CITY OF SPOKANE ETHICS COMMISSION

ETHICS COMPLAINT FORM

Please review the City of Spokane's Code of Ethics – Chapter 1.04A SMC – before completing this complaint form. When you have completed this form, submit it to:

City of Spokane Ethics Commission Attention: Rebecca Riedinger Office of the City Attorney 5th Floor Municipal Building W. 808 Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane, WA 99201

or at: rriedinger@spokanecity.org

Pursuant to the City of Spokane's Code of Ethics, I am filing a complaint regarding conduct which I believe constitutes a violation of the City's Code of Ethics.

Name, position, and department of person(s) I believe to have violated of Ethics:	ted the Code
Name: Mike Fagan	4 1-14-1
Position/Title: Council Member, District 1	
Nature of Code of Ethics violation:	
What specific provision of SMC 1.04A.030 do you believe has been viola	ited?
SMC 1.04.0303(H)	- 10° 10° - 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10°

Describe in as much detail as possible the alleged Code of Ethics violation conduct. Attach additional sheets of paper, if necessary. Please include all documentation you believe demonstrates a violation. Your description should include the date, location and frequency of the alleged violation.

The Spokane City Charter and Spokane Municipal Code vest in the City Attorney the authority and duty to act as the City's legal advisor. The client of the City Attorney is the City of Spokane. When the City Attorney provides confidential written advice to the Mayor and Council, only the Mayor or Council may waive any attorney-client privilege on behalf of the City. No other person may waive the privilege without authorization from the recipient of the confidential correspondence. When written advice is given to an official government body or department, for example the City Council, only the body-not individual members- may waive that privilege.

In this instance Councilman Fagan provided the Inlander and its 60,000 reader's confidential information from the City Attorney's office to the general public. In doing so he violated the attorney-client privilege held by the Spokane City Council. There was no vote to waive this privilege and thus, no authority. This confidential information is still in the public domain.

The Spokane Code of Ethics prohibits disclosure of confidential or privileged information gained by reason of a public official's position. SMC 01.04.0303(H) mirrors RCW 42.23.070 ("No municipal officer may disclose confidential information gained by reason of the officer's position, nor may the officer otherwise use such information for his or her personal gain or benefit"). Disclosure of privileged or confidential information gained through official channels constitutes "official misconduct" under both State and Spokane Municipal law.

Names and positions of the persons who may have witnessed the event:

Spokane City Council President Stuckart and Councilmembers Allen, Waldref, Stratton, and Mumm.

Evidence or documentation

Please list any evidence or documentation that would support your allegation of a Code of Ethics violation. Indicate whether you can personally provide that information.

July 2015 Confidential Memorandum from Mike Piccolo regarding citizen initiative regarding immigration status information; Inlander article by Jake Thomas entitled, "Center for Justice will challenge immigration initiative in court," (July 15, 2015).

Complainant Declaration

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of th	ne State of Washington that to the best
of my knowledge, information, and belief formed a	after reasonable reflection, the
information in the complaint is true and correct.	2 2
	ř
- Commence of the Commence of	10/1/15

Complainant's Signature Date / /

Date and Place (e.g. City, State)

10/6/15 Spokere WA

Name (please print): Jon Snyder

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd, Spokane, WA 99201

Phone Number(s): 509	-625-6254		
		127	
E-Mail Address: jsnyde	r@spokanecity.org		



July 19, 2015

Troy Bruner, Chair City of Spokane Ethics Commission

Mr. Bruner

Re: Referral of ethics violation by Councilman Mike Fagan

Dear Mr. Bruner:

I am referring what I believe to be a violation of the Code of Ethics for the City of Spokane to you for investigation and disposition.

Summary:

Councilman Mike Fagan received a confidential legal memorandum on July 10, 2015, clearly marked "Confidential Attorney/Client Communication" and communicated the contents of this article to an Inlander reporter and was subsequently distributed in an online article on July 15, 2015.

Background:

This issue came to light as I reviewed press reports in the aftermath of a very long council meeting Monday night. I then reviewed the memorandum mentioned in the Inlander article and realized that it was confidential. I also noticed that the conclusions in the memorandum are different than what was publically stated by Councilman Fagan.

In a subsequent conversation with Council President Stuckart I received word that because of the article the Clerk's office received a public records request for this memorandum. This raises serious concerns:

- The potential exists that legal will not continue to advise Council if they do not believe we will follow confidentiality rules
- Misconstruing the contents of a confidential memorandum to the public misleads them causing even more distrust when they will never be able to read the memorandum
- We may have potential litigation from multiple parties against the City on this matter and Councilmember Fagan's public comments reveal information on the City's internal legal analysis and that information could be used against the City in a legal proceeding

Analysis:

The Spokane City Charter and Spokane Municipal Code vest in the City Attorney the authority and duty to act as the City's legal advisor. The client of the City Attorney is the City of Spokane. When the City Attorney provides confidential written advice to the Mayor and



COUNCIL MEMBER JON SNYDER DISTRICT 2, POSITION 2 SPOKANE CITY COUNCIL 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane, WA 99201-3335

Council, only the Mayor or Council may waive any attorney-client privilege on behalf of the City. No other person may waive the privilege without authorization from the recipient of the confidential correspondence. When written advice is given to an official government body or department, for example the City Council, only the body-not individual members- may waive that privilege.

In this instance Councilman Fagan provided the Inlander and its 60,000 reader's confidential information from the City Attorney's office to the general public. In doing so he violated the attorney-client privilege held by the Spokane City Council. There was no vote to waive this privilege and thus, no authority. This confidential information is still in the public domain.

The Spokane Code of Ethics prohibits disclosure of confidential or privileged information gained by reason of a public official's position. SMC 01.04.0303(H) mirrors RCW 42.23.070 ("No municipal officer may disclose confidential information gained by reason of the officer's position, nor may the officer otherwise use such information for his or her personal gain or benefit"). Disclosure of privileged or confidential information gained through official channels constitutes "official misconduct" under both State and Spokane Municipal law.

