

# CITY OF SPOKANE ETHICS COMMISSION

## ETHICS COMPLAINT FORM

Please review the City of Spokane's Code of Ethics – Chapter 1.04A SMC – before completing this complaint form. When you have completed this form, submit it to:

City of Spokane Ethics Commission  
Attention: **Mike Piccolo**  
Office of the City Attorney  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor Municipal Building  
W. 808 Spokane Falls Blvd.  
Spokane, WA 99201

or at: [cityattorneyinfo@spokanecity.org](mailto:cityattorneyinfo@spokanecity.org)

**\*\*Please be advised that the completed complaint form is a public record pursuant to the Washington State Public Records Act, Chapter 42.56 RCW and will be filed with the City Clerk's Office, posted on the Ethics Commission's website and provided to the person who is the subject of the complaint as well as any other individual making request for a copy of the complaint. The Ethics Commission's review of the complaint will occur in a meeting open to the public. \*\***

Pursuant to the City of Spokane's Code of Ethics, I am filing a complaint regarding conduct which I believe constitutes a violation of the City's Code of Ethics.

**Name, position, and department of person(s) I believe to have violated the Code of Ethics:**

Name: **Betsy Wilkerson**

Position/Title: **Spokane City Council Member**

**Nature of Code of Ethics violation:**

What specific provision of SMC 1.04A.030 do you believe has been violated?

**Section 01.04A.010 Purpose, Section 01.04A.030 Prohibited Conduct**

**Additional subsections as detailed in the attached.**

Describe in as much detail as possible the alleged Code of Ethics violation conduct. Attach additional sheets of paper, if necessary. Please include all documentation you believe demonstrates a violation. Your description should include the date, location and frequency of the alleged violation.

**Please refer to documentation as detailed in the attached.**

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Names and positions of the persons who may have witnessed the event:**

**Breean Beggs, City Council President; Zack Zappone, Council Member**

**Mark Carlos, Legislative Assistant; Others as detailed in the attached.**

---

---

---

**Evidence or documentation**

Please list any evidence or documentation that would support your allegation of a Code of Ethics violation. Indicate whether you can personally provide that information.

**Please refer to documentation as detailed in the attached.**

---

---

---

---

## Complainant Declaration

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable reflection, the information in the complaint is true and correct.



Complainant's Signature

**May 31, 2023**

Date

Date and Place (e.g. City, State)

**Redistricting process 2022, Spokane, WA**

Name (please print): **Neil Muller**

Address: **935 E 19th Ave, Spokane, WA 99203**

Phone Number(s): **509 220 9736**

E-Mail Address: **neilallenmuller@gmail.com**

May 31, 2023

Members of the Spokane, WA Ethics Commission,

I am a citizen of the City of Spokane and have resided here for 25 years. I live on the lower South Hill with my wife and children.

Last Summer and Fall, I became interested in the Spokane City Council redistricting process. What caught my attention was news reporting that Spokane City Council Member Zack Zappone was involving himself directly in the Spokane City Council district map drawing process.

At that time, I was concerned, but would not become fully disturbed by **Spokane City Council Member Betsy Wilkerson** until her activities were made public by Court-ordered subpoena.

As reported in The Spokesman-Review on April 6, 2023:

“In subpoenaed texts and messages made public through the court proceedings, Zappone appeared to have been aware of the partisan implications of his map, working with his legislative assistant and political allies to craft the messaging and drum up support for his redrawing of council districts.”

**Betsy Wilkerson** was one of the allies.

Many Spokane citizens were frustrated that **Wilkerson** sponsored legislation to replace the People’s chosen map for “Zack’s Map.” We now believe that **Council Member Wilkerson’s** publicly-known actions during the redistricting process constitute the definition of unethical behavior. And, in fairness to Wilkerson, she deserves the opportunity to address these concerns.

I turn to you to help me, our fellow citizens and especially **Council Member Betsy Wilkerson** find closure on this important matter that goes to the heart of our society, the democratic fair election process, and the high ethical standards that we expect and deserve from our elected officials.

Thank you for volunteering your time and talents to this and all of the other matters that you address.

Gratefully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Neil Muller", is written over a horizontal line.

