## CITY OF SPOKANE ETHICS COMMISSION

## **ETHICS COMPLAINT FORM**

A complaint must be in writing, submitted on this form, and it must describe the allegations with sufficient detail to enable both the Commission and the person who is the subject of the complaint to reasonably understand the nature of the complaint. The complaint must also be signed, dated, and filed with the City Clerk's Office, and it must include a statement indicating that, to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable reflection, the information in the complaint is true.

When you have completed this form, submit it to:

Office of the City Clerk 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane, WA 99201-3342 clerks@spokanecity.org 509.625.6350

\*\*Please be advised that the completed complaint form is a public record pursuant to the Washington State Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW and will be filed with the City Clerk's Office, posted on the Ethics Commission's website and provided to the person who is the subject of the complaint as well as any other individual making request for a copy of the complaint. The Ethics Commission's review of the complaint will occur in a meeting open to the public. \*\*

Pursuant to the City of Spokane's Code of Ethics, I am filing a complaint regarding conduct which I believe constitutes a violation of the City's Code of Ethics.

Name, position, and department of person(s) I believe to have violated the Code of Ethics:

Name: Bart Logue

Position/Title: Spokane Police Ombuds

#### **Nature of Code of Ethics violation:**

What specific provision of SMC 1.04B.050 do you believe has been violated?

01.04B.010 A, B Generally the use of Ombudsman title for personal gain.

M. Commission of Acts of Moral Turpitude or Dishonesty Prohibited.

Describe in as much detail as possible the alleged Code of Ethics violation conduct. Attach additional sheets of paper, if necessary. Please include all documentation you believe demonstrates a violation. Your description should include the date, location and frequency of the alleged violation.

The complaint is amended to this document. I learned of this violation in Jan. 2025, but I was first aware of a major failure of the office on Aug. 26<sup>th</sup> 2024, the day Police Chief Hall was sworn in. The failures may be systematic and ongoing.

This is a revised complaint to my previous one that attempts to ad the requested details.

The allegation includes criminal conspiracy to deprive rights, a felony crime of moral turpitude.

I also have added the claim that the use of the Ombudsman title for profit is unethical.

## Names and positions of the persons who may have witnessed the event:

Internal affairs members Sean Wheeler, MJ Rose, David Singley.

Members of the Office of the Ombuds are aware, but the names are unknown and not given.

I filed legitimate and document complaints against them and filed them with the Ombudsman's Office. This have been ignored. I also asked for an exit interview. Unaware of the name.

## **Evidence or documentation**

Please list any evidence or documentation that would support your allegation of a Code of Ethics violation. Indicate whether you can personally provide that information.

Evidence is contained in the case file C24-046, which is can be made available. It contains

BWC footage. The relevant portions were transcribed and included in the complaint.

Detailed and documented with evidence complaints against MJ Rose in OPO possession.

The deleted supplement and supervisor complaints are also in OPO possession.

# **Complainant Declaration**

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable reflection, the information in the complaint is true and correct.

Evan Bi	me	Sept. 7 <sup>th</sup>	2025
Complainant's Sign	nature	Date	
Date and Place (e.	g. City, State)		
Sept. 7 <sup>th</sup> 2025			
Name (please print	): Evan Sims		
Address:	4521 S. Woodruff, Spokar	ne Valley WA, 992	206
Phone Number(s):	(509)230-8594		
E-Mail Address:	wx3@msn.com		

I did not receive the emails I requested in a timely fashion so I am unaware what questions to address, but I have included more details than the previous version of my complaint. I am resubmitting my complaint as was discussed.

