



Spokane Park Board
3:30 p.m. May 14, 2020
WebEx teleconference meeting

Park Board Members:

- X Jennifer Ogden – President
- X Bob Anderson – Vice President (Arrived: 4:47 p.m.)
- X Garrett Jones – Secretary
- X Nick Sumner
- Rick Chase (Absent/excused)
- X Greta Gilman
- X Sally Lodato
- X Gerry Sperling
- X Barb Richey
- Lori Kinnear – City Council liaison (Absent/excused)

Parks Staff:

- Jason Conley
- Mark Buening
- Fianna Dickson
- Mark Poirier
- Nick Hamad
- Al Vorderbrueggen
- Jennifer Papich
- Berry Ellison
- Pamela Clarke

MINUTES

1. **Roll Call:** *Pamela Clarke*
See above
2. **Additional or deletions to the Agenda:** Jennifer Ogden moved public comment to the end of the meeting. She also moved the financial and budget update report to the beginning of the agenda in order to give time for additional Park Board members to join the meeting as the required quorum had not yet been met. A quorum is needed in order to take a vote on any action items.
3. **Financial report and budget update:** – *Mark Buening* provided the [April financial report and budget update](#). Mr. Buening explained the division's revenues and expenses have been greatly affected by the complete shutdown of all Parks revenue-generating activities for the entire month of April and the furlough of all temp/seasonal employees since March 25. Each department took strong measures to reduce spending in light of the loss of revenues. The actual year-to-date operating expenditures for the Park Fund is about \$668,000 less than the 2020 budget allocation. Actual year-to-date revenues are approximately \$250,000 less than the budget allocation. Revenues are exceeding expenditures about \$1.7 million. This revenue surplus is typical for this time of the year. The year-to-date actual operating expenditures are about \$70,000 less than the budget average for the Golf Fund. Year-to-date revenues are approximately \$177,000 less than the budget average. Revenues are exceeding expenditures almost \$1,100 year-to-date. Looking forward, revenues should look better next month as Golf was first and only revenue-generating activity for Parks to reopen in May. Gov. Inslee lifted closures on golf courses throughout the state May 5 with strict mandating.
4. **Consent agenda:**
 - A. Administrative and committee-level items
 - 1) [April 9, 2020, regular Park Board meeting minutes](#)
 - 2) [Claims – April 2020 \(\\$2,157,046.06\)](#)
 - 3) [LaRiviere Inc. change order #2/North bank \(\\$315,565.97, tax inclusive\)](#)
 - 4) [Bernardo | Wills Architects contract amendment #7/North bank \(\\$19,430.75, no tax\)](#)
 - 5) [RCO grant authorizing resolution](#)

Motion No. 1: Jennifer Ogden moved to approve consent agenda items #1 - #5, as presented.

Gerry Sperling seconded.

Motion passed with unanimous consent (6-0 vote).

5. **Special Guests:**

A. None

6. **Special discussion/action items:**

A. None

7. **Committee reports:**

Urban Forestry Tree Committee: (The May 5 meeting was canceled.) *Rick Chase*

A. Action items: None

B. The next regularly scheduled meeting is 4:15 p.m. June 2, 2020.

Golf Committee: (The May 12 meeting was canceled.) *Gerry Sperling*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 8 a.m. June 9, 2020.

Land Committee: (The May 6 meeting was canceled.) *Greta Gilman*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 4 p.m. June 3, 2020.

Recreation Committee: (The May 6 meeting was canceled.) *Sally Lodato*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 5:15 p.m. June 3, 2020.

Riverfront Park Committee: May 11, 2020, *Nick Sumner*

A. [Garco Construction change order #23/Pavilion \(\\$29,714, plus tax\)](#) – *Berry Ellison*

presented the proposed change order #23 with Garco Construction in the amount of \$29,714, plus tax. The change order is a time-and-materials estimate that covers additional design and permitting required to increase occupancy capacity at the Pavilion from its current 3,900 capacity to 5,100. Mr. Ellison explained the Pavilion would be far more competitive if it reached the 5,000-capacity mark. Since its reopening last September, the Pavilion has had a temporary certificate of occupancy status. Proposed design work includes engineering to eliminate a fire lane through the amphitheater, widening egress widths and adding an egress point on the east side of the amphitheater. A special thanks was extended to city fire and permitting for their work on this project. *Garrett Jones* explained funding for the change order will not come from the bond or the Park Fund. He explained staff is working on an alternate funding source at this time. He anticipates an update on the funding next week and will communicate this to the board as soon as the details are available.

Motion No. 2: Nick Sumner moved to approve change order #23 with Garco Construction, contingent on funding, for work on the Pavilion in the amount of \$29,714, plus tax.

Barb Richey seconded.

The motion passed with unanimous consent (6-0 vote).

B. [Riverfront Park redevelopment update](#) – *Garrett Jones* presented the RFP redevelopment update. Highlights included: 1) [North bank project](#) – Site retaining walls are being installed for

the playground, and the separation between the wheels park and the playground facility. Footings are being poured for the M&O building foundation; 2) [West Havermale Island](#) – mass grading is fairly complete and theme stream restoration project is done; 3) [Signature art pieces](#) – Stepwell installation is scheduled for late fall/early spring, and the Joint Arts Committee selected four finalists to create the second signature artwork. The committee recommended an art piece depicting a colorful beaver as their first choice. Public input will be gathered regarding the four final proposals prior to Park Board action/approval. Mr. Jones also provided brief updates on the [bond budget](#), [project timelines](#) and [access](#) at Riverfront Park.

C. The next scheduled meeting is 8 a.m. June 9, 2020.

Finance Committee: May 12, 2020, *Jennifer Ogden*

A. [Core services budget presentation](#) – *Jason Conley/Al Vorderbrueggen/Jennifer Papich/Jonathan Moog/Mark Buening* presented the core services budget presentation. *Garrett Jones* opened the discussion providing an overview of the process and purpose in developing Parks Core Services model in response to the Covid pandemic. Mr. Jones explained this presentation is an overview of the measures taken in response to the pandemic and each department's plans as Parks proceeds through the phases of recovery. Mr. Jones commended staff for their collaborative effort to develop a plan of how Parks operates, responds and recovers from this crisis.

- 1) [Administrative](#) – Mr. Conley kicked off the presentation explaining that each of the department heads has worked diligently in coming up with creative solutions to manage the hardships that accompanies this crisis. Mr. Conley reported on the following relating to the administration budget: 1) a 30% reduction in revenues is forecasted due to the suspension of all programming and programs; 2) this revenue loss resulted in the immediate need to curtail expenses; 3) all temp/seasonal employees were furloughed as of March 25; and 4) there is a hiring freeze on all full-time employee vacancies.
- 2) [Park Operations](#) – *Al Vorderbrueggen* presented a report on the Park Operations department which includes horticulture, Urban Forestry and Natural Resources. Highlights of his report included: 1) staff from other areas of the city, including Parking Services employees, and staff from various other Parks departments have pitched in to help with maintaining city park land; 2) 21 full-time Park Ops employees are currently working; 3) 10 employees are directly involved with maintaining the almost 80 properties in the park system; 4) the department is operating without 25+ temp/seasonal employees; 5) the Parks' 47 restrooms are not open at this time which has allowed the limited staff to work on asset protection and grounds maintenance; and 6) manual watering of eight locations will continue and is scheduled to ramp up next month.
- 3) [Recreation](#) – *Jennifer Papich* presented an overview of the Recreation Department's response and plan. Highlights included: 1) all recreation programs, camps, classes and trips scheduled from mid-March through May have been canceled or postponed; 2) the full-time staff is working on asset protection of the aquatic facilities and sports complexes; 3) staff is working with regional recreation departments, and is communicating weekly with state and national agencies on potential aquatics facility operating models; and 4) summer program guides will not be printed. Instead, activity publications will be available online which allows for updating program schedules based on the recovery phases.
- 4) [Golf](#) – *Mark Poirier* presented the Golf report which included the following updates: 1) all courses have been opened since May 5 under strict mandating; 2) tee-time intervals recently moved to 5 minutes which closes the revenue gap; 3) eight full-time employees and 12 temp/seasonals are currently working at the courses; 3) all special projects and spring aerification have been suspended; and 4) all course restaurants are open for call-

in/pick-up orders.

- 5) Riverfront Park – Mr. Conley presented the Riverfront Park report which included: 1) attractions are closed and most of the events have been rescheduled to late July, August and September; 2) attractions are considered Phase 3 activities and have the potential of opening in June or July, dependent on the governor's phasing approach; 3) videos are being developed by staff, at this time, so employees may train virtually prior to opening; and 4) if Phase 4 goes into effect before September, park events will be facilitated by full-time staff which may require additional decrease of attraction hours to accommodate the need.
- 6) Budget – *Mark Buening* presented a monthly cash flow chart of Parks projected revenues and expenditures for 2020. Chart figures are based on the following assumptions: 1) no reduction in funds from the general fund which is 8% of city sales tax revenue; 2) no change in the funds from the city wastewater department; 3) no revenue from programs, classes, events or attractions; 4) expenditures only for the very basic core services; 5) sales force limited to the current full-time employees and minimal temp/seasonal staffing; 6) includes expenses from interfund services charges, payments the community centers, utilities, programmed debt services, and normal operating expenditures; and 7) no allowance for unforeseen expenditures. According to graph figures, at the end of 2020, if projections hold true, revenues will exceed expenditures between \$280,000 and \$320,000.

B. The next scheduled meeting is 3 p.m. June 9, 2020.

8. **Reports:**

A. **Park Board President:** *Jennifer Ogden* provided a brief overview of the May 11 Joint Park Board and Parks Foundation Board meeting. The two boards plan to meeting regularly moving forward.

B. **Liaisons:**

1. Conservation Futures – *Greta Gilman* - No report was given.
2. Parks Foundation – *Gerry Sperling* – The Park Board and Parks Foundation Board met virtually May 11 to discuss their current agreements, a proposed master contract and strategy options for the public phase of the fundraising campaign.
3. City Council – *Lori Kinnear* - No report was given.

C. **Director:** *Garrett Jones* believes teamwork and collaboration have been key in Parks' ability to work with and through the pandemic. He commended staff for their dedication, and thanked the Park Board, City Council and the Mayor for their support during these difficult times. Mr. Jones recognized the labor staff as the true heroes in keeping the parks clean and functional. With golf being one of the first industries to reopen in the state, all eyes are on them. He explained Golf is a complex and unique operation involving restaurants, retail and outdoor recreation components. The golf staff and superintendents have done a wonderful job preparing for the reopening and offering a quality experience at the courses.

9. **Correspondence:**

A. Letters/emails: Proposed Riverfront Park artwork ([65 comments](#))

10. **Public comment:** *Jennifer Ogden* asked if any viewers or listening public had public testimony. None of the call-in listeners offered comments.

11. **Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 4:56 p.m.

12. **Meeting Dates:**

A. Next schedule committee meeting dates:

Urban Forestry Committee: 4:15 p.m. June 2, 2020

Land Committee: 4 p.m. June 3, 2020

Recreation Committee: 5:15 p.m. June 3, 2020

Riverfront Park Committee: 3 p.m. June 8, 2020

Golf Committee: 8 a.m. June 9, 2020

Finance Committee: 3 p.m. June 9, 2020

B. Park Board: 3:30 p.m. June 11, 2020

C. Park Board Study Session: No session scheduled at this time.

Minutes approved by:



Garrett Jones, Director of Parks and Recreation

Spokane Park Board

Briefing Paper



Committee			
Committee meeting date			
Requester	Pamela Clarke	Phone number: 625-6241	
Type of agenda item	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Consent <input type="radio"/> Discussion <input type="radio"/> Information <input type="radio"/> Action		
Type of contract/agreement	<input type="radio"/> New <input type="radio"/> Renewal/extension <input type="radio"/> Amendment/change order <input checked="" type="radio"/> Other		
City Clerks file (OPR or policy #)	n/a		
Item title: (Use exact language noted on the agenda)	April 9, 2020, regular Park Board meeting minutes		
Begin/end dates	Begins:	Ends:	<input type="checkbox"/> Open ended
Background/history: Park Board minutes for the April 9, 2020, regular Park Board meeting			
Motion wording: Move to approve the Park Board minutes as presented as a consent agenda item.			
Approvals/signatures outside Parks: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No If so, who/what department, agency or company: Name: _____ Email address: _____ Phone: _____			
Distribution:			
Fiscal impact: <input type="radio"/> Expenditure <input type="radio"/> Revenue Amount: _____ Budget code: _____ n/a			
Vendor: <input type="radio"/> Existing vendor <input type="radio"/> New vendor Supporting documents: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Quotes/solicitation (RFP, RFQ, RFB) <input type="checkbox"/> Contractor is on the City's A&E Roster - City of Spokane <input type="checkbox"/> UBI: _____ Business license expiration date: _____ </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> W-9 (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> ACH Forms (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Certificate (min. \$1 million in General Liability) </div> </div>			



Spokane Park Board
3:30 p.m. Thursday, April 9, 2020
WebEx teleconferencing meeting
Spokane, Washington

Park Board Members:

- X Jennifer Ogden – President
- X Bob Anderson – Vice President
- X Garrett Jones – Secretary
- Nick Sumner (absent/excused)
- X Rick Chase
- X Greta Gilman
- Sally Lodato (absent/excused)
- X Gerry Sperling
- X Barb Richey
- X Lori Kinnear – City Council liaison

Parks Staff:

- Jason Conley
- Mark Buening
- Berry Ellison
- Pamela Clarke

Guests:

- Hannalee Allers

MINUTES

1. **Roll Call:** *Pamela Clarke*
See above
2. **Additional or deletions to the agenda:**
A. None
3. **Public comment:**
A. None
4. **Consent agenda:**
A. Administrative and committee-level items
 - 1) March 19, 2020, regular Park Board meeting minutes
 - 2) Claims – March 2020 (\$1,706,353.02)
 - 3) SPVV contract amendment #2/West Havermale design (\$7,800, no tax)
 - 4) Spokane Parks Foundation MOU no-cost extension #2
 - 5) Ditches Unlimited, Inc., change order #4/Manito Park Mirror Pond (\$28,266.22, tax inclusive)

Motion No. 1: Jennifer Ogden moved to approve consent agenda items #1 - #5, as presented.

Bob Anderson seconded.

Motion passed with unanimous consent (7-0 vote).