Neil Muller

### **Jurisdiction: City Official Status of the Respondent**

Betsy Wilkerson is a current member of the Spokane City Council, serving a term from 2022 to 2025. According to the City of Spokane's website, her official email is: [bwilkerson@spokanecity.org](mailto:bwilkerson@spokanecity.org)

### **Spokane Code of Ethics – SMC Section 01.04A.010 Purpose**

- A. It is the policy of the City of Spokane to uphold, promote and demand the highest standards of ethics from all of its employees and City officers, whether elected, appointed or hired. City officers and employees shall maintain the utmost standards of responsibility, trustworthiness, integrity, truthfulness, honesty and fairness in carrying out their public duties, avoid any improprieties in their roles as public servants including the appearance of impropriety, and never use their City position, authority or resources for personal gain.
- B. It is the intent of the City Council that this chapter be reasonably construed to accomplish its purpose of protecting the public against decisions that are affected by undue influence, conflicts of interest or any other violation of this Code of Ethics. This Code of Ethics is supplemental to state law, including, but not limited to, chapter 42.20 RCW – Misconduct of Public Officers, chapter 42.23 RCW – Code of Ethics for Municipal Officers – Contract Interests, and chapter 42.36 RCW – Appearance of Fairness Doctrine.

### **Spokane Code of Ethics – SMC Section 01.04A.030 Prohibited Conduct**

The following shall constitute a violation of this Code of Ethics:

- A. General Prohibition Against Conflicts of Interest.  
In order to avoid becoming involved or implicated in a conflict of interest or impropriety, or an appearance of conflict of interest or impropriety, no current City officer or employee shall have an interest, financial or otherwise, direct or indirect, or engage in a business or transaction or professional activity, or incur an obligation of any nature, that might be seen as conflicting with the City officer or employee's proper discharge of his or her official duties, the conduct of official City business or as adverse to the interests of the City. Performance of a legally required duty by a City officer or employee shall not be considered a violation of the Code of Ethics.
  - 1. Any employee who becomes aware that he or she might have a potential conflict of interest that arises in the course of his or her official duties shall notify in writing his or her supervisor or appointing authority of the potential conflict.
  - 2. Upon receipt of such a notification, the supervisor or appointing authority shall take action to resolve the potential conflict of interest within a reasonable time, which may include, but is not limited to, designating an alternative employee to perform the duty that is involved in the potential conflict. The supervisor or appointing authority shall document the disposition of the potential conflict in writing in files maintained by the appointing authority. The supervisor or appointing authority may request an advisory opinion from the Ethics Commission before addressing and resolving of the potential conflict.

## Overview of Complaint

Council Member Betsy Wilkerson is believed to have engaged in activities during the City of Spokane 2022 redistricting process that violate the Spokane Code of Ethics purpose, policies and intents as cited above and those additional sections to be detailed in this complaint.

Specifically, and to quote the Spokane Ethics code, Wilkerson appears to have acted in a manner that is irresponsible, untrustworthy, without integrity, untruthful, dishonest, unfair, improper, and through her City position, used her authority and City resources for personal gain.

Furthermore, Wilkerson appears to have failed to protect the public as she used undue influence, created, perpetuated and failed to properly report and seek advisory guidance on conflicts of interest.

Wilkerson not only engages directly in using her positions on City Council to forward her political/electoral agenda and those of her political donors, **she has failed to provide proper supervisory guidance to her legislative aide.**

**“This is not a casual convening of friends gathered to watch the Mariners that happen to talk a little politics.”**

Page 4

## Likely Ethical Violations by Wilkerson Revealed in Court Proceedings

While members of the 2022 Spokane Redistricting Board and the general public expressed concerns regarding Wilkerson’s activities during the 2022 Spokane Redistricting process, clear evidence of Wilkerson’s unethical behavior would not come to light until 2023 legal proceedings (Spokane Redistricting and Michael Brown, et al) in Spokane County Superior Court (“the Court”).

Attorney Mark Lamb submitted a declaration (hereafter referred to as “Lamb Decl.”) to the Court on April 3, 2023 (see attachment) that reveals communications by Betsy Wilkerson, her staff, fellow Spokane City Council Members, political donors and consultants, and others specific to the 2022 City of Spokane Redistricting process.

The Lamb declaration document contains the bulk of evidence presented for consideration by the Spokane Ethics Commission. The portions of Attorney Lamb’s declaration involving Betsy Wilkerson and her Aide are attached to this complaint and referenced below.