The Spokane Ethics Commission previously voted 5-0 that Bart Logue in his role as the City of Spokane Police Ombudsman is allowed to commit felony crimes involving moral turpitude and to use deceptive practices in the commission of such a crime. This criminal conduct is also apparently ethical according to their standards. Conspiracy to deprive rights is a felony crime and and act of moral turpitude. There is clear evidence that he conspired with MJ Rose to maintain a false confession that I was biting someone's ankles. I was discriminated against due to a disability recognized by the ADA. Bart Logue has failed to enforce legitimate complaints with evidence against MJ Rose in the clearance of Garth Craigen. Why? Because Bart Logue allowed him to lie in the first place and allows discrimination against those with developmental disabilities. Garth Craigen's misconduct is currently in question regarding the homicide of a man reported to be unarmed.

Ombudsmans Bart Logues is accused of the following:

- Conspiracy to deprive rights under color of law. This is a felony level crime.
  - False confessions are prohibited. Equal protection is required. Exculpatory evidence cannot be deleted.
- Failure to recognize disability rights as required by the ADA. This is also a crime.
  - Seizure like activity as the result of an assault cannot be recast as aggression and disability related behaviors cannot be criminalized.
- Failure to perform duties required of his office. This is also a criminal offense.
  - Failing to process my complaint against the internal affairs investigator is a dereliction of his duties. My police report should be corrected, not deleted.
- Enriching himself by using his title of Ombudsman.
  - He is likely too busy working on a lucrative contract for another city to perform his duties. This violates a multitude of ethical standards.

Additionally he is accused of failing to disclose his own disciplinary record as a military policeman. The Ombudsman's position should be beyond reproach, however he has two very questionable 72 hour periods on his record that are redacted due to law enforcement reasons, this indicates he may be hiding the fact that he has himself been disciplined for police misconduct. Is this a glaring omission on his record that compromises his integrity? It is certainly something I believe he should be forthcoming about. As this is on his record, he has a duty to the public to disclose it.

His failure to perform his duties has enhanced the liability exposure of the City of Spokane, well in excess of the approximate \$4,000 it apparently costs to defend him from an ethics compliant. This seems preposterous, but that is apparently the reality and that money spent would greatly benefit the citizen's of Spokane as a cost saving measure. This is fraction of a percent of the liability the City of Spokane is exposed to that could easily be mitigated by simply requesting adherence to police training

and enforcement of Lexipol policies. Those policies are created minimized costs and liability exposure. It would also reassure them that they actually true independent oversight of the police. **If not now, when?** When will they have an Ombudsman that puts their interests above those of biased police who lie? Or even one who puts their interests above his own monetary gain? Obviously, not now or for nearly the last decade.

Why is my case even relevant? I was assaulted without any provocation and a false 911 call was made claiming I was swearing at and threatening people, but this was provably false and placed me in great danger. Pretextual bias then permeated through an extremely biased and untruthful police report and continued through police oversight and apparently through an ethics complaint. This was my police report as a victim which I was denied. I really just walked into the establishment and was intentionally harmed by using the door as a weapon which broke my ribs, I then was shoved backwards which broke my elbow in a coordinated attack. I was identified as having a cognitive disability by my assailant. Data from the Bureau of Justice Statistics shows that people with cognitive disabilities face the highest rates of violent crime. 83.3 per 1,000 people with cognitive disabilities are victims of violent crime each year. Over a 5 year period, this is approximately 40% of the people with cognitive disabilities may expect to be victims of violent crimes. This population has more than double the victimization rate of the general population. Most violent crimes go unreported because the victims are further ridiculed as was done in this case. Many are afraid that nobody will believe them, but in this case there was video evidence so I proceeded with my report. My report was not properly coded as a felony, essentially no disagreement was reported and an extremely biased police report further victimized me in place of collecting the evidence. It was so biased, that even upon finding the video was deleted, there would be now way to discern that the video I requested fully proved my case. According to the Ethics Committee, this is fair and just. I was additionally met with ridicule and oversight failed to perform even the most basic duties and further allowed evidence to be suppressed and/or deleted. This is clearly biased policing and oversight against someone believed to be disabled. This is prohibited by Lexipol policy and the Spokane Police Department's own cannon of ethics.