5. **Special Guests:**
A. None

Financial report and budget update: – *Mark Buening* provided the March financial report and budget update. The March operating expenditures for the Park Fund are exceeding the historic budget average by almost \$436,000. Year-to-date revenues are exceeding the budget average

about \$150,000. Revenues are exceeding expenditures approximately \$1.68 million. The March operating expenditures for the Golf Fund is about \$1,450 less than the budget average. Year-to-date revenues are exceeding the budget average almost \$257,000. Revenues are exceeding expenditures almost \$176,000 year-to-date.

6. **Special discussion/action items:**

A. None

7. **Committee reports:**

Urban Forestry Tree Committee: (The March 31 meeting was canceled.) *Rick Chase*

A. Action items: None

B. The next regularly scheduled meeting is 4:15 p.m. May 5, 2020.

Golf Committee: (The April 7 meeting was canceled.) *Gerry Sperling*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 8 a.m., May 12, 2020.

Land Committee: (The April 1 meeting was canceled.) *Greta Gilman*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 4 p.m. May 6, 2020.

Recreation Committee: (The April 1 meeting was canceled.) *Sally Lodato*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 5:15 p.m. May 6, 2020.

Riverfront Park Committee: April 6, 2020, *Jennifer Ogden*

A. Spokane Public Facilities District ramp connection agreement/Riverfront Park north bank and SportsPlex (\$400,000, tax inclusive) – *Berry Ellison* presented the proposed ramp connection agreement with Spokane Public Facilities District to connect the north bank of Riverfront Park with the SportsPlex facility in the amount of \$400,000, tax inclusive. Mr. Ellison provided an overview of the site which is a shared-use area managed by Parks and the SPFD near Mallon Avenue. The 20-foot descent on the basalt bluff, connects the Riverfront Park Promenade with the south end of the Sportsplex facility site. This agreement involves the development of a public, switchback walking path crossing a property boundary. Lydig Construction would design and construct the pathway, and scope of work includes concrete flatwork, landscaping, lighting, irrigation, materials/labor, permitting, and contingency budget. Once completed, Parks and SPFD would be responsible for maintenance costs on their respective sections of the connection ramp.

Motion No. 2: Jennifer Ogden moved to approve the ramp connection agreement with Spokane Public Facilities District, as presented, in the amount \$400,000, tax inclusive.

Rick Chase seconded.

Motion passed with unanimous consent (7-0 vote).

B. The next scheduled meeting is 3 p.m. May 11, 2020.

Finance Committee: April 7, 2020, *Bob Anderson*

A. All items were recommended as consent agenda items.

B. The next regularly scheduled meeting is 3 p.m. May 12, 2020.

8. **Reports:**

Park Board President: *Jennifer Ogden* thanked staff for working outside their regular job

about \$150,000. Revenues are exceeding expenditures approximately \$1.68 million. The March operating expenditures for the Golf Fund is about \$1,450 less than the budget average. Year-to-date revenues are exceeding the budget average almost \$257,000. Revenues are exceeding expenditures almost \$176,000 year-to-date.

6. **Special discussion/action items:**

A. None

7. **Committee reports:**

Urban Forestry Tree Committee: (The March 31 meeting was canceled.) *Rick Chase*

A. Action items: None

B. The next regularly scheduled meeting is 4:15 p.m. May 5, 2020.

Golf Committee: (The April 7 meeting was canceled.) *Gerry Sperling*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 8 a.m., May 12, 2020.

Land Committee: (The April 1 meeting was canceled.) *Greta Gilman*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 4 p.m. May 6, 2020.

Recreation Committee: (The April 1 meeting was canceled.) *Sally Lodato*

A. Action items: None

B. The next scheduled meeting is 5:15 p.m. May 6, 2020.

Riverfront Park Committee: April 6, 2020, *Jennifer Ogden*

A. Spokane Public Facilities District ramp connection agreement/Riverfront Park north bank and SportsPlex (\$400,000, tax inclusive) – *Berry Ellison* presented the proposed ramp connection agreement with Spokane Public Facilities District to connect the north bank of Riverfront Park with the SportsPlex facility in the amount of \$400,000, tax inclusive. Mr. Ellison provided an overview of the site which is a shared-use area managed by Parks and the SPFD near Mallon Avenue. The 20-foot descent on the basalt bluff, connects the Riverfront Park Promenade with the south end of the Sportsplex facility site. This agreement involves the development of a public, switchback walking path crossing a property boundary. Lydig Construction would design and construct the pathway, and scope of work includes concrete flatwork, landscaping, lighting, irrigation, materials/labor, permitting, and contingency budget. Once completed, Parks and SPFD would be responsible for maintenance costs on their respective sections of the connection ramp.

Motion No. 2: Jennifer Ogden moved to approve the ramp connection agreement with Spokane Public Facilities District, as presented, in the amount \$400,000, tax inclusive.

Rick Chase seconded.

Motion passed with unanimous consent (7-0 vote).

B. The next scheduled meeting is 3 p.m. May 11, 2020.

Finance Committee: April 7, 2020, *Bob Anderson*

A. All items were recommended as consent agenda items.

B. The next regularly scheduled meeting is 3 p.m. May 12, 2020.

8. **Reports:**

Park Board President: *Jennifer Ogden* thanked staff for working outside their regular job

scope as they deal with the coronavirus crisis. She also reminded the public there are two vacancies on the Park Board and applications are currently being accepted. She said she is hopeful that as the community experiences their renewed understanding of the importance of our parks and open spaces, particularly during this time of isolation, some citizens will consider serving on the Park Board.

Liaisons:

1. Conservation Futures – *Nick Sumner* - No report was given.
2. Parks Foundation – *Gerry Sperling* reported the next joint meeting with the foundation is scheduled for April 22.
3. City Council – *Lori Kinnear* gave an overview of a proposed resolution which will come before City Council on Monday that would reduce the speed limit to 20 mph around several city parks, including Manito, Mission and Audubon. With schools being closed until at least fall, combined with the already increased use of parks, she hopes the resolution will be put into effect immediately. Councilmember Kinnear proposes a year-round speed limit reduction on a two-year pilot basis allowing other parks and roads to be added over the course of the pilot program.

Director: *Garrett Jones*

A. Covid-19 update – Mr. Jones provided an overview of the Parks core service model which focuses on asset protection, staff and public safety, sanitation and a recovery plan. *Al Vorderbrueggen* is leading the response team while *Jennifer Papich* and *Jonathan Moog* are overseeing the recovery phase on how Parks will come out of this once restrictions are lifted. This time of year Parks typically hires hundreds of temp/seasonal employees to prepare for the busy season. Due to the pandemic, the division is operating with no temp/seasonal employees. This significantly impacts the level of service Parks is able to provide. Part of the response plan involves cross-training for current full-time employee so they will be equipped to perform a wider variety of tasks to make up for some of the lost workforce. Another key component of the plan involves community awareness and involvement. Mr. Jones explained staff is working on a rolling timeline on how programs and events will be added back as restrictions are lifted. He announced many of the public events and concerts planned for the next few months have been rescheduled to September and into fall. Mr. Jones extended his thanks to the Park Board and staff for their support in getting through the Covid-19 pandemic and proceeding on to recovery.

9. **Executive Session:**

A. None

10. **Correspondence:**


A. Letters/email: Policy proposal email

11. **Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 4:09 p.m.

12. **Meeting Dates:**

- A. Committee meeting dates:
Urban Forestry Committee: 4:15 p.m. May 5, 2020
Land Committee: 4 p.m. May 6, 2020
Recreation Committee: 5:15 p.m. May 6, 2020
Riverfront Park Committee: 3 p.m. May 11, 2020
Golf Committee: 8 a.m. May 12, 2020
Finance Committee: 3 p.m. May 12, 2020
- B. Park Board: 3:30 p.m. May 14, 2020
- C. Park Board Study Session: No session scheduled at this time.

Minutes approved by:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Garrett Jones", written over a horizontal line.

Garrett Jones, Director of Parks and Recreation

Spokane Park Board

Briefing Paper



Committee			
Committee meeting date			
Requester	Pamela Clarke	Phone number: 625-6241	
Type of agenda item	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Consent <input type="radio"/> Discussion <input type="radio"/> Information <input type="radio"/> Action		
Type of contract/agreement	<input type="radio"/> New <input type="radio"/> Renewal/extension <input type="radio"/> Amendment/change order <input checked="" type="radio"/> Other		
City Clerks file (OPR or policy #)	n/a		
Item title: (Use exact language noted on the agenda)	Claims – April 2020		
Begin/end dates	Begins: 04/01/2020	Ends: 04/30/2020	<input type="checkbox"/> Open ended
Background/history: Claims for the month of April 2020 in the amount of \$2,157,046.06			
Motion wording: Move to approve claims for the month of April 2020 as a consent agenda item.			
Approvals/signatures outside Parks: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No If so, who/what department, agency or company: Name: _____ Email address: _____ Phone: _____			
Distribution:			
Fiscal impact: <input type="radio"/> Expenditure <input type="radio"/> Revenue Amount: n/a Budget code: _____			
Vendor: <input type="radio"/> Existing vendor <input type="radio"/> New vendor Supporting documents: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Quotes/solicitation (RFP, RFQ, RFB) <input type="checkbox"/> Contractor is on the City's A&E Roster - City of Spokane <input type="checkbox"/> UBI: _____ Business license expiration date: _____ </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> W-9 (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> ACH Forms (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Certificate (min. \$1 million in General Liability) </div> </div>			

**CITY OF SPOKANE PARK AND RECREATION DIVISION
APRIL 2020 EXPENDITURE CLAIMS
FOR PARK BOARD APPROVAL - MAY 14, 2020**

PARKS & RECREATION:

SALARIES & WAGES	\$	657,498.16
MAINTENANCE & OPERATIONS	\$	509,089.33
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$	(91,838.80)
PARK CUMULATIVE RESERVE FUND	\$	19,600.39

RFP BOND 2015 IMPROVEMENTS:

CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$	897,180.57
----------------	----	------------

GOLF:

SALARIES & WAGES	\$	74,642.21
MAINTENANCE & OPERATIONS	\$	90,874.20
CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$	-

TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	\$	2,157,046.06
----------------------------	-----------	---------------------

Spokane Park Board

Briefing Paper



Committee	Riverfront Park Committee		
Committee meeting date	May 11, 2020		
Requester	Berry Ellison	Phone number: 509-625-6276	
Type of agenda item	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Consent <input type="radio"/> Discussion <input type="radio"/> Information <input type="radio"/> Action		
Type of contract/agreement	<input type="radio"/> New <input type="radio"/> Renewal/extension <input checked="" type="radio"/> Amendment/change order <input type="radio"/> Other		
City Clerks file (OPR or policy #)	OPR 2020-0285		
Item title: (Use exact language noted on the agenda)	LaRiviere Inc. change order #2/North bank playground (\$ 315,565.97, tax inclusive)		
Begin/end dates	Begins: 5/14/2020	Ends: 04/30/2021	<input type="checkbox"/> Open ended
Background/history: PCO 2B- Recirculating Splash Pad System: \$243,984.45 PCO 4- Unforeseen condition, debris removal: \$8,354.01 PCO 5-Rock excavation, unsuitable soils removal: \$73,827.51 PCO 6-Moisture sensors (3) provided, 1 installed: \$1,166.55 PCO 7-M&O Overhead Door Mods, drinking fountain, RR fixtures changes: (\$11,766.55)			
Motion wording: Approve change order #2 with LaRiviere Inc. for work on the north bank playground project in the amount of \$315,565.97, including tax, administrative reserve			
Approvals/signatures outside Parks: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No If so, who/what department, agency or company: LaRiviere Inc. Name: Matt Nason Email address: matt@LaRiviere.co Phone: 208-683-2646			
Distribution: Parks – Accounting JLBrown@spokanecity.org Parks – Pamela Clarke Dlarnold@spokanecity.org Requester: Berry Ellison PatrickMcCord@Hillint.com Grant Management Department/Name:			
Fiscal impact: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Expenditure <input type="radio"/> Revenue Amount: \$315,565.97 (Redevelopment Bond) Budget code: 3346 49577 94000 56301 48118			
Vendor: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Existing vendor <input type="radio"/> New vendor Supporting documents: <input type="checkbox"/> Quotes/solicitation (RFP, RFQ, RFB) <input type="checkbox"/> W-9 (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contractor is on the City's A&E Roster - City of Spokane <input type="checkbox"/> ACH Forms (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UBI: 602-764-461 Business license expiration date: 7/31/2020 <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Certificate (min. \$1 million in General Liability)			

CITY OF SPOKANE
PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT
CHANGE ORDER NO. 02

NAME OF CONTRACTOR: LaRiviere, Inc

PROJECT TITLE: North Bank Playground

PROJECT NUMBER: 5188-19

=====

DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE:

Item 1: COP 1 Splash Pad	\$ 243,984.45
Item 2: COP 3 Moisture Sensors	\$ 1,166.55
Item 3: COP 6 Substitute Plbg Fixtures; reduce OH Dr. Height	\$ (11,766.55)
Item 4: Remove existing debris in M&O (PCO 004)	\$ 8,354.01
Item 5: Remove and export rock (PCO 005)	\$ 73,827.51

=====

TOTAL AMOUNT: \$ 315,565.97

CONTRACT SUM (INCLUDES SALES TAX)	
ORIGINAL CONTRACT SUM (INCLUDE ALTERNATES)	\$ 8,454,218.46
NET AMOUNT OF PREVIOUS CHANGE ORDERS	\$ 19,975.38
CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT	\$ 8,474,193.84
CURRENT CHANGE ORDER (INCLUDES SALES TAX)	\$ 315,565.97
REVISED CONTRACT SUM	\$ 8,789,759.81

CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE	
ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE	11/16/20
CURRENT COMPLETION DATE	11/16/20
REVISED COMPLETION DATE	NA

Contractor's Acceptance: _____ Date: _____

City Approval: _____ Date: _____

Attest: _____ City Clerk

Pre-Approved as to form: James Richman, Assistant City Attorney



April 15, 2020

Berry Ellison
City Of Spokane Parks & Recreation
808 W Spokane Falls Blvd.
Spokane, WA 99201

RE: Recirculating Splash Pad ROM

Mr. Ellison,

We are please to provide this estimate for the design build construction of a recirculating splash pad for the North Bank Playground Project. The following are clarifications to the proposed scope.

General:

- Design proposal has been submitted separately. This estimate is for the equipment supply and construction costs only.
- The estimated cost is intended to provide a complete and operable recirculating splash pad system. The costs associated with incorporating the equipment into the project including landscape and site revisions are based on the conceptual drawing CA.1A. Items not shown or specifically addressed in the following clarifications have not been included in this estimate.
- Rock or unsuitable soils have not been included in this estimate. If encountered they will be handled on a T&M basis consistent with the balance of the project.