Conclusion:

I believe due to the harm this may cause the body (City Council) and my own status a member of the harmed body, I have an obligation to bring this to your attention.

Sincerely,

Jon Snyder Councilmember District 2 AMERICA'S BEST READ URBAN WEEKLY! LEARN MORE »

Archives | RSS

THE LATEST | NEWS | ARTS & CULTURE | FOOD | MUSIC | MARIJUANA | FOR FUN

« MB: New questions on teen cyclist's ... |

Bicyclist Ryan Holyk's DNA found on ... »

Wednesday, July 15, 2015

NEWS

Center for Justice will challenge immigration initiative in court Posted By Jake Thomas on Wed, Jul 15, 2015 at 12:56 PM

The Center for Justice intends to challenge the legality of an initiative petition that, if passed, would overturn a city policy that bars police and other employees from contacting individuals solely to ascertain their immigration status.

Rick Eichstaedt, the group's executive director, tells the *Inlander* that supporters of the petition illegally placed prejudicial text on the petitions used to collect signatures.

The language added to the petition is a "legislative history" that lists members of Spokane City Council who voted for "Spokane to become a sanctuary for illegal aliens." The petition goes on to list Councilmen Mike Allen and Mike Fagan as the members of the council "who voted to prevent Spokane from becoming a sanctuary for illegal aliens, and to defend the right of taxpayers, police and other city employees to refuse finance and harbor lawless activity."

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY Ordinances C35164 and C35167 were passed by 5-2 Council votes on October 20 and October 27, 2014, respectively. Members who voted for Spokane to become a sanctuary for illegal aliens: Benjamin Stuckart (term ends 2015) Candace Mumm (Dist 3 term ends 2017) Jon Snyder (Dist 2 term ends 2017) Karen Stratton (Dist 3 term ends 2015) Amber Waldref (Dist 1 term ends 2017) Members who voted to prevent Spokane from becoming a sanctuary for illegal aliens, and to defend the right of taxpayers. police and other city employees to refuse finance and harbor of lawless activity: Mike Allen (Dist 2 term ends 2015) Mike Fagan (Dist 1 term ends 2015) Date of Mayor's signature: David Condon (term ends 2015) Ord. C35164 on November 6, 2014 Ord. C35167 on November 12, 2014 Prime Sponsor: Renjamin Stuckart

ı\$

y

ŧ-

es

Eichstaedt says that past legal challenges to initiatives have been based on their substance, citing attempts from business and government entities to prevent Envision Spokane from having its sweeping measures placed on the ballot.

(term ends 2015)

"This case is really different because we are saying they didn't follow the process," says Eichstaedt, who notes that this a "novel question" for the court to consider.

Under Spokane's initiative process, city lawyers issue an opinion on the legality of the initiative and then write for it an accompanying ballot title and brief narrative, which cannot be modified.

The lawsuit Eichstaedt is planning on filing later this week in Spokane Superior Court will argue that the added text was "biased and prejudicial" and supporters broke the law by adding it to the petitions outside of the city's initiative process.



Rick Eichstaedt

Jackie Murray, the initiative's sponsor, couldn't be reached for comment. But Councilman Mike Fagan, who has advised and supported the initiative campaign, says he doubts that Eichstaedt will prevail.

"Shame on the Center for Justice for going after something that we already have a legal opinion on, costing the taxpayers' money to defend this," says Fagan.

Fagan says that Mike Piccolo, a city attorney, has already issued an opinion stating that because supporters didn't modify the ballot title, summary or format, it was acceptable for them to add the language.

For the last 16 years, Fagan has worked as co-director of Voters Want More Choices, a political action committee that has sponsored ballot initiatives intended to lower taxes in Washington. He says that his group has often added language to its petitions that express the urgency of the issue and appealing to volunteers.

"The Center for Justice is reaching," says Fagan. "This is such a huge national issue."

On Monday, Spokane City Council voted to send the petitions to the county for validation. If the

7/17/2015 Center for Justice will challenge immigration initiative in court | Bloglander | The Pacific Northwest Inlander | News, Politics, Music, Calendar, Events in ...

Center for Justice prevails, the initiative will not appear on the November ballot.

tike Share <503

Twee

Favorite

Share

Tags: Rick Eichstaedt, Mike Fagan, Center for Justice, News, Image

RELATED STORIES



AFTER LONG HEARING, COUNCIL SENDS IMMIGRATION-PETITION SIGNATURES FOR REVIEW By Jake Thomas



ALL POLITICS IS LOCAL: MCMORRIS RODGERS SIGNS PETITION TO UNDO SPOKANE STATUS-QUESTIONING POLICY By Jake Thomas



[UPDATED] JOHN AHERN, MIKE FAGAN ANNOUNCE BIDS FOR SPOKANE CITY COUNCIL By Jake Thomas

SPEAKING OF ...



MB: CDC STUDY ON CAUSES OF DEATH IN EACH STATE, TERROR INVESTIGATION LAUNCHED INTO TENNESSEE SHOOTING
By Jake Thomas



LIVE IN IDAHO? YOU'RE MORE LIKELY THAN ANYONE BUT AN ALASKAN TO DIE IN A PLANE WRECK By Lae! Henterly



MB: SPOKANE POLICE OFFICER ARRESTED, GREEK BANKS GET A LIFT AND BALLOTS MAILED By Jake Thomas

MORE »

MORE BY JAKE THOMAS



MB: CDC STUDY ON CAUSES OF DEATH IN EACH STATE, TERROR INVESTIGATION LAUNCHED INTO TENNESSEE SHOOTING
By Jake Thomas



MB: SPOKANE POLICE OFFICER ARRESTED, GREEK BANKS GET A LIFT AND BALLOTS MAILED By Jake Thomas

MORE »

FIND THE HAPPY HOUR NEAREST YOU!

