Bicycle Advisory Board Minutes

June 16, 2020 Teleconference

Meeting Minutes: Meeting called to order at 6:05 PM by Grant Shipley

Attendance:

- Board Members Present: Grant Shipley (Chair), Jessica Engelman (Vice-Chair), Charlie Greenwood, Pablo Monsivais, Rhonda Young, Jason Oestreicher, Mike Bjordahl, Taylor Stevens
- Board Members Not Present: Harrison Husting
- Quorum Present: Yes
- Staff Members Present: Colin Quinn-Hurst, Jackie Churchill

Public Comment:

None

Briefing Session:

Minutes from the April 21, 2020 meeting approved unanimously.

- 1. Liaison Report -
 - NONE
- 2. Chair Report -
 - None
- 3. Staff Report -
 - Colin Quinn-Hurst gave a preview of the July BAB meeting topic in which staff from Integrated Capital Management will discuss how bike projects are added to the 6 Year Plan. They will look at factors such as grant criteria, the bike master plan, and location studies, and request feedback from the BAB.
 - He reported on some Amendments to the Master Bike Plan including the Upriver Drive vacation and a bike lane connection along Garland and Cook. There is also updated language in Master Bike Plan changing the term Cycle Track to Protected Bike Lane and specifying that routes with planned bike lanes are eligible for protected bike lane designs pending additional evaluation.
 - Colin talked about the Restaurant and Retail Expansion Program reporting that there are currently four applications to temporarily use public right-of-way next to restaurants to expand outside sitting.
 - Colin reported that the Centennial Trail detour for the Post Street Bridge closure is being finalized. The Bosch Lot has been paved to create a better connection for that portion of the Centennial Trail detour.
 - Wheel Share will be returning June 29th with bikes returning on July 13th.
 - The City is gathering information on ways to address the Pandemic and Equity in Biking and is looking for feedback from the BAB.

Workshops:

- 1. Fish Lake Trail Connection Study
 - Presentation provided by Nathan Anunson
 - Questions asked and answered
 - Discussion ensued

2. Subcommittee Formation

- Presentation provided by Colin Quinn-Hurst
- Questions asked and answered
- Discussion ensued
 - Proposed Subcommittees: Street Design Standards, Active Transportation Responses: Equity and COVID-19, Protected Bike Lane/Mobility Lane Planning, Neighborhood Greenways planning, Bike Parking Code Update.

Meeting Adjourned at 7:30 PM

Next Bicycle Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Tuesday, July 21, 2020

Chat Record from meeting:

from Colin Quinn-Hurst to everyone:

from Colin Quinn-Hurst to everyone:

Feel free to move to approve/not approve here in the chat as well

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

FYI my internet has been slow recently so I'm keeping my video off. I'm still here!

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

Charlie you're volume is very faint

from Jeff Sevela to everyone:

Agree on not xing 195. Also, WSDOT is looking at modifiying 195 access to increase auto safety and can't plan anything now.

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

Question: do you have an idea of what each route option would cost? Gov Way seems the cheapest, especially since the street is wider than traffic volumes warrant, and even the Riverside Bridge isn't that narrow (35' at its narrowest?) which at 10' lanes each direction (~2000 VPD) still provides 5' for a buffer and 10' for the facility itself.

from Shrinerdude to everyone:

Charlie, can you move closer to your mic?

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

Thanks for the presentation Nathan. Does the decision process take into account the neighborhoods/residential parcels served by the trail?

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

Follow-up question: So is this trail conceived of as a recreational trail mainly? or also intended to serve as a transportation corridor?

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

Wayfinding signage to links to other parts of the bike network? Lighting?

from Jeff Sevela to everyone:

Opton 2 has advantage of allowing accesss for Inland Empire Way area and side path on east side of 195 to Cheney Spokane Rd (going through neighborhood

from Jason Oestreicher to everyone:

I think Pablo is making a great point right now.

from Taylor Stevens to everyone:

Would Option 3 consider some opportunity to relate to the exisitng raised bikeway down Sunset Blvd?

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

Thank you Nathan. The more the "missing link" can also double as a safe, attractive corridor for western/southwestern neighborhoods into central Spokane the better.

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

General statement: if the funds are coming out of separate pots that's one thing, but if primarily recreational cycling facilities and primarily transportation cycling facilities are competing for the same pile of funds, we should have a conversation about budgeting priorities.

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

Thanks Nathan!

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

is the Garland one an off-street path or in-street PBL?

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

And is WSDOT paying for it?

from Jessica Engelman to everyone:

Question #2: is the PBL option also available for streets listed as shared facilities in the BMP?

from Shrinerdude to everyone:

Thank you for the explanation of details.

from Mike Bjordahl to everyone:

Were lane closures ever looked at downtown?

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

Everyone mute please

from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

How is the construction traffic around the former Y building going to impact/intersect with this detour?

from Jason Oestreicher to everyone:

Is this detour going to be well signed? It has been conusing getting through Riverfront Park the last ew years. from Jessica Engelman to everyone: any update on ped recall? from Shrinerdude to everyone: I am interested in tomorrow's webinar. Please send info. from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: I"m interested in 1, 3, 4. from Jessica Engelman to everyone: I'm already working on topics 1-4, so sign me up for all of them. from Rhonda Young to everyone: I'm interested in 1,2, or 3 from Shrinerdude to everyone: I'm interested in 4 & 5 from Jason Oestreicher to everyone: 2,3,4 for me from Mike Bjordahl to everyone: I am interested in 4 or 5 from Taylor Stevens to everyone: Interested in 4,5 from Jeff Sevela to everyone: 1,2,3 & 4 from Jessica Engelman to everyone: Colin, when you send out emails, can you ask whether the people interested in that subcommittee would prefer daytime or evening meetings? Will make scheduling easier I think. from Jessica Engelman to everyone: why PBL on the south side for SFB? from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: Let me type from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:

I am wondering if there is any news about infrastructure projects that may be changed or threatended because of budget shortalls from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: Thanks from Jessica Engelman to everyone: I'd like to look at the SFB plans. Don't have to be part of a meeting necessarily, but I think most use will be Cincinnati-->Bridge/Downtown, so a north-side path would be better for that. from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: Thank you Colin. from Rhonda Young to everyone: I prefer daytime meetings these days from Jessica Engelman to everyone: Same from Jessica Engelman to everyone: Ha, I was hoping to talk six year plan from Jessica Engelman to everyone: in our remaining time from Jessica Engelman to everyone: since City Council vote is upcoming from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: A regular digest would be great. from Rhonda Young to everyone: Move to adjourn from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: Did we welcome Jason? from Pablo Monsivais to everyone: Welcome Jason! from Shrinerdude to everyone: second from Jason Oestreicher to everyone: Thanks everyone!

from Mike Bjordahl to everyone:
Thanks everyone!
from Pablo Monsivais to everyone:
Thank you everyone. Great to see your heads!
from Jessica Engelman to everyone:
Bye all, good seeing you again
from Taylor Stevens to everyone:

Thank you!