I strongly disagree with the Ethics Commission as representatives of the City of Spokane continuing to deprive me of my civil rights by refusing to correct the police report I was filing as a victim, as well as this being a violation of ADA title II rights. Under Mr. Logue's purview is that I have a developmental disability as recognized by Washington state law and the ADA. I was filing my police report and the concerns about a false police report filed against me were not addressed. Directly as the result of Mr. Logue's deceitful actions and then failure to act, I still do not have a crime victim report and my assailant has suffered no consequences. It is still well within the statute of limitations for felony assault and it has always been my intention to press charges and seek justice against some I know to be very violent. Additionally, I literally saw Timothy Stockon nearly shove someone into oncoming traffic who had fully complied with his demands. He also bragged to Marcus Schmick that the last guy he did that to out the back door got really hurt. I suffered a permanent injury, yet don't even have a police report that states I was a victim. From what I gather and my perspective, Marcus Schmick instructed Timothy Stockton and Hunter Hyde to do the same to me and then cover it up. He was accused of tampering with evidence, why was confirmed to be true. This accusation was deleted and Mr. Logue enabled this.

Multiple policies were violated and Mr. Logue chose deceit in lieu of clear evidence. Garth Craigen wrote such an incredibly biased police report that I made a supplement to that report and submitted a complaint to the Ombudsman claiming biased policing, but he allowed the internal investigator to blatantly lie to him and suppress that supplement and apparently delete it. This was my police report as a crime victim, which I was denied. My supplement predicts the deletion of the video and identifies the accessory to the assault as Hunter Hyde, who has nearly the identical police science degree from the same institution as Garth Craigen and is believed to be pursuing a career in law enforcement. Is that why Garth Craigen did not investigate or code my report as a felony and did not investigate it? The only reason given was that due to having a developmental disability which is blatantly biased and a deprivation of civil rights. I knew there was video evidence and asked for it. Instead, Garth Craigen wrote on my police report that I confirmed that I was biting someone's ankles. This is blatantly a false confession in place of my request for the video evidence. Additionally, no exculpatory statements were included in my report. My police report doesn't even say that I disagreed with Timothy Stockton's statements, nor that the false 911 call was made as a cover-up. Timothy Stockton doesn't even have the most basic server permit and is in violation of liquor control law. Marcus Schmick is also in violation of that same law. Involuntary seizure like activity being classified as aggression is against Department of Justice requirements to be in compliance with ADA title II guidelines and should have been recognized as such. Such a seizure is consistent with vasovagal syncope as the result of broken elbow. If such a seizure did occur, I certainly could not confirm it. This is an element of training required required in order to be in compliance regarding seizures and disability related behaviors. No questions were asked of Garth Craigen about this, but Mr. Logue certified that the investigation was thorough and objective even after the video was found to have been deleted. Ample evidence was given to substantiate my initial complaint regarding extreme bias and untruthfulness, but Mr. Logue claimed no evidence. There was irrefutable evidence and that is contained in the complaint against MJ Rose, but Mr. Logue will not process it. MJ Rose apparently deleted my supplement. Hiding police misconduct is the opposite of the Ombudsman's job. I also included the detail that Garth Craigen committed a homicide during the time I was waiting to review the results of my complaint. It is now known that the man he killed was unarmed and this brings into quest Garth Craigen's misconduct record. This exposes the city to millions of dollars of liability which Mr. Logue could have likely prevented by performing his basic duties as the Ombudsman. Hiding blatant police bias in this case enhances the liability of the city. Mr. Logue can request an interview as well as recommend training for the officer, but Mr. Logue claimed no policies were violated and no questions were warranted. This is flat out untrue deception on Mr. Logue's part. No exculpatory statements of mine were included in my police report. I had no interaction with the person I was accused of threatening and swearing at, etc. I was heading the opposite direction. I demanded the video. I confirmed that I would likely require surgery etc. Literally the entire side of my story was suppressed.