Comments (2)

Sort Newest to Oldest ▼

Showing 1-2 of 2

add a comment



It seems not "his" way, it s the long-standing policy of the Spokane Pice a Department that was codified and supported by Condon, also.

report

2 likes, 0 dislikes - like 'a dislike

Posted by Ahernia on 07/16/2015 at 12:55 AM



leave it to our pot smoking council president to spend more of our money just to get his way. How stupid can he be?

report

3 likes, 5 dislikes 📥 like 💝 dislike

Posted by Larry L Lambeth on 07/15/2015 at 4:18 PM

7/17/2015 Center for Justice will challer	ge immigration initiative in court Bloglander	The Pacific Northwest Inlander	News, Politics, Music,	Calendar, Eyents in
Add a comment	7			

☐ Subscribe to this thread

Post Comment

OFFICE OF THE SPOKANE CITY ATTORNEY

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY / CLIENT COMMUNICATION LEGAL MEMORANDUM

TO:

COUNCIL PRESIDENT BEN STUCKART

MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL

FROM:

MICHAEL J. PICCOLO, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY

SUBJECT:

CITIZEN INITIATIVE REGARDING IMMIGRATION STATUS

INFORMATION

DATE:

JULY __, 2015

CC:

MAYOR DAVID CONDON

THERSA SANDERS, CITY ADMINISTRATOR

NANCY ISSERLIS, CITY ATTORNEY

Issue: Does adding additional information to an initiative petition sheet beyond the mandatory requirements violate the Spokane Municipal Code and, if so, what options are available to the City Council.

Brief Answer

Initiative Petition to Spokane City Council for REPEAL of Illegal Alien Sanctuary and Harboring. Initiative No. [TBD]

We, the undersigned citizens and legal voters of the City of Spokane, Washington require that this ordinance known as Initiative No. [TBD] - a true and correct copy of which is printed on the reverse - be passed without alteration by the Spokane City Council, or be submitted to electors of the City of Spokane for their approval or rejection at the next available general municipal election. If submitted to election the proposed ordinance shall appear as the following proposition: Statement of Subject: Spokane Initiative No. [TBD] concerns immigration status information. Concise Description: This measure would remove from the Spokane Municipal Code words added effective November 2014 which prohibit city employees from acquiring or ascertaining immigration status information in the course of lawful duties. Should this measure be enacted into law? Yes \(\text{No Child Ballot Summary: This measure would repeal "Immigration Status Information" Chapter 3.10.050 SMC and amend Chapter 3.10.040 "Biased-free Policing" thereby eliminating City of Spokane prohibition of city employee use of immigration status information, without majority votes of Council and voters, and mandate an advisory vote of the people on any immigration status information ordinance voted by the Council after November 2014.

[signature page]

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SPOKANE:

Section 1. New Chapter 3.10.060 is hereby added to the Spokane Municipal Code to read as follows:

3.10.060 Respect for Law: The City of Spokane shall not limit the ability of any city employee from collecting immigration status information, communicating immigration status information and cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities unless such regulation is approved by a majority vote of the City Council and a majority vote of the people at an election.

Section 2. Chapter 3.10.040 SMC (Ord. No. C35164 relating to bias-free policing), is hereby amended.

3.10.040 Biased-Free Policing

A. The City of Spokane is committed to providing services and enforcing laws in a professional, nondiscriminatory, fair and equitable manner.

B. Spokane Police Department Officers and all officers commissioned under the Spokane Police Department shall be prohibited from engaging in bias-based profiling.

C. Bias-based profiling is defined as an "act of a member of the Spokane Police Department or a law enforcement officer commissioned by the Spokane Police Department that relies on actual or perceived race, national origin, color, creed, age, ((citizenship status,)) gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, socio-economic status, or housing status or any characteristic of protected classes under federal, state or local laws as the determinative factor initiating law enforcement action against an individual, rather than an individual's behavior or other information or circumstances that links a person or persons to suspected unlawful activity."

D. The Spokane Police Department shall maintain policies consistent with this section.

Section 3. Chapter 3.10.050 SMC (Ord. No. C35167 relating to immigration status information), is hereby repealed.

((3.19.950 Immigrant Status Information

A. Unless required by law or court order, no Spokane City officer or employee shall inquire into the immigration status of any person, or engage in activities designed to ascertain the immigration status of any person.

B. Spokane Police Department officers shall have reasonable suspicion to believe a person has been previously deported from the United States, is again present in the United States, and is committed or has committed a felony criminal law violation before inquiring into the immigration status of an individual.

C .- The Spokene Police Department shall not investigate, arrest, or detain an individual based solely on immigration statue.

D. The Spekane Police Department shall maintain policies consistent with this section.))

Section 4. Advisory Vote: Any ordinance that regulates city employee use of immigration status information after December 1, 2014, must be put on the ballot as an advisory vote of the people at the next general election.

Section 5. Construction: The provisions of this measure are to be liberally construed to effectuate the intent, policies, and purposes of this measure.

Section 6. Severability: If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

####

RECEIVED

NOV 26 2014

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE SPOKANE, WA REQUIRED WARNING

Under Washington law every person who signs this petition with any other than his or her true name, or who knowingly signs more than one of these petitions, or signs a petition when he or she is not a legal voter, or signs a petition when he or she is otherwise not qualified to sign, or who makes herein any false statement, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Initiative Petition to Spokane City Council for REPEAL of Illegal Alien Sanctuary and Harboring. Initiative No. [TBD]

tion status information. Concise Description: This measure would remove from the Spokane Municipal Code words added effective November 2014 which prohibit city employees from repeal "Immigration Status Information" Chapter 3.10.050 SMC and amend Chapter 3.10.040 "Biased-free Policing" thereby eliminating City of Spokane prohibition of city employee use acquiring or ascertaining immigration status information in the course of lawful duties. Should this measure be enacted into law? Yes 🛘 No 🖒 Ballot Summary: This measure would of immigration status information, without majority votes of Council and voters, and mandate an advisory vote of the people on any immigration status information ordinance voted by We, the undersigned citizens and legal voters of the City of Spokane, Washington require that this ordinance known as Initiative No. [TBD] - a true and correct copy of which is printed municipal election. If submitted to election the proposed ordinance shall appear as the following proposition: Statement of Subject: Spokane Initiative No. [TBD] concerns immigraon the reverse - be passed without alteration by the Spokane City Council, or be submitted to electors of the City of Spokane for their approval or rejection at the next available general the Council after November 2014.