Water Odyssey:

- Supply of complete packaged equipment enclosure housing all pumps, manifolds, control panels, chemical delivery systems, sand filter and instruments.
- Supply of remote chlorine and PH crocks including in-ground locking enclosure with provisions for plumbing connections. Chlorine to be a liquid system.
- Supply of 4000 gal collection tank housing cartridge filters, access ladder, internal plumbing and provision for plumbing connections.
- Supply of packaged rain diverter vault housing pipe, automated valves and fittings with provisions for plumbing connections.
- Supply of all aquatic play features included in the original bid documents.
- Supply of all weather monitoring equipment included in the original bid documents.
- Complete on-site functional testing, startup and training services for the entire system.

CONTRACTING | EXCAVATING | TRUCKING

Installation:

- Setting of in ground enclosures including excavation, backfill, reinforced concrete bases, tie-down and grounding systems. Concrete bases included under the collector tank and rain diverter only.
- Underground plumbing required to connect equipment skid, collector tank and rain diverter. Water Odyssey has suggested SCH40 PVC pipe is commonly used for this however with some larger pipe diameters and travel under hardscape and a mammoth feature we have estimated SCH80 PVC pipe.
- Underground plumbing of tank overflow, rain diverter and equipment skid to relocated sewer manhole. Pipe also estimated as SCH80 PVC. Includes coring and patching new pipe penetrations in sewer manhole that is currently on site.
- Underground plumbing of chemical crocks to equipment skid.
- Underground plumbing for the splash pad drains will remain as bid with the exception of being re-routed to the rain diverter vault.
- Water line and RP have been resized from 4" to 2" and includes feeds to both the collector tank and equipment skid.
- Underground plumbing of additional feed lines from equipment skid out to water features.
- Testing and chlorinating as required for all plumbing.
- No floor drains have been included in the equipment enclosure. They can be added if desired, but they are not believed to be required.
- Allowance to fabricate and install and steel access gate similar to guardrail construction.

Electrical:

- Provide conduit and 208V 3 phase conductors from existing panel S to the new equipment skid.
- Provide conduit and wire to remote actuated valves located in the rain diverter.
- Electrical trenching and backfill.

Landscaping:

- The estimate includes additional landscaping rock shown on the conceptual CA.1A drawing to screen the equipment enclosure. This covers (5) 24" wide 8'-0 columnar basalt rocks. Due to the underground pipe in this area and the need to bury rocks of this nature an alternate design may be needed.

Respectfully,



Matt Nason
Project Manager,
LaRiviere Inc.

Contractor: LARIVIERE INC.
Project: North Bank Playground
PCO 002B Recirculating Splash Pad ROM

Scope of change:

Estimated construction costs for redesigned recirculating splash pad.

RECAP OF CHANGE ORDER PRICING DETAIL

Total Charges for Labor and Labor Burden - from page two (2)	\$18,586.34
Total Charges for Material - from page two (2)	\$13,655.10
Total Charges for Equipment - from page two (2)	\$9,740.50
Total Allowable Charges for Subcontractors - from page two (2)	\$176,278.65
Total Allowable Charges for time impacts - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Subtotal	\$218,260.59
Bond -	\$2,728.26
Liability Insurance -	\$2,400.87
Builders Risk Insurance -	\$654.78
TOTAL	\$224,044.49
Washington State Sales Tax 8.9%	\$19,939.96
TOTAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT	\$243,984.45

Contract Pricing Certification:

We hereby certify that the pricing in this change order proposal is current, accurate, and in accordance with the contract agreement.

LaRiviere Submitted by: _____
Matt Nason, Project Manager

Date Submitted: _____

Recommended for Payment by: _____ Date Approved: _____

Owner Approved by: _____

Date Approved: _____


LABOR			
Detailed Labor Take-off			12626.59
Labor Burden	28%		3535.45
Supervision	0%		0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%		2424.31
TOTAL LABOR CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT			18586.34

MATERIAL			
Material from detailed material Take-off sheets			11874.00
Other direct material not Specifically included in detailed take-off - Consumables, etc.			0.00
Freight from vendor to job sit if not already included in above material costs.			0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Material Costs	0.00%		0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%		1781.10
TOTAL MATERIAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT			13655.10

EQUIPMENT			
Equipment from detailed materials take off sheets			8470.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage	Rate	0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage	Rate	0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Equipment	0.00%		0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%		1270.50
TOTAL EQUIPMENT CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT			9,740.50

SUBS			
Subs from detailed material Take-off sheets			\$163,220.97
Subcontractor Name:		Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:		Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:		Proposal Date:	
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	8%		13057.68
TOTAL CHARGES FOR SUBCONTRACTOR WORK PER CONTRACT			\$176,278.65


EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS			
Time Impact caused by this change 0 days. Costs are per cal. day.			
TOTAL CHARGES FOR EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS PER CONTRACT			\$0.00




2

NOTES:

1. ALL PIPING "NSF-PW" APPROVED / ALL ELECTRICAL WIRING IN COMPLIANCE WITH NEC ELECTRICAL INTERLOCK OF ELECTRICAL FEED EQUIPMENT WITH RECIRCULATION PUMP, HYDRAULIC INTERLOCK OF EROSION FEED EQUIPMENT WITH RECIRCULATION PUMP, FLOW PROPORTIONING VALVES WHERE REQUIRED: 2 1/2" AND UNDER - DIVERTER VALVE; 3" AND ABOVE - BUTTERFLY VALVE
2. ALL MOTORS AND RECEPTACLES ARE PROTECTED BY GFCI BREAKERS AS REQUIRED BY N.E.C
3. COMPLIES WITH THE 6TH EDITION OF THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE.




PLAN VIEW - PAK EQUIPMENT



FRONT ELEVATION - PAK EQUIPMENT

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOL ANGLE $\pm 1.0^\circ$
2 PL ± 0.25 , 3 PL ± 0.125
INTERPRET DIM(S) & TOL(S)

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION



DRAWN

KBOSHELL

02/18/20

CHECKED

HKINNUNEN

02/19/20

MFG CHECK

APPROVED



TITLE

CHR450IWF-20CWO-S-P-U-P-B3-1
NORTH BANK IWF
SPOKANE, WA

WT. (lbs.)

SCALE

1/4" = 1'-0"

SIZE

REV

A0

SHEET

1

OF

7

DWG NO

31144-M1

EQUIPMENT LIST

CHR450IWF-20CWO, 4,000 GALLONS IN 0.5 HRS, 134 GPM @ 60 TDH, 7.69 SQ.FT TOTAL
FEATURE FLOW A: 144 GPM @ 60 TDH, FEATURE FLOW B: 288 GPM @ 60 TDH,
FEATURE FLOW C: 23 GPM FLOW W/ POTABLE CITY CONNECTION

- 1 MBR ELECTRIC PANEL, 200A, 1 ϕ 120/230V
- 2 FLUIDRA ASTRAL ASTER 36" SAND FILTER, P/N 66711-S3, 7.69 SQ.FT TOTAL, 17.4 GPM FILTER RATE
FLUIDRA MULTIPOINT VALVE P/N 24840, MAX FLOW 145 GPM
- 3 (4) VAKPAK, INC VC150 P/N 35755 DEBRIS FILTER, 150 SQ.FT EACH, 600 SQ.FT TOTAL,
0.22 GPM FILTER RATE
- 4 3 HP - PENTAIR XFE-12 RECIRC. PUMP, 155 GPM @ 60 TDH, 7" STRAINER, 1 ϕ 230V
- 5 7.5 HP - SPECK 95-X FEATURE PUMP, 348 GPM @ 60 TDH, 7" STRAINER, 3 ϕ 208V
- 6 5 HP - PENTAIR XFE-20 FEATURE PUMP, 220 GPM @ 60 TDH, 7" STRAINER, 1 ϕ 230V
- 7 (2) LED SERVICE LIGHT, MINIMAL 30FT CANDLES, MINIMUM 351LM/FT
- 8 QL FEEDER, 77 GPD, 65P GALLONS REMOTE LOCKABLE CONTAINER
- 9 PH FEEDER, 38 GPD, 40P GALLONS REMOTE LOCKABLE CONTAINER
- 10 (3) RECEPTACLE - GFCI
- 11 7.5 HP VFD, 1 ϕ -3 ϕ 208V
- 12 CHEMICAL CONTROLLER, 120V
- 13 EXHAUST FAN, 525CFM
- 14 3" BLUE-WHITE FLOWMETER, E30300P, 45 - 240 GPM
- 15 (3) PRESSURE GAUGE, 0# TO 60#, (3) VACUUM GAUGE, 0# TO 30#
- 16 WATER ODYSSEY DSC8-32 CONTROL PANEL INSTALLED BY VPI
- 17 FEATURE A: W.O WMF-08 MANIFOLD, (8) FEATURES, (1) 3" MANUAL BYPASS
- 18 FEATURE B: W.O WMF-04 MANIFOLD, (4) FEATURES,
- 19 FEATURE C: W.O FW MANIFOLD, (8) FEATURES, CK VALVE & VALVE CONFIGURATION ONLY.
- 20 ACTUATED RAIN DIVERTER, REMOTE, W/ TRANSFORMER, 24VAC
- 21 CB1708, CT4000, CT1000

	VALVES AND PIPE CONNECTION SIZES	SIZE			
A	RECIRC. SUCTION	4"	M	FRESH WATER W/ HOSE BIBB AND VACUUM BREAKER	1"
B	MAIN	8"	N	STATIC LINE	2"
C	FILTER RETURN	3"	P	OVERFLOW	2"
D	BACKWASH/WASTE W/ SIGHT GLASS	3"	R	STORM DRAIN	4"
E	TANK DRAIN	2"	S	CHEMICAL CHASE COUPLINGS (2)	1"
F	FEATURE "A" SUCTION	4"	T	FLOOR DRAIN	2"
G	FEATURE "A" TO MANIFOLD	3"	V	DIVERTER ELECTRIC CONDUIT COUPLING	1"
H	FEATURE "A" MANUAL BYPASS	3"			
J	FEATURE "B" SUCTION	6"			
K	FEATURE "B" TO MANIFOLD	4"			
L	FEATURE "C" POTABLE CITY WATER	2"			

PUMP CURVES, CROCK DETAILS
SEE SHEET 2

INITIAL RELEASE

GENERAL NOTES:


1. TANK HAS THREE SUCTION PORTS. PLUG UNUSED PORTS.
2. TANK HAS ACCESS LADDER.



SHEET NOTES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS TO EOR/AOR/LA FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL

1. CONCRETE TANK ANCHOR — GRADE M25
2. ANCHOR REINFORCING — GRADE 60, #4 @ 12" OCEW TOP & BOTTOM.
3. VISQUEEN VAPOR BARRIER.
4. CRUSHED ROCK/STONE.
5. COMPACTED SOIL.
6. SEE DWG No. G00130 FOR INSTALLATION DETAIL.

ZONE	REV.	E.C.N. NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY
ALL	A0	N/A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/19/20	KB




UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOL ANGLE ± 1.0°, 2 PL ±0.25, 3 PL ±0.125 INTERPRET DIM(S) & TOL(S)	DRAWN	KBOSHELL	02/18/20			DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF VAK PAK INC., ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF VAK PAK INC., IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.						
	CHECKED											
	HKINNUNEN	02/19/20	TITLE		CT4000LPC NORTH BANK IWF SPOKANE, WA							
	MFG CHECK											
THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION												
												
				WT. (lbs.)	SCALE	SIZE	REV	SHEET	DWG NO			
				--	1/4" = 1'-0"	A	A0	3 OF 7	31144-M3			

1420 SQ FT

0 2 4 8
SCALE - 3/16"=1' @ 11" x 17"

NOTES:

1. THIS DRAWING IS DIAGRAMMATIC IN NATURE. LOCATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR PLAY COMPONENTS AND DRAINS ARE APPROXIMATE. PIPING AND CONDUIT RUNS ARE SCHEMATIC. JOB CONDITIONS AND LOCAL CODES MUST DETERMINE FINAL ROUTING.
2. WET DECK AREA MUST BE POURED AND FORMED SO THAT WATER SHED AREA SLOPES TOWARD DRAINS.



PLAN-KD		W21298		VER.	1	OPT.	H	PD	REV.	SHEET	1 of 2	DATE	04 - 02 - 20
PIPE-AW		W21298		TYPE		H		PD		REV.		DATE	
SALES-LWP		W21298		DWG NO		H		PD		REV.		DATE	

NORTH BANK PIPING DIAGRAM

Water Odyssey™ by Fountain People, Inc. - PO Box 807 - San Marcos, Texas 78667-0807
Phone (512) 392-1155 - Fax (512) 392-1154 - www.waterodyssey.com



ITEM	FEATURE DESCRIPTION	QTY
------	---------------------	-----

SPLASH PAD		
W014	TRIPLE MIST 12" HIGH 3 GPM (9) @ 20 PSI	3
W036	WATER WAVE 2" HIGH 12 GPM @ 2 PSI	1
W055	2" HIGH-2" THROW-5" WIDE 36 GPM @ 3 PSI	1
W085	SPLASH-O-LATER 4" HIGH 7 GPM (35) @ 3 PSI	5
W097-8	OVER N' UNDER 6" HIGH - 6" THROW 40 GPM @ 4 PSI	1
W100	ANYWAYSpray 4" HIGH - 4" THROW 4 GPM (12) @ 3 PSI	3

SPLASH PAD GPM 144

MIST WALL		
20-7011	SINGLE MIST NOZZLE FOR WALL 1 GPM (14) @ 20 PSI	1

MIST WALL GPM 14

WATERFALL		
SWT-96-W21298	OPEN TOP WATERFALL TROUGH 288 GPM @ 35 TDH	1

WATERFALL GPM 288

ACTIVATORS		
W017-F	LAUNCH PAD FLAT / HARD-WIRED	2

DRAINS BY OTHERS

VAK-PAK WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM		
DSC-8-32	SEQUENCING CONTROLLER WALL MOUNTED	1

SPLASH PAD (LESS MIST)		
WMF-08	FLANGED WALL MOUNTED DISTRIBUTION MANIFOLD	1

WATERFALL		
WMF-04-W21298	CUSTOM / (4) 2" ASSEMBLIES FLANGED WALL MOUNTED DISTRIBUTION MANIFOLD	1

RECIRC GPM 423

POTABLE MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT		
MIST (CITY WATER SUPPLY) TRIPLE MIST & SINGLE MIST NOZZLE		
VM-08	IN-GROUND MANIFOLD 3" INLET MAX/184 GPM MAX (FOR USE WITH VALVE BOX)	1
05-0505	PRESSURE REDUCING VALVE 40 GPM MAX @ 20 PSI	1