As I was in attendance of the Ethics Committee meeting, I am aware my right to appeal was granted due to insufficient details, but these details are contained in my complaints to the Ombudsman. I also voiced my disagreement to this opinion in a response to the finding and requested the email chain that was discussed. I was informed that well over 100 emails were responsive to this request, but I have still not received them and I was informed that I will not by the next committee meeting so I must infer

which details may have been omitted by myself in this. It is likely that any responses by Mr. Logue feign ignorance or try to misinterpret clear language, which is part of his pattern of deceit. I will also include some of the details revolving my complaint about the supplement to my police report being deleted.

I will first attempt to address may have been missed in my previous complaint, I will later attempt to summarize my complaint. This summary is difficult because my complaint was fairly detailed already, I find the request for more details to be burdensome and oppressive at this point however. I also believe that this request was not made in good faith as no questions have been asked of me. The one detail that I omitted previously is that Mr. Logue has affirmatively been derelict in his duty in processing a legitimate and detailed complaint against internal affairs investigator MJ Rose who demonstrably violated Spokane Police Department policy as well as Lexipol policy and state law in his clearance of Garth Craigen. David Singley and Sean Wheeler also have complaints filed against them with clear evidence the MJ Rose violated state law. A supervisor allowing internal affairs investigators to violate state law is definitively misconduct. Mr. Logue refuses to perform his duties as independent oversight. That is certainly dishonest. Other details that I was not aware of before are that Mr. Logue uses the title of Ombudsman for personal financial gain and that he may have omitted material facts about his own misconduct record as a military policeman when being hired by the city.

The memo written by the Assistant City Attorney for the City of Spokane did omit that my complaint explicitly lists that the Ombudsman materially contributed to what may constitute a **c**onspiracy to violate civil rights under color of law, as described under 18 U.S.C. § 241 and § 242. These federal statutes prohibit officials from conspiring to deprive individuals of rights secured by the Constitution, as well as other rights under the law. This is a defined act of moral turpitude under any definition. I also claimed to have proof that Logue conspired with MJ Rose to deprive me these rights and that Logue has demonstratively violated the public's trust. *The Assistant City Attorney's misrepresentation of my* claim can be framed as a violation of Rules of Professional Conduct (RPC) 3.3 and 4.1 which requires honesty to a tribunal and honesty in dealings with others. Since my allegations involve felony level conduct as well as other criminal acts, omitting that is a material misrepresentation. I concede that this is an obviously correctable oversight. It did, however, mislead the Spokane Ethics Commission into voting 5-0 that Bart Logue in his role as the City of Spokane Police Ombudsman is allowed to commit felony crimes involving moral turpitude and to use deceptive practices in the commission of such a crime. There is ample proof the Logue committed and continues to commit this crime. His main power in police oversight is to ensure truthfulness simply by existing in his role. He has chosen instead refuse to exercise this role and is in violation of both state law an the City of Spokane's adopted policy.

Below I will refresh the committee of the the following from the, notice that it does not address the accused crime of moral turpitude. The committee perhaps was unintentionally mislead, because any other outcome is willfully dishonest and complicit in the act. I certainly did identify specific acts with regards to him allowing MJ Rose to make false statements to him. This was fully intentional as I was

refused an exit interview, which is contrary to policy. Mr. Logue then refused to process a legitimate complaint, although I stated he refuses to do his duties which is clearly unethical in this case.

"Under the authority of SMC 1.04B.150 and in my role as Assistant City Attorney for the City of Spokane, I have given preliminary review to the Ethics Complaint submitted by Evan Sims against Ombuds Bart Logue.