Each of us for himself or herself says; I have personally signed this petition; I am a legal voter of The City of Spokane; my residence address is correctly stated; and I have knowingly signed

this petition only once.

		THE PROPERTY OF		
	Voter Signature-Snokane City only	Print Name	Spokane Street Address & Zip Code	Date Signed
-	X			//201
-			eMail address (vertonal, to stay informed) Email:	phone (optional)
ų	X			//201_
			eMail address (optional to stay informed) Email:	phone (aptional)
r	***			_/_/201_
ก๋	X		eMail address (optional, to any informed) Email:	phone (optional)
4;	X			//201_
			eMailaddress loptional to stay informed) Email:	phone (optional)
	Control of the Contro			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Immediately ask Friends to SIGN & MAIL to: RESPECT SPOKANE, P.O. Box 7226, Spokane, WA 99207. Your petition must be received by March. * PLEASE DUPLICATE THIS PETITION (ONE SHEET, DOUBLE-SIDED) FOR ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE LINES*

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SPOKANE:

Section 1. New Chapter 3.10.060 is hereby added to the Spokane Municipal Code to read as follows:

3.10.060 Respect for Law: The City of Spokane shall not limit the ability of any city employee from collecting immigration status information, communicating immigration status information and cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities unless such regulation is approved by a majority vote of the City Council and a majority vote of the people at an election.

Section 2. Chapter 3.10.040 SMC (Ord. No. C35164 relating to bias-free policing), is hereby amended.

3.10.040 Biased-Free Policing

A. The City of Spokane is committed to providing services and enforcing laws in a professional, nondiscriminatory, fair and equitable manner.

B. Spokene Police Department Officers and all officers commissioned under the Spokene Police Department shall be prohibited from engaging in bias-based profiling.

C. Bias-based profiling is defined as an "act of a member of the Spokane Police Department or a law enforcement officer commissioned by the Spokane Police Department that relies on actual or perceived race, national origin, color, creed, age, ((citizenship status;)) gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, socio-economic status, or housing status or any characteristic of protected classes under federal, state or local laws as the determinative factor initiating law enforcement action against an individual, rather than an individual's behavior or other information or circumstances that links a person or persons to suspected unlawful activity."

D. The Spokane Police Department shall maintain policies consistent with this section.

Section 3. Chapter 3.10.050 SMC (Ord. No. C35167 relating to immigration status information), is hereby repealed.

((3.10.050 Immigrant Status Information

A. Unices required by law or court order, no Spokene City officer or employer shall inquire into the immigration status of any person, or engage in activities designed to ascertain the immigration status of any person.

B) Spokane Police Department officers shall have reason able suspicion to believe a person has been previously deported from the United States, is again present in the United States, and is committed or has committed a felony criminal day violation before inquiring into the immigration status of an individual:

C. The Spokene Police Department shall not investigate, arrest, or detain an individual based solely on immigration status.

B. The Spokane Police Department shall maintain policies consistent with this section:))

Section 4. Advisory Vote: Any ordinance that regulates city employee use of immigration status information after December 1, 2014, must be put on the ballot as an advisory vote of the people at the next general election.

Section 5. Construction: The provisions of this measure are to be liberally construed to effectuate the intent, policies, and purposes of this measure.

Section 6. Severability: If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Ordinances C35164 and C35167 were passed by 5-2 Council votes on October 20 and October 27, 2014, respectively.

Illegal Alien Sanctuarians having voted "YES": Ben Stuckart (term ends 2015). Candace Mumm (Dist 3 term ends 2017), Jon Snyder (Dist 2 term ends 2017), Karen Stratton (Dist 3 term ends 2015) and Amber Waldref (Dist 1 term ends 2017)

Americans Respectful of Law having voted "NO": Mike Allen (Dist 2 term ends 2015) and Mike Fagan (Dist 1 term ends 2015)

Ord. C35164 November 6, 2014; Ord. C35167 November 12, 2014 Prime Sponsot: Ben Stuckart (term ends 2015)

Date of Mayor David Condon (term ends 2015) signature

INSTRUCTIONS:

STEP 1: Make 2-sided copies of this blank paper petition for friends. Or download the petition file from www.RespectWashington.us and print 2-sided.

STEP 2: Fill signature lines immediately. Signed petitions must be received by March 2015. Do not procrastinate. Fill this petition this week.

STEP 3: Mail petition and contribution (check please, no cash) to:

www.RespectWashington.us P.O. Box 7226, Spokane, WA 99207

(509) 565-0244

Please help us cover the costs of this petition by enclosing your most generous check when you mail in your signed petition sheet. Thank you.

PAID FOR BY RESPECT WASHINGTON

RECEIVED

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE SPOKANE, WA

WARNING

Under Washington State law every person who signs an initiative or referendum petition with any other than his or her true name, knowingly signs more than once, or signs when he or she is not a legal voter; or signs a petition when he or she is otherwise not qualified to sign, or who makes any false statement on such petition may be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Initiative Petition to the Spokane City Council

INITIATIVE NO. 2015-1

We, the undersigned citizens and legal voters of the City of Spokane, Washington, respectfully direct that this proposed ordinance, known as Initiative No. 2015-1, a full, true, and correct copy of which is printed herein, be passed without alterations by the Spokane City Council, or be submitted to the electors of the City of Spokane for their approval or rejections at the next available special or general municipal election. If submitted to election, the proposed ordinance shall appear as the following proposition.