CITY WATER GPM 23

ACTIVATOR CONTROL SCHEDULE			
INPUT CHANNEL	#	FEATURE	
1:01	011	W017-F LAUNCH PAD FLAT HARD-WIRED	
1:02	012	W017-F LAUNCH PAD FLAT HARD-WIRED	

FEATURE CONTROL SCHEDULE				
OUTPUT CHANNEL	VALVE	FEATURE	GPM	PIPE SIZE
2:01	101	W097-8 OVER N' UNDER	40	1.5"
2:02	102	W085 SPLASH-O-LATER	14	1"
2:03	103	W085 SPLASH-O-LATER	7	1"
2:04	104	W100 ANYWAYSpray	4	1"
2:05	105	W055 WATER WAVE	36	1.5"
2:06	106	W036 BABY LONG LEGS	12	1"
2:07	107	W100 ANYWAYSpray	8	1"
2:08	108	W085 SPLASH-O-LATER	14	1"

3:01	201	SWT-96-W21298 OPEN TOP WATERFALL	72	2"
3:02	202	SWT-96-W21298 OPEN TOP WATERFALL	72	2"
3:03	203	SWT-96-W21298 OPEN TOP WATERFALL	72	2"
3:04	204	SWT-96-W21298 OPEN TOP WATERFALL	72	2"

3:05	301	SWT-96-W21298 SINGLE MIST NOZZLE	3	1"
3:06	302	SWT-96-W21298 SINGLE MIST NOZZLE	3	1"
3:07	303	SWT-96-W21298 SINGLE MIST NOZZLE	3	1"
3:08	304	SWT-96-W21298 SINGLE MIST NOZZLE	2	1"
4:01	305	W014 TRIPLE MIST	3	1"
4:02	306	SWT-96-W21298 SINGLE MIST NOZZLE	3	1"
4:03	307	W014 TRIPLE MIST	3	1"
4:04	308	W014 TRIPLE MIST	3	1"

PLAY SCENARIO ZONES

ACTIVATOR LABEL	011
ACTIVATOR LOCATION	W017-F LAUNCH PAD FLAT HARD-WIRED
MANIFOLD VALVE ASSEMBLY LABEL	101 THRU 108
MANIFOLD VALVE ASSEMBLY LABEL	305 307 308

PROGRAM NOT SPECIFIED

PLAY SCENARIO ZONES


ACTIVATOR LABEL	012
ACTIVATOR LOCATION	W017-F LAUNCH PAD FLAT HARD-WIRED
MANIFOLD VALVE ASSEMBLY LABEL	201 THRU 204
MANIFOLD VALVE ASSEMBLY LABEL	301 302 303 304 306

PROGRAM NOT SPECIFIED

REFER TO ELECTRICAL SCHEMATICS AND PROGRAMMING SHEET FOR WIRING CONNECTIONS TO BE MADE TO TAGGED SOLENOID VALVE ASSEMBLIES

—DIAGRAMMATIC—
SEE VAK-PAK DESIGN PACKAGE FOR DETAILS, POWER SUPPLY, AND ALL ELECTRICAL CONNECTIONS

CITY WATER SUPPLY



1420 SQ FT

0 2 4 8
SCALE - 3/16"=1' @ 11" x 17"

NOTES:

1. THIS DRAWING IS DIAGRAMMATIC IN NATURE. LOCATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR PLAY COMPONENTS AND DRAINS ARE APPROXIMATE. PIPING AND CONDUIT RUNS ARE SCHEMATIC. JOB CONDITIONS AND LOCAL CODES MUST DETERMINE FINAL ROUTING.
2. WET DECK AREA MUST BE POURED AND FORMED SO THAT WATER SHED AREA SLOPES TOWARD DRAINS.

NORTH BANK PIPING DIAGRAM

PLAN-KD
PIPE-AW
SALES-LWP

W21298
DWG NO

REV. PD
TYPE H
OPT. 1
VER.

2 of 2
SHEET

DATE 04 - 02 - 20



Water Odyssey™ by Fountain People, Inc. - PO Box 807 - San Marcos, Texas 78667-0807
Phone (512) 392-1155 ~ Fax (512) 392-1154 ~ www.waterodyssey.com

Contractor: LARIVIERE INC.
 Project: North Bank Playground
 PCO 004 Left Over Park Debris

Scope of change:

Loading, haul-off and disposal of leftover park debris.

RECAP OF CHANGE ORDER PRICING DETAIL

Total Charges for Labor and Labor Burden - from page two (2)	\$2,496.78
Total Charges for Material - from page two (2)	\$1,823.14
Total Charges for Equipment - from page two (2)	\$3,153.30
Total Allowable Charges for Subcontractors - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Allowable Charges for time impacts - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Subtotal	\$7,473.23
Bond -	\$93.42
Liability Insurance -	\$82.21
Builders Risk Insurance -	\$22.42
TOTAL	\$7,671.27
Washington State Sales Tax 8.9%	\$682.74
TOTAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT	\$8,354.01

Contract Pricing Certification:

We hereby certify that the pricing in this change order proposal is current, accurate, and in accordance with the contract agreement.

LaRiviere Submitted by: 
 Matt Nason, Project Manager

Date Submitted: 4/17/20

Recommended for Payment by: _____ Date Approved: _____

Owner Approved by: _____

Date Approved: _____

LABOR			
Detailed Labor Take-off			1696.19
Labor Burden	28%		474.93
Supervision	0%		0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%		325.67
TOTAL LABOR CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT			2496.78

MATERIAL			
Material from detailed material Take-off sheets			1585.34
Other direct material not Specifically included in detailed take-off - Consumables, etc.			0.00
Freight from vendor to job sit if not already included in above material costs.			0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Material Costs	0.00%		0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%		237.80
TOTAL MATERIAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT			1823.14

EQUIPMENT			
Equipment from detailed materials take off sheets			2742.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage	Rate	0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage	Rate	0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Equipment	0.00%		0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%		411.30
TOTAL EQUIPMENT CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT			3,153.30

SUBS			
Subs from detailed material Take-off sheets			\$0.00
Subcontractor Name:		Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:		Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:		Proposal Date:	
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	8%		0.00
TOTAL CHARGES FOR SUBCONTRACTOR WORK PER CONTRACT			\$0.00

EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS	
Time Impact caused by this change	0 days. Costs are per cal. day.
TOTAL CHARGES FOR EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS PER CONTRACT	\$0.00

Contractor: LARIVIERE INC.

Project: North Bank Playground

PCO 005 Rock Ex & Unsuitable

Scope of change:

T&M Rock Excavation

Sorting, Haul-off & Disposal of unsuitable soils.

T&M Pricing Through April 14th, 2020

RECAP OF CHANGE ORDER PRICING DETAIL

Total Charges for Labor and Labor Burden - from page two (2)	\$20,635.69
Total Charges for Material - from page two (2)	\$12,112.06
Total Charges for Equipment - from page two (2)	\$33,295.95
Total Allowable Charges for Subcontractors - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Allowable Charges for time impacts - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Subtotal	\$66,043.70
Bond -	\$825.55
Liability Insurance -	\$726.48
Builders Risk Insurance -	\$198.13
TOTAL	\$67,793.86
Washington State Sales Tax 8.9%	\$6,033.65
TOTAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT	\$73,827.51

Contract Pricing Certification:

We hereby certify that the pricing in this change order proposal is current, accurate, and in accordance with the contract agreement.

LaRiviere Submitted by: 

Matt Nason, Project Manager

Date Submitted: 4/29/20

Recommended for Payment by: _____ Date Approved: _____

Owner Approved by: _____

Date Approved: _____

LABOR		
Detailed Labor Take-off		14018.81
Labor Burden	28%	3925.27
Supervision	0%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%	2691.61
TOTAL LABOR CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		20635.69

MATERIAL		
Material from detailed material Take-off sheets		10532.23
Other direct material not Specifically included in detailed take-off - Consumables, etc.		0.00
Freight from vendor to job sit if not already included in above material costs.		0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Material Costs	0.00%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%	1579.83
TOTAL MATERIAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		12112.06

EQUIPMENT		
Equipment from detailed materials take off sheets		28953.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage Rate	0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage Rate	0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Equipment	0.00%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%	4342.95
TOTAL EQUIPMENT CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		33,295.95

SUBS		
Subs from detailed material Take-off sheets		\$0.00
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	8%	0.00
TOTAL CHARGES FOR SUBCONTRACTOR WORK PER CONTRACT		\$0.00

EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS		
Time Impact caused by this change	0 days. Costs are	per cal. day.
TOTAL CHARGES FOR EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS PER CONTRACT		\$0.00

Contractor: LARIVIERE INC.
 Project: North Bank Playground
 PCO 006 COP 3 Moisture Sensors

Scope of change:

COP 3 request to add 3 moisture sensors to the irrigation scope.

1 to be installed and 2 to be delivered to the owner.

RECAP OF CHANGE ORDER PRICING DETAIL

Total Charges for Labor and Labor Burden - from page two (2)	\$122.41
Total Charges for Material - from page two (2)	\$921.15
Total Charges for Equipment - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Allowable Charges for Subcontractors - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Allowable Charges for time impacts - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Subtotal	\$1,043.56
Bond -	\$13.04
Liability Insurance -	\$11.48
Builders Risk Insurance -	\$3.13
TOTAL	\$1,071.22
Washington State Sales Tax 8.9%	\$95.34
TOTAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT	\$1,166.55

Contract Pricing Certification:

We hereby certify that the pricing in this change order proposal is current, accurate, and in accordance with the contract agreement.

LaRiviere Submitted by: 
 Matt Nason, Project Manager

Date Submitted: 4/28/20

Recommended for Payment by: _____ Date Approved: _____

Owner Approved by: _____

Date Approved: _____

Contractor: LARIVIERE INC.
 Project: North Bank Playground
 PCO 007 COP 6 OH Door & Plumbing Fixtures

Scope of change:

COP 6 changes one overhead door from 14 feet tall to 8 feet tall.

Restroom fixtures revised from industrial stainless steel to fixtures matching the Riverfront Pavilion

RFI#4 requests double drinking fountain DF-2 be revised to (2) DF-1 drinking fountains.

RECAP OF CHANGE ORDER PRICING DETAIL

Total Charges for Labor and Labor Burden - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Charges for Material - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Charges for Equipment - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Total Allowable Charges for Subcontractors - from page two (2)	(\$11,766.55)
Total Allowable Charges for time impacts - from page two (2)	\$0.00
Subtotal	(\$11,766.55)
TOTAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT	(\$11,766.55)

Contract Pricing Certification:

We hereby certify that the pricing in this change order proposal is current, accurate, and in accordance with the contract agreement.

LaRiviere Submitted by: 
 Matt Nason, Project Manager

Date Submitted: 4/28/20

Recommended for Payment by: _____ Date Approved: _____

Owner Approved by: _____

Date Approved: _____

LABOR		
Detailed Labor Take-off		0.00
Labor Burden	28%	0.00
Supervision	0%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	0%	0.00
TOTAL LABOR CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		0.00

MATERIAL		
Material from detailed material Take-off sheets		0.00
Other direct material not Specifically included in detailed take-off - Consumables, etc.		0.00
Freight from vendor to job sit if not already included in above material costs.		0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Material Costs	0.00%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	0%	0.00
TOTAL MATERIAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		0.00

EQUIPMENT		
Equipment from detailed materials take off sheets		0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage Rate	0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage Rate	0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Equipment	0.00%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	0%	0.00
TOTAL EQUIPMENT CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		0.00

SUBS		
Subs from detailed material Take-off sheets		(\$11,766.55)
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	0%	0.00
TOTAL CHARGES FOR SUBCONTRACTOR WORK PER CONTRACT		(\$11,766.55)

EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS		
Time Impact caused by this change	0 days. Costs are	per cal. day.
TOTAL CHARGES FOR EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS PER CONTRACT		\$0.00

LABOR		
Detailed Labor Take-off		83.16
Labor Burden	28%	23.28
Supervision	0%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%	15.97
TOTAL LABOR CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		122.41

MATERIAL		
Material from detailed material Take-off sheets		801.00
Other direct material not Specifically included in detailed take-off - Consumables, etc.		0.00
Freight from vendor to job sit if not already included in above material costs.		0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Material Costs	0.00%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%	120.15
TOTAL MATERIAL CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		921.15

EQUIPMENT		
Equipment from detailed materials take off sheets		0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage Rate	0.00
Equipment Description	Est Usage Rate	0.00
Applicable Sales Tax on Equipment	0.00%	0.00
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	15%	0.00
TOTAL EQUIPMENT CHARGES FOR CHANGE ORDER PER CONTRACT		0.00

SUBS		
Subs from detailed material Take-off sheets		\$0.00
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Subcontractor Name:	Proposal Date:	
Allowance For Overhead & Profit	8%	0.00
TOTAL CHARGES FOR SUBCONTRACTOR WORK PER CONTRACT		\$0.00

EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS		
Time Impact caused by this change	0 days. Costs are	per cal. day.
TOTAL CHARGES FOR EXTENDED TIME IMPACTS PER CONTRACT		\$0.00

Spokane Park Board

Briefing Paper



Committee				
Committee meeting date				
Requester				
Requester phone number				
Type of agenda item	Consent	Discussion	Information	Action
Type of contract	New	Renewal/extension	Amendment/change order	Other
City Clerks file (OPR or policy #)				
Item title: (Use exact language on the agenda)				
Begin/end dates	Begins:	Ends:	Open ended	
Impact if not approved at this time				
Background/history:				
Recommendation/motion wording:				
Approvals/signatures outside Parks:	Yes	No		
If so, who/what department, agency or company:				
Name: _____ Email address: _____ Phone: _____				
Distribution:				
Parks – Accounting		_____		
Parks – Pamela Clarke		_____		
Requester: _____		_____		
Grant Management Department/Name: _____		_____		
Fiscal impact:	Expenditure	Revenue		
Amount:		Budget code:		
Vendor:	Existing vendor	New vendor		
Supporting documents:				
Quotes/solicitation (RFP, RFQ, RFB)		W-9 (for new contractors/consultants/vendors)		
Contractor is on the City's A&E Roster - City of Spokane		ACH Forms (for new contractors/consultants/vendors)		
Business license expiration date:		Insurance Certificate (min. \$1 million in General Liability)		



City of Spokane
Parks and Recreation Department

CONTRACT AMENDMENT #6

**Title: RIVERFRONT PARK
NORTH BANK PROJECT**

This Contract Amendment is made and entered into by and between the **City of Spokane Parks and Recreation Department** as ("City"), a Washington municipal corporation, and **Bernardo Wills Architects, P.C.**, whose address is 153 South Jefferson Street, Spokane, Washington, 99201 as ("Consultant"), individually hereafter referenced as a "party", and together as the "parties".