The complaint met the requirement 1.04B.140 with respect to the complaint being submitted on the correct form and by alleging a violation against Ombuds Logue as a staff member in the City of Spokane who is subject to the Ethics Code. The complaint failed, however, to identify any specific acts committed by Ombuds Logue that if true would be a violation of the Code of Ethics and therefore failed to meet the requirement of being an ethics violation under SMC 01.04B.050. Additionally, the complaint failed to describe facts constituting a violation in sufficient detail so that the Commission and Ombuds Logue could reasonably understand the nature of the complaint being alleged and how the function of Ombuds Logue constituted a commission of a crime of moral turpitude or dishonesty relating to his duties as Ombuds."

Bart Logue is accused of the the following from the Spokane Ethics Handbook. It is listed as M in the handbook. Perhaps it is listed differently elsewhere.

M. Commission of Acts of Moral Turpitude or Dishonesty Prohibited - No City officer or employee shall commit any act of moral turpitude or dishonesty relating to their duties or position as a City officer or employee or arising from business with the City. Conviction of a felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude or dishonesty, the nature of which demonstrates lack of fitness for the position held, shall be considered conclusive evidence of a violation of this Code of Ethics. Demonstrated acts of moral turpitude or dishonesty are not limited to felony or misdemeanor criminal convictions.

Previously I was using most strict definition of moral turpitude, so that may have caused some confusion. The City of Spokane provides their own definitions.

- L. "Dishonesty" means behavior that intends to deceive or cheat people; untruthfulness; untrustworthiness. It is not possible to be negligently "dishonest."
- X. "Moral turpitude" is conduct that violates commonly accepted standards of good morals, honesty, and justice; the application of this standard depends upon the collective conscience and judgment of the members of the Commission.

The failure to process legitimate complaints when an internal investigator demonstrably lies and refusing to do so is quite obviously untrustworthy and unjust. It is literally a criminal act to fail to perform these duties. The only reason I have so far as to why I was refused basic police services is that I am purportedly developmentally disabled, but Garth Craigen went beyond denying police services.

That was the basis of my complaint to the Ombudsman. Mr. Logue oversaw my complaint, but it was essentially ignored and mischaracterized. Violations of Spokane Police policies of bias and truthfulness were not addressed. Mr. Logue's failure was so blatant that it can only be characterized as as deceitful. Garth Craigen clearly wrote that I confirmed that I was biting someone's ankles. Mr. Logue clearly allowed MJ Rose to mischaracterize the my demand for video as a false confession, knowing that the video I requested had been deleted. MJ Rose did not address most of my concerns, They were contained in my supplement that was available to him before he started his investigation. It is referenced, but not obtainable via records request and appears to have been deleted. Mr Logue allowed MJ Rose to suppress the supplement. It claims that I had not interaction with employee as I stated to Garth Craigen. It also alleges that Marcus Schmick, Hunter Hyde and Timothy Stockton conspired to commit this crime and call 911 to cover it up. Conspiracy to comit a second degree assault is a class C felony. A conviction would preclude Hunter Hyde from becoming a police officer. My statement that the 911 call was intended to cover up this assault was also ignored by Garth Craigen. This tape was very valuable to all parties involved. Mr. Logue asked no questions about it when it was found to be deleted. Is it honest to say this is this investigation is objective? Mr. Logue's actions deceived the public that my complaint against Garth Craigen had no evidence. Mr. Logue cheated me out of my equal protection rights as a victim. He used an untruthful method of lying by omission. His refusal to ask any questions of the investigator and allowing him to blatantly lie to him is certain untrustworthy. A very valuable video tape is deleted and a completely biased police report hides my request for this tape. Why did Mr. Logue ask not a single question? I believe the Garth Craigen did know the tape was deleted at the time of my report.

