2008-0100 and 2011-0100. The focus group suggested the change for clarity of the documents purpose. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 11 **GMA** Keep This information is located in the No Alexander appendix. 1/3/2017 Chapter 11 N 2 This policy is specific to neighborhoods. Kathryn Does not seem to be about No Alexander neighborhoods, but downtown. Replace the word neighborhood with downtown. 1/3/2017 N 2.2 Kathryn Chapter 11 Keep the last paragraph's last The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the sentence. document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 11 N 2.6 Redundant - covered in housing chapter. Keep No Alexander 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 11 pg. 13 Keep second paragraph in the The discussions have been simplified No Alexander discussion. and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this. and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Add language on walkability. Outside the scope of this update Kathryn Chapter 11 pg. 13 No Alexander process.

## **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 11 N 4.7 Keep discussion. The discussions have been simplified No Kathryn Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 11 N 4.12 No understand. Staff has considered this, Alexander and restored certain discussions in 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 11 N 6.1 No consultation with Plan Commission. Alexander 1/3/2017 Keep. Kathryn Chapter 11 N8 The planning process was removed since No Alexander it is an implementation item, not a policy. The planning process is adopted by Resolution 2009-0100 and 2011-0100. 1/3/2017 The planning process was removed since Kathryn Chapter 11 N 8.2 Keep original language. No Alexander it is an implementation item, not a policy. The planning process is adopted by Resolution 2009-0100 and 2011-0100. 1/3/2017 The Focus Group decided to remove this Kathryn Chapter 11 N 8.7 Keep. Nο Alexander discussion as it is already covered in other parts of the Comprehensive Plan and the policy is self-explanatory. Furthermore, the discussion included excessive detail that may not occur. In addition, part of the discussion is out of date. 1/3/2017 Chapter 12 Kathryn **GMA** Keep. This information is located in the No Alexander appendix.

### 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update **Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 12 PRS 1.3 The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Keep. No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 12 PRS 1.4 Keep. This policy had not been revised. No Alexander PRS 2.1 The Focus Group broadened this policy 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 12 Keep neighborhood references. No Alexander to concern city-wide amenities, not just neighborhoods. 1/3/2017 Chapter 12 PRS 2.3 Add reference to open space. Outside the scope of this update Kathryn No Alexander process. Outside the scope of this update 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 12 **PRS 2.4** Add reference to open space. No Alexander process. 1/3/2017 Chapter 12 The Focus Group modified this policy to Kathryn PRS 3.1 Keep original and revisions. No connect it to adopted plans rather than Alexander a standalone requirement. 1/3/2017 Kathrvn Chapter 12 PRS 3.1 Add the increased walkability such Outside the scope of this update No Alexander linkages will create. process. 1/3/2017 PRS 5.2 Add the words 'and desires' after Outside the scope of this update Kathryn Chapter 12 Nο Alexander needs. process. Keep and change the title. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 12 PRS 5.8 Focus group change and outside the No Alexander scope of this update process. 1/3/2017 LGC 1.1 Keep discussion. The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 13 No Alexander and shortened in places to make the 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 13 LGC 1.3 document easier to read and No Alexander understand. Staff has considered this, 1/3/2017 Chapter 13 LGC 2.3 Not redundant. Restoring redundancies could Kathryn No Alexander potentially invalidate other

# **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Chapter 13 LGC 4.5 redundancies already reviewed and Kathryn No Alexander removed. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 13 LGC 7.1 Keep discussion. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this, and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Most of the changes eliminate Kathryn Chapter 2 Comment supports streamlining. No Alexander/Patrick redundancies or make it more Rooks readable. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 3 LU 1.14 Deleted the discussion of Staff agrees that the term Yes Yes Alexander/Patrick nonconforming uses because the nonconforming use and its effects can Rooks term "nonconforming" was selfbe further informed by discussion. A explanatory. While it may be selfnew discussion for this policy has been explanatory, a little bit of proposed. explanation or background would be helpful for the average person to understand what nonconforming uses are and how they come about. 1/3/2017 Add affordable housing section after Vision and values were not amended in Kathryn Chapter 6 Vision and Nο Alexander/Julie Values values bullet points. this update. Adding a section on Banks affordable housing is outside the scope of this update process.

# **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 7 The section on Revitalization Comment supports streamlining. No Alexander/Arielle Opportunities deletes specific Anderson references to neighborhoods. Making it more streamlined. Don't know why this would be an issue. 1/3/2017 7.1 Add neighborhood councils and Kathryn Chapter 7 Outside the scope of this update No Alexander/Arielle other neighborhood organizations. process. Anderson 1/3/2017 **CFU 1.4** The discussions have been simplified Kathryn Chapter 5 Keep the whole paragraph. No Alexander/Fran and shortened in places to make the Papenleur document easier to read and understand. Staff has considered this. and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Not sure the term deteriorated is Kathryn Chapter 5 CFU 6.1 Comment noted. No Alexander (Fran better than older. Papenleur 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 8 The chapter eliminates the design The requirements and responsibilities of No Alexander/Mark the Design Review Board have not been review boards ability to oversee projects and removes modified as a result of this update. Davis neighborhoods from the process. Design Review staff have been consulted The chapter even proposes changes during this update. to zoning to allow developers to There are no recommendations for zone build what they want. changes in this chapter. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 10.2 Moving to appendix is good decision Comment supports streamlining. No Alexander for concise and clear direction. /Valena Arguello

### **2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments** Goal/Policy **Text Change** Date Name Chapter **Summary of Comment Staff Discussion** Completed? Required? Section 1/3/2017 Kathryn Generalized concern with removal of Staff recommends limiting the use of No General Alexander possessive and personal pronouns in the human and community language. document and would like to maintain /Valena Arguello consistency. 1/3/2017 Removal of the mention of Community remains prevalent Kathryn Chapter 10 No general Alexander community and the human element. throughout the document. /Valena Arguello 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 Removed mention of communities The discussions have been simplified intro No Alexander involvement and role in the plan. and shortened in places to make the /Valena Arguello document easier to read and understand. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 1.2 Removed the community/human Staff has considered this, and restored No Alexander certain discussions in consultation with piece. /Valena Arguello Plan Commission. 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 1.4 Condensing has diluted the policy. Focus group change to strengthen and No Alexander broaden the policy. /Valena Arguello 1/3/2017 Kathryn Chapter 10 SH 1.6 Removing the discussion makes it Comment noted, no change required. No Alexander less concrete. /Valena Arguello 1/3/2017 Kathrvn Chapter 11 2.4 & 2.5 Please keep discussion. The discussions have been simplified No Alexander/Andre and shortened in places to make the document easier to read and w Hoye understand. Staff has considered this. and restored certain discussions in consultation with Plan Commission.

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Summary of Public Comments							
Date	Name	Chapter	Goal/Policy Section	Summary of Comment	Staff Discussion	Text Change Required?	Completed?
1/3/2017	Kathryn AlexanderAndrew Hoye	Chapter 11	4.7 & 4.12	OK to remove.	Comment supports streamlining.	No	-

# SHAPING SPOKANE

2017 Comprehensive Plan Update

**Center Maps** 