WHEREAS, the parties entered into a Contract wherein the Consultant agreed to provide Professional Landscape Architecture Services for Riverfront Park, North Bank Regional Playground; and

WHEREAS, a change or revision of the Work has been requested, thus the original Contract needs to be formally Amended by this written document; and

-- NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of these terms, the parties mutually agree as follows:

1. CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

The original Contract dated August 27, 2018 and August 28, 2018, any previous amendments, addendums and / or extensions / renewals thereto, are incorporated by reference into this document as though written in full and shall remain in full force and effect except as provided herein.

2. EFFECTIVE TERM.

This Contract Amendment shall become effective on October 10, 2019 and shall run through December 31, 2020.

3. ADDITIONAL WORK.

The Scope of Work in the original Contract is revised to include that which is outlined in Exhibit A.

4. COMPENSATION.

The City shall pay an additional amount not to exceed **NINETEEN THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED THIRTY AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$19,430.75)** for everything furnished and done under this Contract Amendment. This is the maximum amount to be paid under this Amendment, and shall not be exceeded without the prior written authorization of the City, memorialized with the same formality as the original Contract and this document.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, in consideration of the terms, conditions and covenants contained, or attached and incorporated and made a part, the parties have executed this Contract Amendment by having legally-binding representatives affix their signatures below.

BERNARDO WILLIS ARCHITECTS, P.C.

**CITY OF SPOKANE
PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

By _____
Signature Date

By _____
Signature Date

Type or Print Name

Type or Print Name

Title

Title

Attest:

Approved as to form:

City Clerk

Assistant City Attorney

Attachments that are part of this Agreement:

Amended Scope of Work

2020-05-14

April 27, 2020

Mr. Berry Ellison
City of Spokane Parks & Recreation
808 W Spokane Falls Blvd # 5
Spokane, WA 99201

**Re: Proposal of Professional Services for Riverfront Park, North Bank Regional Playground.
Amendment No. 7 – Change of Scope of Services, Redesign Splash Pad**

Dear Berry:

As requested at last week's construction meeting, we have removed the design fees from the contractors Change Order Proposal #1 (COP#1). The new COP#1 will be for materials and labor only. This contract amendment is for design services only, to change the flow through splash pad system as previously designed and bid, to a recirculation system.

We have incorporated Coffman Engineering and Phoenix Engineering fees into this amendment as subconsultants to the BWA scope of work.

This amendment consideration is for the consultant agreement between the City of Spokane Parks and Recreation Department and Bernardo Wills Architects, P.C. Dated August 27, 2018 and is intended to provide reimbursement for out of scope services we are currently working on to provide Permit/Construction Documents for the new splash pad system.

BWA will provide design coordination between the splash pad equipment manufactured and the contractor to develop a new splash pad system. The equipment re-configuration will require updates to the drawing backgrounds, layout, grading, materials, landscape plans and construction details. We will also coordinate with the Health Department and hand walk the permit set to the Health Department for one review meeting.

Coffman Engineering will revise location of manhole and connection to existing sewer system, revise location connection for domestic water, provide drain lines from the 4 splash pad drains to the rain diverter, provide drain lines from the rain diverter to the manhole and to the collector tank, provide supply line from domestic water connection to the collector tank, provide supply line from domestic water connection to the skid, provide new electrical layout and connections for new 3-phase electrical service to the pump skid.

Phoenix Engineering is retained to review the water feature system for code compliance and quality control, confirming hydraulic calculations then sign and seal the drawing package by a Washington Registered Engineer as required by the Washington Administrative Code (WAC).

We respectfully request your consideration of additional services in the amount of **\$19,430.75** for these out of scope items.

This proposal is intended to be concise. Please call us should you need additional clarification or have any questions.

Sincerely,



Dell Hatch, ASLA
BWA Landscape Architecture/Urban Design/Planning



William LaRue, ASLA
Landscape Architect

Spokane Park Board

Briefing Paper



Committee	Finance Committee		
Committee meeting date	May 12, 2020		
Requester	Nick Hamad	Phone number: 363-5452	
Type of agenda item	<input type="radio"/> Consent <input type="radio"/> Discussion <input type="radio"/> Information <input checked="" type="radio"/> Action		
Type of contract/agreement	<input checked="" type="radio"/> New <input type="radio"/> Renewal/extension <input type="radio"/> Amendment/change order <input type="radio"/> Other		
City Clerks file (OPR or policy #)			
Item title: (Use exact language noted on the agenda)	RCO grant authorizing resolution for planned 2020 grant applications.		
Begin/end dates	Begins: 05/14/2020	Ends:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open ended
Background/history: Grant applications submitted by the City of Spokane Parks Division to the Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office require support by the Park Board in the form of an authorizing resolution. Grant applications planned for the 2020 grant cycle include: - Riverfront Park South Suspension Bridge (1 RCO Grant application not to exceed \$750,000) with matching funds. - Make Beacon Hill Public (2 RCO Grant applications totaling \$1,500,000) with matching funds. Matching funds for Beacon Hill grant application provided by others (Spokane County, Avista, Evergreen East, INLC).			
Motion wording: To approve the RCO grant authorizing resolution for planned 2020 grant applications, as presented.			
Approvals/signatures outside Parks: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No If so, who/what department, agency or company: Name: _____ Email address: _____ Phone: _____			
Distribution: Parks – Accounting Megan Qureshi Parks – Pamela Clarke Requester: Nick Hamad Grant Management Department/Name: _____ Skyler Brown			
Fiscal impact: <input type="radio"/> Expenditure <input type="radio"/> Revenue Amount: \$0.00 Budget code: n/a			
Vendor: <input type="radio"/> Existing vendor <input type="radio"/> New vendor Supporting documents: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Quotes/solicitation (RFP, RFQ, RFB) <input type="checkbox"/> Contractor is on the City's A&E Roster - City of Spokane <input type="checkbox"/> UBI: _____ Business license expiration date: _____ </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> W-9 (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> ACH Forms (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Certificate (min. \$1 million in General Liability) </div> </div>			



Applicant Resolution/Authorization

Organization Name (sponsor) CITY OF SPOKANE PARKS AND RECREATION

Resolution No. or Document Name: Not Applicable

Location of Resolution or Document: _____

Project(s) Number(s), and Name(s)

PROJECT 19-1752 DEV – RIVERFRONT PARK SOUTH SUSPENSION BRIDGE

PROJECT 20-1275 ACQ – MAKE BEACON HILL PUBLIC

PROJECT 20-1276 ACQ – MAKE BEACON HILL PUBLIC

This resolution/authorization authorizes the person(s) identified below (in Section 2) to act as the authorized representative/agent on behalf of our organization and to legally bind our organization with respect to the above Project(s) for which we seek grant funding assistance managed through the Recreation and Conservation Office (Office).

WHEREAS, grant assistance is requested by our organization to aid in financing the cost of the Project(s) referenced above;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. Our organization has applied for or intends to apply for funding assistance managed by the Office for the above "Project(s)."
2. Our organization authorizes the following persons or persons holding specified titles/positions (and subsequent holders of those titles/positions) to execute the following documents binding our organization on the above projects:

Grant Document	Name of Signatory or Title of Person Authorized to Sign
Grant application (submission thereof)	Garrett Jones, Director, Spokane Parks and Recreation
Project contact (day-to-day administering of the grant and communicating with the RCO)	Nicholas Hamad, Landscape Architect, Spokane Parks and Recreation
RCO Grant Agreement (Agreement)	Garrett Jones, Director, Spokane Parks and Recreation
Agreement amendments	Garrett Jones, Director, Spokane Parks and Recreation
Authorizing property and real estate documents (Notice of Grant, Deed of Right or Assignment of Rights if applicable). These are items that are typical recorded on the property with the county.	Garrett Jones, Director, Spokane Parks and Recreation

The above persons are considered an "authorized representative(s)/agent(s)" for purposes of the documents indicated. Our organization shall comply with a request from the RCO to provide documentation of persons who may be authorized to execute documents related to the grant.

3. Our organization has reviewed the sample RCO Grant Agreement on the Recreation and Conservation Office's WEB SITE at: <https://rco.wa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/SampleProjAgreement.pdf>. We understand and acknowledge that if offered an agreement to sign in the future, it will contain an indemnification and legal venue stipulation and other terms and conditions substantially in the form contained in the sample Agreement and that such terms and conditions of any signed Agreement shall be legally binding on the sponsor if our representative/agent enters into an Agreement on our behalf. The Office reserves the right to revise the Agreement prior to execution.
4. Our organization acknowledges and warrants, after conferring with its legal counsel, that its authorized representative(s)/agent(s) have full legal authority to act and sign on behalf of the organization for their assigned role/document.
5. Grant assistance is contingent on a signed Agreement. Entering into any Agreement with the Office is purely voluntary on our part.
6. Our organization understands that grant policies and requirements vary depending on the grant program applied to, the grant program and source of funding in the Agreement, the characteristics of the project, and the characteristics of our organization.
7. Our organization further understands that prior to our authorized representative(s)/agent(s) executing any of the documents listed above, the RCO may make revisions to its sample Agreement and that such revisions could include the indemnification and the legal venue stipulation. Our organization accepts the legal obligation that we shall, prior to execution of the Agreement(s), confer with our authorized representative(s)/agent(s) as to any revisions to the project Agreement from that of the sample Agreement. We also acknowledge and accept that if our authorized representative(s)/agent(s) executes the Agreement(s) with any such revisions, all terms and conditions of the executed Agreement shall be conclusively deemed to be executed with our authorization.
8. Any grant assistance received will be used for only direct eligible and allowable costs that are reasonable and necessary to implement the project(s) referenced above.
9. [for Recreation and Conservation Funding Board Grant Programs Only] If match is required for the grant, we understand our organization must certify the availability of match at least one month before funding approval. In addition, our organization understands it is responsible for supporting all non-cash matching share commitments to this project should they not materialize.
10. Our organization acknowledges that if it receives grant funds managed by the Office, the Office will pay us on only a reimbursement basis. We understand reimbursement basis means that we will only request payment from the Office after we incur grant eligible and allowable costs and pay them. The Office may also determine an amount of retainage and hold that amount until all project deliverables, grant reports, or other responsibilities are complete.
11. [for Acquisition Projects Only] Our organization acknowledges that any property acquired with grant assistance must be dedicated for the purposes of the grant in perpetuity unless otherwise agreed to in writing by our organization and the Office. We agree to dedicate the property in a signed "Deed of Right" for fee acquisitions, or an "Assignment of Rights" for other than fee acquisitions (which documents will be based upon the Office's standard versions of those documents), to be recorded on

the title of the property with the county auditor. Our organization acknowledges that any property acquired in fee title must be immediately made available to the public unless otherwise provided for in policy, the Agreement, or authorized in writing by the Office Director.

12. [for Development, Renovation, Enhancement, and Restoration Projects Only–If our organization owns the project property] Our organization acknowledges that any property owned by our organization that is developed, renovated, enhanced, or restored with grant assistance must be dedicated for the purpose of the grant in perpetuity unless otherwise allowed by grant program policy, or Office in writing and per the Agreement or an amendment thereto.
13. [for Development, Renovation, Enhancement, and Restoration Projects Only–If your organization DOES NOT own the property] Our organization acknowledges that any property not owned by our organization that is developed, renovated, enhanced, or restored with grant assistance must be dedicated for the purpose of the grant as required by grant program policies unless otherwise provided for per the Agreement or an amendment thereto.
14. [Only for Projects located in Water Resources Inventory Areas 1-19 that are applying for funds from the Critical Habitat, Natural Areas, State Lands Restoration and Enhancement, Riparian Protection, or Urban Wildlife Habitat grant categories; Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account; or the Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration program, or a Salmon Recovery Funding Board approved grant] Our organization certifies the following: the Project does not conflict with the Puget Sound Action Agenda developed by the Puget Sound Partnership under RCW 90.71.310.
15. This resolution/authorization is deemed to be part of the formal grant application to the Office.
16. Our organization warrants and certifies that this resolution/authorization was properly and lawfully adopted following the requirements of our organization and applicable laws and policies and that our organization has full legal authority to commit our organization to the warranties, certifications, promises and obligations set forth herein.

This resolution/authorization is signed and approved on behalf of the resolving body of our organization by the following authorized member(s):

Signed _____

Title _____ Date _____

On File at: City of Spokane Clerk's Office

Washington State Attorney General's Office

Approved as to form Brian Staller 2/13/2020
Assistant Attorney General Date

You may reproduce the above language in your own format; however, text may not change.