CITY OF SPOKANE

PROPOSITION NO. 2015 - ____

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO IMMIGRATION STATUS INFORMATION

The City Council adopted Ordinance Nos. C-35164 and C-35167, regarding biased-free policing and immigration status information respectively, prohibiting the inquiry of an individual's immigration status by city officers or employees unless required by law or court order. This proposition would remove from the Spokane Municipal Code words added by the ordinances which prohibit city employees from acquiring or ascertaining immigration status information in the course of lawful duties. Should this proposition be enacted into law?

 YES
 NO

Each of us for himself or herself says: I have personally signed this petition; I am a legal voter of the City of Spokane; my residence address is correctly stated; and I have knowingly signed this petition only once.

(The full text of the proposed ordinance is printed on reverse side of this page and continuing on the pages following)

PETITIONERS SIGNATURE (as on voters registration)	PRINTED NAME	RESIDENCE ADDRESS (Street Address)	DAYTIME PHONE AND OR E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional)	DATE OF SIGNATURE

Jackie Murray, Respect Washington, P.O. Box 7226, Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 565-0244

Summary of Measure

THE LAW AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS:

SMC 3.10.040, regarding biased-free policing, and 3.10.050, regarding immigration information status, prohibit city officers or employees from inquiring into an individual's immigration information status or engaging in activities designed to ascertain the immigration status of any person unless required by law or court order.

THE EFFECT OF THE PROPOSAL, IF APPROVED:

This proposition would amend SMC 3.10.040, repeal SMC 3.10.050, and adopt a new section SMC 3.10.060, thereby eliminating the prohibition of city employee use of immigration status information. Any future regulations limiting the ability of any city employee from collecting immigration status information, communicating immigration status information and cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities would require a majority vote of the City Council and of the people at the next general election.

ORDINANCE NO. C - ____

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO IMMIGRATION STATUS INFORMATION; AMENDING SMC SECTION 3.10.040; REPEALING SMC SECTION 3.10.050 AND ADOPTING A NEW SECTION 3.10.060 TO CHAPTER 3.10 OF THE SPOKANE MUNICIPAL CODE

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC 3.10.040 is amended to read as follows:

3.01.040 Biased-Free Policing

- A. The City of Spokane is committed to providing services and enforcing laws in a professional, nondiscriminatory, fair and equitable manner.
- B. Spokane Police Department Officers and all officers commissioned under the Spokane Police Department shall be prohibited from engaging in bias-based profiling.
- C. Bias-based profiling is defined as an "act of a member of the Spokane Police

Department or a law enforcement officer commissioned by the Spokane Police Department that relies on actual or perceived race, national origin, color, creed, age, ((citizenship status,)) gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, socioeconomic status, or housing status or any characteristic of protected classes under federal, state or local laws as the determinative factor initiating law enforcement action against an individual, rather than an individual's behavior or other information or circumstances that links a person or persons to suspected unlawful activity."

D. The Spokane Police Department shall maintain policies consistent with this section.

Section 2. That SMC 3.10.050 is repealed.

((3.10.050 Immigrant Status Information

A. Unless required by law or court order, no Spokane City officer or employee shall inquire into the immigration status of any person, or engage in activities designed to ascertain the immigration status of any person.

B. Spokane Police Department officers shall have reasonable suspicion to believe a person has been previously deported from the United States, is again present in the United States, and is committed or has committed a follony criminal law violation before inquiring into the immigration status of an individual.

C. The Spokane Police Department shall not investigate, arrest, or detain an individual based solely on immigration status.

D. The Spokane Police Department-shall-maintain-policies consistent with this section.))

Section 3. That there is adopted a new section 3.10.060 to chapter 3.10 of the Spokane Municipal Code to read as follows:

3.10.060 Respect for Law

The City of Spokane shall not limit the ability of any city employee from collecting immigration status information, communicating immigration status information and cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities unless such regulation is approved by a majority of the city council and a majority vote of the people at the next general election.

Section 4. Construction

The provisions of this ordinance are to be liberally construed to effectuate the intent, policies and purpose of this measure.

Section 5. Severability.

Should any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or circumstances be held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Section 6. Effective Date.

This ordinance, if approved by the voters, shall take effect and be in full force upon the issuance of the certificate of election by the Spokane County Auditor's Office.

Section 7. That this ordinance be submitted to the voters of the City of Spokane for their approval or rejection at the next applicable election under Section 82 of the Spokane City Charter.

REQUIRED WARNING:

Under Washington law every person who signs an initiative or referendum petition with any other than his or her true name, knowingly signs more than once, or signs when he or she is not a legal voter, or signs a petition when he or she is otherwise not qualified to sign, or who makes any false statement on such petition may be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Initiative Petition to the Spokane City Council Initiative No. 2015-1

special or general municipal election. If submitted to election, the proposed ordinance shall appear as the following proposition: which is printed herein - be passed without alteration by the Spokane City Council, or be submitted to electors of the City of Spokane for their approval or rejection at the next available We, the undersigned chizens and legal voters of the City of Spokane, Washington require that this ordinance known as Initiative No. 2015-1 - a full, true and correct copy of

City of Spokane Proposition No. 2015-1

An Ordinance Relating to Immigration Status Information

immigration status information in the course of lawful duties. Should this proposition be enacted into law? Yes 🗆 No 🗆 prohibiting the inquiry of an individual's immigration status by city officers or employees unless required by law or court order. This proposition The City Council adopted Ordinance Nos. C-35164 and C-35167, regarding biased-free policing and immigration status information respectively, would remove from the Spokane Municipal Code words added by the ordinances which prohibit city employees from acquiring or ascertaining Summary of Measure

eliminating the prohibition of city employee use of immigration status information. Any future regulations limiting the ability of any city employee from collecting immigration status in-THE EFFECT OF THE PROPOSAL, IF APPROVED: This proposition would amend SMC 3.10.040, repeal SMC 3.10.050, and adopt a new section SMC 3.10.060, thereby inquiring into an individual's immigration information status or engaging in activities designed to ascertain the immigration status of any person unless required by law or court order. formation, communicating immigration status information and cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities would require a majority vote of the City Council and of the people at THE LAW AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS: SMC 3.10.040, regarding biased-free policing, and 3.10.050, regarding immigration information status, prohibit city officers or employees from

Each of us for himself or herself says: I have personally signed this petition; I am a legal voter of The City of Spokane; my residence address is correctly stated; and I have knowingly signed this petition only once. (The full text of the proposed ordinance is printed on the reverse side of this page.)

