## RECEIVED

JAN 1 9 2016

## OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

3

1

2

4

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29 30

MOTION TO DISMISS- page 1

## CITY OF SPOKANE ETHICS COMMISSION

## TERESA JUNEAU-SIMON,

Complainant,

VS.

DAVID CONDON, MAYOR,

Respondent

RESPONDENT MAYOR DAVID CONDON'S MOTION TO DISMISS ETHICS COMPLAINT OF TERESA SERVEAN-SIMON

COMES NOW respondent, DAVID CONDON, MAYOR OF SPOKANE CITY, by and through his undersigned attorneys, and moves to dismiss the Amended Ethics Complaint filed before the City of Spokane Ethics Commission on or about December 30, 2015, by Teresa A. Servean-Simon.

The Complaint should be dismissed on jurisdictional grounds for the following reasons:

1. The Complainant fails to identify the specific provision of 1.04A.030 that she believes has been violated "guessing" that subsection (N) of 1.04A.030 applies. That section prohibits moral turpitude and/or dishonesty. However, nothing in the description of the alleged conduct describes an act of moral turpitude and/or a dishonest statement by Respondent.

Instead, the Complaint refers nebulously and ambiguously to an alleged dispute or

Evans, Eraven & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

misunderstanding about a cancellation of travel reservations, whether or not minutes would be kept of certain meetings, whether City employees were or were not permitted to email complaints to the Mayor's office and, finally, to an alleged loss of an employment position at the City by another individual, not a complainant, at some unspecified time and place.

The Complaint as presented is in violation of SMC §01.04A.110(c) because it fails to describes facts that constitute a violation of the Code of Ethics in sufficient detail so that the Commission and the person who is subject to the complaint or inquiry can reasonably expect to understand the nature of any offense that is being alleged.

The Complaint is likewise subject to dismissal under §01.04A.110 in that the alleged violations, if true, would not constitute a violation of SMC §01.04.

Accordingly, Respondent asks that the matter be dismissed pursuant to §01.04A.110(d).

DATED this day of January, 2016.

EVANS, CRAVEN & LACKIE, P.S.

By

James B. King, WSBA #8723 Attorney for Respondent David Condon

MOTION TO DISMISS- page 2

Evans, Graven & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to RCW 9A.72.085, the undersigned hereby certifies under penalty of perjury
3	under the laws of the State of Washington, that on the 17 day of January, 2010 the
4	foregoing was delivered to the following persons in the manner indicated:
5	Teresa Servean-Simon VIA REGULAR MAIL ► VIA CERTIFIED MAIL [ ]
6	Spokane, WA 99202 VIA FACSIMILE [ ]
7	HAND DELIVERED [ ] VIA EMAIL
8	, <i>x - 22</i> [ ]
9	
10	
11	
12	[-19-16 / Spokane, WA Sacotto
13	(Date/Place)
14	W) O
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	Evans, Craven & Lackie, P.S.

MOTION TO DISMISS- page 3

Enans, Crauen & Lackie, P.S. 818 W. Riverside, Suite 250 Spokane, WA 99201-0910 (509) 455-5200; fax (509) 455-3632