My claim of moral turpitude is the deprivation of rights which is separate, but conjoined with the act of moral turpitude of being an accessory to assault. If Hunter Hyde, ostensibly a policeman in training, was identified as the person who broke my ribs, then this is especially egregious. In that case, he is essentially protecting a pseudo-policeman and that is certainly assisting in a crime of moral turpitude. The evidence would show that the attack was pre-planned and not a reaction to any wrongdoing on my part. I literally fully complied and had my ribs and elbow broken. Mr. Logue stated that no policies were violated when a multitude of them were, including that felonies must be investigated, biased policing is not allowed, etc. Mr. Logue claims that there was clearly no probably cause for an arrest was based on what evidence? I reported that I had fractured my elbow, I also had been unlawfully imprisoned during this attack. I was denied basic police services. These are all on my initial complaint about Garth Craigen. I was deprived of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment right to equal protection under the law. Due process as a victim is also a protected right and a false confession that I was biting someone's ankles is not lawful, it is also perjury when written on a police report. It is also police policy to include exculpatory statements in police reports. Officers in other cases have obtained the surveillance video from the same location in order to prosecute assaults. I reported a felony level crime with permanent injuries with video evidence that this was a pre-planned attack and cover up, but I was denied due to having a developmental disability. My victim report was essentially not taken due to bias. Contrary to Mr. Logue's assessment, there was probably cause to search the video. Why would he make such an assessment? Would that be "bad for business" as Garth Craigen stated?

I will also add the general provisions of the Code of Ethics as it is difficult for me to classify which code in the manual applies to using one's office to enrich oneself falls. Mr. Logue's use of his title to secure lucrative contracts in other cities is certainly unethical and certainly violates the public trust. It certainly seems like He has solicited and secured contract for at least one other city and is perhaps too busy working for a different city to perform his duties in Spokane.

Mr. Logue is also in violation of using his position for personal gain which applies to the following policies. He provably advertises his Ombudsman title during his presentations. This is a textbook violation.

## Section 01.04B.010 Purpose

- A. It is the policy of the City of Spokane to demand the highest standard of ethical conduct from all of its employees and City officers, whether elected, appointed or hired. All are required to demonstrate honesty, integrity, responsibility and fairness in carrying out their public duties and may never use public resources or their position for personal gain. The Ethics Commission functions to ensure that this policy, as codified in this Chapter, is enforced and that the standards set forth herein are reinforced through training and other initiatives.
- B. It is the intent of the City Council that this chapter be reasonably construed to accomplish its purpose of protecting the public against decisions or conduct that are affected by undue influence, conflicts of interest or any other violation of this Code of Ethics. This Code of Ethics is supplemental to state law, including, but not limited to, chapter 42.20 RCW Misconduct of Public Officers, chapter 42.23 RCW Code of Ethics for Municipal Officers Contract Interests, and chapter 42.36 RCW Appearance of Fairness Doctrine.

Various elements apply, but I believe using the title of Ombudsman to secure an approximately \$100,000 contract with the Village of Oak Park, Illinois. The contract is apparently over 6 months. This would be virtually impossible to not conflict with his duties to the citizen's of Spokane. It is unlikely that he would obtain such a contract if it weren't for him using his position and official title. It is my belief that he has failed to remain independent of the police department because he is expanding his business into rewriting the use of force manual, likely so he can attempt to profit off it. I believe he is doing so with Sean Wheeler and therefore cannot proceed with my legitimate complaint against him because that would harm his business.

The refusal to correct my police report and the suppression and/or deletion of the supplement to my police report has willfully been ignored against policy by Mr. Logue. He is refusing to perform his duties of basic oversight, which is a crime. This certainly fails the test of Spokane's definitions of dishonesty and moral turpitude.

Summary of my Complaint against MJ Rose. Mr. Logue will not follow proper procedures to process my complaint. Mr. Logue has been in possession of a detailed complaint backed by evidence that the internal affairs investigator blatantly made a false statement of material fact. This is a brief

synopsis. Recall that I was intentionally denied the normal route of appeal, because Mr. Logue allowed himself to be lied to.

### 340.3.5 PERFORMANCE The following actions are misconduct:

B. Concealing or attempting to conceal evidence of misconduct

Garth Craigen very clearly wrote an extremely biased police report, that was supposed to be my report. It contained the statement that I confirmed to biting someone's ankles. Perjury on a police report is clear misconduct

H. The falsification of any work-related records, the making of misleading entries or statements with the intent to deceive, or the willful and unauthorized destruction and/ or mutilation of any department record, book, paper or document.