16	ы		X	
	X		X	Petitioner's Signature (as on voter's registra- tion) Spokane <u>City</u> voters only
				Print Name
eMail address (optional, to stay informed) Email:		eMail address (optional, to stay informed) Email:		Spokane Street Address & Zip
phone (optional)	//2015	phone (optional)	//2015	Date Signed

mmediately ask Friends to SIGN & MAIL to:

RESPECT WASHINGTON, P.O. Box 7226, Spokane, WA 99207

*PLEASE DUPLICATE THIS PETITION (ONE SHEET, DOUBLE-SIDED) FOR ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE LINES

ORDINANCE NO. C - 2015-1

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO IMMIGRATION STATUS INFORMATION; AMENDING SMC SECTION 3.10.040; REPEALING SMC SECTION 3.10.050 AND ADOPTING A NEW SECTION 3.10.060 TO CHAPTER 3.10 OF THE SPOKANE MUNICIPAL CODE

The City of Spokane does ordain:

Section 1. That SMC 3.10.040 (Ord. No. C35164 relating to bias-free policing), is amended to read as follows:

3,10,040 Biased-Free Policing

A. The City of Spokane is committed to providing services and enforcing laws in a professional, nondiscriminatory, fair and equitable manner.

B. Spokane Police Department Officers and all officers commissioned under the Spokane Police Department shall be prohibited from engaging in bias-based profiling.

C. Bias-based profiling is defined as an "act of a member of the Spokane Police Department or a law enforcement officer commissioned by the Spokane Police Department that relies on actual or perceived race, national origin, color, creed, age,((citizenship status.)) gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, socio-economic status, or housing status or any characteristic of protected classes under federal, state or local laws as the determinative factor initiating law enforcement action against an individual, rather than an individual's behavior or other information or circumstances that links a person or persons to suspected unlawful activity."

D. The Spokane Police Department shall maintain policies consistent with this section.

Section 2. That SMC 3.10.050 SMC (Ord. No. C35167 relating to immigration status information), is repealed

((3.10.050 Immigrant Status Information

A. Unless required by law or court order, no Spokane City
officer or employee shall inquire into the immigration
status of any person, or engage in activities designed to
ascertain the immigration status of any person:

B. Spokane Tolice Department officers shall have reasons able suspicion to believe a person has been previously deported from the United States, is again present in the

United States, and is committed or has committed a felony criminal-law violation before inquiring into the immigration status of an individual.

C. The Spokane Police Department shall not investigate; arrest, or detain an individual based solely on immigration status:

B. The Spokane Police Department shall maintain polices consistent with this section: ()

Section 3. That there is adopted a new section 3.10.060 to chapter 3.10 of the Spokane Municipal Code to read as follows:

3.10.060 Respect for Law: The City of Spokane shall not limit the ability of any city employee from collecting immigration status information, communicating immigration status information and cooperating with federal law enforcement authorities unless such regulation is approved by a majority of the city council and a majority vote of the people at the next general election.

Section 4. Construction: The provisions of this measure are to be liberally construed to effectuate the intent; policies, and purposes of this measure.

Section 5. Severability: Should any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or circumstances be held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Section 6. Effective Date. This ordinance, if approved by the voters, shall take effect and be in full force upon the issuance of the certificate of election by the Spokane Auditor's Office.

Section 7. That this ordinance be submitted to the voters of the City of Spokane for their approval or rejection at the next applicable election under Section 82 of the Spokane City Charter.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Ordinances C35164 and C35167 were passed by 5-2 Council votes on October 20 and October 27, 2014, respectively.

Members who voted for Spokane to become a sanctuary for illegal aliens:

Benjamin Stuckart (term ends 2015)
Candace Mumm (Dist 3 term ends 2017)
Jon Snyder (Dist 2 term ends 2017)
Karen Stratton (Dist 3 term ends 2015)
Amber Waldref (Dist 1 term ends 2017)

Members who voted to prevent Spokane from becoming a sanctuary for illegal aliens, and to defend the right of taxpayers, police and other city employees to refuse to finance or ignore lawless activity:

Mike Allen (Dist 2 term ends 2015) Mike Fagan (Dist 1 term ends 2015)

Date of Mayor's signature:

David Condon (term ends 2015)
Ord. C35164 on November 6, 2014
Ord. C35167 on November 12, 2014
Prime Sponsor: Benjamin Stuckart

(term ends 2015)

INSTRUCTIONS:

STEP 1: Make 2-sided copies of this blank paper petition for friends. Or download the petition file from www.RespectWashington.us and print 2-sided.

STEP 2: Fill signature lines immediately.

Do not procrastinate. Fill this petition this week.

STEP 3: Mail petition and contribution (check please, no cash) to:

www.RespectWashington.us P.O. Box 7226, Spokane, WA 99207 (509) 565-0244

Please help us cover the costs of this petition by enclosing your most generous check when you mail in your signed petition sheet. Thank you.

PAID FOR BY RESPECT WASHINGTON

Voters OK'd this policy in 2012, politicians took it away, this initiative brings it right back again

er to Kaise Taxes

Let the Voters Decide on 2/3-For-Taxes Constitutional Amendment

Ballot Title

Statement of the Subject: Initiative Measure No. 1366 concerns state taxes and fees.

Conclet Description: This measure would decrease the sales tax rate unless the legislature refers to voters a constitutional amendment requiring two-thirds legislative approval or voter approval to raise taxes, and legislative approval for fee increases.