City of Spokane
PARKS
& RECREATION

Financial Reports

April 2020


Park Fund

April 2020 Operating Expenditures vs. Historical Budget Average




Park Fund

April 2020 Total Revenues vs. Historical Budget Average




Park Fund

Total April 2020 YTD Expenditures vs. Total YTD Revenues




Golf Fund

March 2020 Operating Expenditures vs. Historical Budget Average




Golf Fund
March 2020 Total Revenues vs. Historical Budget Average



Golf Fund

Total March 2020 YTD Expenditures vs. Total YTD Revenues



Spokane Park Board

Briefing Paper



Committee	Riverfront Park Committee		
Committee meeting date	May 11, 2020		
Requester	Berry Ellison	Phone number: 509-625-6276	
Type of agenda item	<input type="radio"/> Consent <input type="radio"/> Discussion <input type="radio"/> Information <input checked="" type="radio"/> Action		
Type of contract/agreement	<input type="radio"/> New <input type="radio"/> Renewal/extension <input checked="" type="radio"/> Amendment/change order <input type="radio"/> Other		
City Clerks file (OPR or policy #)	OPR 2017-0373		
Item title: (Use exact language noted on the agenda)	Garco Construction change order #23/Pavilion for \$29,714 (plus tax)		
Begin/end dates	Begins: 5/14/2020	Ends:	<input type="checkbox"/> Open ended
Background/history: Add design and permitting services to increase capacity/occupancy. Design scope to include engineering to eliminate of fire lane through amphitheater, widening egress widths, and adding egress point on east side of amphitheater.			
Motion wording: Approve Garco Construction change order #23 in the amount of \$29,714.00 (plus tax) non bond funding.			
Approvals/signatures outside Parks: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No If so, who/what department, agency or company: Garco Construction Name: Clancy Walsh Email address: clancy@garco.com Phone: 509-535-4688			
Distribution: Parks – Accounting JLBrown@spokanecity.org Parks – Pamela Clarke Dlarnold@spokanecity.org Requester: Berry Ellison RobertD@garco.com Grant Management Department/Name: LorraineMead@hillintl.com			
Fiscal impact: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Expenditure <input type="radio"/> Revenue Amount: \$29,714.00, plus tax Budget code: TBD			
Vendor: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Existing vendor <input type="radio"/> New vendor Supporting documents: <input type="checkbox"/> Quotes/solicitation (RFP, RFQ, RFB) <input type="checkbox"/> W-9 (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input type="checkbox"/> Contractor is on the City's A&E Roster - City of Spokane <input type="checkbox"/> ACH Forms (for new contractors/consultants/vendors) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UBI: Business license expiration date: <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Certificate (min. \$1 million in General Liability)			

Project name	Pavilion Egress Additions Phase 1 Design Services	
	Spokane WA	
Estimator	RD	
Labor rate table	E. WA Full	
Duration		
Bid date	4/30/2020	1:00 PM

Pavilion Additional Egress Estimate

Spokane Parks

5/5/2020 19:53

Group	Phase	Description	Takeoff Quantity	Labor Amount	Material Amount	Sub Amount	Equip Amount	Other Amount	Total Cost/Unit	Total Amount
1000.00		GENERAL CONDITIONS								
	1001.00	Architectural Costs (NAC Architecture)	1.00 LS	0		18,500	-	-	18,500.00 /LS	18,500

Estimate Totals						
Description	Amount	Totals	Hours	Rate	Cost Basis	Percent of Total
Subcontract	18,500					100.00%
	18,500	18,500				100.00 100.00%
Contingency	0					
Overhead & Profit	2,775			15.000 %	T	11.93%
Liability Insurance	100			0.540 %	T	0.54%
B & O Tax (WA)	87			0.471 %	T	0.47%
WA Bond Premium 8.9% Sales Tax	152				B	0.65%
Retention Bond	8,100				L	6.89%
Total	29,714					


Phase 1 Design estimate is based on diagrammatic sketch provided by NAC Architecture and attached to this estimate.

Phase 1 Proposal excludes the following:

- 1. WSST
- 2. All Work to be completed in Phase 2

Note from designer regarding their Fee Estimate:

"Attached is our hourly fee estimate including NAC Architecture, NAC Engineering and DCI for civil and structural for the scope of work noted on the attached drawing. for the project. We would bill for this effort on an hourly basis, only invoicing for those hours actually expended Hopefully, the estimated time is generous and we'd never reach the total noted. However, there are some unknowns. For example, if the City will not accept sketch documentation or the concepts we have discussed are not accepted by the City or unknowns are discovered during construction that require added input for the design team, additional time and fee may be required."



- GENERAL NOTES
- WHERE NO HANDRAILS OR OBSTRUCTIONS ARE PRESENT, CLEAR WIDTH WAS MEASURED FROM 'EDGE TO EDGE' OF PATHWAY.
 - WHERE HANDRAILS ARE PRESENT, CLEAR WIDTH MEASURED BETWEEN HANDRAILS.
 - EXIT ROUTES FROM THE OUTDOOR PAVILION SPACE INTO THE INTERIOR OF THE PAVILION STRUCTURE ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS CALCULATION.

Existing Pavilion Egress Calcs - Including Lawn			
Description	Width (inches)	Conversion	Occupancy
NW Egress	187	/ .02	935
NE Egress	66	/ .02	330
SW Egress (East)	87	/ .02	435
SW Egress (Mid)	168	/ .02	840
SW Egress (West)	280	/ .02	1400
SW Egress (Lawn)	156	/ .02	780
Subtotal Existing Width	944		4720
Occupancy Goal			5100
Delta			380
Add'l Egress Width Req'd	76	/ .02	380

Existing Pavilion Egress Calcs - Excluding Lawn			
Description	Width (inches)	Conversion	Occupancy
NW Egress	187	/ .02	935
NE Egress	66	/ .02	330
SW Egress (East)	87	/ .02	435
SW Egress (Mid)	168	/ .02	840
SW Egress (West)	280	/ .02	1400
Subtotal Existing Width	788		3940
Occupancy Goal			5100
Delta			1160
Add'l Egress Width Req'd	232	/ .02	1160

ADDITIONAL WIDTH
120" EAST GATE
60" SAWCUT WALL
52" DELTA (TO BE DETERMINED)
232" TOTAL

MAY NEED TO BUILD UP GRADE HERE A FEW FEET - OTHERWISE LOOKS FAIRLY LEVEL - THERE IS FLOOR AT GRADE IN GENERATOR ROOM. PATH TO BE ASPHALT. WHAT IS THRESHOLD CONDITION AT PAVILION PERIMETER?

RIVERFRONT PARK
US PAVILION
RFP EGRESS AND EMERGENCY ACCESS
MODS
B. ELLISON
MAR 3, 2020
PAGE 1 OF 1



CITY OF SPOKANE
PARKS & RECREATION

PAVILION EGRESS
RFP PAVILION

EXISTING EGRESS WIDTH

DATE: 2020.01.28
DRAWN BY: -
CHECKED BY: NAH
PROJECT NO: -

REVISION

1	3
2	4

SHEET 1 OF 1

L1.0
PAVILION EGRESS - EXISTING



Pavilion Reimaging design-build with Garco Construction

City of Spokane

Additional Site revisions to Pavilion- Hourly Fee Estimate

April 21, 2020

	Hours	Rate	Cost	
NAC Architecture				
Fire Line Extension, Emergency Vehicle Turn-Around, Exit Width Analysis				
Initiate Project	2	265	530	
Engage Consultants	1	265	265	
Site Visits	2	265	530	
Meeting(s)	3	265	795	
Meeting(s)	3	145	435	
Concept development	1	265	265	
Consultant Coordination	3	265	795	
Sketch Documentation	6	265	1590	
Site Verification	1	265	265	
Permitting	2	265	530	
Revisions	1	265	265	
Construction Assistance	4	265	1060	
Project Management	2	265	530	
Contingency	2	265	530	8385
Civil- DCI				
Concept sketches and CD's	1	3000	3000	
Construction Support Services	1	1000	1000	4000
Structural- DCI				
Investigation and CD's	1	2500	2500	
Construction Support Services	1	1000	1000	3500
10% Mark-up of DCI fee				750
Electrical- NACE				
Site Visit and Notes	4	165	660	
Design/Demo	4	165	660	
Drafting	4	95	380	
Management	1	165	165	1865
Total Fee				18500







Redevelopment Update

Park Board
May 2020

Garrett Jones, Director, Parks & Recreation
Berry Ellison, Program Manager
Jo-Lynn Brown, Program Coordinator

North Bank





North Bank Playground Construction Status April 2020

Contractor: **LaRiviere**
Project Manager: Matt Nason
Superintendent: Josh Benton



Architect: **BWA**

Owner PM: Berry Ellison – Spokane Parks
Owner CM: Patrick McCord – Hill International



SCHEDULE/CONCERNS:

- Site retaining walls being installed
- Footing micropiles completed
- M&O foundations being poured
- Storm water system being installed
- Larger shelter roof shingles
- Storm pipe connection to Sportsplex
- Splash pad being designed
- Contaminated soils need to be exported
- Lead soils discovered





Base Bid Amount	Change Orders Total	Revised Contract Total	Current Expenditures (Thru MAR 2020)	Percent Complete by Budget	Schedule Percent Complete	Contract End Date
\$8,454,218	\$0	\$8,454,218	\$972,870	11.5%	22%	11/16/20

North Bank



North Bank



- Ice Age-themed playground with water feature
- Wheels park (replacing the Under the Freeway Skate Park)
- Basketball court funded by Hooptown USA
- Family restrooms
- Parking with bus turn-around
- Maintenance and Operations facility
- Connectivity to the SportsPlex
- Intersection improvements

Spring 2021
Total budget: \$9.9M

West Havermale Island



West Havermale Playground Construction Status May 2020

Contractor: Bacon Concrete
Project Manager: Greg Bacon



Landscape Architect: SPVV
Construction Manager: Berry Ellison

Site Improvements

- Mass Grading and Drainage
- Storm Water, Water, and Sewer
- Theme Stream Restoration
- Asphalt and Concrete Placement

Playground

- Mass Grading
- Retaining Wall Veneer


Bridge #5

- Footings installed
- Bridge Girder Fabrication
- Stepwell
- Coordination with Artist


Program Manager: Berry Ellison - Parks



PROJECT SCHEDULE



PROJECT BUDGET




Contract Amount w/COs (w/WSST)	Change Orders thru CO#0	Current Expenditures thru 4/31/20	Remaining Contract Amount	Percent Complete by Budget	Construction Schedule Percent Complete	Substantial Completion Date
\$2,120,713	\$0	\$293,481	\$1,827,232	14%	49%	8/7/20

West Havermale Island



West Havermale Island



- Our City's first all-inclusive playground, funded by Providence Health Care
- Customized restrooms
- Restored Theme Stream from Expo '74
- Connectivity to the Centennial Trail
- StepWell art
- Bill Fearn Conservation Area
- Maintenance/operation yard

Fall 2020
Total budget: \$2.7M

Art: Stepwell + Second Piece



Stepwell

- Located on West Havermale Island
- Slated for completion late fall or early spring


Second art piece

- Joint Art Committee selected finalists, and chose one piece to recommend to Park Board, "Beaver"
- Gathering additional public feedback on all finalists, and will share feedback with Park Board
- Flexible timeline
- Budget is part of the 1% bond funding dedicated to art



Budget Status

Comparison of Approved Bond Budget to Actual & Committed Expenditures
April 2020



Timeline

✓ Recreational Ice Ribbon & SkyRide Facility
Completed December 2017


✓ Looff Carrousel
Completed Spring 2018

✓ Howard Street Promenade
Completed Summer 2019

✓ U.S. Pavilion
Completed Fall 2019

West Havermale Island
Fall 2020

North Bank Playground
Spring 2021



Construction schedule subject to change as project progresses.
Updated February 12, 2020

Finance Committee Budget Update

May 12, 2020



Administration---Jason Conley

- ▶ 30% reduction in revenues, resulting in immediate need to curtail expenses
- ▶ All temporary/seasonal employees were furloughed as of March 25th
- ▶ Deferment of most capital projects for remainder of year
- ▶ Hiring freeze of full-time employee vacancies
- ▶ Weekly meetings with senior P&R staff to monitor and adjust expenses
- ▶ Short- and long-term modeling of revenue and expenses for 2020
- ▶ Tracking the City's budget shortfalls and potential impact to Park Fund

Park Operations---Al Vorderbrueggen

- ▶ 21 FTE working, approximately 10 supporting general labor
- ▶ Operating without 25+ Temp/Seasonal employees (as of May 1st)
- ▶ 2-3 Parking Services employees on temporary assignment
- ▶ Restrooms are not open at this time
- ▶ Manual watering of 8 locations will continue to ramp up in May

Park Operations---Al Vorderbrueggen

Position	Shift	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Supervisor 1/WE Rover	6am-3:30pm	1 day super/3 rover			Dave	Dave	Dave	Dave	2 day super/3 rover		Dave *8	Dave	Dave	Dave	Dave
Supervisor 2/WE Rover	6am-3:30pm	Andy	Andy	Andy	Andy	Andy *8	1 day super/4 rover		Andy	Andy	Andy	Andy	1 day super/4 rover		
Super 3/WE Rover & PG	6am-3:30pm	Jesse	Jesse	Jesse	Jesse	Jesse *8	2 days playground/2 rover		Jesse	Jesse	Jesse	Jesse	1 day playground/3 rover		
16' Mower North	6am-2:30pm		Jeremy	Jeremy	Jeremy	Jeremy	Jeremy			Jeremy	Jeremy	Jeremy	Jeremy	Jeremy	
Pony Mower North	6am-3:30pm			Gooie	Gooie	Gooie					Gooie	Gooie			
16' Mower South	6am-3:30pm		Shearer	Shearer	Shearer	Shearer				Shearer	Shearer	Shearer	Shearer	Shearer	
Pony Mower South	6am-3:30pm		?	?	?	?	?			?	?	?	?	?	
11' Mower	6am-3:30pm		Andy	Barry	Barry	Barry	Barry			Andy	Barry	Barry	Barry		
Pony Mower Parkways	6am-3:30pm			Jim	Jim	Jim				?	Jim [1/2]	Jim	Jim	Jim	
Caretaker (Manual Park 1	6am-3:30pm	?	?	?	Ken	Ken	Ken	Ken	?	?	Ken	Ken	Ken	Ken	Ken
Caretaker (Manual Park 2	6am-3:30pm	?	?	Mark	Mark	**	**	?	?	**	**	Mark [1/2]	Mark	**	?
Caretaker (Manual Park 3	6am-3:30pm	?	**	**	**	Mark	Mark	?	?	**	Mark	Mark [1/2]	**	**	?
Rover [South]	6am-3:30pm	Andy	Jim	**	**	**	**	?	Andy	Jim	Jim [1/2]	**	**	**	?
Rover [NW]	6am-3:30pm	Andy	Mark	**	**	**	**	?	Andy	Mark	**	**	**	**	?
Rover [NE]	6am-3:30pm	Jesse	Jesse	Jesse	Jesse/Dave	Dave	Dave			Jesse	Andy	Jesse/Dave	Dave	Dave	
Gardener - Spray/Roving/CT	6am-3:30pm		Mattie	Mattie	Mattie	Mattie				Mattie	Mattie	Mattie	Mattie	Mattie	
Flail Mower/Graffiti/Rover	6am-3:30pm		Dewey	Dewey	Dewey	Dewey	Dewey			Dewey	Dewey	Dewey	Dewey		
Irrigation Tech 1/Roving/CT	6am-3:30pm		Matt	Matt	Matt	Matt				Matt	Matt	Matt	Matt	Matt	
Irrigation Tech 2/Roving/CT	6am-2:30pm		John	John	John	John	John			John	John	John	John	John	
Irrigation Tech 3/Roving/CT	6am-3:30pm		Issac	Issac	Issac	Issac	Issac			Isaac	Isaac	Isaac	Isaac	Isaac	
Plumber/HVAC-asst craft	6am-3:30pm		Ed	Ed	Ed	Ed	Ed			Ed	Ed	Ed	Ed		
Carpenter/asst crafts/Graffiti	6am-3:30pm		Reed	Reed	Reed	Reed				Reed	Reed	Reed	Reed	Reed	
Electrician/asst crafts	6am-2:30pm			Russ	Russ	Russ					Russ	Russ	Russ		
Shop Foreperson	6am-3:30pm		Larry	Larry	Larry	Larry				Larry	Larry	Larry	Larry	Larry	
Mechanic 1	6am-3:30pm		Brian	Brian	Brian	Brian	Brian			Brian	Brian	Brian	Brian		
Mechanic 2	6am-3:30pm		Shop	Mowing	Mowing	Mowing	Mowing			Shop	Mowing	Mowing	Mowing		
Mechanic 3/backup mow	6am-3:30pm		Robert	Robert	Robert	Robert				Robert	Robert	Robert	Robert	Robert	
Storekeeper/mow/rover/CT	6am-3:30pm		Stores	Mowing	Mowing	Mowing	Store			Store	Mowing	Mowing	Mowing		

** T/S 1, T/S 2 & T/S 3 Positions temporarily filled by Parking Services Staff

? = Unfilled needs -- represents gap in level of service

Recreation---Jennifer Papich

- ▶ From Mid-March through the Month of May all recreation programs, camps, classes and trips have been cancelled or postponed.
- ▶ Utilizing full-time staff for asset protection of our 6 aquatic facilities and 3 Sports Complexes.
- ▶ Conducting a cost / benefit analysis identifying summer programing and service options that can be provided based on cost efficiencies and the phases of reopening.
- ▶ Working closely with regional recreation departments & communicating weekly with State & National Agencies on potential Aquatics facility operating models.
- ▶ Moving all Summer program publications to online versions only for cost savings and to maintain accuracy as information develops.