<p><b>SMC 01.04A.010 A</b> responsibility, integrity, trustworthiness, fairness, undue influence, conflicts of interest and appearance thereof</p> <p>- continues -</p>	<p><b><u>Lamb Decl. Pages 5-6.</u></b> October 23, 2022 Emails from Mary Winkes and Brian Parker entitled, “Neighborhood Councils and reapportionment” Cc’d are Jim Dawson, Breean Beggs, Zack Zappone, and Betsy Wilkerson.</p> <p><b>Although City business was discussed, PRIVATE EMAILS were used for Council Members Beggs, Zappone, and Wilkerson.</b></p> <p>- continues -</p>
---	---

<p><b>SMC 01.04A.010 A</b> responsibility, integrity, trustworthiness, fairness, undue influence, conflicts of interest, impropriety and appearance thereof</p> <p><b>SMC 01.04A.030</b> A. Prohibited Conduct General Prohibition Against Conflicts of Interest. G. Personal Interest in Legislation Prohibited. K. Fair and Equitable Treatment.</p> <p><b>RCW 42.23.070</b> <b>Prohibited Acts</b> (1) No municipal officer may use his or her position to secure special privileges or exemptions for himself, herself, or others.</p>	<p>----- Original message ----- From: Brian Parker &lt;<a href="mailto:bparker.work@gmail.com">bparker.work@gmail.com</a>&gt; Date: 10/23/22 9:30 PM (GMT-08:00) To: Brian Parker &lt;<a href="mailto:bparker.work@gmail.com">bparker.work@gmail.com</a>&gt; Cc: Jim Dawson &lt;<a href="mailto:jim@fusewashington.org">jim@fusewashington.org</a>&gt;, Breean Beggs &lt;<a href="mailto:breeanlbeggs@gmail.com">breeanlbeggs@gmail.com</a>&gt;, Zack Zappone &lt;<a href="mailto:zzappone@gmail.com">zzappone@gmail.com</a>&gt;, Betsy Wilkerson &lt;<a href="mailto:blwilkers@aol.com">blwilkers@aol.com</a>&gt;, Mary Winkes &lt;<a href="mailto:mmcspo@yahoo.com">mmcspo@yahoo.com</a>&gt; Subject: Neighborhood Councils and reapportionment</p> <p>----- Forwarded message ----- From: <b>mmcspo</b> &lt;<a href="mailto:mmcspo@yahoo.com">mmcspo@yahoo.com</a>&gt; Date: Sun, Oct 23, 2022, 9:58 PM Subject: RE: Neighborhood Councils and reapportionment To: Brian Parker &lt;<a href="mailto:bparker.work@gmail.com">bparker.work@gmail.com</a>&gt; Cc: Jim Dawson &lt;<a href="mailto:jim@fusewashington.org">jim@fusewashington.org</a>&gt;, Breean Beggs &lt;<a href="mailto:breeanlbeggs@gmail.com">breeanlbeggs@gmail.com</a>&gt;, Zack Zappone &lt;<a href="mailto:zzappone@gmail.com">zzappone@gmail.com</a>&gt;, Betsy Wilkerson &lt;<a href="mailto:blwilkers@aol.com">blwilkers@aol.com</a>&gt;</p> <p><b>Mary Winkes</b> (who spoke at Council in favor of “Zack’s Map”) is the Interim Chair and Community Assembly Representative for the Manito/Cannon Hill Neighborhood Council and has served on the Spokane Planning Commission. According to the WA Public Disclosure Commission (PDC0) Winkes has donated \$200 to Wilkerson.</p> <p>Additionally, Mary Winkes filed a PDC complaint on Wilkerson’s 2021 campaign opponent (pdc.wa.gov case #88768), then filed a lawsuit against Wilkerson’s same opponent later that year (spokanepublicradio.org 8/18/21). Winkes has also filed PDC complaints against Spokane Council Member Michael Cathcart (pdc.wa.gov #107996) and the Monroe Street Business Association (pdc.wa.gov #25731)</p> <p><b>Brian Parker</b> is reported in The Spokesman-Review (4/6/23) to be affiliated with political action committee FUSE Votes (see next paragraph on FUSE Votes). According to the PDC Brian Parker has donated \$750 to Wilkerson.</p> <p><b>Jim Dawson</b> (who spoke at Council in favor of “Zack’s Map”) has donated \$300 to Wilkerson. Dawson is a political operative for “FUSE Votes” a political action committee that according to the PDC has spent \$320,000 on campaigns since 2020.</p> <p>I have included the above donation and political activities of Winkes, Parker and Dawson, not because there is any problem with their political activity, but because they for some reason are able to partake in late-evening, private emails to Spokane City Council Members regarding in this case neighborhood council vote counting and redistricting strategies.</p> <p><b>This is not a casual convening of friends gathered to watch the Mariners that happen to talk a little politics. No. This is a strategic communication from political activists and campaign donors to three members (one more would constitute a quorum) of the City Council. Again, I stress, this occurred through the personal emails of the City Council members.</b></p> <p>- continues -</p>
--	--