Should this measure be enacted into law? Yes & No 🗆

Ballot Measure Summary

This measure would decrease the state retail sales tax rate on April 15, 2016, from 6.5 percent to 5.5 percent. The sales tax rate would not be decreased if, by April 15, 2016, two-thirds of both legislative houses refer to the bullot a vote on a constitutional amendment that requires two-thirds legislative approval or voter approval to raise taxes, and majority legislative approval to set the amount of a fee increase

Every person who signs this petition with any other than his or her true name, knowingly signs more than one of these petitions, signs this petition when he or she is not a legal voter, or makes any false statement on this petition may be punished by fine or imprisonment or both

INITIATIVE PETITION FOR SUBAUSSION TO THE PEOPLE

To the Honorable Kim Hyman, Secretary of State of the State of Washington

We, the undersigned citizens and legal voters of the State of Washington, respectfully direct that this polition and the proposed measure known as Instrume Measure No. 1366, and entitled, "Institute Measure No. 1366 concerns state taxes and the This measure would decrease the saies tax rate unless the legislature refers to votes a constitutional amonument requiring (wa-thirds legislative approval or voter approval to raise taxes, and legistative approval for fee increases," a full, true, and concet copy of which is printed on the reverse side of this perition, be submitted to the legal voters of the State of Washington for their approval or rejection at the general clockion to be held on the 3rd day of November, 2015; and each of us for himself or heiself says. I have personally signed this petition, I am a legal voter of the State of Washington in the city (or town) and county written after my name, my residence address as carrectly stated, and I have knowingly signed this petition only once

Printed Name of Voter (registered voters only)	Signature of Voter	Birthdate (for verification)		City	County
uJohn Smith	John Smith	PF27.51	1273 - Uskinghor Lane	Престие	FREE
1	1.4 114 5				
2					
3		. ,			
4					
5					
6					
7					
N .					
y					-
10					
n					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17		14. 14			
18					
19					
20					1

PH: 425-493-9127 · www.VotersWantMoreChoices.com

Please get as many signatures as you can and mail this petition back to us as soon as possible. Fold it, put it in an envelope, and mall it, along with a donation (made payable to "2/3 Constitutional Amendment" - any amount will help) to:

> 2/3 Constitutional Amendment, PO Box 18250, Spokane, WA 99228

The government only gives us until July 2nd, 2015 to gather 246,000 valid signatures. Time is short -- ACT NOW.

Paid for by 2/3 Constitutional Amendment • PO Box 18250 • Spokanc, WA 99228 • PH: 425-493-9127 • FAX: 509-467-4323 www.VotersWantMoreChoices.com · jakatak@comcast.net

To get more petitions, call or email us, <u>OR PREFERABLY</u>, to save you time and to save our campaign time and resources, make your own copies (both front & back must be photocopied and petition size cannot be reduced — final size must remain 11"x17"). Take this petition to any printer who can print on 11"x17" paper (Fedex Kinko's, Office Depot, Steples, etc.). COLOR PETITIONS AREN'T NECESSARY — BLACK AND WHITE IS OK.

TEXT OF 2/3 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT INITIATIVE 1366

411

*

COMPLETETEXT

AR ACT Relating to texes and less unspecied by soil government, incoding RCW 32 08 020, 43 136 RC, and 13 15 04), adding now actions to chapter 43 135 RCW, acciding on a sections, and providing a consistent consistent due.

BE IT ENACTIES BY THE PROPER OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.

INTENT

NEW SCHAY See, I. Over the man toward years, the conveyers have been required to pay a cereating trees and fees to the state humaning economic payers and familing opportunities for the critices of Washington.

The graph devotes and establish that the state needs to exercise freed restinist by tallest reducing the theater or lamining face for news to only show considered necessary by some than a bare

the business of limiting the distributions of only disast considered moderator by about from a bare assumpts of Equations.

Since 1978, the voters have represently present instances equipment which addinguished on your appropriate to be stored to the stored of the property of the prope

(a) Tungrass personal property unless the sale is specifically ancluded from the ECV 82 01 050 defines on of retail sale. the manager of a serior design and not 1923 volv.

(b) Deput goods, digital codes, and digital manager of services, of the sale is not lated within the KCW at 04 130 definition of relial sales.

(c) Structure, when the digital manager of every experience of the the ICW 22-94 030 definition of retail sale.

OR librarded warmeries to consumer, and

(d) Exempled women has be consumers, and
(f) Amything the july as left which is excluded within the RCM
(20,000 definition of retail sale
(f) There is based and collected an additional tax on each retail car
tends, regardless of methods the whole is likewed in the state, equal to five and misc-tends
percent of the selling price. The revenue collected under this subsection must be deposited in the
uniformly improperation account excitat in RCM 4766 070.

(f) Regimming July 1, 2003, there is levied and collected an additional tax of trace-tends of one
prevent of the selling price in section that sales of a major vehicle in the state, other than result car
tends specifications subsection 121 of this receivers. The revenue collected under this miscration must
be deposited in the multiworld transportation account excitation in RCM 47.66 070.

(d) For accounts of adviscering out of a filling sections. "manuer vehicle" has the recasion provided in

(4) For purposes of subsection (2) of this section, "motor vehicle" has the meaning provided in RCW 46 04 320, but does not include.

CAM OF THE 27PL that does not modulate.

(A) From tractions or form visitables as do fixed in RCCM 146 R4 180 And 46 R4 181, and clis the First modern or flown visitable is fer to see in the production of maniform.

(b) (2) From trained as defined in RCCM 146 94 A65.)