Golf---Mark Poirier

- ▶ All 4 golf facilities are open as of May 5th under strict mandating
 - ▶ Twosomes
 - ▶ No organized tournaments or events
 - ▶ Single-rider carts
- ▶ Tee time intervals moved to 5 minutes
 - ▶ Closing the revenue loss gap
- ▶ 8 FTE and 12 (30%) temp seasonal labor force working
 - ▶ Rolling out temp seasonal labor systematically— correlating to revenue stream
- ▶ Suspension of all on course “special projects” and spring aerification
 - ▶ Expense reduction
 - ▶ Labor force not available

Riverfront Park--- Jon Moog

- ▶ Currently, attractions are closed and majority of events have been rescheduled to late July, August and September.
- ▶ Only Riverfront Community Engagement events which are cost neutral, such as Riverfront Eats and those provided by in-kind services, will be planned for the rest of the 2020. Exceptions may include 4th of July and New Year's Eve Fireworks and Spokane's Holiday Tree lighting.
- ▶ Facilitation of events will occur based on the Governor's phasing approach. Events are included in the last phase (4) and do not have a expected start date.
- ▶ Attractions are considered Phase 3 and a potential opening for June or July is being planned.
 - ▶ Full-time staff will operate attractions through September on reduced operating hours. Each staff member will need to contribute 15-20 hours each week.
 - ▶ Temp Seasonal Staff will be hired to support attractions including Ice beginning in October.
- ▶ If Phase 4 goes into affect before September, park events will be facilitated by full-time staff which may require additional decrease of attraction hours to accommodate the need.
- ▶ Multiple financial scenarios, based on different phasing, have been created.
- ▶ Unknowns:
 - ▶ Timeline associated with Governor's phasing approach
 - ▶ Public demand for attractions once re-open or impacts due to COVID-19 safety measures

Budget/Finance---Mark Buening



Questions?
Thank you



From: [Madisyn Urlacher](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: The Beaver
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2020 9:44:09 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Please do not put that Beaver in our town. It will end up being the butt of jokes, not something that people enjoy.
Thank you.

From: Loris Stupel [fundsoups@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 7:13 PM
To: Spokane Parks and Recreation
Subject: Comment regarding potential artwork for Riverfront Park

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Hello Spokane Parks -

I am wondering what the criteria are for choosing public art works?

Years ago, I was on a committee for the new Ferris High School building and helped choose some public art. I am hard pressed to believe that a group unanimously choose this sculpture to put in Riverfront park.

My vote would be “no way.”

Thank you for listening.

Loris Stupel
fundsoups@comcast.net
509-23-3800

From: Shani Ward [shanileeward@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 5:51 PM
To: Spokane Parks and Recreation
Subject: Riverfront Park Art

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Hi!

I love that we are getting more pieces of art installed at Riverfront Park, it will really help elevate the area the bring culture to our city! However, I feel like I had to speak out about the proposed Beaver Sculpture. While I appreciate the story behind the creation, it is quite honestly just an eye sore. It comes across in the photo as garnish, and the size and colors make it even more so. Please reconsider this as the choice!

Sincerely,
Shani

From: Chad Kuntz [chadandrew13@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2020 6:00 PM
To: Spokane Parks and Recreation
Subject: Beaver Statue Public Comment

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Hello,

I would like to request that the proposed statue by artist Saya Moriyasu not be selected as artwork to represent our city to citizens and visitors alike. It is certainly unique, and like all great art, sparks debate and emotions, but at what cost? I find it bewilderingly unpleasant to behold, and not something I wish to see in the park, on postcards, or on social media posts.

I realize that goals for public art vary, but I wonder if artwork that will be this divisive is the right piece for THE park where we gather as a community — a community already wrought with so much division. Can't we just have a crowd pleaser with *maybe* less polarizing qualities? (Also, won't this be unusable by folks in wheel chairs, and by everyone most of the year when it is too hot or too cold?)

Do I think this would be a fun addition to a sculpture garden? Yes. Would this be amazing on the lawn in front of the MAC? Absolutely! Is it the right piece for our "town square?" No.

Thank you for allowing our feedback,

Chad Kuntz

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Darin Castoldi <castoldi911@msn.com>

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:11 PM

To: Riverfront Park Guest Services <rfpinfo@spokanecity.org>

Subject: New art

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

You have done an amazing job with the renovation of the park. Please reconsider the “Beaver” art installation recently chosen by the Joint Art Committee. I feel like there is opportunity for the public to help choose a better alternative. No disrespect to the committee or artist intended.

Respectfully,

Darin Castoldi

From: [Maureen Haeger](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver sculpture
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 9:50:26 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Please reconsider appropriating native art. We have many amazing native artists - commission a piece from someone with connection to the culture.

Thank you,
Maureen

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Smith, Bob \(GE Healthcare\)](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver Statue
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 3:15:09 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

To whom it may concern,

Please do not place that in our park. There must be better options.

Respectfully,

Robert Smith

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mariah Mariah](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver sculpture. NO!!
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 4:38:07 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

The park is gorgeous, modern and progressive and a beautiful place to be and take out of town guests.

I've waited my whole life for Spokane to be a city I can believe in and love. The park has finally achieved that.

The sisters city area, however, is the worst part of the park so far. It's weak and ugly and such a disappointment.

This beaver is horrific and makes the sister City park area look like a million bucks.

You can't even be serious about this garbage.

Strong. Hard. Absolute. NO!

Mariah

From: [Marianne Bornhofs](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Art in the park
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 10:45:49 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I love the idea of it being interactive but I'm surprised it is not closer to an animal that is iconic to Spokane like a marmot?

Sincerely
Marianne Bornhofs
Windermere Manito
SpokaneHouse.com
509-879-3779

From: [b-chardin](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver art statue
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 9:07:11 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Not liking the Beaver art. If this was one of the finalists Im scared to ask what the others looked like. Please dont spend the money on this silly thing. Not liking it at all...and I work downtown and would see it often. Oy....dint do it...please!

Thank you,

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Kathie Berg](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 6:30:37 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

How ghastly! Ugly, not visually interesting at all! It will a source of ridicule of the city!
Ditch this idea! I am a 73 yr old former educator.

KATHIE Berg
2219 S Havana

Sent from my iPad

From: [essani design](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Please Do NOT Install the Beaver Sculpture
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 6:17:12 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

You have done a really nice job with the park renovation but the proposed beaver sculpture is terribly ugly and would be a blemish on an otherwise gorgeous park.

Thank you!
Stephanie

--

Stephanie Schreiber | Spokane, WA | 509.216.5987

From: [Kilian Neeson](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:40:52 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

That beaver sculpture sucks

From: [Hillary Berg](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver Statue
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:25:00 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Hi!

I'm writing about the beaver statue that is being considered for Riverfront park. From the news story it sound like you all would like more feedback from the public. I am an absolute no. This sculpture does not match the esthetic of our beautiful parks and frankly it looks nothing like a beaver. It is not appealing to look at. The sculptures we have throughout Riverfront match our history and are nice to look at. I would encourage the city to keep looking.

Thank you,
Hillary Berg
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mary Eberle](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: River front park Art
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 4:55:37 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

The beaver is so ugly. Yikes! #2 or #3 were much better. The fish or abstract maze thing.

Mary Eberle

Sent from my iPhone

From: riverlawyer@gmail.com
To: [Clarke, Pamela](#)
Subject: RE: Comment on Proposed Sculpture
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 8:41:22 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Here are some of the comments I received. Please feel free to share:

- I need more information. That rendering doesn't look like a fit though.
- I love art, have studied art, am an artist myself, & I'm horrified if that's what it's actually going to look like.
- No, please no!
- Yuck!
- This is a joke, right?
- (From Spokane tribal member): Anything based on Salish anything should be done by an Salish artist. Send them the term "Cultural Appropriation." Learn anything from the white versions of the totem poles scattered around Spokane yet or do people still think that's an honor?
- This is horrifying and also WHY is a non-native woman creating Salish art? ASK FOR SALISH ARTISTS
- (From Spokane tribal member): Just because someone tags an effort with a Salish term, doesn't make it Salish, tribal, or culturally appropriate!! I'd like to know of the next meeting so that I can attend.
- Someone should go back to the drawing board on this one. It has more of an Asian appeal than Salish. Not that it isn't a nice piece of art on its own. I would never associate it with traditional Salish.
- Would like to see the other entries.....i dont like this one and it misrepresents salish art...it looks japanese to me...with a salish title?! Um no...i want to see salish art at the river...any salish artist enter? Spokane needs more 1st peoples representation...we dont even fly the salish flag at cityhall...
- (From tribal member): If Salish is the inspiration should be done by a Salish artist.
- Looks like a Japanese anima piece. Not sure if it fits in w a Spokane park.
- I agree that a Salish inspired art piece should be done by a Salish individual, and if not-given a great big seal of "non-appropriation and approval" by the tribe. I'm just also hoping that this favorite doesn't actually look like a gremlin with pool noodles for hair. It can't, right?
- I don't really care for this piece. I can see it being vandalized, or covered with bird \$hit, and I'm not sure I'd care if it were. It doesn't look much like a beaver to me.
- Looks rather gaudy. Maybe I'm missing something.
- I thought it was E.T. when I first looked at it. And the picture is not helpful. ... It doesn't speak to my experience of our beautiful park.
- Not excited. Brightly covered sausages on its head are a little weird.
- How many ways are there to just say no. Honestly not channeling Nancy Reagan. A different kind of "Just say no."

-- Outside of the appropriation which is troublesome. I tend not to judge art as much as find my level of appreciation. It is hard to consider this piece completely as it does not seem to fit in its designated home at all. What might be a joyful or cute piece in a different setting, this does not seem to fit the landscape or the area. It seems misplaced and sticks out like a sore thumb. It looks to belong in a child's playground, Chucky Cheese or Disneyland and not as a focal point in our city's center.

-- (Local elected official): I hope there are other contenders.

-- (Director of local foundation): Spokane has such bad taste and can be so inappropriate sometimes. Got a twofer on this one.

Hope these comments help.

Rick Eichstaedt

-----Original Message-----

From: Clarke, Pamela <pclarke@spokanecity.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:08 AM
To: Rick Eichstaedt <riverlawyer@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Comment on Proposed Sculpture

Those comments would be greatly appreciated. If you could send them to me at your convenience, that would be wonderful.

Thank you.

Pamela Clarke | Clerk IV | City of Spokane Parks & Recreation |
Desk: 509.625.6241 | Cell: 509.319.0842 | SpokaneParks.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Rick Eichstaedt <riverlawyer@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:00 AM
To: Clarke, Pamela <pclarke@spokanecity.org>
Subject: Re: Comment on Proposed Sculpture

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Thanks a lot. I'd be happy to share some of the comments I received when I polled folks if it is helpful.

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 13, 2020, at 6:15 AM, Clarke, Pamela <pclarke@spokanecity.org> wrote:
>

> Good morning,
>
> Thank you so much for taking the time to share your opinion regarding the proposed beaver artwork. Your email has been forwarded to the Park Board, and the director of Parks and Recreation.
>
> The Riverfront Park Committee met Monday afternoon and decided to defer action on the Joint Arts Committee's recommendation of the beaver as a new art piece at Riverfront Park. Due to public comments, such as yours, the Riverfront Park Committee directed staff to add a public outreach component to the selection process. This will allow for public input relating to the new artwork.
>
> Once we have developed that public outreach plan, I'll reach out to you and let you know how you may further participate in this process.
>
> If you have any questions, feel from to call or email.
>
> Pamela Clarke | Clerk IV | City of Spokane Parks & Recreation |
> Desk: 509.625.6241 | Cell: 509.319.0842 | SpokaneParks.org
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rick Eichstaedt <riverlawyer@gmail.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:56 PM
> To: Spokane Parks and Recreation <spokaneparks@spokanecity.org>
> Cc: Karen Mobley <karen@karenmobley.com>
> Subject: Comment on Proposed Sculpture
>
> [CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]
>
> Dear Madam or Sir:
>
> I am a local business owner, avid user of Spokane Parks, and former member of the Arts Commission and Riverfront Park art committee.
>
> I strongly oppose the proposed beaver sculpture for a number of reasons. First, the name and supposed depiction of a Salish story is offensive cultural appropriation. The artist is not a tribal member, not from Spokane, and did not coordinate with the Spokane Tribe.
>
> Second, it is comically ugly. I love art, but I can't say that this will add anything to our downtown park except perhaps some head scratching and questions as to local tastes. Surely we can do better.
>
> Please reconsider this piece of art. At a minimum, please consider polling the community as to their opinion as to this piece (I did and people were horrified).
>
> Thanks.
>
> Rick Eichstaedt
>
> Sent from my iPhone

From: [Desiree Acosta](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Please Consider Other Interactive Installations for Riverfront Park
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:58:20 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I am a local artist and have enjoyed walking around the park seeing the beautiful installations and works throughout Spokane. However, The Beaver, should not be chosen to be put in the park. I feel it would be a public eyesore, its not interactive enough and it is a little disturbing looking. I'm sure the artist put her "time and effort" into it but I hope you consider the other fantastic and more interactive ideas that encourage exploring and interacting with the installation rather than sitting and looking at it. There are enough rest and sit areas in the park, we do not need more.