The below is an excerpt from Parker's email. He covers redistricting strategy, neighborhood council vote counting, and attributes malicious motives to a neighborhood council Chair ... "the fix is in." Please see the Lamb declaration (pages 5-6) for full context.

Heads up, this based on calls this evening:

1>>>>Annie Iishi who did the West Hills on line reapportionment petition will not be at the meeting or attend virtually. She has Long Covid and is dealing with it by bowing out of community participation for a while. She's for Alternative 2. So she had me call William Hagee, West Hills Community Council Chair 206-818-3636 who will attend but doesn't have a vote of the Community Council on which Alternative to support. He's personally for Alt 4. He and Annie Iishi think the fix is in from the Chair of the East Central Council BTW see below.

The content and context of the above emails beg the following questions:

- With several opportunities to be involved in the official and public process of Spokane redistricting (Council hearings, Redistricting Board public requests for in-person, official emails, public polling, even phone calls to Council members), are these private emails from donors all the more unethical?
- Why do these major donors feel the permission to conduct late-evening strategy meetings with Council Members by private email? **Should Council Members have informed the donors that these emails were inappropriate and to stop? If they did so, can they produce evidence of such?**
- Does Council Member participation in these emails constitute **unethical special privileges and exemptions from sunshine laws as the private emails were intended to be "off the record" and concealed from the public?**

There can be no mistake that the targets of these emails were critical to the redistricting process. Council President Breean Beggs served as an Advisory Member on the Redistricting Board, as did Council Member Zack Zappone (who through much public scrutiny and controversy submitted his own redistricting map).

**And, Betsy Wilkerson sponsored the amendment that would "swap" the official Redistricting Board's publicly-preferred map for "Zack's Map."**

Pursuant to SMC Section 01.04A.030 A 1,

"Any employee who becomes aware that he or she might have a potential conflict of interest that arises in the course of his or her official duties shall notify in writing his or her supervisor or appointing authority of the potential conflict."

**If Wilkerson fulfilled her duty to report this clear conflict of interest (the redistricting private strategy emails), she should be able to produce that communication.** This would of course require Wilkerson to admit that the emails were a part of her "official duties."

- end section -



**INQUIRY TO THE ETHICS COMMISSION**

**Supervisor Duties.** Please see the recent ethics complaint regarding Betsy Wilkerson's Legislative Assistant Mark Carlos.

The Spokane Ethics Commission should be aware that City Council Members hire their own Legislative Assistants on an at-will basis with complete hiring/firing authority. As such, the Council Member is the Supervisor of the Legislative Assistant. As per the Spokane Ethics Code, Council Members' supervisory duties include:

Section 01.04A.030 Prohibited Conduct reads,

"A. 2. Upon receipt of such a notification, the supervisor or appointing authority shall take action to resolve the potential conflict of interest within a reasonable time, which may include, but is not limited to, designating an alternative employee to perform the duty that is involved in the potential conflict. The supervisor or appointing authority shall document the disposition of the potential conflict in writing in files maintained by the appointing authority. The supervisor or appointing authority may request an advisory opinion from the Ethics Commission before addressing and resolving of the potential conflict."

"M. Aiding others prohibited.

No City officer or employee may knowingly aid or assist any City officer or employee in the violation of any provision of this Code of Ethics."

Section 01.04A.120 Training reads,

"B. Every appointing authority shall give a copy or electronic version of the handbook and any updates to each employee annually and shall provide annual training to employees regarding the Code of Ethics. Each City employee or official shall read and agree in writing to the City of Spokane Code of Ethics."

Just as Betsy Wilkerson is responsible for reporting a possible conflict of interest to a supervisor, she also must take responsibility for ensuring that her Legislative Assistant, Mark Carlos, is reporting such to her. She is also required to document remedies in writing and maintain records of the same. In the case that Wilkerson does not know how to handle a situation, the Ethics Commission is available to her for guidance. The responsibility to present concerns is hers.