MJ Rose wrote that I did state "please document that I was biting his ankles," but intentionally omitted that this was the second time Garth Craigen said this and I was very cleary refuting this and demanded the video. It was also in response to the claim that I had assaulted Timothy Stockton. The video Garth Craigen allegedly did not obtain and was blank.

He also suppressed and/or deleted the supplement to my police report.

P. Failure to disclose material facts or the making of any false or misleading statement on any application, examination form or other official document, report, form, or during the course of any work-related investigation.

MJ Rose failed to disclose that I was demanding the video and that it would show the truth.

X. Violating any felony statute or any misdemeanor statute where such violation may materially affect the employee's ability to perform official duties or may be indicative of unfitness for his/her position.

The omission of my request for the video in misrepresentation of a material fact and that is a misdemeanor. The lack of credibility affects an officer's ability to perform official duties.

Z. False or misleading statements to a supervisor or other person in a position of authority in connection with any investigation or employment-related matter.

MJ Rose made the false statements to his supervisor and the Ombudsman.

Summary of Compliant against Sean Wheeler and David Singley
40.3.9 SUPERVISION RESPONSIBILITY(A) Action of personnel must comply with all laws.

It is unlawful for statements to made to a public official that contain omissions such that the statement is misleading. It is also the case that constitutional rights must be upheld.

Policy 612.4 INVESTIGATING BRADY ISSUES was also violated. Upon finding MJ Rose has demonstrated issues of credibility, dishonesty, or has been engaged in an act of moral turpitude or criminal conduct, they failed to disclose this to the county prosecutor as required by policy and law.

In conclusion, Mr. Logue has willfully allowed police misconduct to go unchecked. In the news he always claims he has now power, but he won't even file legitimate complaints and willfully allows himself to be lied to when there is evidence. He should have enforced policy and ensured that my report was corrected. What was his motive for not doing that? If I am allowed to speculate, it may be that his position has been compromised by the knowledge the police have of his own prior misconduct.

## **Summary of Complaint**

## A. Suppression of Exculpatory Evidence

The Ombudsman is in possession of my deleted report supplement and my detailed complaint disproving the false ankle-biting claim. Despite this, he has refused to process or correct the record, thereby perpetuating false and damaging information. I was deprived my victim status and equal protection of the law.

#### **B.** Conflict of Interest in Review Process

The Ethics Committee's review of my complaint was written and overseen by the Deputy City Attorney, creating a conflict of interest. This undermines the fairness and independence of the committee's evaluation.

#### C. DOJ Intervention Warranted

Having exhausted local remedies without resolution, and given the Ombudsman's refusal to act, I may be forced to seek federal review under the Department of Justice, particularly given the constitutional implications of this misconduct and violation of ADA Title II requirements.

### D. Conspiracy to Deprive Rights

The coordinated refusal to address falsified reports and the deliberate suppression of exculpatory evidence constitutes a conspiracy to deprive me of my rights, in violation of federal civil rights protections.

## E. Misrepresentation of My Claim

The City Attorney has misrepresented my claim before the Ethics Committee, further obstructing accountability. This not only harms me personally but corrodes the integrity of the oversight process itself. This may be unintentional, so I have done my best to clarify my claim. Specifically Mr. Logue is accused of a felony crime involving moral trupitude.

## F. Ombudsman's Lack of Integrity and Outside Interests

The Ombudsman's integrity is compromised by his likely record of police misconduct, which he has failed to disclose. This lack of transparency compromises his independence and impartiality,

particularly given that SPD likely has knowledge of this record. Moreover, he leverages his official title to solicit outside contracts with other cities, violating RCW 42.20 (Misconduct of Public Officers), RCW 42.23 (Code of Ethics for Municipal Officers – Contract Interests), and RCW 42.36 (Appearance of Fairness Doctrine). His only real institutional power lies in the fact that Internal Affairs cannot lie to him, yet he allows misconduct to be hidden and protected under his watch. This is fully intentional and a violation of the public trust, apparently to create and protect his lucrative business model.