Perhaps a local art museum or gallery would take it in?

I saw "The Beaver" from a post a friend shared, and everyone on that comment section shared the same opinion, it does not fit the scene and it is ugly to look at. Please consider more interactive and exploratory installation pieces that locals and tourists of all ages can enjoy and not a another bench to sit on.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S8+, an AT&T 5G Evolution capable smartphone

From: [Rick Eichstaedt](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Cc: [Karen Mobley](#)
Subject: Comment on Proposed Sculpture
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:56:21 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Dear Madam or Sir:

I am a local business owner, avid user of Spokane Parks, and former member of the Arts Commission and Riverfront Park art committee.

I strongly oppose the proposed beaver sculpture for a number of reasons. First, the name and supposed depiction of a Salish story is offensive cultural appropriation. The artist is not a tribal member, not from Spokane, and did not coordinate with the Spokane Tribe.

Second, it is comically ugly. I love art, but I can't say that this will add anything to our downtown park except perhaps some head scratching and questions as to local tastes. Surely we can do better.

Please reconsider this piece of art. At a minimum, please consider polling the community as to their opinion as to this piece (I did and people were horrified).

Thanks.

Rick Eichstaedt

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Clarke, Pamela](#)
To: [Clarke, Pamela](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed art
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:23:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Frank
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:17 AM
To: Moog, Jonathan <jmoog@spokanecity.org>
Subject: Proposed art

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I hope the city does NOT choose the Beaver Art for the park. Not sure where to express our opinion but am giving a shot with this note. Thank you.
Sent from my iPhone

From: hrtssr@gmail.com
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Art for Riverfront park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:37:23 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

My vote is not for the Beaver. It's extremely weird looking and I don't understand it. I like the maze looking one

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [gipse_and_allan](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Riverfront Art
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:33:53 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I am not too pleased with the proposed "Beaver" art piece. I do however like the idea of the "sound disks", as I feel those would be very much more interactive and might spark a child's desire to learn the science of sound.

I would like to see more features that are based in science. Take the Pacific Science Center in Seattle for example. Their interactive outdoor features are not only fun for families, but provoke thought (IE their massive splash pad "bowl".)

Please take a pass on the "Beaver". (And it should be a marmot if you go in that direction.)

Thanks for your consideration,

AI

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

From: [MJP](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Artwork for Riverfront Park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 3:06:11 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

While “The Beaver” is interesting, frankly it looks garish and not in harmony with the new park. While I suspect my input carries much weight, if I had to chose, I feel the interactive fish artwork is more appropriate to a park that has a river running though it. In addition, what was ever decided on the Earth Ring structure that was proposed? That piece of art was not only forward thinking, I saw it as a true draw for visitors to the park, especially if it was placed out over the flowing river.

Thank you for considering my input.

From: [Terry Olson](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Art for park
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 4:35:35 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Really? Is that the best you could find?

Maybe you should start over. The beaver is awful. The other two don't look interactive, but the pictures are sketchy.

From: [Jeanie Lewis](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: "The Beaver"
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 6:09:49 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

This is a hideous piece of art - please do not put this in Riverfront Park. Literally any of the other submissions would be great, but this beaver looks like it got acid thrown on it and honestly would scare kids. I do not see how this looks "welcoming". I do not think the art that goes in Riverfront should be decided by people on a board. The top 3 choices should be open for voting by the public.

Thanks for reading

From: sls0420@yahoo.com
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Riverfront Park Art Piece
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 5:46:52 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

To whom it may concern or whomever any be filtering through the emails regarding the art work. You claim that you are wanting to consider the public's opinion and if that is true this Beaver Art Work would be nixed. From the comments I read on the Krem 2 Facebook post about this people are not impressed with this piece (and that is putting it nicely).

Not being an art critic or having any knowledge or background in art, I have to say this piece is very unattractive and a BIG WASTE OF MONEY. It is an art piece that will be vandalized and ruined. What about a good old park bench. Cheap, efficient, affective and a good place for the homeless to sleep on.

Thank you
Shannon Swaim

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jessica Sheffels](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: The Beaver Statue
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 5:28:30 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Good Morning,

I am a resident living in the 99218 area. I have reviewed the proposed statue design and I find it to be ugly and confusing. It looks like E.T. wearing that wig when the kids try to dress him up. Also I think that choosing a design that allows for more than just two people at a time to interact is better. Teens will go and take it over and then tourists won't be able to enjoy it. I also feel that it will take away from "The Goat" which is the parks signature animal feature.

Thank you for your time, I truly hope you reconsider your choice.

Jessica Sheffels Arruda

From: [John Winfrey](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Dumb Beaver
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:06:55 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

please do not allow this hideous thing to happen. please for the good of the city. do not pick this garbage pile someone is trying to sell as "art"!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Marian Hennings <mareli49er@gmail.com>

To: spokaneparks@spokanecity.org

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 12 May 2020 22:27:27 -0700

Subject: Art for Riverfront Park

Please reconsider the selection of that garish looking piece being proposed for Riverfront Park. It looks like a Romper Room reject. I would never have recognized that as a beaver. Some of your members need to visit Chicago and look at the public art in that city's downtown for ideas that residents and tourists would admire. No art at all would be better than this.

Marian Hennings
327 E. Broad Ave.
Spokane, WA 99207

From: [Sarah Crawford](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver statue
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 9:28:14 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

What is that thing? My gut reaction to it is not favorable and that is not how I should feel about public art.

Sarah Horning

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Valena Arguello](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Spokane parks "beaver"
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 7:30:27 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I beg you, for the love of God, please do not put that horrifying piece of "art" in downtown. It looks like a giant golden bottom producing a bm and is off-putting to look at.

Thanks!

Valena Arguello

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Monica Dibble](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver art piece
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 7:58:29 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Oh my. Please do not put this piece in our beautiful park. While I understand that the beauty of art is in the eye of the beholder, honestly, this is just ugly. Also, the message that this piece is trying to relay does not come across. Like one person has commented, "What the heck is on this weird cats head?" or "Is this a little kid's art project?" I am sorry, but I feel that any piece of art installed in Riverfront Park should fit in better with the other beautiful and thought-provoking pieces already in place. It should convey the peace and tranquility of our beautiful Inland Northwest, not bring back memories of some weird children's puppet show.

From: [C. Samson](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver Statue in Riverfront Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 6:46:23 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I just watched KREM 2 News at 6:00 PM and saw the coverage and photo of the potential installment of this beaver seat statue in Riverfront Park. Are you serious?? I am so very much hoping you look into other options, because if your desire is to beautify our park, this would be nothing short of a huge big black eye. It's absolutely one of the ugliest thing I've ever seen, and I believe our beautiful park deserves something much better than that!

From: [Greg](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: This cleared things up for me. ET was a North american beaver!
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:03:58 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Greg
Rocky Mountain Off Road

From: [Bonnie Kofmehl](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: The Beaver
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:23:26 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Pretty sure I had a nightmare last night about "The Beaver" taking up residence in our beautiful Riverfront Park. It's quite a garish monstrosity of a sculpture, better suited for a third rate miniature golf course. I appreciate the whimsical aspect of it but it doesn't belong in the park.

What are you thinking?

From: [Becky Roy](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Horrible beaver
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:38:36 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

That beaver is horrible. What a terrible idea to put that in the park. It looks demented and doesn't flow at all with any of the other advancements the park has made during the update process.

From: [jessica craddock](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:44:54 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

This is in response to the proposed Beaver statue:

This is the most horrible piece of “art” I have ever seen. I have seen many interesting and unique works of art while I have traveled the country and the world and this is atrocious. It would significantly detract from the beautiful water and serene environment of the park.

Please don't.

Sincerely,
Jessica Craddock

From: [Darin Castoldi](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Art in Riverfront Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:36:37 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

You have done an amazing job on the renovation of Riverfront Park. Please reconsider the “Beaver” and choose a piece that enhances the natural beauty of the park. I appreciate the work you are doing and accepting criticism shows professionalism and build trust.

From: [batty lol](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Riverfront Park Beaver
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:33:43 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Please do not install that beaver it's terrible and it's going to give children nightmares.

From: [Shane Johnson](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver chair
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:56:17 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

While I do think the story behind the inspiration for the beaver seat is quite interesting, I do not think that the seat itself is.

From the brief description of the other contenders, I think the disc-sound bouncing concept sounds the most unique/interactive. I think it has the potential to be captivating across all age groups as well.

Thanks for considering my input.

Shane Johnson
509-312-9853

From: [Sandra Dickey](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: krem.com | "The Beaver" could be a new art piece in Riverfront Park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:20:10 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

No way. That is hideous looking. Doesn't even look like a beaver. Quit wasting our money
Sandra Dickey

'The Beaver' could be a new art piece in Riverfront Park

According to meeting notes from the city, this was one of the Joint Art Committees favorite submissions.

<http://www.krem.com/mobile/article/news/weird/the-beaver-is-being-voted-on-as-a-new-art-piece-for-riverfront-park/293-e4641d97-0784-4d24-9ab6-59d962484181>

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Walter Kloefkorn](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: New sculpture in Riverfront Park.
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:25:50 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

While I'm not certain the image presented of Saya Moriyasu's proposed beaver sculpture presents it in its most favorable light, I am strongly in favor of the piece. Especially compared to the pictures I saw of the alternative submissions, which struck me as nothing special, I like the spirit of this work.

I especially like that it is interactive with the public. I predict it will be wildly popular with children, but also with adults.

I see what looks to me like a knee jerk reaction against it from some folks who I would say need to lighten up a bit. This is a public park, not the Louvre. To me the piece seems as if it will be accessible to people of all sorts, which is exactly who comes to the park.

I much prefer this proposed piece to the rows of food trucks the park is sometimes burdened with. I still wish you had condemned a few parking lots across Spokane Falls Blvd and annexed them to the park for that purpose.

I have heard that it is cultural appropriation. I am not native American, but to me it doesn't seem so. This isn't dressing up as an Indian for Halloween. It is taking a figure from a Spokane story and interpreting it for a mainstream audience. It will introduce many to the story who would never hear it any other way. There are wonderful pieces by Native artists in the new addition between City Hall and Avista. If this becomes the sticking point, I would say commission an additional piece interpreting this character by a Salish artist and place it somewhere near this one.

I have lived in Springdale for 26 years and been a regular visitor to Spokane over that time. Riverfront Park is often a focal point of my visits. I've had the opportunity to host many visitors from out of town, and the park is always a great way to show them the special attributes of the city. I feel this artwork will add to that. I hope you proceed with its placement.

Walter Kloefkorn
Springdale, Washington

From: [Christy Heitstuman](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Park Beaver Art Piece
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:38:40 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Hello. I have been a resident of the city since 1979. Please do not choose the so-called Beaver art piece for the park. I'm trying not to be insulting but in what way does that even resemble a beaver? The entire piece is horrible. Can you not find something more realistic and suitable for the park??

Voters passed that multi million dollar park bond. Stop trying to fill it with ridiculous things like this...it's not even art!

Christy Heitstuman
Spokane

From: [Jen Pecha](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Riverfront Park Art
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:40:23 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Just saw the article about the beaver art you are voting on today and want to voice my opinion on it. I find it absolutely horrible. I had to read the article to know that the colored pieces on the head are a hat. It doesn't even look like a beaver. It's just ugly. I certainly hope you reconsider before spending a bunch of money on "art" that people are just going to be laughing at for years to come.

Sincerely,
Jen Pecha
Spokane resident

Sent from my iPhone

From: [carynnhudson](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: The Beaver - Pls do NOT put in park
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:29:51 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Dear Spokane Parks,

My family loves going downtown and visiting the park. We love walking around and witnessing the natural beauty and the classy pieces of art that reflect Spokane.

The Beaver is NOT attractive to look at. Please reconsider another piece of art that is more timeless and less creepy. We do not want this piece of art in the park.

Thanks for your time,
The Hudson Family

From: [Aryn Ziehnert](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Public Comment on "The Beaver"
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:33:46 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I would like to formally submit my disapproval of the bronze beaver. While I appreciate the artist's design concept, I find the execution of said concept to be quite discomfiting. I am concerned that the execution will be akin to the "Cristian Ronaldo bust" (<https://www.theguardian.com/artanddesign/2018/apr/03/cristiano-ronaldo-emanuel-santos-new-sculpture>) or the "Restoration of Jesus Painting" (<https://news.artnet.com/art-world/botched-restoration-of-jesus-fresco-miraculously-saves-spanish-town-197057>), and our city will go viral in an unpleasant way.

This is not to disparage the artist, really and truly. The concept is nice, but seriously please reconsider.

From: [ahinote86](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver art?
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 11:33:19 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

I have to say that personally... I won't be bringing my children to riverfront if that monstrosity resides there. It would give my children nightmares. I can never unsee that.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Elizabeth Braun](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver art piece
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 5:06:12 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

City of Spokane representative,

Please do not spend tax payer money on that beaver art piece. It is scary for children and really distasteful for our community.

Thank you for your reconsideration!

Elizabeth Braun

From: [Brad Haskins](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Beaver?
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 6:32:29 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

Dear Madams and Sirs:

What are you thinking? The 'Beaver', while loosely called art, has no place in the jewel park at the center of Spokane. It's hideous.

At least the runners at the Southwest corner of our park reflects an event synonymous with our city. The monstrosity you are considering has little to no relevance to anything, with the exception of a wonderful animal that has been all but exterminated by over trapping, dams and pollution.

As a born and raised resident of Spokane, I would like you to know I remember Expo '74. I attended. Did any of you? Do any of you remember the first running of Bloomsday? How about the first pig out? Why do those with little to no reference to the history of Spokane always try to change the natural beauty of OUR park.

The recent face lift was long overdue. Try not to ruin it with this terrible "beaver" thing.

Sincerely,

Brad Haskins

From: [Karen Erickson](#)
To: [Spokane Parks and Recreation](#)
Subject: Art project
Date: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:26:02 AM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL - Verify Sender]

My vote would be to not waste any money on the beaver statue, it is ugly! The others aren't attractive either. If you HAVE to spend the money, at least open it up to more artists that would create something attractive for our city to enjoy.

At this time with all of our financial needs because of the Covid- 19 virus, why are you spending money on anything like this? The money could definitely be used for a much better purpose and even be donated to help small businesses in Spokane. Our community needs help, not artwork that is not attractive. The public never seems to have a say in what happens with our city. Please listen to us now as you are overwhelmingly being voted against!!

Thank you,

Karen Erickson

Sent from my iPhone