**Ultimately, Wilkerson, as all those as supervisors with the City are responsible for actively monitoring the performance and expectations of those under their supervision, especially concerning our Code of Ethics.**

- continues -

	<p>Section 01.04A.110 Complaint Process of the Ethics Commission, reads,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“B. Any person may file an official written complaint <b>or inquiry</b> with the Ethics Commission <b>asking whether a current City officer or employee has failed to comply with this Code of Ethics.</b>”</p> <p>I am asking that the Ethics Commission whether Council Member Wilkerson has complied with her duties as described in the above sections of our Ethics Code pertaining to her duties as a City supervisor of her Legislative Assistant Mark Carlos.</p> <p>I am asking that the Commission inquire, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has Wilkerson provided Carlos with the ethics handbook and received the required written agreement that he follow the Spokane Code of Ethics? Will she provide a copy of such?</li> <li>• Has Wilkerson provided Carlos with annual ethics training? Is this documented? What was Wilkerson’s ethics curriculum? Will she provide a copy of such?</li> <li>• Is Wilkerson aware of any instances of unethical or perceived unethical acts or behaviors by Carlos?</li> <li>• If the above is the case, will Wilkerson provide copies of the required written documentation of her disposition of the matter(s)?</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;">- end section -</p>
--	---

### In Summary & Conclusion

Again, I thank you for your volunteer service to our City. This is the last way that I wanted to spend my time or yours. However, Betsy Wilkerson’s conduct during the 2022 Spokane Redistricting Board process requires a full review for the benefit of our community, including Council Member Wilkerson.

The following summarizes the likely violations of Ethics Code sections and subsections that are described in this complaint.

<b>Section 01.04A.010 Purpose</b>	To be applied throughout this complaint.
<b>Section 01.04A.030 Prohibited Conduct</b>	
A. General Prohibition Against Conflicts of Interest	To be applied throughout this complaint.
1. Duty to Notify Supervisor	To be applied throughout this complaint - documentation should be provided.
2. Supervisor Duty	INQUIRY: To be applied throughout this complaint - documentation should be provided.

- continues -

G. Personal Interest in Legislation Prohibited	Wilkerson's receipt of private emails involving political strategy about the redistricting legislative process are of personal interest, as they were not conducted through an official email process. Wilkerson should have requested that any communications involving public policy should be addressed to her public email.
K. Fair and Equitable Treatment.	
1. Personal benefit, special privilege, exemptions	Personal benefit, see above G.
3. Equipment for personal	Wilkerson either used public equipment for political purposes, or she used private equipment for public purposes.
5. Political on own time	Wilkerson has failed to differentiate to her donors through emails that she cannot discuss public policy strategy on private email. If she did notify them, she should provide a copy of such.
M. Aiding others prohibited	Wilkerson has likely failed to provide proper supervisory duties for her Legislative Assistant.

I am greatly concerned that certain City Council Members and Staff are behaving more like a political campaign than public servants. Political agendas should be checked at the doors of City service.

The opportunity and teachable moments to recommit City Council staff to the letter and spirit of civil service is provided through this complaint process. I am available to further assist if you should request so.

HONORABLE TONY HAZEL

Hearing Date: April 7, 2023

Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
IN THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE

IN RE: SPOKANE REDISTRICTING and  
MICHAEL BROWN, REBECCA COOK,  
COLIN COOK and MARK HOWARD, all  
registered voters in the City of Spokane,  
Petitioners,

NO. 22-2-04123-32

DECLARATION OF MARK C. LAMB  
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS'  
MOTION TO GRANT PETITION FOR  
SPOKANE REDISTRICTING

I, Mark C. Lamb, declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Washington, that the following is true and correct.

1. I am over the age of 18, make this declaration based upon my personal knowledge, and am competent to testify on the matter stated herein.

2. I am one of the attorneys for Petitioners Michael Brown, Rebecca Cook, Colin Cook and Mark Howard herein.

3. Attached hereto as *Exhibit 1* is a true and correct copy of Z. Zappone's handwritten notes.

4. Attached hereto as *Exhibit 2* is a true and correct copy of email communications from October 2022.

5. Attached hereto as *Exhibit 3* is a true and correct copy of email communications from September 2022.

DECLARATION OF MARK LAMB IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITIONERS' MOTION TO GRANT PETITION FOR  
SPOKANE REDISTRICTING – 1

CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S.  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600  
Seattle, WA 98104-7010  
(206) 622-8020

1           6.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 4* is a true and correct copy of meeting minutes and  
2 agenda.