## **Findings & Demands**

Based on the material facts presented above, I demand the following:

- 1. **Correction of Police Records** My police report must be corrected to include the deleted supplement and to remove the false and defamatory ankle-biting allegation. The current state of the record constitutes suppression of exculpatory evidence and an ongoing violation of my rights. In compliance with Lexipol policy, I request that corrected report be forward to the prosecutor's office and investigated at the felony level. My demand for the video which was deleted is strong evidence proving my case. I also have a witness who was not interviewed.
- **2. Processing of My Legitimate Complaints.** As my complaint against MJ Rose is legitimate and documented, I request it be processed. My complaints against David Singley and Sean Wheeler should also be processed and is policy. I recognize that Mr. Logue is the one responsible for the deceit, however, as that is why he refuses to process them. It is quite obvious as I was denied what would be an obvious appeal and is part of the established procedure.
- 3. **Independent Review** An independent review, not overseen by the City Attorney or staff with conflicts of interest, must be conducted into the Ombudsman's handling of my complaint, including his refusal to process it. The could very well be done by an Ethics Committee hearing.
- 4. **Disclosure of Ombudsman's Record** Full disclosure of the Ombudsman's disciplinary history, including any accusations of his own police misconduct whether they were sustained or not. It appears that they were, but punishments in the military do not follow accepted civilian rules. It is possible that criminal offenses are not reported to civilian courts and the punishment is extra duty or something referred to as "office hours." The public certainly has an interest in this. His lack of transparency undermines confidence in oversight and creates an appearance of impropriety.
- 5. **Cessation of Outside Contracting** The Ombudsman must cease using his official title to solicit or secure contracts with other municipalities. This practice violates Washington State ethics laws and creates a direct conflict of interest with his sworn duties to Spokane residents. A contract worth \$100,000 over 6 months is essentially a full time job at a different city, while he is neglectful of his job in Spokane that he is also being paid for.
- 6. **Remedy for ADA Violations** Because it is believed that I have a protected disability under the ADA, and because my complaint has been suppressed in part due to bigotry and bias against that disability, corrective measures must be taken to bring the Ombudsman's office into compliance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

7. **Referral to the DOJ if Not Corrected** – If these issues are not corrected promptly at the local level, I will have no choice but to escalate this matter to the United States Department of Justice for review and enforcement.

Biased policing should not be tolerated, when legitimate complaints with evidence are submitted, they should be processed honestly. Bart Logue does not and will not adhere to these basic principles that any citizen would expect of the Ombudsman. I suggest the public be able to review the deceptive practices of Bart Logue and determine if they have received the independent police oversight they voted for and pay for in this city. What has Bart Logue even done for the city of Spokane you might ask? Basically his big success is changing the language of the use of force manual from "exceptional techniques" that was carried over from before Lexipol was adopted, to "improvised methods" as is the official terminology of Lexipol. They have identical meanings in the the context, yet he has essential always cleared officers claiming the language is vague. The terms are defined essentially identically. This is the type of dishonesty Mr. Logue brings to nearly every case. It was a meaningless and uncontroversial change he now takes credit for. It is simply another illustration of his duplicitous and dishonest nature. If you examine anything he does with any level of scrutiny, you will see nothing but dishonest methods to clear blatant police misconduct based on omissions and blatant misrepresentations. Bart Logue demonstrably covers up police misconduct in all cases upon critical review and that is specifically demonstrated in my case, as he refuses to even process my complaint. Spokane deserves and requires honest and independent police oversight. If not now, when? Maybe there is time between trips to the bank to cash \$100,000 checks from different cities?