(c) Nonregional relations as defined in RATM 46 no 31th and (d) Shoremobiles as defined in RATM 46 no 546

(d) Beginning on December 6, 2013, 0.15 period of the taxes consected under addresses of the thickness of section most to declarate (or familing comprehense); performance and determined and et al. W. W. 41 to 470. The taxes are formful in this solution in matches depended under the performance and the performance and to depended in the performance and to depended on the performance and the dependent of the dependent of

17) the rates privated in this scotton apply to taxes imposed upder chapter 32 12 RCW as assisted a RCW 82 12 020

(1) Les fales privated in this version spipi, to have impacted and format in the privated of REON 2012 (2018)

A.N.ESS HER LELISHAFURE REPERSION TO THE BALL DITFORA VOTEA CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDATEST REQUIRING TWO THERES LEGISLATIVE APPROVAL OR YOUTHER APPROVAL TO RAISE TAXES AND MAJORITY LEGISLATIVE APPROVAL FOR SEE INCREASES.

M.W. SETTION Sec. 3. (1) Section 2 of this act takes effout Again 15, 2016, univer the contingence in solvention (2) of the act takes effout Again 15, 2016, univer the contingence in the continuous contentions are required for the frequency and the act takes effout Again 15, 2016, univer the continuous production of the frequency incomments of the fact and majority flequalities approach for the extreme and majority flequalities opported for the extreme are required for voltex appeared by the continuous formation and majority and the section required to the feet with the first continuous flequency and for the extreme and majority and formation of the section required to the feet of the section required and other accounts being procedure, required by NEW 43 135 331.

SENTITION THE REPERSION OF THE TOWN THE SENTING EACH OF THE SE

SINTLIORV REFERENCE LIBRIES

STATITORY REFERENCE UPDATES

Best 41 RCW 47 175 (31) (Billy rising trees or Les — Cost only use — Press release — Press of hornings — Higherd analysis and 2013 (1 is 2 as each giprode in read as follows — (1) for any will insulate all as other the horse of representatives or the sense that rises some sector in (RCW 9541949)) assigned to the last of the control insulation analysis and the sense (See The office of Impacts) analysis and the sense (See The office of Impacts) analysis and the sense (See The office of Impacts) and the sense (See The office of Impacts) and the office of Impacts of the sense (See The office of Impacts).

most promptly and without dolor regain to recibls of its authors by paths press release we considire tech seasible of the house of repropositive, each monder of the sense. By next needs and the public, and entity pear and constant in the endease on its who the Asy type or evel project to must include a year to part of the project of must include a year to project or must include a year to part of the project continue man than now set we cannot a tool of or each representation for the manufacture and it is recorded datage of it the belt vestal transparent part of the part of t

amoust questions from the public

(2) Am time and Septiating commutes rebedules a subfit housing on a hill dist have time
as distinct by ((ff(3) - 2) + 2) - 2)) yetting footbile action to section from the first of
a distinct by ((ff(3) - 2) + 2) - 2)) yetting footbile
actions from the property of the first control to report the results of its most impose the subtype
the bull regarded by subjection (1) of the action as the date; impose and by these of the lawing ty
public press telester with the substitution of the first public press of the first public press in the substitution of the lawing ty
public press telester with the substitution of the first public press of the first public pression of the law of the first public public pression of the first public committee conducting the hearing to they can provide dy
the substitution of the legislation from the public public public public public pression of the public pu

are meathers of the (egishirst commission guite meating to they can prove a mechanism to meathers of special particular than the public (1). Each time a bill that rainer exist as defined by (1800/41-85-86)) yestion to of this get on interface feet is upported by any legislative commission as by at legislative should be interested to complete majority in each to be looked or of proceedings of the provide majority in each to be looked or of framental management most a tapoditionally feedbarries and technological and without delay report the reliable of its interest product and the legislative process most promptly and without delay report their reliable of its storic parts of the lower of the process of

priors.

All For the plurpoint of this section, "samethof legislatine, and their remains, individuals on methodes each structure, personnel feetiles or representation," and mame the same, party affiliation directoring the complete of the plurpoint of the plurpo

and office e-mail influence of the accument arms, mention in construction or member of the peech or might opportunish mediating consequence rather and relevances in the sages up with the office of furnishing monagement in control to public processed and relevances in the sages up with the office of furnishing monagement in control to proceed a property of organization that signs up with the office of furnishing monagement to receive the public processed per each segment of the office of furnishing monagement to receive the public processed segment of segment of the office of furnishing monagement to receive the public processed segment of the office of the opening of the studies of governal and secretary of trace—Exemplical and 2003 of 1.65 are each monaged to receive a follows:

Sec. S. R.(W-4) 131 491 (Inclinguishin) — Advisory (Ale — Detrict of the attaining special and secretary of the attaining special and secretary of the attaining special and secretary of the act — Exemption and 1816 (Inclined and Inclined A

For the purposes of this chapter, causes haves recease any estime or treatment of attents by the state legislature that undersets rate to succourt depould or any model bridget, or accesses regardless of whether the revenues are depould until the gravitation from the contract of the CONSTRUCTION CLAYSE.

NEW SECTION See, 7. The private outs of first set and in the liberary continued to effective in the mount probability, and proposed of the ass.

SEVERAPHEITVCLAUSP

SEA SECTION Sec. V. If any position of this act or in application is any person of committance is held any first the restanced of the set of the restance or other persons or customatances is not affected.

HILE OF THE U.S. Account of the control back of the second of the se

eastractures approximately and has much intersection at tractor of the distriction was 130 schools of the greatest and is the

partition of a metabolic library companies by the partition of the EAN partition of the cartain metabolic and the contract of the cartain metabolic and the cartain metabolic

MERCHEN Set θ_{ij} the arrandom and may be studied as the consequence of the tenth - END +

	m dest rodikes	(LAST HAME)
before you mail in your petition, make sure	THE LETTER OF THE PARTY OF THE	
to print and sign your name here. THANKS!	ners der misse drübst aber bitt aus det Mittig	oppose office table de-

RCW 9A 45 920 applies to any conduct contituting haracoment agreement agreement products the viction any color remedy character tradails under law.

A CONTRACTOR	TUTA VICTORIA CASA	public solvey for in independent	sa or byte.	manya epason	1 5 Ariable	to della const
-	Signature					