3           7.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 5* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
4 with Jeff Gunn.

5           8.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 6* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
6 with Josh Walters.

7           9.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 7* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
8 with Breean Beggs.  
9

10          10.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 8* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
11 with Betsy Wilkerson.

12          11.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 9* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
13 with Brian Parker.

14          12.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 10* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
15 with Daniel Walters.  
16

17          13.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 11* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
18 with Paul Jim.

19          14.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 12* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
20 with Macklin Scheldrup.

21          15.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 13* is a true and correct copy of text communication  
22 with Ryan Oelrich.  
23

24          16.       Attached hereto as *Exhibit 14* is a true and correct copy of miscellaneous text  
25 communications.  
26

1 DATED this 3rd day of April, 2023.

2 CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S.

3 By 

4 Mark C. Lamb, WSBA No. 30134

5 Kenneth W. Hart, WSBA #15511

6 Attorneys for Petitioners

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I am an employee at Carney Badley Spellman, P.S., over the age of 18 years, not a party to nor interested in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness herein. On the date stated below, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document on the below-listed attorney(s) of record by the method(s) noted:

☒ Email and first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

**Attorneys for Non-parties Zack Zappone and Breean Beggs**

Christopher J. Kerley, WSBA #16489

Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S.

818 W. Riverside Ave., Ste. 250

Spokane, WA 99201

[ckerley@ecl-law.com](mailto:ckerley@ecl-law.com)

509-455-3632

DATED this 3rd day of April, 2023.



---

Andrea H. Anthony, Legal Assistant

## **EXHIBIT 2**



## Zappone, Zack

---

**From:** Zack Zappone <zzappone@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 3, 2023 2:28 PM  
**To:** Zappone, Zack  
**Subject:** Fwd: Neighborhood Councils and reapportionment

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** mmcsपो <mmcsपो@yahoo.com>  
**Date:** Sun, Oct 23, 2022, 9:58 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Neighborhood Councils and reapportionment  
**To:** Brian Parker <bparker.work@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** Jim Dawson <jim@fusewashington.org>, Breean Beggs <breeanlbeggs@gmail.com>, Zack Zappone <zzappone@gmail.com>, Betsy Wilkerson <blwilkers@aol.com>

They got a lot of this info from me. I have been working on this all week long. Will call you in the a.m.

Mary

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

**From:** Brian Parker <bparker.work@gmail.com>  
**Date:** 10/23/22 9:30 PM (GMT-08:00)  
**To:** Brian Parker <bparker.work@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** Jim Dawson <jim@fusewashington.org>, Breean Beggs <breeanlbeggs@gmail.com>, Zack Zappone <zzappone@gmail.com>, Betsy Wilkerson <blwilkers@aol.com>, Mary Winkes <mmcsपो@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** Neighborhood Councils and reapportionment

Heads up, this based on calls this evening:

1>>>>Annie lishi who did the West Hills on line reapportionment petition will not be at the meeting or attend virtually. She has Long Covid and is dealing with it by bowing out of community participation for a while. She's for Alternative 2. So she had me call William Hagee, West Hills Community Council Chair 206-818-3636 who will attend but doesn't have a vote of the Community Council on which Alternative to support. He's personally for Alt 4. He and Annie lishi think the fix is in from the Chair of the East Central Council BTW see below.

2>>>>Was told by West Hills Community Council folks that the chair of the East Central Neighborhood Council, Randy McGlenn II has recently moved out of the Council area. He met with other Community Council folks recently and didn't tell them he had moved away. The VR database shows he reregistered on 10/4/22 in the 3rd and is getting ready to run for Council. His new address is 6208 N Assembly St, Spokane, WA 99205. He is in cahoots to have Larry Stone replace him as Council Chair. Stone owns property in the Community Council area and they have amended their by-laws to let property owners be Officers so he would qualify that way. Does not live in the Council area. Might want to clarify with Randy where he lives if he testifies. Seems pretty weird.

BTW looked Randy up on LinkedIn and he was the Chair of the State Libertarian Party from Feb 2016 - Jul 2020 · 4 yrs 6 mos. Oh and he has a degree from ITT Tech and just recently enrolled in community college. Please no...

Chairman Libertarian Party of Washington State

Brian Parker

510-847-5494

[bparker.work@gmail.com](mailto:bparker.work@gmail.com)